

RADIOLOGICAL DOSE ASSESSMENT OF NATURALLY OCCURRING
RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS AT PETROLEUM GAS SEPARATION
STATIONS RUMAILA, IRAQ

AHMAD SADDAM MOULA

A thesis submitted in fulfilment for the
requirements of the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Physics)

Faculty of Science
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JULY 2017

*Dedicated to my beloved family
To the spirit of my father, who died prematurely*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Thanks to ALLAH, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful, the Most Bountiful who gave me the courage and patience to accomplish this research work. Without his help and mercy, this would not have come into reality. I dedicate this work to my father who passed away during the period of completion of my studies.

I would like to deeply express my gratitude for the help and support from my Supervisor's, Dr. Wan Nurulhuda BT Wan Shamsuri and Co-supervisor Dr. Koh Meng Hock on their fascinating guidance, encouragement, and valuable comments throughout the research work. I was fortunate to be one of their graduate students. Their experience and creativity gave me the great profit for carving my future career.

I would like to acknowledge the University Technology Malaysia for providing the facilities and support during this research. I also wish to thank, the Ministry of High Education and the Ministry of Science and Technology of Iraq (MoST).

Special gratitude goes to Iraq Dr. Yousif M. Zayir Al-bakhat (the general manager of nuclear and safety radiation directorate/MoST), and his staff as well as to Mr. Nabeel H. Ameen for their kind support, and Dr. Salam K. Alnasri (the manager of radiation measurements department at Central Laboratories directorate / MoST) effort and following during and after my laboratories work. I specially dedicate this work to my wife, whose has been with me in all circumstances as a companion and a source of encouragement. I also do not forget my lovely children Ausama and Hussien who were a divine source of inspiration for me to accomplish this work. As well as, I dedicate this work to all friends who have communicated with me and been my source encouragement.

Last, but not the least, my greatest thanks from my heart to my family for giving the unlimited supports and patience to complete my study. I would never ever forget their sacrifice that they have done for me. I appreciate the sacrifice of my mother, brothers, and sisters in helping me morally to finish my study.

ABSTRACT

Accurate evaluation of radiological dose from naturally occurring radioactive materials (NORMs) generated by petroleum gas separation stations remains challenging. Protection of human health from unwanted radiation exposure, and minimization of environmental pollution from these NORMs are the main motivations of this work. These could be achieved by evaluating the activity concentrations (ACs) of various identified radioactive elements in the soil, sludge, and wastewater samples collected from seven petroleum gas separation stations located in Northern Al-Rumaila of Iraq. In this study, high purity germanium (HPGe) detector was used to measure the ACs of ^{232}Th , ^{226}Ra , and ^{40}K radioactive isotopes present in the soil, sludge, and wastewater samples. Radiometric analyses were performed on wastewater samples, while ^{238}U activities were recorded using fluorimetry technique. The gamma absorbed dose rates in air were measured using survey meters. Gross alpha/beta measurements were also conducted for the collected wastewater samples. Radiation hazard parameters were examined at these gas separation stations to estimate the potential radiological health risk in the sediments/sludge/wastewater samples. The findings showed that the mean value of ACs of ^{232}Th , ^{226}Ra and ^{40}K in soil samples measured were $106.05 \pm 4.34 \text{ Bqkg}^{-1}$, $209.91 \pm 8.43 \text{ Bqkg}^{-1}$ and $302.20 \pm 13.07 \text{ Bqkg}^{-1}$, respectively. The ACs for ^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K in the sludge samples were considerably greater than IAEA guidelines. Furthermore, the ACs of ^{232}Th and ^{226}Ra were discerned to be higher, and ^{40}K was lower than the world average. Results of the radiometric analysis of water samples revealed a wide variation in the detected activities of ^{228}Ac , ^{226}Ra , ^{40}K and ^{238}U from below the detection limit until 11.17, 22.63, 19, and 0.92-6.46 BqL^{-1} respectively. Simulation was performed using RESRAD software to estimate the yearly dose where the yearly dose for various NORMs present in the samples were found to be maximum ($64.67 \mu\text{Sv.y}^{-1}$) at DS4 station. Results of this study clearly demonstrated that radioactivity levels in the wastewater samples exceeded the limits set for by the World Health Organization for drinking water. If no remedial steps are implemented, then there is a potential for long term risks to the health of the community and those who rely on recycled water in this Iraqi region.

ABSTRAK

Penilaian tepat terhadap dos radiologi dari bahan radioaktif semula jadi (NORMs) yang dihasilkan oleh stesen pemisahan gas petroleum kekal mencabar. Perlindungan kesihatan manusia daripada pendedahan sinaran yang tidak diingini, dan peminimuman pencemaran alam sekitar dari NORMs ini adalah tujuan utama kajian ini. Ini boleh dicapai dengan menilai kepekatan keaktifan (AC) pelbagai elemen radioaktif yang dikenal pasti dalam tanah, enap cemar, dan sampel sisa air yang dikumpul daripada tujuh stesen pemisahan gas petroleum yang terletak di Al-Rumaila Utara Iraq. Dalam kajian ini, pengesan germanium berketulenan tinggi (HPGe) telah digunakan untuk mengukur AC daripada isotop radioaktif ^{232}Th , ^{226}Ra , dan ^{40}K dalam sampel tanah, enap cemar, dan sisa air. Di samping itu, analisis radiometrik telah dijalankan ke atas sampel sisa air, manakala keaktifan ^{238}U telah dirakam menggunakan teknik fluorimetry. Kadar dos sinar gama diserap dalam udara diukur menggunakan meter tinjauan. Ukuran alpha / beta kasar juga telah dijalankan bagi sampel sisa air yang dikum. Beberapa parameter hazard sinaran juga dinilai di stesen pemisahan gas untuk menganggarkan potensi risiko kesihatan radiologi dalam sampel sedimen / enap cemar / sisa air. Dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa nilai min AC pagi ^{232}Th , ^{226}Ra dan ^{40}K dalam sampel tanah yang diukur masing-masing ialah $106.05 \pm 4.34 \text{ Bqkg}^{-1}$, $209.91 \pm 8.43 \text{ Bqkg}^{-1}$ dan $302.20 \pm 13.07 \text{ Bqkg}^{-1}$. AC pagi ^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th dan ^{40}K dalam sampel enap cemar adalah jauh lebih besar daripada garis panduan IAEA. Tambahan pula, AC daripada ^{232}Th dan ^{226}Ra ternyata lebih tinggi, dan ^{40}K adalah lebih rendah daripada purata dunia. Hasil analisis radiometrik sampel air mendedahkan variasi yang besar dalam keaktifan yang dikesan daripada ^{228}Ac , ^{226}Ra , ^{40}K dan ^{238}U dari bawah had pengesanan sehingga masing-masing ke 11.17, 22.63, 19, dan 0.92-6.46 BqL^{-1} . Simulasi telah dilakukan dengan menggunakan perisian RESRAD untuk menganggarkan dos tahunan di mana dos tahunan bagi pelbagai NORMs dalam sampel didapati maksimum ($64.67 \mu\text{Sv.y}^{-1}$) di stesen DS4. Dapatan kajian ini menunjukkan dengan jelas bahawa tahap keradioaktifan dalam sampel sisa air melebihi had yang ditetapkan oleh Pertubuhan Kesihatan Sedunia untuk air minuman. Jika tiada langkah pemulihan dilaksanakan, maka terdapat potensi risiko jangka panjang kepada kesihatan masyarakat dan individu yang bergantung kepada air yang dikitar semula di rantau Iraq ini.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xx
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxiii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of the Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	4
	1.3 Research Objectives	5
	1.4 Scope of Study	6
	1.5 Significance of Study	7
	1.6 Novelty of Work	8
	1.7 Outline of Thesis	9
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	11
	2.1 Introduction	11
	2.2 Presence of NORMs in the Oil and Gas Industries	13

2.2.1	Origin of NORMs in Oil and Gas	14
2.2.1.1	NORM Wastes Types in Oil and Gas Industries	16
2.3	Health Hazards of NORMs	24
2.4	Related Background Studies	27
2.5	Radioactivity and Radioactive Decay	47
2.5.1	Serial Radioactive Decay	50
2.5.2	Serial Radioactive Decay Chain	51
2.5.3	Radioactive Equilibrium	51
2.5.3.1	Secular Equilibrium	52
2.5.3.2	Transient Equilibrium	53
2.6	Types of Decay	55
2.6.1	Alpha Decay	55
2.6.1.1	Systematises of Alpha Decay Process	56
2.6.1.2	Theory of Alpha Decay and Selection Rules	57
2.6.2	Beta Decay	58
2.6.2.1	Selection Rules for Beta Decay	61
2.6.3	Gamma Decay	63
2.6.3.1	Selection Rules for Gamma Decay	63
2.6.3.2	Internal Conversion	65
2.6.3.3	Annihilation Radiation	66
2.6.4	Spontaneous Fission	66
2.7	Environmental Sources of Radioactivity	67
2.7.1	Natural Sources	67
2.7.1.1	Primordial NORMs	67
2.7.1.2	Cosmic Radiation	71
2.7.1.3	Cosmogenic Radionuclides	71
2.7.2	Anthropogenic Sources	72

3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	77
3.1	Introduction	77
3.2	Study Location for Sample Collection	79
3.3	Field Inspection	85
3.4	Sample Collection and Preparation Methods	86
3.5	Samples Analysis	86
3.6	Gamma Ray Spectrometer	88
3.6.1	High Purity Germanium Detector	89
3.6.2	Associated Electronics of the Detection System	89
3.6.3	Preamplifier	90
3.6.4	Computer and Software for Data Acquisition	90
3.7	Detector Characterization	91
3.7.1	Energy Calibration	91
3.7.2	Efficiency Calibration	92
3.7.3	Energy Resolution	97
3.7.4	Detection Limits	97
3.8	Background Measurement	98
3.9	Activity Concentration Measurement of NORM	99
3.10	Gross Alpha–Beta Gas Technique	100
3.10.1	Instrumental Configuration	101
3.10.2	Signal Production	101
3.10.3	Region of Proportionality and Bias Voltage	102
3.10.4	Alpha Plateau	102
3.10.5	Beta Plateau	103
3.10.6	Background Reduction with Passive and Active Shielding	104
3.10.7	Gross Alpha-Beta Measurement	105
3.11	Fluorescence Spectrophotometer	109
3.12	Radiological Hazards Assessment	110
3.12.1	Radium Equivalent Activity Index	110

3.12.2	External and Internal Hazard Index	110
3.12.3	Absorbed Dose Rate	111
3.12.4	Annual Effective Dose Equivalent	112
3.13	Human Exposure Assessment by using RESRAD Code Family	112
3.13.1	Assessing Potential Health Dangers	114
3.13.2	Risk Characterization	114
3.13.3	Radiological Assessment Tools	115
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	117
4.1	Introduction	117
4.2	Radioactivity Levels and Radiological Hazards Assessment for Soil and Sludge Samples	119
4.3	Correlation Analysis of Various Samples	127
4.3.1	Correlation Analysis for soil	127
4.3.2	Correlation Analysis for sludge	138
4.4	Evaluation of Radioactivity in Produced Wastewater	141
4.4.1	Radium Activity Concentration in Wastewater	141
4.4.2	Potassium Activity Concentration in Wastewater	143
4.4.3	Actinium Activity Concentration in Wastewater	145
4.4.4	Uranium Activity Concentration in Wastewater Assessed Using Fluorometry Technique	146
4.4.5	Gross Alpha/Beta Measurements	148
4.4.6	Potential Remedial Measures for Environmental Protection	149
4.5	Dose Assessment Using RESRAD Model Simulation	150
4.6	Summarise of finding	155

5	CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER OUTLOOK	159
5.1	Conclusion	159
5.2	Further Outlook	160
	REFERENCES	162
	Appendices A – F	174-190

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	The ACs of different NORM present in the crude oil and related wastes	14
2.2	Salient features of ^{238}U decay chain	26
2.3	Salient features of ^{232}Th decay chain	26
2.4	Selection rules for different beta transition types	62
2.5	Selection rules for gamma decay	64
2.6	Examples of primordial radionuclides	68
2.7	Details of ^{238}U decay series	72
2.8	Details of ^{232}Th decay series	74
2.9	Details of the Actinium (^{235}U) decay series	75
3.1	Labeling of the gas separation stations in northern Al-Rumaila (Iraq) petroleum fields chosen for sample collection	81
3.2	Radio-nuclides commonly used for efficiency calibration	95
3.3	Energies of gamma rays from various isotopes used in the present study	100
4.1	Depicts the details of the degassing stations (in terms of location, dose, and recorded parameters) selected for investigations.	118
4.2	Results of radiometric analysis for the collected soil samples in all gas station	120
4.3	Results of radiometric analysis for the collected sludge samples in all gas separation stations	121

4.4	Results of radiometric analysis for the collected water samples in all gas separation stations (ND: Not Detected)	142
4.5	Measured ACs of ^{238}U in the produced 36 wastewater samples collected from different gas separation stations	147
4.6	Analytical results for gross alpha/beta measurements	148
4.7	Yearly dose variation at 7 stations for various NORMs present in the samples	156
4.8	Calculated values of D , Ra_{eq} , H_{ex} and H_{in} for different gas station	157
4.9	the worldwide NORM Range of specific activity concentrations of ^{226}Ra and ^{228}Ra in sludge, as reported by different countries for various geographic	158

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Accumulation of various NORMs during the recovery processes	12
2.2	Decay channels of ^{238}U series	12
2.3	Decay channels of ^{232}Th series	13
2.4	Origin of NORMs in the oil and gas industry	16
2.5	The production of waste water containing considerable amount of NORMs in Iraqi Qurainat station (photo taken by the author of this thesis on 15/5/2014)	18
2.6	Scales inside oil and gas separation pipes	19
2.7	Sludge storage in concrete slopes prior to the disposal	21
2.8	Appearance of contaminated soil in the evaporation pond of produced water	22
2.9	The exponential radioactive decay curve of ^{228}Ac	48
2.10	Typical radioactive decay chains of unstable nucleus	49
2.11	Growth of a short lived daughter nuclide (^{222}Rn) from a much longer lived parent nuclide (^{226}Ra) to reach the secular equilibrium	53
2.12	Growth and decay of a short lived daughter (^{212}Bi) from a slightly longer lived parent (^{212}Pb) in transient equilibrium	54

2.13	The systematic correlation among $T_{1/2}$ and Q-value for the α -decay of even-even nuclides	57
2.14	Schematic of the tunnelling through the barrier potential for α -particle decay	58
2.15	Mass parabola of isobaric nuclei for: (a) $A = 137$ (odd-A isobars) and (b) $A = 138$ (even-A isobars)	59
2.16	Energy distribution of β -decay from ^{36}Cl	61
2.17	The decay series of ^{238}U	69
2.18	The decay series of ^{232}Th	70
2.19	The decay series of Actinium ^{235}U	70
3.1	Diagram of methodology	78
3.2	A schematic diagram showing the exact location of the seven gas separation stations in northern Al-Rumaila (Iraq) petroleum fields selected for sampling	80
3.3	Specifications of DS1	81
3.4	Specifications of DS2	82
3.5	Specifications of DS3	82
3.6	Specifications of DS4	83
3.7	Specifications of DS5	83
3.8	Specifications of SIDS2	84
3.9	Specifications of NIDS4	84
3.10	LUDLUM portable survey meter (MODEL 2241-2)	85
3.11	Various components of the liquid nitrogen cooled typical germanium detector	88
3.12	Block diagram showing various components of a typical high purity germanium detector system	89
3.13	Energy calibration using multi-nuclide radioactive standard	92
3.14	Energy calibration using multi-nuclide radioactive standard as in appendix A	95

3.15	Efficiency calibration curve of HPGe detector using Mult-Gamma standard source as appendix A	96
3.16	Efficiency calibration curve of HPGe detector using standard source for soil as in appendix A	96
3.17	Alpha plateau	103
3.18	Beta plateau	104
3.19	Gross alpha-beta detection system	105
3.20	Typical display on the screen for creating the plateau	107
3.21	Fluorometry system	109
3.22	Human exposure pathways considered in the RESRAD code	113
3.23	Home page for RESRAD Version 6.5 Software	116
4.1	ACs of three different NORMs in the soil samples for the respective gas separation station	122
4.2	ACs of three different NORMs in the sludge samples for the respective gas separation station	122
4.3	Estimated radium equivalent activity (Raeq) in the soil and sludge samples for the respective gas separation station	123
4.4	Estimated values of Hex for soil and sludge samples in the studied gas separation stations	124
4.5	Estimated values of Hin for soil and sludge samples in the studied gas separation stations	125
4.6	Estimated values of D for soil and sludge samples in the studied gas separation stations	126
4.7	Estimated values of AEDE for soil and sludge samples in the studied gas separation stations	126
4.8	ACs correlation analysis between ^{40}K and ^{226}Ra for DS1 for soil samples	128
4.9	ACs correlation analysis between ^{40}K and ^{228}Ac for DS1 for soil samples	128

4.10	ACs correlation analysis between ^{226}Ra and ^{228}Ac for DS1 for soil samples	129
4.11	ACs correlation analysis between ^{40}K and ^{226}Ra for DS2 for soil samples	129
4.12	ACs correlation analysis between ^{40}K and ^{228}Ac for DS2 for soil samples	130
4.13	ACs correlation analysis between ^{226}Ra and ^{228}Ac for DS2 for soil samples	130
4.14	ACs correlation analysis between ^{40}K and ^{226}Ra for DS3 for soil samples	131
4.15	ACs correlation analysis between ^{40}K and ^{228}Ac for DS3 for soil samples	131
4.16	ACs correlation analysis between ^{226}Ra and ^{228}Ac for DS3 for soil samples	132
4.17	ACs correlation analysis between ^{40}K and ^{226}Ra for DS4 for soil samples	132
4.18	ACs correlation analysis between ^{40}K and ^{228}Ac for DS4 for soil samples	133
4.19	ACs correlation analysis between ^{226}Ra and ^{228}Ac for DS4 for soil samples	133
4.20	ACs correlation analysis between ^{40}K and ^{226}Ra for DS5 for soil samples	134
4.21	ACs correlation analysis between ^{40}K and ^{228}Ac for DS5 for soil samples	134
4.22	ACs correlation analysis between ^{226}Ra and ^{228}Ac for DS5 for soil samples	135
4.23	ACs correlation analysis between ^{40}K and ^{226}Ra for SIDS2 for soil samples	135
4.24	ACs correlation analysis between ^{40}K and ^{228}Ac for SIDS2 for soil samples	136
4.25	ACs correlation analysis between ^{226}Ra and ^{228}Ac for SIDS2 for soil samples	136

4.26	ACs correlation analysis between ^{40}K and ^{226}Ra for NIDS for soil samples	137
4.27	ACs correlation analysis between ^{40}K and ^{228}Ac for NIDS for soil samples	137
4.28	ACs correlation analysis between ^{226}Ra and ^{228}Ac for NIDS4 for soil samples	138
4.29	AC correlation of ^{226}Ra and ^{232}Th .	139
4.30	AC correlation of ^{226}Ra and ^{40}K .	140
4.31	AC relationship between ^{232}Th and ^{40}K	140
4.32	Distribution of ^{226}Ra radioactivity in the wastewater samples (in excess of the background level)	143
4.33	Distribution of ^{40}K radioactivity in the wastewater samples (in excess of the background level)	144
4.34	Distribution of ^{228}Ac radioactivity in the wastewater samples (in excess of the background level)	146
4.35	Distribution of ^{238}U radioactivity in the wastewater samples (in excess of the background level)	147
4.36	Gross alpha/beta measurements	149
4.37	Yearly dose variation at DS1 for various NORMs present in the sample (maximum dose of 23.8 $\mu\text{Sv/y}$)	151
4.38	Yearly dose variation at DS2 for various NORMs present in the sample (maximum dose of 7.14 $\mu\text{Sv/y}$)	151
4.39	Yearly dose variation at DS3 for various NORMs present in the sample (maximum dose of 24.05 $\mu\text{Sv/y}$)	152
4.40	Yearly dose variation at DS4 for various NORMs present in the sample (maximum dose of 64.67 $\mu\text{Sv/y}$)	153

4.41	Yearly dose variation at DS5 for various NORMs present in the sample (maximum dose of 33.47 $\mu\text{Sv/y}$)	153
4.42	Yearly dose variation at SIDS2 for various NORMs present in the sample (maximum dose of 3.32 $\mu\text{Sv/y}$)	154
4.43	Yearly dose variation at NIDS4 for various NORMs present in the sample (maximum dose of 4.1 $\mu\text{Sv/y}$)	155

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AEDE	- Annual effective dose equivalent
ASTM	- The American Standard for Testing and Materials.
BEIR	- The Biological Effect of Ionising Radiation.
Bq	- Becquerel
Ci	- Curie $1\text{Ci}=3.7 * 10^{10}$ bq
D	- absorbed dose rate in air
DCF	- Dose conversion factor
DH	- Dehydration Unit
DS1	- Degassing Station One
DS2	- Degassing Station Two
DS3	- Degassing Station Three
DS4	- Degassing Station four
DS5	- Degassing Station five
EPA	- Environmental protection agency
GPS	- Global positioning system
Gy	- Gray absorbed dose
HBRA	- High background radiation area
Hex	- External hazard index
H_{in}	- The internal hazard index
HPGe	- Hyper pure germanium detector
IAEA	- International atomic energy agency
ICRP	- The International Commission on Radiological Protection
MCA	- Multi-channel analyzer
NCRP	- National council on radiation protection
NIDS4	- North Intermediate Degassing Station Four
NORM	- Naturally Occurring Radioactive Material.

ROI	-	Region of interest
SIDS2	-	South Intermediate Degassing Station Two
TENORM	-	Technologically-Enhanced Naturally Occurring Radioactive Material
UNSCEAR	-	United nations scientific committee on the effects of atomic radiation
USNRC	-	United states nuclear regulatory commission
VOCs	-	Volatile Organic compounds
WNA	-	The World Nuclear Association

LIST OF SYMBOLS

^{210}Pb	- Lead-210
^{214}Bi	- Bismuth-214
^{214}Pb	- Lead-214
^{226}Ra	- Radium-226
^{228}Ac	- Actinium-228
^{232}Th	- Thorium-232
^{235}U	- Uranium -235
^{238}U	- Uranium-238
^{40}K	- Potassium-40
^{60}Co	- Cobalt-60
^{85}Sr	- Strontium-90
A_k	- Specific activity of potassium
A_s	- Specific activity
A_{Th}	- Specific activity of thorium
A_{U}	- Specific activity of uranium
C_K	- Concentrations of potassium
C_{Th}	- Concentrations of thorium
C_{U}	- Concentrations of uranium
D	- Absorbed dose
γ	- Gamma rays
^{220}Rn	- Thoron
^{222}Rn	- Radon
α	- Alpha particle
β	- Beta particle

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Multi-Gamma standard source MBSS2	174
B	Survey field measurements for all Degassing stations	175
C	Gamma spectroscopy measurements for all Degassing stations	183
D	The calculated external and internal radiation hazard indices for the soil samples	188
E	The calculated external and internal radiation hazard indices for the sludge samples	189
F	List of Publications	190

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

In the oil and gas industries worldwide, the naturally occurring radioactive materials (NORMs) consisting of radionuclide such as ^{232}Th , ^{228}Ra and ^{238}U are accumulated and concentrated in various types of containers for their effective disposal. Consequently, they can be characterized based on their chemical and physical processing in the natural oil and gas refineries [1]. Furthermore, the brine water (wastewater) that is created in this process normally isolated from oil is set for disposal into the environment without accessing their radioactive dose level. This wastewater is channelled into the inoculation well or evaporated in the open atmosphere [2]. It is also injected into the source to increase the oil yield via a method called "water flooding". In some cases, produced water amount is greater than the amount of oil produced. Thus, it forms the major amount of waste radioactive material produced from the oil and gas industries [3]. It is important to monitor the radioactivity level of these waste for environmental and health safety.

It is worth mentioning that most of the companies operating in the Middle East region usually dispose their wastes water into unlined pits and lagoons. Subsequently, the projection water is drained to underground leaving radioactive precipitate within the soil it needs proper. This required remedial or treatment action in accordance with

radiation protection principles. Over the years, several remediation projects are undertaken to treat the contaminated soil for reducing the hazard to workers, environment, and public [1, 3]. One of the largest oil field in Iraq (Rumaila) produce huge amount of water and sludge that are regularly released in the surroundings for evaporation. Recently, it is realized that such uninhibited dumping of such wastes leads to severe environmental pollution unless regulated. Besides, oil field workers and civilians living in this region are often exposed to the high radiation dose. To minimize the radiation exposure related health risk, constant monitoring of the dose level is necessary. Thus, it is important to identify the radionuclide present in the soil for subsequent determination of the effective remediation protocol. It is the main recurring theme of the present study

Earlier studies revealed that Radium (^{226}Ra and ^{228}Ra) is the primary radionuclide present in the contaminated soil around this oil field [2]. Accordingly, strategies must be taken to remove the radium isotopes that are present in this kind of polluted soil. The long half-life of Radium isotope (1620 yr for ^{226}Ra and 5.8 yr for ^{228}Ra) and associated decay products (^{224}Ra) make them highly threatening to human and other living organisms. Various types of radioactive waste that are generated from oil and gas industries are generally removed from the production units and stored in special container or discharged in the environment as low radioactive dose wastes. Nevertheless, strict control of radioactive waste from being released in the environment that cause pollution and health hazard is compulsory via accurate monitor. In-depth research on the mechanism of radioactive waste removal from oil field and their impact on health and ecology need special attention in terms of remedial measure [4].

Smith *et al.* (1996) reported that during oil and gas extraction process substantial amount of NORMs at very high concentrations are accumulated as stream of waste by-products. Furthermore, it was found that in these wastes the isotopes of ^{238}U and ^{232}Th appeared as the most prolific NORMs. As by-products, they occur on the subsurface of the oil and gases that are produced in the industries. The main radioactive nuclides or NORMs that are greatly alarming as waste products are ^{226}Ra ,

^{228}Ra , ^{238}U and ^{232}Th decay series. Besides, the radio-nuclides those are formed as decay products of ^{226}Ra , and ^{228}Ra are also environmentally concerning [4].

Manufacturing and dispensation tools may include remaining amounts of NORM-contaminated water, scale, or sludge. Consequently, it may cause removal and exposure setback when the dispensation tools or equipment's are taken off-line for repair, maintenance, or substitution [5]. Equipment's or container used for natural gas processing and production are also gets polluted by forming a thin layer of poisonous radioactive ^{210}Pb in the inner surfaces. In short, NORMs are omnipresent in the oil and natural gas processing systems unless carefully removed.

Khodashenas *et al.* (2012) investigated the occurrences of NORMs in the oil production unit, evaporation pond, and drilling site in the Khuzestan province (Iran). Radionuclides such as ^{232}Th and ^{40}K were detected in the soil samples and ^{226}Ra was found in both soil and water samples. The ACs of ^{232}Th was ranged between 8.7 and 403 Bq.kg^{-1} , while the minimum concentration for ^{40}K was much larger (82 Bq.kg^{-1}) where the maximum concentration was found to be 815 Bq.kg^{-1} . Soil samples revealed very low concentrations of ^{226}Ra , typically between 10.6 and 42.1 Bq.kg^{-1} with some exceptions (282, 602, and even 1480 Bq.kg^{-1}). The range of ^{226}Ra contents in the water sample was ranged from very low (0.1 Bq.L^{-1}) very high (30.3 Bq.L^{-1}). Overall, results showed that on an average the NORM concentrations in these areas were lower than the usual concentration levels in typical oil and gas fields. However, necessary measures were undertaken for minimizing the environmental impact of such NORMs [5].

It is needless to mention that the traces of NORMs in the waste products of oil and gas processing industries have been continuously recognized since the mid-thirties of the last century [6]. Moreover, there was no concern regarding the NORMs assisted health hazards until the mid-1980s. Later, the oil and gas refinery industry and regulators realized the widespread occurrences of highly pollutant NORMs and their adverse environmental impacts. Thereafter, it was found that the activity levels of these

radio-nuclides could be quite high and a real threat to human health and environment. Despite the wide acknowledgement of these radio-nuclides radiation exposure related health concern to both workers and the general public the precise quantification of NORM-contaminated waste streams, the extent of health hazard and subsequent regulatory measure on NORM remains debatable. In this spirit, the present research takes an attempt to bridge the gap related to some NORM related issues as described hereunder.

1.2 Problem Statement

Several petroleum industries are spread all over the landscape in Iraq. Previous studies revealed that the soil and water in each of these sites contains significant amounts potentially active NORMs [7]. However, accurate evaluation of radiological dose of such NORMs generated by the petroleum and gas separation stations is not yet performed. The awareness regarding the protection of the human health from these NORMs [8], assisted unwanted dose exposure must be developed through careful evaluation and quantifications of the emanating radiation dose. Inhibition of the environmental pollution from these NORMs remains a challenge. Thus, systematic radio-metric measurements are necessary to determine the activity concentrations (ACs) of various radioactive elements in the soil/sludge and wastewater samples spread around petroleum gas separation stations located at Northern Al-Rumaila of Iraq. This study is expected to generate new information regarding the baseline radiometric data on NORMs present in the petroleum and gas separations stations. This radioactivity is purely natural which are not generated by other nuclear or war related activities in the country. To achieve such goal, the baseline data from diverse soil samples must be acquired for evaluating the health hazards of the onsite workers in the cited gas separation stations and public living in those sites. Precise radiometric information on the ACs of potentially hazardous NORMs such as ^{232}Th , ^{228}Ac , ^{226}Ra , ^{40}K , and ^{238}U in the sediments/soil/sludge and wastewater samples obtained from the petroleum gas separation stations located around Northern Al-Rumaila (Iraq) are lacking.

It is significant to determine the ACs level in the sediments/sludge samples to check their permissible limit as set by WHO and IAEA. Thus, quantification of the mean ACs for these NORMs is vital to prevent further environmental pollution and health risks. It is important to verify whether the ACs of the identified NORMs are lower than the world average. A correlation between the ACs of different NORMs is not yet established. This information can be obtained by calculating the relevant hazard parameters responsible for the potential radiological health risk due to sediments/sludge and wastewater samples. When these calculated dose rates exceed the permissible limit then strategies should be taken for immediate remedy. Radiometric analysis of these samples is required to determine the exposures impact on the onsite workers. The radiological health information of the mean outdoor annual effective dose, radium equivalent activity, external and internal hazard indices needs careful estimation. The evaluation of radioactivity levels in the wastewater samples is essential to determine the drinking water safety limit set by the World Health Organization (WHO). Present radiological dose assessment on all these degassing stations will reveal the degree of NORMs related radioactive contamination. Based on the collected information and data analysis it will be possible to solve the problem involving environmental sustainability, safety of the workers, and public.

1.3 Research Objectives

Based on the problem statement or research gap the following objectives are set.

- i. To identify the potential NORMs in the soil/sediment/sludge and wastewater samples spread around the petroleum gas separation stations located at Northern Al-Rumaila of Iraq for accurate radiometric dose assessment.
- ii. To determine the activity concentrations of various NORMs useful for NORM management, disposal activities, radiometric information about

the potential health risk, and environmental protection following IAEA and WHO guidelines.

- iii. To compare the measured ACs of these NORMs in various samples with relevant safety requirement and radiation protection guide of ICRP.
- iv. To determine the radiological health information in terms of the mean outdoor annual effective dose, radium equivalent activity, sensitivity, external and internal hazard indices

1.4 Scope of Study

The scope of this study is comprised of the following:

- i. Collection of the soil/sediment/sludge and waste water samples from the region around the petroleum gas separation stations located at Northern Al-Rumaila of Iraq. Identification of the NORMs that caused potential health risks and environmental pollution. Obtaining the baseline data for collected 36 soil samples to evaluate the health risks of the personnel working in such gas separation stations and civilians living in the proximity.
- ii. Use of hyper pure germanium (HPGe) detector to measure the ACs of detected radioactive isotopes (NORMs). Use of fluorometric technique for determining the ^{238}U activities in these water samples and Measurement through gross alpha/beta for evaluating the dose in the collected wastewater samples.
- iii. Accurate radio-metric dose assessment for effective NORM management and disposal activities using decontamination apparatus, encapsulation via down-hole, under-ground disposal, land spreading through dilution, apparatus smelting, and unlimited superficial interment of polluted equipment's. Selection of decontaminated apparatus for effective ACs evaluation is because of lack of information

in the literature. Comparison of the measured radiation doses of these NORMs with relevant safety requirement and radiation protection guide ICRP.

- iv. Assessment of the radiological health information in terms the mean outdoor annual effective dose, radium equivalent activity, sensitivity, external and internal hazard indices and Human Exposure Assessment by using RESRAD software simulation. Estimation of the maximum individual dose equivalent associated to the selected activities and their subsequent use as the basis for comparison between disposal options.

1.5 Significance of Study

Present study will elucidate the radiometric information about the potential health risk and environmental pollution due to the occurrence of NORMs in the petroleum gas separation stations in Iraq. This will allow the country's administration to develop a strategy for the remediation of unwanted radiation dose exposure with proper nuclear safety. This study will certainly develop an awareness regarding the natural radioactivity related health risks for workers and common public living in those regions. Precise radiometric information on the ACs of potentially hazardous NORMs including ^{232}Th , ^{228}Ac , ^{226}Ra , ^{40}K and ^{238}U in the soil/sediment/sludge and wastewater samples in this area will be necessary to protect people from health hazards [9].

It is important to detect the NORMs and check the AC level in the samples to determine the allowable dose limit as set by WHO and IAEA. So far, no data on radioactivity level of these elements exist. Accurate dose assessment is always needed as stated by UNSCEAR (2000). Though it is well known that the natural radioactivity levels of Ra, Th and K progenies contribute more than half of the total exposure received by workers in gas separation station and public due to natural radiation but proper evaluation has not been made yet in the context of Iraq. Thus, systematic sampling, experimentation, and data analysis is expected to provide very significant

baseline data useful for extended research. In this way, precautionary measure can be undertaken to prevent the feasibility of sudden radiological accidents. For nuclear emergencies and subsequent remediation, monitoring of radioactivity dose level in air has been diversely used as an early warning system [8]. Collected data from these Iraqi gas separation stations will be greatly beneficial for the evaluation of elevated background radiation originating from potentially active NORMs including ^{232}Th , ^{226}Ra , and ^{40}K in the region.

1.6 Novelty of Work

- i. For the first time a radiometric evaluation of NORMs/TENORMs was made experimentally for the petroleum gas separation stations in the context of Northern Al-Rumaila of Iraq.
- ii. Large number of natural soil, sediment/sludge and wastewater samples was collected from the studied region for the identification of the NORMs/TENORMs.
- iii. Using RESRAD software, analytical simulation was performed to estimate the yearly dose for various NORMs/TENORMs present in the sample and the experimental results are validated.
- iv. The potential health risks and environmental pollution caused by the presence of radionuclides such as ^{232}Th , ^{228}Ac , ^{226}Ra , ^{40}K and ^{238}U ^{232}Th , in those samples were evaluated.
- v. This is the first report for Iraq on the detection of NORMs/TENORMs ACs level in the samples and comparison with the allowable dose limit recommended by WHO, IAEA and UNSCEAR.
- vi. Present findings on precise radiometric information on the ACs of potentially hazardous NORMs/TENORMs will allow the national authority/administration to take necessary measures and develop strategies for protecting people from health hazards in terms of environmental pollution and drinking water contamination.

- vii. This new baseline data on NORMs/TENORMs at gas separation stations in Iraq will help for remediation of unwanted radiation dose and better nuclear safety management.
- viii. This study will develop an awareness regarding the NORMs/TENORMs related health risks for workers and common public living in those regions.
- ix. The existence of excess radioactivity levels in the wastewater samples beyond the limits set for drinking water by the WHO suggested the immediate implementation of remedial steps to avoid long term health risks to the community those rely on recycled water in this region.

1.7 Thesis Outlines

This thesis is organized into five chapters as follows:

Chapter 1 introduces the problem by providing a brief background and the rationale of the research. The research gap is articulated as problem statement, which allowed the present researchers to set appropriate research objectives. For achieving the research goals a brief outline is rendered as the scope of study. Furthermore, the significance of the study is highlighted.

Chapter 2 presents the detailed overview of the relevant literature on the entitled thesis. It includes the basic concept of radioactivity, NORMs, radionuclide, and radiation dose, environmental radiation originated from NORM produced in the gas separation stations, radioactivity in sludge, radioactivity in soils, radioactivity in water, review of terrestrial gamma radiation dose in the gas and petroleum industries of various nations, review of terrestrial gamma radiation dose in Iraq and health effects caused by such natural radiation.

Chapter 3 describes the detailed methodology of the research that is used to accomplish the proposed objectives. This research used three techniques for measuring the specific activity of NORMs present in the samples (wastewater, sediments, soil, and sludge) collected from seven petroleum gas separation stations located at Northern Al-Rumaila of Iraq. As mentioned in Chapter 3, the baseline data from 71 soil, 70 sludges, and 36 waste water samples are obtained to evaluate the health risks of the personnel working onsite in such gas separation stations and civilians living in the proximity. These techniques include the gamma ray spectrometry system with High Purity Germanium (HPGe) detector, gross alpha and beta, as well as fluorescence measurement.

Chapter 4 underlines the experimental results, the detail analysis, thorough discussion, and comparisons with other related findings. Data analysis on total 177 samples of soil, sludge, and water were conducted. These samples are collected from the petroleum gas separation stations (Northern Roumaila, Iraq) to perform the experiments. A quantitative analysis is carried out to determine the ACs of the radionuclides such as ^{214}Ra , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K that were present in the NORM. The conversion factors for external gamma dose rate from the natural radio-nuclides were determined. The contributions of each radionuclide (^{232}Th , ^{226}Ra and ^{40}K) to gamma dose rate were calculated. The ACs of ^{226}Ra , ^{232}Th and ^{40}K were used to estimate the contribution from each gas separation station and soil type to terrestrial radioactivity.

Chapter 5 concludes the thesis. The radiological dose assessment from the NORMs that are generated by the petroleum and gas separation stations of Northern Al-Rumaila (Iraq) was the focus of this study. This research started with two primary recurring themes. The first one was to protect the human health from unwanted dose exposure and subsequent minimization of the environmental pollution from these NORMs. The second one was to develop the awareness among the communities subjected to occupational health hazards. This research opened up many new avenues those are worth looking. Based on these openings a future outlook is provided to way forward for further investigations.

REFERENCES

1. IAEA, (2003). *Management of Radioactive Waste in the Oil and Gas Industry*. International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna.
2. Al-Masri, M.S. and Aba, A.-a. (2007). *First proficiency test for the determination of NORM in contaminated soil from the oil field*. Accreditation and Quality Assurance, 12(5): p. 249-256.
3. Othman, I. and Al-Masri, M. (2005). *Disposal strategy for NORM waste generated by the Syrian oil industry*. in *Disposal of low activity radioactive waste*. Damascus.
4. Smith, K., Blunt, D., Williams, G. and Tebes, C. (1996). *Radiological dose assessment related to management of naturally occurring radioactive materials generated by the petroleum industry*. DTIC Document. Springer, Berlin.
5. Khodashenas, A., Roayaei, E. Abtahi, S. M. and Ardalani, E. (2012). *Evaluation of naturally occurring radioactive materials (NORM) in the South Western oil wells of Iran*. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity, 109: p. 71-75.
6. Smith, K.P., Arnish, J.J. Williams, G.P. and Blunt, D.L. (2003). *Assessment of the disposal of radioactive petroleum industry waste in nonhazardous landfills using risk-based modeling*. ACS Publications. Illinois.
7. Redman, C.L.,(1999). *Human impact on ancient environments*. University of Arizona Press. Tucson.
8. Kpeglo, D.O.,(2015). *Radiation Exposure to Natural Radioactivity in Crude Oil and Petroleum Waste from Oil Fields in Ghana; Modelling, Risk Assessment and Regulatory Control*. 2015, University of Ghana.Kampla.
9. UNSCEAR (2000). *Sources and effects of ionizing radiation*. United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation. New york.

10. ARPANSA, (2008). *ARPANSA-Safety Guide for the Management of Naturally Occurring Radioactive Material (NORM)*. Australian Radiation, Sydney.
11. OGP, (2008). *Guidelines for the management of Naturally Occurring Radioactive Material (NORM) in the oil & gas industry*. OGP, 2008. Report No.412. *oil & gas Publisher, Toronto*.
12. Gaefvert, T. and Faerevik, I., *Natural radioactivity in produced water from the Norwegian oil and gas industry in 2003*. 2005, Statens Straalevern, Oesteraas.
13. IAEA, (2006). *Assessing The Need For Radiation Protection Measures In Work Involving Minerals And Raw Material*. International Atomic Energy Agency Publication, Publication IAEA-TECDOC-1363, Vienna.
14. EPA, (1993). *Wastes: Waste Characterization and Preliminary Risk Assessment*. Oregon. 9232: p. 1-2.
15. UNSCEAR. (2000). *Sources, Effects and Risks of Ionizing Radiation*. United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation, Report to the General Assembly with Annex B., 2000. United Nations, New York: p. 453-487.
16. Selvasekarapandian, S., Manikandan, N.M., Sivakumar, R., Balasubramanian, S., Venkatesan, T., Meenakshisundram, V., Ragnunath, V., and Gajendran, V., *Gamma radiation dose from radionuclides in soil samples of Udagamandalam (Ooty) in India*. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*, 1999. 82(3): p. 225-228.
17. Sumithrarachchi, C. and Hewamanna, R. (2001). *Terrestrial gamma dose rates along one of the coastal highways in Sri Lanka*. *Sri Lankan Journal of Physics*, 2001. 2.p. 11-15.
18. Tzortzis, M., Svoukis, E. and Tsertos, H. (2004). *A comprehensive study of natural gamma radioactivity levels and associated dose rates from surface soils in Cyprus*. *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*, 2004. 109(3): p. 217-224.
19. Kannan, V., M. Rajan, M. Iyengar, and Ramesh, R. (2002). *Distribution of natural and anthropogenic radionuclides in soil and beach sand samples of Kalpakkam (India) using hyper pure germanium (HPGe) gamma ray spectrometry*. *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*, 2002. 57(1): p. 109-119.
20. Al-Jundi, J., Al-Bataina, B. Abu-Rukah, Y. and Shehadeh, H. (2003). *Natural radioactivity concentrations in soil samples along the Amman Aqaba Highway, Jordan*. *Radiation Measurements*, 2003. 36(1): p. 555-560.

21. Mohanty, A., Sengupta, D. Das, S. Vijayan, V. and Saha, S. ((2004). *Natural radioactivity in the newly discovered high background radiation area on the eastern coast of Orissa, India*. Radiation Measurements, 2004. 38(2): p. 153-165.
22. Akhtar, N., Tufail, M. Chaudhry, M.A. and Iqbal, M. (2004). *Estimation of radiation exposure associated with the saline soil of Lahore, Pakistan*. Journal of Reseur Science. 2004. 15: p. 59-65.
23. Akhtar, N., Tufail, M. and Ashraf, M. (2005). *Natural environmental radioactivity and estimation of radiation exposure from saline soils*. International Journal of Environmental Science & Technology, 2005. 1(4): p. 279-285.
24. Križman, M.J. and Ambrož, S.(2005). *Measurements of Sr-90 Radionuclide in Slovenian Soils before and after Chernobyl Accident. Proceedings of the International Conference Nuclear Energy for New Europe*.
25. Fokapić, S., Bikit, I. Mrđa, D. Vesković, M. Slivka, J. Mihaljev, Ž. and Čupić. Ž. (2007). *Low Level Gamma Spectroscopy Measurements of Radium and Cesium in Lucerne (Medicago Sativa)*. in *Sixth International Conference of the Balkan Physical Union*. p.399-400.
26. El-Ghossain, M.O. and Saleh, R.M.A. *Radiation measurements in soil in the middle of Gaza-Strip using different type of detectors*. IUG Journal of Natural Studies, 2015. 15(1).
27. Mohsen N, Bahari, I. Abdullah, F. and Juafer, A (2015). *Gamma hazards and risk associated with norm in sediment from amang processing recycling ponds*. Malaysian Journal of Analytical Sciences, 2007. 11(1): p. 314-323.
28. Yousef, M., El-Ela, A.A. and Yousef, H. (2007). *Natural radioactivity levels in surface soil of Kitchener Drain in the Nile Delta of Egypt*. Journal of Nuclear and Radiation Physics, 2007. 2(1): p. 61-68.
29. Becegato, V.A., Ferreira, F.J.F. and Machado, W.C.P. (2008). *Concentration of radioactive elements (U, Th and K) derived from phosphatic fertilizers in cultivated soils*. Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology, 2008. 51(6): p. 1255-1266.

30. Fajemiroye, J., Adejumobi, C. Makinde, S. and Owoade, L. (2015). *An evaluation of the soil radioactivity in the top soil of the Polytechnic, Ibadan, southwest Nigeria, International Journal of Development and Sustainable, Volume 4 : p.505-512.*
31. Hazama, R. and Shizuma, K. *Environmental assessment of natural radioactivity in soil samples from the LUSI mud volcano, Indonesia. Environment Asia, 2009. 2(2): p. 45-49.*
32. Silva, L.S. and Pecequilo, B. *Gross alpha and beta activities in surface, underground and drinking waters of a high natural radioactivity region of central south Bahia state, Brazil. Radioprotection, 2011. 46(06): p. S63-S67.*
33. Saleh. I.H. and Hindawy.I , 2012 *Radioactivity of ^{238}U , ^{232}Th , ^{40}K , and ^{137}Cs and assessment of depleted uranium in soil of the Musandam Peninsula, Sultanate of Oman. Turkish Journal of Engineering and Environmental Sciences, 2012. 36(3): p. 236-248.*
34. Faheha, A. Hamidalddin, S. , Al-Turkestany, N. and Ibraheim, N. (2012). *Concentrations Of Natural And Man-Made Radioactivity Of Surface Soil In Al-Madinh Al-Monawarah, Western Province of Saudi Arabia. Isotope and Radiation Research, 44(2): p. 495-504.*
35. Santawamaitre.T, Malain .D, Al-Sulaiti . H, Matthews. M, Bradley. D, and Regan. P,(2011). *Study of natural radioactivity in riverbank soils along the Chao Phraya river basin in Thailand. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment,. 652(1): p. 920-924.*
36. Ferdous, M., Rahman, M. and Begum, A. *Gross alpha and gross beta activities of tap water samples from different locations of Dhaka City. Sri Lankan Journal of Physics, 2012. 13(1),1-8.*
37. Pathak, B. and A. Pathak, *Estimation of gross alpha activity in soil and plant samples in Udaipur, Rajasthan. International Journal of Scientific & Engineering Research, 2012. 3(6).*
38. Chakraborty, S.R., Azim, R. Rahman, A. and Sarker, R. *Radioactivity concentrations in soil and transfer factors of radionuclides from soil to grass and plants in the Chittagong city of Bangladesh. Journal of Physces Science, 2013. 24(1): p. 95-113.*

39. Mohammed, N.K. and Mazunga, M.S. (2013). *Natural radioactivity in soil and water from Likuyu village in the neighborhood of Mkuju uranium deposit*. International Journal of Analytical Chemistry, 2013(4):p.4-8
40. Garba, M., Arabi, A. and Adeyemo, D.(2013). *Assessment of Gross Alpha and Beta Radioactivity in Groundwater by Liquid Scintillation*. Journal Applied Environ. Biol. Sci, 2013. 3(7): p. 1-5.
41. Tettey-Larbi, L., E. Darko, C. Schandorf, A. Appiah, F. Sam, A. Faanu, D. Okoh, H. Lawluvi, B. Agyeman, and C. Kansaana, *Gross alpha and beta activity and annual committed effective doses due to natural radionuclides in some medicinal plants commonly used in Ghana*. The International Journal of Science & Technology, 2013. 3(4): p. 217-229.
42. Andersson, P., Stark, K.S. Xu, Nordén, M. and Dverstorp, B.(2017). *The Swedish radiological environmental protection regulations applied in a review of a license application for a geological repository for spent nuclear fuel*. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity. In Press, Corrected Proof.
43. Salman, K.A. and Shahein. A.Y. (2008). *Assessment of natural radioactivity in TENORM samples using different techniques*. in *IX Radiation Physics & Protection Conferences*.p.15-19.
44. Hamlat, M., Djeflal, S. and Kadi, H. (2001). *Assessment of radiation exposures from naturally occurring radioactive materials in the oil and gas industry*. Applied Radiation and Isotopes, 55(1): p. 141-146.
45. Senthilkumar, R. and Narayanaswamy, R. (2016). *Assessment of radiological hazards in the industrial effluent disposed soil with statistical analyses*. Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences, 9(4): p. 449-456.
46. Shawky, S., Amer, H. Nada, A. El-Maksoud, T.A. and Ibrahiem, N.(2001). *Characteristics of NORM in the oil industry from Eastern and Western deserts of Egypt*. Applied Radiation and Isotopes, 55(1): p. 135-139.
47. Khandaker, M.U., Wahib, N.B. Amin, Y.M. and Bradley, D. (2013). *Committed effective dose from naturally occurring radionuclides in shellfish*. Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 88: p. 1-6.
48. Hilal, M., Attallah, M. Mohamed, G.Y. and Fayed-Hassan, M. (2014). *Evaluation of Radiation Hazard Potential of TENORM waste from oil and natural gas production*. Journal of environmental radioactivity, 136: p. 121-126.

49. Hrichi, H., Baccouche, S. and Belgaied, J.-E. (2013). *Evaluation of radiological impacts of tenorm in the Tunisian petroleum industry*. Journal of Environmental Radioactivity, 115: p. 107-113.
50. El Afifi, E., Hilal, M. Khalifa, S. and Aly, H. (2006). *Evaluation of U, Th, K and emanated radon in some NORM and TENORM samples*. Radiation measurements, 41(5): p. 627-633.
51. Ajayi, O.S.,(2009). *Measurement of activity concentrations of ^{40}K , ^{226}Ra and ^{232}Th for assessment of radiation hazards from soils of the southwestern region of Nigeria*. Radiation and environmental biophysics, 48(3): p. 323-332.
52. Todorovic, N., Forkapic, S. Bikit, I. Mrdja, D. Veskovic, M. and Todorovic, S.(2011). *Monitoring for exposures to TENORM sources in Vojvodina region*. Radiation protection dosimetry, 144(1-4): p. 655-658.
53. Mujahid, S. and Hussain, S. (2010). *Natural radioactivity in soil in the Baluchistan province of Pakistan*. Radiation protection dosimetry, 140(4): p. 333-339.
54. Ravisankar, R., Chandrasekaran, A. Vijayagopal, P. Venkatraman, B. Senthilkumar, G. Eswaran, P. and Rajalakshmi, A. (2012). *Natural radioactivity in soil samples of Yelagiri Hills, Tamil Nadu, India and the associated radiation hazards*. Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 81(12): p. 1789-1795.
55. Canoba, A., Gnoni, G. and Truppa, W. (2007). *NORM measurements in the oil and gas industry in Argentina*.: na.
56. Tawfik, A. A. and Ahmed, E.M. (2014). *Radiological Doses and Risk Assessment of NORM Scrap Metal by Using RESRAD-RECYCLE Computer Code*. Open Journal of Modelling and Simulation, 2(02): p. 34.
57. Gazineu, M. and Hazin, C. (2008). *Radium and potassium-40 in solid wastes from the oil industry*. Applied Radiation and Isotopes, 66(1): p. 90-94.
58. Moreno, V., Bach, J. Baixeras, C. and Font, L. (2014). *Radon levels in groundwaters and natural radioactivity in soils of the volcanic region of La Garrotxa, Spain*. Journal of environmental radioactivity, 128: p. 1-8.
59. Abdel Rahman, R., Elmesawy, M. Ashour, I. and Hung, Y.T. (2014). *Remediation of NORM and TENORM contaminated sites—Review article*. Environmental Progress & Sustainable Energy, 33(2): p. 588-596.

60. Jibiri, N. and Emelue, H. (2008). *Soil radionuclide concentrations and radiological assessment in and around a refining and petrochemical company in Warri, Niger Delta, Nigeria*. Journal of Radiological Protection, 28(3): p. 361.
61. Turhan, Ş., Arıkan, I. Demirel, H. and Güngör, N. (2011). *Radiometric analysis of raw materials and end products in the Turkish ceramics industry*. Radiation Physics and Chemistry, 80(5): p. 620-625.
62. Pontedeiro, E., P. Heilbron, and R.M. Cotta, *Assessment of the mineral industry NORM/TENORM disposal in hazardous landfills*. Journal of hazardous materials, 2007. 139(3): p. 563-568.
63. Al-Saleh, F. and Al-Harshan, G. (2008). *Measurements of radiation level in petroleum products and wastes in Riyadh City Refinery*. Journal of environmental radioactivity, 99(7): p. 1026-1031.
64. Al-Masri, M. and Haddad, K. (2012). *NORM emissions from heavy oil and natural gas fired power plants in Syria*. Journal of environmental radioactivity, 104: p. 71-74.
65. UNSCEAR,(2000). *effects of Ionizing Radiation*. United Nations, New York, p. 453-487.
66. Michalik, B., (2008). *NORM impacts on the environment: An approach to complete environmental risk assessment using the example of areas contaminated due to mining activity*. Applied Radiation and Isotopes, 66(11): p. 1661-1665.
67. Lapp, R.E. and Andrews, H.L. (1954). *Nuclear radiation physics*.
68. L'Annunziata, M.F., *Radioactivity: introduction and history*. 2007: Elsevier, Amsterdam.
69. Smith-Briggs, J. and Bradley, E. (1984). *Measurement of natural radionuclides in UK diet*. Science of the total environment, 35(3): p. 431-440.
70. Lilley, J., (2013). *Nuclear physics: principles and applications*. John Wiley & Sons, Chichester.
71. Krane, K.S. and Halliday, D. (1988). *Introductory nuclear physics*, Wiley, New York.

72. Egunyinka, O., Olowookere, C. Jibirind, N. Babalola, I. and Obed, R. (2009). *An Evaluation of ^{238}U , ^{40}K , and ^{232}Th Concentrations in the Top Soil of the University of Ibadan (UI), Southwestern Nigeria*. The Pacific Journal of Science and Technology, 10(2).
73. Tamás GY RFI1 , P.R.,(2009). *Estimation of sediment accumulation rate with gamma-spectrometry*. Agriculture and Environment.1(5):p 65-73
74. Faires, R.A. and Parks, B.H. (1973) *Radioisotope laboratory techniques*. Wiley, London.
75. Harvey, B.G., (1969). *Introduction to nuclear physics and chemistry*, Prentice-Hall, California.
76. Choppin, G.R., Liljenzin, J.-O. and Rydberg, J. (2002). *Radiochemistry and nuclear chemistry*. Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford.
77. Prince, J.R., (1979). *Comments on equilibrium, transient equilibrium, and secular equilibrium in serial radioactive decay*. Journal of nuclear medicine: official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine, 20(2): p. 162.
78. Cember, H.,(1969). *Introduction to health physics*. Introduction to health physics. Pergamon Press, Michigan.
79. Gilmore, G., (2011). *Practical gamma-ray spectroscopy*. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
80. Knoll, G.,(2000). *Radiation Detection and Measurement 3rd edition* John Wiley and Sons, New York.
81. Turner, J.E., (2008). *Atoms, radiation, and radiation protection*. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
82. Das, A. and Ferbel, T. (2003). *Introduction to nuclear and particle physics*. World Scientific, London.
83. Kaplan, I., (1963). *Nuclear Physics*, McGraw-Hill, New York.
84. Kantele, J.,(1995). *Handbook of nuclear spectrometry*. Academic Press,London.
85. Burcham, W.E., (1973). *Nuclear Physics: an introduction*, Longmans, London
86. Adloff, J.P. and Guillaumont, R. (1993). *Fundamentals of radiochemistry*. 1993: CRC PRESS, Boca Raton.
87. Duivenstijn, A.J. and L.A.J. Venverloo, *Practical Gamma Spectrometry*. 1963: Thomas, Madison.

88. Friedlander, G., E. Macias, and J.W. Kennedy, *Nuclear and Radiochemistry*. John Wiley & Sons. New York.
89. Debertin, K. and Helmer, R.G., (1988). *Gamma-and X-ray spectrometry with semiconductor detectors*. IAEA, Vienna.
90. Klement, A.W., (1982). *CRC handbook of environmental radiation*. CRC Press, Boca Raton
91. Schauer, D.A. and Linton, O.W. (2009). *National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements Report Shows Substantial Medical Exposure Increase I*. *Radiology*, 253(2): p. 293-296.
92. Eisenbud, M. and Gesell, T.F. (1997). *Environmental Radioactivity from Natural, Industrial & Military Sources: From Natural, Industrial and Military Sources*. Academic Press. New York
93. Watson, S., Jones, A. Oatway, W. and Hughes, J. (2005). *Ionising radiation exposure of the UK population: 2005 review*. Radiation Protection Division, Health Protection Agency, Bristol.
94. Wilson, W.F., (1994). *NORM: A guide to naturally occurring radioactive material*. Pennwell Corporation. Mississippi.
95. Harley, N., (1977). *Natural Background Radiation in the United States*. *Medical Physics*, 4: p. 169.
96. Wahl, W., (2004). *Radionuclide handbook for laboratory workers*. Institute for Spectrometry and Radiation Protection, Ohio.
97. Zarie, K. and Mugren, K. Al. (2010). *Measurement of natural radioactivity and assessment of radiation hazard in soil samples from Tayma area (KSA)*. *Isotope and Rad. Res*, 42(1): p. 1-9.
98. IAEA, (1999). *Generic procedures for monitoring in a nuclear or radiological emergency*, IAEA-TECDOC-1092, Vienna.
99. IAEA (2003). *Guidelines for radioelement mapping using gamma-ray spectrometry data*. International Atomic Energy Agency Publication IAEA-TECDOC-1363, Vienna
100. ASTM, (2009). *Standard Guide for High-Resolution Gamma-Ray Spectrometry of Soil Samples*. STM International, West Conshohocken.
101. ASTM, (2005). *Standard Test Methods for Detector Calibration and Analysis of Radionuclides*. ASTM International, West Conshohocken.

102. Karamanis, D., Lacoste, V. Andriamonje, S. Barreau, G. and Petit, M. (2002). *Experimental and simulated efficiency of a HPGe detector with point-like and extended sources*. Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, 487(3): p. 477-487.
103. Abbas, K., Simonelli, F. D'Alberti, F. Forte, M. and Stroosnijder, M. (2002). *Reliability of two calculation codes for efficiency calibrations of HPGe detectors*. Applied Radiation and Isotopes, 56(5): p. 703-709.
104. Sudarshan, M. and Singh, R. (1991). *Effect of fast neutron damage on the performance of a high resolution HPGe coaxial detector*. Measurement Science and Technology, 2(12): p. 1192-1199.
105. Currie, L.A., (1968). *Limits for qualitative detection and quantitative determination. Application to radiochemistry*. Analytical chemistry, 40(3): p. 586-593.
106. IAEA, (1989). *Measurement of Radionuclides in Food and the Environment*. International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna.
107. UNSCEAR, (2008). *Effects of Ionizing Radiation: Report to the General Assembly, with scientific annexes*. Vol. 1. United Nations Publications.
108. UNSCEAR, (1982). *Ionizing radiation: sources and biological effects*. United Nations, Vienna.
109. Júnior, S., Cardoso, J.J.R.F. Silva, C.M.d. Silveira, S.V. and Amaral, R.d.S. (2005). *Analysis of the 40K levels in soil using gamma spectrometry*. Brazilian Archives of Biology and Technology, 48(SPE2): p. 221-228.
110. Diab, H., Nouh, S. Hamdy, A. and El-Fiki, S. (2008). *Evaluation of natural radioactivity in a cultivated area around a fertilizer factory*. Journal of Nuclear Radiation Physics, 3(1): p. 53-62.
111. Ashraf, E., Layia, H. Amany, A. and Al-Omran. A. (2010). *NORM in clay deposits*. in *Proceedings of Third European IRPA Congress*, Helsinki.
112. UNSCEAR,(1993). *Exposures from natural sources of radiation*, in *Sources and Effects of Ionizing Radiation*. United Nations, New York.
113. Davis, M.L. and Cornwell, D.A. (1991). *Introduction to environmental engineering*. McGraw-Hill College, New York.
114. Cothorn, C.R., Lappenbusch, W. and Michel, J. (1986). *Drinking-water contribution to natural background radiation*. Health Physics, 50(1): p. 33-47.

115. Walling, D. and He, Q. (1999). *Improved models for estimating soil erosion rates from cesium-137 measurements*. Journal of Environmental Quality, 28(2): p. 611-622.
116. TAEA (2004). *Application of the Concepts of Exclusion: Exemption and Clearance: Safety Guide. IAEA Safety Standards Series RS-G-1.7. IAEA Safety Standards Series RS-G-1.7*. 2004: International Atomic Energy Agency. Vienna.
117. Mountford, P. and Temperton, D. (1992). *Recommendations of the international commission on radiological protection (ICRP)*. 1990 European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging, 19(2): p. 77-79.
118. de Moraes, M. and Daltro, T. (2000). *Environmental gamma radiation and natural radioactivity in soils in Centro Experimental Aramar (CTMSP-Brazil) and region*. Radiation Protection Dosimetry, 87(3): p. 207-211.
119. Miller, R.L. and Sutcliffe, H. (1985). *Occurrence of natural radium-226 radioactivity in ground water of Sarasota County, Florida*. Vol. 84. US Geological Survey.
120. Manahan, S.E., (2011). *Fundamentals of environmental chemistry*. CRC press.
121. World Health Organization Guide (2004). *Uranium in drinking-water: Background document for development of WHO Guidelines for Drinking-water Quality*.
122. Pillay, A.E., Salih, F.M. and Maleek, M.I. (2010). *Radioactivity in oily sludge and produced waste water from oil: environmental concerns and potential remedial measures*. Sustainability, 2(4): p. 890-901.
123. Chang, R., (1981). *Physical chemistry with applications to biological systems*. Macmillan Pub. Co. London.
124. Baggoura, B., Noureddine, A. and Benkrid, M. (1998). *Level of natural and artificial radioactivity in Algeria*. Applied Radiation and Isotopes, 49(7): p. 867-873.
125. Bou-Rabee, F., (1997). *Soil radioactivity atlas of Kuwait*. Environment international. 23(1): p. 5-15.
126. Barnett, J.M., Holbert, K.E. Stewart, B.D. and. Wayne, K. (1995). *Lung dose estimates from ^{222}Rn in Arizona groundwater based on liquid scintillation measurements*. Health physics, 68(5): p. 699-703.

127. Fifield, F. and Haines, P. (2000). *Environmental analytical chemistry*. Blackwell science Ltd. Cambridge, 363.
128. Harrison, R.M., (1999) *Understanding our environment: An introduction to environmental chemistry and pollution*. Royal Society of Chemistry.London.
129. Xhixha, G., Bezzon, G. Broggin, C. Buso, G. Caciolli, A. Callegari, I., Bianchi, S. De Fiorentini, G. Guastaldi, E. and Xhixha, M.K. (2013). *The worldwide NORM production and a fully automated gamma-ray spectrometer for their characterization*. Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry, 295(1): p. 445-457.