

SOIL SUCTION MODEL FOR GRASS EVAPOTRANSPIRATION

ONG CHOON KIAN

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

SOIL SUCTION MODEL FOR GRASS EVAPOTRANSPIRATION

ONG CHOON KIAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy (Civil Engineering)

Faculty of Civil Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JULY 2017

I declare that entitled “*Soil Suction Model For Grass Evapotranspiration*” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature : ..........  
Name : ONG CHOON KIAN  
Date : 25 JULY 2017

I dedicate this project to Almighty God my creator, my source of wisdom, inspiration, knowledge and understanding. He has been the source of my strength throughout this program and on His wings only have I soared. I also dedicate this work to my mother, Cecilia Chin who has encouraged me all the way as my first and lifetime teacher. To my father, Joseph Ong for supporting and encouraging me to ensure that I give it all it takes to finish that what I have started. To my friends and my teachers especially my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nazri Ali who gives me full guidance and support. Thank you. My love for you all can never be quantified. God bless you.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My deepest gratitude goes to my most merciful God who has provided everything needed to complete this project for which it was undertaken for. Throughout this entire study, He took care of all that would stop me in my paths and strengthened me even through my most difficult periods.

At this juncture, I wish to express my gratitude and appreciation to all who contributed toward the success of this research. First and foremost, my sincere gratitude and appreciation goes to my thesis advisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nazri Bin Ali for the critics, dedication, encouragement, ideas and passionate throughout this research. Without his proper guidance and assistance, this thesis would not possible to be accomplished on time. Besides, I am grateful to my external co-supervisor, Dr. Mohd Fakhurrazi Ishak from Infrastructure Management of University Malaysia Pahang for his assistance, contribution and advice during the course of the research.

I would like to extend my appreciation to all the Staff and Technicians of Department of Geotechnic & Transportation, FKA, UTM. I would like to express my appreciation for their help and assistance in the field and laboratory works. Sincere gratitude must be given to my fellow academicians, researchers, friends and colleagues for their involvements in any part of the research. Last but not least, I am deeply appreciated the continuous support, love and encouragement from my beloved family along my hard time to accomplish my thesis.

## ABSTRACT

This study investigated the soil matric suction distribution in a field covered by grass *Axonopus Compressus* and free from the effect of the tree. The research employed several approaches such as field monitoring, laboratory experimental, model proposal, coding program and slope stability. A field monitoring program was carried out from August to December 2015 to collect the data of matric suction by jet-filled tensiometer, accounting for less than 10 times of continuous drying of longer than 5 days over 5 months of measurement. The suction profiles show that the variation was greater in the root zone ( $< 30$  cm) and less effect in deeper depth. The grass field failed to retain the soil suction, which dropped to a minimum magnitude at all depths after some rainfall event. Besides, the rate of evapotranspiration of grass was investigated by measuring the daily total weight loss of grass samples. The water loss from soil continuously even on full cloud rainy day. Generally, the water lost from soil to air every day even during the rainy day with the lower evaporation of 0.4-0.9 mm/day. The rate of evapotranspiration could reach almost 8.0 mm/day and around 5-6 mm/day on normal sunny day. A mathematical equation was proposed as the suction model by considered rooting depth and evapotranspiration to estimate the suction profile of soil after specific drying period. Proposed suction model and some existing water uptake models have been coded into a program by MATLAB graphical user interface. The code in the program was verified with a set of test plan to ensure the program works as planned and designed. The suction model has been validated with the site measurement data. The shallow slope stability was analysed by program SLIP4EX in saturated and unsaturated conditions. The enhancement due to the influence of grass induced suction and root tensile strength were provided in this research. The factor of safety against slope failure has improved 0.6-4.8% at various depths when the effect of suction included. The comparison between the effect of induced suction and root tensile strength showed better enhancement from mechanical effect since suction was not high. The contribution of suction was not affected by changes of soil cohesion, however, the effect is higher when friction angle of soil is high and angle of slope is low. This research developed mathematical equation for soil water uptake to deliver a better understanding of grass suction distribution and effect to the slope stability.

## ABSTRAK

Kajian ini membuat penyiasatan tentang pengedaran sedutan matrik tanah di kawasan lapang yang diliputi oleh rumput parit dan tidak dipengaruhi oleh pokok. Penyelidikan ini merangkumi beberapa pendekatan iaitu data pemantauan, ujikaji makmal, cadangan model, kod program dan kestabilan cerun. Pemantauan data di kawasan kajian dijalankan dari Ogos sehingga Disember 2015 untuk mengumpulkan data sedutan matrik dengan menggunakan alat “jet-filled tensiometer”. Data yang dikumpul menunjukkan bahawa terdapat kurang daripada 10 tempoh pengeringan yang berterusan lebih daripada 5 hari sepanjang 5 bulan tersebut. Profil sedutan menunjukkan bahawa perubahan yang lebih besar di zon akar ( $< 30$  cm) dan kesan sedutan berkurang di tahap yang lebih dalam. Kawasan kajian tersebut tidak berjaya mengekalkan sedutan tanah yang dijana setiap masa, ia mungkin menurun ke tahap minimum pada semua kedalaman pengukuran selepas hujan. Selain itu, kadar evapotranspirasi rumput telah dikaji dengan mengukur jumlah kehilangan air dalam sampel rumput setiap hari. Data menunjukkan air hilang dari tanah secara berterusan walaupun pada hari hujan yang dipenuhi dengan awan. Secara umum, air hilang dari tanah ke udara setiap hari walaupun semasa hari hujan dengan penyejatan yang lebih rendah iaitu 0.4-0.9 mm sehari. Kadar evapotranspirasi boleh mencapai sehingga 8.0 mm sehari dan 5-6 mm sehari pada hari yang biasa. Satu persamaan matematik telah dicadangkan untuk mensimulasikan corak sedutan matrik dalam tanah. Persamaan tersebut merangkumi kedalaman akar dan evapotranspirasi rumput. Ia berfungsi untuk menganggarkan profil sedutan tanah selepas tempoh pengeringan tertentu. Model sedutan yang dicadangkan dan beberapa model pengambilan air telah dikodkan ke dalam program dengan menggunakan MATLAB. Kod program ini telah disahkan dengan pelan ujian untuk memastikan program ini berfungsi seperti yang dirancang dan direka. Model sedutan telah disahkan dengan data corak sedutan yang dikumpul dari pengukuran di tapak. kestabilan cerun cetek dianalisis dengan menggunakan program SLIP4EX dalam keadaan tepu dan tidak tepu. Kajian ini menunjukkan pengaruh sedutan matrik dan kekuatan tegangan akar rumput ke atas peningkatan kekuatan tanah. Faktor keselamatan cerun bagi mengatasi keruntuhan telah meningkat sebanyak 0.6-4.8% di beberapa tahap kedalaman tertentu kerana pengaruh sedutan. Perbandingan antara pengaruh sedutan matrik dan kekuatan tegangan akar menunjukkan peningkatan yang lebih tinggi atas bantuan mekanikal akar kerana sedutan matrik adalah rendah. Sumbangan daripada sedutan tidak dijejas oleh perubahan kelekatan tanah, tetapi kesannya adalah lebih tinggi apabila sudut geseran tanah adalah tinggi dan sudut cerun adalah rendah. Kajian ini telah menghasilkan satu persamaan matematik pengambilan air untuk menyampaikan pemahaman yang lebih teliti mengenai pengagihan corak sedutan rumput dan kesan ke atas kestabilan cerun.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xi
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xiii
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xviii
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	xx
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xxii
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Objectives	5
	1.4 Scope of Study	6
	1.5 Significance of Study	7
	1.6 Thesis Structure and Organization	8
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>10</b>
	2.1 Introduction	10
	2.2 Root Water Uptake	11
	2.2.1 Context and significance	11



2.2.2	Root Water Uptake Process	13
2.2.3	Transpiration and Evapotranspiration	14
2.3	Water Uptake Model	16
2.3.1	One-Dimensional Water Uptake	16
2.3.2	Multi-Dimensional Water Uptake	21
2.4	Water Uptake Model Formulation	23
2.4.1	Linear Model (Prasad)	23
2.4.2	O-R Model (Ojha & Rai)	27
2.4.3	Exponential Model ( Li <i>et al.</i> , 1999)	29
2.4.4	Water Stress Compensation Exponential Model (Li <i>et al.</i> , 2001)	33
2.5	Slope Stability	34
2.5.1	Soil Bioengineering Technique	36
2.5.2	Use of Vegetation	37
2.6	Grass In Malaysia	40
2.6.1	Characteristic of Grass	41
2.6.2	Application of Grass in Malaysia	42
2.7	Relationship of Soil Water and Suction	45
2.7.1	Water Retention Curve (WRC)	46
2.7.2	Soil Water Characteristic Curve (SWCC)	47
2.8	Induced suction by Grass Evapotranspiration	49
2.8.1	Field & Laboratory Investigation of Bermuda Grass Induced Suction & Distribution (Woon, 2013)	50
2.8.2	Experimental Investigation of Induced Suction Distribution in a Grass-covered Soil (Ng <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	52
2.8.3	Effects of soil Density on Grass-induced suction Distributions in Compacted Soil subjected to Rainfall (Ng <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	55
2.8.4	Comparisons of soil suction induced by evapotranspiration and transpiration of <i>S. heptaphylla</i> (Grag <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	57
2.8.5	Grass Evapotranspiration-induced suction in slope (Leung, 2016)	61
2.9	Assessment of Contribution of Vegetation	64
2.10	Program SLIP4EX	68

	2.11 Concluding Remarks	72
<b>3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>74</b>
	3.1 Introduction	74
	3.2 Parameter Analysis	75
	3.3 The Study Area	78
	3.4 Soil Characteristic	79
	3.5 Soil Water Characteristic Curve	80
	3.6 Grass Evapotranspiration	82
	3.7 Tensiometer Installation & Field Monitoring	84
	3.8 Model Development	86
	3.9 Slope Analysis	87
	3.10 Concluding Remarks	88
<b>4</b>	<b>PRELIMINARY DATA</b>	<b>90</b>
	4.1 Introduction	90
	4.2 Index Properties & Soil Classification	90
	4.3 Soil Water Characteristic Curve	92
	4.4 Rate of Evapotranspiration	93
	4.5 Field Monitoring Results	106
	4.5.1 Maximum & Minimum Drying Condition	111
	4.5.2 Verification of Site Measurement	113
	4.6 Concluding Remarks	116
<b>5</b>	<b>SUCTION MODEL &amp; CODING PROGRAM</b>	<b>118</b>
	5.1 Model Development	118
	5.2 Coding Program	123
	5.2.1 Program Verification	132
	5.3 Suction Model VS Water Uptake Models	139
	5.4 Concluding Remarks	142
<b>6</b>	<b>SLOPE STABILITY ANALYSIS BASED ON GRASS-INDUCED SUCTION</b>	<b>144</b>
	6.1 Introduction	144

6.2	Site Location & Slope Geometry	145
6.3	Slope Stability Analysis	146
6.3.1	Shallow Slope Stability	147
6.3.2	Sensitivity Analysis	150
6.3.3	Comparison between Effect of Grass	154
6.4	Concluding Remarks	155
<b>7</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>158</b>
7.1	Summary	158
7.2	Overall Conclusions	159
7.2.1	Soil Properties & Classification	160
7.2.2	Rate of Evapotranspiration of Grass	160
7.2.3	Distribution of Matric Suction Profiles	161
7.2.4	Model Development & Computer Program	162
7.2.5	Slope Stability Analysis	162
7.6	Recommendations for Future Studies	164
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>166</b>
	Appendices A - E	179-261

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1 (a)	Transpiration rate for trees	15
2.1 (b)	Evapotranspiration rate of turf grass in North America (Kim and Beard, 1988)	15
2.2	Root diameter and tensile strength of grasses	44
2.3	Soil properties of five example of soils	47
2.4	Details comparison between the field monitoring of three history cases	62
2.5	Example of slices data for SLIP4EX analysis	70
2.6	Example of parameters which reflect the contribution of vegetation	70
4.1	Summary of soil properties in the study area	91
4.2	Fitting parameters of the soil for Van Genuchten model	92
4.3	Data weight of sample in grams to determine evapotranspiration	93
4.4	Rate of soil evaporation and evapotranspiration of Axonopus Compressus	94
4.5	The rate of daily evapotranspiration of Axonopus Compressus in unit mm/day from August to December 2015	105
4.6	The highest suction (kPa) induced by evapotranspiration of Axonopus Compressus	112
4.7	The lowest suction (kPa) retained by grass Axonopus Compressus	113
4.8	Details comparison between both studies	114

5.1	Summary of the suction models for field <i>Axonopus compressus</i>	120
5.2	Comparison of matric suction profiles between model and measured data	122
5.3	The summary of test plan for the suction water uptake models in the coding program	133
5.4	The summary of test plan for the SWCC and matric suction estimation in the coded program	135
5.5	The summary of modelled grass field suction distributions at day-10	141
6.1	The summary of parameters simulation in sensitivity analysis	146
6.2 (a)	The contribution of suction to factor of safety in unsaturated slope with varied slope angle	152
6.2 (b)	The contribution of suction to factor of safety in unsaturated slope with varied cohesion of soil	153
6.2 (c)	The contribution of suction to factor of safety in unsaturated slope with varied soil friction angle	153

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Water use by trees modified after Nisbet (2005)	14
2.2	Several water uptake distributions with depth (Vrugt <i>et al.</i> , 2001a)	20
2.3	Measurement of soil water content profiles and simulation results from different root water uptake models (Li <i>et al.</i> , 1999)	31
2.4	Estimated maximum soil water uptake by different b values, the linear model and constant-extraction-rate model with PT set at 0.4 cm <sup>-d</sup> (Li <i>et al.</i> , 1999)	31
2.5	Soil water content profiles simulated by exponential model with different b values (Li <i>et al.</i> , 1999)	32
2.6	Maximum soil water uptake ( $S_{max}$ ). Curves A and E, b = 0.025; curves B and F, b = 0.05; curve C, b = 0.1 and curve D, b = 0.15, PT at 0.4 cm <sup>-d</sup> (Li <i>et al.</i> , 1999)	32
2.7	Estimated maximum water uptake under non-water stress conditions	34
2.8	Several types of root system of plants (after Yen, 1987)	39
2.9	Use of Vetiver grass for erosion control and slope stabilization	42
2.10	Deep roots of vetiver grass as contour hedges for water control (Adapted from Vetiver, 1990)	43
2.11	Detailed typical SWCC for silty soil	47
2.12	Example of SWCC for various range of soil	48
2.13	Matric suction measurement for grassed and bare soil at 10 cm depth and the corresponding rainfall intensity from 28-May until 30-June 2012	50
2.14	Matric suction measurement for grassed and bare soil at 30 cm depth and the corresponding rainfall intensity from 28-May until 30-June 2012	51

2.15	Matric suction comparison between grassed and bare soil plots after 3 and 6 days of drying period (3 to 9-June 2012)	51
2.16	Matric suction retained in grassed and bare soil plots after rainfall on 10-June 2012	52
2.17 (a)	Suction profiles in grassed and bare soil for specific drying periods	53
2.17 (b)	Suction profiles variation in grassed and bare soil with lateral distance	53
2.18	Suction retained after ponding for three replicates sample	54
2.19	Rate of infiltration with time for bare and vegetated soil	56
2.20	Induced suction profiles of bare and vegetated silty sand at 30 cm depth	57
2.21 (a)	Measured RAI profiles for five samples tested in first test	58
2.21 (b)	Measured RAI profiles for five samples tested in second test	58
2.22 (a)	Comparisons of suction profiles between samples at 80 mm depth	59
2.22 (b)	Comparisons of rates of T and ET between test samples T1 & T5	59
2.23	Comparison of suction distributions between bare and vegetated samples after 7 days of drying	60
2.24 (a) & (b)	Measured suction profiles for bare soil and pasture upon drying for (a) 3 days (b) 7 days in Singapore	61
2.24 (c to f)	Measured suction profiles upon drying for cases South Korea (c & d) and USA (e & f)	63
2.25	Interaction between roots system and soil with (a) single root; (b) multiple branches; (c) multiple branches with full interaction	66
2.26	Parameter enhanced zones due to consistent vegetation	66
2.27	The parameters and dimensions required in slope stability analysis	69
2.28	The results obtained after consider vegetation effect in different methods by SLIP4EX	71
3.1	Flow chart of research	77
3.2 (a)	Location of field monitoring in front of block P18	78
3.2 (b)	Location of field monitoring free from effect of tree	79

3.3	Calibration of relationship between matric suction and water content of soil sample	82
3.4	The grasses from field monitoring were transferred and planted in the flowerpot	83
3.5	The bare soil and grassed samples were exposed to environment for drying	83
3.6	The labelled jet-filled tensiometer installed at the study field	85
3.7	Field monitoring by jet-filled tensiometers in 5 different depths at the study area	85
3.8	The jet-filled tensiometers in study area were fenced with geotextile	86
3.9	Details of grassed slope	87
3.10	Output of several limit equilibrium approaches	88
4.1	Particle size distribution of soil sample	91
4.2	The SWCC (desorption) of the soil at study area	93
4.3	Daily evapotranspiration of <i>Axonopus compressus</i> in August 2015	96
4.4	Daily evapotranspiration of <i>Axonopus compressus</i> in September 2015	96
4.5	Daily evapotranspiration of <i>Axonopus compressus</i> in October 2015	97
4.6	Daily evapotranspiration of <i>Axonopus compressus</i> in November 2015	98
4.7	Daily evapotranspiration of <i>Axonopus compressus</i> in December 2015	98
4.8 (a)	Day evapotranspiration of <i>Axonopus compressus</i> in August 2015	99
4.8 (b)	Night evapotranspiration of <i>Axonopus compressus</i> in August 2015	100
4.9 (a)	Day evapotranspiration of <i>Axonopus compressus</i> in September 2015	100
4.9 (b)	Night evapotranspiration of <i>Axonopus compressus</i> in September 2015	101
4.10 (a)	Day evapotranspiration of <i>Axonopus compressus</i> in October 2015	101
4.10 (b)	Night evapotranspiration of <i>Axonopus compressus</i> in October 2015	102
4.11 (a)	Day evapotranspiration of <i>Axonopus compressus</i> in November 2015	103



4.11 (b)	Night evapotranspiration of <i>Axonopus compressus</i> in November 2015	103
4.12 (a)	Day evapotranspiration of <i>Axonopus compressus</i> in December 2015	104
4.12 (b)	Night evapotranspiration of <i>Axonopus compressus</i> in December 2015	104
4.13	Comparison between surface of bare soil sample and grassed sample	106
4.14	Measured matric suction profile of soil covered by <i>Axonopus compressus</i> in August 2015	108
4.15	Measured matric suction profile of soil covered by <i>Axonopus compressus</i> in September 2015	109
4.16	Measured matric suction profile of soil covered by <i>Axonopus compressus</i> in October 2015	110
4.17	Measured matric suction profile of soil covered by <i>Axonopus compressus</i> in November 2015	110
4.18	Measured matric suction profile of soil covered by <i>Axonopus compressus</i> in December 2015	111
4.19	Comparison of matric suction profiles between <i>Cynodon dactylon</i> in Hong Kong and <i>Axonopus compressus</i> in Johor, Malaysia for 6 days drying period	115
5.1	Field suction distributions from 21-Aug to 30-Aug 2015	118
5.2	Field suction distributions from 14-Oct to 23-Oct 2015	119
5.3	Field suction distributions from 18-Dec to 27-Dec 2015	120
5.4	Measured suction profiles and simulation outputs in grassed sandy silt soil	122
5.5	Example flowchart of model of Li <i>et al.</i> 2001 (F)	124
5.6	The page for water uptake model selection and its equation	125
5.7 (a)	Data input for model from Ojha and Rai	126
5.7 (b)	Data input for model from Wu <i>et al.</i>	126
5.7 (c)	Data input for model from Li <i>et al.</i>	127
5.8 (a)	The example of calculated result for Ojha and Rai model	127
5.8 (b)	The example of calculated result for Wu <i>et al.</i> model	127
5.8 (c)	The example of calculated result for Li <i>et al.</i> model	128

5.9	Matric suction estimation for continuous drying period by water uptake model	128
5.10	Soil suction profiles for maximum 10 days of drying period	130
5.11	The output of Ong <i>et al.</i> model	131
5.12	Volumetric water content of soil which converted from suction profile	131
5.13	Combination of outputs for six water uptake models	132
5.14	The outputs of water uptake models with input parameters of study grass field	140
5.15	The comparison of grass field suction profiles between suction and water uptake models	142
6.1	Location of study slope that covered by grass <i>Axonopus Compressus</i>	145
6.2	Details of grass covered slope being analysed	145
6.3	Saturated and unsaturated analysis of slope with slip plane up to 0.5 m	148
6.4	Effect of grass in term of suction and tensile strength to slope stability	149
6.5	Safety factor of slope with suction effect for various slope angles	150
6.6	Safety factor of slope with suction effect when cohesion is varied	151
6.7	Safety factor of slope with suction effect when friction angle is varied	152
6.8	Safety factor of slope in saturated and drying condition due to <i>Axonopus Compressus</i> and <i>Cynodon Dactylon</i> .	154

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

1D	-	1-dimensional
2D	-	2-dimensional
3D	-	3-dimensional
SLIP4EX	-	A program for routine slope stability analysis
ASTM	-	American Society for Testing and Materials
A	-	Area
AC	-	Axonopus Compressus
B	-	Bare
BS	-	British Standard
CD	-	Cynodon Dactylon
D	-	Depletion
ET	-	Evapotranspiration
FX	-	Fredlund & Xing
GPS	-	Global Positioning System
G	-	Grass
HA	-	Highway Agency
LAI	-	Leaf area index
LL	-	Liquid limit
O-R	-	Ojha & Rai
PL	-	Plastic limit
PI	-	Plasticity index
PT	-	Potential transpiration
RC	-	Relative compaction
RAI	-	Root area index
RLD	-	Root length density

SWCC	-	Soil water characteristic curve
dbh	-	Trunk diameter
UD	-	Undisturbed
USCS	-	Unified soil classification system
UK	-	United Kingdom
USA	-	United State of America
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
VG	-	Van Genuchten
w.c.	-	Water content
WRC	-	Water retention curve

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$S$	-	Actual water uptake
$c_v'$	-	Additional cohesion
$\theta$	-	Angle between direction of T and slip surface
$u$	-	Average water pressure
$\alpha$	-	Base angle
$c'$	-	Cohesion
$D$	-	Depletion
$z$	-	Depth
$T_{rd}$	-	Design root force
$K$	-	Earth pressure coefficient
$b$	-	Empirical coefficient
$F$	-	Fraction of root length density
$\phi'$	-	Friction angle
$\Delta u_v$	-	Increase in average pore water pressure
$\Delta U_{1v}$	-	Increase in water force on left side of slice
$\Delta U_{2v}$	-	Increase in water force on right side of slice
$l$	-	Length
$W_v$	-	Mass of vegetation
$S_{max}$	-	Maximum water extraction
$n$	-	Number of layer
$F_r$	-	Partial safety factor
$n$	-	Porosity
$T_p$	-	Potential transpiration
$h$	-	Pressure head
$\theta_r$	-	Residual water content

$L_r$	-	Root length
$z_r$	-	Rooting depth
$K_s$	-	Saturated permeability
$\theta_s$	-	Saturated water content
$b$	-	Slice width
$\alpha$	-	Slope angle
$\alpha_a$	-	Soil water availability
$a_w$	-	Soil water available factor
$G_s$	-	Specific gravity
$\psi$	-	Suction
$T$	-	Tensile root / reinforcement force
$\Delta z$	-	Thickness of layer
$W$	-	Total water extracted
$T_{ru}$	-	Ultimate root force
$e$	-	Void ratio
$\theta_w$	-	Water content
$U_1$	-	Water force on left side of slice
$U_2$	-	Water force on right side of slice
$\beta$	-	Weighted stress index
$\beta_w$	-	Wind direction in angle
$D_w$	-	Wind force

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Flowchart of Computer Program	184
B	Laboratory Data to Measure Grass Evapotranspiration	196
C	Weather Report & Field Monitoring Data 2015	208
D	Code of the Computer Program	214
E	Test Plan of Coding Program	261

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Background**

Recently, the development and maintenance of construction starts to go green and more environmental in term of design, material, construction technology, as well as having more trees or plants. Tree has the function of reduce carbon dioxide, increasing suction, reduce water pressure, more aesthetic and prevent landslide but it could also very danger to the civil structure.

Trees have the power that can damage the building services direct or indirectly. Direct damage from tree can be avoided by refer to the safe distances guidance given in BS5837: 2005. Indirectly, tress can cause the clay soils to shrink by drawing the water along their roots. Shrinkage will results in vertical and horizontal ground movements and the amount of shrinkage depends on the type of clay soil, size of tree and also climate. In a typical year expansive soils cause a greater financial loss to property owners than earthquakes, floods, hurricanes and tornadoes combined (Nelson and Miller, 1992).

According to Jones and Jefferson (2012), shrinkage and swelling of clay soil due to trees can cause the foundation movements that could damage the buildings. This is a serious problem that needs to take into consideration. The prediction of heave shrinkage should make through the changes in soil moisture content. The soil suction is a limiting parameter for free water uptake and also nutrient uptake. The



relationship of plant root system and soil water play an important role in agricultural science and geotechnical engineering. So, the variation in soil suction that occurs in presence or absence of plant is very important for an analysis. In addition, the different of moisture content could change the physical or mechanical properties of soil (Artyunov *et al.*, 1985). On top of that, soil moisture content also influence the deformation behaviour within root-reinforced soils when subjected to shear (Fan & Su, 2009). Therefore, a study on changes of moisture content in soil is required to understand some geotechnical and geo-environmental analysis.

Other than trees, the plenty available grasses would also lead to green environment and possible to enhance the soil properties. The contribution of plant root systems on slope stability and erosion control has received great attention in recent years. Plant roots are believed could greatly increase slope stability and control erosion (Abe and Ziemer, 1991; Coutts, 1983; Gray and sotir, 1996; Gyssels *et al.*, 2005; Waldron, 1977; Wu *et al.*, 1979; Gray 2009). Roots of grass are short but bind the upper layer of soil and reduce the rainwater infiltration into the upper layer of loose soil (Huat *et al.*, 2006). Meanwhile, roots of large plant (stitching material) increase the shear strength of rock mass generally. However, trees take times to grow although its contribute lots on reinforcement (Rai and Shrivasta, 2012). In general, fine roots are shown a better contribution on soil fixation compare to coarse roots. According to Gyssels *et al.* (2005), shallow and dense root network of fine roots is most effective in water erosion processes control. However, fine roots are not good in tension or bending as coarse roots can resist both of it (Bischetti *et al.*, 2005). A combination of deep roots and shallow rooted grass could anchoring and stabilise the topsoil then strengthening the slope (Hairiah *et al.*, 2006). Even though the relative importance of roots characteristics may be limited, the recent development makes the effort of further detailed investigation worth. So effect of root system will be appreciated and concerning selected species for land rehabilitation (Reubens *et al.*, 2007).

This study explores the water uptake and matric suction produced by the roots of grasses in selected research plot which covered by grasses and free from effect of tree. The aim of the research is to investigate the matric suction distribution

due to grasses and propose a suction model. Besides, the significant matric suction could be related to shear strength enhancement and apply in slope stability analysis.

## 1.2 Problem Statement

The man-made and natural slopes are susceptible by weathering which lead to soil surface erosion, shallow failure and massive slope failure. Slope failure is a serious geology problem around the world especially in tropical rainforest region due to high rainfall intensity. The process of weathering had further weakening the subsoil profile in these regions. Malaysia Public Works Department (2008) reported that the factors which triggering landslide included rainfall (57.5%), water level change (35%), loading change (5%), slope geometry and vegetation change (2.5%). It had caused huge properties damage and lots of injuries as well as fatalities. The increasing of soil moisture and pore water pressure might be the main factor decreasing the soil strength thus leading to the slope stability problem. The most common slope failure happened in Malaysia is shallow landslide which is not more than 4 m in depth and happens during the rainfall season (Ali *et al.*, 2000). A shallow failure is not fatal but it could increases the rate of weathering and decreases the soil strength which will lead to a series of problem. Therefore, the surface protection and soil moisture variation in soil is very important in geotechnical engineering.

Bioengineering approach has become a popular method to improve slope stability since rising of the environmental issues. This approach utilizes plant or vegetation to reduce erosion and improve shear strength of soil. Such approach could beneficial in three aspects, environment, mechanical, and hydrological. Vegetation could counter the rising of carbon dioxide level, reinforce the soil and reduce surface erosion through rooting system. Besides, it could reduce runoff and lower pore water pressure through evapotranspiration process. The effect of vegetation can be classified into root reinforcement, soil moisture depletion, slope buttressing and arching (Fan and Su, 2009). Devkota *et al.* (2006) proved that

bioengineering application is more cost-effective compared to conventional engineering method. However, combination of structural and vegetation solution is more cost-effective according to the field studies by Tuttle *et al.* (1992).

There are a lot of research had been done about the plant or tree root system (Brown and Sheu, 1975; Wu *et al.*, 1979; Ziemer and Swanston, 1977; Indraratna *et al.*, 2006; Nakamura *et al.*, 2007; Ali and Rees, 2006). Some of them have investigated the moisture depletion and root water uptake (Prasad, 1988; Ojha and Rai, 1996; Mathur and Rao, 1999; Li *et al.*, 2001; Vrugt *et al.*, 2001a; Dardanelli *et al.*, 2004; Raats, 2007; Shankar *et al.*, 2013) but rare on the grass evapotranspiration (Woon *et al.*, 2011; Ng *et al.*, 2013; Ng *et al.*, 2014; Rahardjo *et al.*, 2014, Garg *et al.*, 2015).

Woon (2013) studied the soil suction retention after rainfall due to *Cynodon dactylon* in laboratory modelling and field measurement. Ng *et al.* (2014) investigated the suction retention and influence zone of suction in vegetated soil with certain degree of relative compaction. Recently, Leung *et al.* (2015) compared the effects of tree root-induced change to soil water retention curve with suction responses due to root water uptake in vegetated soil. Besides, Leung *et al.* (2014) investigated the effects of grass to soil suction during evapotranspiration and ponding. The vegetated field was recognised potential in reduce infiltration and improve slope stability upon rainfall. Leung (2016) also compared 3 cases of study which showed the responses of suction in slopes due to grass. Suction induced in grassed slope could be lower than bare slope in certain condition and suction retention also depends on type of soil. There were so many studies had been done on bioengineering methods and contributions, the outcome of research seem not applied into slope design.

Although this application to the slope design was not popular, some common types of low cost grasses were practically acted as the finisher on the slope. The root system of grass may contributes to water uptake and evapotranspiration which produce matric suction and increase the soil strength in term of hydrological and mechanical enhancement. Therefore, the effect of common grass cover toward slope

stability was the main focus in this study. The study focused on the common grass, *Axonopus Compressus* which covered almost whole campus of Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), Skudai Malaysia. The aim of this study is to understand the effect of grass to soil enhancement and shallow slope stability. The matric suction induced and changes of moisture content in soil due to grass are the major measurement in the study. The estimated moisture content, anticipated suction and result analysis can be obtained easily and faster with the help of computer program. In short, the development of the coding program on the water deficit curve and suction model is very useful to geo-environment development.

### **1.3 Objectives**

The aim of this study is to explore the suction profile in soil due to the evapotranspiration of grass. The changes of soil water content or matric suction will be analysed and compared with the other models. To achieve this aim, several objectives of study are fixed as below:

- I. To investigate the soil matric suction data at field and rate of evapotranspiration due to *Axonopus Compressus*.
- II. To determine the soil drying pattern in field covered by *Axonopus Compressus* and develops a suction model formulation.
- III. To develop a computer program that includes several popular water uptake models and suction model which could provide suction profile estimation.
- IV. To compare the effect of grass induced suction to slope stability.

## 1.4 Scope of Study

This study will present the soil water changes or matric suction variation due to the water uptake process and evapotranspiration of grass in a field on unsaturated soil. It focuses on the hydrological-suction pattern within the influence zone of grass evapotranspiration. The parameters investigated are soil water deficit curve, total water extraction, matric suction, volumetric water content and grass evapotranspiration. The mechanical enhancement by roots tensile strength is lightly touched in this study to show the contribution of grass to the strength of soil.

The work consider the effect of existing common cow grass (*Axonopus Compressus*) field with the determination of root zone patterns limited to a depth within 0.5 m. This study focus on cow grass because it is common, relatively easy to maintain, good weather resistance and no problem with major diseases. The rooting depth is fixed as constant since the roots spread in random direction and concentrate on surface area. The rate of evapotranspiration of grass was investigated through concept of total weight loss in a day. The matric suction profiles were recorded by field instruments monitoring. However, the study only present the influence of suction induced to shear strength enhancement by Greenwood general equation (Greenwood *et al.*, 2004) in program SLIP4EX (Greenwood, 2006). Other than that, a one-dimensional suction model is proposed and developed a computer programming to estimate the soil suction distribution.

A series of field monitoring program and laboratory experiments were analysed to determine the relationship of field evapotranspiration and grass rooting depth to matric suction distribution. In addition, the field monitoring result provided the reference for input parameters to apply in the numerical model and slope stability analysis. The site measurement data was verified with another study of grass in subtropical climate area. The proposed model was validated with the site measurement and the computer program was verified true by a complete set of test plan. The effect of grass induced suction and root tensile strength were compared to determine the contribution of grass toward unsaturated slope stability in term of hydrological and mechanical aspect.

## 1.5 Significance of the Study

The outcome of this study might be utilised as a reference input parameter of suction in the grass-covered which exist in soil within the unsaturated zone. It contributes to a set of history field suction data of *Axonopus Compressus* grass that is still rare in the research. The determination of the soil water characteristic curve and evapotranspiration of grass could be an alternative low cost measurement. The specific benefits that could be gained from this study including:

- I. Providing essential quantification information on the behaviour of soil matric suction or negative pore water pressure variation in relation to grass evapotranspiration due to drying and precipitation.
- II. Development of a grass suction induced model and coding program which could estimate the matric suction profile in the soil by water uptake models and relationship of soil water and suction.
- III. Provide the shallow slope stability analysis with the existence of grass which includes suction induced and root tensile strength as well as the effect of suction to factor of safety when the soil parameters are varied.
- IV. The computer program estimates the soil drying condition at grass-covered field effectively by grass rooting depth and evapotranspiration. The comparison between types of grass could be made and decide which grass to be used based on the requirement.

Grass is an important cover to soil because it reduces infiltration and increases surface runoff. The grass field evapotranspiration also strengthening the soil by extract and drain out the water in soil. The protection at top layer of slope is very important to avoid any further problem causes by shallow soil failure.

## 1.6 Thesis Structure and Organization

This thesis is structured into seven chapters: Chapter 1 (*Introduction*), Chapter 2 (*Literature Review*), Chapter 3 (*Research Methodology*), Chapter 4 (*Preliminary Data*), Chapter 5 (*Suction Model & Coding Program*), Chapter 6 (*Slope Stability Analysis Based on Grass Induces Suction*) and Chapter 7 (*Conclusions*). A brief introduction was often provided at the beginning of each chapter and concluding remarks at the end of the chapter to briefly summarize the content of the chapter.

As introduction to generally describe the background of problem related to geo-environmental problem associated with tree and water content changes have been discussed in Chapter 1. Apart from this problem statement, Chapter 1 also discusses the objectives, scopes and limitation as well as significance of the research. The brief description of bio-engineering methods in slope stability enhancement in term of mechanical and hydrological are presented.

Chapter 2 provides the previous research work and extensive review of literature that related the research topic. This chapter provides descriptions and concepts of theories published in literature pertaining on analysis of tree water uptake in unsaturated soil. Besides, this chapter also outlines methodologies of the laboratory work, field monitoring work, bio-engineering technique, and slope stability analysis that employed in the previous studies.

Chapter 3 describes the research methodology adopted in this study, particularly laboratory experiments and field monitoring program. Other than that, Chapter 3 also describes the detail of the equipment and procedures followed in order to achieve the objectives of the study. The method adopted in the laboratory experiments, field monitoring works, model formulation and limit equilibrium approach are well explained under this chapter.

The following chapters in this thesis are related to the discussions of data, results and analyses, i.e. Chapter 4, Chapter 5 and Chapter 6. Chapter 4 presents and discusses the preliminary data obtained from laboratory experiments and field monitoring as described in Chapter 3. These results include the soil characterization, basic properties, rate of evapotranspiration of grass, parameters of soil water characteristic curve and the response of matric suction distribution particularly influence by grass field.

Chapter 5 presents the formulation of suction model followed by the coding program. The field monitoring data were analysed to obtain the drying pattern of suction and the steps formulation of suction model were discussed. The coding program focused on some water uptake models and matric suction profile estimation. The chapter was concluded with verification of coding program with a series of complete test plan.

Chapter 6 considered on how much the influence of matric suction generated by grass field in the assessment of the stability on unsaturated soil slope. The typical of engineered slope geometry and shear strength of soil affected by matric suction were examined. The unsaturated slope stability analysis was presented with and without the effect of induced matric suction with simulation of slope geometry and soil properties. In addition, the influence of matric suction to factor of safety due to the variation of analysed parameters was discussed.

Lastly, the final chapter of the thesis (Chapter 7) covers the overall summary and conclusions of the thesis drawn from the present study as well as the recommendations for further researches on the subject.



## REFERENCES

- Abe, K., and Ziemer, R. R. (1991). Effect of tree roots on shallow-seated landslides. *USDA Forest Science General Technical Report PSW-GRI30*. 11-20.
- Addiscott, T., Smith, J., and Bradbury, N. (1995). Critical evaluation of models and their parameters. *Journal of Environmental Quality*. 24, 803-807.
- Aggarwal, P. (1995). Uncertainties in crop, soil and weather inputs used in growth models: Implications for simulated outputs and their applications. *Agricultural Systems*. 48, 361-384.
- Alday, J. G., Marrs, R. H., and Martínez-Ruiz, C. (2010). The importance of topography and climate on short-term revegetation of coal wastes in Spain. *Ecological Engineering*. 36, 579-585.
- Alexander, M. (1999). *Biodegradation and bioremediation*. Gulf Professional Publishing.
- Ali, M., Shui, L. T., Yan, K. C., and Eloubaidy, A. F. (2000). Modelling evaporation and evapotranspiration under temperature change in Malaysia. *Pertanika Journal of Science & Technology*. 8, 191-204.
- Ali, N., and Rees, S. (2006). Simulating Water Uptake by Tree Roots: An Initial Assessment. *In: Unsaturated Soils 2006*. ASCE, 2244-2255.
- Artyunov, O., Grigoryan, S., and Kamalyan, R. (1985). Effect of soil moisture content on parameters of crater cuts. *Combustion, Explosion, and Shock Waves*. 21, 259-262.
- ASTM International. (2011). *D2487-11*. USA: ASTM International
- Bayfield, N., and Aitken, R. (1992). *Managing the impacts of recreation on vegetation and soils: a review of techniques*.

- Bischetti, G. B., Chiaradia, E. A., Simonato, T., Speziali, B., Vitali, B., Vullo, P., and Zocco, A. (2005). Root strength and root area ratio of forest species in Lombardy (Northern Italy). *Plant and soil*. 278, 11-22.
- Braud, I., Varado, N., and Olioso, A. (2005). Comparison of root water uptake modules using either the surface energy balance or potential transpiration. *Journal of Hydrology*. 301, 267-286.
- BRE. (1999). *Low-rise building foundations: the influence of trees in clay soils*. A Building Research Establishment Publication.
- BRE. (2002). *Controlling Water Use of Trees to Alleviate Subsidence Risk*. Horticulture LINK project 212, University of Cambridge.
- British Standards Institution. (1990). *BS 1377: Part 1-9*. London: British Standards Institution.
- British Standards Institution. (2005). *BS 5837*. London: British Standards Institution.
- Bromhead, E., Dixon, N., Ibsen, M., and Jawaid, S. A. (2000). Risk assessment of landslide using Fuzzy Theory. In: *Landslides in Research, Theory and Practice: Proceedings of the 8th International Symposium on Landslides held in Cardiff on 26–30 June 2000*, Thomas Telford Publishing, 1: 31-36.
- Brown, C. B., and Sheu, M. S. (1975). Effects of Deforestation of Slopes. *Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division*. 101, 147-165.
- Burman, R., and Pochop, L. (1994). Developments in Atmospheric Science 22. *Evaporation, Evapotranspiration and Climate Data Netherlands: Elsevier Science*. 73-104.
- Burylo, M., Rey, F., and Delcros, P. (2007). Abiotic and biotic factors influencing the early stages of vegetation colonization in restored marly gullies (Southern Alps, France). *Ecological engineering*. 30, 231-239.
- Canadell, J., Jackson, R., Ehleringer, J., Mooney, H., Sala, O., and Schulze, E.-D. (1996). Maximum rooting depth of vegetation types at the global scale. *Oecologia*. 108, 583-595.
- Cheng, H., Yang, X., Liu, A., Fu, H., and Wan, M. (2003). A study on the performance and mechanism of soil-reinforcement by herb root system. In: *Proceedings of third international vetiver conference, Guangzhou, China, 2003*. Citeseer, 390.
- Clausnitzer, V., and Hopmans, J. (1994). Simultaneous modeling of transient three-dimensional root growth and soil water flow. *Plant and soil*. 164, 299-314.

- Clothier, B. E., and Green, S. R. (1994). Rootzone processes and the efficient use of irrigation water. *Agricultural Water Management*. 25, 1-12.
- Coelho, E., and Or, D. (1996). Flow and uptake patterns affecting soil water sensor placement for drip irrigation management. *Transactions of the ASAE*. 39, 2007-2016.
- Council, N. R. (1993). *Vetiver Grass: A Thin Green Line Against Erosion*. Washington, D.C.
- Coutts, M. (1983). Root architecture and tree stability. *Plant and soil*. 71, 171-188.
- Cowan, I. (1965). Transport of water in the soil-plant-atmosphere system. *Journal of Applied Ecology*. 221-239.
- Dardanelli, J. L., Ritchie, J., Calmon, M., Andriani, J. M., and Collino, D. J. (2004). An empirical model for root water uptake. *Field Crops Research*. 87, 59-71.
- de FN Gitirana Jr, G., and Fredlund, D. G. (2004). Soil-water characteristic curve equation with independent properties. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*. 130, 209-212.
- De Silva, M., Nachabe, M., Šimůnek, J., and Carnahan, R. (2008). Simulating root water uptake from a heterogeneous vegetative cover. *Journal of irrigation and drainage engineering*. 134, 167-174.
- Devkota, B. D., Paudel, P., Omura, H., Kubota, T., and Morita, K. (2006). Uses of vegetative measures for erosion mitigation in Mid Hill areas of Nepal. *Kyushu Journal of Forest Research*. 59, 265-268.
- Dollhopf, D., Pokorny, M., Dougher, T., Stott, L., Harvey, K., Rew, L., and Shi, X. (2008). *Using reinforced native grass sod for biostrips, bioswales, and sediment control*. Technical Report from California Department of Transportation, Division of Research and Innovation.
- Dunin, F., McIlroy, I., and O'Loughlin, E. (1985). A lysimeter characterization of evaporation by eucalypt forest and its representativeness for the local environment. *The forest-atmosphere interaction* (pp. 271-291). Springer.
- Dwyer, L., Stewart, D., and Balchin, D. (1988). Rooting characteristics of corn, soybeans and barley as a function of available water and soil physical characteristics. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*. 68, 121-132.
- Erie, L., French, O. F., and Harris, K. (1965). Consumptive use of water by crops in Arizona.

- Faisal, H., and Normaniza, O. (2007). Soil-root composite: correlation between shear strength and some plant properties, *ejge*.
- Fan, C. C., and Su, C. F. (2009). Effect of soil moisture content on the deformation behaviour of root-reinforced soils subjected to shear. *Plant and soil*. 324, 57-69.
- Feddes, R. A., Kowalik, P. J., and Zaradny, H. (1978). *Simulation of field water use and crop yield*. Centre for Agricultural Publishing and Documentation.
- Florineth, F., Rauch, H. P., and Staffler, H. (2002). Stabilization of landslides with bio-engineering measures in South Tyrol/Italy and Thankot/Nepal. *In: International Congress INTERPRAEVENT 2002 in the Pacific Rim-Matsumoto/Japan Congress Publication*, 827-837.
- Fredlund, D. G., and Xing, A. (1994). Equations for the soil-water characteristic curve. *Canadian geotechnical journal*. 31, 521-532.
- Gambo, H. Y. (2015). *Modelling the effect of transport layer on suction distribution in unsaturated residual soil*. Doctor of Philosophy. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Gardner, W. (1958). Some steady-state solutions of the unsaturated moisture flow equation with application to evaporation from a water table. *Soil science*. 85, 228-232.
- Gardner, W. (1964). Relation of root distribution to water uptake and availability. *Agronomy Journal*. 56, 41-45.
- Gardner, W. (1991). Modeling water uptake by roots. *Irrigation science*. 12, 109-114.
- Gardner, W. R. (1960). Dynamic aspects of water availability to plants. *Soil science*. 89, 63-73.
- Garg, A., Leung, A., and Ng, C. W. W. (2015). Comparisons of soil suction induced by evapotranspiration and transpiration of *S. heptaphylla*. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. 52, 2149-2155.
- Gey, E. K. (2013). *The effect of broadleaf carpet grasses (Axonopus compressus) on shallow slope stability*. Degree of Master. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Gong, D., Kang, S., Zhang, L., Du, T., and Yao, L. (2006). A two-dimensional model of root water uptake for single apple trees and its verification with sap flow and soil water content measurements. *Agricultural water management*. 83, 119-129.

- Grass, V. (1993). A Thin Green Line Against Erosion produced by the Board on Science and Technology for International Development. *National Research Council, published.*
- Gray, D. (2009). Effect of woody vegetation removal on the hydrology and stability of slopes. *Literature Review.*
- Gray, D. H., and Sotir, R. B. (1995). Biotechnical stabilization of steepened slopes. *Transportation research record.* 23-23.
- Gray, D. H., and Sotir, R. B. (1996). *Biotechnical and soil bioengineering slope stabilization: a practical guide for erosion control.* John Wiley & Sons.
- Green, S., Vogeler, I., Clothier, B., Mills, T., and Van Den Dijssel, C. (2003). Modelling water uptake by a mature apple tree. *Soil Research.* 41, 365-380.
- Greenway, D. (1987). *Vegetation and slope stability.* Slope stability: geotechnical engineering and geomorphology/edited by MG Anderson and KS Richards.
- Greenwood, J., Norris, J., and Wint, J. (2004). Assessing the contribution of vegetation to slope stability. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Geotechnical Engineering.* 157, 199-207.
- Greenwood, J. R. (2006). SLIP4EX—A program for routine slope stability analysis to include the effects of vegetation, reinforcement and hydrological changes. *Geotechnical & Geological Engineering.* 24, 449-465.
- Grimshaw, R. G., and Helfer, L. (1995). Vetiver grass for soil and water conservation, land rehabilitation, and embankment stabilization: a collection of papers and newsletters compiled by the Vetiver network. *World Bank technical paper.*
- Gyssels, G., Poesen, J., Bochet, E., and Li, Y. (2005). Impact of plant roots on the resistance of soils to erosion by water: a review. *Progress in physical geography.* 29, 189-217.
- Hairiah, K., Widiyanto, S. D., Lestari, N., Kurniasari, V., Santosa, A., Verbist, B., and Van Noordwijk, M. (2006). Root effects on slope stability in Sumberjaya, Lampung (Indonesia). In: *International Symposium toward Sustainable Livelihood and Ecosystems in Mountainous Regions Chiang Mai,* 7-9.
- Hatfield, J. (1988). Large scale evapotranspiration from remotely sensed surface temperature. In: *Planning Now for Irrigation and Drainage in the 21st Century:* ASCE, 502-509.

- Hengchaovanich, D. (1996). Use of Vetiver grass for engineering purposes in Malaysia with particular reference to slope stabilization and erosion control. *In: Proceedings, Vetiver Workshop on the Research & Development and Application of the Vetiver Grass System (VGS)*, Toowoomba, Queensland, Australia, 6-7.
- Hengchaovanich, D., and Nilaweera, N. S. (1996). An assessment of strength properties of vetiver grass roots in relation to slope stabilization. *In: International Conference on Vetiver*, Chain Kai, Thailand.
- Hillel, D., Beek, V., and Talpaz, H. (1975). A Microscopic-Scale Model Of Soil Water Uptake And Salt Movement To Plant Roots. *Soil Science*. 120, 385-399.
- Hoffman, G. J., and Van Genuchten, M. T. (1983). Soil properties and efficient water use: water management for salinity control. *Limitations to efficient water use in crop production*. 73-85.
- Homaee, M., Feddes, R., and Dirksen, C. (2002). A macroscopic water extraction model for nonuniform transient salinity and water stress. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 66, 1764-1772.
- Hoogland, J., Feddes, R. A., and Belmans, C. (1981). Root water uptake model depending on soil water pressure head and maximum extraction rate. *In: III International Symposium on Water supply and Irrigation in the open and under Protected Cultivation*. 119, 123-136.
- Howell, G. A. (1999). What is lean construction-1999. *In: Proceedings IGLC*, Citeseer, 1.
- Huat, B. B., Ali, F. H., and Low, T. (2006). Water infiltration characteristics of unsaturated soil slope and its effect on suction and stability. *Geotechnical & Geological Engineering*. 24, 1293-1306.
- Huat, B. B., Gue, S. S., and Ali, F. H. (2007). *Tropical residual soils engineering*. CRC Press.
- Indraratna, B., Fatahi, B., and Khabbaz, H. (2006). Numerical analysis of matric suction effects of tree roots. *Faculty of Engineering-Papers*. 395.
- Israelson, O. W., and Hansen, V. E. (1963). Irrigation principles and practices. *Soil Science*. 95, 218.
- Jackson, R. B., Schenk, H., Jobbagy, E., Canadell, J., Colello, G., Dickinson, R., Field, C., Friedlingstein, P., Heimann, M., and Hibbard, K. (2000).

- Belowground consequences of vegetation change and their treatment in models. *Ecological applications*. 10, 470-483.
- Jones, L. D., and Jefferson, I. (2012). *Expansive soils*. ICE Publishing.
- Jotisankasa, A., Mairaing, W., and Tansamrit, S. (2014). Infiltration and stability of soil slope with vetiver grass subjected to rainfall from numerical modeling. *In: Proc of 6th the International conference on unsaturated soils*, UNSAT, Citeseer, 1241-1247.
- Kim, K., and Beard, J. (1988). Comparative turfgrass evapotranspiration rates and associated plant morphological characteristics. *Crop Science*. 28, 328-331.
- Kramer, P. J. (1969). *Plant and soil water relationships: a modern synthesis*. New York, USA. McGraw-Hill Book Company.
- Kumar, R., Shankar, V., and Jat, M. K. (2013). Efficacy of nonlinear root water uptake model for a multilayer crop root zone. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*. 139, 898-910.
- Lafolie, F., Bruckler, L., and Tardieu, F. (1991). Modeling root water potential and soil-root water transport: I. Model presentation. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 55, 1203-1212.
- Lai, C. T., and Katul, G. (2000). The dynamic role of root-water uptake in coupling potential to actual transpiration. *Advances in Water Resources*. 23, 427-439.
- Leung, A. K. (2016). Grass evapotranspiration-induced suction in slope: case study. *Environmental Geotechnics*. 3, 155-165.
- Leung, A. K., Garg, A., and Ng, C. W. W. (2015). Effects of plant roots on soil-water retention and induced suction in vegetated soil. *Engineering Geology*. 193, 183-197.
- Leung, A. K., Woon, K. X., and Ng, C. W. W. (2014). Effects of grass on induced soil suction during Evapotranspiration and ponding. *Unsaturated Soils* (pp. 1373-1379).
- Lewis, L., Salisbury, S. L., Hagen, S., and Mark Maurer, L. (2001). Soil bioengineering for upland slope stabilization. *WSDOT Research Project WA-RD*. 491.
- Li, K., Boisvert, J., and Jong, R. D. (1999). An exponential root-water-uptake model. *Canadian Journal of soil science*. 79, 333-343.

- Li, K., De Jong, R., and Boisvert, J. (2001). Comparison of root-water-uptake models. *In: Sustaining the Global Farm: Selected Papers from the 10th Int Soil Conservation Organization Meeting*, 1112-1117.
- Li, K., De Jong, R., Coe, M., and Ramankutty, N. (2006). Root-water-uptake based upon a new water stress reduction and an asymptotic root distribution function. *Earth Interactions*. 10, 1-22.
- Mafian, S., Huat, B. B., Barker, D. H., Rahman, N. A., and Singh, H. (2009). Live Poles for Slope Stabilization in the Tropical Environment. *EJGE*. 14.
- Malaysia, P. W. D. (2008). National Slope Master Plan. *In: Development, R. a. (ed.) Interim 1 Draft Report*. Kuala Lumpur.
- Mathur, S., and Rao, S. (1999). Modeling water uptake by plant roots. *Journal of irrigation and drainage engineering*. 125, 159-165.
- Molz, F., and Remson, I. (1970). Extraction term models of soil moisture use by transpiring plants. *Water Resources Research*. 6, 1346-1356.
- Molz, F. J. (1981). Models of water transport in the soil-plant system: A review. *Water Resources Research*. 17, 1245-1260.
- Monteith, J., and Greenwood, D. (1986). How do crops manipulate water supply and demand?[and discussion]. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*. 316, 245-259.
- Monteith, J., and Unsworth, M. (2013). *Principles of environmental physics: plants, animals, and the atmosphere*. Academic Press.
- Mugagga, F., Kakembo, V., and Buyinza, M. (2012). A characterisation of the physical properties of soil and the implications for landslide occurrence on the slopes of Mount Elgon, Eastern Uganda. *Natural hazards*. 60, 1113-1131.
- Nakamura, H., Nghiem, Q., and Iwasa, N. (2007). Reinforcement of tree roots in slope stability: A case study from the Ozawa slope in Iwate Prefecture, Japan. *Eco-and Ground Bio-Engineering: The Use of Vegetation to Improve Slope Stability* (pp. 81-90). Springer.
- Nelson, J., and Miller, D. (1992). *Expansive Soils Problems and Practice in Foundation and Pavement Engineering*. New York: Courier Companies. Inc, 120p.
- Neuman, S. P., Feddes, R. A., and Bresler, E. (1975). Finite element analysis of two-dimensional flow in soils considering water uptake by roots: I. Theory. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 39, 224-230.



- Ng, C. W. W., Leung, A., Garg, A., Woon, K., Chu, L., and Hau, B. (2013a). Soil suction induced by grass and tree in an atmospheric-controlled plant room. *In: Proceedings of the 18th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering*, Paris, France, 1167-1170.
- Ng, C. W. W., Leung, A. K., and Woon, K. (2014). Effects of soil density on grass-induced suction distributions in compacted soil subjected to rainfall. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. 51, 311-321.
- Ng, C. W. W., Woon, K., Leung, A., and Chu, L. (2013b). Experimental investigation of induced suction distribution in a grass-covered soil. *Ecological engineering*. 52, 219-223.
- Nimah, M., and Hanks, R. (1973). Model for estimating soil water, plant, and atmospheric interrelations: I. Description and sensitivity. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 37, 522-527.
- Nisbet, T. (2005). *Water use by trees*. Edinburgh: Forestry Commission.
- Normaniza, O., Faisal, H., and Barakbah, S. (2008). Engineering properties of *Leucaena leucocephala* for prevention of slope failure. *Ecological engineering*. 32, 215-221.
- Ojha, C., Prasad, K., Shankar, V., and Madramootoo, C. (2009). Evaluation of a nonlinear root-water uptake model. *Journal of irrigation and drainage engineering*. 135, 303-312.
- Ojha, C. S. P., and Rai, A. K. (1996). Nonlinear root-water uptake model. *Journal of irrigation and drainage engineering*. 122, 198-202.
- Oki, T., and Kanae, S. (2006). Global hydrological cycles and world water resources. *science*. 313, 1068-1072.
- Osman, N., and Barakbah, S. (2006). Parameters to predict slope stability—soil water and root profiles. *Ecological Engineering*. 28, 90-95.
- Osman, N., Saifuddin, M., and Halim, A. (2014). Contribution of Vegetation to Alleviate Slope's Erosion and Acidity. *Environmental Risk Assessment of Soil Contamination*. pp. 521-543.
- Pages, L., Jordan, M.-O., and Picard, D. (1989). A simulation model of the three-dimensional architecture of the maize root system. *Plant and Soil*. 119, 147-154.
- Passioura, J. (1983). Roots and drought resistance. *Agricultural water management*. 7, 265-280.

- Petrone, A., and Preti, F. (2010). Soil bioengineering for risk mitigation and environmental restoration in a humid tropical area. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*. 14, 239-250.
- Philip, J.-R. (1957). The theory of infiltration: 1. The infiltration equation and its solution. *Soil science*. 83, 345-358.
- Prasad, R. (1988). A linear root water uptake model. *Journal of Hydrology*. 99, 297-306.
- Raats, P. (1974). Steady flows of water and salt in uniform soil profiles with plant roots. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 38, 717-722.
- Raats, P. (2007). Uptake of water from soils by plant roots. *Transport in porous media*. 68, 5-28.
- Rahardjo, H., Satyanaga, A., Leong, E., Santoso, V., and Ng, Y. (2014). Performance of an instrumented slope covered with shrubs and deep-rooted grass. *Soils and Foundations*. 54, 417-425.
- Rai, R., and Shrivastva, B. K. (2012). Effect of grass on soil reinforcement and shear strength. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers-Ground Improvement*. 165, 127-130.
- Reubens, B., Poesen, J., Danjon, F., Geudens, G., and Muys, B. (2007). The role of fine and coarse roots in shallow slope stability and soil erosion control with a focus on root system architecture: a review. *Trees*. 21, 385-402.
- Richards, L. A. (1931). Capillary conduction of liquids through porous mediums. *Journal of Applied Physics*. 1, 318-333.
- Ritchie, J. (1985). A user-orientated model of the soil water balance in wheat. *Wheat growth and modelling* (pp. 293-305). Springer.
- Roose, T., and Fowler, A. (2004). A model for water uptake by plant roots. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*. 228, 155-171.
- Rowse, H., Stone, D., and Gerwitz, A. (1978). Simulation of the water distribution in soil. *Plant and Soil*. 49, 533-550.
- Schelde, K., Thomsen, A., Heidmann, T., SchjøNning, P., and Jansson, P.-E. (1998). Diurnal fluctuations of water and heat flows in a bare soil. *Water Resources Research*. 34, 2919-2929.
- Schiechtl, H. M., and Stern, R. (1996). *Ground bioengineering techniques for slope protection and erosion control*.

- Schor, H. J., and Gray, D. H. (2007). *Landforming: an environmental approach to hillside development, mine reclamation and watershed restoration*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Shankar, V., Govindaraju, R. S., Ojha, C., and Hari Prasad, K. (2013). Nondimensional Relationship for Root Water Uptake in Crops. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*. 139, 961-964.
- Shankar, V., Hari Prasad, K., Ojha, C., and Govindaraju, R. S. (2012). Model for nonlinear root water uptake parameter. *Journal of Irrigation and Drainage Engineering*. 138, 905-917.
- Simon, A., and Collison, A. J. (2002). Quantifying the mechanical and hydrologic effects of riparian vegetation on streambank stability. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*. 27, 527-546.
- Simunek, J., Huang, K., and Van Genuchten, M. T. (1995). The SWMS\_3D code for simulating water flow and solute transport in three-dimensional variably-saturated media. *US Salinity Laboratory Research Report*. 139.
- Skaggs, T. H., van Genuchten, M. T., Shouse, P. J., and Poss, J. A. (2006). Macroscopic approaches to root water uptake as a function of water and salinity stress. *Agricultural water management*. 86, 140-149.
- Somma, F., Hopmans, J., and Clausnitzer, V. (1998). Transient three-dimensional modeling of soil water and solute transport with simultaneous root growth, root water and nutrient uptake. *Plant and Soil*. 202, 281-293.
- Sung, K., Yavuz, C. M., and Drew, M. C. (2002). Heat and mass transfer in the vadose zone with plant roots. *Journal of Contaminant hydrology*. 57, 99-127.
- Takagi, K., Harazono, Y., Noguchi, S.-i., Miyata, A., Mano, M., and Komine, M. (2006). Evaluation of the transpiration rate of lotus using the stem heat-balance method. *Aquatic Botany*. 85, 129-136.
- Tiktak, A., and Bouten, W. (1992). Modelling soil water dynamics in a forested ecosystem. III: Model description and evaluation of discretization. *Hydrological processes*. 6, 455-465.
- Tuttle, R., Ralston, D., Sotir, R., Gray, D., Adams, C., Saele, L., Formanek, G., and Reckendorf, F. (1992). Soil bioengineering for Upland Slope Protection And Erosion Reduction: Chapter 18. Department of Agriculture, Washington (EUA).

- Van Genuchten, M. T. (1980). A closed-form equation for predicting the hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated soils. *Soil science society of America journal*. 44, 892-898.
- Varnes, D. J. (1978). Slope movement types and processes. *Transportation Research Board Special Report*.
- Vrugt, J., Hopmans, J., and Šimunek, J. (2001a). Calibration of a two-dimensional root water uptake model. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 65, 1027-1037.
- Vrugt, J., Wijk, M. v., Hopmans, J. W., and Šimunek, J. (2001b). One-, two-, and three-dimensional root water uptake functions for transient modeling. *Water Resources Research*. 37, 2457-2470.
- Waldron, L. (1977). The shear resistance of root-permeated homogeneous and stratified soil. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 41, 843-849.
- Waldron, L., and Dakessian, S. (1982). Effect of grass, legume, and tree roots on soil shearing resistance. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 46, 894-899.
- Walton, B. T., and Anderson, T. A. (1990). Microbial degradation of trichloroethylene in the rhizosphere: potential application to biological remediation of waste sites. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 56, 1012-1016.
- Warrick, A., Lomen, D., and Amoozegar-Fard, A. (1980). Linearized moisture flow with root extraction for three dimensional, steady conditions. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 44, 911-914.
- Whisler, F., Klute, A., and Millington, R. (1968). Analysis of steady-state evapotranspiration from a soil column. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*. 32, 167-174.
- Woon, K. X. (2013). *Field and laboratory investigations of Bermuda grass induced suction and distribution*. Degree of Master of Philosophy. The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology.
- Woon, K. X., Leung, A. K., Ng, C. W., Chu, L., and Hau, B. (2012). An experimental investigation on suction influence zone induced by plant transpiration. In: *5th Asia-Pacific Conference on Unsaturated Soils 2012*.
- Wu, J., Zhang, R., and Gui, S. (1999). Modeling soil water movement with water uptake by roots. *Plant and soil*. 215, 7-17.

- Wu, T.H. (1995). *Slope stabilization*. In: Morgan, R.P.C., Rickson, R.J. (Eds.). *Slope Stabilization and Erosion Control: A Bioengineering Approach*. E & FN Spon, London.
- Wu, T. H., McKinnell III, W. P., and Swanston, D. N. (1979). Strength of tree roots and landslides on Prince of Wales Island, Alaska. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. 16, 19-33.
- Yadav, B. K., and Mathur, S. (2008). Modeling soil water uptake by plants using nonlinear dynamic root density distribution function. *Journal of irrigation and drainage engineering*. 134, 430-436.
- Yen, C.P. (1987). Tree root patterns and erosion control. In: Jantawat, S. (Ed.). *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Soil Erosion and its Countermeasures. Soil and Water Conservation Society of Thailand*, Bangkok.
- YuLin, J., WenBi, M., XiaoLiang, S., JiDing, C., HuangShang, R., YuHua, Z., ShengWen, Z., Barker, D., Watson, A., and Sombatpanit, S. (2004). Applications of bioengineering for highway development in southwestern China. In: *First Asia-Pacific Conference on Ground and Water Bioengineering for Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization*, Manila, Philippines, April 1999, Science Publishers, Inc., 41-47.
- Ziemer, R. R., and Swanston, D. N. (1977). *Root strength changes after logging in southeast Alaska*. Dept. of Agriculture, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Forest and Range Experiment Station.