CHARACTERIZATION OF SOIL MIXED WITH GARNET WASTE FOR ROAD SHOULDER

WAN NOOR HIN BINTI MIOR SANI

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Civil)

> Faculty of Civil Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JUNE 2017

DEDICATION

Dedicated to Allah S.W.T My lovely husband Mohd Hazree Bin Hashim My princess Hanania Nur Sophea My prince Hadith Nur Ayden Priceless and Speechless of the time gave to Mummy

Abah Mama L Ibu Ayah Mior Sani Bin Mior Mohamad Yusof L Selamah Binti Mohamed and Hashim Bin Haron L Aznah Binti Amin Terima kasih atas pengorbanan kalian untuk anakmu ini

~~~~ Love you all ~~~~~

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank Allah S.W.T for blessing me with excellent health and ability during the process of completing my thesis.

Special thanks to my supervisor Dr. Azman Bin Mohamed who given me the opportunity to learn a great deal knowledge, guiding me towards fulfilling this achievement.

My gratitude is also extended to the Geotechnical Laboratory, Highway Laboratory JKA POLISAS and Central Laboratory UMP staff. Thank you for the support and friendship showered upon throughout the experimental periods.

I would like to thank En Azman Bin Dol Hadi, owner of Metalic Polymer Coating and Services Sdn. Bhd for the garnet waste given to me for my research materials. The materials is very benefit throughout my entire laboratory.

Finally, I would like to thank my sweet husband Mohd Hazree Bin Hashim for his unconditional support and assistance in various occasions. All your kindness will be remembered and priceless.

## ABSTRACT

This paper presents the finding of the characterization of soil mixed with garnet waste for road shoulder. Road shoulder at local road nowadays has insufficient compaction due to local settlement and further compaction by parked vehicles. Garnet waste is one the industrial waste that getting bulkier and it is the idea to reduce and reuse the waste. The research aims to determine the materials properties for soil and garnet waste, to determine the moisture content of materials and degree of compaction and also to propose the maximum percentage of mix proportion under California Bearing Ratio (CBR) test for road shoulder. Material properties testing are sieve analysis, Atterberg limit and chemical composition. Compaction test in the mixed proportion of 100% soil, 100% garnet waste, 2S8G, 4S6G, 6S4G and 8S2G were got the Optimum Moisture Content (OMC) between 8-20% and Maximum Dry Density (MDD) between 1.74-2.56 Mg/m<sup>3</sup>. From OMC desired, CBR test was conducted and the optimum value of 40% added percentage of garnet waste can be used as the mix proportion for road shoulder construction. The addition of garnet waste content tends to increase MDD and the fineness modulus also influence the degree of compaction. The results obtained that garnet waste has a good potential as road shoulder in the percentage of 40% and above for mixed proportion with soil. The regression value of  $R^2$  was 0.86 and 0.96 for CBR and MDD, respectively derived to predict the real CBR and MDD during real road construction using the garnet waste content.

### ABSTRAK

Kajian ini membentangkan keputusan mengenai ciri-ciri penstabilan tanah bersama campuran sisa garnet sebagai bahu jalan. Bahu jalan di jalan tempatan kini dilihat mempunyai keupayaan mampatan yang lemah akibat daripada pemendapan semasa dan pemendapan daripada kenderaan yang memakir di bahu jalan. Sisa garnet merupakan salah satu sisa industri yang semakin banyak lambakan dan tercetus idea untuk mengurangkan dan menggunakan semula sisa ini. Tujuan utama kajian ini adalah untuk mengenalpasti ciri-ciri tanah dan sisa garnet, untuk mengenalpasti kandungan lembapan dan darjah pemadatan bahan campuran sebagai bahu jalan dan juga untuk mengenalpasti kandungan maksimum bahan campuran terhadap ujian Nisbah Galas California (NGC). Ciri-ciri bahan kajian ditentukan menggunakan ujian ayakan, ujian had Atterberg dan ujian komposisi kimia. Ujian mampatan bagi bahan campuran terdiri daripada 100% tanah, 100% sisa garnet, 2S8G, 4S6G, 6S4G dan 8S2G dimana kandungan lembapan optimum adalah 8-20% dan ketumpatan kering maksimum antara 1.74-2.56 Mg/m<sup>3</sup>. Daripada nilai kandungan lembapan optimum, ujian NGC dijalankan dan hasil dapatan menunjukkan 40% campuran peratusan sisa garnet adalah sesuai digunakan sebagai pembinaan bahu jalan. Pertambahan nilai peratusan sisa garnet menunjukkan peningkatan dalam ketumpatan kering maksimum dan nilai modulus kehalusan antara faktor yang mempengaruhi darjah pemadatan. Hasil kajian menunjukkan sisa garnet mempunyai potensi sebagai bahu jalan bermula percampuran dengan tanah dan 40% ke atas peratus sisa garnet. Nilai regresi, R<sup>2</sup> adalah 0.86 dan 0.96 untuk nisbah galas California dan ketumpatan kering maksimum, masing-masing diperolehi menjangkakan nilai sebenar NGC dan ketumpatan kering maksimum semasa pembinaan jalan menggunakan kandungan peratus sisa garnet.

# TABLE OF CONTENT

| CHAPTER | TITI | LE                               | PAGE |
|---------|------|----------------------------------|------|
|         | DEC  | LARATION                         | ii   |
|         | DED  | DEDICATION                       |      |
|         | ACK  | NOWLEDGEMENT                     | iv   |
|         | ABS' | ТКАСТ                            | v    |
|         | ABS' | TRAK                             | vi   |
|         | TAB  | LE OF CONTENTS                   | vii  |
|         | LIST | <b>COF TABLES</b>                | Х    |
|         | LIST | <b>COF FIGURES</b>               | xi   |
|         | LIST | <b>COF ABBREVIATIONS</b>         | xiii |
|         | LIST | <b>COF APPENDICES</b>            | xiv  |
| 1       | INTF | RODUCTION                        | 1    |
|         | 1.1  | Introduction                     | 1    |
|         | 1.2  | Background of Study              | 2    |
|         | 1.3  | Problem of Statement             | 2    |
|         | 1.4  | Aim and Objectives               | 3    |
|         | 1.5  | Scope of Study                   | 4    |
|         | 1.6  | Significance of Study            | 5    |
| 2       | LITE | CRATURE REVIEW                   | 6    |
|         | 2.1  | Introduction                     | 6    |
|         | 2.2  | Road Shoulder                    | 6    |
|         |      | 2.2.1 Functions of Road Shoulder | 7    |

|   |     | 2.2.2 Road Shoulder Structure                 | 8  |
|---|-----|-----------------------------------------------|----|
|   | 2.3 | Soil                                          | 9  |
|   |     | 2.3.1 Soil Stabilization                      | 10 |
|   |     | 2.3.2 Compaction                              | 13 |
|   |     | 2.3.3 California Bearing Ratio                | 14 |
|   | 2.4 | Waste in Highway Construction                 | 16 |
|   |     | 2.4.1 Garnet Waste                            | 19 |
| 3 | MET | HODOLOGY                                      | 22 |
|   | 3.1 | Introduction                                  | 22 |
|   | 3.2 | Study Area                                    | 23 |
|   | 3.3 | Research Framework                            | 24 |
|   | 3.4 | Materials Properties                          | 26 |
|   |     | 3.4.1 Soil                                    | 26 |
|   |     | 3.4.2 Garnet Waste                            | 30 |
|   | 3.5 | Moisture Content                              | 33 |
|   | 3.6 | Compaction Test                               | 34 |
|   | 3.7 | California Bearing Ratio (CBR) Test           | 36 |
| 4 | RES | ULT AND ANALYSIS                              | 39 |
|   | 4.1 | Introduction                                  | 39 |
|   | 4.2 | Materials Properties of Soil                  | 39 |
|   | 4.3 | Materials Properties of Garnet Waste          | 43 |
|   | 4.4 | Moisture Content                              | 46 |
|   | 4.5 | Compaction Test                               | 49 |
|   | 4.6 | California Bearing Ratio (CBR) Test           | 51 |
| 5 | CON | CLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION                    | 58 |
|   | 5.1 | Introduction                                  | 58 |
|   | 5.2 | Materials Properties of Soil and Garnet Waste | 58 |
|   | 5.3 | Moisture Content and Degree of Compaction     | 59 |
|   | 5.4 | Maximum Mix Porportion under California       | 59 |
|   |     | Bearing Ratio (CBR) Test                      |    |

| 5.5              | Recommendation | 60    |
|------------------|----------------|-------|
| REFERENCES       |                | 61    |
| Appendices A - M |                | 65-92 |

## LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO | TITLE                                                  | PAGE |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 1.1      | Scope of study                                         | 4    |
| 2.1      | Fine percentage, Atterberg limits, soil class and      | 12   |
|          | compaction characteristic of sample                    |      |
| 2.2      | Possible usage of industrial waste products in highway | 16   |
|          | construction                                           |      |
| 2.3      | Categories of waste materials in Malaysia              | 17   |
| 2.4      | Technical data of chemical composition of garnet       | 20   |
| 2.5      | Report of Safety and Health Analysis of garnet waste   | 20   |
| 3.1      | The notation of mixed proportion                       | 24   |
| 4.1      | Sieve analysis data for soil                           | 40   |
| 4.2      | Fineness modulus for soil                              | 41   |
| 4.3      | Result of soil properties                              | 42   |
| 4.4      | Chemical composition of garnet and garnet waste        | 43   |
| 4.5      | Sieve analysis data for garnet waste                   | 45   |
| 4.6      | Fineness modulus for garnet waste                      | 46   |
| 4.7      | Moisture content for garnet waste                      | 47   |
| 4.8      | Moisture content for soil                              | 48   |
| 4.9      | Summarize of Modified Proctor test result for soil     | 49   |
| 4.10     | Summarize of Modified Proctor test result for mix      | 50   |
|          | proportion                                             |      |
| 4.11     | Summarize of CBR value                                 | 52   |
| 4.12     | Standard Deviation and COV data for CBR test           | 53   |

# LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO | TITLE                                                | PAGE |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 2.1       | Example of shoulder width                            | 9    |
| 2.2       | Dry density moisture content relationship            | 13   |
| 2.3       | Typical CBR test result curves                       | 14   |
| 2.4       | CBR values for base and sub base course for flexible | 15   |
|           | pavement                                             |      |
| 2.5       | Variation of soaked CBR value for optimum mixes      | 15   |
| 3.1       | Road shoulder across POLISAS entrance                | 23   |
| 3.2       | Research framework for master project                | 25   |
| 3.3       | Liquid limit procedure                               | 27   |
| 3.4       | Plastic limit procedure                              | 28   |
| 3.5       | Retained sieve size for soil                         | 29   |
| 3.6       | Sample of garnet waste                               | 30   |
| 3.7       | Mercury Survey Meter                                 | 31   |
| 3.8       | Retained sieve size for garnet waste                 | 32   |
| 3.9       | Procedure for chemical composition of garnet waste   | 33   |
| 3.10      | Moisture content procedure                           | 34   |
| 3.11      | Procedure of compaction test of soil                 | 35   |
| 3.12      | Procedure of compaction test of garnet waste         | 36   |
| 3.13      | Procedure of CBR test of garnet waste                | 37   |
| 3.14      | Procedure of CBR test of sample 6S4G                 | 38   |
| 4.1       | Sieve analysis for soil                              | 40   |
| 4.2       | Liquid limit for soil                                | 42   |

| 4.3  | Chemical composition between laterite soil and garnet |    |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------|----|
|      | waste                                                 |    |
| 4.4  | Sieve analysis for garnet waste                       | 45 |
| 4.5  | Compaction curve for soil                             | 49 |
| 4.6  | Correlation between OMC and MDD                       | 51 |
| 4.7  | CBR value for 6S4G sample                             | 52 |
| 4.8  | CBR value for all type of mix proportion              | 54 |
| 4.9  | Relationship between CBR and MDD                      | 55 |
| 4.10 | Correlation between % of garnet waste content         | 55 |
|      | between CBR and MDD                                   |    |
| 4.11 | Correlation of mix proportion between CBR and         | 56 |
|      | MDD                                                   |    |

# LIST OF ABBREVIATION

SYMBOLS TITLE

| BS             | - | British Standard Institution           |
|----------------|---|----------------------------------------|
| CBR            | - | California Bearing Ratio               |
| COA            | - | Certificate of Analysis                |
| COV            | - | Coefficient of Variation               |
| CWM            | - | Centre Waste Managament                |
| DBKL           | - | Dewan Bandaraya Kuala Lumpur           |
| FA             | - | Fly Ash                                |
| JKA            | - | Civil Engineering Department           |
| LL             | - | Liquid Limit                           |
| LLNL           | - | Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory |
| MDD            | - | Maximum Dry Density                    |
| MSDS           | - | Materials Safety Data Sheet            |
| OMC            | - | Optimum Moisture Content               |
| PI             | - | Plasitcity Index                       |
| PL             | - | Plastic Limit                          |
| POFA           | - | Palm Oil Fuel Ash                      |
| POLISAS        | - | Politeknik Sultan Haji Ahmad Shah      |
| $\mathbb{R}^2$ | - | Regression                             |
| RAP            | - | Reclaimed Asphat Pavement              |
| RHA            | - | Rice Husk Ash                          |
| SD             | - | Standard Deviation                     |
| UCS            | - | Unconfined Compressive Strength        |
| UMP            | - | Universiti Malaysia Pahang             |
|                |   |                                        |

# LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX

## TITLE

## PAGE

| А | Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) for Garnet   | 65 |
|---|------------------------------------------------|----|
| В | Certificate of Analysis (COA) for Garnet waste | 69 |
| С | Sieve Analysis for Garnet Waste                | 71 |
| D | Sieve Analysis for Soil                        | 73 |
| E | Compaction test for Garnet Waste               | 75 |
| F | Compaction test for Soil                       | 80 |
| G | CBR test for Garnet Waste                      | 85 |
| Н | CBR test for Soil                              | 86 |
| I | CBR test for 8S2G                              | 87 |
| J | CBR test for 6S4G                              | 88 |
| K | CBR test for 4S6G                              | 89 |
| L | CBR test for 2S8G                              | 90 |
| Μ | Verification CBR Test for Garnet Waste         | 91 |

## **CHAPTER 1**

## **INTRODUCTION**

## 1.1 Introduction

Road shoulder is the portion of the roadways continuous with the pavement way for accommodation of stopped vehicle, for emergency use and for lateral support of the pavement layer. There are two main functions of road shoulder, the first is to provide the emergency stopping free of the traffic lane and the second is to provide the escape potential accidents and ways to reduce their severity. All road shoulders should be sloped sufficiently to rapidly drain surface water but not the extent that vehicular use would hazardous. For road shoulder to function effectively, it must be sufficiently stable to support occasional vehicle loads in all kind of weather without rutting.

Arahan Teknik (Jalan) 8/86 required that paved shoulders of the same strength and standard as the pavement should be followed the road standards. From the observation of Kuantan area, type of soil for examples peat soil, humic clay and silt soil for road shoulder are not suitable because of their characteristics such as poor grading, low strength, high elasticity and tendency to shrink and swell. By stabilizing such soil with appropriate agents will improve the engineering properties.

### **1.2 Background of Study**

The construction of a highway over this unstabilized soil will take a high cost of replacement with a stronger material such as crushed rock or adding the agents such as sand. This research added the garnet waste in the percentage of proportion mix in order to minimize the usage of those costly materials and also to stabilized the road shoulder along the local road which always occurs the settlement. According to State of California, 1990 in section landfill waste classification and waste definition, garnet waste which is the wastes largely non-biodegradable, non-flammable and not chemically reactive is classified as an inert waste type.

The aim of this study is to investigate the effects of garnet waste addition on some of the geotechnical and engineering properties such as sieve analysis, Atterberg limits, Modified Proctor compaction characteristic and bearing capacity of the soil sample taken. All waste materials used, none of the research had done the garnet waste yet. This research will be determined the percentage of mixed proportion suitable for soil to provide good stopping lane and maintained stabilize for road shoulder.

### **1.3** Problem of Statement

Nowadays, Public Work Department, Malaysia had been issuing news on the damaged happened on local roads especially road shoulder at the rural area. The reasons behind that can be observed by layers of strength and thickness, mixture design, change in traffic load, etc. (Behiry, 2013). In addition, disposal problems from industrial are increasing with vast quantity of the waste material made.

### i. Problem 1

Road shoulders must function effectively and be sufficiently stable to support vehicle loads. However, road shoulders at local road nowadays are suffering from insufficient compaction due to local settlement and further compaction by parked vehicles. Thus, this research was conducted to identify soil properties of road shoulder in the local area.

### ii. Problem 2

Sources of sand is limited nowadays so the cost are increased as well as the demand to search for a new materials as stabilizer for road shoulder. Therefore, garnet waste was introduced in this research to partially or fully mixed with soil as replacement of sand. Metalic Polymer Coating and Services Sdn Bhd is encouraging outsiders to use garnet waste because the waste is getting bulkier and there are no proper storage to keep it except to pay the Kualiti Alam for disposal. This type of disposal is expensive, thus the ideas to reuse, recycle and reduce the garnet waste without jeopardizing the environmental.

#### **1.4** Aim and Objectives

The overall objective of this research is to determine the percentage content of garnet waste as an agent of stabilizer to be mixed with laterite soil in the road shoulder. The specific objectives of this research are:

i. To determine the properties of soil and garnet waste.

- ii. To determine the optimum moisture content of the materials and degree of compaction for road shoulder.
- iii. To propose the maximum percentage of mix proportion under California Bearing Ratio (CBR) test for road shoulder.

## 1.5 Scope of Study

This study limits the scopes to several parameters as shown in Table 1.1. Table 1.1 shows the scope of study was conducted in a local road where the shoulder is a soil which need to be stabilized.

| Description                | Limitation                                            |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Material for road shoulder | Soil and Garnet waste                                 |
| Testing for materials      | Soil: Sieve analysis and Atterberg limit              |
|                            | Garnet waste: Sieve analysis and chemical             |
|                            | composition                                           |
| Method of drying           | Oven dried at 100 °C ±5 °C/ Open dried                |
| Compaction                 | Fully replacement/partial replacement of garnet waste |
| CBR                        | Fully replacement/partial replacement of garnet waste |
|                            | Normal condition                                      |

Table 1.1 : Scope of study

## **1.6** Significance of Study

This research focuses on stabilization of soil using garnet waste as the agent stabilizer for road shoulder. The study intends to change engineering properties of soil which cause poor compaction and poor value of soil strength so as to make it suitable to stabilize with mix proportion of garnet waste. This study focused on increasing CBR value and load bearing ability of the soil by adding percentage of mix proportion of garnet waste. However, this research covered more on laboratory test for sieve analysis, Atterberg limit, compaction and CBR test.

### REFERENCES

- Abdullah, K., Hussin, M.W., Zakaria, F., Muhamad, R. and Hamid, Z.A, (2006). POFA: A Potential Cement Replacement Material in Aerated Concrete. Proceedings of Sixth Asia-Pacific Conference on Structural Engineering and Construction, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, B132–B140.
- Abukhettala, M. (2016). Use of Recycled Materials in Road Construction. Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Civil, Structural and Transportation Engineering (ICCSTE'16), (138), 1–8.
- Allam, M. E., Bakhoum, E. S., Garas, G. L., and Ezz, H. (2016). Durability of Green Concrete Containing Granite Waste Powder, *International Journal of Engineering and Technology (IJET)* 8(5), 2383–2391.
- Arahan Teknik (Jalan) 5/85 (2002). *Manual on Pavement Design*. Jabatan Kerja Raya Malaysia. Kuala Lumpur.
- Arahan Teknik (Jalan) 8/86 (2002). A Guide on Geometric Design of Roads. Jabatan Kerja Raya Malaysia. Kuala Lumpur.
- Aravind, K., and Das, A. (2007). *Industrial Waste in Highway Construction*. Technical Report. IIT Kanpur
- Azman, M. (2014). Performance of Underside Shaped Concrete Blocks for Pavement.PHD tesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Badgie, D., Abu Samah, M. A., Abd Manaf, L., and Muda, A. (2012). Assessment of Municipal Solid Waste Composition in Malaysia: Management, Practice and Challenges. *Pollution Journal Environment Studies*, 21(3), 593–547.
- Behiry, A. E. A. E. M. (2013). Evaluation of Steel Slag and Crushed Limestone Mixtures as Subbase Material in Flexible Pavement. Ain Shams Engineering Journal, 4(1), 43–53.

- British Standard Institution (1990). Test on Soil and Gravel. Moisture Content, Liquid Limit (Cone penetrometer), Plastic Limit & Plasticity Index. BS1377 Part 2. London
- British Standard Institution (1990). Test on Soil and Gravel. Compaction Test BS Light and BS Heavy, CBR Test - one point method. BS 1377 Part 4. London.
- Dhanapandian, S., and Gnanavel, B. (2010). Using Granite and Marble Sawing Power Wastes in the Production of Bricks: Spectroscopic and Mechanical Analysis, *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology* 2(1), 73–86.
- Emersleben, A., and Meyer, N. (2012). The use of Recycled Glass for the Construction of Pavement. *GeoCongress 2012 @ sState of the Art and Practice in Geotechnical Engineering* 1642-1649
- Gupta, C., and Sharma, D. R. K. (2013). Influence of Waste Materials on Geotechnical Characteristics of Expansive Soil. *International Journal of Engineering Research* & Technology (IJERT) 2(10), 2536–2542.
- Hamza, R., El-haggar, S., and Khedr, S. (2011). Utilization of Marble and Granite Waste in Concrete Bricks. *International Conference on Environment and BioScience*, 21, 115–119.
- Latifi, N., Marto, A., and Eisazadeh, A. (2013). Structural Characteristics of Laterite Soil Treated by SH-85 and TX-85 (Non-Traditional) Stabilizers. *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering (EJGE)*, 18, 1707–1717.
- Lekha, B. M., Goutham, S., and Shankar, A. U. R. (2015). Evaluation of Lateritic Soil Stabilized with Arecanut Coir for Low Volume Pavements. *Transportation Geotechnics*, 2, 20–29.
- Marto, A., and Kasim F. (2003). Characterisation of Malaysian Residual Soils for Geotechnical and Construction Engineering. Technical Report. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Mathews, S., and Wilson, K. (1998). Reuse of Waste Cutting Sand at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory. Technical Report. Air and Waste Management Association Annual Meetings and Exposition San Diego, CA
- Mwanga, E. W. (2015). Stabilization of Silt Clay Soil Using Molasses for Small Dam Embankment Construction as Inner Zone. Master of Science in Environmental and Biosystems Engineering.

- Nagapan, S., Rahman, I. A., Asmi, A., Hameed, A., and Zin, R. M. (2012). Identifying Causes of Construction Waste - Case of Central Region of Peninsula Malaysia. *International Journal of Integrated Engineering*, Vol. 4 No. 2 (2012) P. 22-28, 4(2), 22–28.
- Nasir, S. R. M., Othman, N. H., Isa, C. M. M., and Ibrahim, C. K. C. (2016). The Challenge of Construction Waste Management in Kuala Lumpur. *Jurnal Teknologi* (Sciences & Engineering), 3, 115–119.
- Nurhidayah A.H, (2015). *Highway Engineering*. Lecture Notes. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Oghenero, A. E., Okey, A. T., Brume, O., Okunuwadje, S. E., and Jerry, O. (2014). Classification and Compaction Characteristics of Lateritic Soils of Warri, Delta state, Nigeria. Advanced in Applied Science Research, 5(3), 451–457.
- Ogunribido T.H.T. (2011). Potentials of Sugar Cane Straw Ash for Lateritic Soil Stabilization in Road Construction. *International Journal Science Emerging Technologies*, 3(5), 102–106.
- Ozdemir, M. A. (2016). Improvement in Bearing Capacity of a Soft Soil by Addition of Fly Ash. *Procedia Engineering*, *143*(ICTG), 498–505.
- Pourakbar, S., Asadi, A., Fasihnikoutalab, M. H., and Huat, B. B. K. (2015). Stabilization of Clayey Soil using Ultrafine Palm Oil Fuel Ash (POFA) and Cement. *Transportation Geotechnics* 3, 24–35.
- Public Work Department Malaysia. (1988). Guideline for Inspection & Testing of Roadworks. Kuala Lumpur
- Puppala, A. J., Pedarla, A., and Bheemasetti, T. (2015). Soil Modification by Admixture: Concepts and Field Applications. *Ground Improvement Case Histories*, 291–309.
- Raja, G., and Ramalingam, K. M. (2016). Experimental Study on Partial Replacement of Fine Aggregate by Granite Powder in Concrete. *International Journal for Innovative Research in Science & Technology*, 2(12), 202–209.
- Rama Subbarao, G. V., Siddartha, D., Muralikrishna, T., Sailaja, K. S., and Sowmya,
  T. (2011). Industrial Wastes in Soil Improvement. *ISRN Civil Engineering*, 2011, 1–5.
- Roercls, G. (1945). *Engineering Classification of Soil*, Chapter 4 Cangage Learning. Washington D.C.

- Saadi, N., Ismail, Z., and Alias, Z. (2016). A Review of Construction Waste Management and Initiatives in Malaysia. *Journal of Sustainability Science and Management*, 11(2), 101–114.
- Saltan, M., and Findik, F. S. (2008). Stabilization of Subbase Layer Materials with Waste Pumice in Flexible Pavement. *Building and Environment*, 43(4), 415–421.
- Shilpashree M S, and Kishor Kumar B R. (2015). Laterite Quarry Waste Interaction Behaviour with Flyash and Lime. *International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)*, 3(11), 118–124.
- Sin, T. J., Chen, G. K., Long, K. S., Goh, I., and Hwang, H. (2013). Current practice of waste management system in Malaysia: Towards sustainable waste management. *In: 1st FPTP Postgraduate Seminar Towards Sustainable Management*. 1106, 1–19.
- Singh, M., and Mittal, A. (2014). Review on the Soil Stabilization with Waste Materials. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications*, (March), 11–16.
- State of California. (1990). California Code of Regulation. Landfill Waste Classification and Waste Definitions, Section 66 (Appendix I).
- Wahab, K.A and Odeyemi, O. (2008). Variability in the Geotechnical Properties of a Lateritic Soil from South Western Nigeria. *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 67(4), 579–584.
- Weil, R. R., and Brady, N. C. (2016). *The Nature and Properties of Soils*.15<sup>th</sup> Edition. Pearson Education. United States of America.
- Yusoff, S. A. N. M., Bakar, I., Wijeyesekera, D. C., Zainorabidin, A., and Madun, A. (2014). Comparison of Geotechnical Properties of Laterite, Kaolin and Peat. Technical Report. Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia.