RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MOTIVATION, ORGANIZATIONAL CITIZENSHIP BEHAVIOR AND EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE AMONG RESEARCH UNIVERSITY ACADEMICIANS

TAN YEW HUEI

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN MOTIVATION, ORGANIZATIONAL CITIZENSHIP BEHAVIOR AND EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE AMONG RESEARCH UNIVERSITY ACADEMICIANS

TAN YEW HUEI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Management)

Faculty of Management
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2016

To my beloved father and mother, lecturers and friends

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Foremost, I would like to express my deepest and sincere gratitude to my beloved Ph.D. project supervisor, Dr. Nur Naha Abu Mansor for the endless support and time, easing my path toward the completion of this Ph.D. project. I felt very grateful to have her support. I am also very thankful to Dr. Huam Hon Tat for his inspiration and advice. Without their continued support and advice, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

Secondly, I would like to express my appreciation to my beloved parents for their continued support and motivation. I am also indebted to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for funding my Ph.D. study. Without the financial help from Zamalah scholarship, I would not be able to complete this PhD study.

Next, my sincerest thanks go to my family members for their endless critics and encouragements. Besides, I am grateful towards the assistances offered by my friends and sincerely appreciated everyone who contributes toward the success in this project. Last but not least, I would like to express my personal gratitude to Ms. Aw Yong Jyh Lin for the continuous love and care. Her support and advice would be the best motivation in terms of facing difficulties.

ABSTRACT

Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation have a significant relationship with employee performance. Although the topics have been studied extensively, minimal efforts have been made within an academic setting such as to investigate the types of motivation one is likely to be engaged with, how organizational citizenship behavior (OCB) plays a part in the relationship and the importance of continuing professional development (CPD) as one of the dimensions needed to evaluate the employee's performance. This research seeks to broaden the field of study by investigating the interplay of OCB on motivation and employee performance in an academic setting. Lecturers from five major research universities in Malaysia participated in the study. 6000 sets of questionnaires were distributed using simple random sampling method and 368 sets were useable for the final analysis. Data to measure the correlation between dimensions were analyzed using Pearson's correlation. Multiple regression analysis was used to examine the effect of motivation on employee performance and path analysis was used to identify the mediating effect of OCB. Significant differences in the variance of employee performance were found between intrinsic motivation and extrinsic motivation. In addition, OCB was found to partially mediate the relationship between motivation and employee performance. This study also found that CPD is necessary and suitable as one of the dimensions of employee performance. The study has illustrated the understanding with reference to the type of motivation that affects the lecturer's performance and how OCB plays a role in enhancing a lecturer's performance. Besides that, the importance of CPD when evaluating the employee performance was also highlighted. In conclusion, lecturer's performance is influenced by their OCB and not solely affected by their motivation.

ABSTRAK

Motivasi intrinsik dan ekstrinsik mempunyai hubungan signifikan dengan prestasi pekerja. Walaupun topik ini telah dikaji secara ekstensif, namun hanya usaha minimum yang telah dibuat dalam latar akademik seperti menyiasat jenis motivasi seseorang mungkin akan terlibat, bagaimana tingkah laku kewarganegaraan organisasi (OCB) memainkan peranan dalam hubungan tersebut dan kepentingan pembangunan profesional berterusan (CPD) sebagai salah satu dimensi yang diperlukan bagi menilai prestasi pekerja. Kajian ini bertujuan memperluas bidang pengajian dengan menyiasat interaksi OCB terhadap motivasi dan prestasi pekerja dalam latar akademik. Pensyarah dari lima buah universiti penyelidikan utama di Malaysia terlibat dalam kajian ini. 6000 set soal selidik telah diagih dengan mengunakan kaedah pensampelan rawak mudah dan 368 set boleh digunakan untuk analisis akhir. Data untuk mengukur korelasi di antara dimensi-dimensi telah dianalisis menggunakan pekali korelasi Pearson. Analisis regresi berganda telah digunakan untuk menilai kesan motivasi terhadap prestasi pekerja dan analisis laluan digunakan untuk mengenalpasti kesan pengantaraan OCB. Perbezaan ketara dalam varian prestasi pekerja telah didapati di antara motivasi intrinsik dan motivasi ekstrinsik. Di samping itu, OCB didapati mangantara secara separa hubungan antara motivasi dengan prestasi pekerja. Kajian ini juga mendapati bahawa CPD adalah perlu dan sesuai sebagai salah satu dimensi prestasi pekerja. Kajian ini telah menjelaskan pemahaman tentang jenis-jenis motivasi yang mempengaruhi prestasi pensyarah dan bagaimana OCB memainkan peranan dalam meningkatkan prestasi pensyarah. Seterusnya, kepentingan CPD apabila menilai prestasi pekerja telah turut diketengahkan. Kesimpulannya, prestasi pensyarah dipengaruhi oleh OCB mereka dan bukan semata-mata dipengaruhi oleh motivasi mereka sahaja.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURE

LIST OF NOTATION

OCB	-	Organizational Citizenship Behavior
OIT	-	Organismic Integration Theory
SDT	-	Self Determination Theory
SET	-	Social Exchange Theory
IM	-	Intrinsic Motivation
EM	-	Extrinsic Motivation
EP	-	Employee Performance
α	-	Alpha Cronbach

LIST OF APPENDICES

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1   Introduction

Motivation has been given the credit of bringing success to the employee performance (Ryan & Deci, 2000). Motivation also influences talent to drive an organization's growth (Rousseau, 1990). This is important to understand lecturer motivation, especially in countries where lecturer retention and quality have become prominent concerns. However, not many empirical discussions could be found within the higher education industry, especially among lecturers. Therefore, this research aims to explore the role of motivation on employee performance, specifically among lecturers in Malaysia's higher education. For instance, employees also exhibit certain behaviors by going beyond the normal requirements of the role or task. This behavior is termed Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) and it has been found to meaningfully contribute to organizational growth due to high employee performance (Organ, 1988). Therefore, OCB was introduced to explicate the mechanism that observes and underlines the relationship between motivation and employee performance. This chapter discusses the background of this study which explores several important sections based on the context of the research and problem statement. It also focuses on research objectives, research questions as well as the theoretical foundation of the study. At the end of this chapter, the conceptual and

operational definitions are explained, including motivation, OCB and employee performance.

1.2 Background of the Study

Since the conceptual model concerning Self-Determination Theory (SDT) was proposed by Deci and Ryan (1985), motivation has become an area of interest in social psychology research, and this research has played a tremendous role in explicating human psychology behavior. In other words, researchers agreed with the importance of motivation.

Ryan and Deci (2000) highlighted that motivation is a form of momentum by which human achieve their goals. Motivation is then differentiated into intrinsic motivation and extrinsic motivation (Ryan & Deci, 2000). Intrinsic motivation is defined as self-momentum gained by a person to complete a certain task rather than the momentum gained from external factors such as monetary rewards (Ryan & Deci, 2000). However, extrinsic motivation is defined as individual willingness to complete a task because of some separable outcome or rewards (Ryan & Deci, 2000). The previous research finding has shown that motivation influences human attitude and behavior (Mansfield *et al.*, 2012). An empirical study by Imer *et al.*, (2014) confirmed that employee's organizational citizenship behavior (OCB) was influenced by the personal attitude and individual personality. Furthermore, research findings by Sevin (2009) had empirically supported the view that motivation is the cause of the personal attitude and personalities. Therefore, motivation could have a significant effect on OCB.

After the introduction of OCB by Organ (1988), OCB became an area of interest for most researchers (eg: Rasheed *et al.*, 2013; Lee, 2011; Belogolovsky & Somech, 2011), as the research on OCB has played a pivotal role in evaluating employee performance (Bruque *et al.*, 2015). According to Organ (1988), OCB

refers to an individual's voluntary helping behavior that is not affected by any rewards, which promotes the function of an organization. In addition, more recent studies indicate that when employees are willing to help other employees or organizations, it helps to enhance organizations' overall performance (Cohen *et al.*, 2012; Sevi, 2010).

Undoubtedly, many psychological researchers (Bruque *et al.*, 2015; Kim *et al.*, 2011) agree that the association between OCB and employee performance has emerged as a topic of significant concern. A previous study by Bush and Jiao (2011) even stated that OCB can be one of the elements in performance evaluation. However, there are still some critical issues persisting in most industries, as the concept of performance is not generally well-defined and is particularly problematic in public service sectors (Cun, 2012; Pollanen, 2005).

1.3   Problem Statement

Indeed, Malaysia believes that education is one of the critical elements in the development of the country (Arokiasamy *et al.*, 2009). Education among individuals is a means to career term and social mobility, whereas from the government's perspective, it is a crucial step in the country's economic development (Benjamin *et al.*, 2011). The government believes that the development is closely related to the knowledge acquired from education (Benjamin *et al.*, 2011). The crucial workforce in the universities themselves, are lecturers (Arokiasamy *et al.*, 2009). In fact, nowadays, teaching is a challenging profession. The pleasures and freedoms in the academic life of lecturers, however, have slightly shrunk due to too many factors existing in universities (Arokiasamy *et al.*, 2009). Moreover, these pressures have led the lecturers to reexamine their motivation, OCB and performance (Christophersen *et al.*, 2015). Although the extensive review of theoretical and empirical literature has widely studied the above issues individually (e.g: Eyal & Roth, 2011; Roness, 2011; Belogolovsky & Somech, 2011; Forrester, 2011; Lam *et al.*, 2010; Wang & Zhang,

2010), there still remain a few gaps in some associated issues and lack empirical explanations. Therefore, the present study intends to fill the gaps and improve the literature on these issues especially in the public education sector.

The first issue concerns motivation among lecturers. In studies on motivation for teaching, it is common to distinguish between intrinsic and extrinsic reasons for choosing the profession (e.g.: Roness, 2011; Eyal & Roth., 2011). Intrinsically motivated lecturers are focused on the activity that is significantly related to their immanent satisfaction or happiness (Ryan & Deci, 2009). Meanwhile, rewards and benefits are the driven forces for the lecturers who are extrinsically motivated (Ryan & Deci, 2009). Brookhart and Freeman (1992) reviewed an American research that sought to determine the characteristics of incoming academic candidates, and they found that the reasons for choosing teaching were connected to intrinsic sources of motivation. For instance, studies in Malaysia indicate that personal fulfillment, the desire to work with student and to make a difference in their life and the opportunity to experience a meaningful engagement with the subject of their choice are intrinsic motivational factors (Bakar *et al.*, 2014).

However, extrinsic motivation was found to be significant to employee performance (Mafini & Dlodlo, 2014; Stringer *et al.*, 2011; Manolopoulos, 2008). The public sector is more likely to engage in extrinsic motivation rather than intrinsic motivation and this extrinsic motivation was related to better organizational outcomes (Mafini & Dlodlo, 2014), though overemphasizing on the use of extrinsic motivation could lead to negative effects (Kelsey, 2010). Extrinsically motivated adaptor may exert minimal effort to perform a task and may stop an activity when reinforcement discontinues and decreases the performance (Cheng & Yeh, 2009). In addition, it is hard to determine which motivational factors influence the intensity and quality of the production of intangible firm-specific pool resources, especially tacit knowledge (Osterloh *et al.*, 2002). Therefore, it could be a serious limitation, since it is not only the introduction of the distinction, but also the interplay between intrinsic motivation and extrinsic motivation and also with other factors. In order to keep the educators in the profession, it is important to build up a better understanding of what educators actually say about their motivation (Roness, 2011). Therefore,

identifying which type of motivation the lecturer is likely to engage with in order to improve their performance is essential.

The second issue that triggers the researcher's interest to explore the phenomena is the issues related to organizational citizenship behavior (OCB). Ongoing discussions about employee performance highlight that OCB is one of the significant reasons that affects one's performance (Nielson *et al.*, 2012; Kim, *et al.*, 2011; Bush & Jiao, 2011; Sevi, 2010; Nielson *et al.*, 2009). As proven by a few recent research (Nielson *et al.*, 2012; Kim *et al.*, 2011; Sevi, 2010), OCB is the significant approach that improves the employee's performance either in group or individually. However, Zeinabadi (2010) argued that most empirical evidence on OCB have been limited to non-educational settings. This argument has been further supported by the evidence from the Web of Science citation report from the 1995 to 2011, whereby there are only twenty eight journals published within sixteen years. The worrying part is that, the effect of OCB on employee performance is different among different jobs or industries (Qureshi *et al.*, 2011).

On top of that, ethical belief in OCB can only be strengthened by loyalty and self-sacrifice (Ehtiyar *et al.*, 2010). However, Choi *et al.*, (2015) argued that many of these behaviors may be exhibited only when the supervisor is present. In some cases, rewarding OCB could ensure the outcome of the organizations (Choi *et al.*, 2015). In addition, some researchers (Bush & Jiao, 2011; Sevi, 2010) tend to hold their focus on the direct relationship of OCB in overcoming the issues of employee performance. Therefore, based on the suggestion by Becton *et al.*, (2008), this study also explores the possible significant mediating effect of OCB within the context of motivation and employee performance relationship.

Lastly, accordingly, Wermke, (2011) and Earley (2010) urged that CPD should be used as one of the tools to evaluate employee performance in the educational settings, because the major function of CPD is to help employees improve their daily performance. For instance, studies on CPD have been widely done on teachers and school environment. According to Seezink and Poell, (2010), many schools are still struggling with their new responsibilities in shaping HR management and development policies, including fostering their teachers' continuing

professional development (CPD). Many teachers are having trouble moving into their new roles and tasks associated with competence-based education programs (from an expert into a coaching role) (Seezink & Poell, 2010). In addition, CPD for teachers is crucial for organizational growth and school improvement (Miller & Potter, 2014). One of the important criteria of being a professional is to keep on learning and developing personal skills and knowledge, and teachers' CPD is the key component to achieve it (Wermke, 2011). Indeed, teacher's CPD is crucial for student development, which in turn assists in economic growth and development of a country (Earley, 2010).

A study by Ishak *et al.*, (2009) has successfully developed a set of performance measurement to evaluate the employees in Malaysia's higher learning, which involves teaching and supervision, research and innovation, writing and publication, consultancy and services. All five dimensions showed significant results. However, the author has ignored the importance of CPD inside the measurement which the variables have drawing attention in school settings. Therefore, this study further investigates the importance of including CPD as one of the dimensions when evaluating employee performance among lecturers.

In summary, although the variables such as motivation, OCB and employee performance have been studied extensively, minimal efforts have been made to investigate the relationship of the variables among lecturers. In addition, the mediating role of OCB within the motivation and employee performance has also been tested. Therefore, this research is unique because it covers the loopholes existed in previous studies. This study will be conducted in Malaysia's Research University which represents the achievement of the higher learning industry in Malaysia. This study investigates the lecturers within these Research Universities because they are the crucial workforce that contributes to the success of these universities.

1.4   Research Questions

1.   Which motivation (intrinsic motivation or extrinsic motivation) is better in terms of explaining the variances of employee performance among lecturers in Malaysian higher education?

2.   Does OCB affect employee performance among lecturers in Malaysian higher education?

3.   Does the effect of OCB mediate the relationship between motivation on employee performance among lecturers in Malaysian higher education?

4.   Does CPD play a crucial role in measuring employee performance among lecturers in Malaysian higher education?

1.5   Research Objectives

1.   To identify which motivation (intrinsic motivation or extrinsic motivation) is better in terms of explaining the variances of employee performance among lecturers in Malaysian higher education.

2.   To examine the effect of OCB on employee performance among lecturers in Malaysian higher education.

3.   To identify the effect of OCB to mediate the relationship between motivation on employee performance among lecturers in Malaysian higher education.

4.   To determine the importance of CPD when measuring the employee performance among lecturers in Malaysian higher education.

1.6   Scope of the Study

There are several stages existed in the scope of this study. Firstly, the research is conducted among lecturers within the five Research Universities in Malaysia due to it well represent the Malaysia education industry. Another scope of the study is the data collection method, which is based on a quantitative research approach, where a questionnaire is employed as the research instrument to collect data which has been disseminated among the lecturers of the universities involved. Thirdly, four major variables are involved in this research, namely intrinsic motivation, extrinsic motivation, OCB and employee performance.

1.7   Significance of Study

1.7.1   Contribution to Knowledge and Higher Learning Industry

This study should address three important benefits that bring to the higher learning management team and the society. Firstly, much has been said that the role of lecturers should play in delivering knowledge to students. Education is an area of government that is under continual scrutiny due to fast changing environment. Moreover, teaching professionals are dealing with intensive knowledge delivery services. The call for commitment to lecturer's learning has increased tremendously, however, most likely from a concourse of forces (Abdullah *et al.*, 2008). This study will significantly counter the issue above by promoting a proper motivational system for increasing the level of lecturer's performance toward higher learning. From the results, the management team in the institutions could understand the variance of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation in affecting employee behavior and provide an initial insight to all higher learning institutions regarding the correct motivation they should provide to the lecturers. This initiative aims to motivate them to perform effectively and efficiently in the workplace. The findings will also help universities achieve better performance and increase the standard of higher learning in Malaysia.

Furthermore, this study should be able to decrease the negative behavior of the lecturers such as laziness and low publications if proper motivation is identified and implemented based on the results of the study.

Second, most organizations are implementing the Key Performance Index (KPI) system to evaluate the performance of their employees. Nevertheless, the Malaysian higher learning also implements the evaluation system to identify lecturer performance. However, the belief that the evaluation system in which universities operates is inadequate as they have ignored the important role of continuing professional development (CPD) when evaluating the academic staff performance (Frick & Kapp, 2006). CPD is a process by which individuals take control of their own learning and development by engaging in an on-going process of reflection and action (Megginson & Whitaker, 2007). CPD is important because it helps the employees to keep their skills, knowledge and experience up to date besides helping in succession planning. As a result, this study identifies the importance of adding CPD into the evaluation system as one of the elements when evaluating the academic staff's performance. Therefore, this study contributes to enhance and provide an accurate KPI system that needs to be implemented in most universities in Malaysia in the future.

Third, this study also attempts to investigate the relationship between OCB and employee performance among lecturers in Malaysia. The results should provide a valuable insight to the management team regarding the important role played by OCB in enhancing the lecturers' performance. Furthermore, the results should provide a direction or way that can enhance the relationship between employees and organizations. In addition, identifying the importance of OCB not only can increase the effort of the lecturer, but also the level of their performance too.

Fourth, this study also attempts to discover new knowledge that brings benefits to future researchers. In this regard, firstly, this study should justify the most suitable types of motivational instruments in explaining the variances of OCB in Malaysian higher education. Some researchers (e.g.: Alshurideh *et al.*, 2015; Tang *et al.*, 2008; Grant, 2008) found that intrinsic motivation is the driven force to perform OCB. However, some argued that extrinsic motivation is the external momentum that

encourages individuals to perform OCB in order to fulfil their external satisfactions (e.g.: Espejo, 2011; Bolino & Turnley, 2002). Therefore, this study should provide valuable insights and new knowledge on the most suitable types of motivation in justifying the relationship with OCB among lecturers.

Fifth, this study should provide the knowledge on the effect of OCB toward employee performance. It helps to understand the impact of individuals' helping behavior where members withhold their job efforts. Furthermore, this study attempts to develop a new set of performance measuring tools, specifically for the academic industry. Hence, the study should able to help future researchers who conduct exploration of employee performance in higher learning.

1.8   Conceptual and Operational Definition of Key Terms

Below are the definitions of terminologies used in the present study.

Intrinsic motivation. Intrinsic motivation was defined as those that individuals find interesting and would do in the absence of operationally separable consequences (Ryan & Deci, 2000). In this research, intrinsic motivation will be used to explain the lecturer's interest and fulfillment to perform their job even in the absence of external rewards.

Extrinsic motivation. Extrinsic motivation is the willingness to complete a task because of some separate outcome or rewards (Ryan & Deci, 2000). This research explains extrinsic motivation as the external source of motivation, such as monetary rewards and punishment that affect the lecturers in performing their job.

Organizational citizenship behavior (OCB). Organizational citizenship behavior is the discretionary contributory actions that are not explicitly rewarded by organizations, nonetheless enhance their effectiveness (Organ, 1988). In this research,

OCB is known as the discretionary behavior or the willingness of lecturer to help others without any rewards.

Employee performance. According to Rao (2004), performance is what is expected to be delivered by an individual or a set of individuals within a time frame. This research defines employee performance as the lecturer's sense of accomplishment to a given task measured against preset known standards.

1.9   Organization of the Remaining Chapters

This thesis is categorized into five chapters. Chapter One focuses on the background of the study, the research problem statement, research questions, and the research objectives. It also provides the scope of study justifies the selection of the research sector and defines key terms used.

Chapter Two is devoted to a literature review and deals with an overview of academic staff and higher education, specifically academic staff with the high OCB level. It also highlights the different perspectives of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation. The impacts of motivation and OCB on the overall employee performance respectively, are also presented. This chapter becomes the foundation of the research framework, and the hypotheses are developed based on the intense review of the literature.

Chapter Three describes the methodology used for data analysis. Issues discussed in this chapter include design of the questionnaire, research approach, administration of the questionnaire, sampling design, pilot study and the statistical techniques used to analyze and evaluate the research hypotheses.

Chapter Four deals with data analyses and the interpretation of the results based on data collected. The sample characteristics, reliability measures and the

results of the hypothesis are tested using different statistical techniques were duly presented.

Chapter Five discusses the results and its implications that are relevant to theory building and the administrators of university. Efforts are made to compare the present results with the results of previous studies so as to identify the gap between the present studies and previous findings. The limitations of the study and some suggestions for future research are concluded this chapter.

REFERENCES

Abdullah, M.M., Parasuraman, B., Muniapan, B., Koren, S. & Jones, M. (2008). Motivating factors associated with adult participation in distance learning program. *International Education Studies, vol.1*(4), pp.104-109.

Adu, E. O., & Okeke, C. I. O. (2014). Factors affecting lecturers' participation in continuing professional development (CPD*). Journal of Sociology and Social Anthropology, vol.5*(3), pp.271 – 281.

Ahmad, M.S., Malik, M.I., Sajjad,M., Hyder, S., Hussain, S. & Ahmed, J. (2014). Linking teacher empowerment with organizational commitment, professional commitment and organizational citizenship behavior. *Life Sciences Journal, vol.11*(4), pp.105-108.

Ahmadpoor, R., Yektayar, M. & Nazari, R. (2014). Structural equation modeling of relationship between organizational citizenship behavior and social capital with organizational learning among physical education teachers. *European Journal of Sports and Exercise Science, vol.3*(2), pp.39-44

Akerlind, G.S. & McAlpine, L. (2010). *Rethinking preparation of academic careers.* Becoming A Academic International Perspectives. Basingtoke: Palgrave Macmillan, pp.155-170.

Ali, M., Hayat, K., Sarwat, N. & Qureshi, J.A. (2011). Job attitudes as predictor of employee performance: Evidence from public sector of Pakistan. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research Business, vol.3*(4).

Allen, T.D., Eby, L.T., Poteet, M.L., Lentz, E., & Lima, L. (2004). Career benefits assocated with mentoring for protoges: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology,vol.89*(1),pp.127-136.

Allen, R.E. (ed.) (1991) *The Concise Oxford Dictionary, 8th ed.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Allen, T.D., & Rush, M.C. (1998). The effects of organizational citizenship behavior on performance judgments: A field study and a laboratory experiment. *Journal of Applied Psychology, vol.83*(2), pp.247-260.

Alshurideh, M., Alhadid, A.Y. & Barween, A. (2015). The effect of internal marketing on organizational citizenship behaviour an applicable study on university of Jordan employee. *International Journal of Marketing Studies, vol.7*(1), pp.138-145.

Amna Yousaf, Huadong Yang & Karin Sanders (2015). Effects of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation on task and contextual performance of Pakistani professionals: The mediating role of commitment foci. *Journal of Managerial Psychology, Vol.30*(2), pp.133-150

Anson, J.M. & Deci, E.L. (2013). *Do introjected beliefs and ego-involvement moderate the relationship between belief challenge and interpersonal defensiveness?* PhD Thesis, Department of Clinical and Social Sciences Psychology, School of Arts and Sciences, University of Rochester, NY.

Areepattamannil, S., Freeman, J.G., & Klinger, D.A. (2011). Intrinsic motivation, extrinsic motivation, academic achievement among Indian adolescents in Canada and India. *Social Psychology Education.*

Ariani, D.W. (2012). The relationship between social capital, organizational citizenship behaviors and individual performance: An empirical study from banking industry Indonesia. *Journal of Management Research, vol. 4(2),* pp.226-241.

Armstrong, M. (2000) *Performance Management. Key Strategies and Practical Guidelines, 2nd ed.* London: Kogan Page.

Arokiasamy, L., Ismail, M., Ahmad, A., & Othman, J. (2009). Background of Malaysia private institutions of higher learning and challenges faced by academics. *The Journal of International Social Research, vol.2*(8), pp.60-69.

Arokiasamy, A.R.A. (2010). *The Impact of Globalization on Higher Education in Malaysia.*

Babbie, E. (2007). *The Practice of Social Research,* Belmont: Thomson Wadsworth.

Bakar, A.R., Mohamed, S., Suhid, A. & Hamzah, R. (2014). So you want to be a teacher: What are your reason? *International Education Studies, vol.7*(11), pp.155-161.

Bandura, A. (1986). *Social foundations of thought and action: A social-cognitive view.* Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.

Banta, T. and Borden, V. (1994). Performance indicators for accountability and improvement. *New Directions for Institutional Research, vol. 82*, pp. 95-106.

Barnard, C.I. (1938). *The Functions of the Executive.* Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Barnett, T. (1992). A preliminary investigation of relationship between selected organizational characteristics and external whistleblowing by employees. *Journal of Business Ethics, vol.11*, pp.949-959.

Baron, R.M. & Kenny, D.A. (1986). The moderator mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, vol.51*(6), pp.1173-1182.

Barter, B. (2008). Rural Education: Learning to be Rural Lecturers. *Journal of Workplace Learning, vol.20*(7), pp.468-479.

Becton, J. B., Giles, W. F., & Schraeder, M. (2008). Evaluating and rewarding OCB's; potential consequences of formally incorporating organizational citizenship behavior in performance appraisal and reward system. *Employee relations, Vol.30 (5)*, pp.494- 514.

Belogolovsky, E. & Somech, A. (2011). Teachers' organizational citizenship behavior: Examining the boundary between in-role behavior and extra-role behavior from the perspective of teachers, principals and parents. *Teaching and Teacher Education, vol.26*, pp.914-923.

Benjamin, S.J., Marathamuthu, M.S., Muthaiyah, S. & Raman, M. (2011). Affordability of private tertiary education: A Malaysian study. *International Journal of Social Economics, vol.38*(4), pp.382-406.

Bettercourt, L., Meuter, M., & Gwinner, K. (2001). A comparison of attitude, personality and knowledge predictors of service oriented organizational citizenship behavior. *Journal of Applied Psychology, vol.86*(1), pp.29-41.

Biswas, S. & Varma, A. (2012). Antecedents of employee performance: An empirical investigation in India. Employee Relationship, vol.34(2), pp.177-192.

Blais, M.R., Sabourin, S., Boucher, C., & Vallerand, R.J. (1990). Toward a motivational model of couple happiness. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, vol.59*(5), pp.1021-1031.

Blau, P. (1964). *Exchange and power in social life.* New York: Wiley.

Blaxter, L., Hughes, C., & Tight, M. . (1998). *The Academic Career Handbook.* Buckingham: Open University Press.

Boerner, S., Eisenbeiss, S.A. & Griesser, D. (2007). Follower behavior and organizational performance: The impact of transformational leaders. *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies, vol.13*(3), pp.15-26.

Bolino, M.C., Turnley, W.H. & Bloodgood, J.M. (2002). Citizenship Behavior and the Creation of Social Capital in Organizations. *Academy of Management Review, vol.27*(4), pp.505–522.

Bolino, M.C. and Turnley, W.H., (2003). Going the extra mile: Cultivating and managing employee citizenship behavior. *Academy of Management Executive, vol.17*(3), pp.60-71.

Borden, K.S., & Abbott, B.B. (2008). *Research Design and Method* (7th Edition). Boston: McGraw Hill.

Borden, V., & Bottrill, K. (1994). Performance indicators: Histories, definitions and methods. *New Directions for Institutional Research, vol.82*, pp.5–21.

Borman, W.C., Brantley, L.B. & Hanson, M.A. (2014). Progress toward understanding the structure and determinants of job performance: A focus on task and citizenship performance. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment, vol.22*(4), pp.422-431.

Borman, W., & Motowildo, S. (1997). Task performance and contextual performance: The meaning for personnel selection research. *Human Performance, vol.10*(2), pp. 99-109.

Borman, W.C., & Motowidlo, S.J. (1993). *Expanding the criterion domain to include elements of contextual performance.* Personnel selection in organizations. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Brief, A.P., & Motowidlo, S.J. (1986). Prosocial organizational behaviors. *Academy of Management Review, vol.11*, pp.710-725.

Brodjonegoro, S.S. (2009), "Higher education reform in Indonesia", available at: www.tfhe.net/ resources/satryo_soemantri_brodjonegoro2.htm (accessed 27 February 2012).

Brookhart, S. M., & Freeman, D. J. (1992). Characteristics of entering teacher candidates. *American Educational Research Association, vol.62*(1), pp.37-60.

Bruque, S., Moyano, J. & Piccolo, R. (2015). OCB and external-internal social network: Effect on individual performance and adaptation to change. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management.*

Burton, M. & Johnson, A.S. (2010). "Where else would we teach?": Portraits of two lecturers in the rural South. *Journal of Teacher Education, vol.61*(4), pp.376-386.

Burrell, Gibson and Morgan, Gareth (1979), *Sociological Paradigms and Organisational Analysis*, Hants: Ashgate.

Bush, M.S. & Jiao, C.Q. (2011). Including organizational citizenship behavior in performance evaluation: An investigation of employee reaction. *International Journal of Business and Management, vol.6*(10).

Chalmers, D. & Gardiner, D. (2015). An evaluation framework for identifying the effectiveness and impact of academic teacher development programmes. *Study in Educational Evaluation.*

Chan, K.W., & Lam, W. (2011). The trade-off of servicing empowerment on employees' service performance: examining the underlying motivation and workload mechanisms. *Journal of Academic Marketing Sciences.*

Chatfield, C., & Collins, A. (1980). *Introduction to Multivariate Analysis.* Chapman and Hall.

Chauhan, C.P.S. (2008). Higher education: current status and future possibilities in Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Maldives, Nepal, Pakistan, and Sri Lanka. *Analytical Reports in International Education, Vol. 2*(1), pp. 29-48.

Cheng, Y.C., & Yeh, H.T. (2009). From concepts of motivation to its application in instructional design: Reconsidering motivation from an instructional design perspective. *British Journal of Education Technology, vol.40*(4), pp.597 – 605.

Choi, B.K., Moon, K.H. & Chun, J.U. (2015). Impression management motive and voice: Moderating effects of self-monitoring, self-efficiancy, and voice instrumentality. *Asia Journal of Social Psychology.*

Christophersen, K.A., Elstad, E., Solhaug, T., & Turmo, A. (2015). Explaining motivational antecedents of citizenship behavior among preservice teachers. *Education Sciences, vol.(5)*, pp.126 – 145.

Cohen, A., Ben-Tura, E. & Vashdi, D.R. (2012). The relationship between social exchange variables, OCB and performance: What happened when you consider group characteristics? *Personal Review, vol.41* (6), pp.705-731.

Committee of Vice-Chancellors and Principals and University Grants Committee (1986). *Performance Indicators in Universities: A First Statement by a Joint CVCP/UGC Working Group*, CVCP, London.

Coolican, H. (1994). *Research methods and statistics in psychology* (2nd ed.). London: Hodder & Stoughton.

Cozby, P. C. (2005). *Methods in behavioral research* (9th ed.). Boston: McGraw-Hill.

Cullen, B. (1987). Performance indicators in UK higher education: progress and prospects. *International Journal of Institutional Management in Higher Education, vol.11*, p.2

Cun, X. G. (2012). Public service motivation and job satisfaction, organizational citizenship behavior. An empirical study based on the sample of employees in Guangzhou public sectors. *Chinese Management Studies, vol.6*(2), pp.330-340.

Csikszentmihalyi, M, Abuhamdeh, S. & Nakamura, J. (2014). *Flow of the Foundations of Positive Psychology*. Springer, Netherlands.

Creswell, J.W. (2003). *Research design: qualitative, quantitative and mixed method approaches*, Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Cviko, A., McKenney, S. & Voogt, J. (2014). Teachers roles in designing technology-rich learning activities for early literacy. *Computers and Education, vol.72* pp.68-79.

Dadds, M. (2006). Continuing professional development: nurturing the expert within. *British Journal of Inservice Education, vol.23*(1), pp.71-84.

Daley, B.J. (2000). Learning in professional practice. *New Directions in Adult and Continuing Education, vol.86*, pp.33-41.

Davies, G. (1974). On the contribution of organizational analysis the srudy of the educational institutions. In R. Brown (*Ed.*), *Knowledge, Education ad Cultural Change* (pp.274-296). London: Tavistock.

Dawes, J. (2008). "Do data characteristics change according to the number of scale points used?" *International Journal of Market Research, vol. 50*(1), pp 61-77.

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R.M. (1985). The general causality orientations scale: Self determination in personality. *Journal of Research in Personality, vol.19*, pp.109-134.

DeGroot, T., & Brownlee, A. L. (2006). Effect of department structure on the organizational citizenship behavior-department effectiveness relationship. *Journal of Business Research, vol.59*(10-11), pp.1116-1123.

DiPaola, M. & Tschannen-Moran, M. (2014). Organizational citizenshp behaviour in school and its relationship to school climate. *Journal of School Leardership, vol.11*, pp.424-442.

DiPoala, M.F. & Mendes van Costa Neves, P.M. (2009). Organizational citizenship behaviors in American and Portuguese public schools. Measuring the construct across cultures. *Journal of Educational Administration, vol.47*(4), pp.490-507.

Dipoala, M. & Tschannen-Moran, M. (2001). Organizational citizenship behavior in schools and its relationship to school climate. *Journal of School Leadership, vol.1*, pp.424-447.

Dörnyei, Z. & Ushioda, E. (2013). *Teaching and researching: Motivation 2nd Edition*. NY: Routledge.

Drennan, L.T. & Beck, M. (2000). Teaching and research – Equal partners or poor relations? *Qualitative Evidence-based Practice Conference*, Coventry University, 15-17 May 2000.

Drennan, L.T. (2001). Quality Assessment and the tension between Teaching and Research. *Quality in Higher Education, vol.7*(3), pp.167-178.

D'Souza, J.B. (2014). A study of teacher's burnout in relation to organizational citizenship behaviors and teaching experience. *AU Journal of Management, vol.2*(2), pp.20-27.

Earley, P. (2010). *Continuing professional development of teachers, Primary and secondary education - Learning and teaching in school age education*. University of London, UK, London.

Edwards, J.R., & Lambert, L.S. (2007). Methods for integrating moderation and mediation: A general analytical framework using moderated path analysis. *Psychological Methods, vol.12*, pp.1-22.

Ehrhart, M.G., Bliese, P.D. & Thomas, J.L. (2006). Unit level organizational citizenship behavior and unit effectiveness: Examining the incremental effects of helping behavior. *Human Performance, vol.19*(2), pp.159–173.

Ehtiyar, V.R., Alan, A.A & Ömüriş, E. (2010). The role of organizational citizenship behavior on university student's academic success. *Tourism and Hospitality Management, vol. 16*(1), pp. 47-61.

Elmes, D.G., Kantowitz, B.H., and Roediger, H.L. (2006). *Research Methods in Psychology*. Belmont, Thomson Wadsworth.

Espejo, A. (2011). Role perceptions and motivations to perform organizational citizenship behaviors. *Academia, Revista Latinoamericana de Administration, vol.48*, pp.1-14

Eyal, O. & Roth, G. (2011). Principles' leadership and teachers' motvation: Self-Determination theory analysis. *Journal of Educational Administration, vol.49*(3), pp.256-275.

Forrester, G. (2011). Performance management in education: Milestone or millstone? *Management in Education, vol.25*(1), pp.5-9.

Frick, L. & Kapp, C. (2009). Continuing Professional Development (CPD) of lecturers in the natural sciences: Enhancing the quality of learning. *Education as Change, vol.10*(2), pp.81-96.

Gagne, M., Senecal, C., & Koestner, R. (1997). Proximal job characteristics, feelings of empowerment, and intrinsic motivation: a multidimensional model. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, vol.27*, pp.1222–1240.

Garg, P., & Rastogi, R. (2006). Climate profile and OCBs of teachers in public and private schools of India. *International Journal of Educational Management, Vol.20*(7), pp.529-541.

Gibb, S. & Megginson, D. (1999). *Employee development in commercial and general union. In Redman, T. and Wilkinson, A. (Eds)* Contemporary Human Resource Management. Harlow, Pearson.

Given, L. (2008). The SAGE encyclopedia of qualitative research methods. Sage Publication, London.

Gliddon, D. G. (2009) *Performance Management Systems, in Handbook of Improving Performance in the Workplace.* Vol 1-3 (eds K. H. Silber, W. R. Foshay, R. Watkins, D. Leigh, J. L. Moseley and J. C. Dessinger), John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA.

Goodwin, M.H. (2002). Exclusion in Girls' Peer Groups: Ethnographic Analysis of Language Practices on the Playground. *Human Development vol.45*(6), pp.392–415.

Gorozidis, G. & Papiaoannou, A.G. (2014). Teachers' motivation to participate in training and to implement innovations. *Teaching and Teacher Education, vol.39*, pp.1-11.

Gouldner, A.W. (1959). *Organizational tensions. In R. Merton (Ed.),* Sociology Today, pp.413-421, New York: Basic Book.

Gouldner, A.W. (1960). The norm of reciprocity: A preliminary statement. *American Sociological Review, vol.25*, pp.161-178.

Grant, A.M. (2008). Does intrinsic motivation fuel the prosocial fire? Motivational synergy in predicting persistence, performance, and productivity. *Journal of Applied Psychology, vol.93*(1), pp.48–58.

Gravetter, F.J. & Forzano, L.B. (2009). *Research Methods for the Behavioral Sciences.* 3rd Edition, Belmont, CA: Wadsworth.

Green, S.B., Salkind, N.J., & Akey, T.M. (1997). *Using SPSS for Windows: Analyzing and understanding data.* Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Gul, H. (2010). Evaluation of lecturer performance depending on student perception in higher education. *Education and Science, vol.35*(158).

Hair, J.F. Jr. , Anderson, R.E., Tatham, R.L., & Black, W.C. (1998). *Multivariate Data Analysis,* (5th eds). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Hakim, W., Nimran, U., Haerani, S. & Alam, S. (2014). The antecedents of organizational citizenship behavior (OCB) and their effect on performance: Study on public university in Makassar, South Sulawesi, Indonesia. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management, vol.16*(2), pp.05-13.

Hassan, N., Razak, N.A., Mojolou, D.N., Aziz, R.A., & Ali, S. (2015). Critical factors in organizational change and employee performance. *Proceeding of the Colloqium on Administrative Science and Technology,* pp.51-59.

Hazzi, O.A. & Maldaon, I.S. (2012). Prosocial organizational behaviours: The lifeline of organizations. *European Journal of Economics, Finance and Administration Sciences, Issue 54,* pp.106-114.

Herzog, T. (1996). *Research Methods in the Social Sciences,* Harper Collins, New York.

Hoffman, B.J., Blair, C.A., Meriac, J.P., & Woehr, D.J. (2007). Expanding the criterion domain? A quantitative review of the OCB literature. *Journal of Applied Psychology, vol.92*(2), pp.555-566.

Honegger, K., & Appelbaum, S.H. (1998). The impact of perceived control and desire to be empowered: an analysis of perception and reality. *Managing Service Quality, vol.8*(6), pp.426-438.

Howitt, D. & Cramer, D. (2008). *Introduction to Research Methods in Psychology* (2nd eds.). Harlow, England: FT Prentice Hall.

Hull, C. L. (1943). *Principles of behavior.* New York: Appleton–Century–Crofts.

Hung, M.C., Chang, I. & Hwang, H. (2011). Exploring academic teachers' continuance toward the web-based learning systems: The role of casual attributions. *Computer and Education, vol.57*(2), pp.1530- 1543

Imer, P.H., Kabasakal, H. & Dastmalchian, A. (2014). Personality and contextual antecedents of organizational citizenship behaviour: A study of two occupational groups. *Journal of Management and Organization, Vol.20 (4),* pp.441-462.

Inandi, Y. & Buyukozkan, A.S. (2013). The effect of organizational citizenship behaviors of primary school teachers on their burnout. *Education and Educational Research, vol.13*(3), pp.1545-1550.

Income Data Services, 1999. *Career Management.* London, Income Data Services

Industrial Relations Services, 1998. *Learning Strategies.* January, Issue 8. London, IRS.

Ishak, M.I.M, Suhaida, M.S. & Yuzainee, M.Y. (2009). Performance measurement indicators for academic staff in Malaysia private higehr education institutions: A case study in UNITEN. *PMA Conference 2009,* University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand.

Islam, T., Anwar, F., Khan, S.U.R., Rasli, A., Ungku Ahmad, U.N. and Ahmed, I. (2012). Investigating the mediating role of organizational citizenship behavior between organizational learning culture and knowledge sharing. *World Applied Sciences Journal, vol.19*(6), pp.795-799.

Jaafar, R. (2006). Continuing professional development: Roles of individual lecturer and the institution. *Malaysia Journal of Medical Science, vol.13*(1), pp. 1 - 2.

Jahangir, N., Akbar, M.M., & Haq, M. (2004). Organizational citizenship behavior: Its nature and antecedents. *BRAC University Journal, vol.1*(2), pp.75-85.

Jain, P.K. & Sharma, K. (2014). Synthesis review of organizational citizenship behaviour in the academic community of selected state universities of Rajasthan. *International Journal of Research in Management and Social Science, vol.2*(2), pp. 20-26.

Jenkins, M. & Griffith, R. (2004). Using personality constructs to predict performance: Narrow or broad bandwidth. *Journal of Business and Psychology, vol.19*(2), pp. 255-269.

Jarratt Report (1985). *Report of the Steering Committee for Efficiency Studies in Universities*. CVCP, London

Jin .L (2007). An investigation of factors influencing employee service performance from multidimensional perspective: A study of Chinese Service Organization.

Kang, H.Y., Shen, J. & Xu, G. (2015). International training and management development policies and practices of South Korea MNEs in China. *Thunderbird International Business Review*.

Kankanhalli, A., Tan, B. C. Y., Kwok-Kee, W., (2005). Contributing knowledge to electronic knowledge repositories: an empirical investigation. *MIS Quarterly vol.29* (1), pp.113–143.

Karlsson, C. (2008). *Handbook of Research and Innovation and Clusters*. Edward Elgar Publishing Limited, UK.

Kassahun, T. (2010). Rethinking institutional excellence in Ethiopia: Adapting and adopting the balance scorecard (BSC) model. *Journal of Business Administrative Science, vol. 2*(1), pp.22-53.

Katz, D., & Kahn, R. L. (1987). *The social psychology of organizations*. NY: Wiley.

Katzell, R.A., Yankelovich, D., (1975). *Work, productivity, and job satisfaction*. The Psychological Corporation, New York.

Kaur, A., Hashim, R.A. & Noman, M. (2015). Teacher autonomy support intervention as a classroom practice in Thai school: A self-determination theory perspective. Journal of Multicultural Education, vol.9(1), pp.10-27 .

Kelsey, J. (2010). The negative impact of rewards and ineffective praise on student motivation. *ESSAI: vol. 8*, Article 24.

Kennedy, A. (2005). Models of continuing professional development: A framework for analysis. *Journal of In-service Education, vol.31*(2), pp.235-250.

Kennedy, A. & McKay, J. (2011). Beyond induction: The continuing professional development needs of early-career teachers in Scotland. *Professional Development in Education, vol.37*(4), pp.551-569.

Khan, D.S (2012). Assessing and evaluating continuing professional development. *International Journal of English and Literature, vol.4*(4), pp.184-185.

Khasawneh, S. (2011). Shared leadership and organizational citizenship behavior in Jordanian public universities: Developing a global workforce for the 21st Century. *Educational Management Administration and Leadership, vol.39*(5), pp.621-634.

Khazaei, K., Khalkhali, A. & Eslami, N. (2011). Relationship between organizational citizenship behavior and performance of school teachers in West of Mazadaran province. *World Applied Sciences Journal, vol.13*(2), pp.324-330.

Kim, B.C., Losekoot, E. , & Milne, S. (2011). *Impact of psychological empowerment for individual service workers*. Paper presented at the The 2nd International Research Symposium in Service Management, Yogyakarta, Indonesia.

Kingdon, G. and Teal, F. (2003). Does performance related pay for teachers improve student performance? Some evidence from India. Available at: www.williams.edu/Economics/neudc/papers/performancepay18oct02.pdf (accessed 3 February 2012).

Koys, D. J. (2001). The effects of employee satisfaction, organizational citizenship behavior, and turnover on organizational effectiveness: A unit-level, longitudinal study. *Personnel Psychology, vol.54*(1), pp.101-114.

Krejcie, R. V., & Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational and Psychological Measurement, vol.30*, pp.607-610

Kumar, R. (2005). Research Methodology: A Step-by-step Guide for Beginners (2nd eds). London: Sage Publisher.

Kumar, N. and Idris, K. (2006). An examination of educational institutions' knowledge performance. *The Learning Organization, vol.13*(1), pp.96-116.

Lam, S.F., Cheng, R.W.Y. & Choy, H.C. (2010). School support and teacher motivation to implement project-based learning. *Learning and Instruction, vol.20*, pp. 487-497.

Lee, J.Q., McInerney, D.M., Liem, G.A.D., & Ortiga, Y.P. (2010). The relationship between future goals and achievement goal orientations: An intrinsic-

Extrinsic motivation perspective. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, vol.35*, pp.264-279.

Lee, Y.J. (2011). Research on school organizational change and its impact on organizational effectiveness with organizational citizenship behavior and organizational culture as mediators. *African Journal of Business Management, vol.5*(30), pp.12086-12098.

Lev, S. & Koslowskyn, M. (2012). Teacher gender as the moderator of on-the-job embeddedness-OCB relationship. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, vol.42*(1), pp.81-99.

Levin, H.M. (1991). Raising productivity in higher education. *The Journal of Higher Education, vol.62*(3), pp.241-262.

Liu, W.C., & Fang, C.L. (2010). The effect of different motivation factors on knowledge-sharing willingness and behavior. *Social Behavior and Personality, vol.38*(6), pp.753-758.

Mafini, C. & Dlodlo, N. (2014). The relationship between extrinsic motivation, job satisfaction and life satisfaction amongst employee in public organization. *South Africa Journal of Industrial Psychology, vol.40*(1), pp.1041-1090.

Maicibi N.A (2003). *Pertinent issues in employees' management: human resource and educational management*. Kampala. Net Media Monitor Publishers.

Mallin, M.L., & Pullins, E.B. (2009). The moderating effect of control systems on the relationship between commission and salesperson intrinsic motivation in a customer oriented environment. *Industrial marketing management, vol.38*, pp.769-777.

Malone, T.W., & Lepper, M. R. (1987). Making learning fun. A taxanomy of intrinsic motivation for learning. In R. E. Snow & M. J. Farr (Eds). *Apttitude, Learning, and Instruction. Conative and Affective Process Analysis, Vol. 3*, pp.223 – 253.

Mannion, R. (2014). Take the money and run: The challenge of desinging and evaluating financial incentives in healthcare; comment on "paying for performance in healthcare organization". *International Journal of Health Policy Management, vol.2*(2), pp.95-96.

Manolopoulos, D. (2008). An evaluation of employee motivation in the extent public sector in Greece. *Employee Relationship, vol.30*(1), pp.63-85.

Mansfield, C.F., Wosnitza, M. & Beltman, S. (2012). Goals for teaching: Towards a framework for examining motivation of graduting teachers. *Australia Journal of Educational Development Psychology, vol.12*, pp.21-34.

Mansour, N., EL-Deghaidy, H., Alshamrani, S. & Aldahmash, A. (2014). Rethinking the theory and practice of continuing professional development: Science teacher's perspectives. *Research of Science Education, vol.44*(6), pp.949-973.

Maran, K. & Usha, P.S. (2014). Relationship between transformational leadership and organizational citizenship behavior in Indian Banking Sector. *International Journal of World Research, vol.1*(8), pp.60-67.

Max, R. (1978). *Teacher attitudes towards bureaucracy, professionalism and militancy.* Unpublished doctoral desertation, Rutgers University. Desertation Abstracts International.

McClelland, D. C. (1961). *The achieving society.* New York: Free Press.

McBurney, D.H. & White, T.L. (2007). *Research methods* (7th ed.). Thomson Wadsworth..

Megginson, D. & Whitaker, V. (2007). *Continuing professional development (2nd eds).* Charted Institues of Personnel Development, CIPD House, Camp Road, London.

Merton, R. & Lerner, D. (1951). Social scientists and research policy. In D. Lerner & R. Lasswell (eds.), *The Policies Sciences.* Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University Press.

Meyers, M.B., Griffith, D.A., & Daugherty, P.J. (2004). Maximizing the human capital equation in logistics: Education, experience, and skills. *Journal of Business and Logistics, vol.25*, pp.211-232.

Miller, P. & Porter, I. (2014). Teacher CPD across borders: Reflections on how a study tour to England helped to change practice and praxis among Jamaica teachers. *International Journal of Education and Practice, vol.2*(1), pp.9-20.

Ministry of Higher Education, (MOHE). Education Development Retrieved 11 June, 2011, from http://www.mohe.gov.my

Mitchell, M.L. & Jolley, J.M. (2004). *Research Design Explained.* 5th edition. Victoria: Wadsworth Publisher.

Mokhele, M. L. & Jita, L. C. (2010). South African teachers' perspectives on continuing professional development: A case study of the Mpumalanga

Secondary Science Initiative. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences, vol.9*, pp.1762-1766.

Molm, L. D., (1997). *Coercive Power in Social Exchange.* Cambridge University Press, New York.

Muthanna, A. (2015). Quality education improvement: Yemen and the problem of the "brain drain". *Policy Futures in Education*

Najafi, S., Noruzy, A., Azar, H.K., Nazari-Shirkouhi, S. & Dalvand, M.R. (2011). Investigating the relationship between organizational justice, psychological empowerment, job satisfaction, organizational commitment and organizational citizenship behavior: An empirical model. *African Journal of Business Management, vol.5*(13), pp.5241-5248.

Nazila, K. & Ali, S.S. (2014). Prediction of working motivation through job characteristics: The role of internal motivation, general and growth satisfaction. *International Journal of Education and Applied Sciences, vol.1*, pp.30-41.

Niehoff, B.P. (2005). A theoretical model of the influence of organizational citizenship behaviors on organizational effectiveness, in: D. Turnipseed (Ed.), *New Research in Organizational Citizenship Behaviors, Nova, New York, pp.385–397.*

Nielson, T.M., Hrivnak, G.A. & Shaw, M. (2009). Organizational citizenship behavior and performance: A meta-analysis of group-level research. *Small Group Research, vol.40*(5), pp.555-577.

Nielson, T.M., Bachrach, D.G., Sundstorm, E. & Halfhill, T.R. (2012). Utility of OCB: Organizational citizenship behavior and group performance in a resource allocation framework. *Journal of Management, vol38*(2), pp.668-694.

Norusis, M. J. (1993a). *Chapter 3: Cluster analysis. In SPSS for Windows Professional Statistics Release 6.0*, USA: SPSS Inc.

Oliver, Richard L. and Erin Anderson (1994). An Empirical Test of the Consequences of Behavior- and Outcome-Based Sales Control Systems. *Journal of Marketing, Vol.58* (4), pp.53- 67.

Oluniyi, O. (2013). Curriculum development in Nigeria: Historical perspectives. *Journal of Education and Social Research, Vol.3* (1), pp.73-80.

Organ, D.W. (1988). *Organizational citizenship behavior. The good soldier syndrome.* MA: Lexington.

Organ, D.W. (1990). *The motivational basis of organizational citizenship behavior* (Vol. 12). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

Organ, D.W. (1997). Organizational citizenship behavior: It's construct clean-up time. *Human Performance, Vol.10*(2), pp.85-97.

Organ, D.W., Podsakoff, P.M., & MacKenzie, S.B. (2006). *Organizational citizenship behavior: Its nature, antecedents, and consequences.* Sage.

Osterloh, M., Frost, J., & Frey, B. (2002). The dynamics of motivation in new organizational forms. *International Journal of the Economics of Business, vol.9*(1), pp.61–78.

Otara, A. (2014). Rethinking university education: A navigation in the emerging knowledge economy in Africa. *Journal of Education and Human Development, vol.3*(1), pp.449-471.

Papi, A. & Nuralizadeh, R. (2014). Investigating the relationship between the quality of working life and emergence of organizational citizenship beahviour among primary school teachers in Andimeshk. *International Journal on New Trends in Education and Literature, vol.1*(4), pp.32-42

Patrick, B.A. (2015). Performance management and citizen induced financial sanctions and incentives in education: Is there public support? *Journal of Public Management and Social Policy, vol.20*(2), pp.174-191.

Pearse, N. (2011). Deciding on the scale granulity of respons categories of Likert type Scale: The case of a 21-Point Scale. *Electonic Journal of Business Research Methods, vol.9*(2), pp.159 – 171.

Piercy, N.F., Lane, N., and Cravens, D.W. (2002). A gender perspective on salesperson organizational citizenship behavior, sales manager control strategy and sales unit effectiveness. *Women in Management Review, vol.17* (8), pp. 373-391.

Podsakoff, N.P., Blume, B.D., Whiting, S.W., & Podsakoff, P.M. (2009). Individual- and organizational-level consequences of organizational citizenship behaviors: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology, vol.94*(1), pp.122-141.

Podsakoff, P.M., Mackenzie, S.B., Paine, J.B., & Bachrach, D.G. (2000). Organizational citizenship behaviors: a critical review of the theoretical and empirical literature and suggestions for future research. *Journal of Management, vol.26*, pp. 513-563.

Pollanen, R. (2005). Performance measurement in municipalities: Empirical evidence in Canadian context. *International Journal of Public Sector Management, vol.18*(1), pp.4-24.

Pursglove, J & Simpson, M. (2007). Benchmarking the performance of English universities. *Benchmarking: An International Journal, vol.14*(1), pp.102-122.

Oplatka, I. (2009). Organizational citizenship behavior in teaching – The consequences for teachers, pupils and the schools. *International Journal of Education Management, vol.23*(5), pp.375-389.

Quigley, N.R., & Tymon, W.G.Jr. (2006). Towards an integrated model of intrinsic motivation and career self-management. *Career Development International, vol.11*(6), pp.522-543.

Qureshi, J.A., Zeb, A.S.F. & Saifullah, K. (2011). The effect of self-esteem and organizational identification on organizational citizenship behavior: A case of Pakistani public sector university. *African Journal of Business Management, vol.5*(9), pp.3448-3456.

Rajkaran, S & Mammen, K.J. (2014). Identifying key performance indicators for academic departments in a comprehensive university through a consensus-based approach: A South Africa case study. *Journal of Sociology and Social Anthropology, vol.5*(3), pp.283-294.

Ramstad, E. (2015). Can high-involvement inovation practices improve productivity and the quality of working-life simultaneousy? Managementand employee views on comparison. *Nordic Journal of Working Life Studies, vol.4*(4), pp.25-45

Rao, T.V. (2004). *Performance management and appraisal system: HR tools for global competitiveness.* New Delhi: Sage Publication.

Rasheed, A., Jehanzeb, K. & Rasheed, M. F. (2013). An investigation of the antecedents of organizational citizenship behaviour: Case of Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Psychological Studies, vol.5*(1).

Ray, C. (2008). Back to the future: Digital decision making. *Information and Communications Techonology Law, vol.17*(3), pp.199-220.

Remenyi, D., Williams, B., Money, A., & Swartz, E., (1998). *Doing Research in Business and Management. An Introduction to Process and Method*, London: Sage.

Rencher, A.C. (2002). *Methods of Multivariate Analysis* (2ⁿᵈ eds). John Wiley & Sons, US.

Richardson, J., & Zikic, J. (2007). The darker side of international academic career. *Career Development International, vol.12*(2), pp.164-186.

Ritchie, J. & Lewis, J. (2003). *Qualitative Research Practice. A Guide for Social Sciences Students and Researchers*. Sage Publication, London

Ritzer, G. & Walczak, D. (1986). *Working, conflict and change. Englewood Cliffs*, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Roness, D., & Smith, K. (2010). Stability in motivation during teacher education. *Journal of Education for Teaching, vol.36*(2), pp.169-185.

Roness, D. (2011). Still motivated? The motivation for teaching during the second year in the professional. *Teaching and Teacher Education, vol.21*, pp.628-638.

Rook, K. S. (1987). Reciprocity of social exchange and social satisfaction among older women. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, vol.52*, pp.145-154.

Rosnow, R.L., & Rosenthal, R. (2008). *Beginning Behavioral Research: A Conceptual Primer* (6th ed.). Upper Saddle, NJ: Pearson/Prentice Hall.

Rousseau D. M. (1990). New hire perceptions of their own and their employers' obligations: A study of psychological contracts. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, vol.11*, pp. 389–400.

Rust, V.D. & Kim, S. (2015). Globalization and global university rankings. *Second International Handbook on Globalisation, Education and Policy Research*, pp.168-180.

Ryan, R.M., & Deci, E.L. (2000). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation: Classic definitions nad new directions. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, vol.25*, pp.54-67.

Ryan, R.M., & Deci, E. L. (2009). *Promoting self-determined school engagement: Motivation, learning, and well-being*. New York: Routledge.

Salkind, N.J.(2000). *Exploring Research* (4ᵗʰ eds). Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ.

Schat, A.C.H. & Frone, M.R. (2011). Exposure to psychological aggression at work and job performance: The mediating role of job attitudes and personal health. *Work & Stress, vol.25*(1), pp.23-40.

Scheerens, J. (2011). *Teachers' professional development.* European Union, Luxembourg, Belgium.

Schein, E.H. (1990). A general philosophy of helping: Process consultation. *Sloan Management Review, vol.31*(3), pp.57-64.

Schweigert, W.A. (1994). *Research Methods and Statiscs for Psychology.* California: Books/Cole Publishing Company.

Scott, W.R. (1981). *Organizations- rational, natural and open systems.* Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Seezink, A. & Poell, R.F. (2010). Continuing professional development needs of teachers in school for competence-based vocational education : A case study from the Netherlands. *Journal of European Industrial Training, vol.34*(5), pp.455-474.

Sekaran, U. (2003). *Research methods for business (4th ed.).* Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, p.118.

Selamat, S.R. (2010). *The relationship between intrinsic motivation and extrinsic motivation on orgniazaitonal citizenship behavior (OCB) among teachers.* Thesis: UUM, Malaysia

Sevi, E. (2010). Effects of organizational citizenship behaviour on group performance: Results from an agent-based simulation model. *Journal of Modelling in Management, vol. 5*(1), pp.25 - 37.

Sevin, E. (2009). Relation between motivations and personality traits for autonomous virtual humans. 8th International Conference on Autonomous Agent and Multiagent systems. 10-15 May 2009, Budapest, Hungary, pp.1131-1132.

Shahin, A., Naftchali, J.S. & Pool, J.K. (2014). Developing a model for the influence of perceive organizational climate on organizational citizenship behaviour and organizational performance based on baance score card. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management, vol.63*(3), pp.290-310.

Shaughnessy, J. M., Canada, D., & Ciancetta, M. (2003). Middle school students' thinking about variability in repeated trials: A cross -task comparison. In N. Pateman, B. Dougherty, & J. Zillox, (Eds.), *Proceedings of 27th Conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education, vol.4,* pp.159-166) Honolulu, USA.

Singh, S.K. (2011). The role of staff development in the professional development of lecturers: implications for in-service training. *South African Journal of Higher Education, vol.25*(8) pp. 1626-1638.

Smith, C.A., Organ, D.W., & Near, J. P. (1983). Organizational citizenship behavior: Its nature and antecedents. *Journal of Applied Psychology, vol. 68*, pp.653–663.

Somech, A., & Ron, I. (2007). Promoting organizational citizenship behavior in schools: The impact of inidicidual and organizational characteristics. *Educational Administration Quarterly, vol.43*(1), pp.38-66.

Somech, A. & Oplatka, I. (2014). *Organizational Citizenship Behavior in Schools.* Routledge, NY.

Spata, A. V. (2003). *Research Methods: Science and Diversity.* John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY.

Stangor, C. (2007). *Research methods for the behavioral sciences.* Boston, MA: Houghton Mifflin Company.

Steyn, T. (2010). The perceptions of teaching staff from Nigerian independent schools of a South African professional development workshop. *South African Journal of Education, vol.30*, pp. 539-553.

Steyn, T. (2011). Implementing continuing teacher professional development: Policy and practice. *Acta Academic, vol.43*(1), pp. 211-233.

Stringer, C., Didham, J., & Theivananthampillai, P. (2011). Motivation, pay satisfaction, and job satisfaction of front-line employees. *Qualitative Research in Accounting and Management, vol.8*(2), pp.161-179.

Sukirno, D.S. & Siengthai, S. (2011). Does participative decision making affect lecturer performance in higher education? *International Journal of Educational Management, vol.25*(5), pp.494-508.

Sun, L., Aryee, S., & Law, K. S. (2007). High-performance human resource practices, citizenship behavior, and organizational performance: A relational perspective. *Academy of Management Journal, vol.50*(3), pp.558-577.

Tan, Y.H., Nur N.A.M. & Huam, H.T. (2014). Role of OCB and demographic factors in relationship of motivation and employee performance. *Intangible Capital, vol.10*(3), pp.425-447

Tang, T.L.P, Sutarso, T., Davis, G.M.T.W., Dolinski, D., Ibrahim, A.H.S. & Wagner, S.L. (2008). To help or not to help? The good Samaritan effect and love of money on helping behavior. *Journal of Business Ethics, vol.82*, pp.865-887.

Teixeira, P.J., Carraca, E.V., Markland, D., Silva, M.N. Ryan, R.M. (2012). Excersie, physical activity, and self-determination theory: A systematic review. *International Journal if Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, vol.9*(78), pp.1-30.

Thomas, K. W., & Tymon, W. G. Jr. (1997). Bridging the motivation gap in total quality. *Quality Management Journal, vol.4*(2), pp.80-96.

Thomas, K.W. and Tymon, W.G. Jr (1994). Does empowerment always work: understanding the role of intrinsic motivation and personal interpretation. *Journal of Management Systems, Vol. 26 (2)*, pp. 1-13.

Thomas, K.W., & Velthouse, B.A., (1990). Cognitive elements of empowerment: an interpretive model of intrinsic task motivation. *Academy of Management Review, vol.15*(4), pp.666- 681.

Turk, K. (2008). Performance appraisal and the compensation of academic staff in the University of Tartu. *Baltic Journal of Management, vol.3*(1), pp.40-54.

Umashankar, V. and Dutta, K. (2007). Balanced scorecards in managing higher education institutions: an Indian perspective. *International Journal of Educational Management, vol.21*(1), pp.54 – 67.

Vallerand, R. J., (1997). Toward a hierarchical model of intrinsic and extrinsic motivation. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology, vol.29*, pp.271–360.

Vallerand, R. J., Salvy, S. J., Mageau, G. A., Elliot, A. J., Denis, P. L., Grouzet, F. M. E. and Blanchard, C., (2007). On the role of passion in performance. *Passion and Performance, vol.,* (1), pp.505 – 534.

Van Dyne, L., Cummings, L.L., & Mclean, J.P. (1995). Extra-role behaviors: in pursuit of construct and definitional clarity. *Research in Organizational Behavior, vol.17*, pp. 215-285.

Vroom, V. (1964). *Work and motivation.* In H.J Chruden Sherman Jr. (pp. 272).

Wan, S.W.Y. & Lam, P.H.C. (2010). Factors Affecting Lecturers' Participation in Continuing Professional Development (CPD): Hong Kong Primary School Lecturers' Perspectives. Paper Presented at the 2010 AERA Annual Meeting, Colorado, USA. From (Retrieved on April 30-May 4, 2010).

Wang, D. & Zhang, D. (2010). Relationship among organizational support, organizational commitment and organizational citizenship behavior of university faculty members. *2010 International Conference on Management Science and Engineering (17th)*, 24-26 November, Melbourne, Australia.

Wermke, W. (2011). A question of trustworthiness? Teachers' perceptions of knowledge sources in the continuing professional development marketplace in Germany and Sweden. *Teaching and Teacher Education, vol.28*, pp.618-627.

Werner, J.M., (1994). Dimensions that make a difference: Examining the impact of in-role and extra-role behaviors on supervisory ratings. *Journal of Applied Psychology, vol.79*(1), pp.98-107.

White, R.W. (1959). Motivation reconsidered: The concept of competence. *Psychological Review, vol.66*, pp.297-333.

Wilensky, H. (1964). The professionalization of everyone? *American Journal of Sociology, vol.70*, pp.142-150.

Yeoh, S.K., Jessica, H.S.Y., & Benjamin, C.Y.F (2012). Student evaluation leacturer performance among private university students. *Canadian Social Science, vol.8*(4), pp.238-243.

Yucel, C. (2008). Teacher burnout and organizational citizenship behavior in Turkish elementary schools. *Educational Planning, vol.7*(1), pp. 27-43.

Zayim, M & Kondakci, Y. (2014). An exploration of the relationship between readiness for change and organizational trust in Turkish public schools. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership,* pp.1-16.

Zeinabadi, H. (2010). Job satisfaction and organizational commitment as antecedents of organizational citizenship behavior (OCB) of teacher. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences, vol.5*, pp.998-1003.

Zuraidah, D., Pillai, S., Manueli, M.K., Nambiar, M., Knowles, G., Noor, H., & Hazita, A. (2008). *The English language test for academic staff Enhancing the Quality of Higher Education through Research*. Shaping Future Policy.