

CONSISTENCY OF ATTERBERG LIMIT PROPERTIES FOR CRUSHED  
LIMESTONE AGGREGATE BASE COURSE BETWEEN STOCKPILE AND  
IN PLACE MATERIAL

SREERAMALU NAMATHEVAN

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award degree of  
Master of Engineering (Geotechnics)

Faculty of Civil Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

MAY 2017

*Dedicated to my beloved family*

*“Special thanks for their motivation, concern and help”*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all thanks I wish to thank to god for his blessing, the countless gifts offered to me and in giving me this opportunity to complete this project report.

It is a great pleasure to acknowledge my deepest thanks and special appreciation to my supervisor Professor Ir Dr Ramli Nazir for his invaluable help of constructive comments and tolerance throughout this project work which has contributed to the success of this study.

Not forgetting my beloved loved wife Santhy, daughters Dr Kartikasri, Dr Shalini Sri and my son Shree Sivaneswaran on the sacrifices, encouragement and every possible support extended throughout the whole programme and successful completion of this study.

Last but not least, I would like to thank all my colleagues who had helped directly and indirectly towards the completion of this project.

## ABSTRACT

This paper presents the test results and discuss the properties consistency for crushed limestone aggregate materials collected from the stockpile and from in-place after wetting, mixing, laying, grading and compacting on site using a 25 tonne roller compactor with a layer thickness of 300mm. A number of test was carried out to investigate the liquid limits and plasticity index properties of the crushed limestone. A total of 48 samples was collected, i.e. 24 samples from the stockpile and 24 samples from in-place. The samples collected from all the stockpiles i.e. from both Doha and Kuala Lumpur meets the ASTM D4318 test for plastic limits ranging from 22 to 25 and for the plasticity index (PI) ranging from non plastic to a maximum of 4. The results for the in place material samples showed an increase in values for both the Kuala Lumpur and Doha site in comparison to the results from the stockpiles with the plastic limits ranging from 23 to 35 and the plasticity index ranging from non plastic to 10. The results varied by location with the in-place samples from Kuala Lumpur complied with both the plastic and plasticity index limits while the in-place material results from Doha complied with the liquid limits but exceeded the plasticity index limit of 6. The results obtained and the observation made in this study suggests that limestone properties consistency varies with region, location and type of limestone with Kuala Lumpur limestone mainly consisting of composed crystallised carbonate rocks and formed marble rocks with minor intercalation of phyllite whereas the Doha limestone is overlain by younger strata that form a number of mesa-type hills.

## ABSTRAK

Kertas ini membentangkan keputusan kajian dan perbincangan sifat ketekalan untuk bahan agregat batu kapur yang di kumpulkan dari longokkan simpanan dan juga dari di-tempat selepas penambahan air, campuran, pengredan dan pemampatan di tapak menggunakan "roll ekebalan lapisan data n" setebal 300 mm. Sebilangan ujian telah dijalankan untuk mengkaji had cecair dan index keplastikan bagi agregat batu kapur. Sejumlah 48 sampel telah di ambil, iaitu sebanyak 24 sampel dari longokkan simpanan dan sebanyak 24 sampel lagi di ambil dari di-tempat. Sampel sampel yang telah diambil dari kesemua longokkan simpanan dari Doha dan juga dari Kuala Lumpur menunjukkan peningkatan dibandingkan keputusan sampel dari longokkan simpanan iaitu keputusan untuk had cecair antara 22 dan 25 dan juga untuk index keplastikan antara tidak plastik dan maxima 4. Keputusan ujian untuk sampel yang diambil dari di-tempat menunjukkan peningkatan dibandingkan keputusan sampel dari longokkan simpanan iaitu keputusan untuk had cecair antara 23 dan 35 dan index keplastikan antara tidak plastik ke 10. Ini menunjukkan perbezaan keputusan mengikut lokasi iaitu sampel yang diambil dari tapak di-tempat dari Kuala Lumpur menepati piawaan untuk had cecair dan juga index keplastikan manakala untuk sampel yang diambil dari di-tempat dari Doha menunjukkan tidak menepati piawaan untuk had cecair dan juga index keplastikan. Keputusan yang telah diperolehi dan pemerhatian mencadangkan had kekenyalan batu kapur berbeza mengikut rantau, lokasi dan juga jenis batu kapur itu sendiri. Batu kapur dari Kuala Lumpur terdiri terutamanya dari batuan karbonat terhablur dan marmar yang dibentuk dengan interkalasi phylite yang kecil manakala batu kapur dari Doha dibentuk dari strata yang lebih muda yang membentuk bukit jenis

messa.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>ii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>x</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xi</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	
	1.1 Research background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objective	3
	1.4 Scope and limitations of Study	3
	1.5 Significant of the study	4
	1.6 Organization of Thesis	4
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
	2.1 General geology of limestone	6
	2.1.1 General karstic features of limestone	7
	2.1.2 Development of karsts	8

2.1.3	Composition of limestone	11
2.1.4	Weathering and slaking	13
2.1.5	Swelling potential	13
2.1.6	Geology of limestone in Malaysia	15
2.1.7	Uses of limestone	17
2.1.8	Quarrying of rocks for aggregate	17
2.2	Aggregate base course	19
2.3	Atterberg limits	22
2.3.1	Liquid limits	23
2.3.2	Plastic limits	23
2.3.3	Plasticity index	24
2.4	Previous studies on lime stone road base	25
2.5	Summary of previous study on limestones	27
<b>3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	
3.1	Preparation of stockpile	29
3.1.1	General geology of Kuala Lumpur	33
3.1.2	General geology of Doha	36
3.2	Sampling and testing plan	39
3.3	Flow chart for research methodology	41
3.4	Field tests	42
3.5	Laboratory tests	42
<b>4</b>	<b>ANALYSIS OF TESTS RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>43</b>
4.1	Analysis of data collected from Doha	48
4.2	Analysis of data collected from Kuala Lumpur	50
4.3	Discussion	52
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>55</b>
5.1	Conclusion	55

5.2 Recommendation	57
<b>REFERENCES</b>	58
<b>APPENDICES</b>	61



## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.0	JKR standard specification for roadworks	22
2.1	Summary of previous study on similar statement	28
3.0	List of sampling locations and number of test to be carried out	40
3.1	Proposed field test and standards adopted	42
3.2	Proposed laboratory tests and standards to be adopted	42
	Test Results From Stockpile Material, Doha, Qatar	
4.0	Test Results from in place Material, Doha, Qatar	44
4.1	Test Results From Stockpile Material, Kuala Lumpur	45
4.2	Test Results from in place Material, Kuala Lumpur	46
	Summary of results for Plastic limit and Plasticity	
4.3	Index SP vs. IPM (Doha)	47
4.4	Summary of results for Plastic limit and Plasticity	48
	Index SP vs. IPM (KL)	
4.5		50

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.2	Evolution and development of limestone karst (Yin 1986)	10
2.3	Geological section through Kuala Lumpur (Yeap 1986)	16
2.4	Plasticity Index chart	25
3.1	Mixing operations at the stockpile in progress.	30
3.2	Schematic base course laying, spreading and compaction operations.	31
3.3	Grading operations by motor grader	32
3.4	Compaction of road base	32
3.5	Overview of the proposed site	34
3.6	General view of the limestone excavation site	34
3.7	Limestone excavation in progress after the blasting works	35
3.8	Closer view of the excavated limestone	35
3.9	Limestone crushing with a mobile crusher	36
3.10	Geological Map of Qatar (Qatar Geological Society)	37
3.11	Closer view of the Simsima limestone	38
3.12	Excavation operation of limestone operation in Qatar	38
3.13	Crushing of limestone in the quarry (Doha)	39

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A1	Summary of stockpile materials Atterberg limits test results	61
A2	Summary of In place materials Atterberg limits test results	62

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND**

#### **1.1 Research background**

Limestone material is found in abundance in Malaysia and often not used in pavement as the base layer due to its high carbonate content which has been found to have major impact on strength gain, however this material meets the requirements in terms of its california bearing ratio, physical and mechanical properties for aggregate road base applications. Limestone once quarried, crushed and produced, meets all the requirement of specification for atterberg limits and grading but the in place value immediately after mixing of water, spreading and compaction often does not meets the same specifications.

With the ever expanding and developing Klang Valley region and the recent announcement by the government to improve and further expand the infrastructures especially roads and transportation networks in this region, the demand for crushed aggregate has risen rapidly. Klang Valley region consists mainly of natural limestone below ground which was quarried up to the early nineties but many of them were closed down due to the low demand. Currently crushed granite aggregate is heavily

relied upon in the industry even though the cost of quarrying, producing and transporting is much higher in comparison to limestone.

This study is proposed to be carried out to check the properties consistency of stockpiled materials against the in place materials. It is hoped the results obtained from this laboratory and field tests can be used to establish a consistent relationship between the stockpile and the in place compacted crushed limestone aggregate. This will help to greatly reduce the rate of rejection of in place material which will obviously reduce the cost and time of project at the same time maintaining the quality expected and meeting the design assumptions.

## **1.2 Problem statement**

A higher Atterberg limits than the specified value in the testing standards exhibited by the in-place limestone aggregate base course will be rejected for use in the permanent works. However experience shows that the approved limestones which meets the specifications requirements often failed in terms of Atterberg limits from samples collected after spreading works and compaction is carried out, any rejection at this stage will have an impact on the project. This results in limestone aggregate not being accepted as aggregate base course material.

### **1.3 Objective**

The objectives of this study is to:-

- i. To understand the problem of crushing/degrading during compaction process which results in more fines leading to a higher value of Atterberg limits.
- ii. To collect data related to material Atterberg limits from the stockpile and in-place.
- iii. To analyse data to determine relevant behaviour.

### **1.4 Scope and limitations of study**

The scope and limitations of this study is to,

- i. Investigate suitability of limestone crushed aggregate base course material.
- ii. Sample investigated is from the stockpile and in-place limestone aggregate base course.
- iii. Properties of limestone aggregate base course to be investigated is the Atterberg limits i.e. one of the requirements in the standard.
- iv. The negative impact will be the swelling of the limestone aggregate base course.

## **1.5 Importance of study**

This study is to establish the comparison of the limestone Atterberg limit properties i.e. the plastic limit, liquid limit and the plasticity index of the stockpile versus in place material so that the test results so obtained shall be used to determine the acceptability of the in place material. This can greatly benefit large infrastructure projects involving major roadworks whereby the limestones quarried locally can be used as an economical alternative for road base construction currently using crushed granite which has gone up commercially due to its higher cost of production.

## **1.6 Organization of Thesis**

Chapter 1 describes the background of research, problem statement, objectives, scopes and significance of research.

Chapter 2 describes the literature review of the general geology, features, weathering, slaking, swelling potential of limestone, requirements of road base material, atterberg limits and study by other researchers.

Chapter 3 deliberates the preparation of the stockpile and the methodology adopted for the receiving site preparation, delivery, spreading, levelling, compaction and the sampling plan, the locations where the samples will be collected and the tests that will be conducted on the field and laboratory.

Chapter 4 discusses the analysis of the test result collected from the stockpile and the in-place material from both the site in Kuala Lumpur and Doha.

Chapter 5 presents the conclusions for the research and discusses possible future research that can be done by other researchers.



## REFERENCES

- A.S. Al- Farraj , 2011. Mineralogical Composition of Limestone Rock and Soil from Jubaila Formation. *Asian Journal of Earth Sciences*,
- Beshy Kuriakose, Benny Mathews Abraham, A. Sridharan, Babu T. Jose, “A Critical Review of Liquid Limit –Plasticity Index Relationships”, *International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering*, Volume 4, Issue 2, February 2014
- Ch’ng S. C., 1984, *Geologi Kejuruteraan Batu kapur Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia*. BSc. (Hons) Thesis, Geology Department, UKM, Bangi, Selangor, Year 1983/84
- Chow, W.S., Jamaludin Othman and Loganathan, P., 1996. Geotechnical problems in limestone terrain with emphasis on cavities and sinkholes. In: *Proc. Seminar Geologi Dan Sekitaran*, 6~ Dec., 1996, UKM, Bangi.
- Dr. Mc Clellan GH, Dr. Ruth BE and Fountain KB, “Testing and evaluation of limestone bearing ratio and moisture density data (Aggregates for base course construction)” Department of Civil Engineering, University of Florida, 2001
- Fatihah, R & Yeap E. B. 2002, Estimating lime-stone dissolution rates in the Kinta and Lengong valleys using micro erosion meter: a preliminary study, *GSM Annual Geological Conference 2002*
- Goodman, R.E. (1989) *Introduction to Rock Mechanics*, 2nd. Ed. Wiley.

- Gupta, A. S. and Rao, K. S. (1998). "Index properties of weathered rocks: interrelationships and applicability." *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 57(2).
- Hartley A. 1974. A review of the geological factors influencing the mechanical properties of road surface aggregates, *Q. J. Eng. Geol.*,
- Holtz, R.D. and Kovacs, W. (1981). "An Introduction to Geotechnical Engineering", 3rd ed. John Wiley and sons.
- Jabatan Kerja Raya Malaysia, "Standard Specifications for Roadworks", Section 4 Flexible Pavement, 2008
- Khanal, Shrewan and Naresh Kazi Tamrakar. "Evaluation of quality of crushed limestone and siltstone for road aggregates", *Bulletin of the Department of Geology* 2009.
- Main Roads Western Australia, "Selection and use of naturally occurring materials as base and sub base in roads in Western Australia", Report 18M 2003
- Parriaux, A. (2009). "Geology basics for engineers", CRC Press/Balkema, Netherlands
- Sarno, A., Farah, R., Hudyma, N., and Hiltunen, D. R. (2009). "Relationships between index and physical properties of weathered Ocala limestone." *Proceedings of the 43rd U.S. Rock Mechanics Symposium and 4th Canada US Rock Mechanics Symposium*, ARMA, Reston, VA, paper 105.
- Sowers, G.F. (1975) Failures in limestones in humid subtropics. *ASCE J. Geotech. Div.*, Vol.101, GT8,
- Steve Hencher, (2012), "Practical Engineering Geology", 1<sup>st</sup> edition Spon Press.
- Tan, B.K., 1993. Urban geology of Ipoh and Kuala Lumpur. In: *Proc. Forum on Urban Geology and Geotechnical Engineering in Construction*, IEM-GSM, July 1993, Petaling Jaya,

Tugrul, A. and Zarif, I. H. (2000). "Engineering aspects of limestone weathering in Istanbul, Turkey." *Bulletin of Engineering Geology and the Environment*, 58(3).

Ting, W.H. (ed.), (undated). *Engineering and geology in the Kuala Lumpur area*. ESCAP publication.

Woodbridge, M. E. (1999). *Use of Soft Limestone for Road-Base Construction in Belize*. Seventh International Conference on Low-Volume Roads, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, USA. 23 -26 May 1999.