WET MARKET WASTEWATER REGULATORY FRAMEWORK IN MALAYSIA

SITI NORHIDAYAH BINTI ABDULLAH

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of degree of Master of Engineering (Environment)

> Faculty of Civil Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > APRIL 2017

DEDICATION

Dedicated to my beloved family members especially to my mother, sister and husband, *Puan Naini Binti Abu Yamin, Siti Noraida Binti Abdullah* and *capten Mohd Syahrum Bin Mohd Sabri*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this thesis, the author was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed towards the author's understanding and thoughts. The author would like to express her utmost gratitude to her supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Ir. Dr. Mohd Fadhil Md Din for his guidance and assistance throughput the study. The author also would like to thank Assoc. Prof. Dr. Shreeshivadasan A/L Chelliapan and Dr. Shazwin Binti Mat Taib for their continuous support, encouragement, guidance, critics, friendship and help during the development of this thesis.

The author feels indebted and very thankful to the Director of Department Of Environment (Enforcement Division), Headquarters, Putrajaya, Tn. Hj. Ruslan Bin Mohamad, Principal Assistant Director (Legislation and Forensic Section), En. Mohd Sani Mat Daud and Assistant Director, En. Zulkifli Bin Din for their advice and meaningful information for the challenging journey to complete this thesis. The most gratitude is also dedicated towards the Chief of Operation Officer, Prof. Ir. Mohamed Haniffa Bin Haji Abdul Hamid, for the cooperation and assistance in providing the information and data. Lastly, the author would like to thank all her colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space.

ABSTRACT

Wet markets are built by local government for residents to purchase their daily necessities and raw food. Many activities at the market produce large quantity of wastewater daily which adversely impacts the environment, particularly in the forms of odor pollution and water pollution as well as waterborne diseases. These problems are aggravated when there are no specific regulations to control the discharge. This study was conducted to assess the level of wastewater contamination in wet markets, propose the most effective treatment system and develop a wet market wastewater regulatory framework. In this study, wastewater from seven wet markets in Kuala Lumpur, Selangor and Melaka were analyzed to examine the discharge loading from the wet markets. The results from these samples were analyzed using Malaysian Sewerage Industry Guidelines (MSIG), to determine the best option of a wastewater treatment system. Interview and surveys were carried out to identify the best option for wastewater treatment. Results showed that the evaluated wastewater has exceeded the minimum requirements for Sewage Regulations 2009. Based on the MSIG approach, interview and survey, the best option for a wet market wastewater treatment system is the system with nine criteria comprising primary screen, sewage pump station, secondary screen, balancing tank, primary clarifier, biological system, final clarifier and finally disinfection stages. Results from the initial research were then used to develop the Wet Market Wastewater Regulatory Framework. In addition, questionnaire and interview were conducted with 30 selected respondents from government enforcement agencies, as well as interviews with officers from the Ministry For The Environment, New Zealand. The findings showed that the wet market Wastewater Regulatory Framework should includes six phases comprising quality inspection of effluent, check against regulatory standard, installation and maintenance of treatment system, processing, enforcement and regulations. In conclusion, it is recommended that wet markets in Malaysia adopt the framework for wastewater management to sustain the environment.

ABSTRAK

Pasar basah dibina oleh kerajaan tempatan untuk rakyat membeli keperluan harian dan makanan mentah. Pelbagai aktiviti di pasar menghasilkan kuantiti air sisa yang banyak setiap hari dan memberi kesan buruk ke atas alam sekitar, terutamanya dalam bentuk pencemaran bau dan pencemaran air serta penyakit bawaan air. Masalah ini menjadi lebih buruk lagi apabila tiada peraturan tertentu untuk mengawal pelepasan sisa tersebut. Kajian ini dijalankan untuk menilai tahap pencemaran air sisa di pasar basah, mencadangkan sistem rawatan yang paling berkesan dan membangunkan rangka kerja untuk mengawal selia air sisa pasar basah. Dalam kajian ini, air sisa dari tujuh buah pasar di Kuala Lumpur, Selangor dan Melaka telah dianalisis bagi mendapatkan tahap parameter air sisa. Keputusan daripada sampel ini dianalisis dengan menggunakan Garis Panduan Industri Pembetungan Malaysia (MSIG), untuk menentukan pilihan yang terbaik dalam sistem air sisa. Temu bual dan kaji selidik telah dijalankan untuk mengenal pasti pilihan terbaik untuk rawatan air sisa kumbahan ini. Dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa air sisa yang dinilai telah melebihi keperluan minimum bagi Peraturanperaturan Kualiti Alam Sekeliling (Kumbahan) 2009. Berdasarkan pendekatan MSIG, temu bual dan kaji selidik, pilihan yang terbaik untuk sistem rawatan air sisa di pasar basah adalah ia harus mempunyai sembilan kriteria yang terdiri daripada penapisan awal, stesen pam kumbahan, penapis sekunder, tangki pengimbang, sistem penjernihan awal, sistem biologi, sistem penjernihan akhir dan pembasmian kuman berperingkat. Hasil daripada penyelidikan awal kemudiannya digunakan untuk membangunkan Rangka Kerja Kawal Selia Air Sisa Kumbahan Pasar Basah. Di samping itu, soal selidik dan temu bual telah dijalankan dengan 30 responden yang terdiri daripada agensi penguatkuasaan kerajaan, serta temu bual dengan pegawai daripada Kementerian Persekitaran, New Zealand. Dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa kerangka kerja pengawalseliaan air sisa kumbahan di pasar basah perlu merangkumi enam fasa yang terdiri daripada pemeriksaan kualiti efluen, pemeriksaan terhadap peraturan berkaitan, pemasangan dan penyelenggaraan ke atas sistem rawatan, pemprosesan, penguatkuasaan dan peraturan. Kesimpulannya, adalah disyorkan bahawa pasar basah di Malaysia mengguna pakai rangka kerja bagi pengurusan air sisa kumbahan untuk mengekalkan alam sekitar..

TABLE OF CONTENTS

СНАРТ	YER TITLE	PAGE
DE	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
 DECLARATION DEDICATION ACKNOWLEDGEMENT ABSTRACT ABSTRAK TABLE OF CONTENTS LIST OF TABLES LIST OF FIGURES LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS 1 NTRODUCTION Background of the Study Problem Statement Objectives of Study Significant of Study Significant of Study Organisation of the Thesis 2 LITERATURE REVIEW Wet Market Scenario in Malaysia Water Pollution Sources in Malaysia 	iv	
	DECLARATION DEDICATION ACKNOWLEDGEMENT ABSTRACT ABSTRAK TABLE OF CONTENTS LIST OF TABLES LIST OF FIGURES LIST OF FIGURES LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS 1 NTRODUCTION 1.1 Background of the Study 1.2 Problem Statement 1.3 Objectives of Study 1.4 Scope of Study 1.5 Significant of Study 1.5 Significant of Study 1.6 Organisation of the Thesis 2 LITERATURE REVIEW 2.1 Wet Market Scenario in Malaysia 2.2 Water Pollution Sources in Malaysia 2.2.1 Point Sources Pollution 2.2.1.1 Sullage (Grey-Water) 2.2.1.2 Non-Point Sources Pollution	v
		vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XV
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of the Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Objectives of Study	4
	1.4 Scope of Study	5
	1.5 Significant of Study	5
	1.6 Organisation of the Thesis	6
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1 Wet Market Scenario in Malaysia	7
	2.2 Water Pollution Sources in Malaysia	9
	2.2.1 Point Sources Pollution	9
	2.2.1.1 Sullage (Grey-Water)	9
	2.2.1.2 Non-Point Sources Pollution	11
	2.3 Wet Markets in Malaysia	12
	2.4 Previous Studies on Analysis of Wet Market Effluent	15
	2.5 Approach to Curb Water Pollution in Malaysia	17

	2.5.1 Klang River Clean Up Programme	18
	2.5.2 Integrated River Basin Management	18
	2.5.3 Integrated Water Resource Management in Malaysia	19
	2.5.4 Malaysian Storm Water Management Manual	20
2.6	Introduction to New Zealand Environmental Awareness on	
	Water and Sanitation	21
2.7	Approach to Curb Water Pollution in New Zealand	23
	2.7.1 Managing Disasters	24
	2.7.2 Making Science Count	24
	2.7.3 Cleaning up the Rotorua Lakes	24
	2.7.4 Fund Supports	25
	2.7.5 Protecting Waters	25
	2.7.6 Managing Pollution	25
	2.7.7 Installation of Modern Treatment System	26
	2.7.8 Legislation to Control Environment Pollution	27
2.8	Malaysian Laws and Regulations	30
	2.8.1 Legislation Related to Solid Waste Management in	
	Malaysia	32
	2.8.2 Solid Waste Management	33
2.9	Role of Agencies to Control Effluent Pollution in Malaysia	36
2.10	Previous Wastewater Management Control Research	37
2.1	l Wet Market Effluent Management Control	39
2.12	2 The Policy Cycle Model	41
	2.12.1 Agenda Setting	41
	2.12.1.1 Policy Formation	42
	2.12.1.2 Policy Legitimation	42
	2.12.1.3 Policy Implementation	43
	2.12.1.4 Policy and Program Evaluation	43
	2.12.1.5 Policy Change	44
2.13	3 Example of Electricity Regulatory Framework in Malaysia	
	by Association of Water and Energy Research	45
	2.13.1 To secure and Manage Reliable Energy Supply	45
	2.13.2 Measures to Encourage Energy Efficiency	46
	2.13.3 Adoption of Market-Based Energy Pricing	46

	2.13.4 Stronger Governance	46
	2.13.5 Managing Change	46
	2.13.5.1 Set Priority in Catering to Energy Demand	47
	2.13.5.2 Categorization Energy Intensive Industries	48
	2.13.5.3 Strict Approval Process	48
	2.13.5.4 Environmental Tax on Energy Intensive	
	Industries	48
	2.13.5.5 Continuous Monitoring and Assessment	49
RE	SEARCH METHODOLOGY	51
3.1	Introduction	51
	3.1.1 Phase 1: Retrieval of Wet Market Inventory from	
	Available Database	51
	3.1.2 Phase 2: Wet Market Wastewater Sampling	52
	3.1.3 Phase 3: Determination of Wet Market Discharge	
	Loading	52
	3.1.4 Phase 4: Determination of Suitable Wet Market	
	Wastewater Treatment System and Recommended	
	System Efficiency	52
	3.1.5 Phase 5: Wet Market Wastewater Regulatory	
	Framework	53
3.2	Research Framework	53
3.3	Quantitative Analysis	57
3.4	Wet Market Pollution Load Estimation	58
3.5	Qualitative Questionnaire Survey and Expert Interview	60
3.6	Analytical Procedure	61
	3.6.1 Physical Water Quality Characteristics	61
	3.6.1.1 pH	61
	3.6.1.2 Biochemical Oxygen Demand	62
	3.6.1.3 Total Suspended Solids	62
	3.6.1.4 E-Coli	62
	3.6.1.5 Oil and Grease	62
	3.6.1.6 Chemical Oxygen Demand	63

3

4	RE	SULTS AND DISCUSSION	64
	4.1	Introduction	64
	4.2	Analysis on Wastewater Sampling	64
		4.2.1 Analysis of Current Wet Market Data Quality of	
		Selected Wet Market	65
		4.2.2 Best Option of Wet Market Wastewater Treatment	
		and System Efficiency	67
		4.2.3 Analysis of Activities at Wet Market	73
	4.3	Questionnaire Analysis on Wet Market Environmental an	d
		Legislation Awareness	74
		4.3.1 Background of Respondents	75
		4.3.2 Understanding of Act	76
		4.3.3 Awareness on the Importance of Treatment System	80
		4.3.4 Level of Awareness on Responsibilities	85
	4.4	Wet Market Wastewater Management Regulatory	
		Framework	92
		4.4.1 Analysis on Elements to Support Wet Market	
		Wastewater Regulatory Framework	93
		4.4.2 Phase 1: Wastewater Quality Screening	94
		4.4.3 Phase 2: SPAN/IWK Criteria	96
		4.4.4 Phase 3: Installation and Maintenance of Treatment	
		Plant	96
		4.4.5 Phase 4: Treatment Facilities (Options and its	
		Effectiveness)	97
		4.4.6 Phase 5: Effluent Release/Enforcement	98
		4.4.7 Phase 6: Act/Regulations	99
5	CO	NCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	102
	5.1	Conclusions	102
	5.2	Recommendations	104
REFER	ENCE	S	106
Appendi	ces A -	- I	113 - 161

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NC	D. TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Wet market research according to previous studies	10
2.2	Steps to achieve river of life (RoL) objectives, Nasir (2014)	12
2.3	Number of wet markets in Malaysia, Department of Local	
	Government, (2010)	14
2.4	Wet market effluent studies at various areas in Johor	16
2.5	New Zealand's approach to water pollution: The five	
	targeted community outcomes (Ministry for Environment	
	New Zealand, 2015)	23
2.6	Legislation structure of New Zealand	27
2.7	Environmental legislation in Malaysia (DID, 2011)	31
2.8	Previous research studies on wet market solid and organic	
	waste management	35
2.9	Previous research studies on wastewater management	
	control	38
2.10	Previous wet market management strategies to combat	
	water pollution	40
2.11	The policy-making cycle model (Anderson, 2000)	41
3.1	Wet market operation details	57
3.2	The importance of assessed wastewater parameters,	
	Tchobanoglous et al., (2003)	59
3.3	Calculated Pollution load (CPL) estimation for each wet	
	market	60
3.4	Permissible load estimation for each wet market	60
4.1	Wet market effluent analysis (Unit: mg/L, except for pH	
	and E-Coli Cfu/100mL)	66
4.2	Permissible pollution load	67

4.3	Potential effluent reduction based on types of treatment	
	plant to treat wastewater	70
4.4	Comparison of potential treated wet market effluent	
	sampling with (Sewage) Regulations, 2009	71
4.5	Best options for wet market wastewater treatment (experts'	
	opinion)	72
4.6	Wet market operation details	73
4.7	Existing biological sewage treatment processes in Malaysia	83

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE N	IO. TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Biological oxygen demand (BOD) loading by water	
	pollution sources in 2013 (DOE, 2013)	8
2.2	Ammoniacal Nitrogen (AN) Loading in 2013 (DOE, 2013)	9
2.3	Number of public wet markets in Malaysia, (Department of	
	Local Government, 2010)	14
2.4	Environmental Performance Index (EPI) 2016	22
2.5	Environmental Performance Index, 2016, (EPI, 2016)	22
2.6	The position of the regional policy statement within the	
	resource management planning framework	29
2.7	Proposed regulatory framework for the electricity industry	
	by AWER	49
3.1	Flow chart of research activities	56
4.1	Typical elements and process flow diagram of a sewage	
	treatment plant (Modified from Malaysian sewerage	
	industry guidelines, volume IV, 3rd Edition)	69
4.2	Respondents working experience and occupation	75
4.3	Level of education of the respondents according to years of	
	experience	76
4.4	Respondents' occupation with understanding on Act B1	77
4.5	Respondents' working experience with understanding on	
	Act B1	78
4.6	Respondents' occupation with understanding on Act B2	79
4.7	Working experience with understanding on Act B2	80
4.8	Respondents working experience and awareness on the	
	importance of pre-treatment system according to Act C1A	81

4.9	Respondents awareness on the importance of full treatment		
	system according to Act C1B	82	
4.10	Relationship between working experience and opinion on		
	different treatment system	84	
4.11	Respondents' awareness on custody of the oil trap at wet		
	markets	85	
4.12	Relationship between level of education and level of		
	awareness on proper wet market infrastructure and planning	86	
4.13	Relationship between working experience and wet market		
	environmental awareness	88	
4.14	Relationship between working experience and awareness on		
	wet market management aspects	90	
4.15	Respondents level of education with working experience	92	
4.16	Major elements to be considered by the local authority to		
	implement wet market wastewater regulatory framework	94	
4.17	Proposed wet market wastewater regulatory framework in		
	Malaysia	101	

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX TITLE PAGE

А	Responsibility of Agencies Based on the Legislation 1	
В	Market (Federal Territory of Putrajaya) By-Laws, Local Government	
	Act 1976 (Act 171)	117
С	Collected Raw Data from Selected Wet Markets	120
D	Photographs of Wet Markets and Sampling	121
Е	Questionnaire Survey Form	143
F	Experts Interview Sessions: Opinions on Recommended Processes 147	
G	MALAYSIA SEWERAGE INDUSTRIAL GUIDELINES (MSIG),	
	VOLUME 4	156
Η	SEWERAGE REGULATION 2009	157
Ι	Certification of Author on Academic Paper Presentation	160
J	Proposed Wastewater Treatment Layout Plan	161

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Traditionally, industrial activities consume large quantity of resources and energy such as water and raw materials and produce correspondingly large amount of wastes released into the environment. These industrial wastes cause pollution at local and global scales. Thus, it is important that its release is kept minimal and treated as our first priority towards a sustainable human civilization. The reduction of wastewater release has to be approached systematically to achieve the objectives, which may include reducing water resource utilization, minimizing wastewater release through reducing flow rate and also cutting down wastewater management costs (Ujang, 2000).

For a rapidly developing country like Malaysia, industrial development plays a pivotal role in the national economy. This has driven many governments to set policies that attract multinational companies to invest and set up processing plants in the home country (Ujang, 2006). Rapid increase in industrial activities also drives population growth, particularly in urban cities, and at the same time stimulates the development of residential and industrial areas. These newly developed areas should be equipped with the basic amenities, which include but are not limited to business district, schools, and also wet market.

A wet market or public market, in particular, is an important location for nearby residents to purchase daily necessities like raw cooking materials (Adnan, 2006). In fact, wet market and farmers' market is one of the most popular retail businesses in most countries. It provides opportunities for self-employment, particularly during economic crisis and also to increase one's income sources. At the end of year 2000, there was a reported 131 farmers' markets with 5,467 registered stores and 412 wet markets with 21,360 outlets in Peninsular Malaysia, as stated in the 8th Malaysia Plan report (RMK-8)

However, the farmers' markets and wet markets have created negative environmental impacts through the production of wastewater and solid waste. Even the stench and flies have often become the cause of illnesses. Whenever there is food handling involved, regardless of in what form such as processing, packaging, storing, and etc., it is natural that wastewater will be generated. The quantity and general quality of the wastewater depends on the strength of the pollutants. Nevertheless, both parameters affect the economy and environment at a different scale, but particularly in terms of its treatment and management (Jorgensen, 1979).

Wastewater generated from wet market activities such as fish preservation, coconut milk remnants, and also raw food handling may be viewed as menial and with little impact to our river water quality. However, it creates a strong stench and an utterly unpleasant sight when cumulated at sump traps and drains within vicinity. This can be extremely uncomfortable for residents and premises nearby. Not only that, it also aggravates air pollution because of the stench created when exposed to sunlight, channeled into rivers, or released as surface runoff (Adnan, 2006).

Traditional wet markets or public markets are mostly built near rivers and estuaries to ease the processes of garbage, food, and wastewater disposal; this remains the main disposal channel for most traditional markets even into this modern age. This indicates that there is no renewal of wastewater and solid waste management system. In addition, most public markets are regarded as commercial trade and thus, there is no requirement on special management system by the authorities and government (Akub, 2007).

In modern societies, a suitable wastewater management is a necessity, not a choice. The system should be designed to collect, treat, and decompose wastes from

human and in wastewater (Peavy et. al., 1985). In Malaysia, wastewater generated from industries and businesses cannot be channeled into residential wastewater treatment plants due to legislation restriction. Most of the wastewater, including that from wet markets, is redirected to the surface runoff system nearby. This is still happening in most wet markets in the country. This also indicates a lack of new approach in the solid waste and wastewater management structure which is well-managed and systematic. Public markets are categorized under commercial business, but the government has yet to specify a well-managed wastewater management system to date (Adnan, 2006).

In Malaysia, there are several environmentally-related legislation both at the federal and state levels for the control of environmental pollution or management of the environment (Jeyabalan and Moorthy, 2012). Furthermore, as quoted by Ishak (2007), to control river water pollution, there are several agencies involved on water management. Laws are used as a form of management response to environmental problems in Malaysia (Daud, 2008). However, the apparent gap in the wastewater and solid waste management system for wet markets calls for a comprehensive study conducted to assess the "Wet Market Wastewater Regulatory Framework for Best Management Practices" which taps into wet market database inventory to formulate suitable wastewater treatment with promising system efficiency.

1.2 **Problem Statement**

The main legal instrument to control water pollution in Malaysia is the Environmental Quality Act 1974 (EQA) and its subsidiary legislation. However, the issue of wet market wastewater is not listed under EQA, and thus is often overlooked (Daud, 2008).

Daily activities from public wet market usually contribute to the generation of wastewater in large quantities. As quoted by Shahari (2011), poultry slaughtering activities is one of the activities that contribute to river pollution. If the wastewater from the wet market is not properly treated, it will contribute to environmental

pollutions. In addition, marine pollution is controlled entirely by the Department of Environment (DOE), but in the case of contamination of rivers, there are several agencies that are responsible for the management of this important water source (Ishak, 2007). The increasing functional of the wet market makes this place one of the significant sources of solid waste and wastewater, but there is no act or regulation provided by the government related to this, except for Environment Quality Act, Sewage Regulations, 2009.

A significant portion of sullage or greywater from domestic and commercial sources ends up into the streams without any sort of treatment (DOE 2003, 2004 and SWMA 2004). To date, there is no gazetted standard for sullage release from either the Local Government Act, 1976 or the Environment Quality Act 1974 for wet markets to curb river water pollution. According to Idris (2004), DOE is doing its best to control pollution from the industrial areas and wastewater treatment plants. However, there is a lack of adequate enforcement in controlling sullage and diffuse pollution from various urban sources. Not only that, in terms of installation of treatment plant or pretreatment facilities, the facility is due to cause problems from construction cost, inspection, and maintenance perspectives if built in crowded residential areas. As such, its solution proves to be a challenge and is not straightforward.

1.3 **Objectives of Study**

The objectives of this study are as below:

- i. To examine the discharge loading from existing wet market and propose best option for wet market wastewater treatments including suitable wastewater treatments with recommended efficiency; and
- ii. To propose Wet Market Wastewater Regulatory Framework for Best Management Practices.

1.4 **Scope of Study**

The scope of this study is as follows:

- Sampling of wet market effluent from selected wet market based on available database. This included Pasar Dato Keramat Kuala Lumpur, Pasar Raja Bot Kuala Lumpur, Pasar Borong Kuala Lumpur, Pasar Selayang Selangor, Pasar Besar Seremban, Pasar Jasin, Melaka and Pasar Borong Batu Berendam, Melaka.
- Performing water quality analysis based on several water parameters including pH, BOD, COD, AN, TSS, Oil & Grease, and E-Coli according to the Sewage Regulations 2009 effluent standard. There were 34 samples collected and analysed.
- iii. Performing questionnaire survey on selected agencies. This included Majlis Perbandaran Subang Jaya (Planning Department), Department of Environment Putrajaya (Enforcement Division), and Research & Technology, Industrial and Construction Waste Department, SWCorp Headquarters.

Moreover, three expert officers were contacted for the qualitative analysis (interview session). They were from the Bay of Plenty Regional Council, Rotorua, New Zealand; Watercare Services Ltd, New Zealand; Department of Environment Putrajaya (Enforcement Division), Suruhanjaya Perkhidmatan Air Negara (Enforcement Division), and Research & Technology, Industrial and Construction Waste Department, SWCorp Headquarters. This session was conducted to facilitate in the development of the wet market regulatory framework.

1.5 Significant of Study

Analysis on the water quality is necessary to predict the level of pollutant as well as to develop guidelines and new management framework to control river pollution from wet markets. This pioneering study in Malaysia provides insight to the best measure to ensure a sustainable water resources and environment. This important study also provides:

- i. More up-to-date study on current wet market pollution load;
- ii. More detailed study on wet market wastewater treatment and system efficiency; and
- iii. Insight into the formulation of wet market wastewater management and framework on legislation for the related agencies.

1.6 **Organisation of the Thesis**

This thesis consists of five chapters. Chapter 1 contains an introduction and the research background, as well as research aim, objectives and scope of study. Chapter 2 covers existing literature, including general information on wet markets in Malaysia, classification of water pollution sources in Malaysia, inventory of wet market in Malaysia, approach to curb water pollution in Malaysia and New Zealand, legislation related to wet market, role of agencies and example of existing policy cycle model (Electricity Regulatory Framework by AWER).

Chapter 3 consists of a framework, experimental setup, and also details on the experimental procedures. Chapter 4 presents the results and discussion. Phase 1 entailed retrieval of wet market inventory from available database. In phase 2, wet market wastewater sampling was carried out. In phase 3, wet market discharge loading was determined. Phase 4 focuses on determination of suitable wet market wastewater treatment system and recommended system efficiency. Phase 5 deals the wet market wastewater regulatory framework. The last chapter, Chapter 5, presents the conclusion and recommendations for future studies.

REFERENCES

- American Public Health Association APHA (2005). Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 21st ed. American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, Water Pollution Control Federation.
- Abdullah Al-Mamun, M. Z. (2009). *Untreated Sullage From Residential Areas*. A Challenge Against Inland Water Policy In Malaysia, pp. 279-285.
- Adnan, N. H. (2006). Kajian Sistem Pengurusan Air Sisa Di Pasar Awam Terbuka Di Kawasan Perbandaran Johor Bahru Tengah. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Ahmad, A. (2013). Petaling Jaya's first market waste composting centre in Jalan Othman. The Star Online. Retrieved November 21, 2014 from <u>http://www.thestar.com.my/News/Community/2013/03/22/Petaling-Jayas-</u> first-market-waste-composting-centre-in-Jalan-Othman/
- Akub, M. K. B (2007). Kajian terhadap pengurusan pasar basah di kawasan majlis perbandaran Johor Bahru Tengah, Johor Bahru. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. Tesis Sarjana Muda.
- Al-Jayyousi, O.R. (2003). *Greywater reuse: towards sustainable water management*. Desalination, 156: 181-192.
- Amneera, W.A., Najib, W.A.Z., Yusof, S.R., and Ragunathan S. (2013). Water Quality Index of Perlis River, Malaysia. International Journal of Civil & Environmental Engineering, 13, 1-5.
- Anderson, J. E. (2000). Public Policymaking: An Introduction (4th ed). Boston.
- Anziano, J., Bome, L., Dean, E., Ettenson, L., Harris, K., Littman, M., Cruz, N.L., Ombac, R'id, A., Sambasivan, S., Valecenti and Yalley, B. (2006). *Hunts Point Food Distribution Center: Greening an Industry*. Unpublished Report, ColumbiaUniversity.

- Atchia, M. and Tropp, S. (1995). Environmetal Management. England: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- AWER (2011). Survival: The Future of Our National Electricity Industry. Available from: <u>http://www.awer.org.my/press%20release/20110602-survival-</u> <u>engpr.pdf</u>
- Azni Idris (2010). Wetlands for Wastewater Treatment. Kuala Lumpur: Springer Science.
- Azni Idris, Wan Noor Wan Azmin, Mohd. Amin Mohd. Somand Abdullah-Al-Mamun (2004). The Importance of Sullage (Grey-Water) Treatment for the Restoration and Conservation of Urban Streams. 1st International Conference on Managing Rivers in the 21st Century: Issues & Challenges -Rivers 2004, 21-23 Sept 2004.
- Bhuiyan, A.M.W., Rahman, A., Haque, M.M., K.S. and Hasan. (2012). A proposal for Integrated Market Waste Management in Bangladesh. International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology (IJEIT). 1(1), 49-56. Available at: www.rti.org/pub/alabangmarketstudy.pdf.
- Brian G. Rahm, J. T. (2013). Wastewater Management and Marcellus Shale gas development: trends, drivers, and planning implications. Journal Environmental management, 105-113.
- Bureau, E. (2014). A Food Waste & Yard Waste Plan for Hong Kong, 2014-2022. Suriyachan, V. N. (2011). Potential of decentralized wastewater management for urban development: Case of Bangkok. Elsevier Ltd.
- Christova-Boal, D. E. (1996). An Investigation into greywater reuse for urban residential properties. Desalination. Elsevier.
- Daud, H. (2008). Legislative Approach to water Quality Management in Malaysia Success and Challenges.Putrajaya, Malaysia, Water Environment Partnership in Asia (WEPA). Available from : <u>http://www.wepadb.net/activities_200810forum.htm</u>
- Davies, J., and Mazurek J. (1998). *Pollution Control in the United States: Evaluating the System*.
- DBP (1994). Kamus Dewan Edisi Ketiga. Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka, Kuala Lumpur.
- Delvin, S. (2007). *Environmental Problems and Issues* (1st ed). New Delhi: Sarup & Sons.

- DOE (2003). The study of pollution prevention and water quality improvement of Sungai Tebrau and Sungai Segget. Ministry of Science, Technology and the Environment.
- DOE (2004). *The study on pollution prevention and water quality improvement of Sg. Melaka*. Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment Malaysia.
- DOE (2006). Technical Guidance on Industrial Effluent Characterization Study (Series 4). Malaysia.
- DOH (1998). Implementing Rules and Regulations of Chapter IV: Markets and Abattoirs. Department of Health, Environmental Health Service, Manila, Philippines.
- Eriksson, E., Aufferth, K., Henze, M, & Ledin, A. (2002). *Characteristics of grey* wastewater. Urban Water, 4: 85-104.
- Essien, O. (2010). Effect of Anthropogenic Pollution Loads Along IKPA River Tributary Under Urbanization Expansion. Journal of applied Sciences In Environmental Sanitation, 273-282.
- Fauziah, P. a. (2007). Sustainable Management of Wet Market Waste. Proceedings of the International Conference on Sustainable Solid Waste Management, (pp. 239-243). Chennai, India.
- Foodwisehk (2014). Food Waste Reduction Good Practice Guide for Market Sector. Food Wise Hong Kong Campaign, Hong Kong.
- Friedler, E., and Hadari, M. (2006). *Economic feasibility of onsite greywater reuse in multi-storey buildings*. Desalination, 190: 221-234
- Giovanni Libralato, A. V. (2012). To centralise or to decentralise: An Overview of the most recent trends in wastewater treatment management. Journal of Environmental Management, 61-68.
- Gray, S.R., and Becker, N.S.C. (2002). *Contaminant flows in urban residential water systems*. Urban Water, 4: 331-346.
- Hafizan Juahir, S. M. (2009). Spatial assessment of Langat river water quality using chemometrics. Journal of Environmental Monitoring, 287-295.
- Harada, S. K. (2001). Surface Water Pollution in Three Urban Territories of Nepal, India and Bangladesh. pp. 483-496.
- Health, E. H. (1998). Markets and Abattoirs. In Implementing Rules and Regulations of Chapter IV: 'Market and Abattoirs' of the code on sanitation of the Philippines. Manila, Philippines.

- Hsu, A. et al. (2016). 2016 Environmental Performance Index. New Haven, CT: Yale University. Available: www.epi.yale.edu.
- ICWP (2006). Interstate Water Solutions for the New Millenium. Canada.
- Idris, A. (2006). Untreated sullage: A Challenge to the rehabilitation of rivers in Malaysia(3/2006).Laws to protect river & sea pollution.
- Ishak, M. B. (2007). Siri perkembangan undang-undang di Malaysia, undangundang alam sekitar(8th edition). Selangor: Dawama Sdn Bhd.
- Ishak, N. A. (2010). Pre-treatment of wastewater using effective microorganism (EM) technology.
- Ismail, M.A. (2013). Stakeholder Consultation Workshop No. 1: ROL POP Phase 2 (Sungai Bunus). [Accessed 4 December 2013).
- Japan, E. A. (1994). *The Basic Environment Plan*. Tokyo, Japan: Global Environmental Forum.
- Jeyabalan (2012). *Ethics and sustainability*. American Journal of Applied Sciences, 9(1), 24-31.
- Jeyabalan, R. M. (2012). *Ethics and Sustainability: A Review of Water Policy and Management*. American Journal of Applied Sciences, 9(1), 24-31.
- Jones, Charles O. (1984). *An Introduction to the Study of Public Policy* (3rd edition). Monterey, CA: Brooks/Cole.
- Jorgensen, S.E. (1979). Industrial Waste Water Management. Amsterdam: Elsevire. 319-328
- Kamarudzaman, A.N., Voon, K.F., Aziz, R.A. andJalil, M.F. (2011). Study of Point and Non Point Sources Pollution- A Case Study of Timah Tasoh Lake in Perlis. International Conference on Environmental and Computer Science.19. Singapore: IPCBEE.
- Kraft, M. E. (2001). *Environmental Policy and Politics* (2nd Edition). Addison-Wesley Educational Publishers Inc.
- Latif, N. 2013. Sungai Temiang Tempat Longgok Sampah. BH Sentral Newspapers. Published 29th Ogos, 2013.
- Lee, K.Y. (2004). What is Water Policy and what is its Purpose. Buletin Ingenieur., 22: 44-46.

Malaysia Department of Local Government (2010). Wet Market in Malaysia.

- Marc B. Neumann, J. R. (2015). Adaption in hindsight: Dynamics and drivers shaping urban wastewater systems. Journal of Environmental Management, 404-415.
- Markus T. Lasut, K. R. (2008). Analysis of constraints and potential for wastewater management in the coastal city of Manado, North Sulawesi, Indonesia. Journal of environmental Management, 1141-1150, Volume 88
- May A. Massoud, A. T. (2009). Decentralized approaches to wastewater treatment and management: Applicability in developing countries. Journal of Environmental Management, 652-659. Volume 90
- Mazmanian, Daniel, A. and Kraft, M.E. (1999). Toward Sustainable Communities: Transition and Transformations in Environmental Policy. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Mazmanian, Daniel, A., and Sabatier, P.A. (1983). *Implementation and Public Policy*. Glenview, IL: Scott, Foresman.
- Metcalf & Eddy, Inc. (2004).Wastewater Engineering: Treatment and Reuse. 4th Ed. New York: McGraw-Hill, Inc.
- Nasir (2014). *River of Life Project (RoL): River Cleaning*.Kuala Lumpur: Pusat Konvensyen Antarabangsa Putrajaya.
- Nazarudin,S. (2011). *Cabaran membersihkan Sg Temiang, Utusan Malaysia*. Available from : <u>http://ww1.utusan.com.my/utusan</u>
- Neumann, L. C. (2014). Ecosystem-based management of a Mediterranean urban wastewater system: A sensitivity analysis of the operational degrees of freedom. Journal of Environmental Management, 80-87.
- Nolde, E. (2000). Greywater reuse systems for toilet flushing in multi-storey buildings over ten years experience in Berlin. Urban Water, 1(4): 275-284.
- Office of the Provincial Engineer, Negros Oriental (2007). *Decentralized wastewater treatment system – DEWATS*. Negros Oriental, Philippines.
- Omofonmwan, S.I. and Eseigbe, J.O. (2009). *Effects of Solids Waste on the Quality* of Underground Water in Benin Metropolis, Nigeria. Journal Human Ecology, 26(2), 99-105.
- Organization, W. H. (2006). A Guide to Healthy food market. Switzerland: WHO Press.
- PMO (2006). *Laporan Rancangan Malaysia ke-8: Perdagangan Pengedaran*. Available from: http://www.pmo.gov.my/dokumenattached/RMK/RM8.pdf

- Rabe (2000). Power to the States: The promise and Pitfalls of Decentralization.: In Environmental Policy. Norman J. Vig and Michael E, Kraft. Washington: CQ Press.
- SA, O. (2000). Pollution and Conservation of Nigeria Environment. Nigeria: T' Afrique International Associates, Oweri .
- Saad, M., Farah, N., Rahman, N.A., Norulaini, N., Kadir, A., Omar, M. and Omar, M.F (2008). Project Report: Identification of Pollution Sources within the Sungai Pinang River Basin. Universiti Sains Malaysia. Available from : <u>http://eprints.usm.my/4907/</u>
- Saleh Ali Tweib, R. A. (2011). Composting of Solid Waste from Wet Market of Bandar Bandar Baru Bangi Malaysia. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 5(5), 975-983.
- Samsudin, M.S., Juahir, H., Zain, S.M. and Adnan, N.H. (2011). Surface River Water Quality Interpretation Using Environmentric Techniques: Case Study at Perlis River Basin, Malaysia. International Journal of Environmental Protection, 1, 1-8.
- Santos, C. J. and Robbins, D. M. (2007). Low-Cost Innovative Solutions for Treating Public Market Wastewater in the Philippines: Deploying Hybrid Anaerobic/Aerobic Cocopeat Filtration Systems. International Forum on Water Environmental Governance in Asia: Technologies and Institutional Systems for Water Environmental Governance. 14-15 March 2007. Bangkok, Thailand. www.rti.org/pub/alabangmarketstudy.pdf.
- Schneider, Anne L. and Helen Ingram (1990).Policy Design: Elements, Premises, and Strategies in Policy Theory and Policy Evaluation: Concepts, Knowledge, Causes and Norms. Stuart S. Nagel. Westport, CT: Greenwood Press.
- Schneider, Anne L. and Helen Ingram (1997). *Policy Design for Democracy*. Lawrence: University of Kansas Press.
- Sexton, Ken, Alfred A. Marcus, K.Wiliam Easter and Timothy D. Burkhardt (1999). Better Environmental Decisions: Strategies for Governments, businesses, and Communities. Washington: Island Press.
- Sharom, M. B. (2007). Undang-undang Alam Sekitar, Siri Perkembangan Undang-Undang Di Malaysia. Kuala Lumpur: Dewan Bahasa dan Pustaka.

- SPAN (2016). Malaysian Sewerage Industry Guidelines, Volume IV (3rd edition). Malaysia: National Water Services Commission.
- Stone, D. (1997). Policy Paradox: The Art of Political Decision Making. New York: W.W Norton.
- SWMA (2004). Master plan study on integrated catchment management and urban storm water management for Sg. Damansara (draft final report). Malaysia: Selangor Water Management Authority.
- TAC (2000). Integrated Water Resources Management. Global Water Partnership Technical Advisory Committee Background Papers, No. 4. Available from: <u>http://www.gwp.org</u>
- Tchobanoglous, G., Burton F.L., Stensel H.D. (2003). *Wastewater engineering for treatment and reuse* (4thedition). Metcalf & Eddy, Inc.
- WHO (2006). A Guide to Healthy Food Markets. World Health Organization, WHO Press, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Wong, W.S., Cheng, P.S., Lim, C.H. and Hassan, N.M.K. (2014). Klang river system Water Quality Modelling and Improvement for the River of Life (RoL). 13th International Conference on Urban Drainage, Sarawak, Malaysia. 07-12 September 2014.
- Zaini Ujang (2000). Water and wastewater in developing countries: present reality and strategy for the future. Proceedings of the IWA International Conference. October 29-31, 2001. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 1-8.
- Zulkaflli, Z.A. (2005). Pontesi Guna Semula Air Sisa Dalam Industri Kawasan Perindustrian Pasir Gudang (ZON A). Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Tesis SarjanaMuda.