COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS BETWEEN NET AND GROSS METERING BASED FEED-IN TARIFF

SAMARAH QASIM ALI ALASADI

Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS BETWEEN NET AND GROSS METERING BASED FEED-IN TARIFF

SAMARAH QASIM ALI ALASADI

A project report submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Electrical Power)

> Faculty of Electrical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > DECEMBER 2016

"Specially dedicated to

My Beloved Parents Kassim and Sahira and my Sisters Sara and Huda"

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, I would like to take opportunity to express my gratitude mostly to Allah SWT for giving me ability and good health to complete my Master project without difficulties.

I would like to express my gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor Dr. Md Pauzi bin Abdullah for the guidance, understanding, comments and invaluable advices throughout the two semesters upon the completion of this project.

Last but not least, not forgotten to my parents and siblings who are giving moral support throughout my project and studies.

ABSTRACT

Depleting gas and oil have encouraged scientist and governments to seek other alternatives. Renewable Energy (RE) resources have been the best option as a solution for the time being issue. In order to achieve rapid development of RE resources usage, Feed in-Tariff has been introduced and applied. FIT considers as an energy supply policy that offers a guarantee of payment to RE developers for the electricity the customers produce. It incentive to encourage uptake of RE technology including photovoltaic (PV) and wind turbines and other technologies. There are two different types of metering systems, Gross and Net metering. Gross metering policy is to pay customers for both RE installation and for the generated electricity weather it has been consumed or injected directly to the grid. However, Net metering policy only considers the difference of the injected and the consumed electricity. The customers are paid only if their electricity consumption is less than their generation. This project compares the results for Net and Gross metering system for various load cases. The works presented here include i) review different FIT scheme metering system for various countries, ii) to make different case studies for different loads scenarios for UTM load and a typical Malaysian house, in JB, comparing it to a European (Portugal) house and the analyses is performed by using Homer software. All cases will be considering grid connecting PV system. The effect of financial factor like payback period, NPC (Vet Present Cost) and COE (Cost of Electricity) are used in the comparison. Results showed that Gross metering was preferable for Malaysian domestic or commercial load because it has less COE, NPC and less payback period comparing to Net metering system.

ABSTRAK

Semakin berkurangan gas dan minyak telah menggalakkan saintis dan kerajaan untuk mencari alternatif lain. Tenaga boleh diperbaharui (RE) telah pilihan terbaik sebagai penyelesaian buat kali menjadi isu. Dalam usaha untuk mencapai pembangunan pesat dalam penggunaan sumber RE, Feed in-Tariff telah diperkenalkan dan digunakan. FIT menganggap sebagai dasar bekalan tenaga yang menawarkan jaminan pembayaran kepada pemaju RE untuk peghasilan elektrik oleh pelanggan. insentif untuk menggalakkan penggunaan teknologi RE termasuk photovoltaic (PV) dan turbin angin dan teknologi lain. Terdapat dua jenis sistem pemeteran, iaitu pemeteran kasar dan pemeteran bersih. Dasar pemeteran kasar adalah untuk membayar pelanggan untuk kedua-dua pemasangan RE dan penjanaan elektrik sama ada tenaga elektrik telah digunakan atau disuap secara terus ke grid. Walaubagaimanapun, dasar pemeteran bersih hanya mempertimbangkan perbezaan tenaga elektrik yang disuap dan digunakan. Para pelanggan hanya akan membayar jumlah pengunnan tenaga elektrik jika penggunaan mereka kurang dari penjaan mereka. Projek ini membandingkan keputusan untuk sistem pemeteran bersih dan kasar bagi pelbagai kes beban. Kerja-kerja yang dibentangkan di sini termasuk i) kajian skim meter FIT yang berbeza-beza untuk untuk pelbagai negara, ii) untuk membuat kajian kes yang berbeza bagi beban yang berbeza senario untuk beban UTM dan sebuah rumah kediaman Malaysia, di JB, membandingkannya kepada (Portugal) rumah Eropah dan analisis yang dilakukan dengan menggunakan perisian Homer. Semua kes akan mempertimbangkan penyambungan grid ke sistem PV. Kesan faktor kewangan seperti tempoh bayaran balik, NPC (Vet Kos Sekarang) dan COE (Kos Elektrik) digunakan dalam perbandingan. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa pemeteran Kasar adalah lebih sesuai untuk beban domestik atau komersial di Malaysia kerana ia mempunyai kurang COE, NPC dan kurang tempoh bayaran balik berbanding dengan sistem pemeteran bersih.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	Х
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	XV
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Project Overview	1
	1.2 Research Problem Statement	4
	1.3 Research Objectives	4
	1.4 Research Significance	5
	1.5 Research Scope	5
	1.6 Thesis Outline	5
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
	2.1 Introduction	6
	2.2 Gross Metering System	11
	2.3 Net Metering System	12

	2.4 Comparison between various countries	15
	2.5 Fundamental Formulations and Calculations	17
	2.6 Summary	20
3	METHODOLOGY	21
	3.1 Introduction	21
	3.2 Historical data	21
	3.3 Project methodology	23
	3.4 Homer Software	25
	3.5 FIT Rates and Electric Tariffs	25
	3.6 Case Study	27
	3.6.1 Case I	27
	3.6.2 Case II	28
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	30
	4.1 Introduction	30
	4.2 Analysis Results	30
	4.3 Case I	31
	4.3.1 Scenario I	32
	4.3.2 Scenario II	34
	4.3.3 Summary of Case I	36
	4.4 Case II	39
	4.4.1 Scenario I	39
	4.4.2 Scenario II	40
	4.4.3 Scenario III	41
	4.4.4 Scenario IV	41
	4.4.5 Scenario V	42
	4.4.6 Summary of Case II	44
	4.5 Discussion	47
	4.6 Summary	48
5	CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER WORK	50
	5.1 Conclusions and Discussion	50
	5.2 Recommendations for Future Work	51

REFERENCES	52
Appendices A	54-57

LIST OF TABLES

TITLE

PAGE

2.1.1	Types of tools for promoting for RE [3]	9
2.3.1	Net vs Gross metering system [5]	12
2.4.1	Different scenarios with view of LCEO and project lifetime [9]	15
2.4.2	Comparison of different papers for various countries	
	applying FIT system	16
3.5.1	Fit rate for Solar PV installation [25]	26
3.5.2	Commercial tariffs for grid prices [26]	26
3.6.2.1	UTM load profile of 24 hours of one day	29
4.3.1.1	The results for typical Malaysian house case for	
	Gross metering system	32
4.3.1.2	Payback period for the typical Malaysian house (gross)	33
4.3.1.3	Results for Net metering system	34
4.3.1.4	Payback period for the typical house (net)	34
4.3.2.1	Results for Gross metering system for a European house	35
4.3.2.2	Results for Net metering system for a European house	35
4.3.2.3	Payback period results for Gross metering system	36
4.3.2.4	Payback period results for Net metering system	36
4.4.1.1	Results for Gross & net metering system	39
4.4.1.2	Payback period results for both schemes	40
4.4.2.1	Results for Gross & net metering system	40
4.4.2.2	Payback period results	40
4.4.3.1	Results for Gross & net metering system	41
4.4.3.2	Payback period results	41

4.4.4.1	Results for Gross metering system	42
4.4.4.2	Results for Net metering system	42
4.4.4.3	Payback period results for Net metering system	42
4.4.4.4	Payback period results for Gross metering system	42
4.4.5.1	Results for Gross metering system	43
4.4.5.2	Results for Net metering system	43
4.4.5.3	Payback period results for Gross metering system	43
4.4.5.4	Payback period results for Net metering system	43
4.4.5.5	Yearly average harmful gases emission	44

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO	TITLE	
2.1.1	Solar irradiance of all Malaysian cities [2]	7
2.1.2	Average daily radiation (MJ/m ² /day) [2]	7
2.1.3	Average daily radiation (MJ/m ² /day) [2]	8
3.2.1	World solar irradiance [19]	22
3.3.1	The methodology of the project	24
3.6.6.1	Typical Malaysian house load profile [21]	27
3.6.6.2	Domestic European load profile [22]	28
3.6.2.1	Specifying the location of UTM in JB	29
4.3.1	Typical design using Homer for a typical house in Malaysia/J	B 31
4.3.1.2	Results for electricity costs	33
4.3.1.3	Results for electricity production	33
4.3.2.1	Monthly average electricity generation	35
4.3.3.1	The COE comparison of the domestic case (Net)	37
4.3.3.2	The COE comparison of the domestic case (Gross)	37
4.3.3.3	The Payback Period comparison of domestic case (Gross)	38
4.3.3.4	The Payback Period comparison of domestic case (Net)	38
4.4.5.1	Monthly average electricity generation	44
4.4.6.1	The COE comparison of UTM case (Net)	45
4.4.6.2	The COE comparison of UTM case (Gross)	45
4.4.6.3	The NPC comparison of UTM case (Net)	46
4.4.6.4	The NPC comparison of UTM case (Gross)	47

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

NPC	_	Net Present Value
PV	_	Present Value
COE	_	Cost Of Energy
RE	_	Renewable Energy
FIT	_	Feed-In Tariff
NREPAP	_	National Renewable Energy Policy and Action Plan
UTM	_	Universisi Teknologi Malaysia
GWh	_	Giga Watt hour
MJ	_	Mega Joule
<i>m</i> 2	_	Meter square
PV	_	Photovoltaic
MBIPV	_	Malaysia Building Integrated Photovoltaic
kW	_	Kilo Watt
GHG	_	Green House Gases
TNB	_	Tenaga Nasional Berhad
RM	_	Ringgit Malaysia
Pc-Si	_	poly-crystalline silicon
Mc-Si	_	mono-crystalline silicon
CIS	_	Copper-indium-dieseline
A-Si	_	Amorphous silicon
UKM	_	Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
RECs	_	Renewable Energy Certificates
PPA	_	Purchased Power Agreements
DG	_	Distributed Generation

USA	_	United State of America
EBIT	_	Earnings before Interest and Taxes
NY	_	New York
AZ	_	Arizona
WV	_	West Virginia
LCOE	_	Levelised Cost of Energy
K\$	_	1000\$
NREL	_	National Renewable Energy Laboratory
HOMER	_	Hybrid Optimization of Multiple Energy Resources
JB	_	Johor Bahru

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX

TITLE

PAGE

А	Create a New HOMER File	54
---	-------------------------	----

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Project Overview

The primary solution for the world wide serious issue "depleting gas and oil" is to provide a continuous energy supply to cover all needed demand. For any developed county, energy demand increases rapidly due to huge consumption of the different loads like commercial and domestic. To overcome this problem, many scientist and researchers found out that using renewable energy (RE) to provide electricity can be achieved and the technology has proved that by making wind turbines, PV panels and other RE technologies. Best alternative resources are RE like solar, wind, tidal, wave, geothermal and biomass. The location and the potential of these RE are important before installing the system and decide which RE technology to use.

Different techniques have been used as well not only to encourage investors but also to encourage people for using RE technology partially to generate electricity for their own usage. These protocols vary from one country to another by different rules contracts and various tariffs. Feed-In Tariff (FIT) scheme considered as a good technique to use RE technology and encourages people to use and install it. There are two schemes for FIT to apply, Gross and Net metering system.

The recent studies signify the importance of the applying FIT system to supply green electricity using one scheme depending on the country and the utility contracts. However, each state or country uses either gross or net metering system.

Guaranteed price is set for the RE electricity generation is being performed using FIT scheme. It will be for long period of time, depend on the country and the utility contract for it, in order to encourage investment for the use of RE system. China, Germany, Denmark, Portugal, Spain and many other European countries or the other American continents have applied FIT scheme with success in prevailing huge amount of solar, biomass and wind energy. The main advantage of FIT is long-term assurance of financial support which leads to reduce the investment risks noticeably.

Net metering is a policy that allows customers to use RE system to generate electricity and sell the extra generation to the grid. It works by using one meter that spin and records the flow of the energy in both directions. When it spins forward, means the customer is drawing electricity from the utility grid and when it spins backward then the customer is sending power to the grid. By the end of the month the customer will be charged for the difference of the electricity if it consumes more than what it generates. But if it injects power more than needed then depending on the arrangements in that place it get paid back somehow.

On 2nd April 2010, the FIT was introduced under the National Renewable Energy Policy and Action Plan (NREPAP) which has been approved by Malaysian cabinet. This NREPAP is established to enhancing the utilisation of local RE resources to contribute towards national electricity supply security and sustainable socio-economic development. The FIT scheme is an enterprise to allow consumer to generate electricity from RE resources and sold it to the power provider at fixed rates in specific duration. For example, renewable energy for biogas and biomass, the lifetime agreements is 16 years while for small hydro and solar PV the agreements is for 21 years. In Malaysia, gross metering is applied. The objectives of FIT scheme are as follows [1]:

- i. To ensure reasonable RE generation is used,
- ii. To ease the growth of the RE industry,
- iii. To conserve the environment for future generation and
- iv. To increase RE contribution in the national power generation.

Gross or Net metering systems are two techniques used for calculating the difference in electricity used, generate from RE installed system and the benefits for the investors. Net metering considers to pay the customers regarding the difference of the electricity energy injected to the grid and consumed from utility, whereas Gross metering pay them once for their installation of RE system and for the injected electricity to the grid.

This project aims to evaluate Fit (gross and net) scheme, pricing (COE and NPC), payback period and the optimum scheme to use in Malaysia will be considered for different load scenarios.

1.2 Problem Statement

FIT has been known as an actual solution to make a monthly income. Customers can generate electricity from RE sources that they installed. Either Net or Gross metering system is being used, the utility will pay the customer regarding the type of mechanism they are using. Due to the difference between these two mechanisms, this study will compare between them by assessing various scenarios of different load profiles. Malaysian and European domestic load will be studied. Also different scenarios of UTM load profile will be done. All scenarios based on grid connected PV system. The COE, NPC and payback period will be studied for all cases using Homer software.

1.3 Objectives

In order to achieve the aim, this study has the following objectives:

- 1. To review different FIT scheme metering system for various countries
- 2. To apply Gross and Net metering scheme on different types of customers using HOMER software
- 3. To analyze and compare for both metering scheme in terms of NPC, COE and payback period

1.4 Significance of Study

The main superiority of the proposed project is to study the difference of gross and net metering system and make a comparison of them to decide which pricing mechanism better to apply in Malaysia.

1.5 Scope of Study

This project provides a comparison between Net and Gross metering system while considering similar cases applied in several countries. It depends on many factors such as load profile, tariff structure, solar irradiance, discount rate and other factors. It is important to do comparative analysis to investigate the preferred metering that would benefit both customers and utility. In this study, it will be limited to grid connected PV system for domestic and commercial loads. A case of a residential load profile for a typical Malaysian and European (Portugal) house will be investigated. Installing 4kW of grid connected PV system will be introduced. COE, NPC and payback period will be considered. Another case is UTM commercial load, many scenarios will be done considering different PV capacity varies from 27kW to 30MW. Different tariff rates will be considered regarding the each various PV capacity.

1.6 Project Report Outline

This report is produced in order to fulfil the requirement of master project. The report is organized in the following ways:

Chapter 1 describes the project introduction, problem statement and objective of the studies.

Chapter 2 reviewed and summarized the previous studies and works that has been discussed of other countries regarding feed-in tariff (FiT) scheme, comparison of different metering systems, Home software and other important parameters.

Chapter 3 covers the project methodology which involves studies in historical data of using FiTs, solar irradiation, FiT rates and data collection of selected residential house for Malaysia and Europe, some calculations regarding some parameters to find out and Homer software for estimate approaches.

Chapter 4 is the project result and discussion. In this chapter, the data projection for the suggested different loads (Malaysian house, European house and UTM load) scenarios to evaluate it using Homer software and find out the COE, NPC and payback period. Deciding which scheme is better by comparing the results that has been performed.

Chapter 5 Concludes the overall project and suggesting for future work.

REFERENCES

- [1] S.L.Wong, Norzita Ngadi, Tuan Amran Tuan Abdullah, I.M. Inuwa. Recent advances of feed-in-tariff in Malaysia University Technology Malaysia, Skudai Johor.
- [2] Electricity from solar energy in Malaysia written by Christopher. www.christopherteh .com. (Accessed in June, 2016).
- [3] Elizondo and Barroso, 2011. What is best choice of tools tool RE energy? regulationbodyofknowledge.org. (Accessed in June, 2016).
- [4] Feed-in Tariff. www.eia.gov/todayinenergy. (Accessed in April, 2016).
- [5] Net Metering Versus Feed in Tariff Grid Connections. www.greenbugenergy.com. (Accessed in April, 2016).
- [6] G. C. Christoforidis *et al.*, "Investigating net-metering variant policies: The case of Greece," 2015 IEEE 15th International Conference on Environment and Electrical Engineering (EEEIC), Rome, 2015, pp. 2023-2028.
- [7] J. Barros and H. Leite, "Feed-in tariffs for wind energy in Portugal: Current status and prospective future," Electrical Power Quality and Utilization (EPQU), 2011 11th International Conference on, Lisbon, 2011, pp. 1-5.
- [8] K. Sedghisigarchi, "Residential solar systems: Technology, net-metering, and financial payback," Electrical Power & Energy Conference (EPEC), 2009 IEEE, Montreal, QC, 2009, pp. 1-6.
- [9] T. Georgitsioti, N. Pearsall and I. Forbes, "Simplified levelised cost of the domestic photovoltaic energy in the UK: the importance of the feed-in tariff scheme," in IET Renewable Power Generation, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 451-458, July 2014.
- [10] T. Traber, "Impact of market power on price effects of the German feed-in tariff under the European emission trading system," 2008 5th International Conference on the European Electricity Market, Lisboa, 2008, pp. 1-6.
- [11] A. Campoccia, L. Dusonchet, E. Telaretti and G. Zizzo, "Feed-in Tariffs for Gridconnected PV Systems: The Situation in the European Community," Power Tech, 2007 IEEE Lausanne, Lausanne, 2007, pp. 1981-1986.

- [12] Discovery of photovoltaic effect. www.sunenergysite.eu. (Accessed in June, 2016).
- [13] History of photovoltaic. www.fsec.ucf.edu (Accessed in June, 2016).
- [14] Photovoltaics. www.srec.com.aure. (Accessed in June, 2016).
- [15] H. Al-Badi, M. AL-Toobi, S. AL-Harthy, Z. Al-Hosni & A. AL-Harthy, "Hybrid systems for decentralized power generation in Oman", International Journal of Sustainable Energy, Vol. 31, Issue 6, pp. 411-421, 2012.
- [16] Solar Panel. www.ebay.com. (Accessed in March, 2016).
- [17] Samara Qasim Ali Al-Asadi and Hussein A Kazem, "Feasibility Study of Photovoltaic/Wind/Battery Hybrid System for Oman", Engineering Science and Technology (ESTIJ), Vol. 3, No. 3, 2013, pp. 466-470.
- [18] Joel N Swisher, Girberto de Martino Jannuzi, Robert Y. Redlinger, Tools and Methods for Integrated Resource Planning, UNEP Collaborating Centre on Energy and Environment, Riso National Laboratory, November 1997.
- [19] Amount of solar energy in hours received by earth for the worst month. www.altestore.com. (Accessed in June, 2016).
- [20] Solar Energy. Irradiance and application. www.sarawakenergy.com.my. (Accessed in June 2016).
- [21] W.S. Ho, H. Hashim, M.H. Hassim, Z.A. Muis, N.L.M. Shamsuddin, "Design of distributed energy system through Electric System Cascade Analysis", 2012 Applied Energy 99, PP. 309–315.
- [22] E. Eusebio and C. Camus, "Residential PV systems with battery backup power attained already grid parity?", 2016 13th International Conference on the European Energy Market (EEM), Porto, 2016, pp. 1-5.
- [23] Homer software. www.homerenergy.com/HOMER_pro.html (Accessed in Oct, 2016).
- [24] National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Homer Software facts. www.nrel.gov/homer. (Accessed in Oct, 2016).
- [25] Fit Rates. www.seda.gov.my/fitrates. (Accessed in Oct, 2016).
- [26] Tariff rates. www.tnb.com.my/residential/pricing-tariffs. (Accessed in Oct, 2016).