# CHARACTERIZATION OF SOIL MIXED WITH RECLAIMED ASPHALT PAVEMENT WASTE FOR ROAD SHOULDER

# ROZALINA BINTI AB RASHID

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Civil)

> Faculty of Civil Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JUNE 2017

Dedicated to Allah S.W.T, my beloved husband Mohd Rafiqan Bin Zainal Abidin and my lovely daughter, Nur Hanis Afiqah Binti Mohd Rafiqan Thanks for your valuable sacrifice and love.

To my beloved parents and in laws, Ab Rashid Bin Awang – Rohani Binti Mohamed Zainal Abidin Bin Jaafar –Meriam Binti Awang. Thanks for your support and always being there for me in happiness and sadness.

~~~~ Love you all ~~~~

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank Allah S.W.T for blessing me with excellent health and ability during the process of completing my thesis. Special thanks to my supervisor Dr. Azman Bin Mohamed who have given me the opportunity to learn a great deal knowledge, and guiding me towards fulfilling this achievement.

My gratitude is also extended to the Highway and Transportation Laboratory, Geotechnics Laboratory, Lecturer and Laboratory staff. Thank you for the support and friendship showered upon me throughout the experimental periods.

Finally, I would like to thank my husband Mohd Rafiqan Bin Zainal Abidin for his unconditional support and assistance on various occasions. All your kindness will not be forgotten.

### ABSTRACT

The usage of fresh bitumen and aggregates in pavement construction and rehabilitation can be minimised by utilising reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP). RAP is a waste material generated from the old or damaged pavement surface. Although it has been practiced since the 1970s while several suggestions on the usage of RAP in the new mixture have been introduced, there are a limited number of studies found. It is also cost-effective and sustainable for the environment because the materials used are recycled materials. This research is about the characterization of soil mixed with reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP) for the local road shoulder. RAP is one of the rehabilitation methods to replace the existing surface of deterioration where the upper pavement was later removed and replaced with the new pavement. From previous research, if soil is mixed with the other materials, it will improve the finding. In this research, the objectives are to determine the material properties of soil and RAP, investigate the optimum moisture content of material and degree of compaction for road shoulder and investigate the optimum mix proportion of soil and RAP under the California Bearing Ratio (CBR) test for road shoulder. The sample of soil and RAP has been tested Atterberg limit, liquid limit and plastic limit for soil. Sieve analysis for soil and RAP. Compaction test for soil and CBR test for soil and RAP were conducted with mixed proportion of 10S, 2S8RAP, 4S6RAP, 6S4RAP, 8S2RAP and 10RAP. The finding from the laboratory test, 20% of RAP (8S2RAP) showed the better mixed proportion for road shoulder. Therefore reuse material such as RAP can be used as a road shoulder material for road construction.

### ABSTRAK

Penggunaan bitumen segar dan agregat dalam pembinaan turapan dan pemulihan boleh dikurangkan dengan menggunakan semula turapan asfalt (RAP). RAP adalah bahan sisa yang dihasilkan dari permukaan turapan lama atau yang telah rosak. Inovasi RAP telah diamalkan sejak tahun 1970-an dan beberapa cadangan daripada sebilangan penyelidik telah menemui penggunaan RAP dalam campuran tertentu diperkenalkan. Dengan menggunakan bahan RAP ini ianya akan menjimatkan kos dan menjadi bahan kitar semula. Kajian ini adalah merupakan kajian untuk menentukan campuran yang sesuai dengan tanah yang ada dengan RAP untuk bahu jalan kawasan tempatan. RAP adalah salah satu kaedah pemulihan untuk menggantikan permukaan yang sedia ada kemerosotan di mana turapan atas kemudian telah dibuang dan digantikan dengan turapan yang baru. Daripada penyelidikan sebelum ini, tanah jika campuran dengan bahan-bahan lain akan meningkatkan hasil dapatan. Dalam kajian ini, terdapat beberapa objektif yang akan dilaksanakan untuk menentukan campuran yang sesuai diantara tanah dan RAP. Objektif yang pertama adalah untuk menentukan kandungan lembapan optimum serta tahap pemadatan untuk bahu jalan. Objektif yang ke dua adalah untuk menentukan campuran kadar campuran optimum tanah dan RAP bawah ujian California Bearing Ratio (CBR) untuk bahu jalan. Sampel tanah dan RAP akan diujian dimakmal seperti ujian had Atterberg, had cecair dan had plastik untuk tanah. analisis ayak untuk tanah dan RAP. Ujian pemadatan untuk tanah dan ujian CBR untuk 100% tanah dan 100% RAP serta kadar campuran yang sesuai diantara tanah dan RAP mengikut peratus 10S, 2S8RAP, 4S6RAP, 6S4RAP, 8S2RAP dan 10RAP. Berdasarkan beberapa ujian yang telah dijalankan peratus campuran yang sesuai adalah 8S2RAP, jumlah RAP yang disyorkan di dalam campuran adalah 20 %.

# TABLE OF CONTENT

TITLE

CHAPTER

|   | DECLA    | RATION                        | ii   |
|---|----------|-------------------------------|------|
|   | DEDICA   | ATION                         | iii  |
|   | ACKNO    | WLEDGEMENT                    | iv   |
|   | ABSTR    | ACT                           | V    |
|   | ABSTR    | AK                            | vi   |
|   | TABLE    | OF CONTENTS                   | vii  |
|   | LIST O   | F TABLES                      | xii  |
|   | LIST O   | F FIGURES                     | xiv  |
|   | LIST O   | F APPENDICES                  | xiii |
|   |          |                               |      |
| 1 | INTROD   | UCTION                        | 1    |
|   | 1.1 Intr | oduction                      | 1    |
|   | 1.2 Bac  | kground of the Study          | 3    |
|   | 1.3 Pro  | blem Statement                | 4    |
|   | 1.4 Ain  | n and Objectives              | 5    |
|   | 1.5 Sco  | pe of the Study               | 6    |
|   | 1.6 Sig  | nificance of the Study        | 6    |
|   |          |                               |      |
| 2 | LITERA   | <b>FURE REVIEW</b>            | 8    |
|   | 2.1 Intr | oduction                      | 8    |
|   | 2.2 Roa  | d Shoulder                    | 9    |
|   | 2.3 Pav  | ement Recycling               | 10   |
|   | 2.4 Rec  | laimed Asphalt Pavement (RAP) | 12   |

PAGE

|   |     | 2.4.1 Recycling Technique                 | 18 |
|---|-----|-------------------------------------------|----|
|   |     | 2.4.2 Physical Properties of Reclaimed    |    |
|   |     | Asphalt Pavement (RAP)                    | 20 |
|   |     | 2.4.3 Chemical Properties                 | 22 |
|   | 2.5 | Soil                                      | 23 |
|   |     | 2.5.1 Classification of Soil              | 24 |
|   |     | 2.5.2 Laterite Soil                       | 26 |
|   |     | 2.5.3 Plasticity of Laterite Soil         | 28 |
|   |     | 2.5.4 Compaction of Laterite Soil         | 29 |
|   |     | 2.5.5 Classes of Sub-Grade Strength based |    |
|   |     | on CBR                                    | 30 |
| 3 | ME  | THODOLOGY                                 | 32 |
|   | 3.1 | Introduction                              | 32 |
|   | 3.2 | Study Area                                | 33 |
|   |     | 3.2.1 Sample Collection                   | 34 |
|   | 3.3 | Research Framework                        | 34 |
|   | 3.4 | Material Properties                       | 37 |
|   |     | 3.4.1 Soil                                | 37 |
|   |     | 3.4.2 Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement (RAP)    | 42 |
|   | 3.5 | Moisture Content Test                     | 46 |
|   | 3.6 | Compaction Test                           | 46 |
|   | 3.7 | California Bearing Ratio (CBR)            | 48 |
| 4 | RE  | SULTS AND DISCUSSION                      | 52 |
|   | 4.1 | Introduction                              | 52 |
|   | 4.2 | Materials Properties of Soil              | 52 |
|   | 1.2 | Material Properties of Reclaimed Asphalt  |    |
|   | 4.3 | Pavement (RAP)                            | 55 |
|   | 4.4 | Moisture Content                          | 58 |
|   | 4.5 | Compaction Test                           | 59 |
|   | 4.6 | California Bearing Ratio (CBR)            | 61 |
|   | 4.7 | Summary                                   | 65 |
|   |     |                                           |    |

| CO  | NCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION          | 66 |
|-----|--------------------------------------|----|
| 5.1 | Conclusion                           | 66 |
|     | 5.1.1 Material Properties of Soil    | 66 |
|     | 5.1.2 Moisture Content and Degree of |    |
|     | Compaction                           | 67 |
|     | 5.1.3 Maximum Mixed Proportion under |    |
|     | California Bearing Ratio (CBR)       | 67 |
| 5.2 | Recommendation                       | 67 |
|     |                                      |    |

### 69 REFERENCES 73 - 91

Appendices A - G

5

# LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE                                                                  | PAGE |
|-----------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| 1.1       | Scope of study                                                         | 6    |
| 2.1       | Pavement recycling projects in Malaysia                                | 13   |
| 2.2       | Standard and construction layer thickness                              | 16   |
| 2.3       | Finding from previous researcher for 100% RAP (strength and stiffness) | 16   |
| 2.4       | Finding from the previous researcher for mixed RAP with other material | 17   |
| 2.6       | Recommended usage of RAP                                               | 23   |
| 2.7       | Transportation<br>Officials (AASTHO) classification                    | 25   |
| 2.8       | First and second letters of group symbols (Whitlow R., 2001)           | 25   |
| 2.9       | Ranges of size fraction for fine-grained laterite soil                 | 27   |
| 2.10      | Classes of Sub-Grade Strength based on<br>CBR (ATJ 5/85-Pindaan 2013)  | 31   |
| 3.1       | Code of mix proportion sample                                          | 35   |
| 3.2       | Arahan teknik jalan 5/58                                               | 51   |
| 4.1       | Sieve analysis of soil                                                 | 53   |
| 4.2       | Fineness modulus for soil                                              | 54   |
| 4.3       | Result of soil properties                                              | 54   |
| 4.4       | Summary of Tested Sample Bitumen extraction                            | 55   |

| 4.5 | Tabulation of the aggregate of tested sample bitumen extraction (ACW28) | 56 |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 4.6 | Tabulation of the aggregate of tested sample bitumen extraction (ACW14) | 57 |
| 4.7 | Summarize of modified proctor test result for soil                      | 59 |
| 4.8 | Summarize of CBR test result for mix proportion                         | 65 |

# LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE<br>NO. | TITLE                                                                   | PAGE         |
|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| 2.1           | Comparison of Various Recycling Products                                | 11           |
| 2.2           | Abandon Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement (RAP)                                | 14           |
| 2.3           | Component in soil (Hopmans and Rolston, 2000)                           | 26           |
| 2.4           | Soil types classified by grain size<br>(Soil Compaction Handbook, 2011) | 28           |
| 2.5           | Plasticity characteristics and limits of soils (Gidigasu, 1969)         | 29           |
| 3.1           | Road shoulder at Jalan Gebeng                                           | 33           |
| 3.2           | Research Framework for RAP process                                      | 36           |
| 3.3           | Sieve analysis of soil procedure                                        | 38           |
| 3.4           | Liquid limit procedure                                                  | 40           |
| 3.5           | Plastic limit procedure                                                 | 41           |
| 3.6           | Extraction test procedure                                               | 43           |
| 3.7           | Sieve analysis RAP procedure                                            | 45           |
| 3.8           | Apparatus for Compaction Test                                           | 47           |
| 3.9           | Procedure of compaction test of soil                                    | 47           |
| 3.10          | Apparatus for California Bearing Ratio (CBR)                            | 49           |
| 3.11          | Procedure of CBR Test of RAP                                            | 49           |
| 3.12          | Procedure of CBR Test of mix sample soil and RAF                        | <b>2</b> 450 |
| 4.1           | Sieve analysis of soil                                                  | 52           |

| 4.2 | Sieve Analysis of sample bitumen extraction (ACW28) | 57 |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------|----|
| 4.3 | Sieve Analysis of sample bitumen extraction (ACW14) | 58 |
| 4.4 | Moisture Content for soil                           | 59 |
| 4.5 | Compaction Curve for Soil                           | 60 |
| 4.6 | CBR value for 4S6RAP                                | 66 |
| 4.7 | CBR value for all mix sample                        | 64 |
| 4.8 | Relationship between CBR and MDD                    | 64 |

# LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE                                                                | PAGE |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
|          |                                                                      |      |
| А        | Sieve Analysis for soils                                             | 73   |
| В        | Extraction Test for Reclaimed Asphalt<br>Pavement (ACW 28)           | 75   |
| С        | Extraction Test for Reclaimed Asphalt<br>Pavement (ACW 14)           | 77   |
| D        | Sieve Analysis for Extraction Reclaimed<br>Asphalt Pavement (ACW 28) | 79   |
| E        | Sieve Analysis for Extraction Reclaimed<br>Asphalt Pavement (ACW 14) | 81   |
| F        | Compaction test for soil                                             | 83   |
| G        | California Bearing Ratio (CBR) Test for 100<br>Soil                  | 84   |

## **CHAPTER 1**

# **INTRODUCTION**

# 1.1 Introduction

In Malaysia, the street development has started from the time before Independence. After 1957, there has been a street framework connecting Johor Bahru in the south with Kangar in the north and Kota Bharu in the East Coast, associating the principle with alternate urban areas. After the nation gained Independence in 1957, the endeavours to enhance the street framework have been done appropriately and through the fast improvement arrangement, particularly the Malaysia Plan at regular intervals which were propelled by the federal government.

The development of streets in Malaysia is completed chiefly by the federal and state government in concurrence with the necessities and advancement arranging and street solidness period that rely upon many variables. In asphalt plan, the adaptable asphalt is commonly intended use for around ten to fifteen years. The recovery and support exercises are extremely vital with a specific end goal to guarantee quality and enhance serviceability of the specific street.

These days, development industry gives a more noteworthy consideration on maintainability perspective. There are many components adding to the economic development, for example, development techniques, plan procedures, hardware and materials utilised for the development. The interest for bitumen and the total cost of material development continue to ascend as fast as the advancement and consumption of regular recourses.

The reusing of asphalt pavement has turned into a typical practice in the transportation business. The inspirations for reusing normally incorporate the natural, financial, and social advantages. Using the Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement (RAP) in the roadway advancement meets with the overall objective of sensible change by the astute usage of typical resources (Hoppe *et al.*, 2015).

Recycling a bituminous pavement is not a new idea but one of the solutions that supports sustainability aspect and at the same time reduce the construction and material costs. Progressions in recycling technology and equipment arisen in the 1970s. It conserved energy and non-renewable natural resources, preserved environment, reduced the routine landfill, made smaller cost for construction and enhanced the overall pavement effectiveness (Asphalt Recycling and Reclaiming Association, 2001).

One of the common methods in bituminous recycling is by using reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP). RAP is an old asphalt pavement that has been removed from the roadway by either milling or full-depth removal. Using RAP has been favoured over virgin materials in the light of the increasing cost of asphalt and needs to preserve the environment. The use of reclaimed asphalt also decreases the amount of waste produced and helps to resolve the disposal problems of highway construction materials.

#### **1.2 Background of Study**

The growth of the road construction and rehabilitation in improving the workability of road that increases the socio-economic development are now an important factor in the high demand of road construction, new road and upgrade the existing road to meet the needs of road users. Road development has made significant contributions to economic growth and poverty reduction (Fan and Chan-Kang, 2005)

However, pavement deterioration is a common problem that occurred in road construction that needs to be replaced or make rehabilitated. This means that the sustainable construction, maintenance, and rehabilitation of road pavements are a matter of ever increasing importance in Slovenia, and elsewhere in the world. Besides the intensity of traffic loads, some other factors such as road design and construction, the quality and performance characteristics of input materials, and local weather conditions, are crucial for road durability, and also the lifespan of roads (Ossa *et al.*, 2016).

From this situation, road repair and reconstruction of road industry has encouraged the waste of road disposal and consumed large amounts of raw material and generated enormous amounts of waste during the construction and demolition of the roads, sidewalks and bridges. This waste primarily consists of mortar, aggregate, and bitumen milling as well.

### **1.3 Problem Statement**

In recent years, the construction industry has depleted our natural resources which naturally followed by environmental degradation. Without environmental awareness, contractors have caused irreversible damage to the environment by disposing of waste materials if there is continuous demand on the construction materials that lead to the depletion of natural resources and increase of the waste materials.

Pavements are designed specifically for the expected load conditions throughout the design life of the road. Pavement types vary from flexible pavements through semi-rigid to rigid concrete pavements. After several years of services, the pavement will deteriorate and surface damage will occur. To overcome this situation, rehabilitation and maintenance can slow the deterioration of the pavement but it cannot stop it.

Recycling of bituminous pavement materials is found to be the best method to minimise the usage of natural resources and solve the material disposal issues. Many studies have proven that RAP could be incorporated into the pavement mixture for the first time. For example, few places in the US have successfully used RAP in the pavement mixture on site (Federal Highway 4 Administration, 2016).

However, there are still mixed findings on the performance of RAP discovered among the researchers especially on the suitable amount of RAP to be incorporated in the mixture. For example, Yang and Lee (2016) suggested that RAP should be less than 25% from the total mixture, but other researcher claimed that the higher RAP content with proper handling is still capable of performing as good as the conventional mixture (Poulikakos *et al.*, 2014).

The effects of deterioration are needed to be rectified by adding or replacing materials in the existing pavement structure by rehabilitation. Resurfacing is one of rehabilitation method for pavement, which it involved the placement of fresh materials on an existing surface to increase its structural strength and improve the riding quality of the surface.

The waste of resurfacing of pavement or wearing course is called milling waste. By doing this resurfacing new problem will arise that is milling waste is considered the waste product from rehabilitation works from the old pavement. Disposal of milling waste is the issue for road construction and rehabilitation because milling waste cannot be eliminated and is one of hazardous wastes. The other problems of milling are dumping at the roadside and a lot of stockpile at the certain construction industry. From this study, the reusing of milling waste for road shoulder will decrease the dumping at the roadside and reduce the milling waste stockpile.

# 1.4 Aim and Objectives

The aim of this study was to determine the bituminous mixture for the following purposes:

- i. To determine materials properties of soil and RAP.
- ii. To determine the optimum moisture content of material and degree of compaction for road shoulder.
- iii. To determine the optimum mix proportion under California Bearing Ratio (CBR) test for road shoulder.

## **1.5** Scope of the Study

The scopes of this study were to achieve the objectives mainly through experimental works. The testing methods and procedures were specified according to those recommended by the British Standard Institution and some were proposed by previous researchers. Table 1.1 shows the scope of study of this research.

| Description                | Limitation                               |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| Material for road shoulder | Soil and Milling Waste                   |
| Tasting for Materials      | Soil: sieve, PL, LL, soil classification |
| Testing for Materials      | Milling Waste : Sieve (Extraction test)  |
| Method of drying           | Surface dried                            |
| Compaction                 | Fully replacement, For Soil only.        |
| CDD                        | Fully replacement, partial replacement   |
| CDR                        | For soil and milling waste               |

Table 1.1: Scope of study

#### **1.6** Significance of the Study

The significant of this study is to focus more on using reclaimed asphalt pavement (RAP) as the material for road shoulder and also the significant capability of RAP in order to enhance its properties. Besides that, this study also provides reliable data from experimental work since fewer studies were conducted on RAP for road shoulder. The information and data from this study can be used for future study and might help another researcher to establish the requirement and specification on the road shoulder construction. In addition, the cost of constructing the road shoulder can be reduced since RAP is used as a material in road shoulder and can solve the milling waste dumping at the roadside. Furthermore, by using RAP as materials in road shoulder will reduce the demand of using the natural source such as aggregate and sand that are widely used in construction industry.

### REFERENCES

- Alexander, L. T., and Cady, J. G. (1962). Genesis and Hardening of Laterite in Soils. *Tech. Bull 128J; U.S. Dept. O F Agric., Washington, D.C.*
- Arulrajah, A., Piratheepan, J., and Disfani, M. M. (2014). Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement and Recycled Concrete Aggregate Blends in Pavement Subbases: Laboratory and Field Evaluation. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering/ American Society of Civil Engineers*.
- Asphalt Pavement Alliance, a Coalition of Asphalt Institute. (2004). Retrieved from http://www.asphaltalliance.com
- Asphalt Recycling and Reclaiming Association. (2001). Federal Highway Administration (Vol. 53).
- Aun.OT. (1982). Malaysian Soils and Associated Problems,. Geotechnical Engineering Course, Universiti Malaya, (1982).
- Ayan, V. (2011). Assessment of Recycled Aggregates for Use in Unbound Subbase of Highway Pavement. *Ph.D Thesis, Kingston University, London, UK*.
- Balgowan, R. (2014). Pavement and Shoulder Edge Drop-Offs, 6736.
- Bawa, K. S. (1957). Laterite Soil and Their Engineering Characterisics. Proc.Am Soc.Civ. Eng., 83 (SM..4), Paper 1428): 1-15.
- Bennert, T., and Maher, A. (2005). The Development of a Performance Specification for Granular Base and Subbase Material. *The Development of a Performance Specification for Granular Base and Subbase Material*, FHWA-NJ-20.
- Bennert, T., PappRelated, W., Maher, A., and Gucunski, N. (2000). Utilization of Construction and Demolition Debris Under Traffic-Type Loading in Base and Subbase Applications. (pp. 33-39 In Transportation Research Record : Journal of the, No. 1714, and Washinton DC, Eds.).
- Bleakley, A., and Cosentino, P. (2013). Improving Properties of Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement for Roadway Base Applications Through Blending and Chemical

Stabilization. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*, 2335(July 2012), 20–28.

- Brand, E. W., and Hongsnoi, M. (1969). Effects of Methods of Preparation on Compaction and Strength Characteristics of Lateritic Soils. Proc. Spec. Sess. Int. Conf. Soil Mech. Foundation Eng., 7th, Mexico, 1: 107-116.
- David, M. (2007). Essentials of Soil Mechanics and Foundations. *Education Ltd*, *Pearson Prentice Hall. 7th Edition*.
- Dong, Q., and Huang, B. (2014). Laboratory Evaluation on Resilient Modulus and Rate Dependencies of RAP Used as Unbound Base Material. *Journal of Material in Civil Engineering*, Vol.26, No 2,pp. 379-383.
- Edil, T. B., Tinjum, J. M., and Benson, C. H. (2012). Recycled Unbound Materials. *Minnesota Department of Transportation*, (MN/RC 2012-35).
- European Committee for Standarzation. (2005). DS/EN 13108-8:Bituminous mixtures - Material specifications - Part 8: Reclaimed asphalt. Brussels, Belgium.
- Febiansyah, muhammad revi. (2012). Applying Value Engineering in Road Reconstruction by Using Recycling Technique. *Thesis*.
- Gidigasu, M. D. (1969). The Highway Geotechnical Properties of Ghana Soils. Ph.D. Thesis, State Technical University, Warsaw, 171 Pp. (Unpublished).
- Gidigasu, M. D., and Teboa, S. L. (1972). Significance of Pretesting Preparations in Evaluating Index Properties of Laterite Materials. *Highw.Res.Board.Rec.*, 405; 105-116.
- Graft-Johnson, D., J.W.S., B., H.S., and Yebao, S. L. (1972). Influence of Geology and Physical Properties on Strength Characteristics of Lateritic Gravels for Road Pavements. *Highw. Res. Board, Wash., Rec., 405; 87-104.*
- Hainin, M. R., Ismail, C. R., and Yaacob, H. (2016). Highway Engineering.
- Hirashima, K. B. (1948). Highway Experience with Thixotropic Volcanic Clay. Eng.Geol., 31: 45-58.
- Hopmans, J. W., and Rolston, D. E. (2000). Soil physical properties, 1–27. Retrieved from lawr.ucdavis.edu/classes/ssc107/SSC107Syllabus/chapter1-00.pdf
- Hoppe, E. J., Lane, D. S., Fitch, G. M., and Sameer Shetty. (2015). Feasibility of Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement (RAP) Use As Road Base and Subbase Material.
  A Report: Virginia Center for Transportation Innovation and Research University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia VCTIR 15-R6, 1–42.

- Kandhal, S., P., Mallick, and B., R. (1997). Pavement Recycling Guidelines for State and Local Governments. *Publications No. FHWA-SA-98-042, Federal Highway Administration, Department of Transportation,*.
- Kennedy, T. W., Tam, W. O., and Solaimanian, M. (1998). Optimizing Use Of Reclaimed Asphalt Pavemet with Superpave System. *Journal Of the Associate* of Asphalt Paving Technologies, Vol.67,pp.311-333.
- Locander, R. (2009). Analysis of Using Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement (RAP) as a Base Course Material. *Colorado Department of Transportation-Research, Denver.*
- Mahalinga-Iyer, U., and Willams. (1991). Engineering Properties of A Lateritic Soil Profile. *Eng. Geol.*, *31:* 45-58.
- Malaysian Contractor Specialising in Pavement Recycling. (2004). Retrieved from http://www.hcme.com.my
- Markwick, A. H. D. (1944). The Basic Principles of Soil Compaction and Their Application. Inst. Civ. Eng. London. Road Engineering Division, Road Paper No. 16.
- McGarrah, E. J. (2007). Evaluation of Current Practices of Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement/Virgin Aggregate As Base Course Material. University of Washington, Seattle, 2007.
- Newill, D. (1961). Laboratory Investigation of Two Red Clays from Kenya. Geotechnique, 11: 303 -318.
- Nixon, I. K., and Skipp, B. O. (1957). Airfield Constriction on Overseas Soils. Laterite. Proc. Brit. Inst. Civ. Eng., Lond., 8: 253-292.
- Ooi, P. S. K. (2010). Application of Recycled Materials in Highway Projects. University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu, 2010.
- Ossa, A., García, J. L., and Botero E, E. (2016). Use of Recycled Construction and Demolition Waste (CDW) Aggregates: A Sustainable Alternative for the Tavement Construction Industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 135, 379–386.
- Poulikakos, L. D., Santos, S. dos, Bueno, M., Kuentzel, S., Hugener, M., and Partl, M. N. (2014). Influence of Short and Long Term Aging on Chemical, Microstructural and Macro-mechanical Properties of Recycled Asphalt Mixtures. *Construction and Building Materials*, 51, 414–423.

Road Shoulder. (2008). Retrieved from www.igam.info

Roberts, F. L., Kandhal, P. S., Brown, E. R., Lee, D.-Y., and Thomas W.Kennedy.

(1996). *Hot Mix Asphalt Materials, Misture Design and Construction* (Second Edi).

- Saeed, A. (2008). Performance-Related Tests of Recycled Aggregates for Use in Unbound Pavement Layers.
- Schaefer, V. R., White, D. J., Ceylan, H., and Stevens, L. J. (2008). Design Guide for Improved Quality of Roadway Subgrades and Subbases. *Center for Transportation Research and Education, Iowa State University.*
- shoulder Improvements. (1995), 6.
- Soil Compaction Handbook. (2011). Multiquip Inc Soil Compac Thndk Rev. A (0212\_BD).
- Sondag, M. S., Bruce A. Chadbourn, A., and Drescher, A. (2002). Investigation Of Recycled Asphalt Pavement (RAP) Mixtures, Report MN/RC-2002-15,.
- Starkey, P. (2002). Complementing infrastructure: Enhancing Rural Mobility Through Motorised and Non-Motorised Transport. *World*.
- Taha Related, R., AliRelated, G., Basma, A., and Al-Turk, O. (1999). Evaluation of Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement Aggregate in Road Bases and Subbases. In Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board, No.1652.
- Tateishi, H. (1967). Basic Engineering Characteristics of High-Moisture Tropical Soils. Proc. W.Assoc. State Highw. Off. Conf., July 1967, 19 Pp.
- Valdés, Pérez-Jiménez, Miró, and Martínez. (2009). Experimental Study of Recycled Asphalt Misture with High Percentage of Recalimed Asphalt Pavement (RAP). *Transportation Research Record*, 2008(November 2008), 1–16.
- West, R. C. (2010). Reclaimed asphalt pavement management : Best Practices. National Center for Asphalt Technology at Auburn University, 31.
- Whitlow R. (2001). Basic Soil Mechanics. *Pearson Education Ltd, Pearson Prentice Hall. 4th Edition.*
- Widyatmoko, I. (2008). Mechanistic-Empirical Mixture Design for Hot Mix Asphalt Pavement Recycling. *Construction and Building Materials*, 22(2), 77–87.
- Yang, S. H., and Lee, L. C. (2016). Characterizing the Chemical and Rheological Properties of Severely Aged Reclaimed Asphalt Pavement Materials with High Recycling Rate. *Construction and Building Materials*, 111(April), 139–146.
- Zegeer, C. V, Deen, R. C., and Mayes, J. G. (1980). The Effect of Lane and Shoulder Width on Accident Reduction on Rural, Two Lane Roads, (1), 31.