

ANALYSIS ON JUNCTION PERFORMANCE FROM 5 DEVELOPMENT AT  
JALAN IPOH LUMUT (FT100), MANJUNG, PERAK.

ROSLI BIN HASHIM

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Civil)

Faculty of Civil Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2017

*Dedicated to my beloved wife, Yang Zahidah, my daughter Norhazwani, Noralia  
Amirah ,Norliyana,Norsyahirah and my granddaughter, Sarah Waliyah*

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

First and foremost I would like to thank God for the blessing I finally completed my Master Project without much hassle and I able to do it on time.

I would like to express my gratitude and special thanks to my supervisor Dr Sitti Asmah Hassan who for the past two semesters had tremendously helped me to finish my Master Project and would not mind giving me new ideas and ways to solve my problems even though she is busy with her own work and other duties.

A special thanks also for my friends and family who has supported me all out during this time. Without their support and encouragement I may not be able to complete my Master Project on time.

Thanks

## **ABSTRACT**

Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) is a study to review, understand and mitigate adverse traffic impact of a new development on the existing road network. TIA is usually imposed by authorities such as Public Works Department (JKR), Malaysia Highway Authorities (LLM) or local authorities as a condition to obtain approval for road and drainage plan as well as the planning permission. It is important to evaluate the traffic impact of a development onto the existing road network so to recommend appropriate mitigation measures to accommodate the additional trips generated by the proposed development in specific and to improve the traffic flow and circulation in the vicinity of the study area in general. In this study, a traffic model is constructed using SIDRA that essentially consists of an advanced suite of functionalities which are broadly adopted worldwide in comprehensive transport planning studies and analyses. Junction Analysis is becoming an important scope in project commencement to measure the effect of a particular developer's traffic toward the transportation network. Jalan Ipoh Lumut (FT 100), Manjung, Perak is a busy arterial road. In addition, there are also intersections with unacceptable traffic conditions during peak hours. Under such circumstances, appropriate traffic management plan is necessary to improve the road safety as well as traffic conditions along Route FT 100. It also will measure the current traffic condition by using SIDRA for Level of Services (LOS).

## **ABSTRAK**

Penilaian Kesan Trafik (TIA) adalah satu kajian untuk mengkaji semula, memahami dan mengurangkan Mpact trafik buruk satu perkembangan baru di rangkaian jalan raya yang sedia ada. TIA biasanya dikenakan oleh pihak berkuasa seperti Jabatan Kerja Raya (JKR), Lembaga Lebuhraya Malaysia (LLM) berkuasa orlocal sebagai syarat untuk mendapatkan kelulusan untuk jalan dan perparitan serta kebenaran merancang itu. Ia adalah penting untuk menilai kesan trafik sesuatu pembangunan ke rangkaian jalan sedia ada jadi untuk mencadangkan langkah-langkah tebatan yang sesuai untuk menampung perjalanan tambahan yang dihasilkan oleh pembangunan yang dicadangkan dalam tertentu dan untuk meningkatkan aliran trafik dan peredaran di sekitar kawasan kajian dalam umum. Dalam kajian ini, model trafik dibina menggunakan SIDRA yang pada asasnya terdiri daripada kaedah dayamaju fungsi yang meluas diterima pakai di seluruh dunia dalam kajian perancangan pengangkutan yang komprehensif dan analisis. Analisis Junction menjadi skop penting dalam pelaksanaan projek untuk mengukur kesan trafik pemaju tertentu ke arah rangkaian pengangkutan. Jalan Ipoh Lumut (FT 100), Manjung, Perak adalah jalan arteri yang sibuk. Di samping itu, terdapat juga persimpangan dengan keadaan trafik yang tidak boleh diterima pada waktu puncak. Dalam keadaan itu, pelan pengurusan trafik yang sesuai adalah perlu untuk meningkatkan keselamatan jalan raya dan juga keadaan lalu lintas di sepanjang Laluan FT 100. Ia juga akan mengukur keadaan trafik semasa dengan menggunakan SIDRA bagi Tahap Perkhidmatan (LOS).

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGES</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLE</b>	x
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xii
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	
	1.1 Background of Study	1
	1.2 Definition of a Traffic Impact Assessment	2
	1.3 The Main Functions of TIA Study	2
	1.4 Study Objectives	3
	1.5 Scope of Works	4
	1.6 Summary	5
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
	2.1 Definition of a Traffic Impact Assessment	6
	2.2 Purposes of a Traffic Impact Assessment Guideline	7
	2.3 Traffic Impact Assessment Study Warrants	8
	2.4 Overview	9

	2.5	Development of Trip Generation Manual	9
	2.6	Current Traffic Condition	11
	2.7	Origin Destination Survey	11
	2.8	Forecasting Travel Demand	12
	2.9	Sequential Steps of Travel Forecasting	13
	2.10	Trip Generation	15
	2.11	Types of Trips	16
	2.12	Determination of Mean Trip Rates	19
	2.13	Traffic Growth Factor	20
	2.14	Transport Improvement	21
<b>3</b>		<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	
	3.1	The Study Methodology	23
	3.2	Proposed Development	25
	3.2.1	Kobay Land Sdn Bhd	26
	3.2.2	Messrs Britz Corporation Sdn Bhd	27
	3.2.3	Messrs Kar Sin Berhad	28
	3.2.4	Messrs Restu Sejora Sdn Bhd	29
	3.2.5	Messrs SBA Property	30
	3.3	Stages of Study	31
	3.4	Traffic Count Surveys	33
	3.5	Evaluation and Expected Findings	35
	3.6	Traffic Count Surveys	35
<b>4</b>		<b>ANALYSIS AND RESULTS</b>	
	4.1	Commuter Peak Hours	36
	4.2	Traffic Composition	37
	4.3	Analysis for Junction Performance	38
	4.3.1	Selection of Intersection Type	38
	4.3.2	Measure of Effectiveness and Level of Service	39
	4.4	Trip Generation Study	41
	4.5	Traffic Composition	45

4.6	Analysis for Existing Traffic Condition (2017,2018,2023 and 2028)	46
4.7	Layout of SIDRA 6.1	48
4.7.1	Layout at Junction	48
4.7.2	Level of Service Junction	49
4.7.3	Degree of Saturation	50
4.7.4	Que at Junction	51
4.7.5	Delay at Junction	52
4.7.6	Level of Service Junction year 2028 (upgrading)	53
4.8	From the Results Summary at the Junction Year 2028 (Upgrading)	53
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	
5.1	Existing Travel Demand	55
5.2	Analysis of Future Traffic Conditions	56
5.3	Proposed Access Arrangements	56
5.4	Annual Traffic Growth	57
5.4.1	Analysis of Traffic Conditions in 2023	57
5.5	Important Highlights	57
5.6	Recommendation	58
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	59



## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGES
2.1	Threshold Values for Traffic Impact Assessment	8
3.1	Equivalent Passenger Car Unit Adopted in Junction Analysis Sources : Arahan Tecknik (Jalan) 8/86	34
4.1	The Commuter Peak Hour Travel Demands on Route 100	37
4.2	Traffic Composition on Route 100	38
4.3	Shows the General Scheme to Select the Intersection	39
4.4	Levels of Service Criteria for Junction	41
4.5	Trip Generation of the Proposed Development (Kobay Land Sdn. Bhd.)	42
4.6	Trip Generation of the Proposed Development (Messrs Britz Corporation Sdn. Bhd.)	43

4.7	Trip Generation of the Proposed Development (Messrs Kar Sin Berhad)	43
4.8	Trip Generation of the Proposed Development (Messrs Restu Seroja Sdn. Bhd)	44
4.9	Trip Generation of the Proposed Development (Messrs SBA Property)	44
4.10	Traffic Composition on Route 100	45
4.11	Traffic PCU (Morning Peak) at Junction on Route 100	46
4.12	Traffic PCU (Evening Peak) at Junction on Route 100	47
4.13	Results Summary (Morning Peak) at Junction on Route 100	47
4.14	Movement Performance - Vehicles	54

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

<b>FIGURES NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGES</b>
2.1	Travel Forecasting Process (Minhans and Pillai, 2012)	15
2.2	Typical Home Based (HB) Trip with Respect to Trip Production and Trip Attraction.	17
2.3	Typical Non Home Based (NHB) Trip with Respect to Trip Production and Trip Attraction	17
2.4	Trip Characteristics (Minhans, 2008)	18
2.5	Transport Improvement Methods (Minhans, A., Pillai, C.M., 2012)	21
3.1	Flow Chart of the Research	24
3.2	Location of the Proposed Developments in Relation to the Majors road in the study area	25
3.3	Layout plan of Kobay Land Sdn. Bhd.	26
3.4	Layout plan of Messrs Britz Corporation Sdn. Bhd.	27

3.5	Layout plan of Messrs Kar Sin Berhad	28
3.6	Layout plan of Messrs Restu Seroja Sdn Bhd	29
3.7	Layout plan of Messrs SBA Property	30
3.8	Traffic Count Surveys with Development of Pneumatic Automatic Traffic Count (ATC) System	33
4.1	Layout at Junction (Year 2028)	48
4.2	Volume at Junction (Years 2028)	48
4.3	Level of Service at Junction (Year 2028)	49
4.4	Degree of Saturation at Junction (Year 2028)	50
4.5	Que at Junction (Year 2028)	51
4.6	Delay at Junction (Year 2028)	52
4.7	Level of Service at Junction year 2028 (Upgrading)	53

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Background of Study**

Understanding the demands placed on the road network by infrastructure developments is an important dimension of assessing the overall impact of development. All infrastructure development generates traffic, and it may generate enough traffic to create congestion that may lead to a need for improvement to the existing infrastructure. As a result, traffic impact assessment [TIA] is a powerful tool for engineers and transport planners to determine the possible effects of development on the transportation and traffic system and to mitigate any negative impacts.

## **1.2 Definition of a Traffic Impact Assessment**

A TIA is a study which assesses the traffic and safety implications relating to a specific development. These studies vary in their range of detail and complexity depending on the type, size and location of the development. The TIA study for a new development is undertaken to assess whether the road network surrounding the proposed development will be able to handle the additional traffic while still maintaining an acceptable level of service [e.g. performance at level D or better].

## **1.3 The main functions of TIA study are:**

- i. To determine the existing traffic condition, future conditions without the development, and future conditions with the development in place;
- ii. To estimate the traffic likely to be generated by the proposed development;
- iii. To assess the impact of additional traffic on the existing and future road network system;
- iv. To identify roadway improvements and changes in the site plan of the proposed development necessary to minimize traffic impact.

#### 1.4 Study Objectives

The primary aim of the study are to ascertain the trip generation of the proposed development as well as to identify appropriate solutions to minimize the adverse traffic impact of the proposed development onto the surrounding road network.

The impacts of downloading further travel demands generated by the proposed development on the existing major roads particularly Route 100 as well as surrounding intersections, is of paramount interest and therefore a Traffic Impact Assessment study is necessary. To achieve the aim this study is based on the following objective :

- i. To investigate the trip generation of the proposed development
- ii. To recommend appropriate mitigation measures to accommodate any adverse traffic impact to achieve and consequently to improve the traffic flow and circulation in the vicinity of the study area
- iii. The impacts of downloading further travel demands generated by the proposed development on the existing major roads particularly Route 100 as well as surrounding intersections, is of paramount interest and therefore a Traffic Impact Assessment study is necessary. investigate the trip generation of the proposed development and to recommend appropriate mitigation measures to accommodate any adverse traffic impact and consequently to improve the traffic flow and circulation in the vicinity of the study area

## 1.5 Scope of Works

- i. This report presents the results of an impact study to fulfill the scope of works outlined underneath.
- ii. To conduct inventory review of the existing roadway facilities;
- iii. To carry out 24-hour screen line traffic count surveys at Route 100 on a typical weekday;
- iv. To record the vehicle turning movements at the junctions located along Route 100 which are anticipated to bear the immediate traffic impact from the proposed development;
- v. To evaluate the existing traffic conditions in terms of junction performance;
- vi. To forecast the trips attracted (ingress traffic) and produced (egress traffic) by the proposed development;
- vii. To distribute the generated trips over and above the existing travel demand in the study area for the following years:-
  - viii. 2017 (before completion of proposed development)
  - ix. 2018 (upon the completion of the 1 proposed development)
  - x. 2023 (five years after the completion of the 5 proposed development)
  - xi. 2028 (forecasting 5 years after completion with 5.5 percent growth)
- xii. To recommend appropriate lane configurations for the study intersections and the impacted road necessary to cater for the trips generated by the Proposed development in specific and overall travel demand within the study area in general;
- xiii. To advise the optimal signal timing and phase sequence for the traffic lights; and suggest flyover or new access road and
- xiv. To prepare the traffic report for other future proposed deployment to be analyze by others



## **1.6 Summary**

Following the introduction, Chapter Two describes the proposed development framework. Chapter Three depicts the study approach. Chapter Four appraises the existing traffic conditions. Chapter Five details the transportation modeling process. Chapter Six evaluates the future traffic conditions. The findings of the study are summarized in Chapter Seven.

## REFERENCES

- Allen, D. L., Barret, M. L., Graves, R. C., Pigman, J. G., Abu-Lebdeh, G., Aultman- Hall, L., and Bowling, S. T. (2011). *Analysis of Traffic Growth Rates*. Kentucky Transportation Center. Lexington, Kentucky.
- Chatterjee, A. and Venigalla, M. M. (1996). Travel Demand Forecasting for Urban Transportation Planning. *Compendium of technical papers: Institute of Transportation Engineers, 37, 217-281*. Institute Transport Engineers.
- Garber, N. J. and Hoel, L. A. (2009). *Traffic and Highway Engineering*. (4th ed.). Cengage Learning: Canada.
- Highway Planning Unit (2010). *Trip Generation Manual 2010*. (1st ed.). Malaysia: Highway Planning Unit.
- Institute of Transportation Engineers (1991). *Trip Generation*. (5th ed.). Washington D.C.: Institute of Transportation Engineers.
- Lay, M. G. (2009). *Handbook of Road Technology*. (4th ed.). New York: Spoon Press.
- Majlis Perbandaran Johor Baru Tengah (2010). *Local District Plan Johor Bahru 2020*. Malaysia: Majlis Perbandaran Johor Baru Tengah.

- Mc all , M G “The four step model ” *Handbook of Transport Modelling*, 1st Ed., D. A. Hensher and K. J. Button, eds., Elsevier Science, Kidlington, Oxford, U.K.
- Minhans, A. (2008). *Traffic Management Strategies in Cases of Disasters*. Published Doctoral Dissertation, Institute for Traffic and Transport, Darmstadt University of Technology, TUD, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Minhans, A. et. al. (2012). *Methodologies for Estimation of Traffic Impacts from Proposed Commercial Developments – A Case Study of Skudai Town, Johor, Malaysia*. Conference Proceedings UTM-IBIMA International Real Estate Conference 2012. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Minhans, A., Pillai, C. M. (2012). *Multi-Criteria Analysis for Effectiveness and Difficulties of Traffic Management in Disasters*. APSEC-ICCER, Conference Proceedings, Surabaya, Indonesia.
- Papacostas, C. S. and Prevedouros, P. D. (2008). *Transportation Engineering and Planning*. (3rd ed.). New Delhi: Prentice Hall. 120
- Rhee, J. (2003). *Improvement of Trip Generation Forecast with Category Analysis in Seoul Metropolitan Area*. Proceedings of the Eastern Asia Society for Transport Studies. Vol.4.
- Siong, W. K. (2001). *Development of Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) Criteria in Malaysia: Johor State as the Basic Model*. Doctor Philosophy, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai.
- Sossiau, A. B., Hassam, A. B., Carter, M. M. and Wickstrom, G. V. (1978). *NCHRP Report 187: Quick-Response Urban Travel Estimation, Techniques and Transferable Parameters*. TRB. National Research Council, Washington.

Stopher, P. R. and Meyburg, A. H. (1975). *Urban Transportation Modelling and Planning*. (1st ed.). Lexington, Massachussets: Lexington Books, D.C Heath& Company.

Uddin, M. M., Hasan, M. R., Ahmed, I., Das, P., Uddin, M. A. and Hasan, T. (2012). *A Comprehensive Study on Trip Attraction Rates of Shopping Centers inDhanmondi Area*. International Journal of Civil & Environmental Engineering. Vol 12.