THE MODERATING EFFECT OF TRANSFER CLIMATE ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN TRAINEES PERSONALITY AND TRAINING EFFECTIVENESS

YIP FOON YEE

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Management)

> Faculty of Management Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > MAY 2016

Dedicated to my grandmother, Lee Soon Kham, my parents, Yip Chuang Ming and Chen Kim Hou, my family members and Skudai Joy Gospel Chapel 's members.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost I would like to thank God. You have given me the power to believe in myself and pursue my dreams. I could never have done this without the faith I have in you, the Almighty.

I take immense pleasure to express my sincere and deep sense of gratitude to my supervising guide and mentor, Dr Norashikin Mahmud for her sustained enthusiasm, creative suggestions, motivation and exemplary guidance throughout the course of my doctoral research. Apart from the subject of my research, I learnt a lot form her, which I am sure will be useful in my life.

During data collection phase, deep thanks to trainers of Maktab Teknik PDRM Muar who supported me and took time to accompany me to collect data. Greatest thanks to trainees who took time to fill out the surveys.

My fellow postgraduate friends should also be recognised for their support. My sincere appreciation also extends to all my friends and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their views and tips are useful indeed. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space.

At last, I am grateful to all my family members. I would also like to thank my mom for her unconditional and eternal love, and my dad and siblings for their support. I also to submit my honest thank to my grandmother for her loving and engaging spirit which nourishes my achievements.

ABSTRACT

Training is a vital contributor to employees' and organizational success. Many organizations have invested large amounts of money to provide training, but few have examined the effectiveness of training programs. Based on literature reviewed, there is limited research conducted on the moderating effect of transfer climate on training effectiveness. This study investigated the moderating effect of transfer climate on the relationship between trainees' personality and training effectiveness. The respondents were one hundred and eighty-three police officers who participated in the selection of a driving training program offered by Maktab Teknik Polis Diraja Malaysia, Muar. Three sets of questionnaires comprising Big Five Personality inventory, transfer climate scale and training effectiveness (driving knowledge test and driving competency) were distributed to the respondents during pre-training, post-training and after two months of training. The data were analyzed using statistical package for social science (SPSS) version 19.0 and SmartPLS 3.0. The findings showed that two of the personality traits, extraversion and neuroticism were significantly related to driving knowledge which is one of the training effectiveness variables. On the other hand, three personality constructs i.e, conscientiousness, agreeableness and openness to experience were significantly related to driving competency which is a training effectiveness variable. For the moderation effect of the transfer climate, only one variable opportunity to use, had negatively moderated the relationship between trainees' personality such as conscientiousness, agreeableness and openness to experience with driving competency. The findings of the present study would allow practitioners to understand different personality traits that have a maximum impact on training transfer under certain transfer climates. Besides that, the research explored and discovered 'opportunity to use' as potential moderator in the training effectiveness research.

ABSTRAK

Latihan adalah penyumbang utama kepada kejayaan pekerja dan organisasi. Banyak organisasi telah melabur sejumlah wang yang besar untuk menyediakan latihan, namun hanya sedikit yang mengkaji keberkesanan ke atas program latihan. Berdasarkan semakan literatur, terdapat penyelidikan yang terhad dilakukan ke atas iklim pemindahan terhadap keberkesanan latihan. Kajian ini mengkaji kesan penyederhanaan iklim pemindahan terhadap hubungan antara personaliti pelatih dan keberkesanan latihan. Responden terdiri daripada satu ratus lapan puluh tiga orang pegawai polis yang mengambil bahagian dalam pemilihan program latihan memandu yang ditawarkan oleh Maktab Teknik Polis Diraja Malaysia, Muar. Sebanyak tiga set soal selidik yang mengandungi inventori Personaliti Lima Faktor, skala iklim pemindahan dan keberkesanan latihan (ujian pengetahuan pemanduan dan kecekapan pemanduan) telah diedarkan kepada responden semasa pra latihan, pasca latihan dan selepas dua bulan latihan. Data dianalisis menggunakan pakej statistik untuk sains sosial (SPSS) versi 19.0 dan SmartPLS 3.0. Dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa dua daripada trait personaliti, ekstraversi dan neurotisisme, secara signifikan mempunyai hubungan dengan pengetahuan pemanduan yang merupakan salah satu pembolehubah keberkesanan latihan. Sebaliknya, tiga konstruk personaliti iaitu kehematan, kebersetujuan dan keterbukaan pengalaman adalah berkait secara signifikan dengan kecekapan pemanduan yang merupakan pembolehubah keberkesanan latihan. Bagi kesan penyederhanaan iklim pemindahan, hanya satu pembolehubah, peluang untuk menggunakan, telah menyederhanakan secara negatif hubungan antara personaliti pelatih seperti kehematan, kebersetujuan dan keterbukaan terhadap pengalaman dengan kecekapan pemanduan. Dapatan kajian ini akan membolehkan pengamal untuk memahami trait personaliti yang berbeza yang mempunyai impak maksimum ke atas pemindahan latihan di bawah iklim pemindahan tertentu. Selain itu, kajian ini meneroka dan menemukan 'peluang untuk menggunakan' sebagai penyederhana yang berpotensi dalam penyelidikan keberkesanan latihan.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENT	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	XV
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.0 Introduction	1
	1.1 Problem Statement	5
	1.2 Research Objective	13
	1.3 Scope of study	14
	1.4 Significance of the study	14
	1.5 Conceptual and Operation Definition	17

	1.5.1. Big Five Personality	17
	1.5.2 Transfer climate	19
	1.5.3 Training Effectiveness	22
1.6	Chapter Summary	24
LITE	RATURE REVIEW	25
2.0	Introduction	25
2.1	Training Effectiveness	26
2.2	Evaluation of Training Effectivenes	27
	2.2.1 Kirkpatrick's four levels of Evaluation Model	28
	2.2.2 The Tannenbaum Model (1993)	29
	2.2.3 Kraiger's Evaluation Strategy (1993)	30
	2.2.4 The Holton Model (1996)	31
2.3	Model of Training Effectiveness	32
2.4	Personality	40
	2.4.1 Prevalence of personality among Police	42
	2.4.2 Importance of personality	43
2.5	Literature Review in the Trends of the Relationship	
	between Personality and Training Effectiveness	45
	2.5.1 16 Personality Factor	45
	2.5.2 Self – Efficacy	46
	2.5.3 Others Personality Variables	47
	2.5.4 Big Five Personality	48
2.6	Driving knowledge	49
	2.6. Literature Review in the Trends of the Relationship	
	between Personality and Driving knowledge	51
2.7	Driving Competency	57

2

		2.7.1 Literature Review in the Trends of the	
		Relationship between Personality and	
		Driving Competency	58
2	.8	Transfer Climate	68
		2.8.1 Literature Review in the Trends of the	
		Relationship between Transfer Climate and	
		Training Effectiveness	72
2	.9	The Moderating Effect of Transfer of Climate	78
		2.9.1 Theoretical framework of Moderating Effect of	
		Transfer Climate	80
		2.9.2 Literature Review in the Trend of the Moderator	
		Effect of Transfer Climate	83
2	.10	Conceptual Framework	94
2	.11	Police Driving Training	97
		2.11.1 Police Driving Program for Vehicle Class E2	99
		2.11.2 Police Driving Program for Motorcycles Class B	100
2	.12	Summary	101
R	ESEA	RCH METHODOLOGY	102
3	.1	Introduction	102
3	.2	Research Paradigm	103
3	.3	Research Design	106
3	.4	Location, Population and Sample	108
3	.5	Research Instrument	110
		3.5.1 Demographic Profile	111
		3.5.2 Big Five Personality Inventory	111
		3.5.3 Transfer Climate	114
		3.5.4 Knowledge Measurement	116
		3.5.5 Driving Competency Measurement	117

3

	3.6	Pilot Study	119
		3.6.1 Validity	120
		3.6.2 Reliability	122
	3.7	Data Collection	123
	3.8	Data Analysis	124
		3.8.1 Descriptive Statistic	125
		3.8.2 Structural Equation Modelling	125
		3.8.3 Moderator	128
	3.9	Summary	130
4	DATA	A ANALYSIS AND RESEARCH FINDINGS	131
	4.1	Introduction	131
	4.2	Response Rate	132
	4.3	Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)	133
	4.3	Demographic Analysis	138
	4.4	Descriptive Analysis of Variables	139
	4.5	Objective 1: To identify the increase in driving	
		knowledge after training	142
	4.6	Model Validation	143
		4.6.1 Baseline Model	143
		4.6.2 Model with Moderator	152
	4.7	Summary	169
5	DISC	USSION AND CONCLUSION	171
	5.1	Introduction	171
	5.2	Summary	171
	5.3	Discussion	175
		5.3.1 Identifying the increase of driving knowledge	
		after training	175

Х

5.	.3.2 The effect of trainees' personality	y on driving
	knowledge	176
5.	.3.3 The effect of trainees' personality	y on driving
	competency	179
5.	.3.4 The moderating effect of transfer	climate on the
	relationship between trainees' p	ersonality and
	driving competency	182
5.4 Limit	tation of the Study	191
5.5 Impli	ication of the Study	193
5.6 Reco	mmendation	196
5.7 Sum	nary	197
REFERENCES		199
Appendices A-D		233-262

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Internal Reliability of the Big Five Inventory Scales	
	Across the 10 World Regions of the International	
	Sexuality Description Project	113
3.2	Constructs of Big Five Inventory and item number	114
3.3	The reliability of transfer climate	115
3.4	Dimension of transfer climate and item number	116
3.5	List of sub topic, number question and type of question	116
3.6	Dimension of Driving Competency and item number	117
3.7	Modified item	118
3.8	Reliability of Driving Competency	119
3.9	Result of variables reliability	120
3.10	Summary of data analysis	129
4.1	Response rate	132
4.2	Assumption for factor analysis	133
4.3	Summary of factor analysis	134

4.4	Factor analysis of construct	135
4.5	Demographic of respondents	139
4.6	Descriptive statistic of all variables	141
4.7	Result of paired –sampled t-test for driving knowledge	142
4.8	Result of composite reliability	144
4.9	Result of average variance extracted (AVE)	146
4.10	Inter-correlation matrix	148
4.11	Path coefficient of structural model without moderator	150
4.12	\mathbf{R}^2 values of the model without moderator variable	151
4.13	Composite reliability of each construct	153
4.14	Result of AVE	155
4.15	Square root of AVE	157
4.16	Summary of moderating effect of supervisor support	160
4.17	Summary of moderating effect of peer support	163
4.18	Summary of moderating effect of opportunity to use	164
4.19	Summary of moderating effect of rewards	168
4.20	Summary of analysis for each objective	169
5.1	Summary of research hypothesis	172

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Kirkpatrick's Model (Kirkpatrick, 1959)	29
2.2	Model of Transfer of training (Noe & Schmitt, 1986)	34
2.3	Model of Transfer Training (Baldwin & Ford, 1988)	36
2.4	Transfer of training model (Mathieu & Martineau, 1993)	37
2.5	Learning Transfer System Model	38
2.6	Training effectivenessModels (Thayer and Teachout, 1995)	71
2.7	Framework Research	97
3.1	Research Step	103
3.2	Timeline of data collection	124
4.1	Baseline Model	144
4.2	Structural model with moderator variable	153

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

А	-	Agree
BFI	-	Big Five Inventory
CW	-	Company's Agent
DA	-	Disagree
EQ	-	Emotional Intelligence
LTSI	-	Learning Transfer System Inventory
RMP		Royal Malaysia Police
MTPDRM	-	Maktab Teknik Polis Diraja Malaysia Muar
Ν	-	Neutral
SA	-	Strongly Agree
SDA	-	Strongly Disagree
Sig	-	Significant Value
SPSS	-	Statistical Packages for Social Science
SEM	-	Structural Equation Model
EFA	-	Exploratory Factor Analysis
CFA	-	Confirmation Factor Analysis
AVE	-	Average Variance Extracted
ML	-	Maximum Likehood
PLS	-	Partial Least Square
CB-SEM	-	Co-variance Based Structural Equation Model
DSM	-	Determinant of Correlation Matrix
MSA	-	Measure of Sampling Adequacy as Per Diagonals of
		Anti-Image Matrices
КМО	-	Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy
BTS	-	Bartlett's Test of Sphericity
CR	-	Composite reliability

LIST OF SYMBOLS

&	-	And
%	-	Percentage
α	-	Cronbach's Alpha
β	-	Beta coefficient
=	-	Equal to
\leq	-	smaller or equal to
Ν	-	Total
р	-	Significance Level
r	-	Correlation Coefficient
S	-	Sample Size
\mathbb{R}^2	-	Coefficient of determination
*	-	Significance level less than 0.01
**	-	Significance level less than 0.05

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
А	Set Questionnaire Before Training	233
В	Set Questionnaire After Training	244
С	Set Questionnaire After Two Months	252
D	Result Of Indicator Reliability for Basic Model	256
E	Result Of Indicator Reliability for Mode with moderator	259

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Introduction

The workplace is an environment that is highly related to workers' productivity and quality in terms of problem-solving, decision making and quality control. Nowadays, the workplace has become a competitive environment full of global and domestic competition, rapid changes in technology and computerization and greater demand for time management. These changes necessitate the compulsion to regularly learn new skills, acquire new information and understand different processes (Furnham, 2005). Therefore, workplace training plays an important role in enhancing workers' productivity and quality. In a globalised and competitive business world, organizations are aware of training and employee development as useful tools for growth and business success. As the nature of work changes, employees are required to develop a wide, changeable set of skills that are essential to the success of their organization (Grossman & Salas, 2011). Training programs can be seen as an important tool to help individuals contribute to the organization and be successful in their current position (Fitzgerald, 1992). Training program provided by organizations can also provide a variety of benefits for both the organization and its employees (Elangovan & Leonard, 1999). Organizations gain through the improved performance and increased productivity that accompany employee development, while employees enjoy extrinsic and intrinsic rewards associated with skill development and performance improvement (Elangovan & 1999; 2008). Leonard, Noe.

Training and employee development are gaining increasing attention from organizations to the extent that they have become one of the main issues faced by human resource managers today (Stavrou, Brewster, & Charalambous, 2004). It is now common for many organizations to invest large amounts of money to effectively plan and implement programs for workers (Miller, 2014; "Tenth Malaysia Plan 2011-2015," 2010).

According to Paradise and Patel (2009) most organizations understand the importance of training and thus spend a great amount of money on training programs. According to the American Society for Training and Development (Miller, 2014), American organizations are estimated to have spent roughly \$1,208 per employee on training and development in 2013. Canada organizations also spend an average of \$ 750 per employee on training and development in 2013-2013. Besides that, the report from tenth Malaysia plan ("Tenth Malaysia Plan 2011-2015," 2010) stated that an allocation of RM50 million will be provided to continue the matching grants for training and skills-upgrading for employees in small and medium enterprises (SMEs). This represents the concern that American organizations and Malaysian organizations have in relation to training and developing their employees. However, studies done by Nalini and Rouiller & Goldstein (2009; 1993) found that the training effectiveness is low with most employees failing to transfer their knowledge to the workplace. It was further reported that only about 10% of what is learned in training These findings present serious problems for is applied to the actual job. organizations in terms of work efficiency. The same phenomenon holds true in Malaysia. According to Haslinda and Mahyuddin (2009), the government sector has spent large amount of money on training and development for the public sector. However, many grievances and complaints are still directed towards public sector employees for their ineffectiveness and inefficiency, and the number of complaints is still increasing. For example, complaints regarding public sector employees in 2007 totaled 5,347 cases while 2008 saw an increase of up to 33.7% (Haslinda & Mahyuddin, 2009). According to Azmar, Romle, and Ismail (2015), this scenario might be due to the workers' inability to perform their job due to their failure to transfer the skills and knowledge gained to their job effectively. It is therefore

important to investigate factors that influence the training effectiveness in organizations in Malaysia.

According to Noe (2008), training effectiveness can be defined as the extent to which training yields desired or relevant outcome. It provides exposure for workers and practitioners in their related fields, which enable them to expand their ability to utilize the knowledge, experiences, skills and behaviors learned during the training at the workplace. It is important because it emphasizes gradual and continuous development and improvement through learner's capability. The results can be seen over time in one's work performance, individual and community responsibilities as well as knowledge of coping with tasks and learning activities (Baldwin & Ford, 1988; Noe, 2008; Saprin, 2006). Baldwin and Ford (1988) further describe transfer of training as the maintenance of skills, knowledge and attitudes over a certain period of time.

Previous research shows that the factors affecting training effectiveness can be divided into three categories as outlined by Baldwin and Ford (1988): characteristics of trainees, training design and work environment. Studies conducted on trainee characteristics showed that, they play a powerful role in training effectiveness (Blume, Ford, Baldwin, & Huang, 2010; Burke & Baldwin, 1999; Chiaburu & Marinova, 2005). Trainee characteristics can be classified as cognitive ability, motivation, self-efficacy, perceived utility of training, personality traits and job/career variables (Burke & Hutchins, 2007). It can also be defined as personality differences between trainees which can be useful in predicting performance at work (Mount, Barrick, & Stewart, 1998).

In the present study, researcher will focus on the relationship between personality characteristics and training effectiveness. Personality is a set of psychological traits and mechanisms within an individual that are organized and relatively enduring (Larsen & Buss, 2008). Personality traits are useful in explaining and predicting an individual's behavior because different people have different personality traits. Hogan (2005) states that the most important claim of personality psychology is that there are structures inside people that determine their behavior. Organizational behavior such as job performance (Barrick & Mount, 1991; Barrick, Mount, & Judge, 2001; Hurtz & Donovan, 2000; Oakes, Ferris, Martocchio, Buckley, & Broach, 2001), recruitment (Alonzo, Paul, Thomas, Henry, & Joseph, 2008; Stephen, Nilakant, & Ross, 1994), leadership style (Ali, Nisar, & Raza, 2011; Brown & Michael, 2009; Eli, Brad, & Giles St, 2010; Rynetta, Charlotte, & Hubert, 2006) and training effectiveness (Baldwin & Ford, 1988; Barrick & Mount, 1991; Colquitt, LePine, & Noe, 2000; Herold, Davis, Fedor, & Parsons, 2002; Tziner, Fisher, Senior, & Weisberg, 2007) can be predicted by personality variables. Since these studies showed that there are significant relationship between personality characteristic and organizational behavior, researcher should place more concern about personality characteristics in relation with training effectiveness.

Besides that, transfer climate also plays important role on training effectiveness. Several studies stated that influences within transfer climate may either enhance or inhibit training effectiveness to the job (Blume et al., 2010; Kontoghiorghes, 2001; Rouiller & Goldstein, 1993). For example, Tracey, Tannenbaum, and Kavanagh (1995) found that behaviors that send a message that learning and the application of learning are important and valued encourages the application of newly trained skills. It showed that transfer climate is critical for the application and maintenance of new skills on the job. The present study extended this research by examining the degree of which transfer climate moderates the relationship between trainees' personality and training effectiveness.

Previous researches examine the relationship between trainees' personality and training effectiveness which have not explored the potential moderating effects of transfer climate. Previous studies, researcher only focus on the effect of transfer climate on training effectivenessor the effect of trainees' personality on transfer training. Therefore, the role of transfer climate needs to be further investigated as a moderating variable in the relationship between personality and transfer of training. To date, there are limited study has been conducted on the moderating effects of transfer climate on both variables based on an extensive search of literature published from 1980 to May 2015. Besides that, the effect of police driving training was conducted because majority of polices' duties involving driving in all kinds of weather and traffic condition. Moreover, statistics show that traffic crashes are the leading cause of on-duty deaths among police (Books, Wikipedia, & Group, 2011).

1.1 Problem Statement

The police is a body of trained officers entrusted by the government to maintain public peace and order, enforce laws, as well as prevent and detect crime. Besides, the improvement and the rapid changes that have taken place in the country have further encouraged the police to attend training in order to increase their performance (Karp & Stenmark, 2011). Therefore, numerous training programs have been conducted for the Royal Malaysian Police (PDRM). Based on Pelan Strategik Lima Tahun PDRM ("Laporan Tahunan Polis Diraja Malaysia," 2008), PDRM had managed to train 60,000 police officers within five years, including training programs like police basis training, integrity training, driving training, police inservice training, police reporting system, crisis communication, crisis and disaster, investigation skill training, and emotion management training. PDRM has also spent a large amount of money around 365 million to improve the quality and the quantity of infrastructure in its training centres ("Laporan Tahunan Polis Diraja Malaysia," 2008).

Among the training programs, driving training has been listed as one of the most important trainings because the accident rate in the police force is rather high. Based on Books et al. (2011), the total amount of police killed in line is 14 out of 26 polices in 2000s to 2010s in Malaysia. The number of deaths among police officers in traffic accidents has significantly increased at 12% compared to those recorded in 1990s and 2000s (Books et al., 2011). Besides, traffic accidents had been one of the main reasons of police being killed in line of duty from 2010 to 2014 (Kalidevi Mogan Kumarappa, 2014). The figure indicated that traffic accidents have led to a

major problem among the police. Therefore, police driving training has never been more important to enhance their skills and knowledge in order to reduce the amounting traffic accidents. Moreover, based on previous researches (Gregersen, Nyberg, & Berg, 2003; Nyberg, Gregersen, & Wiklund, 2007), driving training is counter-productive in improving road safety. Besides, Anonymous (2001) stated that the death toll due to traffic accidents could probably be reduced if more officers had gone for driving training. In addition, the drivers in a large Swedish company showed that interventions, such as structured driver training and group discussions with company drivers, decreased the rate of accidents for over two years after the interventions (Gregersen, Brehmer, & Morén, 1996). Moreover, a report from Bomel Ltd (2004) indicated that the lowest accident rate was achieved by a company with 'clear driving standards and rules, excellent driver training, as well as a policy to report and try to learn from all driving incidents'. These studies amplified the importance of driving training on road safety behaviour.

Based on Al-Ghaweel, Mursi, Jack, and Joel (2009), some factors that have caused traffic accidents are human error, condition of the vehicle, safety measures, as well as environment and location of the accident. Previous researches also indicated that human-related factors, such as excessive speed and careless driving, are the main factors that caused traffic accidents (Najib, Abdullah, Abdullah, & Salleh, 2011; Treat et al., 1979). The findings showed that approximately 40% of traffic accidents resulted from human-related factors (Najib et al., 2011; Treat et al., 1979). In addition, according to Hennessy (2011), driving is a complex process that involves individual factors that are expressed within a social exchange among drivers, passengers, and pedestrians. It depicts that human-related factors are important to reduce accidents. Moreover, driving training should be conducted to enhance one's skills and knowledge about driving. In the present research, police driving skill had been the focus of the study.

Police driver training can be considered as a good module to be used to investigate driver training effects, as generally, police drivers receive similar driver training, and work under similar organizational policies and practices (Dorn, 2005).

In Malaysia, the content of police driving training differs from the public driver training. Police driving training broadly includes instructions pertaining to safe systematic driving techniques, Highway Code, protection at a road incident scene, attitudinal training, stopping vehicles, skid techniques and maneuverability, familiarization and vehicle care, emergency response driving, night driving, and basic pursuit driving. Meanwhile, the public driving training only includes stopping vehicles, parking skills, three-point turn, as well as some skid techniques and maneuverability. Furthermore, the duration of police driving training is 3 to 4 weeks, while public driving is within 5-6 hours for theoretical background and 16 hours for practical training.

On top of that, both courses emphasize on safe overtaking, in which trainees overtake after observing the road ahead for layout, road signs, and hazards, identifying safe gaps, and then, pulling out to initiate an overtake at speed (Jabatan Pengangkutan Jalan Malaysia, 2006; Polis Diraja Malaysia, 1989). Nevertheless, public drivers do not get the opportunity to practice these maneuvers during training to the same extent as police drivers do, nor do they have as many hours of instruction in developing other driving skills (Jabatan Pengangkutan Jalan Malaysia, 2006). Besides, the police driving training is more structured and professional compared to public driving training. Moreover, most of the duties of police involve driving, such as patrolling in a designed area of the city, going to crime scenes, as well as escorting prisoners to and from court. Furthermore, police officers need to respond to the increasing number of calls for service due to increasingly congested traffic and bad weather conditions, which could lead to accidents. Therefore, it is important to investigate the effectiveness of training among the police in the effort to reduce accident rates.

In the past decade, many researchers have studied the impact of personality, motivation, training design, manager support, transfer climate and opportunity on training transfer (Awais Bhatti, Ali, Mohd Isa, & Mohamed Battour, 2014; Baldwin & Ford, 1988; Barrick & Mount, 1991; Bertolino, Truxillo, & Fraccaroli, 2011;

Burke & Hutchins, 2007; Burke & Hutchins, 2008; Cellar, deGrange deGrendel, Klawsky, & Miller, 1996; Colquitt et al., 2000; Facteau, Dobbins, Russell, Ladd, & Kudisch, 1995; Ford, Quiñones, Sego, & Sorra, 1992; Hutchins & Burke, 2007; Machin & Fogarty, 2004; Studer-Luethi, Jaeggi, Buschkuehl, & Perrig, 2012b; Tziner et al., 2007; Wei-Tao, 2006; Woodman, Zourbanos, Hardy, Beattie, & McQuillan, 2010; Xiao, 1996; Yamkovenko & Holton, 2010). Previous studies reported that different trainee traits such as self-efficacy, 16 factor personality, locus of control and positive and negative affectivity can affect training effectiveness(Bertolino et al., 2011; Blume et al., 2010; Burke & Hutchins, 2007; Chiaburu & Tekleab, 2005; Ferris, Bergin, & Gilmore, 1986; Herold et al., 2002; Lim & Johnson, 2002; Studer-Luethi et al., 2012b; Tziner et al., 2007). It is interesting to see which trait can improve one's training performance and success in transferring the learning outcome to their work.

Besides that, some of the theoretical frameworks also focus on the effect of personality on training effectiveness. For example, Noe (1986) stated that personality variables such as locus of control , motivation and reaction to skill influenced training effectiveness. Moreover, Baldwin and Ford (1988) also indicated that personality also effect training effectiveness. The models of training effectiveness that are developed by previous researchers (Holton, Bates, & Ruona, 2000; Mathieu & Martineau, 1993) also indicated personality plays an important role in training effectiveness. Although pervious researches and theoretical model stated that personality plays important role in training. For example, Holton et al. (2000) stated that personality characteristic is secondary influence on training effectiveness. Based on Gully and Chen (2010), most of the training text include personality as ancillary material in needs analysis, design, implementation and evaluation. Therefore, personality should further classify in training context.

Many types of measurements have been used to analyze the influence of personality on training effectiveness. For example, Carl Jung's 16 Personality Factors, the Big Five Personality traits, self-efficacy, locus of control and other

9

personality types have been employed to analyze the effect of different personalities on training (Barrick & Mount, 1991; Colquitt et al., 2000; Ferris et al., 1986; Hurtz & Donovan, 2000; Machin & Fogarty, 2003, 2004; Oakes et al., 2001; Tziner et al., 2007; Wei-Tao, 2006). Out of all these measurements, the Big Five Personality model is widely used in personality and industrial psychology (Daniel & Jennifer, 2006; Herold et al., 2002). The measure of the five factor model has been studied extensively in cross-cultural personality research during the past decade (Cheung, 2004; Johnsson, 2009). Additionally, it has also been used extensively in China (Ningyu & Gigi, 2010), Korea, America, Japan, Hong Kong and Malaysia (Cheung, 2004). This shows, that the cross culture stability of Big Five Personality model has been confirmed. According to Larsen and Buss (2008), this model has proven to be more robust and replicable than any other taxonomy of personality. Additionally, it offers an established framework for the application of personality traits for work behaviour (Brian, 2006) as well as relevant training situations. However, only a few researches have addressed the relationship between Big Five Personalities and training effectiveness(Yamkovenko, 2009). In fact, some studies only select certain trainees' characteristic variables, which were extracted from Big Five taxonomy of traits or some unstable individual characteristics, such as self-efficacy (Cellar et al., 1996; Chiaburu & Tekleab, 2005; Lievens, Harris, Keer, & Bisqueret, 2003; Naquin & Holton, 2002). These studies had chosen to examine only a few dispositional variables, which were either relatively unstable individual characteristics (selfefficacy) or were extracted from the Big Five taxonomy of traits. Therefore, the Big Five model had been found to be the most appropriate measurement tool for identifying the relationship between trainee personalities and training effectiveness in this study.

In addition, most of training effectiveness studies focus on the relationship between self-efficacy and training transfer (Abdulkarim, Musaed, & Abdulla, 2009; Blume et al., 2010; Burke & Hutchins, 2007; Chiaburu & Tekleab, 2005; Colquitt et al., 2000; Tziner et al., 2007; Van Der Klink, Gielen, & Nauta, 2001; Wei-Tao, 2006). There are very few researches existed that explore the simultaneous impact of all five personality variable on training related aspects. Although the Big Five Personality traits have been widely used as a measurement tool, there were very few studies that use all dimensions of the model to investigate the relationship between trainees' personality and training effectiveness (Yamkovenko & Holton, 2010). Therefore, studies investigated the relationship between Big Five Personality and training effectivenessis very limited. Besides, Blume (2010) stated that inconsistent findings do exist between big five personality and training effectiveness. Burke and Hutchins (2007) also stated that there was mixed support for conscientiousness and that other Big Five personalities only had minimal or no empirical support in their relationship with training effectiveness. It indicated that Big five personalities need to be further investigated for their relationship with training effectiveness, and this notion had been supported by the others (Burke & Hutchins, 2007; Yamkovenko & Holton, 2010). Therefore, the research on the relationship between Big Five Personalities of trainees and training effectiveness had been carried out in this study.

Besides the influence of an individual's personality on training effectiveness, climate transfer also plays an important role in training effectiveness. Transfer climate is defined as a work environment which include the situational cue, attitude of supervisors and coworkers, the department policy and consequences that either prevents or encourages the application of what was learned in training to the job (Bennett, Lehman, & Forst, 1999; Rouiller & Goldstein, 1993). The climate of an organization acts as a primary contextual variable that influences how personality variables affect behavior (Daniel & Jennifer, 2006). Besides, Ford and Weissbein (1997), as well as Smith-Jentsch, Salas, and Brannick (2001) criticized the literature as training effectiveness has only focused on trainee characteristics and work environment as independent of one another. Therefore, this study looked into the transfer climate as the moderator for the relationship of trainee personality, which are trainee characteristics and transfer training. Moreover, several studies have recommended that future research should examine the moderating effects of organizational environment on trainee characteristics for training performance (Gully & Chen, 2010; Herold et al., 2002; Rowold, 2007; Tziner et al., 2007; Yamkovenko & Holton, 2010). Additionally, several studies portrayed that transfer climate had an influence on transfer training moderator between organization factor and transfer climate (Burke & Baldwin, 1999; Mathieu, Tannenbaum, & Salas, 1992; RichmanHirsch, 2001; Smith-Crowe, Burke, & Landis, 2003). This showed that transfer climate has the potential to be a moderator variable.

Besides that, several studies showed that transfer climate has significant relationship with the degree of learning transfer from the training program to the workplace, but these findings are inconsistent (Holton, Bates, Seyler, & Carvalho, 1997; Machin & Fogarty, 2004; Rouiller & Goldstein, 1993; Tracey et al., 1995). According to Cheng and Ho (2001), results from ten studies were found to have positive relationships between organizational support (one of the variables of transfer climate) and training effectiveness, two studies found negative relationships and five studies found that the link was non-significant. The inconsistency of these findings should serve as an encouragement for researchers to further study the effects of transfer climate as a moderating variable between trainees' personality and training effectiveness.

To date, there is no research that has been conducted on the moderating effect of transfer climate on training effectiveness literature. Several studies reported that transfer climate act as moderator on the relationship between organization factor and training effectiveness(Chen & Kao, 2014; Colbert, Mount, Harter, Witt, & Barrick, 2004; Fletcher, Major, & Davis, 2008; Ng, Nabila, & Abd Rahman, 2014). For example, Chen and Kao (2014) showed that service climate also moderated the relationship between proactive personality and job .performance. Moreover, Fletcher et al. (2008) aslo showed that competitive climate moderated the competitive personlity trait and job dedication. Besides that, Lance, Kavanagh, and Brink (2002) stated that similarity of previous job skill requirements (as indexed by cross-job retraining time estimates) was strongly and positively related to time to proficiency in the new assignment (training effectiveness) in less constraint situation. It indicated that constraint situation which refers to lack of opportunity to use moderated the relationship between training design and training effectiveness. Peer support also plays as moderator in others previous studies (Kastenmüller et al., 2012; Sharif Ulah Jan, Anis-ul-Haque, & Naveed, 2015; Simosi, 2012). For instance, Simosi (2012) indicated that peer support significantly moderated the relationship between supervisor support and training effectiveness. Moreover, previous studies also showed that personality has greater relationship with organization outcome in weak situation (Beaty, Cleveland, & Murphy, 2001; Daniel & Jennifer, 2006). Weak situation defined as lack of opportunity to use, less reward and lack of supporting from organization(Beaty et al., 2001). These studies showed that transfer climate such as supervisor support, peer support, opportunity to use and rewards play potential moderator.

In additional, some theoretical frameworks show that the situation factor acts as the moderator for the relationship between personality and organization behavior, such as personal-environment fit (Cable & Edwards, 2004), attraction-selectionattrition (ASA) (Schneider, 1987), and the concept trait activation process (Tett & Burnett, 2003). These theoretical frameworks demonstrated that when a person "fits" well within his job, unit, or organizational outcomes are optimized through supplementary and complementary means. Therefore, a good fit between personality and transfer climate should result in optimal outcome in training. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to investigate the moderating effect of transfer climate between trainees' personality and training effectiveness.

Based on an extensive literature search on publications up to May 2015, no research has looked into the moderating effects of transfer climate on trainee personality and training transfer. Consequently, this research aims to study the moderating effects of transfer climate on the relationship between trainee personality and the transfer of training among Royal Malaysia Police who undertake Driving Training course.

Hence questions that prompted this present study included:

1. Does driving knowledge of respondent improved after training?

- Does trainees' personality (openness to experience, conscientiousness, extroversion, agreeableness and neuroticism) have impact on the driving knowledge?
- 3. Does trainees' personality (openness to experience, conscientiousness, extroversion, agreeableness and neuroticism) have impact on the driving competency?
- 4. Does transfer climate moderated the relationship between trainees' personality (openness to experience, conscientiousness, extroversion, agreeableness and neuroticism) and driving competency?

1.2 Research Objective

The purpose of this present study is to investigate the moderating effect of transfer climate on the relationship between trainees' personality and transfer training. Below are the present study's objectives:

- 1. To identify the increase of driving knowledge after training.
- To identify the effect of trainees' personality (extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, neuroticism, and openness to experience) on driving knowledge.
- To identify the effect of trainees' personality (extraversion, agreeableness, conscientiousness, neuroticism, and openness to experience) on driving competency.
- 4. To examine the moderating effects of transfer climate (supervisor support, peer support, opportunity to use and rewards) on the relationship between trainees' personality (openness to experience, conscientiousness, extroversion, agreeableness and neuroticism) and driving competency.

1.3 Scope of study

The respondents of this study consisted of police officers who attended the driving police training (vehicle class "B" and vehicle class "E2") in Maktab Teknik Polis Muar. The age of the respondents had been between 20 and 60 years old. The present research employed the purposive sampling method. The present research focused on the moderating effect of transfer climate on the relationship between trainees' personality and transfer training. The Big Five personalities were the independent variables and consisted of five dimensions of personality variables: a) openness to experience, b) conscientiousness, c) extroversion, d) agreeableness, and e) neuroticism. Meanwhile, the moderator variable was transfer climate, which consisted of four dimensions: a) supervisor support, b) peer support, c) opportunity to use, and d) rewards. Other than that, the dependent variable of the present study was training effectivenessthat consisted of two dimensions: learning outcome measured by knowledge test, and behaviour change measured by driving competency.

1.4 Significance of the study

The present study contributes to current knowledge in several ways. Despite the tremendous growth of researches on personality and training effectiveness in the past few decades, the moderating effect of transfer climate on relationship between personality and training effectiveness have not been explored. Hence, the contribution of the present study is that it addressed the effect of transfer climate in increasing or decreasing the strength of the relationship between trainee's personality and transfer training. In fact, work environment could help to enhance the usefulness of the Big Five traits in predicting personal and organizational outcomes. On top of that, many measurements of personality have been conducted with transfer training. However, most studies only focused on personality, such as self-efficacy, locus of control, and goal orientation. These attributes of personality have been considered as unstable individual characteristics (Yamkovenko & Holton, 2010). Therefore, there is lack of studies that had looked into trait personality, such as big five personality, in the literature pertaining to transfer training. Furthermore, Hogan (2005) asserted that the most important claim of personality psychology is that there are structures inside people that determine their behaviour. Thus, it is important to identify change in trainees' behaviour, which could be affected by different personality traits.

Most existing researches have studied the relationship between the three main factors, which were trainee characteristics, training design and work environment, as well as transfer training. However, no study, to the best of the researcher's knowledge, has ever examined the joint effect of the three main factors on transfer training. With that, the present study investigated the moderating role of transfer climate on the relationship between the Big Five traits and transfer training. This could give evidence for further exploration in a training environment, as well as offer guidance for future researches in relation to designing for transfer.

Besides that, present study also contributes to organization. Nowadays, training has become a very important tool to improve the productivity and the effectiveness of an organization. As mentioned, many organizations have also invested large amount of money for training program and low return on the investment (Burke & Hutchins, 2007). Consequently, organizations need to be more effective in selecting trainees and designing training programs. Thus, researches that could determine the potential factors that can cause failure in transfer training would be beneficial to organizations by ensuring if trainees' personality could affect transfer training. Hence, the present study provided evidence to display the factors of transfer training. Besides, multiple organizations can benefit from the research findings by identifying the relationship between trainees' personality and transfer

training. This may increase awareness on strategies to improve learning transfer, and instructional designers can accurately diagnose factors that inhibit transfer.

The finding of present study also contributes to practitioners which allow practitioners to understand different personality characteristics, which could have a maximum impact on transfer training under certain transfer climates. When personality characteristics are compatible with the environment (job, team, and social group), individuals will experience positive consequences, such as improved work attitudes and better performance (Yamkovenko & Holton, 2010). Moreover, the effectiveness of training can be improved by ensuring a better match between trainees' personality and transfer climate when selecting a trainee to attend a training program. For example, people with high extroversion would be more concerned about the reward.

Moreover, individuals will execute better performances when rewards are offered. Therefore, practitioners can consider the suitable personality type for various transfer climates. In addition, practitioners can also develop training strategies that take into consideration the interaction between transfer climate and trainee's personality in improving transfer training. For example, by grounding this theory in research, practitioners can design more appropriate training strategies and intervention, which can match various personalities of trainees. Besides, the findings obtained from the present study also should provide valuable information to practitioners, as well as a guide to decide the personality characteristic to look for in trainees.

1.5 Conceptual and Operation Definition

The following section will discuss about the conceptual definition and operational definition of each variables in the present research:

1.5.1. Big Five Personality

The Big Five model personality contains five dimensions: Extroversion, Agreeableness, Emotional Stability, Conscientiousness and Openness (McCrae & John, 1992).

1.5.1.1. Extraversion

The conceptual definition of Extraversion is sociable, talkative, aggressive, energetic, enthusiastic, assertive, optimistic, active and impulsive and has a high desire for social recognition, praise status, exhibition and power (Costa & McCrae, 1988). Barrick and Mount (1991) list the following traits under this dimension: sociable, gregarious, assertive, active, and talkative. Extraversion also can defined as tendency to like people, prefer being in large groups, and desire excitement and stimulation; likely to be assertive, active, talkative (Major, Turner, & Fletcher, 2006). Hogan (2005) interprets this dimension as consisting of two components, Ambition and Sociability. In this study, extroversion refers to expressive of activity, energy, and vigorousness versus being reserved and apathetic people.

1.5.1.2.Agreeableness

The conceptual definition of agreeableness is flexible, forgiving, cooperative, friendly, trusting, good-natured, generous and altruistic (Costa & McCrae, 1988). A person who is high in agreeableness is a cooperative, forgiving, courteous, flexible, trusting, tolerant ,good-natured and soft-hearted person (Barrick & Mount, 1991). Based on Major, Turner, and Fletcher (2006), traits with agreeableness dimension is tendency to be altruistic, cooperative and trusting. A person who is high in agreeableness are more concern about the interpersonal relationship (Westerman & Simmons, 2007). In present study, agreeableness defined as forgiving, not demanding, warm, not stubborn, not show off and sympathetic.

1.5.1.3.Neuroticism

The conceptual definition of neuroticism is self-pitying, anxious, less trusting, depressed, nervous, lacking positive psychological adjustment and feeling helpless and vulnerable (Costa & McCrae, 1988). A person who is low in neuroticism showed that he/she are able to perform better under pressure, uneasy to get nervous and panic and well reasonable (Barrick & Mount, 1991). Major, Turner, and Fletcher (2006) stated that neuroticism is tendency to experience negative affects, such as fear, sadness, embarrassment, anger, guilt, and disgust). In the present research, neuroticism is defined as anxious, defensive, insecure, and emotional.

1.5.1.4. Conscientiousness

The conceptual definition of conscientiousness is efficient, organized, reliable, playful, thorough, responsible, achievement oriented and productive (Costa & McCrae, 1988). Conscientiousness refers to self -control and the active process of planning , organizing and carrying out task (Barrick & Mount, 1991).

Conscientiousness also defined as tendency to be purposeful, organized, reliable, determined, and ambitious (Major et al., 2006). In this study, conscientiousness defined as a person who is organized, order, impulsivity, desirability, high achievement, durance and value orthodoxy.

1.5.1.5. Openness to experience

Openness to experience is described by adjectives such as imaginative, cultured, curious, original, broad-minded, intelligent and autistics (Baldwin & Ford, 1988). Openness to experience, a dimension of the Big Five, acts as the predetermining factor in creating an intrinsic state conducive for transfer (Barrick & Mount, 1991). Openness to Experience includes active imagination, aesthetic sensitivity, attentiveness to inner feelings, a preference for variety, intellectual curiosity and independence of judgment (Dean, Conte, & Blankenhorn, 2006). In this study, traits that associated with openness to experience means complexity, understanding, sentience, nurturance, tolerance to change and innovation.

1.5.2 Transfer climate

The conceptual definition of transfer climate is individual's perception of their work environment and is mediating variable for transfer (Rouiller & Goldstein, 1993). Holton et *al.*(1997) defined transfer climate as a psychological interpretation of the work environment that affects job attitudes and behaviors and influences the extent to which a person utilizes learned skills on the job. Characteristics of a positive transfer climate include cues that prompt trainees to use new skills, consequences for the correct use of skills and remediation for the incorrect or lack of use, and social support from supervisors and peers through the use of incentives and feedback (Grossman & Salas, 2011). In this study, transfer climate defined as the

conditions in organizations that either prevent or encourage the application of what was learned in training to the job. Transfer climate in this study is divided to supervisor support, peer support, opportunity to and rewards.

1.5.2.1.Supervisor support

Supervisor support refers to the extent to which supervisors support and reinforce use of training on the job (Holton et al., 2000). Supervisors can provide different types of support for training activities such as providing recognition, encouragement, rewards, participant the training program and modeling trained behavior (Salas, Wilson, Burke, & Wightman, 2006). Supervisor support also can refers to supervisors' participation in discussions of new learning, involvement in training and provision of positive feedback as forms of support most recognized by trainees as positively influencing their transfer (Lim & Johnson, 2002). Supervisory support in the form of encouragement for the application of new skills can influences the transfer training (Kontoghiorghes, 2001). The greater the level of support, the more likely that transfer of training will occur. Supervisor should be actively involved in the design and delivery of training programs (Awoniyi, Griego, & Morgan, 2002). In present study, supervisor support refers to the degree to which trainees' supervisor encourages and motivates them to transfer knowledge and skills gained to their workplace.

1.5.2.2.Peer Support

Peer support is defined as the extent to which peers reinforce and support use of learning to the job (Holton et al., 2000). According to Martin (2010), peer support is trainees provide feedback, encouragement, problem-solving assistance, supplemental information and coaching assistance to each another. Peer support concerns the extent to which peers behave in a way that optimizes the trainees' use of learning on the job, for example, by setting goals together and by giving trainees concrete assistance (Russ-Eft, 2002). Peer support also can be defined as perceived workgroup support, in terms of a supportive atmosphere and assistance from co-workers, also predicts the perceived opportunities to use new knowledge, skills and attitudes (Ford et al., 1992). In present study, peer support refers to the degree to which trainees coaching, assisting and encourages each other's to apply the knowledge and skills learned to workplace.

1.5.2.3.Opportunity to use

According to Noe (2002), opportunity to transfer refers to breadth, activity level and task type. Breadth includes the number of learned tasks performed at work while activity level is the frequency with which trained task are actually performed on the job. On the other hand, task level refers to the difficulty and criticality of the trained task. In other words, the first instance of training application at work may affect continued opportunity to transfer. Opportunity to transfer also can be defined as the extent to which trainees are provided with or obtain resources and tasks on the job enabling them to use the skills taught in training (Holton et al., 2000). In this study, opportunities to use learned skills refers to the extent to which the trainee is provided with newly learned knowledge, skill and behaviors from the training program. Opportunity to use means the extent to which trainees perceive and have sufficient time and resources available determines the extent to which knowledge, skills and attitudes acquired in training will be used or constrained on the job (Russ-Eft, 2002). The opportunity to use can consequently be defined as "the extent to which a trainee is provided with or actively obtains work experiences relevant to the tasks for which he or she was trained" (Ford et al., 1992).

1.5.2.4.Rewards

Rewards will be determined when trainees return to their jobs and begin applying their learned behavior; they will encounter rewards that will affect their further use of what they have learned. Rewards shows the degree to which applying training on the job leads to outcomes that are positive for the individual (Holton et al., 2000). Recognition and rewards from organization is a strategy that can increase the level of transfer training. Positive reinforcement refers to positive rewards such as salary increase or giving promotion (Rouiller & Goldstein, 1993). Cheng (2000) defined rewards is positive outcome in term of job security will be increase after apply the learned knowledge and skill. Based on Facteau, et al (1995), rewards will be given in term o high performance evaluation when success transfer the training program. In this study, rewards refers to the extent to which organization give reinforcement such as salary increase or giving promotion after they applied their new learned skill and knowledge in work place.

1.5.3 Training Effectiveness

Conceptual definition of training effectiveness is the measurement of improvement in the employee's knowledge, skill and behavioral pattern within organization after they attend training program (AlYahya & Mat, 2013). Based on Kirkpatrick and Kirkpatrick (2006), training effectiveness can be measured in trainee reaction, trainee learning, trainee behavior and organization impact. At the first level, trainee reaction refers to the examination of the participants' reactions or attitudes towards specific components of a program, such as instructor, topics, presentation style, schedule, and audio-visuals. At the second level, learning refers to an evaluation of the extent to which trainees have advanced in terms of knowledge, skills, and attitude. At the third level, trainee behaviour is addressed by the extent to which knowledge and skills gained in training are applied on the job or result in exceptional job-related performance. Finally, at the fourth level, organization impact is referred to as providing some measures of the impact that training has had on broader organizational goals and objectives (Bates, 2004). In this study, training effectiveness defined as the extent to which trainee learned (second level of Kirkpatrick's Model) and applied new learned knowledge and skill in their workplace (third level of Kirkpatrick's Model). Both level of training effectiveness

were measured based on perception of trainees. Training effectiveness was be measured by

- Conceptual definition of learning outcome is trainee's knowledge of a training program, which can be measured by asking trainees to recall trained materials immediately or shortly after completion of a training program (Xiao, 1996). Learning outcome is the extent to which trainees have at their disposal directly after finishing training, the knowledge, skills and attitudes intended to be learned in training (Nijman, 2004). Learning outcome refers to the perception of how often the trainee believed they had learned the trained task (Tziner & Haccoun, 1991). In this study, learning outcome defined as the extent to which the improvement of trainee in driving knowledge after training. Driving knowledge is determined by giving pre and post test examination to trainee to examine the improvement of training knowledge in terms of the preparation of vehicle, structure of vehicle, act of traffic, road sign, and duty of police driver for both of the training program.
- Conceptual definition of behavior outcome is trainees' behavioral change after the training which can be measured by asking trainees to identify the changes made on the work after training (Bates, 2004). Behavior outcome refers to the extent to which trainees apply knowledge, skills and attitudes gained in training on the job, as measured at a certain point in time after training (Nijman, 2004). In the present study, behavior outcome of trainee's refer to the extent to which trainee applied the new learned skill in training to their workplace. Driving competency was measured by evaluating driving competency of the trainee after two Driving competency can be defined as perceived months training. transfer driving training achieved and perceived improvement in performance when on duty. Perceived transfer driving training achieved refer to extent to which individuals make changes and transfer learning to the job. While, perceived improvement in performance when on duty can

be defined as expectation that effort devoted to transferring learning will lead to changes in job performance.

1.6 Chapter Summary

This chapter has discussed the background and problem statement of the research to motivate the reason for this study. Research questions and the objectives were discussed in this chapter also. The scope of study, significance of the study, limitation and conceptual definition of the study were addressed. As a guideline and references of this study, literature reviews are discussed in the next chapter.

REFERENCES

- Abdulkarim, S. A.-E., Musaed, A. F., & Abdulla, M. A. (2009). An Empirical Examination of The Effects of Self-Efficacy, Supervisor Support and Motivation to Learn on Transfer Intention. *Management Decision*, 47(8), 1221-1244.
- Abrahamsen, S., & Strype, J. (2010). Are they all the same? Norwegian police officers' personality characteristics and tactics of conflict resolution. *Policing and Society*, 20(1), 99-123. doi: 10.1080/10439460903377303
- Akomolafe, M. J. (2013). Personality Characteristics as Predictors of Academic Performance of Secondary School Students. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 4(2).
- Al-Ghaweel, I., Mursi, S. A., Jack, J. P., & Joel, I. (2009). Factors Affecting Road Traffic Accidents in Benghazi, Libya. *Journal Of Family & Community Medicine*, 16(1), 7-9.
- Ali, Z., Nisar, N., & Raza, A. (2011). Impact of Personality Traits on Leadership Styles of Secondary School Teachers. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research In Business*, 3(3), 610.
- Alliger, G. M., Tannenbaum, S. I., Bennett, W., Traver, H., & Shotland, A. (1997). A META-ANALYSIS OF THE RELATIONS AMONG TRAINING CRITERIA. *Personnel Psychology*, 50(2), 341-358. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-6570.1997.tb00911.x
- Allport, G. W. (1937). Personality: A Psychological Interpretation. Oxford, England: Holt.

- Alonzo, J., Paul, A. W., Thomas, G. R., Jr., Henry, L. T., & Joseph, M. P. (2008). Managerial Recruitment: The Influence of Personality and Ideal Candidate Characteristics. *Journal of Management Development*, 27(6), 631-648.
- AlYahya, M. S., & Mat, D. N. B. (2013). Evaluation Of Effectiveness Of Training And Development: The Kirkpatrick Model. Asian Journal of Business and Management Sciences, 2(11), 14-24.
- Anonymous (Cartographer). (2001). High-Speed Driver Training Might Cut Police Deaths, NLEOM Official Says. Retrieved from https://vpn.utm.my/docview/204714742?accountid=41678
- Awais Bhatti, M., Ali, S., Mohd Isa, M. F., & Mohamed Battour, M. (2014). Training Transfer and Transfer Motivation: The Influence of Individual, Environmental, Situational, Training Design, and Affective Reaction Factors. *Performance Improvement Quarterly*, 27(1), 51-82. doi: 10.1002/piq.21165
- Awoniyi, E. A., Griego, O. V., & Morgan, G. A. (2002). Person-Environment Fit and Transfer Of Training. *International Journal of Training and Development*, 6(1), 25-35. doi: 10.1111/1468-2419.00147
- Azmar, N. A., Romle, A. R., & Ismail, S. (2015). Framing A New Movement for Training Program and Work Motivation in the Public Service Context *Internaltional Journal of Administration and Governance*, 1(4), 20-25.
- Baba, V. V., Tourigny, L., Wang, X., & Liu, W. (2009). Proactive personality and work performance in China: The moderating effects of emotional exhaustion and perceived safety climate. *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences / Revue Canadienne des Sciences de l'Administration*, 26(1), 23-37. doi: 10.1002/cjas.90
- Babakhani, N. (2014). The Relationship Between the Big-five Model of Personality, Self- regulated Learning Strategies and Academic Performance of Islamic Azad University Students. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 116(0), 3542-3547. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.01.799
- Bailey, A., Barr, O., & Bunting, B. (2001). Police attitudes toward people with intellectual disability: An evaluation of awareness training. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 45(4), 344-350. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2788.2001.00339.x
- Baldwin, T. T., & Ford, J. K. (1988). Transfer of Training: A Review and Directions for Future Research. *Personnel Psychology*, 41(1), 63-105.

- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The Moderator–Mediator Variable Distinction in Social Psychological Research: Conceptual, Strategic, and Statistical Considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51(6), 1173-1182.
- Barrick, M. R., & Mount, M. K. (1991). The Big Five Personality Dimensions and Job Performance: A Meta-Analysis. *Personnel Psychology*, 44(1), 1-26.
- Barrick, M. R., Mount, M. K., & Judge, T. A. (2001). Personality and Performance at The Beginning of The New Millennium: What Do We Know and Where Do We Go Next? *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, 9(1-2), 9-30. doi: 10.1111/1468-2389.00160
- Barrick, M. R., Mount, M. K., & Li, N. (2013). The Theory of Purposeful Work Behavior: The Role of Personality, Higher-Order Goals, and Job Characteristics. Academy of Management Review, 38(1), 132-153. doi: 10.5465/amr.2010.0479
- Barthelemy, J. J., & Lounsbury, J. W. (2009). The Relationship Between Aggression and the Big Five Personality Factors in Predicting Academic Success. *Journal of Human Behavior in the Social Environment*, 19(2), 159-170. doi: 10.1080/10911350802687125
- Bates, R. (2004). A Critical Analysis of Evaluation Practice: The Kirkpatrick Model and The Principle Of Beneficence. *Evaluation and Program Planning*, 27, 341-347.
- Bates, R., & Khasawneh, S. (2005). Organizational Learning Culture, Learning Transfer Climate and Perceived Innovation in Jordanian Organizations. *International Journal of Training & Development*, 9(2), 96-109. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2419.2005.00224.x
- Beanland, V., Goode, N., Salmon, P. M., & Lenné, M. G. (2013). Is there a case for driver training? A review of the efficacy of pre- and post-licence driver training. *Safety Science*, 51(1), 127-137. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2012.06.021
- Beaty, J. J. C., Cleveland, J. N., & Murphy, K. R. (2001). The Relation between Personality and Contextual Performance in "Strong" Versus "Weak" Situations. *Human Performance*, 14(2), 125-148.

- Bennett, J. B., Lehman, W. E. K., & Forst, J. K. (1999). Change, Transfer Climate, and Customer Orientation: A Contextual Model and Analysis of Change-Driven Training. *Group & Organization Management*, 24(2), 188-216.
- Bertolino, M., Truxillo, D. M., & Fraccaroli, F. (2011). Age as moderator of the relationship of proactive personality with training motivation, perceived career development from training, and training behavioral intentions. *Journal* of Organizational Behavior, 32(2), 248-263.
- Bhatti, M. A., Battour, M. M., Ismail, A. R., & Sundram, V. P. (2014). Effects of personality traits (big five) on expatriates adjustment and job performance. *Equality, Diversity and Inclusion: An International Journal, 33*(1), 73-96. doi: doi:10.1108/EDI-01-2013-0001
- Bhatti, M. A., Battour, M. M., Sundram, V. P. K., & Othman, A. A. (2013). Transfer of training: does it truly happen?: An examination of support, instrumentality, retention and learner readiness on the transfer motivation and transfer of training. *European Journal of Training and Development*, 37(3), 273-297. doi: 10.1108/03090591311312741
- Biassoni, F., Balzarotti, S., & Ciceri, M. R. (2015). The Contribution of Safe Driving Training in Educating Drivers to Risk Perception. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 3, 3333-3338. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2015.07.489
- Blume, B. D., Ford, J. K., Baldwin, T. T., & Huang, J. L. (2010). Transfer of Training: A Meta-Analytic Review. *Journal of Management*, 36(4), 1065-1105.
- Bomel Ltd. (2004). Safety Culture and Work-Related Road Accidents, Road Safety. London: Department for Transport, HMSO.
- Books, L., Wikipedia, S., & Group, B. (2011). Royal Malaysian Police: List of Royal Malaysian Police Officers Killed in the Line of Duty, General Operations Force, Marine Operations Force. New York, United States: General Books LLC.
- Bramley, P. (1996). *Evaluating Training Effectiveness: Benchmarking Your Training Activity Against Best Practice*. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company.
- Branson, A., & Shafran, R. (2015). Therapist Characteristics and their Effect on Training Outcomes: What Counts? *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, 43(03), 374-380. doi: doi:10.1017/S1352465814000642

- Brian, P. N. (2006). Personality Predictors of Participation As A Mentor. Career Development International, 11(4), 321-333.
- Broad, M. L. (2001). Transfer of Training: Action-Packed Strategies to Ensure High Payoff from Training Investments. New york: Perseus Books.
- Brown, D. J., Cober, R. T., Kane, K., Levy, P. E., & Shalhoop, J. (2006). Proactive Personality and The Successful Job Search: A Field Investigation with College Graduates. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 91(3), 717-726.
- Brown, F. W., & Michael, D. R. (2009). The Myers-Briggs Type Indicator and Transformational Leadership. *Journal of Management Development*, 28(10), 916-932.
- Bryman, A. (2004). Social Research Methods (2nd ed.). New York: OUP Oxford.
- Bryman, A. (2012). Social Research Methods: OUP Oxford.
- Burke, L. A., & Baldwin, T. T. (1999). Workforce Training Transfer: A Study Of The Effect of Relapse Prevention Training and Transfer. *Human Resource Management*, 38(3), 227.
- Burke, L. A., & Hutchins, H. M. (2007). Training Transfer: An Integrative Literature Review. *Human Resource Development Review*, 6(3), 263-296.
- Burke, L. A., & Hutchins, H. M. (2008). A Study of Best Practices in Training Transfer and Proposed Model of Transfer. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 19(2), 107-128. doi: 10.1002/hrdq.1230
- Burnett, M., Williamson, I., & Bartol, K. (2009). The Moderating Effect of Personality on Employees' Reactions to Procedural Fairness and Outcome Favorability. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 24(4), 469-484. doi: 10.1007/s10869-009-9120-6
- Burrow, J., & Berardinelli. (2003). Systematic Performance Improvement Refining The Space Between Learning and Results. *Journal of Workplace Learning*, 15(1), 6-13. doi: 10.1108/13665620310458776
- Cable, D. M., & Edwards, J. R. (2004). Complementary and Supplementary Fit: A Theoretical and Empirical Integration. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89, 822-834.
- Camoens, A. (2014). Wouls be cops must sit for profile test first, *The Star*. Retrieved from http://www.thestar.com.my/News/Nation/2014/07/04/Wouldbe-copsmust-sit-for-profile-test-first/

- Campbell, J. P. (1971). Personnel Training and Development. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 22(1), 565-602. doi: doi:10.1146/annurev.ps.22.020171.003025
- Campbell, J. S., Castaneda, M., & Pulos, S. (2009). Meta-analysis of personality assessments as predictors of military aviation training success. *The International Journal of Aviation Psychology*, 20(1), 92-109. doi: 10.1080/10508410903415872
- Carducci, B. J. (2009). The Psychology of Personality: Viewpoints, Research, and Applications: John Wiley & Sons.
- Cellar, D. F., deGrange deGrendel, D. J., Klawsky, J. D., & Miller, M. L. (1996). The Validity of Personality, Service Orientation, and Reading Comprehension Measures As Predictors of Flight Attendant Training Performance. *Journal of Business & Psychology*, 11(1), 43-54.
- Chamorro-Premuzic, T., & Furnham, A. (2003). Personality Predicts Academic Performance: Evidence from Two Longitudinal University Samples. *Journal* of Research in Personality, 37(4), 319-338. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0092-6566(02)00578-0
- Chang, J.-C., & Chiang, T.-C. (2011, 12-14 Aug. 2011). The Effects of Trainee Characteristics on Transfer of Training in Pre-Training Stage. Paper presented at the Management and Service Science (MASS), 2011 International Conference on.
- Chang, Y.-H. E. (2010). An Emprirical Study of Kirkpatrick's Evaluation Model in the Hospitality Industry. (Phd), Florida International University, Miami,Florida.
- Chen, C.-F., & Kao, Y.-L. (2014). Investigating The Moderating Effects of Service Climate On Personality, Motivation, Social Support, and Performance among Flight Attendants. *Tourism Management*, 44(0), 58-66. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2014.02.012
- Chen, H. L., Lai, F. Y., Lai, C. C., & Kao, Y. T. (2015). Moderation of cohesiveness between proactive personality and extra-role behaviors. *NTU Management Review*, 25(3), 1-38. doi: 10.6226/NTUMR.2015.JUN.OB10
- Cheng, E., W. L., & Ho, D., C. K. (2001). A Review of Transfer of Training Studies in The Past Decade. *Personnel Review*, 30(1), 102-118.
- Cheng, E. W. L. (2000). Test of The MBA Knowledge and Skills Transfer. International Journal of Human Resource Management, 11(4), 837-852.

- Cheramie, R. A., & Simmering, M. J. (2010). Improving individual learning for trainees with low conscientiousness. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 25(1), 44-57. doi: 10.1108/02683941011013867
- Cheung, F. M. (2004). Use of Western and Indigenously Developed Personality Tests in Asia. *Applied Psychology*, 53(2), 173-191.
- Chiaburu, D. S., & Marinova, S. V. (2005). What Predicts Skill Transfer? An Exploratory Study of Goal Orientation, Training Self-Efficacy and Organizational Supports. *International Journal of Training and Development*, 9(2), 110-123. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2419.2005.00225.x
- Chiaburu, D. S., Sawyer, K. B., & Thoroughgood, C. N. (2010). Transferring More than Learned in Training: Employees' and Managers' (Over)Generalization of Skills. *International Journal of Selection & Assessment*, 18(4), 380-393. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2389.2010.00520.x
- Chiaburu, D. S., & Tekleab, A. G. (2005). Individual and Contextual Influences on Multiple Dimensions of Training Effectiveness. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 29(8), 604-626. doi: 10.1108/03090590510627085
- Chin, W. W. (1998). The Partial Least Squares Approach for Structural Equation Modeling *Modern methods for business research* (pp. 295-336). Mahwah, NJ, US: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.
- Chiu, K.-H., & Chen, T. (2012). Leadership as Situational Factor on Personality-Performance Relationship: An Empirical Study of The Taiwan's Office Machinery Sales Force. *International Journal of Business and Management Science*, 5(1), 1-17.
- Clarke, N. (2002). Job/Work Environment Factors Influencing Training Transfer Within A Human Service Agency: Some Indicative Support For Baldwin and Ford's Transfer Climate Construct. *International Journal of Training & Development*, 6(3), 146-162.
- Colbert, A. E., Mount, M. K., Harter, J. K., Witt, L. A., & Barrick, M. R. (2004). Interactive Effects of Personality and Perceptions of the Work Situation on Workplace Deviance. *The Journal Of Applied Psychology*, 89(4), 599-609.
- Colquitt, J. A., LePine, J. A., & Noe, R. A. (2000). Toward An Integrative Theory of Training Motivation: A Meta-Analytic Path Analysis of 20 Years of Research. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 85(5), 678-707.

- Cooper, D., & Schindler, P. (2010). *Business Research Methods*. New York: McGraw-Hill Education.
- Cordner, G. W. (2013). Police Administration: Taylor & Francis.
- Costa, P. T., & McCrae, R. R. (1988). Personality in Adulthood: A Six-Year Longitudinal Study of Self-Reports and Spouse Ratings on the NEO Personality Inventory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 54, 853-863.
- Costa, P. T., & McCrae, R. R. (1992). Normal Personality Assessment in Clinical Practice: The NEO Personality Inventory. *Psychological Assessment*, 4(1), 5-13.
- Creswell, J. W. (2008). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. Los Angeles: SAGE Publications.
- Cromwell, S. E., & Kolb, J. A. (2004). An Examination of Work-Environment Support Factors Affecting Transfer of Supervisory Skills Training to The Workplace. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 15(4), 449-471. doi: 10.1002/hrdq.1115
- Cullen, M. J., Muros, J. P., Rasch, R., & Sackett, P. R. (2013). Individual Differences in the Effectiveness of Error Management Training for Developing Negotiation Skills. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, 21(1), 1-21. doi: 10.1111/ijsa.12013
- Daniel, J. S., & Jennifer, P. B. (2006). Organization Climate, Personality Interaction and Organization Behavior. In M. Hersen & J. C. Thomas (Eds.), *Comprehensive handbook of personality and psychopathology* (Vol. 1). New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Dantzker, M. L. (2011). Psychological preemployment screening for police candidates: Seeking consistency if not standardization. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 42(3), 276-283. doi: 10.1037/a0023736
- De Bruin, K., De Bruin, G. P., Dercksen, S., & Cilliers-Hartslief, M. (2005). Predictive Validity of General Intelligence And Big Five Measures for Adult Basic Education and Training Outcomes. *South African Journal of Psychology*, 35(1), 46-57.
- De Feyter, T., Caers, R., Vigna, C., & Berings, D. (2012). Unraveling The Impact of The Big Five Personality Traits on Academic Performance: The Moderating and Mediating Effects of Self-Efficacy and Academic Motivation

- Learning and Individual Differences, 22(4), 439-448. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2012.03.013
- Dean, M. A., Conte, J. M., & Blankenhorn, T. R. (2006). Examination of The Predictive Validity of Big Five Personality Dimensions Across Training Performance Criteria. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 41(7), 1229-1239.
- Dharmasiri, A. S., & Wickremasinghe, S. (2006). The Effectiveness of Monetary Rewards in Motivating Police Officer. Sri Lankan Journal of Management, 11(1& 2).
- Diseth, Å. (2003). Personality and Approaches to Learning As Predictors of Academic Achievement. *European Journal of Personality*, 17(2), 143-155. doi: 10.1002/per.469
- Dorn, L. (2005). Profesional Driver Training and Driver Stress: Effects on Simulated Driving Performance *Traffic and transport Psychology*. Elsevier.
- Dorn, L., & Barker, D. (2005). The effects of driver training on simulated driving performance. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 37(1), 63-69. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2004.06.005
- Downey, H. K., Hellriegel, D., & Slocum, J. W. (1975). Congruence between Individual Needs, Organizational Climate, Job Satisfaction and Performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 18(1), 149-155. doi: 10.2307/255634
- Drew, J., Carless, S. A., & Thompson, B. M. (2008). Predicting turnover of police officers using the sixteen personality factor questionnaire. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 36(4), 326-331. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrimjus.2008.06.003
- Eddie, W. L. C., & Danny, C. K. H. (1998). The Effects of Some Attitudinal and Organizational Factors on Transfer Outcome. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 13(5/6), 309-317.
- Elangovan , A. R., & Leonard, K. (1999). The Role of Trainee and Environmental Factors in Transfer of Training: An Exploratory Framework. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 20(5), 268-276.
- Eli, N., Brad, J., & Giles St, J. B. (2010). Attributing Leadership Personality and Effectiveness from The Leader's Face: An Exploratory Study. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 31(8), 720-742.

- Esfandagheh, F. B., Harris, R., & Oreyzi, H. R. (2012). The impact of extraversion and pre-training self-efficacy on levels of training outcomes. *Human Resource Development International*, 15(2), 175-191. doi: 10.1080/13678868.2011.647460
- Facteau, J. D., Dobbins, G. H., Russell, J. E. A., Ladd, R. T., & Kudisch, J. D. (1995). The Influence of General Perceptions of the Training Environment on Pretraining Motivation and Perceived Training Transfer. *Journal of Management*, 21(1), 1.
- Ferris, G. R., Bergin, T. G., & Gilmore, D. C. (1986). Personality and Ability Predictors of Training Performance for Flight Attendants. *Group & Organization Studies*, 11(4), 419-435.
- Field, A. (2013). *Discovering Statistics using IBM SPSS Statistics*: SAGE Publications.
- Fitzgerald, W. (1992). Training Versus Development. *Training & Development*, 46(5), 81-84.
- Fleeson, W. (2001). Toward A Structure- and Process-Integrated View of Personality: Traits As Density Distributions of States. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 80(6), 1011-1027.
- Fleeson, W. (2004). Moving personality beyond the person-situation debate the challenge and the opportunity of within-person variability. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 13(2), 83-87. doi: 10.1111/j.0963-7214.2004.00280.x
- Fletcher, T. D., Major, D. A., & Davis, D. D. (2008). The Interactive Relationship of Competitive Climate and Trait Competitiveness with Workplace Attitudes, Stress, and Performance. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 29(7), 899-922. doi: 10.1002/job.503
- Ford, J. K. (1990). Understanding Training Transfer: The Water Remains Murky. Human Resource Development Quarterly, 1(3), 225-229.
- Ford, J. K. (2014). Improving Training Effectiveness in Work Organizations: Taylor & Francis.
- Ford, J. K., Quiñones, M. A., Sego, D. J., & Sorra, J. S. (1992). Factors Affecting The Opportunity to Perform Trained Tasks on The Job *Personnel Psychology*, 45(3), 511-527.

- Ford, J. K., & Weissbein, D. A. (1997). Transfer of Training: An Updated Review and Analysis. *Performance Improvement Quarterly*, 10(2), 22-41. doi: 10.1111/j.1937-8327.1997.tb00047.x
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating Structural Equation Models with Unobservable Variables and Measurement Error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1), 39-50.
- Fu, Y., & Lihua, Z. (2012). Organizational justice and perceived organizational support: The moderating role of conscientiousness in China. *Nankai Business Review International*, 3(2), 145-166. doi: doi:10.1108/20408741211244398
- Fullarton, C., Fuller-Tyszkiewicz, M., & von Treuer, K. (2013). The mediating role of work climate perceptions in the relationship between personality and performance. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 23(4), 525-536. doi: 10.1080/1359432X.2013.764601

Funder, D. C. (2001). Personality. Annual Review of Psychology, 52, 197-221.

- Furnham, A. (2005). The Psychology of Behaviour at Work: The Individual in The Organisation (2nd ed.). Hove,East Sussex: Psychology Press.
- Furnham, A., Monsen, J., & Ahmetoglu, G. (2009). Typical Intellectual Engagement, Big Five Personality Traits, Approaches To Learning and Cognitive Ability Predictors of Academic Performance. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 79(4), 769-782. doi: 10.1348/978185409x412147
- Furnham, A., Petrides, K. V., Jackson, C. J., & Cotter, T. (2002). Do Personality Factors Predict Job Satisfaction? *Personality and Individual Differences*, 33(8), 1325-1342. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(02)00016-8
- Galletta, M., Portoghese, I., Penna, M. P., Battistelli, A., & Saiani, L. (2011). Turnover intention among Italian nurses: The moderating roles of supervisor support and organizational support. *Nursing & Health Sciences*, 13(2), 184-191. doi: 10.1111/j.1442-2018.2011.00596.x
- Garbarino, S., Chiorri, C., & Magnavita, N. (2014). Personality traits of the Five-Factor Model are associated with work-related stress in special force police officers. *International Archives Of Occupational And Environmental Health*, 87(3), 295-306. doi: 10.1007/s00420-013-0861-1
- Gaudine, A. P., & Saks, A. M. (2004). A Longitudinal Quasi-Experiment on The Effects of Posttraining Transfer Interventions. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 15(1), 57-76.

- Gerritt, J. L. (2014). Burnout, vigour, big five personality traits and social support in a sample of police officers. *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology*, *40*(1), 13.
- Gilpin-Jackson, Y., & Bushe, G. R. (2007). Leadership Development Training Transfer: A Case Study of Post-Training Determinants. *Journal of Management Development*, 26(10), 980-1004.
- Gist, M. E., Stevens, C. K., & Bavetta, A. G. (1991). Effects Of Self-Efficacy and Post-Training Intervention on The Acquisition And Maintenance of Complex Interpersonal Skills. *Personnel Psychology*, 44(4), 837-861.
- Gray, D. E. (2009). Doing Research in the Real World: SAGE Publications.
- Gregersen, N. P., Brehmer, B., & Morén, B. (1996). Road Safety Improvement in Large Companies. An Experimental Comparison of Different Measures. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 28(3), 297-306. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0001-4575(95)00060-7
- Gregersen, N. P., Nyberg, A., & Berg, H.-Y. (2003). Accident Involvement Among Learner Drivers—An Analysis of The Consequences of Supervised Practice. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 35(5), 725-730. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0001-4575(02)00051-9
- Grehan, P. M., Flanagan, R., & Malgady, R. G. (2011). Successful graduate students: The roles of personality traits and emotional intelligence. *Psychology in the Schools*, 48(4), 317-331. doi: 10.1002/pits.20556
- Griffin, M. F., & Hindocha, S. (2011). Publication practices of medical students at British medical schools: Experience, attitudes and barriers to publish. *Medical Teacher*, 33(1), e1-e8. doi: 10.3109/0142159X.2011.530320
- Grossman, R., & Salas, E. (2011). The Transfer of Training: What Really Matters. International Journal of Training and Development, 15(2), 103-120.
- Guay, R. P., Oh, I.-S., Choi, D., Mitchell, M. S., Mount, M. K., & Shin, K. (2013). The Interactive Effect of Conscientiousness and Agreeableness on Job Performance Dimensions in South Korea. *International Journal of Selection* and Assessment, 21(2), 233-238. doi: 10.1111/ijsa.12033
- Gully, S., & Chen, G. (2010). Individual differences, attribute-treatment interactions, and training outcomes. In d. dividual, i. attribute-treatment & S. W. J. K. E. S. and training outcomes (Eds.), *Learning, training, and development in* organizations (pp. 3-64). New York, NY, US: Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group.

- Hair, J. F. (2010). *Multivariate Data Analysis: A Global Perspective*: Pearson Education.
- Hair, J. F., Babin, B., Money, A., & Samouel, P. (2003). *Essentials of Business Research Methods*. USA: Leyh Publishing, LLC.
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C., & Sarstedt, M. (2013). A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM): SAGE Publications.
- Hall, R. (2008). Applied Social Research: Planning, Designing and Conducting Real-World Research. Melbourne: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Handy, L. A. W. (2008). The Importance of The Work Environment Variables on The Transfer of Training. (Doctor of Education Dissertation), North Carolina State University, United States, North Carolina.
- Harrington, R. (2012). *Stress, Health and Well-Being: Thriving in the 21st Century:* Cengage Learning.
- Harry, J. M. (2010). Improving Training Impact Through Effective Follow-Up: Techniques and Their Application. *Journal of Management Development*, 29(6), 520-534.
- Haslinda, A., & Mahyuddin, M. Y. (2009). The Effectiveness of Training in The Public Service. American Journal of Scientific Research(6), 39-51.
- Hennessy, D. (2011). Chapter 12 Social, Personality, and Affective Constructs in Driving. In E. P. Bryan (Ed.), *Handbook of Traffic Psychology* (pp. 149-163). San Diego: Academic Press.
- Henseler, J., & Fassott, G. (2010). Testing Moderating Effects in PLS Path Models:
 An Illustration of Available Procedures. In V. Esposito Vinzi, W. W. Chin, J.
 Henseler & H. Wang (Eds.), *Handbook of Partial Least Squares* (pp. 713-735): Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Herold, D. M., Davis, W., Fedor, D. B., & Parsons, C. K. (2002). Dispositional Influences on Transfer of Learning In Multistage Training Programs. *Personnel Psychology*, 55(4), 851-869.
- Hogan, R. (2005). In Defense of Personality Measurement: New Wine for Old Whiners. *Human Performance*, 18, 331-341.
- Holton, E. F. (1996). The Flawed Four-Level Evaluation Model. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 7(1), 5-21. doi: 10.1002/hrdq.3920070103
- Holton, E. F., Bates, R. A., & Ruona, W. E. A. (2000). Development of A Generalized Learning Transfer System Inventory. *Human Resource*

Development Quarterly, 11(4), 333-360. doi: 10.1002/1532-1096(200024)11:4<333::aid-hrdq2>3.0.co;2-p

- Holton, E. F., Bates, R. A., Seyler, D. L., & Carvalho, M. B. (1997). Toward Construct Validation of A Transfer Climate Instrument. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 8(2), 95-113. doi: 10.1002/hrdq.3920080203
- Holton, E. F., Chen, H.-C., & Naquin, S. S. (2003). An examination of learning transfer system characteristics across organizational settings. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 14(4), 459-482. doi: 10.1002/hrdq.1079
- Holton, V., Voller, S., Paine Schofield, C. B., & Devine, M. (2010). Improving Learning Transfer: A Pilot Study with Three Ashridge Client Organisations. Hertfordshire, United Kingdom: Ashridge Business School
- Homklin, T., Takahashi, Y., & Techakanont, K. (2013). Effects of Individual and Work Environment Characteristics on Training Effectiveness: Evidence from Skill Certification System for Automotive Industry in Thailand. *International Business Research*, 6(12), 1-16. doi: 10.1177.1534484309335970
- Homklin, T., Takahashi, Y., & Techakanont, K. (2014). The Influence of Social and Organizational Support on Transfer of Training: Evidence From Thailand. *International Journal of Training and Development*, 18(2), 116-131. doi: 10.1111/ijtd.12031
- Hoogh, D., B., A. H., Den Hartog, N., D., & Koopman, P. L. (2005). Linking The Big Five-Factors of Personality To Charismatic and Transactional Leadership; Perceived Dynamic Work Environment as A Moderator. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 26(7), 839-865. doi: 10.1002/job.344
- Hsieh, Y. H. (2011). Empirical Study on Personality Traits, Job Satisfaction, and Rewards System Preferences. African Journal of Business Management, 5(12), 4983-4992.
- Hsu, Y. R. (2011). Work family conflict and job satisfaction in stressful working environments: The moderating roles of perceived supervisor support and internal locus of control. *International Journal of Manpower*, 32(2), 233-248. doi: doi:10.1108/01437721111130224
- Hurtz, G. M., & Donovan, J. J. (2000). Personality and Job Performance: The Five Revisited. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 85(6), 869-879.

- Hutchins, H. M. (2009). In the Trainer's Voice: A Study of Training Transfer Practices. *Performance Improvement Quarterly*, 22(1), 69-93. doi: 10.1002/piq.20046
- Hutchins, H. M., & Burke, L. A. (2007). Identifying Trainers' Knowledge of Training Transfer Research Findings – Closing The Gap Between Research and Practice. *International Journal of Training & Development*, 11(4), 236-264. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2419.2007.00288.x
- Hyun, Y. S. (2007). The Effects Of Organizational Climate on Training Transfer. 人 力開發研究 The Korean Journal for Human Resource Development, 9(2), 45-60.
- Jabatan Pengangkutan Jalan Malaysia. (2006). *Panduan pembelajaran kurikulum pendidikan pemandu (KPP)*. Putrajaya: Kelab Jabatan Pengangkutan Jalan Malaysia.
- Jabatan Polis Diraja Malaysia (2005). Report of the Royal Commission to Enhance the Operation and Management of the Royal Malaysia Police: Executive Summary. Kuala Lumpur: Royal Malaysia Police.
- Jackson, C. J., Hobman, E. V., Jimmieson, N. L., & Martin, R. (2009). Comparing Different Approach and Avoidance Models of Learning and Personality in the Prediction of Work, University, and Leadership Outcomes. *British Journal Of Psychology (London, England: 1953), 100*(Pt 2), 283-312.
- Jawahar, I. M., & Carr, D. (2007). Conscientiousness and contextual performance: The compensatory effects of perceived organizational support and leader member exchange. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 22(4), 330-349. doi: doi:10.1108/02683940710745923
- John, O. P., & Srivastava, S. (1999). The Big-Five Trait Taxonomy: History, Measurement, and Theoretical Perspectives. In L. A. Pervin & O. P. John (Eds.), *Handbook of personality: Theory and research* (Vol. 2, pp. 102-138). New York: Guilford Press.
- Johnsson, F. (2009). Personality Measures Under Focus: The NEO-PI-R and the MBTI. *Griffith Undergraduate Student Psychology Journal*, 1.
- Judge, T., Erez, A., Bono, J., & Thoresen, C. (2002). Are Measures of Self-Esteem, Neuroticism, Locus of Control, and Generalized Self-Efficacy Indicators of A

Common Core Construct? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 83(3), 693-710.

- Judge, T., & Ilies, R. (2002). Relationship of Personalty to Performance Motivation : A Meta-Analytic Review. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(4), 797-807.
- Judge, T. A., Rodell, J. B., Klinger, R. L., Simon, L. S., & Crawford, E. R. (2013). Hierarchical representations of the five-factor model of personality in predicting job performance: Integrating three organizing frameworks with two theoretical perspectives. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 98(6), 875-925. doi: 10.1037/a0033901
- Kalidevi Mogan Kumarappa. (2014, June 29). Seorang Maut Setiap Hari, *Harian Metro*. Retrieved from http://www2.hmetro.com.my/myMetro/articles/Seorangmautsetiaphari/Articl e/index_html
- Kamdar, D., & Van Dyne, L. (2007). The joint effects of personality and workplace social exchange relationships in predicting task performance and citizenship performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92(5), 1286.
- Karp, S., & Stenmark, H. (2011). Learning to be a police officer. Tradition and change in the training and professional lives of police officers. *Police Practice & Research*, 12(1), 4-15. doi: 10.1080/15614263.2010.497653
- Kastenmüller, A., Frey, D., Kerschreiter, R., Tattersall, A. J., Traut-Mattausch, E., & Fischer, P. (2012). Perceived openness of climate during training and transfer motivation: testing two short and simple interventions. *Journal of Vocational Education & Training*, 64(2), 211-225. doi: 10.1080/13636820.2011.631711
- Kirkpatrick, D. (1959). Techniques for Evaluating Training Programs. *journal of American Society of Training Directors, 13*(11), 3-9.
- Kirkpatrick, D., & Kirkpatrick, J. (2006). *Evaluating Training Programs: The Four Levels* (3rd ed.). San Francisco, CA: Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- Kitaeff, J. (2011). Handbook of Police Psychology: Taylor & Francis.
- Knies, E., & Leisink, P. (2014). Leadership Behavior in Public Organizations: A Study of Supervisory Support by Police and Medical Center Middle Managers. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 34(2), 108-127. doi: 10.1177/0734371x13510851

- Komarraju, M., & Karau, S. J. (2005). The Relationship Between The Big Five Personality Traits and Academic Motivation. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 39(3), 557-567. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2005.02.013
- Komarraju, M., Karau, S. J., & Schmeck, R. R. (2009). Role of The Big Five Personality Traits in Predicting College Students' Academic Motivation and Achievement. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 19(1), 47-52. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2008.07.001
- Komarraju, M., Karau, S. J., Schmeck, R. R., & Avdic, A. (2011). The Big Five Personality Traits, Learning Styles, and Academic Achievement. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 51(4), 472-477. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2011.04.019
- Kontoghiorghes, C. (2001). Factors Affecting Training Effectiveness in The Context of The Introduction of New Technology—A Us Case Study. *International Journal of Training & Development*, 5(4), 248.
- Kontoghiorghes, C. (2004). Reconceptualizing the learning transfer conceptual framework: empirical validation of a new systemic model. *International Journal of Training and Development*, 8(3), 210-221. doi: 10.1111/j.1360-3736.2004.00209.x
- Korunka, C., Dudak, E., Molnar, M., & Hoonakker, P. (2010). Predictors of A Successful Implementation of An Ergonomic Training Program. *Applied Ergonomics*, 42(1), 98-105.
- Kraiger, K., Ford, J. K., & Salas, E. (1993). Application of Cognitive, Skill-Based, and Affective Theories of Learning Outcomes to New Methods of Training Evaluation. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 78(2), 311-328.
- Kristof, A. L. (1996). PERSON-ORGANIZATION FIT: AN INTEGRATIVE REVIEW OF ITS CONCEPTUALIZATIONS, MEASUREMENT, AND IMPLICATIONS. *Personnel Psychology*, 49(1), 1-49. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-6570.1996.tb01790.x
- Kula, S., & Guler, A. (2014). Influence of Supervisor Support on Job Satisfaction Levels: An Evaluation of Turkish National Police (TNP)Officers in the Istanbul Police Department International Journal of Criminal Justice Sciences, 9(2), 209-224.
- Laidra, K., Pullmann, H., & Allik, J. (2007). Personality and Intelligence as Predictors of Academic Achievement: A Cross-Sectional Study From

Elementary To Secondary School. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 42(3), 441-451. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2006.08.001

- Lance, C. E., Kavanagh, M. J., & Brink, K. E. (2002). Retraining Climate As A Predictor Of Retraining Success and As A Moderator of The Relationship Between Cross-Job Retraining Time Estimates and Time to Proficiency in The New Job. *Group & Organization Management*, 27(2), 294-317. doi: 10.1177/10501102027002007
- . Laporan Tahunan Polis Diraja Malaysia. (2008). Kuala Lumpur: Polis Diraja Malaysia.
- Larsen, R. J., & Buss, D. M. (2008). *Personality Psychology: Domains of Knowledge About Human Nature* (Third edition ed.). New York: McGraw Hill.
- Laura, S., & Aukse, E. (2012). The role of personality traits, work motivation and organizational safety climate in risky occupational performance of professional drivers. *Baltic Journal of Management*, 7(1), 103-118.
- Lavrakas, P. J. (2008). *Encyclopedia of Survey Research Methods*: SAGE Publications.
- Lee, C., Lee, H., Lee, J., & Park, J. (2014). A multiple group analysis of the training transfer model: exploring the differences between high and low performers in a Korean insurance company. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 25(20), 2837-2857. doi: 10.1080/09585192.2014.934887
- Lee, S., & Dalal, R. S. (2014). Climate as situational strength: Safety climate strength as a cross-level moderator of the relationship between conscientiousness and safety behaviour. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 1-13. doi: 10.1080/1359432X.2014.987231
- Lee, S., Yun, T., & Lee, S.-Y. (2015). Moderating role of social support in the stressor-satisfaction relationship: evidence from police officers in Korea. *International Review of Public Administration*, 20(1), 102-116. doi: 10.1080/12294659.2014.982271
- Lehman, A. (2005). Jmp For Basic Univariate And Multivariate Statistics: A Stepby-step Guide. Cary, NC: Sas Press.
- Leung, M. y., & Fung, I. (2005). Enhancement of Classroom Facilities of Primary Schools and Its Impact on Learning Behaviors of Students. *Facilities*, 23(13/14), 585-594. doi: doi:10.1108/02632770510627561

- Levin, I., & Stokes, J. P. (1989). Dispositional Approach to Job Satisfaction: Role of Negative Affectivity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 74(5), 752.
- Lievens, F., Harris, M. M., Keer, E. V., & Bisqueret, C. (2003). Predicting Cross-Cultural Training Performance: The Validity Of Personality, Cognitive Ability, and Dimensions Measured by An Assessment Center and A Behavior Description Interview. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(3), 476-489. doi: 10.1037/0021-9010.88.3.476
- Lim, D. H., & Johnson, S. D. (2002). Trainee Perceptions of Factors That Influence Learning Transfer. *International Journal of Training and Development*, 6(1), 36-48. doi: 10.1111/1468-2419.00148
- Lim, D. H., & Morris, M. L. (2006). Influence of trainee characteristics, instructional satisfaction, and organizational climate on perceived learning and training transfer. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 17(1), 85-115. doi: 10.1002/hrdq.1162
- Liu, Y., Zhang, L., Huawei, Z., & Liangliang, M. (2011, 27-29 June 2011). The big five personality and Counterproductive work behavior(CWB): The moderating role of Perceived Organizational Support. Paper presented at the Computer Science and Service System (CSSS), 2011 International Conference on.
- Loh, V., Andrews, S., Hesketh, B., & Griffin, B. (2013). The Moderating Effect of Individual Differences in Error-Management Training: Who Learns From Mistakes? *Human Factors: The Journal of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society*, 55(2), 435-448. doi: 10.1177/0018720812451856
- Lönnqvist, J.-E., Verkasalo, M., & Walkowitz, G. (2011). It pays to pay Big Five personality influences on co-operative behaviour in an incentivized and hypothetical prisoner's dilemma game. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 50(2), 300-304. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2010.10.009
- Luuk, K., Luuk, A., & Aluoja, A. (2009). Predicting Professional Success of Air Traffic Control Personnel from Their Personality Profile at Admission To AB Initio Training. *The International Journal of Aviation Psychology*, 19(3), 235-251. doi: 10.1080/10508410902983896
- Machin, M. A., & Fogarty, G. J. (2003). Perceptions of Training-Related Factors and Personal Variables As Predictors of Transfer Implementation Intentions.

Journal of Business and Psychology, 18(1), 51-71. doi: 10.1023/a:1025082920860

- Machin, M. A., & Fogarty, G. J. (2004). Assessing The Antecedents of Transfer Intentions in A Training Context. *International Journal of Training & Development*, 8(3), 222-236. doi: 10.1111/j.1360-3736.2004.00210.x
- Mackey, A., & Gass, S. M. (2005). Second Language Research: Methodology And Design. New Jersey: L. Erlbaum associates.
- Mahmood, A. (2007). Maktab Teknik PDRM tawar latihan teknikal bersepadu, *Utusan Malaysia*. Retrieved from http://www.utusan.com.my/utusan/info.asp?y=2007&dt=0726&pub=Utusan_ Malaysia&sec=Polis_%26_Tentera&pg=te_03.htm
- Major, D. A., Turner, J. E., & Fletcher, T. D. (2006). Linking Proactive Personality and The Big Five to Motivation to Learn and Development Activity. *The Journal Of Applied Psychology*, *91*(4), 927-935.
- Makokga, T. S. (2012). *The impact of rewards as motivation for growth in the workplace*. (Master Degree), University of Johanneseburg.
- Malik, M. A. R., Butt, A. N., & Choi, J. N. (2015). Rewards and employee creative performance: Moderating effects of creative self-efficacy, reward importance, and locus of control. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 36(1), 59-74. doi: 10.1002/job.1943
- Maria, S. (2012). Disentangling Organizational Support Construct: The Role of Different Sources of Support to Newcomers' Training Transfer and Organizational Commitment. *Personnel Review*, 41(3), 3-3.
- Martin, H. J. (2010). Workplace Climate and Peer Support As Determinants of Training Transfer. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 21(1), 87-104. doi: 10.1002/hrdq.20038
- Martocchio, J. J., & Judge, T. A. (1997). Relationship between conscientiousness and learning in employee training: Mediating influences of self-deception and self-efficacy. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 82(5), 764-773. doi: 10.1037/0021-9010.82.5.764
- Mathieu, J. E., & Martineau, J. W. (1993). Individual and Situational Influences on The Development of Self-Efficacy: Implications For Training Effectiveness. *Personnel Psychology*, 46(1), 125-147.

- Mathieu, J. E., Tannenbaum, S. I., & Salas, E. (1992). Influences of Individual and Situational Characteristics on Measures of Training Effectiveness. Academy of Management Journal, 35(4), 828-847. doi: 10.2307/256317
- McCrae, R. R., & Costa Jr, P. T. (1987). Validation of the Five-Factor Model of Personality Across Instruments and Observers. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52(1), 81-90.
- McCrae, R. R., & Costa Jr, P. T. (1991). The NEO Personality Inventory: Using the Five-Factor Model in Counseling. *Journal of Counseling & Development*, 69(4), 367.
- McCrae, R. R., & John, O. P. (1992). An Introduction to the Five-Factor Model and Its Applications. *Journal of Personality*, *60*(2), 175-215. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-6494.1992.tb00970.x
- McDougall, W. (1932). Of The Words Character and Personality. Character & Personality. A Quarterly for Psychodiagnostic & Allied Studies, 1, 3-16.
- McGehee, W., & Thayer, P. W. (1961). Training in business and industry: Wiley.
- Messina, A., Fogliani, A. M., & Paradiso, S. (2010). Association between alexithymia, neuroticism, and social desirability scores among Italian graduate students. *Psychol Rep*, 107(1), 185-192. doi: 10.2466/02.07.13.15.pr0.107.4.185-192
- Milan Pagon, & Lobnikar, B. (2004). Police Integrity in Slovenia. The Contours of Police Integrity. SAGE Publications, Inc. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Miller, L. (2014). 2014 State of the Industry Report: Spending on Employee Training Remains a Priority. Retrieved 5/10, 2015
- Mischel, W. (1977). The Interaction of Person and Situation. In D. Magnusson & N.
 S. Endler (Eds.), *Personality at the crossroads: Current issues in interactional psychology* (pp. 333-352). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Mischel, W., & Shoda, Y. (1998). Reconciling Processing Dynamics and Personality Dispositions. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 49, 229-258.
- Mohd. Romzi , S. (2000). Kursus Memandu BPM Lahirkan Pemandu Polis Profesional, *Utusan Malaysia*. Retrieved from http://www.utusan.com.my/utusan/info.asp?y=2000&dt=0302&pub=Utusan_ Malaysia&sec=Gaya_Hidup&pg=ls_01.htm

- Morgan, G., & Smircich, L. (1980). The case for qualitative research. Academy of Management Review 5(4), 491-500.
- Morgan, G. A., Gliner, J. A., & Harmon, R. J. (2006). Understanding and Evaluating Research in Applied and Clinical Settings: Taylor & Francis.
- Morrell, D. L., & Korsgaard, M. A. (2011). Training in context: Toward a person-bysituation view of voluntary training. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 22(3), 323-342. doi: 10.1002/hrdq.20083
- Mount, M. K., Barrick, M. R., & Stewart, G. L. (1998). Five-Factor Model of Personality and Performance in Jobs Involving Interpersonal Interactions. *Human Performance*, 11(2-3), 145-165. doi: 10.1207/s15327043hup1102&3_3
- Muhammad Awais, B., & Sharan, K. (2010). The Role of Individual and Training Design Factors on Training Transfer. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 34(7), 656-672.
- Murray, H. A. (1938). *Explorations in Personality*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Najib, L., Abdullah, L., Abdullah, I., & Salleh, Z. (2011). Weights of Road Accident Causes using Analytic Hierarchy Process.
- Nalini, G. (2009). Transfer Climate in End-User Computing: The Influence of Trainee Characteristics and Supervisory Support. *Journal of Advances in Management Research*, 6(1), 87-98.
- Naquin, S. S., & Holton, E. F. (2002). The Effects of Personality, Affectivity, and Work Commitment on Motivation to Improve Work Through Learning. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 13(4), 357-376. doi: 10.1002/hrdq.1038
- Ng, K. S., Nabila, A., & Abd Rahman, A. (2014). Moderating Effect of Work Environment on Motivation to Learn and Perceived Training Transfer: Empirical Evidence from a Bank. *Australian Journal of Basic Applied Sciences*, 8(6), 344-361.
- Nijenhuis, J. T., & van der Flier, H. (2000). Differential Prediction of Immigrant Versus Majority Group Training Performance Using Cognitive Ability and Personality Measures. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, 8(2), 54-60.

- Nijman, D.-J. J. M. (2004). Supporting Transfer of Training : Effects of The Supervisor. (Doctor of philosophy), University of Twente, Enschede.
- Nikos, B. (2004). The Big Five of Personality and Work Involvement. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 19(1), 69-81.
- Niñerola, J., Figueras, A., Higueras, E., Vilardell, J., Melero, A., & Solé, M. A. (2014). Revised NEO personality inventory normative data for catalan police officer selection: A preliminary study. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 60, Supplement, S68. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2013.07.299
- Ningyu, T., & Gigi, W. (2010). FFM Measures and Job Performance in Chinese Organizations. *Journal of Chinese Human Resource Management*, 1(1), 49-65.
- Noe, R. (2008). *Employee Training and Development* (Fourth Edition ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
- Noe, R. A. (1986). Trainees' Attributes and Attitudes: Neglected Influences on Training Effectiveness. Academy of Management Review, 11(4), 736-749. doi: 10.5465/AMR.1986.4283922
- Noe, R. A. (2000). Invited Reaction: Development of a Generalized Learning Transfer System Inventory. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 11(4), 361-365.
- Noe, R. A. (2002). *Employee Training and Development* (2 ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
- Noe, R. A., & Schmitt, N. (1986). The Influence of Trainee Attitudes on Training Effectiveness: Test of A Model. *Personnel Psychology*, *39*(3), 497-523.
- Ntalianis, F. (2010). Do personality and learning climate predict competence for learning? An investigation in a Greek academic setting. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 20(6), 664-668.
- Nyberg, A., Gregersen, N. P., & Wiklund, M. (2007). Practicing in Relation to The Outcome of The Driving Test. Accident Analysis & Prevention, 39(1), 159-168. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2006.06.015
- O'Connor, M. C., & Paunonen, S. V. (2007). Big Five personality predictors of postsecondary academic performance. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 43(5), 971-990. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2007.03.017
- Oakes, D. W., Ferris, G. R., Martocchio, J. J., Buckley, M. R., & Broach, D. (2001). Cognitive Ability and Personality Predictors of Training Program Skill

Acquisition and Job Performance. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, *15*(4), 523-548. doi: 10.1023/a:1007805132107

- Ono, M., Sachau, D. A., Deal, W. P., Englert, D. R., & Taylor, M. D. (2011). Cognitive ability, emotional intelligence, and the big five personality dimensions as predictors of criminal investigator performance. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 38(5), 471-491.
- Onyishi, I. E., & Okongwu, O. E. (2013). Personality and Social Support As Predictors of Life Satisfaction of Nigerian Prisons Officers. *Social Sciences* (*Pakistan*), 8(1), 5-12.
- Orvis, K. A., Fisher, S. L., & Wasserman, M. E. (2009). Power to the People: Using Learner Control to Improve Trainee Reactions and Learning in Web-Based Instructional Environments. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 94(4), 960-971.
- Paradise, A., & Patel, L. (2009). 2009 State of The Industry Report. Alexandria, VA: American Society for Training and Development.
- Patterson, G. T. (2004). Evaluating the Effects of Child Abuse Training on the Attitudes, Knowledge, and Skills of Police Recruits. *Research on Social Work Practice*, 14(4), 273-280. doi: 10.1177/1049731503262390
- Payne, S. C., Youngcourt, S. S., & Beaubien, J. M. (2007). A Meta-Analytic Examination of The Goal Orientation Nomological Net. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92(1), 128-150.
- Pervin, L. A. (1989). Persons, Situations, Interactions: The History of A Controversy and A Discussion of Theoretical Mode. *Academy of Management Review*, 14, 350-360.
- Peters, S., Cossette, M., Bates, R., Holton, E., Hansez, I., & Faulx, D. (2014). The Influence of Transfer Climate and Job Attitudes on the Transfer Process. *Journal of Personnel Psychology*, 13(4), 157-166. doi: 10.1027/1866-5888/a000109
- Phillips, P. P., & Phillips, J. J. (2001). Symposium on the Evaluation of Training: Editorial. *International Journal of Training and Development*, 5(4), 240-247. doi: 10.1111/1468-2419.00136
- Polis Diraja Malaysia. (1989). *Driving Knowledge*. Kuala Lumpur: Polis Diraja Malaysia.

- Poropat, A. E. (2009). A Meta-Analysis of the Five-Factor Model of Personality and Academic Performance. *Psychological Bulletin*, 135(2), 322-338. doi: 10.1037/a0014996
- Porter, S. R. (2004). Raising Response Rates: What Works? New Directions For Institutional Research, 121, 4-19.
- Potosky, D., & Ramakrishna, H. V. (2002). The Moderating Role of Updating Climate Perceptions in the Relationship Between Goal Orientation, Self-Efficacy, and Job Performance. *Human Performance*, 15(3), 275-297. doi: 10.1207/s15327043hup1503_03
- Rantanen, J., MetsÄPelto, R.-L., Feldt, T., Pulkkinen, L. E. A., & Kokko, K. (2007).
 Long-Term Stability in The Big Five Personality Traits in Adulthood.
 Scandinavian Journal of Psychology, 48(6), 511-518. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9450.2007.00609.x
- Rattanachot, O. (2004). Syed Husin Bertekad Jadikan MTP Kebanggaan PDRM, *Utusan Malaysia*. Retrieved from http://www.utusan.com.my/utusan/info.asp?y=2004&dt=0129&pub=Utusan_ Malaysia&sec=Tentera&pg=te_03.htm
- Richman-Hirsch, W. L. (2001). Posttraining Interventions to Enhance Transfer: The Moderating Effects of Work Environments. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 12(2), 105-120.
- Rishipal, & Jain, N. (2013). Effective Employee Performance through Enhanced Agreeableness and Self-Image. Global Journal of Management and Business Research Administration and Management, 13(3).
- Rogers, C., Lewis, R., John, T., & Read, T. (2011). *Police Work: Principles and Practice*: Taylor & Francis.
- Rosander, P., Bäckström, M., & Stenberg, G. (2011). Personality traits and general intelligence as predictors of academic performance: A structural equation modelling approach. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 21(5), 590-596. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2011.04.004
- Rouiller, J. Z., & Goldstein, I. L. (1993). The Relationship Between Organizational Transfer Climate and Positive Transfer of Training. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 4(4), 377-390. doi: 10.1002/hrdq.3920040408
- Rouse, D. (2011). Employing Kirkpatrick's Evaluation Framework to Determine the Effectiveness of Health Information Management Courses and Programs.

Perspectives in Health Information Management / AHIMA, American Health Information Management Association, 8(Spring), 1c.

- Rousseau, V., & Aubé, C. (2010). Social Support at Work and Affective Commitment to the Organization: The Moderating Effect of Job Resource Adequacy and Ambient Conditions. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, 150(4), 321-340. doi: 10.1080/00224540903365380
- Rousseau, V., Salek, S., Aubé, C., & Morin, E. M. (2009). Distributive Justice, Procedural Justice, and Psychological Distress: The Moderating Effect of Coworker Support and Work Autonomy. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 14(3), 305-317. doi: 10.1037/a0015747
- Rowold, J. (2007). The Impact of Personality on Training-Related Aspects of Motivation: Test of A Longitudinal Model. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 18(1), 9-31. doi: 10.1002/hrdq.1190
- Russ-Eft, D. (2002). A Typology of Training Design and Work Environment Factors Affecting Workplace Learning and Transfer. *Human Resource Development Review*, 1(1), 45-65. doi: 10.1177/1534484302011003
- Rustin, D. M., Reeshad, S. D., & Bonaccio, S. (2009). A Meta-Analytic Investigation into The Moderating Effects of Situational Strength on The Conscientiousness-Performance Relationship. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 30*, 1077-1102.
- Rynetta, R. W., Charlotte, D. S., & Hubert, S. F. (2006). Individual Differences in Servant Leadership: The Roles of Values And Personality. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 27(8), 700-716.
- Saks, A. M., & Belcourt, M. (2006). An Investigation of Training Activities and Transfer of Training In Organizations. *Human Resource Management*, 45(4), 629-648. doi: 10.1002/hrm.20135
- Saks, A. M., & Haccoun, R. R. (2011). Managing Performance Through Training and Development: Nelson Education Limited.
- Saks, A. M., Haccoun, R. R., & Belcourt, M. (2007). Managing Performance Through Training and Development (4th ed.). Toronto: Nelson and Thompson Ltd.
- Salas, E., & Cannon-Bowers, J. A. (2001). The Science of Training: A Decade of Progress. Annual Review of Psychology, 52, 471-499.

- Salas, E., Tannenbaum, S. I., Kraiger, K., & Smith-Jentsch, K. A. (2012). The Science of Training and Development in Organizations: What Matters in Practice. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest, Supplement*, 13(2), 74-101. doi: 10.1177/1529100612436661
- Salas, E., Wilson, K. A., Burke, C. S., & Wightman, D. C. (2006). Does Crew Resource Management Training Work? An Update, an Extension, and Some Critical Needs. *Human Factors: The Journal of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society*, 48(2), 392-412.
- Salgado, J. F. (1997). The five factor model of personality and job performance in the European Community. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 82(1), 30-43. doi: 10.1037/0021-9010.82.1.30
- Salgado, J. F., Moscoso, S., & Berges, A. (2013). Conscientiousness, Its Facets, and the Prediction of Job Performance Ratings: Evidence against the narrow measures. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, 21(1), 74-84. doi: 10.1111/ijsa.12018
- Salgado, J. F., & Táuriz, G. (2012). The Five-Factor Model, forced-choice personality inventories and performance: A comprehensive meta-analysis of academic and occupational validity studies. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 23(1), 3-30. doi: 10.1080/1359432X.2012.716198
- Salkind, N. J. (2009). Exploring Research. New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Saprin, M. B. (2006). The Relationship among Trainee Characteristics, Training Design and Work Environment with Training Transfer. *Jurnal Kemanusiaan*, 07, 22-32.
- Sarri, K. K. (2011). Mentoring female entrepreneurs: a mentors' training intervention evaluation. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 35(7), 721-741. doi: doi:10.1108/03090591111160814
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2009). *Research Methods for Business Students*: Financial Times Prentice Hall.
- Schmidt, F. L., Shaffer, J. A., & Oh, I.-S. (2008). Increased Accuracy for Range Restriction Corrections: Implications for The Role of Personality and General Mental Ability in Job and Training Performance. *Personnel Psychology*, 61(4), 827-868. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-6570.2008.00132.x

- Schmitt, D. P., Allik, J., McCrae, R. R., & Benet-Martínez, V. (2007). The geographic distribution of Big Five personality traits: Patterns and profiles of human self-description across 56 nations. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 38(2), 173-212.
- Schmitt, D. P., Voracek, M., Realo, A., & Allik, J. (2008). Why Can't a Man Be More Like a Woman? Sex Differences in Big Five Personality Traits Across 55 Cultures. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, 94(1), 168-182.
- Schneider, B. (1987). The People Make The Palce. *Personnel Psychology*, 40(3), 437-453. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-6570.1987.tb00609.x
- Schneider, B., & Smith, B. (2004). *Personality and Organizations*. Mahwah,New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates
- Schreurs, B., Guenter, H., van Emmerik, I. J. H., Notelaers, G., & Schumacher, D. (2015). Pay level satisfaction and employee outcomes: the moderating effect of autonomy and support climates. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 26(12), 1523-1546. doi: 10.1080/09585192.2014.940992
- Seba, I., Rowley, J., & Lambert, S. (2012). Factors affecting attitudes and intentions towards knowledge sharing in the Dubai Police Force. *International Journal* of Information Management, 32(4), 372-380. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2011.12.003
- Sharif Ulah Jan, Anis-ul-Haque, & Naveed. (2015). Organizationa; role stesssoe and outcome: Moderating role of Organizationl Social Support. *Pakistan Business Review*, 17(2), 416-429.
- Silverman, D. (2006). *Interpreting Qualitative Data: Methods for Analyzing Talk, Text and Interaction*: SAGE Publications.
- Simosi, M. (2012). Disentangling Organizational Support Construct: The Role Of Different Sources of Support to Newcomers' Training Transfer and Organizational Commitment. *Personnel Review*, 41(3), 301-320. doi: .DOI: 10.1108/00483481211212959.
- Singh, Y. K., & Bajpai, R. B. (2007). *Research Methodology: Technique and Trends*. New Delhi: APH Publishing Corporation.
- Sitzmann, T., Brown, K. G., Casper, W. J., Ely, K., & Zimmerman, R. D. (2008). A Review and Meta-Analysis of the Nomological Network of Trainee Reactions.

Journal of Applied Psychology, 93(2), 280-295. doi: 10.1037/0021-9010.93.2.280

- Skogan, W., Van Craen, M., & Hennessy, C. (2015). Training police for procedural justice. *Journal of Experimental Criminology*, 11(3), 319-334. doi: 10.1007/s11292-014-9223-6
- Smith-Crowe, K., Burke, M. J., & Landis, R. S. (2003). Organizational Climate as A Moderator of Safety Knowledge--Safety Performance Relationships. *Journal* of Organizational Behavior, 24(7), 861-876. doi: 10.1002/job.217
- Smith-Jentsch, K. A., Salas, E., & Brannick, M. T. (2001). To Transfer or Not to Transfer? Investigating the Combined Effects of Trainee Characteristics, Team Leader Support, and Team Climate. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(2), 279-292. doi: 10.1037//0021-9010.86.2.279
- Sookhai, F., & Budworth, M.-H. (2010). The Trainee in Context: Examining the Relationship Between Self-Efficacy and Transfer Climate for Transfer of Training. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 21(3), 257-272. doi: 10.1002/hrdq.20044
- Spector, P. E., & O'Connell, B. J. (1994). The Contribution of Personality Traits, Negative Affectivity, Locus of Control and Type A to the Subsequent Reports of Job Stressors and Job Strains. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 67(1), 1-12. doi: 10.1111/j.2044-8325.1994.tb00545.x
- Spengler, M., Lüdtke, O., Martin, R., & Brunner, M. (2013). Personality is related to educational outcomes in late adolescence: Evidence from two large-scale achievement studies. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 47(5), 613-625. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2013.05.008
- Stanton, N. A., Walker, G. H., Young, M. S., Kazi, T., & Salmon, P. M. (2007). Changing drivers' minds: the evaluation of an advanced driver coaching system. *Ergonomics*, 50(8), 1209-1234. doi: 10.1080/00140130701322592
- Stavrou, E., Brewster, C., & Charalambous, C. (2004). Human Resource Management as A Competitive Tool in Europe. London: Henley College.
- Stephen, D., Nilakant, V., & Ross, J. (1994). The Role of Personality Testing in Managerial Selection. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 9(5), 3-11.

- Studer-Luethi, B., Jaeggi, S. M., Buschkuehl, M., & Perrig, W. J. (2012a). Influence of neuroticism and conscientiousness on working memory training outcome. *Personality and Individual Differences*(0).
- Studer-Luethi, B., Jaeggi, S. M., Buschkuehl, M., & Perrig, W. J. (2012b). Influence of neuroticism and conscientiousness on working memory training outcome. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 53(1), 44-49. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2012.02.012
- Sujeong, C., KiJu, C., & Richard, A. F. (2012). Moderating effects of supervisor support, monetary rewards, and career paths on the relationship between job burnout and turnover intentions in the context of call centersnull. *Managing Service Quality: An International Journal*, 22(5), 492-516. doi: 10.1108/09604521211281396
- Sun Young, S., & Jin Nam, C. (2009). DO BIG FIVE PERSONALITY FACTORS AFFECT INDIVIDUAL CREATIVITY? THE MODERATING ROLE OF EXTRINSIC MOTIVATION. Social Behavior & Personality: An International Journal, 37(7), 941-956.
- Swanson, R. A., & Holton, E. F. I. (2005). Research in Organization: Foundations and Methods of Inquiry. Boston: Berrett-Koehler.
- Swickert, R. J., Hittner, J. B., & Foster, A. (2010). Big Five traits interact to predict perceived social support. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 48(6), 736-741. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2010.01.018
- Tannenbaum, S. I. (1997). Enhancing Continuous Learning: Diagnostic Findings from Multiple Companies. *Human Resource Management*, 36(4), 437-452. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1099-050x(199724)36:4<437::aid-hrm7>3.0.co;2-w
- Tannenbaum, S. I., Methieu, J. E., Cannon-Bowers, J. A., & Salas, E. (1993). Factors that Influence Training Effectiveness: A Conceptual Model and Longitudinal Analysis. Orlando, FL: Naval Training Systems Center.
- Teddlie, C., & Yu, F. (2007). Mixed Methods Sampling: A Typology With Examples. Journal of Mixed Methods Research, 1(1), 77-100. doi: 10.1177/2345678906292430
- . Tenth Malaysia Plan 2011-2015 (E. P. Unit, Trans.). (2010). Kuala Lumpur: Prime Minister's Office.
- Tett, R. P., & Burnett, D. D. (2003). A Personality Trait-Based Interactionist Model of Job Performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(3), 500-517.

- Tharenou, P. (1997). Organisational, Job, and Personal Predictors of Employee Participation in Training and Development. *Applied Psychology*, 46(2), 111-134. doi: 10.1111/j.1464-0597.1997.tb01220.x
- Thayer, P. W., & Teachout, M. S. (1995). *A Climate for Transfer Model* Brooks Air Force Base, Tex. :: Armstrong Laboratory, Air Force Materiel Command.
- Tho, N. D., & Trang, N. T. M. (2015). Can knowledge be transferred from business schools to business organizations through in-service training students? SEM and fsQCA findings. *Journal of Business Research*, 68(6), 1332-1340. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2014.12.003
- Tong, E. M. W., Bishop, G. D., Diong, S. M., Enkelmann, H. C., Why, Y. P., Ang, J.,
 & Khader, M. (2004). Social Support and Personality Among Male Police Officers in Singapore. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 36(1), 109-123. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0191-8869(03)00072-2
- Towler, A. (2009). Effects of Trainer Expressiveness, Seductive Details, and Trainee Goal Orientation on Training Outcomes. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 20(1), 65-84. doi: 10.1002/hrdq.20008
- Tracey, J. B., Tannenbaum, S. I., & Kavanagh, M. J. (1995). Applying Trained Skills on the Job - The Importance of the Work-Environment. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 80(2), 239-252. doi: 10.1037/0021-9010.80.2.239
- Treat, J. R., Tumbas, N. S., McDonald, S. T., Shinar, D., Hume, R. D., Mayer, R. E., . . . N.J.Castellan. (1979). Tri-level Study of the Causes of Traffic Accidents: Institute for Reaseach in Public Safety, Indiana University, US Department of Transportation
- Tupes, E. C., & Christal, R. C. (1958). Stability of Personality Trait Rating Factors Obtained under Diverse Conditions. USAF Wright Air Development Center Technical Note, 58-61, 16-32.
- Turab, G. M., & Casimir, G. (2015). A model of the antecedents of training transfer. International Journal of Training Research, 13(1), 82-95. doi: 10.1080/14480220.2015.1051352
- Tziner, A., Fisher, M., Senior, T., & Weisberg, J. (2007). Effects of Trainee Characteristics on Training Effectiveness. *International Journal of Selection* & Assessment, 15(2), 167-174. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2389.2007.00378.x

- Tziner, A., & Haccoun, R. R. (1991). Personal and Situational Characteristics Influencing the Effectiveness of Transfer of Training Improvement Strategies. *Journal of Occupational Psychology*, 64(2), 167-177.
- Uppal, N. (2014). Moderation Effects of Job Characteristics on the Relationship Between Neuroticism and Job Performance. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, 22(4), 411-421. doi: 10.1111/ijsa.12087
- Uppal, N., & Mishra, S. K. (2014). Moderation effects of personality and organizational support on the relationship between prior job experience and academic performance of management students. *Studies in Higher Education*, 39(6), 1022-1038. doi: 10.1080/03075079.2013.777411
- Van Der Klink, M., Gielen, E., & Nauta, C. (2001). Supervisory Support as A Major Condition to Enhance Transfer. *International Journal of Training and Development*, 5(1), 52-63. doi: 10.1111/1468-2419.00121
- van der Linden, D., te Nijenhuis, J., Cremers, M., van de Ven, C., & van der Heijden-Lek, K. (2014). The General Factor of Personality (GFP) Relates to Other Ratings of Character and Integrity: Two validity studies in personnel selection and training of the Dutch armed forces. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, 22(3), 261-271. doi: 10.1111/ijsa.12075
- Vasilopoulos, N. L., Cucina, J. M., & Hunter, A. E. (2007). Personality and Training Proficiency: Issues of Bandwidth-Fidelity and Curvilinearity. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 80(1), 109-131. doi: 10.1348/096317906x102114
- Vîrgă, D., Horga, A., & Iliescu, D. (2015). Work–Life Imbalance as a Moderator in the Relationship Between Resources and Work Engagement. *Journal of Personnel Psychology*, 14(2), 80-90. doi: 10.1027/1866-5888/a000135
- Wei-Tao, T. (2006). Effects of Training Framing, General Self-Efficacy and Training Motivation on Trainees' Training Effectiveness. *Personnel Review*, 35(1), 51-65.
- Westerman, J. W., & Simmons, B. L. (2007). The Effects of Work Environment on The Personality-Performance Relationship: An Exploratory Study. *Journal of Managerial Issues*, 19(2), 288-305.
- Willemse, B. M., de Jonge, J., Smit, D., Depla, M. F. I. A., & Pot, A. M. (2012). The moderating role of decision authority and coworker- and supervisor support on the impact of job demands in nursing homes: A cross-sectional study.

International Journal of Nursing Studies, 49(7), 822-833. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2012.02.003

- Withey, M. J., Gellatly, I. R., & Annett, M. (2005). The Moderating Effect of Situation Strength on the Relationship Between Personality and Provision of Effort1. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 35(8), 1587-1606. doi: 10.1111/j.1559-1816.2005.tb02186.x
- Wolf, M., & Ackerman, P. (2005). Extraversion and intelligence: A meta-analytic investigation. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *38*, 531-542.
- Woodman, T., Zourbanos, N., Hardy, L., Beattie, S., & McQuillan, A. (2010). Do Performance Strategies Moderate the Relationship Between Personality and Training Behaviors? An Exploratory Study. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 22(2), 183-197.
- Xiao, J. (1996). The Relationship Between Organizational Factors and the Transfer of Training in the Electronics Industry in Shenzhen, China. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 7(1), 55-73. doi: 10.1002/hrdq.3920070107
- Yahya, S. B. (2009). Hubungan Antara Organisasi Pembelajaran dengan Kesediaan Untuk Berubah dalam Perkhidmatan Polis Diraja Malaysia. (Master), Universiti Utara Malaysia, Sintok.
- Yamkovenko, B., & Holton, E. (2010). Toward A Theoretical Model of Dispositional Influences on Transfer of Learning: A Test of A Structural Model. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 21(4), 381-410.
- Yamkovenko, B. V. (2009). Dispositional Influences on the Intent to Transfer Learning: A Test of A Structural Equation Model. (Doctor of Philosophy), Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge.
- Young, M. R., Klemz, B. R., & Murphy, J. W. (2003). Enhancing Learning Outcomes: The Effects of Instructional Technology, Learning Styles, Instructional Methods, and Student Behavior. *Journal of Marketing Education*, 25(2), 130-142. doi: 10.1177/0273475303254004
- Yusof, A. A. (2006). *Pengurusan Sumber Manusia : Konsep, Isu Dan Pelaksanaan* (Third ed.). Petaling Jaya, Selangor: Pearson Malaysia Sdn. Bhd.
- Yusof, A. N. M. (2011, 1-3 June 2011). Training Transfer: Training Characteristic, Training Design and Work Environment Evaluation. Paper presented at the Sustainable Energy & Environment (ISESEE), 2011 3rd International Symposium & Exhibition in.

- Zainudin, A. (2012). *Structural Equation Modeling Using Amos Graphic*: Penerbit Universiti Teknologi MARA.
- Zeng , X., & Wu, X. (2009). Strong situation, weak personality: The moderating effect of team situational strength on the relationship between personality and organizational citizenship behavior. Paper presented at the Proceedings of 2009 International Conference on Management Science and Engineering, Moscow, Russia
- Ziegler, M., Bensch, D., Maaß, U., Schult, V., Vogel, M., & Bühner, M. (2014). Big Five facets as predictor of job training performance: The role of specific job demands. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 29, 1-7. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2013.10.008
- Zuffianò, A., Alessandri, G., Gerbino, M., Luengo Kanacri, B. P., Di Giunta, L., Milioni, M., & Caprara, G. V. (2013). Academic achievement: The unique contribution of self-efficacy beliefs in self-regulated learning beyond intelligence, personality traits, and self-esteem. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 23, 158-162. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2012.07.010