

THE MEDIATING EFFECT OF MANAGEMENT ACCOUNTING SYSTEM ON  
THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CONTINGENT VARIABLES AND  
MANAGERIAL PERFORMANCE IN IRAN

REZA GHASEMI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy (Management)

Faculty of Management  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

OCTOBER 2016

*To my parents, brothers, sister and other family members*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful. First of all, I would like to take this opportunity to express my deepest appreciation to the people who have been instrumental in the successful completion of this thesis. A special gratitude I give to my supervisor, Dr. Noor Azmi Mohamad, who has been the supportive and motivating mentor throughout my research. I can't say thank you enough for his tremendous support and help. Furthermore, I would like to thank my co-supervisor, Dr. Norkhairul Hafiz Bajuri for his constant dedication and support. He is always accessible for giving research advice as well as helping on trivia details including writing and preparation for presentations.

My grateful thanks are also extended to Prof. Dr. Souda Sofian for her valuable and constructive suggestions on this research. I want to thank the examiners too for their professional guidance and recommendations. In addition, the time and effort devoted by the respondents to make this research successful is sincerely acknowledged. Most importantly, I wish to express my sincere thanks to my beloved family members for their utmost support, patience and understanding throughout my PhD studies. Finally, many thanks go to my classmates and friends, Dr. Meisam Karami, Dr. Fazel Mohammadi for their ideas, support, motivation and assistance at various occasions.

## **ABSTRACT**

Prior researches confirm that there is no unique and universal management accounting system (MAS) for all organizations, since this depends on internal firm characteristics and environmental features. Previous studies have also shown that, there has been a lack of empirical evidence on MAS researches in the service organizations, especially the financial organization. This study is an empirical investigation of the contingency theory, examining the relationship between contingency variables (market competition, technology and organization structure) and MAS characteristics on managerial performance. The focus of this study is mainly on four information characteristics of MAS – scope, integration, aggregation and timeliness. The scope of the study is the Iranian financial organizations which consist of banks, insurance companies and investment organizations. Managers of these organizations which include financial managers, chief accountants, chief financial controllers and chief financial officers were selected as respondents for the questionnaire survey. This study used the SmartPLS software version 2.0 to analyze the data, and the model of study was estimated with structural equation modeling (SEM). It followed the two-stage analytical procedures of SEM: assessing confirmatory measurement models (factor analysis) to make sure that the measurements used in this study are valid, and confirmatory structural models (path analysis) to determine relationships among the constructs. The study found the existence of direct relationships between these contingent variables and MAS, and between MAS and managerial performance. The study also confirmed that MAS acted as a mediator in the relationship between these contingent variables and managerial performance. The findings provide valuable insight to guide managers in financial organizations to improve their performance through suitable MAS by considering internal and environmental factors. Recommendations on how to improve MAS and managerial performance are provided accordingly.

## ABSTRAK

Kajian terdahulu mengesahkan bahawa tidak terdapat suatu sistem pengurusan perakaunan (MAS) yang unik dan universal untuk semua organisasi, memandangkan ia bergantung kepada ciri-ciri dalaman syarikat dan ciri-ciri persekitaran. Kajian lepas juga menunjukkan bahawa terdapat kekurangan bukti empirikal ke atas kajian-kajian MAS dalam organisasi perkhidmatan, terutama organisasi kewangan. Kajian ini merupakan penyelidikan empirikal menggunakan teori kontingensi dalam menyelidiki hubungan antara pembolehubah kontingensi (persaingan pasaran, teknologi dan struktur organisasi) dan ciri MAS ke atas prestasi pengurusan. Fokus kajian ini adalah terutamanya ke atas empat ciri maklumat MAS – skop, integrasi, agregasi dan tepat masa. Skop kajian adalah organisasi kewangan Iran termasuk bank, syarikat insuran dan organisasi pelaburan. Para pengurus organisasi-organisasi ini yang termasuk pengurus kewangan, ketua akauntan, ketua pengawal kewangan dan ketua pegawai kewangan telah dipilih sebagai responden untuk tinjauan soalselidik. Kajian ini menggunakan perisian *SmartPLS* versi 2.0 untuk menganalisa data dan model kajian telah diuji dengan permodelan persamaan berstruktur (SEM). Ia mematuhi prosedur analisis dua-tahap SEM: menilai model pengukuran pengesanan (analisis faktor) untuk memastikan pengukuran dalam kajian ini adalah betul, dan model pengesanan berstruktur (analisis laluan) untuk menentukan hubungan antara konstruk. Kajian mendapati wujudnya hubungan langsung antara pembolehubah kontingen ini dengan MAS, dan hubungan antara MAS dengan prestasi pengurusan. Kajian juga mengesahkan yang MAS bertindak sebagai pengantara dalam hubungan antara pembolehubah-pembolehubah kontingen ini dengan prestasi pengurusan. Penemuan-penemuan ini memberi pandangan bernilai untuk membimbing pengurus-pengurus dalam organisasi kewangan untuk memperbaiki prestasi mereka melalui kesesuaian MAS dengan mempertimbangkan faktor dalaman dan juga faktor persekitaran. Cadangan-cadangan bagaimana untuk mempertingkatkan MAS dan prestasi pengurusan juga diberikan.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvii
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Overview	1
	1.2 Background of the Study	2
	1.3 Problem Statement	6
	1.4 Research Questions	11
	1.5 Research Aims	11
	1.6 Research Objectives	12
	1.7 Scope of the Study	12
	1.8 Significance of the Study	13
	1.9 Conceptual Definitions	15
	1.9.1 Management Accounting System (MAS)	15
	1.9.2 MAS Characteristics:	15
	1.9.3 Market Competition	16
	1.9.4 Technology	16
	1.9.5 Organizational Structure	17

	1.9.6	Contingency Theory	17
	1.9.7	Managerial Performance	18
	1.10	Organization of the Study	18
<b>2</b>		<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>20</b>
	2.1	Introduction	20
	2.2	Management Accounting Systems (MAS)	20
	2.2.1	The Meaning of MAS	20
	2.2.2	MAS Characteristics	22
	2.2.2.1	S	24
	2.3	Empirical Studies on MAS	27
	2.3.1	Discussion about the Empirical Studies on MAS	34
	2.4	MAS and Managerial Performance in Financial Organizations	36
	2.4.1	Empirical Studies on MAS and Managerial Performance	37
	2.5	MAS in Financial Organizations	44
	2.5.1	Financial Service Sector	44
	2.5.2	Financial Organizations and MAS Implication	48
	2.5.3	Empirical Studies on MAS in Financial Organizations	51
	2.6	Developing the Conceptual Model of the Study Based on Contingency Theory	56
	2.6.1	Contingency Theory	56
	2.6.2	Identification of Contingency Variables	59
	2.6.3	Developing the Conceptual Model of the Study	68
	2.7	Hypothesis Development	75
	2.7.1	Relationship between Competition and MAS	75
	2.7.2	Relationship between Technology and MAS	77
	2.7.3	Relationship between Structure and MAS	79
	2.7.4	Relationship between MAS and Managerial Performance	81

2.7.5	The Effects of MAS on the Relationship between Contingent Factors and Managerial Performance	83
2.8	The Main Conceptual Model of the Study	84
2.8.1	Conceptual Model 1	84
2.8.2	Conceptual Model 2	85
2.9	Measurement of Main Variables	87
2.9.1	Market Competition	87
2.9.2	Technology	88
2.9.3	Organizational Structure	91
2.9.4	MAS Characteristics	92
2.9.5	Managerial Performance	96
2.10	Summary	98
<b>3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>99</b>
3.1	Introduction	99
3.2	Research Design	99
3.2.1	Rationale in Selecting a Quantitative Method for this Study	100
3.2.2	Target Population	102
3.2.3	Unit of Analysis	104
3.2.4	Data Collection Method	105
3.3	The Research Questionnaire	105
3.3.1	Section 1: Background Information	106
3.3.2	Section 2: Contingent Variables	106
3.3.3	Section 3: Management Accounting System (MAS)	109
3.3.4	Section 4: Managerial Performance	110
3.4	Reliability and Validity of the Research Instrument	111
3.4.1	Reliability of Questionnaire Instruments	111
3.4.2	Validity of Questionnaire Instruments	112
3.5	Pre-Test	113
3.6	Administration of the Questionnaire	114
3.7	Data Analysis	115
3.7.1	Choice of Statistical Analysis Technique	115
3.7.2	Higher-Order Models	117



	3.7.3	Two-Stage Process of Data Analysis via PLS-SEM	120
	3.7.4	Mediation Analysis	122
	3.8	Summary	126
<b>4</b>		<b>DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS</b>	<b>127</b>
	4.1	Introduction	127
	4.2	Demographic Profile	127
	4.3	Non-Response Bias Analysis	129
	4.4	Data Screening	130
	4.4.1	Suspicious Responses	130
	4.4.2	Missing Data	130
	4.4.3	Outliers	130
	4.5	Normality	131
	4.6	Spearman's Rank Correlation Coefficient	133
	4.7	Descriptive Analysis	135
	4.7.1	Competition	135
	4.7.2	Technology	136
	4.7.3	Structure	139
	4.7.4	MAS	141
	4.7.5	Managerial Performance	146
	4.8	PLS Model Analysis	147
	4.8.1	Step One: Testing Measurement Model	148
	4.9	Summary of Measurement Model	160
	4.9.1	Reliability	160
	4.9.2	Validity	160
	4.10	Step Two: Structural Model Evaluation and Hypothesis Testing	163
	4.10.1	Conceptual Model 1	163
	4.10.2	Conceptual Model 2	176
	4.11	Summary	192
<b>5</b>		<b>DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION</b>	<b>194</b>
	5.1	Introduction	194
	5.1.1	Overview of the Study	194

5.2	Summary of Findings	195
5.3	Discussion of Findings	198
5.3.1	Is the Market Competition directly and positively related to MAS Characteristics?	198
5.3.2	Is Technology directly and positively related to MAS Characteristics?	199
5.3.3	Is the Organizational Structure directly and positively related to MAS Characteristics?	201
5.3.4	Is MAS Characteristics directly and positively related to Managerial Performance?	203
5.3.5	Do these three contingent variables (Competition, Technology and Structure) influence Managerial Performance acting through MAS Characteristics?	204
5.4	Contributions of the Study	208
5.4.1	Theoretical Contributions	209
5.4.2	Practical Contribution	211
5.4.3	Methodological Contribution	212
5.5	Limitations of the Study	213
5.6	Recommendations for Future Research	214
5.7	Conclusions	216

<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>217</b>
-------------------	------------

Appendix A-D	238-265
--------------	---------

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Empirical studies on MAS	30
2.2	Empirical studies on MAS and managerial performance	38
2.3	Empirical studies on MAS in Financial Organizations	52
2.4	Measurement of Competition	88
2.5	Measurement of Technologies	89
2.6	Measurement of organizational structure	92
2.7	Measurement of MAS characteristics	95
2.8	Measurement of Managerial Performance	97
3.1	Financial organizations in Iran	103
3.2	Differences between PLS-SEM and Correlation/MLR/CB-SEM	116
4.1	Profile of respondents	128
4.2	Non-response bias analysis for early- and late-wave groups	129
4.3	Normality Tests	132
4.4	Bivariate Non-Parametric Spearman Correlations	134
4.5	Descriptive statistics for Competition	136
4.6	Descriptive statistics for Technology	137
4.7	Descriptive statistics for Structure	140
4.8	Descriptive statistics for MAS Characteristics	144
4.9	Descriptive statistics for Managerial Performance	147
4.10	Reliability and Validity for Competition (COMP)	149
4.11	Reliability and convergent validity for Technology (TECH)	150
4.12	Result of Fornell-Larcker Criterion for TECH	151
4.13	Result of Cross-loading for TECH	152
4.14	Initial validations of second-order Technology construct	153
4.15	Reliability and Validity Results for Structure (STRUC)	154
4.16	Fornell - Larker Criterion Results for STRUC	154

4.17	Cross-Loading Results for TECH	155
4.18	Validation of Second-Order Structure Constructs	155
4.19	Reliability and Validity Results for MAS	156
4.20	Cross-Loading Results for MAS	157
4.21	Fornell - Larker Criterion Results for MAS	158
4.22	Validation of second order MAS constructs	159
4.23	Reliability and Validity Results for Managerial Performance (PERF)	159
4.24	Cronbach's Alpha, Composite Reliability and AVE	161
4.25	Fornell - Larker Criterion Results	161
4.26	Measurement items loading and Cross Loadings- all constructs	162
4.27	Conceptual Model 1– Direct Effects	169
4.28	Conceptual Model 1– Indirect Effects (Bootstrapping)	170
4.29	Conceptual Model 2 – Direct Effects	182
4.30	Conceptual Model 2– Indirect Effects (Bootstrapping)	183
4.31	Results for hypotheses testing	193
5.1	Summary of Findings	197

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	MAS characteristics, Source: Chenhall and Morris (1986)	23
2.2	Research framework of Chenhall and Morris (1986)	28
2.3	Research framework of Hoque (2011)	69
2.4	Research framework of Mia and Clarke (1999)	70
2.5	Conceptual Model A	71
2.6	Research framework of Tuanmat and Smith (2011)	72
2.7	Research framework of Mia and Winata (2008)	72
2.8	Conceptual Model B	73
2.9	Research framework of Chenhall and Morris (1986)	73
2.10	Research framework of Verbeeten (2010)	74
2.11	Conceptual Model C	75
2.12	Conceptual Model 1	85
2.13	Conceptual Model 2	86
3.1	Deduction and induction social science theory, Source: Trochim (2006, p. 17)	101
3.2	The Four Types of Hierarchical Latent Variable Models, Source: Becker, Klein and Wetzels (2012)	118
3.3	Higher-Order Model for Technology	119
3.4	Higher-Order Model for Organizational Structure	119
3.5	Higher-Order Model for MAS	120
3.6	Graphic representation of the multiple mediation model with four mediators.	125
4.1	Conceptual Model 1	164
4.2	Results for Conceptual Model 1	166
4.3	The bootstrapping estimated mediation model (Competition).	172
4.4	The bootstrapping estimated mediation model (Technology).	174

4.5	The bootstrapping estimated mediation model (Competition).	175
4.6	Results for Conceptual Model 2	181
4.7	The bootstrapping estimated multiple mediation model (Competition),	186
4.8	The bootstrapping estimated multiple mediation model (Technology),	188
4.9	The bootstrapping estimated multiple mediation model (Structure),	191

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABC	-	Activity-Based Costing
AIS	-	Accounting Information System
BMAS	-	Broad-scope Management Accounting Systems
BP	-	Budgetary Participation
BSC	-	Balanced Score Card
CFO	-	Chief Financial Officer
DV	-	Dependent Variable
EDI	-	Electronic Data Interchange
EFT	-	Electronic Fund Transfer
ERP	-	Enterprise Resource Planning Systems
FSI	-	Financial Services Industry
FIFO	-	First In First Out
IFAC	-	International Federation of Accountants
IC	-	Intellectual Capital
IV	-	Independent Variable
JIT	-	Just-In-Time inventory
KLSE	-	Kuala Lumpur Stock Exchange
LMFG	-	Lean Manufacturing (LMFG)
LOC	-	Locus of Control
MA	-	Management Accounting
MP	-	Management Performance
MAP	-	Management Accounting Practice
MAS	-	Management Accounting System
LIFO	-	Last In First Out
PEU	-	Perceived Environmental Uncertainty
PCA	-	Principal Component Analysis
SBU	-	Strategic Business Unit
TQM	-	Total Quality Management

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Questionnaire	238
B	Farsi Questionnaire	246
C	List of Financial Organizations	255
D	Statistical Results	265



## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Overview**

In the past decade, the rapid technological changes and increasing pressure of competition have changed external environment of organizations, which consecutively influence their internal processes like management accounting system (MAS) (Mat *et al.*, 2010). These changes may influence the MAS characteristics in an organization and may lead to the need for the organization to re-evaluate its current design and strategies to cope with the changes in the environment. In this situation, the role of MAS is to supply useful information to assist management in decision-making, and to persuade users to initiate organizational changes (Chung *et al.*, 2012).

This relationship between the changes in environment and MAS is identified through contingency theory, which assumes that organizations are able to operate efficiently if they apply and employ MAS that fits their environmental factors. In other words, MAS is accepted to assist organizations to endure in highly technological environment and compete with other firms by supplying helpful information for managers to plan, control and monitor organizational process (Ismail and Isa, 2011).

In this study, likewise previous studies, contingency theory is used on MAS to investigate the relationship between the contingent variables and MAS characteristics (broad scope, integrated, aggregated and timely) and to explore whether the MAS causes enhancement in managerial performance in organizations.

The following parts of the current study argue about the theoretical relationship among the selected contextual variables, MAS characteristics and managerial performance. Some hypotheses are suggested on the role of MAS characteristics as a mediator, which mediates the relationship between contingent variables and managerial performance. The current study acknowledges that various factors affect MAS and MAS affects many other factors. However, for narrowing down the scope of the current research, it focuses on the relationships between contingent variables - competition, structure and technology - and MAS on managerial performance. These contingent variables were selected because of their wide coverage in the MAS literature related to researches in the manufacturing sector; so far, they have received low attention in researches in the service sector (Hammad, *et al.*, 2010).

## **1.2 Background of the Study**

The world economy is experiencing unexpected changes from the dynamics of competition, the globalization of markets, the evolution of stocks, to the technological advances in the field of information and communications (Urquidi and Ripoll, 2013). Due to these changes, corporate managers are working in a more and more complicated environment (Chung *et al.*, 2012). In this condition, firms find themselves obligated to redefine the fundamentals of their businesses, and consequently to search for solutions that allow them to endure and grow (Urquidi and Ripoll, 2013). To manage successfully in this situation, managers need to implement a sophisticated information system that supplies them with adequate and essential business information (Bouwens and Abernethy, 2000; Chung *et al.*, 2012). MAS is a kind of system that can support managers to access and use necessary information to achieve firm's objectives and consequently improve their managerial performance (Chung *et al.*, 2012).

Generally, Accounting Information Systems (AISs) can be divided into two main subsystems: financial AIS and management AIS. However, these subsystems are not independent of one another; in fact, in an ideal situation, integration and connection of databases would exist between the two subsystems. In this regard, the main purpose of MAS is to supply useful information to management for decision-making and

implementing effective decisions to reach organizational objectives (Frezatti *et al.*, 2011; Horngren *et al.*, 2006; Rausch, 2011). Thus, the quantity and characteristics of information offered by MAS is a good indicator of the level of organizational strength (Chong and Eggleton, 2003; Hammad *et al.*, 2010; Simons, 2000).

Today, the scope of MAS has considerably become wider, which now consists of financial and non-financial information and a wide range of measures. Some researchers believe that MAS is a value-adding continuous enhancement process of planning, designing and measuring, by supplying comprehensive information to management for decision making and by motivating behaviors, supporting, creating the cultural values to reach a company's strategic, tactical, and operational goals (Kadak and Roostalu, 2013). Hence, in the sense of modern MAS, managers need to obtain sophisticated information for decision-making, improving, and controlling organization. Therefore, MAS as a part of management process should be able to supply this sophisticated information covering a broad scope of information in a timely, integrated and aggregated manner for achieving organizational targets.

Hence, the characterization of MAS in terms of its features is an important aspect of the present study—which takes the research of Chenhall and Morris (1986) as a theoretical basis. Many studies until now have utilized theory and instrument of MAS characteristics that was expanded by several authors (Abernethy and Guthrie, 1994; Agbejule, 2005; Hammad, *et al.*, 2010; Lal and Hassel, 1998; Soobaroyen and Poorundersing, 2008; Tillema, 2005). According to Chenhall and Morris (1986), the information provided by MAS can be regarded based on its four information characteristics, which are scope, integration, timeliness and aggregation.

In addition, during the past years, the focus of MAS is changed from its traditional role of financial control and cost determination towards the focus of activity-based resource planning, time-driven activity-based costing, resource consumption accounting, driver-based budgeting, because of the effect of increasing market competition, globalization, limited resources, technology and changing environment (Sleihat *et al.*, 2012). Therefore, according to the International Federation of Accountants (IFAC), the development of the role of MAS is changed throughout

the sequential periods (IFAC, 1998; Sleihat *et al.*, 2012). This framework describes the evolution in management accounting in six phases including; Stage 1: Bookkeeping processes and lean accounting (1812-1920) focused on cost determination and financial control. Stage 2: Cost accounting (1920-1950), Stage 3: Management planning and control (1950-1965), Stage 4: Lean product manufacturing (1965-1980's), Stage 5: Value-based management (1990's), Stage 6: Demand-driven planning (2000's). As explained by IFAC (1998), Mat (2010), Sleihat *et al.* (2012) Abdel-Kadera and Luther (2008) and Hajjawi (2012), the management accounting development can be divided into several subsequent periods, however, it is necessary to notice that the methods used in previous periods continued to be used in following periods. This approves the idea that advanced and traditional MAS have a tendency to complement each other.

Furthermore, the environmental factors for business in a developing country are different from those in an advanced country, relating to market competition, access to manufactured inputs, human resources, infrastructure, governmental rules and laws (Mat, 2010). Especially, Iran, which is categorized as a developing country, provides an interesting cultural contrast to western countries because of the new emphasis on Islamic laws and values after its political revolution in 1979 (Etemadi *et al.*, 2009). Iran is a country with a mixed - economy (in which both the private sector and the state direct the economy) and transitional economy (which is changing from a centrally planned economy to a free market) with a large public sector. Around fifty percent of the economy is centrally planned and dominated by oil and gas production, although over 40 industries are directly involved in the Tehran Stock Exchange. In addition, Iran is the world's seventeenth largest country by purchasing power parity (PPP) and twenty-first by nominal gross domestic product (IMF, 2013).

Therefore, some scholars state that employing new management accounting approaches in developing country like Iran that emerge in a foreign context, is not completely acceptable because of the different environmental and economic conditions (Wallace, 1990; Peasnell, 1993; Chow *et al.*, 1999; Waweru *et al.*, 2004). They suggested that the political and economic conditions and social and cultural characteristics of environment surrounding the firm must be considered.

Moreover, the service organizations have been known as the main contributor in the new economy. The consequences of post-industrial, globalization and deregulation of the service section in the market have caused this development. The competition in the service market has been intensified because of the increase in service business opportunities and threats and liberalization of the economy (Amir *et al.*, 2010). Specially, financial organizations play a key role in the economy. These organizations operate as intermediaries among the surplus companies and deficit parts and this role is essential to allocate resources in the present economy effectively (Rasid *et al.*, 2011b; Sinkey, 2002).

Some scholars discussed why MAS may be unlike when comparing one organization to the other. This can be related to organizations operating in different industries or sectors and can be explained by the contingency theory. According to Feldbauer-Durstmüller *et al.* (2012), contingency theory is a very prominent approach to investigate MAS and this theory is the most common theoretical basis for empirical studies on information for planning and control. Contingency theory of MAS proposes that organizations can operate more efficiently if they employ and utilize MAS which cope with their organization and environmental conditions (Hoque, 2011). Therefore, a MAS that is designed well is able to provide useful information for managers to set suitable performance objectives, performance measurement standards and feedbacks on performance to enhance managerial performance.

Theoretically, this study relies on the MAS literature, contingency theory of management accounting and managerial performance, and contingent variables such as competition, technology and organizational structure. The literature provides the theoretical support utilized in this study to develop and analyze a model that extends the understanding of how MAS mediates the relationships between contingent variables and managerial performance in financial organizations.

### 1.3 Problem Statement

Because of advancements in technologies and increased global competition, firms' managers are acting in a more and more complex and uncertain conditions. Managers need to use and implement a sophisticated information system that provide them with sufficient and vital business information to manage their operations effectively and improve their managerial performance (Bouwens and Abernethy, 2000). MAS is a type of system that can assist managers to achieve and utilize the necessary information to acquire work targets and consequently improve their managerial performance (Chung *et al.*, 2012).

MAS plays a key service role in most organizations by supplying useful information. Companies should include MAS information quality as a key performance measure of the accounting function (Walker *et al.*, 2012). Successful service provision likely elevates the status of the accounting discipline in organizations, and lead to increased resource allocations (Fleischman *et al.*, 2010). Therefore, management accounting departments should examine how they can increase their quality of information to improve user decision making and productivity of organizations. As management accountants seek to add value by serving strategic information and improving business performance, an analysis of MAS information quality could provide useful metrics that management accountants can track to monitor user satisfaction (Walker *et al.*, 2012).

The service sector has been known as the main contributor to the economy. The consequences of post-industrial, globalization and deregulation of the service section on the market have caused this development. The competition among service organizations has been intensified because of increases of opportunities and threats in service industry and liberalization in the economy (Amir *et al.*, 2010). Specifically, financial organizations play a key role in the economy (Rasid *et al.*, 2011b; Sinkey, 2002). They are crucial to the allocation of resources in a modern economy. Because of the high level of competition, service organization must be proactive to offer the high quality services at low price and on time (Amir *et al.*, 2010). Hence, service organizations need to utilize a set of effective information to make sure that managers implement successful strategies in the changing business environment with the highest

level of competition (Amir *et al.*, 2010). MAS is a kind of information system that can support management in decision-making, planning and controlling and can influence managerial performance (Rasid *et al.*, 2011b).

In addition, a strong financial industry is important in every country and can have a significant effect in supporting economic development through efficient financial services. Financial organizations, trade a complex and extensive range of financial assets. They are also faced a diverse customer base and are exposed to a wide variety of risks. Financial organizations are also facing a dynamic environment due to deregulation and globalization (Salehi and Alipour, 2010). To thrive in this dynamic, uncertain and complex environment, financial organizations must enhance their competitive edge. The ability of management to make informed decisions is linked to the quality of management information available to them and accurate information arises from a reliable MAS (Rezaee, 2005). However, MAS for the financial service sector has received limited attention like most previous literature on other service sectors. The lack of MAS studied on the financial service sector has also been acknowledged (Hussain, 2005; Rasid *et al.*, 2011b; Rasid *et al.*, 2014). In fact, many studies have been presented in service sectors, but financial service providing firms have been neglected (Arroteia *et al.*, 2012; Hill, 2000; Mia and Patiar, 2001; Mongiello and Harris, 2006; Pavlatos and Paggios, 2009; Waweru *et al.*, 2004). However, most previous literature on accounting for financial organizations has focused mostly on the existence or absence of MAS in the financial service sector (Hussain, 2005; Rasid *et al.*, 2011b; Alnawayseh, 2013). The current study provides empirical evidence on how MAS is actually perceived by managers in those organizations and how these influence managerial performance. In addition, this study considers the impact of contextual factors on MAS using rigorous statistical analysis in the financial service sector, as called by Hussain *et al.* (2005).

Whilst there are now some emerging findings on the existence or absence of MAS in the financial service sector (Hussain, 2005; Guerreiro *et al.*, 2006; Rasid *et al.*, 2011; Alnawayseh, 2013; Rasid *et al.*, 2014), there is relatively little evidence on how MAS is actually perceived by managers in those organizations and how these influence managerial performance. In addition, there is a need to consider the impact

of contextual factors on MAS using more rigorous statistical analysis in financial service sector (Hussain *et al.*, 2005).

An extensive review of theoretical and empirical literature by previous researchers indicates that there is no unique and universal MAS for organizations, since this depends on internal firm characteristics and environmental features (Chenhall, 2003; Carenzo and Turolla, 2010). Contingency theory of MAS proposes that organizations can operate more efficiently if they employ and utilize MAS which cope with their organizational and environmental conditions (Hoque, 2011; Otley, 1980). This basic assumption states that companies adapt their structures and processes to given characteristics of their environments (Brandau *et al.*, 2013; Mayr, 2012). The basic rule of contingency theory is that “fit” has a positive influence on performance because this theory assumes that organizations operate efficiently if they apply and employ structures and processes that fit with environmental factors (Otley, 1980).

The contingent factors used in prior studies on MAS were mainly: (1) perceived environmental uncertainty (PEU) (Gul, 1991; Mia, 1993; Gul and Chia, 1994; Agbejule, 2005; Abdel-kader and Luther, 2008; Mat, 2010; Erserim, 2012), (2) task uncertainty (Chong, 1996; Chong and Egelton, 2003), (3) Budgetary participation (Tsui, 2001; Cheng, 2012) and (4) Strategy (Abernethy and Guthrie, 1994; Bouwens and Abernethy, 2000; Jermias and Gani, 2004). It can be observed that previous studies concentrated more on the contingent variables like perceived environmental uncertainty (PEU), budgetary participation and strategy. There is a little systematic empirical studies conducted to examine the relationships among managerial performance, MAS characteristics, and contingent variable such as competition, technology and organizational structure (Hammad *et al.*, 2010). Gerdin (2005) and Tillema (2005) call for more research to understand the organizational and environmental factors that influence MAS. In addition the importance of technology, which is particularly obvious in the financial sector, cannot be underestimated in ensuring that MAS is relevant to the technical core of the organization (Chenhall, 2003). Furthermore, more research in this area is needed as Bouwens and Abernethy (2000) and Hammad *et al.* (2010) assert that the understanding of the antecedent



conditions that influence MAS characteristics and managerial performance is still very limited.

Managerial performance has been found to differ across different countries, both in terms of perceptions of what determines management performance and in terms of managerial practices. Neither the prevalence nor the substance of organizations' managerial performance is uniform across countries (Smith *et al.*, 1997; Mueller 2004). Innovativeness, managing subordinates, authority imposed within the firm, decision-making processes, conflict resolution, among others, have been found to differ across countries (Mueller, 2004). In addition, modern MAS may not be similarly effective in different countries (Etemadi *et al.*, 2009). Importing modern management accounting methods and techniques, and using them in a developing country may not be as effective as in advanced countries because of different national and organizational conditions (Etemadi *et al.*, 2009). The environmental factors for business in a developing country are different from those in an advanced country. According to Endenich *et al.* (2016), management accounting is shaped by its respective context and differs traditionally between countries. However, most of the studies on MAS and managerial performance (Abdel-Kader and Luther, 2008; Abernethy and Guthrie, 1994; Agbejule, 2005; Bouwens and Abernethy, 2000; Emsley, 2005; Erserim, 2012; Mia, 1993; Stergiou *et al.*, 2013; Susanto, 2010; Tsui, 2001) have concentrated on firms in the US, Singapore, Australia, Finland and Turkey with lack of evidence on how MAS changes in Iranian firms' perspective (Etemadi, *et al.*, 2009).

Therefore, empirical evidence from Iran provides significant insights into the role of contingent variables in the implementation of MAS and managerial performance across national boundaries. Iran has moved towards global competitiveness (Etemadi *et al.*, 2009). As a significant contribution to the Iranian economy, this change makes sure that financial organizations in Iran should operate their business according to the current situations. To do this, they need to reconsider their existing MAS more than ever. Therefore, this study is carried out to determine how MAS characteristics and managerial performance in Iranian financial organizations change in response to a changing business environment.

A number of previous studies have examined the relationships among MAS, contingent variables, and managerial performance. Specifically, researchers have examined the performance implication of the fit between MAS and contingent variables (Abdel-Kadera and Luther, 2008; Chong 2003; Etemadi *et al.*, 2009; Hammad *et al.*, 2010; Tsui, 2009). They found that managers whose MAS was consistent with the internal and external factors performed better than those whose MAS was not. These studies, however, limited their examination to certain characteristics of MAS. To date, there are few empirical studies focusing on the four characteristics of MAS (e.g. Agbejule, 2005; Bouwens and Abernethy, 2000; Hammad *et al.*, 2010; Rasid *et al.*, 2011b; Rasid *et al.*, 2014; Tillema, 2005). Most of them concentrated on one or two characteristics of MAS, mostly on the broad scope dimension (e.g. Tsui, 2009; Chong and Chong, 1997; Chong, 1998; Ismail and Isa, 2011; Chong and Eggleton, 2003; Mia and Winata, 2008; Chung *et al.*, 2012; Gerdin, 2005; Etemadi *et al.*, 2009; Naranjo-Gil and Hartmann, 2007). Even though, the broad scope is the most important one among the MAS characteristics, it is better to consider other characteristics. It must be determined that the information provided by MAS is broad in scope, timely, integrated or aggregated to be used by decision makers and managers in an organization (Bouwens and Abernethy, 2000; Agbejule, 2005).

In addition, most of the previous studies considered MAS as an independent variable (Gul, 1991; Chong, 1996; Tsui, 2001; Mia and Patiar, 2001; Chong and Eggleton, 2003; Agbejule, 2005; Susanto, 2010) or dependent variable (Emsley, 2005; Abdel-Kader and Luther, 2008; Mat, 2010; Erserim, 2012; Stergiou *et al.*, 2013). Only a few of them (Mia, 1993; Mia and Clarke, 1999; Jermias and Gani, 2004; Jusoh, 2008; Cheng, 2012) studied the mediating role of MAS. Hence, it is necessary to search more about the mediating role of MAS. This study uses the mediating or the intervening notion of contingency theory (Baines and Langfield-Smith, 2003; Hoque, 2011; Mayr, 2012) to examine whether MAS characteristics mediate, or intervene the relationship between competition, technology, structure and managerial performance.

Finally, managerial performance may have to be distinguished from the economic performance of the unit for which the manager is responsible. The nature of managerial activities (e.g. planning, investigating, coordinating, evaluating,

supervising, staffing, negotiating, and representing) strongly affects the significance of information as each managerial activity has particular information requirements and there is no ordered or systematic way to perform these activities (Laitinen, 2009). Empirical evidence for the direct effect of MAS on managerial performance is rather lacking, as the exact nature of accounting information and performance relationships is ambiguous (Baines and Langfield-Smith, 2003; Chung *et al.*, 2012).

#### **1.4 Research Questions**

The research questions addressed by the study are as follows:

- 1) Is market competition positively related to MAS characteristics?
- 2) Is technology positively related to MAS characteristics?
- 3) Is organizational structure positively related to MAS characteristics?
- 4) Is MAS characteristics positively related to managerial performance?
- 5) Are three contingent variables (competition, technology and structure) indirectly related to managerial performance acting through MAS characteristics?

#### **1.5 Research Aims**

This study investigates the relationship between the contingent variables and MAS characteristics (scope, integration, aggregation and timeliness) and examines if MAS causes improvement in managerial performance in financial organizations in Iran. The main focus of this study is the four characteristics of MAS – scope, integration, aggregation and timeliness. In fact, this study examines the relationship between contingent variables – competition, organizational structure, technology - and MAS characteristics on managerial performance.

## 1.6 Research Objectives

The study aims to achieve the following objectives:

- 1) To examine the relationship between the market competition and MAS characteristics.
- 2) To examine the relationship between technology and MAS characteristics.
- 3) To examine the relationship between organizational structure and MAS characteristics.
- 4) To examine the relationship between MAS characteristics and managerial performance.
- 5) To examine the indirect relationships between the contingent variables (competition, technology and structure) and managerial performance acting through MAS characteristics.

## 1.7 Scope of the Study

In view of the complexity of the Iranian financial organizations' environment arising from dramatic political, social, and economic changes, the traditional emphasis on management accounting is inadequate for providing a solid rational ground for efficient and effective planning and control (Etemadi *et al.*, 2009). MAS can significantly contribute to boosting management capacity to deal with a turbulent environment. This study examines the possible association between selected contingent variables and the characteristics of MAS in financial organizations. The selected contingent variables are market competition; technology; organizational structure. These variables are drawn from a review of the literature on management accounting and other closely related areas, namely, management science and management information systems. Consideration of all the potential contingent variables is beyond the scope of this research effort. Therefore, for narrowing the scope, this study focuses on these particular variables that were selected by considering current economic conditions and situations that surround financial organization in Iran and because of wide coverage of these factors in the MAS literature in the

manufacturing sector, so far they have received low attention in researches in the service section.

Iran is selected as the scope of this study because of following reasons: (a) Iran as an Asian country provides an interesting cultural contrast to western countries because of emphasis on Islamic laws and values (Etemadi *et al.*, 2009), (b) The economy of Iran is different from any other Asian country, it is a mixed (in which both the private sector and state direct the economy) and transition economy (which is changing from a centrally planned economy to a free market) with a large public sector. In addition, fifty percent of the economy is centrally planned and dominated by oil and gas production. (c) Iran is the world's seventeenth largest by purchasing power parity (PPP) and twenty-first by nominal gross domestic product (IMF, 2013).

Therefore, different environmental conditions in different countries have a major impact on the performance achieved from the imported management accounting techniques. Furthermore, modern management accounting and control systems developed in other countries may not be similarly effective in Iran (Etemadi *et al.*, 2009). With the purpose of limiting scope of the study, the current study focuses on financial organizations including banks, insurances and investment organizations listed on the central bank, central insurance and Securities and Exchange Organization (SEO) of Iran. The management of these organizations, including managers of the finance department, chief accountants, chief controllers, CFOs (Chief Financial Officers) and the other management in these organizations is identified as the respondents in the questionnaire survey.

## **1.8 Significance of the Study**

This study contributes to the body of knowledge by responding to the gaps in the literature through investigating the relationship between contingent variables and managerial performance by mediating role of MAS. This study investigates variables that influence the characteristics of MAS and the effect of MAS characteristics on managerial performance in the financial service sector. Few studies on MAS focused

on the service industry and most of them have focused on the manufacturing sector (Hussain, 2005; Rasid et al., 2011b). The service sector, especially, the financial service sector plays important role in the economy. In addition, the service industry has special characteristics (for instance, direct customer interaction, intangibility and perish ability of outputs) and is so important in the new economy (Amir et al., 2010; Sinkey, 2002).

In addition, this study examines the effects of three contingent variables on managerial performance by mediating role of MAS in financial organizations. These contingent factors were selected because of their wide coverage in the management accounting literature dealing with researches in the manufacturing sector (Gerdin, 2005; Chenhall, 2003); however, they have received little attention in researches in the service sector (Hammad et al., 2010).

This study provides additional insights into the understanding of the mediating effects of MAS characteristics on the relationship between competition, technology, structure and performance. This issue is not well developed in the current management accounting research literature (Jermias and Gani, 2004; Jusoh, 2008; Cheng, 2012). Moreover, in this study changes in the four characteristics of MAS and the effects of these changes on the performance are studied. There have been few empirical studies focused on the four characteristics of MAS. Most of them concentrated on one or two characteristics of MAS mostly on the broad scope dimension (Agbejule, 2005; Hammad et al., 2010; Rasid et al., 2011b; Tillema, 2005).

Furthermore, Asian country's culture emphasizes the values that are diametrically opposed to Western values. This means that MAS, although effective in western countries, may not be generalizable to the eastern environment (Tsui, 2001; Cheng, 2012). Therefore, empirical evidence from Iran provides significant insights into the role of contingent variables in the implementation of MAS across national boundaries. Most of previous studies on management accounting have concentrated on firms in the US, Singapore, Australia, Finland, and Turkey with little evidence on how management accounting changes in Iranian firms (Etemadi et al., 2009). Therefore, for local practitioners, the findings of this study may be used as an

additional guideline for the development of more effective MAS. An increase in the ability to develop a reliable, effective MAS may increase management's confidence about the usefulness of the system. This allows management to spend less time on scanning activities and more on strategic planning activities, which be followed by an improvement in competitiveness and performance.

## **1.9 Conceptual Definitions**

The following definitions are used to measure the major variables of this study.

### **1.9.1 Management Accounting System (MAS)**

MAS identifies, measures, accumulates, analysis, prepares, interprets, and communicates information (financial and operational) employed in the planning and control by an organization's administration (Gerdin, 2005; Päril, 2011). MAS is a part of an organization's wider management control system that supplies helpful information to managers for decision-making. Thus, the quantity and characteristics of information offered by MAS is a good marker of the level of organizational strength (Hammad *et al.*, 2010; Simons, 2000).

### **1.9.2 MAS Characteristics:**

According to Chenhall and Morris (1986), the information requirements of MAS can be regarded in terms of its information characteristics, which are; scope, timeliness, integration and aggregation.

- *Scope of Information:* This is one of the MAS characteristics which has three aspects: focus (information is collected from within or outside the company); quantification (kind of information is financial or non-

financial); time (information speaks about future or historic occasions) (Chenhall and Morris, 1986).

- *Timeliness of Information*: This is one of the MAS characteristics that can be considered in two aspects: regularly frequent in reporting (daily, weekly, monthly and so on) and the speed in reporting (the time gap between the happening of an issue and its reporting) (Chenhall and Morris, 1986).
- *Integration of Information*: Refers to how well the information flows within the segments or sub-units in organizations, allowing information sharing throughout the sub-units (Abdel-Maksoud *et al.*, 2010).
- *Aggregation of Information*: This is one of the MAS characteristics, that deals with brief information that is gathered from different periods of time or different functional area (Choe, 1998; Rasid *et al.*, 2011b).

### 1.9.3 Market Competition

Market competition is the rivalry among sellers trying to achieve such goals as increasing profits, market share, and sales volume by varying the elements of the marketing mix: price, product, distribution, and promotion. Level of competition originates from five sources that can influence the internal organization of the company. These include competition for: (a) raw materials, parts and equipment, (b) technical personnel (c) promotion, advertising, selling and so on (d) quality and variety of products, and (e) price (Mia and Chenhall, 1994). Market competition is different from perceived environmental uncertainty (PEU). PEU refers to the unpredictability of the external environments, which generates the needs for scanning.

### 1.9.4 Technology

Technology readiness and adoption by organization is indicated by technologies in use, capable infrastructure on the front-end and integrated back-end (Zhu *et al.*, 2004).



- *Technologies in use:* Includes different technologies that are used in a financial organization, such as intranet, extranet, EDI, EFT etc. (Agboola, 2003; Zhu *et al.*, 2004).
- *Front-end Functionality:* Is an application that users interact with it directly for filing application or claims, payment, transfer and access to online tools such as research and planning (Zhu and Kreamer, 2002).
- *Back-end Integration:* This is related to the extent to which different information sources and databases in the back office are linked within and beyond a company's boundary (Zhu *et al.*, 2004).

### 1.9.5 Organizational Structure

Organizational structure determines the roles and responsibilities that guide actions for organizational members (Fisher, 1995). Three structural dimensions were recognized, which have the potential to have an effect on MAS, namely formalization, specialization and centralization (Merchant, 1984).

- *Centralization:* This is related to the extent to which the right for decision making and activities evaluating is concentrated (Wang, 2001).
- *Formalization:* This refers to the extent to which procedures, rules, instructions, and communications are written (Kim and Burton, 2002).
- *Specialization:* This is defined as the extent to which tasks and activities are divided in the company and the extent to which employees have control in how the tasks are performed (Daugherty *et al.*, 2011; Olson *et al.*, 2005).

### 1.9.6 Contingency Theory

Contingency theory of MAS proposes that organizations can operate more efficiently if they employ and utilize MAS, which cope with their organizational and

environmental conditions (Hoque, 2011; Otley, 1980). This theory suggests that the appropriateness of an organizational system depends on the specific organization and the circumstances under which the organization operates (Pornpandejwittaya, 2012).

### **1.9.7 Managerial Performance**

Managerial Performance indicates the extent to which managers have achieved to the targets related to different managerial activities including: planning, investigating, coordinating, evaluating, supervising, staffing, negotiating, and representing (Agbejule, 2005; Chong, 1996; Etemadi *et al.*, 2009).

### **1.10 Organization of the Study**

The first section of this chapter provides the background of the study. This portion discusses the significant role of MAS and contingent variables in Iranian financial organization in order to enhance managerial performance. Following this, problem statement, research questions are discussed. The final part of the chapter outlines the objectives, scope, and significance of the study and important definitions of terms to further understand this research. The subsequent chapters are organized as follows.

Chapter 2 discusses and outlines the literature review of the study. This chapter begins with the definition and characteristics of MAS followed by implementation of MAS in the financial organizations. The next section discusses about the empirical studies in MAS and knowledge gaps in these previous studies. Then, the contingency theory prospective of management accounting was used to provide a theoretical understanding of contingency factors affecting MAS. In addition, an overall framework that depicts the relationship between contingent factors and MAS and relationships between MAS and managerial performance is presented. Finally, hypotheses of the study are developed and measurement of main variables is presented.

Chapter 3 discusses and outlines the research methodology used in this study. This chapter is divided into several parts as follows: it begins by describing the research design and research paradigm. The next section explains the research strategy and data collection method and variable measurements where a survey questionnaire is proposed. This chapter then describes the reliability and validity of questionnaire survey, pre-test, administration of the questionnaire and lastly, techniques that are used in the data analysis.

Chapter 4 discusses the findings of the quantitative methods based on structural equation modelling (SEM), descriptive and inferential analysis. The findings of the data analysis for the purpose of answering the five research questions are presented in this chapter. Finally, Chapter 5 discusses the findings of the study followed by discussion about the theoretical and practical implications of the study. Next, the limitations of the study are considered and some pointers for future research are provided.

## REFERENCES

- Aaker, D. A., Kumar, V., Leone, R. P. and Day, G. S. (2013). *Marketing Research* (11th ed.). Singapore: Wiley.
- Abbasi, S., Zamani, M. and Valmohammadi, C. (2014). The effects of ERP systems implementation on management accounting in Iranian organizations. *Education, Business and Society: Contemporary Middle Eastern Issues*, 7(4), 245-256.
- Abdel-Kadera, M. and Luther, R. (2008). The impact of firm characteristics on management accounting practices: A UK-based empirical analysis. *The British Accounting Review*, 40(1), 2-27.
- Abdel-Maksoud, A., Cerbioni, F., Ricceri, F. and Velayutham, S. (2010). Employee morale, non-financial performance measures, deployment of innovative managerial practices and shop-floor involvement in Italian manufacturing firms. *The British Accounting Review*, 42(1), 36-55.
- Abernethy, M. A. and Guthrie, C. H. (1994). An empirical assessment of the 'fit' between strategy and management information system design. *Acc. Finance*, 34, 49-66.
- Agbejule, A. (2005). The relationship between management accounting systems and perceived environmental uncertainty on managerial performance: a research note. *Accounting and Business Research*, 35(4), 295-305.
- Agbejule, A. (2011). Organizational culture and performance: the role of management accounting system. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 12(1): 74-89.
- Ahmad, S. Z. (2012). The internationalization of Malaysian-based multinational banks, Journey towards globalization. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration*, 4(1), 58-81.
- Al-Jabri, I. M. (2015). Antecedents of user satisfaction with ERP systems: mediation analyses. *Kybernetes*, 44(1), 107-123.

- Alleyne, P. and Weekes-Marshall, D. (2011). An Exploratory Study of Management Accounting Practices in Manufacturing Companies in Barbados. *International Journal of Business & Social Science*, 2(10), 61-70.
- Alsharari, N. M., Dixon, R. and Youssef, M. A. E.-A. (2015). Management accounting change: critical review and a new contextual framework. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, 11(4), 476 - 502.
- Amin, H., Rahman, A. R. A., Jr, S. L. S. and Hwa, A. M. C. (2011). Determinants of customers' intention to use Islamic personal financing: The case of Malaysian Islamic banks. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 2(1), 22-42.
- Amir, A. M., Ahmad, N. N. N. and Mohamad, M. H. S. (2010). An investigation on PMS attributes in service organizations in Malaysia. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 59(8), 734-756.
- Armstrong, J. S. (1986). The Value of Formal Planning for Strategic Decisions. *Strategic Management Journal*, 7(7), 183-185.
- Arroteia, N., Lima, L. and Gomes, C. (2012). Management Accounting Practices in the Portuguese Lodging Industry. *Journal of Modern Accounting & Auditing*, 8(1), 1-14.
- Asher, H. B. (1983). *Causal Modeling*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publication.
- Atkinson, A. A., Balakirshnan, R. and Booth, P. (1997). New directions in management accounting research. *Journal of Management Accounting Research*, 9(1), 79-108.
- Atrill, P. and McLaney, E. (2012). *Management accounting for decision makers*. (7th ed.) UK: Pearson.
- Azan, W. and Bollecker, M. (2011). Management control competencies and ERP: an empirical analysis in France. *Journal of Modelling in Management*. 6(2), 178-199.
- Baines, A. and Langfield-Smith, K. (2003). Antecedents to management accounting change: a structural equation approach. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 28(1), 675–698.
- Barclay, D., Higgins, C., and Thompson, R. (1995). The partial least squares (PLS) approach to causal modeling: Personal computer adoption and use as an illustration, *Technology studies*. 2(2), 285-309.

- Baron, R. M. and Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 6 (1), 173-182.
- Bartlett, S. J. and Burton, D. M. (2007). *Introduction to Education Studies*. (2nd ed.) London: Sage.
- Becker, J.-M., Klein, K., Wetzels, M. (2012). Hierarchical latent variable models in PLS-SEM: guidelines for using reflective-formative type models, *Long Range Planning*, 45 (5), 359-394.
- Bernard, H. R. (2013). *Social research methods; qualitative and quantitative approaches*. (2nd ed.) California: Vicki Knight.
- Berry, A. J., Coad, A. F., Harris, E. P., Otley, D. T. and Stringer, C. (2009). Emerging themes in management control: A review of recent literature. *The British Accounting Review*, 41(1), 2-20.
- Blaikie, N. (2000). *Designing Social Research*. Cambridge: Polity.
- Bontis, N., Booker, L. D. and Serenko, A. (2007). The mediating effect of organizational reputation on customer loyalty and service recommendation in the banking industry. *Management Decision*, 45(9), 1426-1445.
- Bouwens, J. and Abernethy, M. A. (2000). The consequences of customization on management accounting system design. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 25(1), 221-241.
- Brandau, M., Endenich, C., Trapp, R. and Hoffjan, A. (2013). Institutional drivers of conformity – Evidence for management accounting from Brazil and Germany. *International Business Review*, 22 (2), 466-479.
- Brandau, M., Trapp, R. and Weffort, E. (2012). in search of identity- contingencies and institutional pressures on management accounting in brazil and germany. *International Journal of Business Research*, 12(2), 44-61.
- Brignall, S. (1997). A contingent rationale for cost system design in service. *Management Accounting Research*, 8 (1), 325-346.
- Brignall, T. J., Fitzgerald L., Johnston R., Silvestro R. (1991). Product costing in service organizations. *Management Accounting Research*, 2 (4), 227-248.
- Bryman, A. (2001). *Social Research Methods*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bryman, A. and Bell, E. (2007). *Business research methods*. (2nd ed.) Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Burns, J. and Scapens, R. W. (2000). Conceptualizing management accounting change: an institutional framework. *Management Accounting Research*, 11(1), 3-25.
- Busco, C. and Scapens, R. W. (2011). Management accounting systems and organizational culture Interpreting their linkages and processes of change. *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management*, 8(4), 320-357.
- Callaghan, J. (2002). *Inside Intranets & Extranets: Knowledge Management AND the Struggle for Power*: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Caplan, D. (2014). *Management accounting: Concepts and techniques*. Retrieved 2 January 2014, from <http://classes.bus.oregonstate.edu/>
- CBI. (2014). *licensed banks listed in Central Bank of Iran*. Retrieved 15 January 2014, from [www.CBI.ir](http://www.CBI.ir).
- Chang, R. D., Chang, Y. W. and Paper, D. (2003). The effect of task uncertainty, decentralization and AIS characteristics on the performance of AIS: an empirical case in Taiwan. *Information & Management*, 40, 691–703.
- Chapman, C. S. (1997). Reflections on a contingent view of accounting. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 22(2), 189-205.
- Cheng, M. T. (2012). The joint effect of budgetary participation and broad-scope management accounting systems on management performance. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 20(3), 184-197.
- Chenhall, R. H. (2003). Management control systems design within its organizational context: findings from contingency-based research and directions for the future. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 28(2), 127-168.
- Chenhall, R. H. and Morris, D. (1986). The Impact of Structure, Environment, and Interdependence on the Perceived Usefulness of Management Accounting system. *The Accounting Review*, 61(1), 16-35.
- Chia, Y. M. (1995). Decentralization, management accounting system (MAS) information characteristics and their interaction effects on managerial performance: a Singapore study. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 22(6), 811-830.
- Child, D. (2006). *The essentials of factor analysis* (3rd Ed.). New York: Continuum.
- Choe, J. M. (1998). The effects of user participation on the design of accounting information systems. *Information & Management*, 34(1), 185-198.

- Choe, J. M. and Lee, J. (1993). Factors affecting relationships between the contextual variables and the information characteristics of accounting information systems. *Information Processing & Management*, 29(4), 471-486.
- Chong, V. K. (1996). Management accounting systems, task uncertainty and managerial performance: A research note. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 21(5), 415-421.
- Chong, V. K. (1998). Testing the contingency 'fit' between management accounting systems and managerial performance: a research note on the moderating role of tolerance for ambiguity. *British Accounting Review*, 30(1), 331-342.
- Chong, V. K. and Chong, K. M. (1997). Strategic Choices, Environmental Uncertainty and SBU Performance: A Note on the Intervening Role of Management Accounting Systems. *Accounting and Business Research*, 27(4), 268-276.
- Chong, V. K. and Eggleton, I. R. C. (2003). the decision-facilitating role of management accounting systems on managerial performance. *Advances in Accounting*, 20(1), 165-197.
- Chong, V. K., Eggleton, I. R. C. and Leong, M. K. C. (2005). The impact of market competition and budgetary participation on performance and job satisfaction: a research note. *The British Accounting Review*, 37(1), 115-133.
- Chow, C. W., Shields, M. D. and Wu, A. (1999). The importance of national culture in the design of and preference for management control for multinational operations. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 24(5), 441-461.
- Chin, W. W. 2010. *How to Write Up and Report PLS Analyses*. In V. E. Vinzi (Ed.), *Handbook of Partial Least Squares*. Berlin: Heidelberg, Springer.
- Chin, W. W., and Gopal, A. (1995). Adoption Intention in GSS: Relative Importance of Beliefs. *Data Base Advances*, 26 (2), 42-64.
- Chung, S. H., Su, Y. F. and Yu-Ju, S. (2012). Broad scope management accounting system and managerial performance: The impact of role ambiguity and functional difference. *African Journal of Business Management*, 6 (30), 8873-8878.
- CII. (2014). *Licensed insurance companies listed in Central Insurance of Iran*. Retrieved 15 January 2014, from [www.centinsur.ir](http://www.centinsur.ir).
- Cinquini, L. and Tenucci, A. (2010). Strategic management accounting and business strategy: a loose coupling? *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, 6(2), 228-259.



- Cleary, P. (2009). Exploring the relationship between management accounting and structural capital in a knowledge-intensive sector. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 10(1), 37-52.
- Cleary, P. (2015). An empirical investigation of the impact of management accounting on structural capital and business performance. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*, 16(3), 566 -586.
- Clemons, E. K., and Hitt, L. (2001). Financial services: transparency, differential pricing, disintermediation. R. Litan and A. Rivlin (eds.), *The economic payoff from the internet revolution*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press, 87-128.
- Cobb, I., C. Heller and J. Innes (1995). Management accounting change in a bank. *Management Accounting Research*, 6 (1), 155-175.
- Collier, P. M. (2012). *Accounting for managers: Interpreting accounting information for decision making* (4th ed.). UK: Wiley.
- Collis, J. and Hussey, R. (2003). *Business Research* (2nd ed.). Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Colson, R. H. (1980). *Management accounting in profit centers: The effects of operating context and management style*. Ph.D. Ohio: The Ohio State University.
- Cooper, D. R. and Schindler, P. S. (2003). *Business Research Methods* (8th ed.). Boston: McGraw-Hill.
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational Research; planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research* (4nd ed.). Boston: Pearson.
- Creswell, W., Clark, V. L., Gutmann, M. L. and Hanson, W. E. (2003). *Advanced mixed methods research designs; Handbook of mixed methods in social and behavioral research*. CA: Sage: Thousand Oaks.
- Crotty, M. (1998). *The Foundations of Social Research*. London: Sage Publications.
- Daugherty, P. J., Chen, H. and Ferrin, B. G. (2011). Organizational structure and logistics service innovation. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 22(1), 26-51.
- Davila, T. (2000). An empirical study on the drivers of management control systems' design in new product development. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 25(1), 383-409.

- Dillman, D. A. (1999). *Mail and telephone surveys: the total design method* (2nd ed.). New York: John Wiley.
- Dixon, R. and Smith, D.R. (1993), Strategic management accounting, *International Journal of Management Science*, 21 (6), 605-618.
- Doane, D. P. and Seward, L. E. (2011). Measuring Skewness. *Journal of Statistics Education*, 19(2), 1-18
- Emmanuel, C., and Merchant, K. (1990). *Accounting for management Control*, London: Chapman and Hill.
- Emsley, D. (2005). Restructuring the management accounting function: A note on the effect of role involvement on innovativeness. *Management Accounting Research*, 16(2), 157-177.
- Endenich, C. (2014). Economic crisis as a driver of management accounting change. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 15(1), 123 - 149.
- Endenich, C., Hoffjan, A., Schlichting, T. and Trapp, R. (2016). Harmonizing management accounting in international subsidiaries: beyond national borders. *Journal of Business Strategy*, 37(1), 27 - 33.
- Erserim, A. (2012). The Impacts of Organizational Culture, Firm's Characteristics and External Environment of Firms on Management Accounting Practices: An Empirical Research on Industrial Firms in Turkey. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 62(1), 372-376.
- Esposito, V., Trinchera, L., and Amato, S. (2010). PLS Path Modeling: From Foundations to Recent Developments and Open Issues for Model Assessment and Improvement. In V. E. Vinzi (Ed.), *Handbook of Partial Least Squares*. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer.
- Etemadi, H., Dilami, Z. D., Bazaz, M. S. and Parameswaran, R. (2009). Culture, management accounting and managerial performance: Focus Iran. *Advances in Accounting*, 25(2), 216-225.
- Feldbauer-Durstmüller, B., Duller, C. and Greiling, D. (2012). Strategic management accounting in Australian family firms. *International Journal of Business Research*, 12(1), 26-42.
- Ferris, K. R. and Haskins, M. E. (1988). Perspectives on accounting systems and human behavior. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 3-18.
- Field, A. (2009). *Discovering statistics using SPSS* (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

- Fisher. (1995). Contingency-based research on management control systems: categorization by level of complexity. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 14(1), 24-53.
- Fisher, C. (1996). The impact of perceived environmental uncertainty and individual differences on management information requirements: A research note. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 21(4), 361-369.
- Fisk R. P., Brown S. W., Bitner M. J. (1993). Tracking the evolution of the services marketing literature. *Journal of Retailing*, 69 (1), 61-103.
- Fleischman, G., Walker, K. and Johnson, E. (2010). A field study of user versus provider perceptions of management accounting system services. *International Journal of Accounting and Information Management*, 18(3), 252-285.
- Flynn, B. B., Sakakibara, S., Schroeder, R. G., Bates, K. A. and Flynn, J. B. (1990). Emperical research methods in operation management. *Journal of Operations Management*, 9(2), 250- 284.
- Fornell, C., and Bookstein, F. L. (1982). Two structural equation models: LISREL and PLS applied to consumer exit-voice theory. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 19 (1), 440–452.
- Fornell, C., and Larcker, D. F. (1981). Structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error: Algebra and statistics. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18 (1), 382–388.
- Frezatti, F., Aguiar, A. B., Guerreiro, R. and Gouvea, M. A. (2011). Does management accounting play role in planning process? *Journal of Business Research*, 64(3), 242-249.
- Gefen, D., Straub, D. and Boudreau, M.C. (2000). Structural equation modeling and regression: guidelines for research practice. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 4 (7), 1-77.
- Gerdin, J. (2005). Management accounting system design in manufacturing departments: an empirical investigation using a multiple contingencies approach. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 30(1). 99-126.
- Gerdin, J. and Greve, J. (2008). The appropriateness of statistical methods for testing contingency hypotheses in management accounting research. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 33(7), 995-1009.

- Gordon, L. A. and Narayanan, V. K. (1984). Management accounting systems, perceived environmental uncertainty & organization structure. *Accounting, Organization & Society*, 9(1), 33-47.
- Granlund, M. (2011). Extending AIS research to management accounting and control issues: A research note. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, 12(1), 3-19.
- Gras-Gil, E. (2012). Internal audit and financial reporting in the Spanish banking industry. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 27(8), 728-753.
- Grix, J. (2002). Introducing Students to the Generic Terminology of Social Research. *Politics*, 22(3), 175-186.
- Guilding, C., Cravens, K.S. and Tayles, M. (2000). An international comparison of strategic management accounting practices. *Management Accounting Research*, 11 (1), 113-35.
- Gul, F. A. (1991). The effects of management accounting systems and environmental uncertainty on small business managers' performance. *Accounting and Business Research*, 22(85), 57-61.
- Gul, F. A. and Chia, Y. M. (1994). The effects of management accounting systems, perceived environmental uncertainty and decentralization on managerial performance: A test of three-way interaction. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 19(4-5), 413-426.
- Gunther, T. W. and Gabler, S. (2014). Antecedents of the adoption and success of strategic cost management methods: a meta-analytic investigation. *Journal of Business Economics*, 84(2), 145-190.
- Hair, J. F., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L. and Black, W. C. (1998). *Multivariate data analysis with readings*. (5th ed.). New York: Macmillan.
- Hair, J.F., Hult, G.T.M., Ringle, C.M. and Sarstedt, M. (2014). *A Primer on Partial Least Squares structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM)*. Los Angeles: Sage Publication.
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C., and Sarstedt, M. (2013). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM)*. Los Angeles: SAGE Publications.
- Hair, J.F., Ringle, C.M. and Sarstedt, M. (2011). PLS-SEM: Indeed a Silver Bullet. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 19 (2), 139–151.

- Hair, J. F., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C.M., and Mena, J. A. (2012). An Assessment of the Use of Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling in Marketing Research. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 40(3), 414-433.
- Hajjawi, O. (2012). Management Accounting Practice in Palestine: An Empirical Evidence. *European Journal of Economics, Finance & Administrative Sciences*, 49 (1), 28-40.
- Haka, S. F. and Heitger, D. L. (2004). International managerial accounting research: a contracting framework and opportunities. *The International Journal of Accounting*, 39(1), 21-69.
- Halabi, A. K., Barrett, R. and Dyt, R. (2010). Understanding financial information used to assess small firm performance: An Australian qualitative study. *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management*, 7(2), 163-179.
- Haldma, T. and Lääts, K. (2002). Contingencies influencing the management accounting practices of Estonian manufacturing companies. *Management Accounting Research*, 13(4), 379-400.
- Hammad, S. A., Jusoh, R. and Oon, E. Y. N. (2010). Management accounting system for hospitals: a research framework. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 110(5), 762-784.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C., and Sinkovics, R. (2009). The use of partial least squares path modeling in international marketing. *Advances in International Marketing (AIM)*, 20 (1), 277-320.
- Hill, N. T. (2000). Adoption of costing systems in US hospitals: An event history analysis 1980–1990. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 19(1), 41-71.
- Ho, R. (2014), *Handbook of univariate and multivariate data analysis with IBM SPSS* (2rd Ed.), Boca Raton: CRC Press
- Hoque, Z. (2011). The relations among competition, delegation, management accounting systems change and performance: A path model. *Advances in Accounting*, 27(2), 266-277.
- Horngren, C. (1995). Management accounting: this century and beyond. *Management Accounting Research*, 6(3), 281-286.
- Horngren, C., Datar, S. and Foster, G. (2006). *Cost accounting: a managerial emphasis* (12th ed.): Verlag Pearson/Prentice Hall Upper Saddle River, NJ.

- Hulland, J. (1999). Use of partial least squares (PLS) in strategic management research: A review of four recent studies. *Strategic Management Journal*, 20, 195-204.
- Hussain, M. M. (2005). Management accounting performance measurement systems in Swedish banks. *European Business Review*, 17(6), 566-589.
- Hussain, M. (2003). The impact of economic condition on management accounting performance measures: Experience with banks. *Managerial Finance*, 29 (2), 23-41
- Hussain, M. M. and Gunasekaran, A. (2002). Management accounting and performance measures in Japanese banks. *Managing Service Quality*, 12(4), 232-245.
- Hussain, M. M., Gunasekaran, A. and Laitinen, E. K. (1998). Management accounting systems in Finnish service firms. *Technovation*, 18(1), 57-67.
- Iacobucci, D. and Churchill, G. A. (2010). *Marketing research methodological foundations*. (10th ed.) Mason, OH: South- Western Cengage Learning.
- IFAC. (1998). *International Management Accounting Practice Statement: management Accounting Concepts*, New York: NY.
- IMF. (2013). *Gross domestic product, current prices. Ranking based on sorting on the "2012" column*, Retrieved 7 November 2013, from [www.imf.org/external/pubs](http://www.imf.org/external/pubs).
- Ismail, K. and Isa, C. R. (2011). The role of management accounting systems in advanced manufacturing environment. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 5(9), 2196-2209.
- Jauhari, V. (2012). Responding to the emerging strategic and financial issues in the Indian hospitality industry. *Worldwide Hospitality and Tourism Themes*, 4(5), 478-485.
- Jermias, J. and Gani, L. (2004). Integrating business strategy, organizational configurations and management accounting systems with business unit effectiveness: A fitness landscape approach. *Management Accounting Research*, 15(1), 179-200.
- Jusoh, R. (2008). Environmental Uncertainty, Performance, and the Mediating Role of Balanced Scorecard Measures Use: Evidence from Malaysia. *International Review of Business Research Papers*, 4(2), 116-135.

- Kadak, T. and Roostalu, L. (2013). Management Accounting Problems and Perspectives in the Local Government of Estonia: An Analysis from the Viewpoint of Parsons' Paradigm. *Journal of Modern Accounting and Auditing*, 9(1), 20-36.
- Kallunki, J. P., Laitinen, E. K. and Silvola, H. (2011). Impact of enterprise resource planning systems on management control systems and firm performance. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, 12 (1), 20–39.
- Kaplan, R. and Norton, D. (1992). The balanced scorecard-measures that drive performance. *Harvard Business Review*, 70 (1), 79-80.
- Kassim, M. Y., Md-Mansur, K. and Idris, S. (2003). Globalization and its impact on Malaysia economy. Reinventing Sabah: *Global Challenges and Policy Responses*, 95-111.
- Khandawalla, P. (1972). The Effect of Different Types of Competition on the Use of Management Control. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 1 (1), 275-285.
- Kim, J. and Burton, R. M. (2002). The effect of task uncertainty and decentralization on project team performance. *Computational and Mathematical Organization Theory*, 8(4), 365-384.
- Kline, Rex B. (2011). *Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Kline, R. (2005). *Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling*. (2nd ed.) New York: Guilford Press.
- Laitinen, E. K. (2011). Effect of reorganization actions on the financial performance of small entrepreneurial distressed firms. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, 7(1), 57-95.
- Laitinen, E. K. (2008). A portfolio approach to develop a theory of future management accounting systems. *EuroMed Journal of Business*, 3(1), 23-37.
- Lal, M. and Hassel, L. (1998). The joint impact of environmental uncertainty and tolerance of ambiguity on top managers' perceptions of the usefulness of non-conventional management accounting information. *Scandinavian Journal of Management*, 14(3), 259-271.
- Lee, Chung, W. and Taylor, R. E. (2011). A strategic response to the financial crisis: an empirical analysis of financial services advertising before and during the financial crisis. *Journal of Services Marketing*, 25(3), 150-164.

- Lee and Yang, H. J. (2011). Organization structure, competition and performance measurement systems and their joint effects on performance. *Management Accounting Research*, 22(2), 84-104.
- Liao, C., Chuang, S.-H. and To, P.-L. (2011). How knowledge management mediates the relationship between environment and organizational structure. *Journal of Business Research*, 64 (1), 728-736.
- Lohmöller, J. B. (1989). *Latent Variable Path Modeling with Partial Least Squares*. Heidelberg: Physica-Verlag.
- Luft, J. and Shields, M. D. (2003). Mapping management accounting: graphics and guidelines for theory-consistent empirical research. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 28(2), 169-249.
- MacKinnon, D.P, Lockwood, C.M, Hoffman, J.M., West, S.G., and Sheets, V. (2002). A comparison of methods to test the significance of mediation and other intervening variable. *Psychological Methods*, 7(1), 83–104.
- MacKinnon, D. P., Krull, J. L., and Lockwood, C. M. (2000). Equivalence of the mediation, confounding and suppression effect. *Prevention Science*, 1 (1), 173-181.
- Mat, T. Z. T. (2010). Determinants of Management Accounting Control System in Malaysian Manufacturing Companies. *Asian Journal of Accounting and Governance*, 1(1), 79-104.
- Mat, T. Z. T., Smith, M. and Djajadikerta, H. (2010). Management Accounting and Organizational Change: An Exploratory Study in Malaysian Manufacturing Firms. *JAMAR*, 8(2), 51-82.
- Mayr, S. (2012). Management accounting in Eastern Europe: cultural influence and empirical evidence from Slovakia. *International Journal of Business Research*, 12(3), 161-169.
- McManus, L. (2013). Customer accounting and marketing performance measures in the hotel industry: Evidence from Australia. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 33 (1), 140-152.
- Merchant, K. A. (1984). Influences on departmental budgeting: an empirical examination of a contingency model. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 9 (1), 291-307.
- Merchant, K. A. (2012). Making management accounting research more useful. *Pacific Accounting Review*, 24(3), 334-356.



- Mia, L. (1993). The role of MAS information in organizations: An empirical study. *The British Accounting Review*, 25(3), 269-285.
- Mia, L. (2000). Just-in-time manufacturing, management accounting systems and profitability. *Accounting & Business Research*, 30(2), 137-151.
- Mia, L. and Chenhall, R. (1994). The usefulness of MAS functional differentiation and management effectiveness. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 19(1), 1-13.
- Mia, L. and Clarke, B. (1999). Market competition, management accounting systems and business unit performance. *Management Accounting Research*, 10(1), 137-158.
- Mia, L. and Patiar, A. (2001). The use of management accounting systems in hotels: an exploratory study. *Hospitality Management*, 20 (1), 111-128.
- Mia, L. and Winata, L. (2008). Manufacturing strategy, broad scope MAS information and information and communication technology. *The British Accounting Review*, 40(2), 182-192.
- Moayedi, V. and Aminfard, M. (2012). Iran's post-war financial system. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 5(3), 264-281.
- Modell S. (1996) Management accounting and control in services: structural and behavioural perspectives. *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, 7 (2), pp. 57-80.
- Monecke, A., and Leisch, F. (2012). SEM-PLS: Structural equation modeling using Partial Least Squares. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 48, 1-32.
- Mongiello, M. and Harris, P. (2006). Management accounting and corporate management: insights into multinational hotel companies. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 18(5), 364-379.
- Moore, K. and Yuen, S. (2001). management accounting system and organizational configuration: a life-cycle perspective. *Accounting, organizations and society*, 26(1), 351-389.
- Morcol, G. and Ivanova, N. (2010). Methods Taught in Public Policy Programs: Are Quantitative Methods Still Prevalent? *Journal of Public Affairs Education*, 16(2), 255-277.
- Mueller, S. (2004). Gender gaps in potential for entrepreneurship across countries and cultures. *Journal of Development Entrepreneurship*, 9 (3), 199-220.

- Mulligan, P. and Gordon, S. R. (2002). The impact of information technology and supplier relationships in the financial services. *International journal of service industry management*, 13(1), 29-46.
- Mwanzia, M., Oloko, D., Senaji, D. E. and Orwa, D. (2016). Critical success factors and organizational performance of indigenous third party Logistic businesses in transport sector in Kenya. *The Strategic Journal of Business and Change Management*, 3(1), 85-115.
- Naco, M., Cela, H. and Dollani, P. (2010). The Management Accounting Practices in Albania in the Context of Globalization. *Wspolczesna Ekonomia*, 3(15), 149-162.
- Nahm, A. Y., Vonderembse, M. A. and Koufteros, X. A. (2003). The impact of organizational structure on time-based manufacturing and plant performance. *Journal of Operations Management*, 21(3), 281–306.
- Naranjo-Gil, D. and Hartmann, F. (2007). Management accounting systems, top management team heterogeneity and strategic change. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 32(7), 735-756.
- Neuman, W. R. (2006). *Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches*. (6th ed.) United States of America: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Nimtrakoon, S. and Tayles, M. (2015). Explaining management accounting practices and strategy in Thailand. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*, 5(3), 269 - 298.
- Olson, E. M., Slater, S. F. and Hult, T. M. (2005). The performance implications of fit among business strategy, marketing organization structure, and strategic behavior. *Journal of Marketing*, 69(3), 49-65.
- Onwuegbuzie, A. J. and Leech, N. L. (2004). Enhancing the Interpretation of “Significant” Findings: The Role of Mixed Methods Research. *The Qualitative Report*, 9(4), 770-792.
- Otley, D. (1995). *Management control, organizational design and accounting informations systems*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall
- Pallant, J. (2013). *SPSS survival manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using SPSS*. (5th ed.) McGraw-Hill International.
- Pärl, Ü. (2011). A semiotic alternative to communication in the processes in management accounting and control systems. *Sign Systems Studies*, 39(1), 183-208.

- Pavlatos, O. and Paggios, I. (2009a). Management accounting practices in the Greek hospitality industry. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 24(1), 81-98.
- Pavlatos, O. and Paggios, I. (2009b). A survey of factors influencing the cost system design in hotels. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 28(2), 263-271.
- Pavlatos, O. (2015). An empirical investigation of strategic management accounting in hotels. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 27(5), 756 - 767.
- Peasnell, K. V. (1993). Guest editorial: accounting in developing countries- the search for appropriate technologies. *Research in Third World Accounting*, 2(1), 1-16.
- Pinsonneault, A. and Kraemer, K. L. (1993). Survey Research Methodology in Management Information Systems: An Assessment. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 10(2), 75-105.
- Poorundersing, T. S. a. B. (2008). The effectiveness of management accounting systems Evidence from functional managers in a developing country. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 23(2), 187-219
- Pornpandejwittaya, P. (2012). Effectiveness of accounting information system: effect on performance of Thai-listed firms in Thailand. *International Journal of Business Research*, 12(3), 84-94.
- Porter, M. E. (1985). *Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance*. New York: The Free Press.
- Preacher, K. J., and Hayes, A. F. (2008). Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models. *Behavior Research Methods*, 40 (1), 879–891.
- Rasid, S. Z. A., Isa, C. R. and Ismail, W. K. W. (2014). Management accounting systems, enterprise risk management and organizational performance in financial institutions. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 22(2), 128-144.
- Rasid, S. Z. A., Rahman, A. R. A. and Ismail, W. K. W. (2011a). Management accounting and risk management in Malaysian financial institutions; an exploratory study. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 26(7), 566-585.
- Rasid, S. Z. A., Rahman, A. R. A. and Ismail, W. K. W. (2011b). Management accounting systems in Islamic and conventional financial institutions in Malaysia. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 2 (2), 153-176.

- Rausch, A. (2011). Reconstruction of decision-making behavior in shareholder and stakeholder theory: implications for management accounting systems. *Rev Manag Sci*, 5(1), 137-169.
- Rezaee, Z. (2005). The relevance of managerial accounting concepts in banking industry. *Journal of Performance Management*, 18 (2), 3-16.
- Ringle, C. M., Wende, S. and Becker, J. M. (2014), *SmartPLS 2.0*, Hamburg. Retrieved 20 February 2014, from [www.smartpls.com](http://www.smartpls.com).
- Roberts, E. S. (1999). In defense of the survey method: An illustration from a study of user information satisfaction. *Accounting and Finance*, 39(1), 53-77.
- Rom, A. and Rohde, C. (2007). Management accounting and integrated information systems: A literature review. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, 8(1), 40-68.
- Romney, M. B. and Steinbart, P. J. (2003). *Accounting Information Systems*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education.
- Roy, S., Tarafdar, M., Ragu-Nathan, T.S, and Marsillac, E. (2012). The effect of misspecification of reflective and formative constructs in operations and manufacturing management research. *Electronic Journal of Business Research Methods*, 10, 34-52.
- Salehi, M. and Alipour, M. (2010). E-Banking in Emerging Economy: Empirical Evidence of Iran. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 2(1), 201-209.
- Sanchez-Rodriguez, C. and Spraakman, G. (2012). ERP systems and management accounting: a multiple case study. *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management*, 9(4), 398-414.
- Santos, L. L., Gomes, C. and Arroiteia, N. (2012). Management Accounting Practices in the Portuguese Lodging Industry. *Journal of Modern Accounting and Auditing*, 8(1), 1-14.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P. and Thornhill, A. (2009). *Research methods for business students*. (5th ed.) Italy: Pearson.
- SEO. (2014). *Active investment funds in Securities and Exchange Organization of Iran*. Retrieved 15 January 2014, from <http://www.seo.ir>.
- Sekaran, U. (2000). *Research Methods for Business: A Skill Building Approach*. (3rd ed.) New York, NY: Wiley.

- Sharma, R., Jones, S. and Ratnatunga, J. (2006). The relationships among broad scope MAS, managerial control, performance, and job relevant information: A concomitant analysis. *Review of Accounting and Finance*, 5(3), 228-250.
- Shields, M. D. (1998). Management accounting practices in Europe: a perspective from the States. *Management Accounting Research*, 9(4), 501-513.
- Shrout, P. E., and Bolger, N. (2002). Mediation in experimental and nonexperimental studies: New procedures and recommendations. *Psychological Methods*, 7(4), 422-445.
- Shostack, G. L. (1977). Breaking free from product marketing. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 41 (2), 73-80.
- Siddiqui, A. (2008). Financial contracts, risk and performance of Islamic bank. *Managerial Finance*, 34(10), 680-694.
- Silvestro, R., Fitzgerald, L., Johnston, R. and Voss, C. (1992). Towards a classification of service processes. *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, 3 (3), 62-75.
- Simons, R. (2000). *Performance Measurement and Control Systems for Implementing Strategy: Text and Cases*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Sinkey, J. F. (2002). *Commercial Bank Financial Management: In the Financial-services Industry*. (6th ed.) Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Sleihat, N., Al-Nimer, M. and Almahamid, S. (2012). An exploratory study of the level of sophistication of management accounting practices in Jordan. *International Business Research*, 5(9), 217-234.
- Smith, P. B., Dugan, S., and Trompenaars, F. (1997). Locus of control and affectivity by gender and occupational status: A 14 nation study. *Sex Roles*, 36 (1), 51-77.
- Smith, M., Abdullah, Z. and Razak, R. A. (2008). The diffusion of technological and management accounting innovation; Malaysian evidence. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 16(3), 197-218.
- Snyder, C. A., Cox, J. F., Jesse, R.R. Jr (1982). A dependent demand approach to service organization planning and control, *Academy of Management Review*, 7 (1), 455-66.
- Sobel, M.E. (2008). Identification of Causal Parameters in Randomized Studies with Mediating Variables. *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics*, 33 (1), 230-251.

- Soobaroyen, T. and Poorundersing, B. (2008). The effectiveness of management accounting systems: Evidence from functional managers in a developing country. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 23(2), 187-219.
- Spencer, S. Y., Adams C. and Yapa, P. W.S. (2013). The mediating effects of the adoption of an environmental information system on top management's commitment and environmental performance. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*, 4(1), 75-102.
- Stangor, C. (2007). *Research Methods for the Behavioral Sciences*. New York: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Stergiou, K., Ashraf, J. and Uddin, S. (2013). The role of structure and agency in management accounting control change of a family owned firm: A Greek case study. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 24(1), 62-73.
- Stock, D. and Watson, C. (1984). Human judgment accuracy: multidimensional graphics, and humans versus models. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 192-206.
- Sufian, F. (2012). Determinants of multinational banks' subsidiary performance: the host and home country effects. *Journal of Economic and Administrative Sciences*, 28(2), 130-155.
- Sukmana, R. and Kassim, S. H. (2010). Roles of the Islamic banks in the monetary transmission process in Malaysia. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 3(1), 7-19.
- Sulaiman, M.B., Ahmad, N.N. and Alwi, N. (2004). Management accounting practices in selected Asian countries. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 19 (4), 493-508.
- Susanto, Y. K. (2010). Usefulness of management accounting system information and market competition on strategic business output. *Jurnal Bisnis Dan Akuntansi*, 12(3), 145-160.
- Tabachnick, Barbara G. and Linda S. Fidell. (2007). *Using Multivariate Statistics*. (5th ed.) New York: Pearson.
- Tayles, M., Pike, R. H. and Sofian, S. (2007). Intellectual capital, management accounting practices and corporate performance: Perceptions of managers. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 20(4), 522-548.
- Tenenhaus, M., Vinzi, V.E., Chatelin, Y.-M. and Lauro, C. (2005). PLS path modeling. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 48 (1), 159-205.

- Tillema, S. (2005). Towards an integrated contingency framework for MAS sophistication: Case studies on the scope of accounting instruments in Dutch power and gas companies. *Management Accounting Research*, 16(1), 101-129.
- Trochim, W. M. K. (2006). *Deduction and Induction. Research Methods Knowledge Base*. Retrieved May 15, 2014, from [www.socialresearchmethods.net/kb/dedind.php](http://www.socialresearchmethods.net/kb/dedind.php)
- Tsui, J. S. L. (2001). The impact of culture on the relationship between budgetary participation, management accounting systems, and managerial performance: An analysis of Chinese and Western managers. *The International Journal of Accounting*, 36(1), 125 -146.
- Tuanmat, T. Z. and Smith, M. (2011a). Changes in management accounting practices in Malaysia. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 19(3), 221-242.
- Tuanmat, T. Z. and Smith, M. (2011b). The effects of changes in competition, technology and strategy on organizational performance in small and medium manufacturing companies. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 19(3), 208-220.
- Urbach, N., and Ahlemann, F. (2010). Structural equation modeling in information systems. *Information Systems Research*, 11(2), 5–40. Retrieved from <http://aisel.aisnet.org/jitta/vol11/iss2/2>
- Urquidi, A. C. and Ripoll, V. M. (2013). The Choice of Management Accounting Techniques in the Hotel Sector: The Role of Contextual Factors. *Journal of Management Research*, 5(2), 65-82.
- Vijayan, V. P., Perumal, V. and Shanmugan, B. (2004). *Waves of multimedia banking development* 9(3). Retrieved 20 February 2014, from [www.arraydev.com](http://www.arraydev.com).
- Verbeeten, F. H. M. (2010). The Impact of Business Unit Strategy, Structure and Technical Innovativeness on Change in Management Accounting and Control Systems at the Business Unit Level: An Empirical Analysis. *International Journal of Management*, 27(1), 23-45.
- Wagner, E. L., Moll, J. and Newell, S. (2011). Accounting logics, reconfiguration of ERP systems and the emergence of new accounting practices: A sociomaterial perspective. *Management Accounting Research*, 22(3), 181-197.
- Walker, K. B., Fleischman, G. and Johnson, E. (2012). Measuring Management Accounting Service Quality. *Management Accounting Quarterly Spring*, 13(3), 15-29.

- Wallace, R. S. O. (1990). Accounting in developing countries. *Research in Third World Accounting*, 1(1), 3-54.
- Wang, E. T. G. (2001). Linking organizational context with structure: a preliminary investigation of the information processing view. *Omega*, 29(1), 429–443.
- Waweru, N., Hoque, Z. and Uliana, E. (2004). Management accounting change in South Africa: Case studies from retail services. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 17(5), 675-704.
- Williams, J. J. and Seaman, A. E. (2001). Predicting change in management accounting system: national culture and industry effects. *Accounting, organization and society*, 26(1), 443-460.
- Williamson, O. (1975). *Markets and Hierarchies: Analysis and Antitrust Implications*. New York: The Free Press.
- Wong, K. K.-K. (2013). Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) techniques using SmartPLS. *Marketing Bulletin*, 24 (1), 1–32.
- Yigitbasioglu, O. (2016). Firms' information system characteristics and management accounting adaptability. *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management*, 24(1), 1-21.
- Zainudin, A. (2014). *Structural Equation Modeling*. University Publication. Shah Alam, Malaysia: UiTM Press.
- Zawawi, N. H. M. and Hoque, Z. (2010). Research in management accounting innovations: An overview of its recent development. *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management*, 7(4), 505-568.
- Zhu, K., Kraemer, K. L., Xu, S. and Dedrick, J. (2004). Information technology payoff in e-business environments: an international perspective on value creation of e-business in the financial services industry. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 21(1), 17-54.
- Zikmund, W. G. (2003). *Business Research Methods*. Ohio: South-Western Thomson.