

TERTIARY TREATMENT OF PALM OIL MILL EFFLUENT USING  
SEQUENTIAL BATCH REACTOR

NURUL SHAKILA BINTI AHMAD ABDUL WAHAB

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

TERTIARY TREATMENT OF PALM OIL MILL EFFLUENT USING  
SEQUENTIAL BATCH REACTOR

NURUL SHAKILA BINTI AHMAD ABDUL WAHAB

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Environmental)

Faculty of Civil Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

MARCH 2017

.....*Specially dedicated to my supportive lecturers:*

*Prof Dr. Azmi bin Aris - "Things will not be perfect",*

*Dr. Muzaffar bin Zainal Abideen - "Fear God, not human" &*

*Dr. M. Ponraj - "As a student, it is ok to make a mistake" .....*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, all praise be to Allah, the Almighty, for His blessings in giving me the strength to complete this thesis.

I would like to convey my sincere gratitude to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for giving me the golden opportunity to do this wonderful project and providing me with enough facilities for the completion of my study. My deepest appreciation to all of my supervisors; Prof Dr. Azmi bin Aris, Dr. Muzaffar bin Zainal Abideen and Dr. M. Ponraj for all of their valuable comments, guidance, supports, motivations and endless patience throughout my study. Because of them, I came to know about so many new things.

Special thanks for all laboratory staff in the Faculty of Civil Engineering, Malaysian Palm Oil Board (MPOB) and PPNJ Kahang Palm Oil Mill for their full cooperation, kindness and technical assistance during the accomplishment of my study. Not forgotten, many thanks to the Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation, Ministry of Higher Education as well as UTM for funding my study and this research. Also, to all of my family members and friends, thank you very much for everything and may Allah bless all of you.

Last but not least, I would like to appreciate my own self for not giving up until the end of my study.

## ABSTRACT

Palm oil mill effluent (POME) is a liquid by-product of palm oil processing. Today, most palm oil mills in Malaysia have adopted ponding treatment system as a primary and secondary treatment of POME. However, this system alone is not sufficient in producing treated effluent that can fulfil the effluent discharge standards. This study was therefore conducted to investigate the feasibility of sequencing batch reactor (SBR) as a tertiary treatment for POME. The study started with examining the effectiveness of ponding treatment system at Pertubuhan Peladang Negeri Johor (PPNJ) Kahang Palm Oil Mill in treating POME and the effect of average rainfall, quantity and quality of the processed fresh fruit bunch (FFB) on raw POME characteristics and ponding treatment system performance. This was followed by the assessment of SBR performance at both laboratory and pilot-scale. The SBR operations were evaluated during its acclimatisation stage as well as the effects of organic loading rate (OLR) and food over microorganism ratio (F/M) on the SBR treatment performance. It was found that PPNJ ponding treatment system, which comprised of five ponds are efficient for POME treatment. The average removal in terms of Total Chemical Oxygen Demand (TCOD), Soluble Chemical Oxygen Demand (SCOD), Total Nitrogen (TN), Total Phosphorus (TP) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS) was 96%, 96%, 86%, 96% and 95%, respectively. However, even though the removal percentage was high, only pH and TN fulfilled the Department of Environment (DOE) effluent discharge standards, whereas the concentration of TSS and TCOD in the treated effluent exceeded the allowable limit. The ponding treatment system was also incapable to decolourise POME and it increased the concentration of Ammonia-Nitrogen ( $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ ) in the treated effluent. Both quantity and quality of processed FFB affected the colour intensity in POME. The average rainfall has minor effect on TP removal, while the quantity of FFB processed influenced SCOD, TCOD and colour removal by the ponding treatment system. Rainfall variation and quantity of processed FFB were found not to significantly affect the removal of TN, TSS and  $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$  by the ponding treatment system. When the partially digested POME was further treated using laboratory-scale SBR, the removal of SCOD ranged from 5 to about 49% with an average of 27%. The best OLR and F/M ratio for the laboratory-scale SBR were 0.58 kg COD/m<sup>3</sup> day and 0.26 g COD/g MLVSS day, respectively. For the pilot-scale SBR, the removal of SCOD ranged from about 3% to 65% with an average of 32%. The best OLR and F/M ratio for the pilot-plant SBR were 0.72 kg COD/m<sup>3</sup> day and 0.17 g COD/g MLVSS day, respectively. Comparatively, SCOD removal by the pilot-scale SBR was slightly better than the laboratory-scale SBR at the best OLR and F/M ratio. In conclusion, ponding systems had demonstrated to be effective for POME treatment except for  $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$  and colour removal. Further treatment of partially treated POME by SBR yields further organic removal.

## ABSTRAK

Efluen kilang kelapa sawit (POME) adalah sisa cecair yang terhasil daripada aktiviti pemprosesan minyak kelapa sawit. Pada hari ini, kebanyakan kilang di Malaysia menggunakan sistem rawatan kolam sebagai rawatan primer dan sekunder untuk POME. Walau bagaimanapun, penggunaan sistem ini sahaja tidak dapat menghasilkan efluen yang dapat memenuhi piawai pelepasan efluen. Oleh sebab itu, kajian ini telah dijalankan bagi mengkaji keupayaan sistem reaktor berkelompok penjujukan (SBR) sebagai rawatan tertier untuk POME. Kajian ini bermula dengan menilai keberkesanan sistem rawatan kolam di Kilang Kelapa Sawit Pertubuhan Peladang Negeri Johor (PPNJ) Kahang dalam merawat POME serta kesan purata hujan, kuantiti dan kualiti tandan buah segar (FFB) yang diproses terhadap ciri-ciri POME dan prestasi sistem rawatan kolam. Ini diikuti dengan penilaian keberkesanan SBR di peringkat skala makmal dan loji pandu. Operasi SBR telah dinilai pada peringkat penyesuaian serta kesan kadar beban organik (OLR) dan nisbah makanan kepada mikroorganisma (F/M) terhadap prestasi rawatan SBR. Didapati bahawa sistem rawatan kolam yang terdiri daripada lima kolam rawatan adalah berkesan dalam merawat POME. Purata penyingkiran bagi Jumlah Permintaan Oksigen Kimia (TCOD), Permintaan Oksigen Kimia Larut (SCOD), Jumlah Nitrogen (TN), Jumlah Fosforus (TP) dan Jumlah Pepejal Terampai (TSS) adalah sebanyak 96%, 96%, 86%, 96% dan 95%. Walaupun peratus penyingkiran adalah tinggi, hanya pH dan TN memenuhi piawaian pelepasan efluen Jabatan Alam Sekitar (DOE) manakala kandungan TSS dan TCOD dalam efluen terawat melebihi had pelepasan yang telah ditetapkan. Sistem rawatan kolam juga tidak dapat menyahwarnakan POME dan juga telah menyebabkan peningkatan kandungan Ammonia-Nitrogen ( $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$ ) dalam efluen terawat. Kedua-dua kuantiti dan kualiti FFB yang diproses mempengaruhi kepekatan warna dalam POME. Purata hujan mempunyai sedikit kesan terhadap penyingkiran TP manakala jumlah FFB diproses mempengaruhi penyingkiran SCOD, TCOD dan warna dalam sistem rawatan kolam. Variasi hujan yang diterima dan kuantiti FFB yang diproses didapati tidak memberikan kesan yang ketara terhadap penyingkiran TN, TSS dan  $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$  oleh sistem rawatan kolam. Apabila POME separa terawat diolah menggunakan SBR berskala makmal, penyingkiran SCOD berada dalam julat 5 hingga kira-kira 49% dengan purata 27%. OLR dan nisbah F/M terbaik bagi SBR berskala makmal adalah  $0.58 \text{ kg COD/m}^3$  hari dan  $0.26 \text{ g COD/g MLVSS}$  hari. Untuk SBR loji pandu, penyingkiran SCOD adalah dalam julat kira-kira 3 hingga 65% dengan purata 32%. OLR dan nisbah F/M terbaik bagi SBR loji pandu adalah  $0.72 \text{ kg COD/m}^3$  hari dan  $0.17 \text{ g COD/g MLVSS}$  hari. Secara perbandingan, penyingkiran SCOD oleh SBR loji pandu adalah lebih baik daripada SBR berskala makmal pada OLR dan nisbah F/M terbaik. Sebagai kesimpulan, sistem rawatan kolam didapati berkesan dalam merawat POME kecuali untuk penyingkiran  $\text{NH}_3\text{-N}$  dan warna. Rawatan lanjutan POME separa terawat dengan menggunakan SBR berjaya menghasilkan penyingkiran tambahan kandungan organik.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <b>CHAPTER</b> | <b>TITLE</b>                 | <b>PAGE</b> |
|----------------|------------------------------|-------------|
|                | <b>DECLARATION</b>           | ii          |
|                | <b>DEDICATION</b>            | iii         |
|                | <b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>       | iv          |
|                | <b>ABSTRACT</b>              | v           |
|                | <b>ABSTRAK</b>               | vi          |
|                | <b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>     | vii         |
|                | <b>LIST OF TABLES</b>        | xi          |
|                | <b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>       | xiii        |
|                | <b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b> | xv          |
|                | <b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>       | xvii        |
|                | <b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>    | xviii       |
| <br>           |                              |             |
| <b>1</b>       | <b>INTRODUCTION</b>          | <b>1</b>    |
|                | 1.1 Background of Study      | 1           |
|                | 1.2 Problem Statement        | 2           |
|                | 1.3 Objective of Study       | 3           |
|                | 1.4 Scope of Study           | 3           |
|                | 1.5 Significance of Study    | 4           |
|                | 1.6 Thesis Outline           | 4           |

|          |  |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
| <b>2</b> | <b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>                 | <b>6</b>  |
| 2.1      | Introduction                             | 6         |
| 2.2      | Palm Oil Mill Effluent                   | 7         |
| 2.2.1    | Characteristics of Raw POME              | 9         |
| 2.2.2    | Impacts of POME to the Environment       | 11        |
| 2.3      | Primary and Secondary Treatment of POME  | 13        |
| 2.3.1    | Ponding System                           | 13        |
| 2.3.2    | Open Digesting Tanks System              | 16        |
| 2.4      | Up-flow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket Reactor | 17        |
| 2.5      | Tertiary Treatment                       | 19        |
| 2.6      | Sequential / Sequencing Batch Reactor    | 20        |
| 2.6.1    | Typical Operational Cycle of SBR         | 21        |
| 2.6.2    | Application of SBR in Effluent Treatment | 22        |
| 2.6.3    | Factors Affecting SBR's Performance      | 28        |
| 2.6.3.1  | Sludge Retention Time / MLSS             | 28        |
| 2.6.3.2  | Organic Loading Rate                     | 30        |
| 2.6.3.3  | Hydraulic Retention Time                 | 31        |
| 2.7      | Microorganisms in POME Treatment         | 32        |
| 2.8      | Conclusion                               | 33        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>              | <b>34</b> |
| 3.1      | Introduction                             | 34        |
| 3.2      | Research Framework                       | 34        |
| 3.3      | Materials and Equipments                 | 36        |
| 3.3.1    | Wastewaters Sample and Seeding Sludge    | 37        |
| 3.3.2    | Laboratory-scale SBR Setup               | 38        |
| 3.3.3    | Pilot-scale SBR Setup                    | 40        |



|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 3.4      | Analytical Methods  | 41        |
| 3.5      | Experimental Procedures   | 42        |
| 3.5.1    | Characterisations of POME and POMSE   | 42        |
| 3.5.2    | Laboratory-scale SBR Operation  | 42        |
| 3.5.3    | Pilot-scale SBR Operation   | 44        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>  | <b>47</b> |
| 4.1      | Introduction  | 47        |
| 4.2      | PPNJ Palm Oil Mill Ponding System   | 48        |
| 4.2.1    | Characterisations of raw POME   | 54        |
| 4.2.2    | Ponding Treatment System Performance  | 52        |
| 4.2.3    | The Correlation between Fruit Bunch<br>Quantity and Raw POME Quality                | 56        |
| 4.2.4    | The Correlation between Fruit Bunch<br>Quality and Raw POME Quality                 | 59        |
| 4.2.5    | The Correlation between Average Rainfalls<br>and PPNJ Ponding Treatment Performance | 63        |
| 4.2.6    | The Correlation between FFB Quantity and<br>PPNJ Ponding Treatment Performance      | 65        |
| 4.2.7    | The Correlation between FFB Quality and<br>PPNJ Ponding Treatment Performance       | 65        |
| 4.3      | Laboratory-scale SBR Performance  | 67        |
| 4.3.1    | Acclimatisation   | 67        |
| 4.3.2    | Effect of OLR on SCOD Removal   | 71        |
| 4.3.3    | Effect of F/M Ratio on SCOD Removal   | 74        |

|          |  |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 4.4      | Pilot-scale SBR Performance                                      | 77        |
| 4.4.1    | Acclimatisation  | 78        |
| 4.4.2    | Effect of OLR on SCOD Removal                                    | 82        |
| 4.4.3    | Effect of F/M Ratio on SCOD Removal                              | 84        |
| 4.4.4    | Comparison between Laboratory<br>and Pilot-Scale SBR Performance | 87        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>                           | <b>89</b> |
| 5.1      | Conclusion   | 89        |
| 5.2      | Recommendation   | 90        |
|          | <b>REFERENCES</b>  | <b>92</b> |
|          | Appendices   | 105       |

## LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO | TITLE  | PAGE |
|----------|--|------|
| 2.1      | Characteristics of raw POME determined in previous studies                   | 10   |
| 2.2      | Effects of raw POME on the environment                                       | 12   |
| 2.3      | Characteristics of each pond<br>(Ibrahim, 2009; Hassan <i>et al.</i> , 2004) | 16   |
| 2.4      | Characteristics of POMSE determined in previous studies                      | 20   |
| 2.5      | SBR for various wastewaters treatment  | 26   |
| 3.1      | List of materials used in the experiment                                     | 36   |
| 3.2      | List of equipments used in the experiment                                    | 37   |
| 3.3      | Components of laboratory-scale SBR system                                    | 39   |
| 3.4      | Analytical methods for wastewater characterisation                           | 41   |
| 3.5      | Operating parameters for laboratory-scale SBR                                | 44   |
| 3.6      | Operating parameters for pilot-scale SBR                                     | 46   |
| 4.1      | Details for each pond of PPNJ Kahang treatment plant (Rani, 2014)            | 49   |
| 4.2      | Characteristics of raw POME in this study                                    | 50   |
| 4.3      | Characteristics of raw POME, POMSE and DOE standard limit                    | 52   |

|      |   |    |
|------|---|----|
| 4.4  | Quantity of FFB processed and properties of POME produced at PPNJ Kahang mill       | 57 |
| 4.5  | Pearson correlation between quantity of FFB and POME characteristics                | 58 |
| 4.6  | Characteristics of each category for palm fruit bunch (Murad <i>et al.</i> , 2015)  | 60 |
| 4.7  | Pearson correlation between quality of FFB and POME characteristics                 | 62 |
| 4.8  | The average rainfall at respective month  | 64 |
| 4.9  | Pearson correlation between average rainfall and PPNJ ponding treatment performance | 65 |
| 4.10 | Pearson correlation between quantity of FFB and PPNJ ponding treatment performance  | 65 |
| 4.11 | Pearson correlation between quality of FFB and PPNJ ponding treatment performance   | 66 |
| 4.12 | Carbon nutrients ratio during adaptation period in laboratory-scale SBR             | 70 |
| 4.13 | Carbon nutrients ratio during adaptation period in pilot-scale SBR                  | 80 |
| 4.14 | Comparison between laboratory and pilot-scale SBR performance                       | 87 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO | TITLE  | PAGE |
|-----------|--|------|
| 2.1       | Number of FFB mills in Malaysia (Malaysian Palm Oil Board, 2016)           | 6    |
| 2.2       | Typical CPO milling process (Department of Environment, 1999)              | 8    |
| 2.3       | Ponding system for POME treatment (Ma and Ong, 1985)                       | 13   |
| 2.4       | Cross-section of UASB reactor (Tilley <i>et al.</i> , 2008)                | 18   |
| 2.5       | The colour of POMSE (Shahrifun <i>et al.</i> , 2015)                       | 19   |
| 2.6       | SBR operating principle  | 21   |
| 3.1       | Research framework for this study  | 35   |
| 3.2       | Laboratory-scale SBR system  | 38   |
| 3.3       | Schematic layout of the laboratory-scale SBR system                        | 38   |
| 3.4       | Schematic diagram of pilot-scale SBR (Vijayaraghavan <i>et al.</i> , 2007) | 40   |
| 3.5       | Operations of pilot-scale SBR  | 46   |
| 4.1       | Schematic layout of PPNJ palm oil mill treatment plant (Rani, 2014)        | 48   |

|      |  |    |
|------|--|----|
| 4.2  | Biomass growth profile during start-up period<br>in laboratory-scale SBR | 68 |
| 4.3  | SCOD removal during start-up period in<br>laboratory-scale SBR           | 70 |
| 4.4  | OLR and SCOD removal efficiency  | 72 |
| 4.5  | The effect of OLR on SCOD removal in<br>laboratory-scale SBR             | 73 |
| 4.6  | SCOD removal in laboratory-scale SBR as a<br>function of F/M ratio       | 75 |
| 4.7  | F/M ratio and SCOD removal efficiency in<br>laboratory-scale SBR         | 76 |
| 4.8  | Biomass growth profile during start-up period of<br>pilot-scale SBR      | 79 |
| 4.9  | SCOD removal during start-up period of<br>pilot-scale SBR                | 80 |
| 4.10 | SCOD removal as a response of OLR in<br>pilot-scale SBR                  | 82 |
| 4.11 | The effect of OLR on SCOD removal throughout<br>the process treatment    | 84 |
| 4.12 | The influence of F/M ratio on SCOD removal in<br>pilot-scale SBR         | 85 |
| 4.13 | SCOD removal efficiency as a function of F/M<br>ratio in pilot-scale SBR | 86 |

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

|                   |   |   |
|-------------------|---|---|
| ADMI              | - | American Dye Manufacturer Institute             |
| AP1/2             | - | Anaerobic Pond 1/2                              |
| APHA              | - | American Public Health Association              |
| BNR               | - | Biological Nutrient Removal                     |
| BOD               | - | Biochemical Oxygen Demand                       |
| BOD <sub>5</sub>  | - | 5-days Biochemical Oxygen Demand                |
| C:N:P             | - | Carbon:Nitrogen:Phosphorus ratio                |
| CaCO <sub>3</sub> | - | Calcium Carbonate                               |
| Cd <sup>2+</sup>  | - | Cadmium ion                                     |
| CH <sub>4</sub>   | - | Methane   |
| CO <sub>2</sub>   | - | Carbon Dioxide                                  |
| COD               | - | Chemical Oxygen Demand                          |
| CPO               | - | Crude Palm Oil                                  |
| DNA               | - | Deoxyribonucleic Acid                           |
| DO                | - | Dissolved Oxygen                                |
| DOE               | - | Department of Environment                       |
| EFB               | - | Empty Fruit Bunch                               |
| F/M               | - | Food to Microorganisms Ratio                    |
| FFB               | - | Fresh Fruit Bunch                               |
| FP1/2/3           | - | Facultative Pond 1/2/3                          |
| HDPE              | - | High Density Polyethylene                       |
| Hg <sup>2+</sup>  | - | Mercuric Ion                                    |
| HR                | - | High Range                                      |
| HRT               | - | Hydraulic Retention Time                        |
| HT1/2             | - | Holding Tank 1/2                                |
| IPASA             | - | Institut Pengurusan Alam Sekitar dan Sumber Air |
| MLSS              | - | Mixed Liquor Suspended Solids                   |

|                    |   |                                      |
|--------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| MPOB               | - | Malaysian Palm Oil Board             |
| MRE                | - | Mixed Raw Effluent                   |
| N <sub>2</sub>     | - | Nitrogen gas                         |
| NH <sub>3</sub> -N | - | Ammoniacal-nitrogen                  |
| NH <sub>4</sub> -N | - | Ammonium-nitrogen                    |
| NO <sub>3</sub> -N | - | Nitrate-nitrogen                     |
| OLR                | - | Organic Loading Rate                 |
| O <sub>2</sub>     | - | Oxygen gas                           |
| PAOs               | - | Polyphosphate-Accumulating Organisms |
| POME               | - | Palm Oil Mill Effluent               |
| POMSE              | - | Palm Oil Mill Secondary Effluent     |
| PO <sub>4</sub> -P | - | Phosphate-phosphorus                 |
| PPNJ               | - | Pertubuhan Peladang Negeri Johor     |
| Pt-Co              | - | Platinum-Cobalt                      |
| PVP                | - | Polyvinylpyrrolidone                 |
| SBR                | - | Sequencing/Sequential Batch Reactor  |
| SCOD               | - | Soluble Chemical Oxygen Demand       |
| SRT                | - | Sludge Retention Time                |
| SS                 | - | Suspended Solids                     |
| TCOD               | - | Total Chemical Oxygen Demand         |
| TKN                | - | Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen              |
| TN                 | - | Total Nitrogen                       |
| TOC                | - | Total Organic Carbon                 |
| TP                 | - | Total Phosphorus                     |
| TS                 | - | Total Solids                         |
| TSS                | - | Total Suspended Solids               |
| UTM                | - | Universiti Teknologi Malaysia        |
| UASB               | - | Up-Flow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket     |
| VSS                | - | Volatile Suspended Solids            |



**LIST OF SYMBOLS**

|                       |   |                               |
|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| %                     | - | percent                       |
| °C                    | - | degree Celcius                |
| μ                     | - | micro                         |
| d                     | - | day                           |
| <i>et al.</i>         | - | and friend                    |
| g                     | - | gram                          |
| h                     | - | hour                          |
| kg/m <sup>3</sup> day | - | kilogram per cubic meter.day  |
| kPa                   | - | kilopascal                    |
| L                     | - | liter                         |
| liter/h               | - | liter per hour                |
| m                     | - | meter (length unit)           |
| m <sup>3</sup>        | - | cubic meter (volumetric unit) |
| m <sup>3</sup> /h     | - | cubic meter per hour          |
| mg/L                  | - | milligram per liter           |
| mL                    | - | mililiter                     |
| mt                    | - | metric tonnes                 |
| nm                    | - | nanometer                     |
| rpm                   | - | rotation per minute           |
| sp.                   | - | species                       |

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

| <b>APPENDIX</b> | <b>TITLE</b>   | <b>PAGE</b> |
|-----------------|--|-------------|
| A               | Equipments of pilot-plant SBR                                    | 105         |
| B               | Analytical methods   | 107         |
| C               | FFB received/inspected by PPNJ Kahang Palm<br>Oil Mill           | 110         |
| D               | Drought monitoring report of Peninsular Malaysia                 | 114         |
| E               | Interpretation of correlation coefficient                        | 124         |
| F               | Manipulation of F/M ratio and OLR                                | 125         |
| G               | The characteristics of POME and POMSE                            | 126         |
| H               | Laboratory-scale SBR: Biomass profile and<br>reactor performance | 133         |
| I               | Laboratory-scale SBR: OLR and SCOD removal                       | 134         |
| J               | Laboratory-scale SBR: F/M ratio and SCOD<br>removal              | 135         |
| K               | Pilot-scale SBR: Biomass profile and reactor<br>performance      | 136         |
| L               | Pilot-scale SBR: OLR and SCOD removal                            | 138         |
| M               | Pilot-scale SBR: F/M ratio and SCOD removal                      | 139         |
| N               | Calibration curve for TCOD versus SCOD                           | 140         |

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background of Study

Palm oil is derived from the fleshy mesocarp of oil palm fruit (Rupani *et al.*, 2010) and can be used as raw material for various products ranging from soap to food products. The extraction of palm oil involved a sequence of operations and requires considerable quantity of water. Consequently, huge quantity of heavily polluted wastewater is generated at different stages of palm oil processing and the effluent constitutes a major source of environmental pollution. Since 1982, ponding system is the widely used conventional treatment for POME in Malaysia. This system has recorded significant percentage of pollutants reduction producing good quality treated effluent (Mumtaz *et al.*, 2010). Unfortunately, this system alone is not sufficient in producing high quality wastewater to meet the industrial wastewater discharge standards (Chan *et al.*, 2010a). Thus, finding a tertiary treatment has become important today so that the treated effluent characteristics are well within the guideline values of each parameter.

One of the methods to improve the treated effluent quality is by adopting Sequencing Batch Reactor (SBR) after the ponding treatment system. This treatment is based on the principles of activated sludge process and has been widely used to treat various types of wastewater (Mace and Mata-Alvarez, 2002). Previous studies have shown that the performance of SBR are greatly affected by different factors such as process loading factors, environmental factors as well as operational factors and these parameters can be manipulated to optimise the SBR treatment process. As

different industries produced different characteristics of wastewater, it is crucial to manipulate these parameters to maximise the SBR treatment performance. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to investigate the application of laboratory and pilot-scale SBR for the treatment of partially digested POME and the effect of two variable parameters on SBR treatment performance. This study also focuses on performance comparison between laboratory and pilot-scale SBR in treating partially digested POME.

## 1.2 Problem Statement

Significant number of studies has been conducted on the subject of POME treatment. Although many new technologies have been discovered with promising effluent quality, ponding system are still the most widely applied treatment system for POME in Malaysia and this system has shown excellent performance in treating POME (Ma and Ong, 1985; Ugoji, 1996; Razak, 2015). The performances of this ponding treatment system are affected by several factors such as operating temperature, mixing, pH and OLR (Abdurahman *et al.*, 2013). Ponding treatment system performance could also be influenced by other factors such as rainfall and quantity and quality of the processed fresh fruit bunch (FFB). However, the effects of these factors are apparently missing in the literature. Hence, there is a need to investigate the effect of these variables on raw POME characteristics and the ponding treatment system performance.

As mentioned earlier, ponding treatment system alone is not sufficient in producing treated effluent that complies with the standards imposed by the Department of Environment (DOE). Previous works have shown that treated effluent from ponding treatment system or also known as palm oil mill secondary effluent (POMSE) has much lower level of COD, BOD and TSS than raw POME. However, it still has strong odour, relatively high organic content and thick brownish colour, which could potentially pollute the water environment and destroy the ecosystem (Shahrifun *et al.*, 2015). This has prompted the use of tertiary treatment system to enhance the quality of treated effluent. In this study, SBR was adopted as

the tertiary treatment for POME after the ponding treatment system. Although SBR system has been used for years at some actual POME treatment system, reports on the feasibility of SBR in treating POMSE and the effects of organic loading rate (OLR) and food to microorganisms ratio (F/M) on SCOD biodegradation are still scarce. Thus, this study will provide some overview regarding SBR performance in POMSE treatment.

### **1.3 Objective of Study**

The objectives of this study are:

- 1) To determine the effectiveness of actual POME ponding treatment system and the influence of average rainfall and quality and quantity of the processed FFB on raw POME properties and ponding treatment system performance in treating POME.
- 2) To compare the treatability of POMSE at both laboratory and pilot-scale SBR as well as the effect of F/M and OLR on SCOD removal.

### **1.4 Scope of Study**

The properties of POME, POMSE together with the effectiveness of ponding treatment system in treating POME were evaluated at PPNJ Kahang palm oil mill. Samples of raw POME and POMSE were collected and characterised to determine the efficiency of ponding treatment system. The parameters were analysed at PPNJ mill and UTM laboratories, which include pH, TCOD, SCOD, TSS, TN, TP, colour and NH<sub>3</sub>-N. The effects of rainfall and quality and quantity of processed FFB on raw POME characteristics and ponding system performance were also studied.

This study also covers the application of SBR as a tertiary treatment for POME. An aerobic-anoxic laboratory-scale SBR with a working volume of 10 L was used in the preliminary study while a pilot-scale SBR with a working volume of 70 m<sup>3</sup> was employed at site to treat POMSE. The laboratory-scale study was conducted at Research Laboratory 3, Faculty of Civil Engineering, UTM Skudai, while the pilot-scale study was carried out at UTM Pilot Plant Kahang that is located next to PPNJ Kahang palm oil mill. After the acclimatisation period, the variation of F/M ratio and OLR were applied for both laboratory and pilot-scale SBRs to determine their effects on the SCOD removal. The performances of both laboratory and pilot-scale SBR in terms of SCOD degradation then were compared.

### **1.5 Significance of Study**

The significances of the study are:

1. It provides information on the effect of rainfall and quality as well as quantity of processed FFB on raw POME characteristics and ponding treatment system performance.
2. It demonstrates the practical potential of SBR system as a tertiary treatment for POME. As the standards for the quality of POME discharged into watercourse becomes more stringent these days and to reduce the undesired environmental pollution, an efficient tertiary treatment system is highly desirable at all palm oil mills.

### **1.6 Thesis Outline**

This thesis is divided into five chapters. Chapter 1 is an introductory chapter that presents a general statement of this study. It includes a problem statements that describe the problems being investigated, objectives of the study that emphasize on

the desired outcomes, scope of study and significance of study which discusses the importance and benefits in conducting this study. Chapter 2 provides the background knowledge and ideas related to the study. It gives an overview of POME, which includes its sources, characteristics, hazardous impacts and example of treatments. The use of SBR system for various effluent treatments and the effect of its operating parameters on biological nutrient removal (BNR) are discussed. This chapter also comprises general discussion about microorganisms in POME treatment. Chapter 3 describes the methodology of the study in order to achieve the objectives. Chapter 4 discusses the experimental results as well as the interpretation and explanation of those research findings. Research questions are answered in this part, and the findings are re-evaluated with the existing knowledge that is related to the findings. The purpose of Chapter 5 is to conclude the results obtained for each research objective. This is followed by the recommendations and suggestions for future study in the related field.

## REFERENCES

- Abdurahman, N. H., Azhari, N. H. and Rosli, Y. M. (2013). *The Performance Evaluation of Anaerobic Methods for Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) Treatment: A Review*. In Quinn, N. W. T. *International Perspectives on Water Quality Management and Pollutant Control* (87-106). INTECH Open Access Publisher.
- Ahmad, A. L., Ismail, S., and Bhatia, S. (2003). Water Recycling from Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) using Membrane Technology. *Desalination*. 157(1): 87-95.
- Alade, A.O., Jameel, A. T., Muyubi, S. A., Karim, M. I. A. and Alam, M. Z. (2011). Removal of Oil and Grease as Emerging Pollutants of Concern (EPC) in Wastewater Stream. *Special Issue on Biotechnology*. 12(4): 161-169.
- Ali, M.H. (2010). *Fundamentals of Irrigation and On-farm Water Management Volume 1*. New York, USA: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Århem K. (2011). *Environmental Consequences of the Palm Oil Industry in Malaysia*. Bachelor Degree Thesis, Lund University, Sweden.
- Asadi, A. and Ziantizadeh, A. A. L. (2011). Statistical Analysis and Optimization of an Aerobic SBR Treating an Industrial Estate Wastewater Using Response Surface Methodology (RSM). *Iranica Journal of Energy & Environment*. 2(4): 356-365.
- Awotoye, O. O., Dada, A. C. and Arawomo, G. A. O. (2011). Impact of Palm Oil Processing Effluent Discharge on the Quality of Receiving Soil and River in South Western Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*. 7(2): 111-118.



- Azuar, S. A., Hassan, H. M. and Ibrahim, M. H (2015). Phytotoxicity Effect of Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) on Lettuce Seed (*L. sativa L.*) after Vermifiltration Treatment. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*. 5(6): 1-5.
- Badroldin, N. A. B. (2010). *Treatment of Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) using Hybrid Up Flow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket (HUASB) Reactor*. Master Thesis. Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia.
- Bala, J. D., Lalung, J. and Ismail, N. (2014). Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) Treatment ‘‘Microbial Communities in an Anaerobic Digester’’: A Review. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*. 4(6):1-24.
- Baskar, M. and Sukumaran, B. (2015). Effective Method of Treating Wastewater from Meat Processing Industry using Sequencing Batch Reactor. *International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)*. 2(2):27-31.
- Bernet, N., Delgenes, N., Akunna, J. C., Delgenes, J. P. and Moletta, R. (2000). Combined Anaerobic–Aerobic SBR for the Treatment of Piggery Wastewater. *Water Research*. 34(2): 611-619.
- Bilotta, G.S. and Brazier, R.E. (2008). Understanding the Influence of Suspended Solids on Water Quality and Aquatic Biota. *Water Res*. 42(12):2849-2861.
- Boopathy, R., Bonvillain, C., Fontenot, Q. and Kilgen, M. (2007). Biological Treatment of Low-salinity Shrimp Aquaculture Wastewater using Sequencing Batch Reactor. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*. 59(1): 16-19.
- Borja, R. and Banks, C. J. (1994). Anaerobic Digestion of Palm Oil Mill Effluent using an Up-flow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket Reactor. *Biomass and Bioenergy*: 6: 381-389.

- Butler, E., Hung, Y. T., Al Ahmad, M. S., Yeh, R. Y. L., Liu, R. L. H. and Fu, Y. P. (2015). Oxidation Pond for Municipal Wastewater Treatment. *Applied Water Science*. 1-21.
- Camargo, J. A. and Alonso, Á. (2006). Ecological and Toxicological Effects of Inorganic Nitrogen Pollution in Aquatic Ecosystems: A Global Assessment. *Environment International*. 32: 831-849.
- Chan, Y. J., Chong, M. F. and Law, C. L. (2010a). Biological Treatment of Anaerobically Digested Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) using a Lab-Scale Sequencing Batch Reactor (SBR). *Journal of Environmental Management*. 91: 1738-1746.
- Chan, Y. J., Chong, M. F. and Law, C. L. (2010b). Effects of Temperature on Aerobic Treatment of Anaerobically Digested Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME). *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 49: 7093-7101.
- Chan, Y. J., Chong, M. F. and Law, C. L. (2013). Optimization of Palm Oil Mill Treatment in an Integrated Anaerobic-Aerobic Bioreactor. *Sustain. Environ. Res.* 23(3): 153-170.
- Chan, Y. J., Chong, M. F., Law, C.L. and Hassel, D.G. (2009). A Review on Anaerobic–Aerobic Treatment of Industrial and Municipal Wastewater. *Chemical Engineering Journal*. 155(2009): 1-18.
- Chiavola, A., Farabegoli, G. and Antonetti, F. (2014). Biological Treatment of Olive Mill Wastewater in a Sequencing Batch Reactor. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*. 85: 71-78.
- Chou, K. W., Tan, S. W., Morad, N., Tow, T.T. and Kadir, M. O. A. (2016). Aerobic Post-Treatment of Different Anaerobically Digested Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME). *International Journal of Environmental Science and Development*. 7(7): 511-515.
- Davey, M. E. and O’Toole, G. A. (2000). Microbial Biofilms: From Ecology to Molecular Genetics. *Microbiol. Mol. Boil. Rev.* 64:847-867.

- Davies, P. S. (2005). *The Biological Basis of Wastewater Treatment*. Glasgow, UK: Strathkelvin Instrument Ltd.
- Department of Environment (1999). *Industrial Processes and The Environment (Handbook No. 3) – Crude Palm Oil Industry*. Malaysia: Ministry of Science, Technology and the Environment.
- Dohare, E. D. and Bochar, E.P. (2014). Sequential Batch Reactors: Taking Package Wastewater Treatment to New Heights – A Review. *International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology (IJCIET)*. 5(10):131-138.
- Elmolla, E. S., Ramdass, N. and Chaudhuri, M. (2012). Optimization of Sequencing Batch Reactor Operating Conditions for Treatment of High-strength Pharmaceutical Wastewater. *Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*. 5(6): 452-459.
- Fernandes, H., Jungles, M. K., Hoffmann, H., Antonio, R. V. and Costa, R. H. (2013). Full-scale Sequencing Batch Reactor (SBR) for Domestic Wastewater: Performance and Diversity of Microbial Communities. *Bioresource Technology*. 132: 262-268.
- Franta, J. R. and Wilderer, P. A. (1997). Biological Treatment of Papermill Wastewater by Sequencing Batch Reactor Technology to Reduce Residual Organics. *Water Science and Technology*. 35(1): 129-136.
- Fun, C. W., Haq, M. R. U. and Kutty, S. R. M. (2007). *Treatment of Palm Oil Mill Effluent using Biological Sequencing Batch Reactor System*. In Brebbia, C.A. and Katsifarakis, K.L. *River Basin Management IV* (511-518). Southampton, Boston: WIT Press.
- Ganjidoust, H. and Ayati, B. (2004). Use of Sequencing Batch Reactors (SBRs) in Treatment of Wood Fiber Wastewater. *Iranian J Env Health Sci Eng*. 1(2): 91-96.
- Gerardi, M. H. (2002). *Nitrification and Denitrification in the Activated Sludge Process*. New York: John Wiley and Sons.

- Gerardi, M. H. (2006). *Wastewater Bacteria (Volume 5)*. New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons.
- Gomez, R. D. (2011). *Upflow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket Reactor: Modelling*. Licentiate Thesis. Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden.
- Hadiyanto and Nur, M. M. A. (2014). Lipid Extraction of Microalga *Chlorella* sp. Cultivated in Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) Medium. *World Applied Sciences Journal*. 31 (5): 959-967
- Hajiabadi, H., Moghaddam, M. R. A. and Hashemi, S. H. (2009a). Effect of Sludge Retention Time on Treating High Load Synthetic Wastewater Using Aerobic Sequencing Batch Reactors. *Iran. J. Environ. Health. Sci. Eng.* 6(4):217-222.
- Hajiabadi, H., Moghadam, S. M. A. and Hashemi, S. H. (2009b). The Effect of Organic Loading Rate on Milk Wastewater Treatment Using Sequencing Batch Reactor (SBR). *J. Water and Wastewater*. 71: 50-56.
- Hammer, M.J. (1986). *Water and Wastewater Technology (Second Edition)*. New York: John Wiley and Sons
- Hassan, M. A., Yacob, S., Shirai, Y. and Hung, Y. T. (2004). *Treatment of Palm Oil Wastewater. Handbook of Industrial and Hazardous Wastes 2nd Edition*. New York, United State: Marcel Dekker, Inc.
- Ibrahim, A. H., Dahlan, I., Adlan, M. N. and Dasti, A. F. (2012). Comparative Study on Characterization of Malaysian Palm Oil Mill Effluent. *Research Journal of Chemical Sciences*. 2(12):1-5.
- Ibrahim, S. B. M. (2009). *Chemical Precipitation of Palm Oil Mill Effluent*. Master Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Irenosen, O. G., Oluyemi, A. S., Korede, A. O. and Samuel, A. S. (2014). Integration of Physical, Chemical and Biological Methods for the Treatment of Palm Oil Mill Effluent. *Science Journal of Analytical Chemistry*. 2(2):7-10.

- Jefferson, K. K. (2004). What Drives Bacteria to Produce a Biofilm?. *Microbiol. Lett.* 236:163-173.
- Kanimozhi, R. and Vasudevan, N. (2014). Effect of Organic Loading Rate on the Performance of Aerobic SBR Treating Anaerobically Digested Distillery Wastewater. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy.* 16(3): 467-476.
- Kanu, Ijeoma and Achi, O. K. (2011). Industrial Effluents and Their Impact on Water Quality of Receiving Rives in Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Technology in Environmental Sanitation.* 1(1): 75-86.
- Kapdan, I. K. and Oztekin, R. (2005). Effect of Operating Parameters on Color and COD Removal Performance of SBR: Sludge Age and Initial Dyestuff Concentration. *Journal of Hazardous Materials.* 123(1-3): 217-222.
- Kargi, F. and Uygur, A. (2002). Nutrient Removal Performance of a Sequencing Batch Reactor as a Function of the Sludge Age. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology.* 31: 842-847.
- Khalid, A. A. H., Yaakob, Z., Abdullah, S. R. S. and Takriff, M. S. (2016). Enhanced Growth and Nutrients Removal Efficiency of *Characium* sp. Cultured in Agricultural Wastewater via Acclimatized Inoculum and Effluent Recycling. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering.* 4(3): 3426-3432.
- Kundu, P., Debsarkar, A. and Mukherjee, S. (2013). Treatment of Slaughter House Wastewater in a Sequencing Batch Reactor: Performance Evaluation and Biodegradation Kinetics. *BioMed Research International.* 2013:1-11.
- Lamine, M., Bousselmi, L. and Ghrabi, A. (2007). Biological Treatment of Grey Water Using Sequencing Batch Reator. *Desalination.* 215:127-132.
- Latif, A., Suhaimi, A. A. and Romzay, R. A. (2014). Application of *Elaeis guineensis* Leaves in Palm Oil Mill Effluent Treatment. *Indian Journal of Science and Technology.* 7(3): 254-261.

- Lo, K. V. and Liao, P. H. (2007). A Full-Scale Sequencing Batch Reactor System for Swine Wastewater Treatment. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part B*. 42(2): 237-240.
- Lohani, S. P., Chhetri, A. and Khanal, S. N. (2015). A Simple Anaerobic System for Onsite Treatment of Domestic Wastewater. *African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*. 9(4): 292-300.
- Ma, A. N. and Ong, A. S. H. (1985). Pollution Control in Palm Oil Mills in Malaysia. *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society (JAOCS)*. 62(2): 261-266.
- Mace, S. and Mata-Alvarez, J. (2002). Utilization of SBR Technology for Wastewater Treatment: An Overview. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 41: 5539-5553.
- Madaki, Y. S. and Seng, L. (2013). Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) from Malaysia Palm Oil Mills: Waste or Resource. *International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology*. 2(6): 1138 -1155.
- Malakahmad, A., Hasani, A., Eisakhani, M. and Isa, M. H. (2011). Sequencing Batch Reactor (SBR) for the Removal of  $Hg^{2+}$  and  $Cd^{2+}$  from Synthetic Petrochemical Factory Wastewater. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. 191(1): 118-125.
- Malaysian Palm Oil Board (MPOB), 2016. Available from: <http://bepi.mpob.gov.my/index.php/en/statistics/sectoral-status.html> (accessed 15/12/2016).
- Mhanhmad, S., Leewanich, P., Punsuvon, V., Chanprame, S. and Srinives, P. (2011). Seasonal Effects on Bunch Components and Fatty Acid Composition in Dura Oil Palm (*Elaeis guineensis*). *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 6(7): 835-1843.
- Mohammed, R. R. and Chong, M. F. (2014). Treatment and Decolorization of Biologically Treated Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) using Banana Peel as Novel Biosorbent. *Journal of Environmental Management*. 132:237-249.

- Mohseni-Bandpi, A. and Bazari, H. (2004). Biological Treatment of Dairy Wastewater by Sequencing Batch Reactor. *Iranian J Env Health Sci Eng.* 1(2): 65-69.
- Muhamad, M. H. B. (2011). *Penyingkiran Sebatian Organik Berhalogen Terjerap (AOX) dari Air Sisa Industri Kertas Kitar Semula Melalui Sistem Loji Pandu Granular Karbon Teraktif – Reaktor Biofilem Sesejumlah Berjajukan (GAC-SBBR)*. Thesis Sarjana, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi.
- Mulkerrins, D., Dobson, A. D. W. and Colleran, E. (2004). Parameters Affecting Biological Phosphate Removal from Wastewaters. *Environment International.* 30(2): 249-259.
- Mumtaz, T., Yahaya, N. A., Abd-Aziz, S., Yee, P. L., Shirai, Y. and Hassan, M. A. (2010). Turning Waste to Wealth-biodegradable Plastics Polyhydroxyalkanoates from Palm Oil Mill Effluent – a Malaysian Perspective. *Journal of Cleaner Production.* 18:1393-1402.
- Murad, A. J., Awang, M. R., Mohamed, A. R. H., Osman, H. Z., Salleh, M. Z. and Ahmad, H. (2015). *Manual Penggredan Buah Kelapa Sawit MPOB*. Selangor: Lembaga Minyak Sawit Malaysia (MPOB).
- Murugesan, M. P., Akilamudhan, P., Sureshkumar, A. and Arunkarthikeya, G. (2014). Treatment of Hospital and Biomedical Waste Effluent Using HUASB Reactor. *International Journal of Innovation and Scientific Research.* 11(2): 379-386.
- Nawaz, M. S. and Khan, S. J. (2013). Effect of HRT on SBR Performance for Treatability of Combined Domestic and Textile Wastewaters. *J. Chem. Soc. Pak.* 35(2):527-532.
- Nualwijit, N., Lerslerwong, L. and Imsabai, W. (2012). Ripening Delay and Reduction of Free Fatty Acids of Oil Palm Fruit in Response to 1-Methylcyclopropene. *II Asia Pacific Symposium on Postharvest Research Education and Extension: APS2012 1011.* 18-20 September 2012. Yogyakarta, 343-349.

- Nwuche, C. O., Aoyagi, H. and Ogbonna, J. C. (2014). Treatment of Palm Oil Mill Effluent by a Microbial Consortium Developed from Compost Soils. *International Scholarly Research Notices*. 2014: 1-8.
- Omar, L., Mohammed, M., Karima, E.K. and Mohamed, B. (2015). Brassware Wastewater Treatment Optimization in the City of Fez with Sequencing Batch Reactor using Activated Sludge. *J. Mater. Environ. Sci.* 6(6): 1562-1569.
- Panapanaan, V., Helin, T., Kujanpää, M., Soukka, R., Heinimö, J. and Linnanen, L. (2009). *Sustainability of Palm Oil Production and Opportunities for Finnish Technology and Know-how Transfer*. Finland: Lappeenranta University of Technology.
- Phuntsho, S., Shon, H. K., Vigneswaran, S. and Kandasamy, J. (2008). *Wastewater Stabilisation Ponds (WSP) for Wastewater Treatment*. In Vigneswaran, S. *Water and Wastewater Treatment Technologies Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems (EOLSS) Volume 2* (p.44). Oxford, United Kingdom: EOLSS Publishers.
- Rani, Z. B. M. (2014). *Production of Polyhydroxybutyrate During the Treatment of Palm Oil Mill Effluent*. Master Thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai.
- Razak, M. H. (2015). *Membrane Bioreactor for the Tertiary Treatment of Palm Oil Mill Effluent*. Master Thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai.
- Rupani, P. F., Singh, R. P., Ibrahim, M. H. and Esa, N. (2010). Review of Current Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) Treatment Methods: Vermicomposting as a Sustainable Practice. *World Applied Sciences Journal*. 11(1):70-81.
- Salihu, A. and Alam, M. Z. (2012). Palm Oil Mill Effluent: A Waste or a Raw Material?. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*. 8(1): 466-473.
- Salmiati; Salim, M. R.; Ujang, Z. and Olsson, G. (2010). Process development to produce PHAs from fermented palm oil mill effluent using anaerobic-aerobic



sequencing batch reactor. *Proc. 1st IWA Malaysia Young Water Professionals Conference (IWAYP2010)*. 2-4 March 2010. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. .

Samad, R. and Zawawi, F. (2013). Oil Palm Fruit Maturity Grading System using Computer Vision Technique. *Malaysian Technical Universities Conference on Engineering & Technology (MUCET)*. 3-4 December 2013. Kuantan, pg 1-2.

Sathian, S., Rajasimman, M., Radha, G., Shanmugapriya, V. and Karthikeyan, C. (2014). Performance of SBR for the Treatment of Textile Dye Wastewater: Optimization and Kinetic Studies. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*. 53(2): 417-426.

Seabloom, R.W. and J.R Buchanan. (2005). *Aerobic Treatment of Wastewater and Aerobic Treatment Units Text*. In M.A. Gross and N.E. Deal. *Module Text, University Curriculum Development for Decentralized Wastewater Management. National Decentralized Water Resources Capacity Development Project*. (page 7). University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR.

Setyawaty, R., Setiadi, T., Katayama-Hirayama, K., Kaneko H. and Hirayama, K. (2012). Polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) Production from Tapioca Industrial Wastewater Treatment: Influence of Operating Conditions on PHA Content. *Sustain. Environ. Res*. 22(2): 123-127.

Shahrifun, N. S. A., Ab'lah, N. N., Hussain, H., Aris, A., Omar, Q. and Ahmad, N. (2015). Characterisation of Palm Oil Mill Secondary Effluent (POMSE). *Malaysian Journal of Civil Engineering*. 27(1): 144-151.

Shakerkhatibi, M., Monajemi, P., Jafarzadeh, M. T., Mokhtari, S. A. and Farshchian, M. R. (2013). Feasibility Study on EO/EG Wastewater Treatment Using Pilot Scale SBR. *Int. J. Environ. Res*. 7(1): 195-204.

Siang, L.C. (2006). *Biodegradation of Oil and Grease in Upflow Anaerobic Sludge Blanket Reactor for Palm Oil Mill Effluent Treatment*. Master Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

- Singh, R., Paul, B. And Jain, R. K. (2006). Biofilms: Implications in Bioremediation. *Trends in Microbiology*. 14(9):389-397.
- Sirianuntapiboon, S. and Hongsrisuwan, T. (2007). Removal of Zn<sup>2+</sup> and Cu<sup>2+</sup> by a Sequencing Batch Reactor (SBR) System. *Bioresource technology*. 98(4): 808-818.
- Sirianuntapiboon, S., Chairattanawan, K. and Rarunroeng, M. (2008). Biological Removal of Cyanide Compounds from Electroplating Wastewater (EPWW) by Sequencing Batch Reactor (SBR) System. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*. 154(1): 526-534.
- Sirianuntapiboon, S., Chairattanawan, K. and Jungphungsukpanich, S. (2006). Some Properties of a Sequencing Batch Reactor System for Removal of Vat Dyes. *Bioresource Technology*. 97(10): 1243-1252.
- Sivakumar, M.S. and Asha, B. (2012). Effect of Organic Loading Rate on Dairy Wastewater Using Anaerobic Bio-film Reactor. *Jr. of Industrial Pollution Control*. 28(1):21-24.
- Tay, J. H. (1991). Complete Reclamation of Oil Palm Wastes. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*. 5(4): 383-392.
- Tchobanoglous, G., Burton, F.L. and Stensel, H.D. (2004). *Wastewater Engineering, Treatment and Use* (Fourth Edition). New York: Mc-Graw-Hill.
- Tilley, E., Lüthi, C., Morel, A., Zurbrügg, C. and Schertenleib, R. (2008). *Compendium of Sanitation Systems and Technologies*. Dübendorf: Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology (Eawag).
- Torkian, A., Eqbali, A. and Hashemian, S. J. (2003). The Effect of Organic Loading Rate on the Performance of UASB Reactor Treating Slaughterhouse Effluent. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*. 40(1):1-11.
- Tortora, E. J., Funke, B. R. and Case, C. L. (2007). *Microbiology an Introduction* (Ninth Edition). San Francisco: Pearson Education, Inc.

- Tsang, Y. F., Hua, F. L., Chua, H., Sin, S. N. and Wang, Y. J. (2007). Optimization of Biological Treatment of Paper Mill Effluent in Sequencing Batch Reactor. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*. 34 (2007): 193-199.
- Ugoji, E. O. (1996). Anaerobic Digestion of Palm Oil Mill Effluent and Its Utilization as Fertilizer for Environmental Protection. *Renewable Energy*. 10(2/3): 291-294.
- Ujang, Z., Salmiati and Salim, M. R. (2010). Microbial Biopolymerization Production from Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME). In Elnashar, M. (Ed.). *Biopolymers* (pp. 473-494). InTech: Sciyo.
- Verheye, W. (2010). *Growth and Production of Oil Palm*. In Verheye, W. (ed.). *Land Use, Land Cover and Soil Sciences Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems (EOLSS)* (page 3). Oxford, UK: UNE SCO-EOLSS Publishers.
- Verla, A. W., Adowei, P. and Verla, E. N. (2014). Physicochemical and Microbiological Characteristic of Palm oil Mill effluent (POME) in Nguru: Aboh Mbaise, Eastern Nigeria. *Acta Chim. Pharm. Indica*. 4(3):119-125.
- Vijayaraghavan, K., Ahmad, D. and Aziz, M. E. B. A. (2007). Aerobic treatment of palm oil mill effluent. *Journal of environmental management*. 82(1): 24-31.
- Wang, J., Mahmood, Q., Qiu, J. P., Li, Y. S., Chang, Y. S. and Li, X. D. (2015). Anaerobic Treatment of Palm Oil Mill Effluent in Pilot-Scale Anaerobic EGSB Reactor. *BioMed Research International*. 2015:1-7.
- Wu, T. Y., Mohammad, A. W., Jahim, J. M. and Anuar, N. (2007). Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) Treatment and Bioresources Recovery Using Ultrafiltration Membrane: Effect of Pressure on Membrane Fouling. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*. 35(3), 309-317.
- Wurts, W. A. (2003). Daily pH Cycle and Ammonia Toxicity. *World Aquaculture*. 34(2): 20-21.

Ying, T.S. (2013). *Microalgae for Tertiary Treatment of Palm Oil Mill Effluent (POME) - Effect Of Light Penetration and Kinetic Study*. Bachelor Thesis. Universiti Malaysia Pahang.

Zou, K., H., Tuncali, K. and Silverman, S. G. (2003). Correlation and Simple Linear Regression. *Radiology*. 227(3): 617-622.