

DETERMINATION OF UNIT SKIN FRICTION FOR PILE IN SAND

NURUL NADILAH BINTI MUHAMMAT

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

“I hereby declare that I have read this project report and in my opinion this project report is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Civil-Geotechnics)”

Signature :

Name : PROFESSOR IR. DR. HJ. RAMLI BIN NAZIR

Date : 30th DECEMBER 2015

DETERMINATION OF UNIT SKIN FRICTION FOR PILE IN SAND

NURUL NADILAH BINTI MUHAMMAT

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award degree of
Master of Engineering (Civil-Geotechnics)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DECEMBER 2015

*Dedicated to my parents whose passion, motivation proved vital in fulfilling the
partial requirements of the project,*

and

My wonderwall who has been a great source of inspiration.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to express my gratitude to Allah the Almighty for His guidance and help in giving me the strength and wisdom to complete this report. For this golden opportunity, I would like to express my heartiest appreciation to my supervisor, Professor Ir. Dr. Hj. Ramli Bin Nazir for his understanding and professional ways in assisting and giving his encouragement, guidance, comment and ideas that were useful in the development of my project report. Without his patience and motivation, the objectives set in the early stages of this report would have been a mammoth task to achieve.

I would also like to thank Nur Hafizah Binti Bakar for her constructive suggestion and cooperation in giving me the necessary data. Her cooperation indeed makes my work become easier and faster.

Very special thanks to my dearest family especially to my parents, who have supported me all the way since the beginning of my studies and praying for me. I would also like to thank all my friends who took part in helping me to complete this project report. Last but not least, it gives me a great pleasure to express my greatest appreciation to all those people who have been involved directly or indirectly throughout this journey.

ABSTRACT

The unit skin friction is difficult to assess. The method of installation of the pile and the pile material, as such, assume considerable significance as shall be seen hereafter. The choice of the unit skin friction formula depends on the soil type and the pile type. The consistency of unit skin friction value is depends on the unit weight of sand. Different types of sand will produce different values of unit skin friction. In this paper, a program of field and load testing tests for 2 bored piles with diameter varying from 600 mm to 750 mm constructed in Malaysian sand was conducted to measure the axial response of bored piles. The predicted unit skin friction were obtained from semi-empirical method suggested by Meyerhoff (1976), Reese and Wright (1977), and Tan et al. (1998). The measured unit skin friction value was estimated from back analysis of data obtained from pile load test. The results then were evaluated and compared. Based on the result obtained, the predicted unit skin friction of bored piles in dense sand will be higher than loose sand due to the roughness of the material itself. The measured unit skin friction obtained from back analysis of pile load test also provides the nearest value of unit skin friction to the predicted unit skin friction as suggested by Tan et al. (1998). It shows that the value can be considerably used for construction of bored piles in sand in Malaysia.

ABSTRAK

Unit geseran kulit adalah sukar untuk dinilai. Kaedah pemasangan cerucuk dan bahan cerucuk adalah antara faktor yang diperlukan untuk menilai unit geseran kulit. Formula unit geseran kulit bergantung kepada jenis tanah dan jenis cerucuk. Nilai unit geseran kulit juga bergantung kepada berat unit pasir. Jenis pasir yang berbeza akan menghasilkan nilai unit geseran kulit yang berbeza. Dalam kertas kerja ini, program ujian lapangan dan ujian beban cerucuk untuk 2 cerucuk tuang situ dengan diameter yang berbeza-beza dari 600 mm ke 750 mm dibina di pasir Malaysia telah dijalankan untuk mengukur tindak balas paksi cerucuk tuang situ. Cerucuk tuang situ tersebut akan diuji menggunakan ujian beban untuk mengesahkan integriti dan prestasi cerucuk tuang situ. Untuk ujian lapangan, unit geseran kulit akan ditentukan secara kaedah empirikal yang dicadangkan oleh Meyerhoff (1976), Reese dan Wright (1977), dan Tan et al. (1998). Bagi ujian beban cerucuk, nilai unit geseran kulit dianggarkan daripada hasil analisis yang diperolehi daripada ujian beban cerucuk. Hasil keputusan akan dinilai dan dibandingkan dengan unit geseran kulit yang diramalkan. Berdasarkan keputusan yang diperolehi, unit geseran kulit yang diramalkan daripada cerucuk tuang situ di dalam pasir padat akan memberi nilai yang lebih tinggi berbanding pasir longgar akibat daripada kekasaran bahan itu sendiri. Unit geseran kulit yang diperolehi daripada ujian beban cerucuk juga memberi nilai yang terdekat dengan unit geseran kulit yang dicadangkan oleh Tan et al. (1998). Ini menunjukkan bahawa nilai unit geseran itu boleh digunakan untuk pembinaan cerucuk tuang situ di dalam pasir di Malaysia.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xiii
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objectives of the Study	3
	1.4 Scope of the Study	3
	1.5 Significance of the Study	4
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
	2.1 Introduction	5

2.2	Deep Foundation	5
2.3	Type of Pile	6
2.3.1	Mechanism of Load Transfer	6
2.3.1.1	End Bearing Pile	7
2.3.1.2	Friction Pile	8
2.3.1.3	Friction cum End Bearing Pile	9
2.3.2	Method of Installation	9
2.3.2.1	Driven or Displacement Piles	10
2.3.2.2	Replacement or Non- displacement Piles	11
2.3.3	Type of Materials	12
2.3.3.1	Timber Piles	13
2.3.3.2	Steel Piles	14
2.3.3.3	Concrete Piles	15
2.3.3.4	Composite Piles	17
2.4	Construction Procedures of Bored Piles	17
2.4.1	Dry Method	18
2.4.2	Casing Method	19
2.4.3	Slurry/Wet Method	22
2.5	Load Capacity of Piles	25
2.5.1	Standard Penetration Test (SPT)	25
2.5.1.1	Test Procedures	29
2.5.2	Static Load Test (Maintained Load Test)	30
2.5.2.1	Test Equipment and Instruments	31
2.5.2.2	Test Procedures	33
2.6	Geotechnical Capacity of Bored Pile in Malaysia	34

2.6.1	Design of Geotechnical Capacity in Soil	36
2.6.1.1	Semi-empirical Method	36
2.6.1.2	Simplified Soil Mechanics Method	39
3	METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Introduction	43
3.2	Data Collection	43
3.3	Compilation of Data	45
3.4	Data Analysis	45
3.5	Comparison of Results	45
3.6	Summary	46
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	Introduction	47
4.2	Background of Case Study	47
4.3	Predicted Unit Skin Friction	48
4.4	Measured Unit Skin Friction	53
5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1	Conclusion	57
5.2	Recommendations	58
	REFERENCES	59
	Appendices A - F	62 - 75

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Determination of Parameters from SPT Results	28
2.2	SPT-Based Soil and Rock Classification Systems	29
2.3	Drilled Shaft Side Shear Design Methods for Sand	38
2.4	Average Values of K	42
4.1	Summary of Sub-soil Conditions at STN10-BH1	48
4.2	Summary of Sub-soil Conditions at STN10-BH2	49
4.3	Summary of Predicted Unit Skin Friction in Sand at STN10-BH1	50
4.4	Summary of Predicted Unit Skin Friction in Sand at STN10-BH2	51
4.5	Summary of Measured Unit Skin Friction in Sand at STN10-MLT42	54
4.6	Summary of Measured Unit Skin Friction in Sand at STN10-MLT49	55

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	End Bearing Pile	7
2.2	Friction Pile	8
2.3	Friction cum End Bearing Pile	9
2.4	Driven Pile	10
2.5	Major Procedures for Constructing Large Diameter Bored Pile	12
2.6	Timber Piles	14
2.7	Steel Piles	15
2.8	Composite Piles	17
2.9	Dry Method of Construction	19
2.10	Casing Method of Construction	20
2.11	Slurry Method of Construction	24
2.12	Standard Penetration Test Setup	26
2.13	Maintained Load Test Setup	32
3.1	Methodology Framework of The Study	44
4.1	Unit Skin Friction versus Depth (STN10-BH1)	52
4.2	Unit Skin Friction versus Depth (STN10-BH2)	53
4.3	Standard Penetration Test versus Unit Skin Friction (STN10-MLT42)	56
4.4	Standard Penetration Test versus Unit Skin Friction (STN10-MLT49)	56

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Q_{ag}	-	Allowable geotechnical capacity
Q_{su}	-	Ultimate shaft capacity
Q_{bu}	-	Ultimate base capacity
f_{su}	-	Unit shaft resistance for each layer
f_{bu}	-	Unit base resistance for the bearing layer
A_s	-	Pile shaft area
A_b	-	Pile base area
F_s	-	Partial Factor of Safety for Shaft Resistance
F_b	-	Partial Factor of Safety for Base Resistance
F_g	-	Global Factor of Safety for Total Resistance
K_{su}	-	Ultimate unit shaft resistance of soil
K_{bu}	-	Ultimate unit bearing resistance of soil
α	-	Adhesion factor
s_u	-	Undrained shear strength
K_{se}	-	Effective stress shaft resistance factor
σ_v	-	Vertical effective stress
ϕ'	-	Effective angle of friction (degree) of soils
N_c	-	Bearing capacity factor
N_γ	-	Bearing capacity factor
N_q	-	Bearing capacity factor
B	-	Pile diameter
D	-	Depth of pile base
β	-	Shaft resistance factor for coarse grained soils

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	A Detail Calculation for The Predicted Unit Skin Friction	62
B	A Detail Calculation for The Measured Unit Skin Friction	63
C	SPT Data for STN10-BH1	64
D	SPT Data for STN10-BH2	69
E	Data for STN10-MLT42	74
F	Data for STN10-MLT49	75

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

A pile maybe classified roughly as friction or end bearing, according to the manner in which they develop support. Bored piles transfer the applied loads to the ground via skin friction of pile shaft and end bearing of pile toe. When a pile is driven into soil of fairly uniform consistency and the tip is not seated in a hard layer, the load-carrying capacity of the pile is developed by skin friction. The load is transferred to the adjoining soil by friction between the pile and the surrounding soil. The load is transferred downward and laterally to the soil. Point bearing piles become very long and uneconomical to be used when no layer of rock is present depth at site. Thus, friction pile is used in this type of subsoil. The piles are driven through the softer material to specified depths.

In most cases, the axial compression loads from superstructures are designed to be transferred by bored piles to the soil layer. Bored piles cause some amount of disturbance very near the pile shaft when installed in a sandy profile. Normally, a drilled pier base can be found on the medium dense sand with a competent stratum

located at a substantially greater depth. Due to the high degree of roughness of concrete between the soil particles at the borehole wall and the cement, the interlocking of the shaft with the soil cause shearing to take place within the soil immediately adjacent to the pile. Therefore, the unit skin friction is controlled fully by the shear strength of the sand.

Due to uncertainties associated with pile design that may affect safety and economy of a project, pile load tests are usually conducted to verify the design assumptions and load carrying capacity of the piles. Static load tests (SLT) are among the reliable testing method to ensure the satisfactory pile performance with particular reference to the capacity, settlement and structural integrity. Usually, 1 to 2% of the total number of piles is selected for load test. During the test, static load were applied and maintained using a hydraulic jack and were measured with a load cell.

It is a common practice in Malaysia for the pile to be loaded up to twice of the working load, which is regarded as the Test Load of the pile. On most occasions, the results of this test do not show a distinct plunging ultimate load, therefore the results need interpretation to estimate pile capacity or ultimate load.

1.2 Problem Statement

A few methods have been proposed for predicting the unit skin friction of bored piles in sand. Of these different methods, semi-empirical relations have been used most widely. It is very subjective to determine the unit skin friction as it depends on one's past experience and judgment to determine the soil characteristics at specific site condition. The unit skin friction is difficult to assess. This is because the magnitude of unit skin friction depends on the intimacy of contact between the

soil and pile surface, the magnitude of the stress acting normal to the pile surface and the friction/adhesion parameters that characterize the pile-soil interface.

1.3 Objective of the Study

The aim of this study is to identify the most appropriate interpretation methods to estimate the unit skin friction for bored piles specifically sand in Malaysia. The objective of the study comprises of the following:

- a) To determine the unit skin friction for bored piles in sand.
- b) To collect data and analyse the unit skin friction for bored piles in sand.
- c) To compare the relation of measured unit skin friction obtained from pile load test data with predicted unit skin friction from previous study.

1.4 Scope of the Study

The scope of study covers the positive skin friction on piles rather than negative skin friction. It covers some literature review on the relevant subject, data collections of Standard Penetration Test (SPT) results, preliminary site investigations reports, and bored piling records. The study also focus on the analysis of unit skin friction for the replacement piles, which is bored piles. Only the skin friction capacity of bored piles which were constructed in sand has been considered in this study. The analysis used for determining unit skin friction is by using semi-empirical equations.

1.5 Significance of the Study

The significance of this study is to ensure the correlations by previous study adopted for design of unit skin friction are satisfactory and in order to be implemented in Malaysia. This study will also provide better understanding towards the skin friction capacity in the design stage of bored piles constructed in sand.

REFERENCES

- AASHTO. (1996). Standard specifications for highway bridges. 16th ed. American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials, Washington, D.C.
- A. M. Harraz and M. M. El-Meligy. (2006) System reliability assessment of friction drilled shafts in gravel soils. *Numerical Methods in Geotechnical Engineering – Schweiger*, pp. 641-647.
- A. Lashkari. (2012) Prediction of the shaft resistance of nondisplacement piles in sand. *International Journal for Numerical and Analytical Methods in Geomechanics*.
- Buildings Ordinance Office (BOO). (1980). Pile foundations. *Prac. Note for Authorised Persons and Registered Struct. Engrs.*, No. 66, 1997 Revision, Buildings Department, Hong Kong.
- CGS. (1985). Canadian foundation engineering manual. 2nd ed. Canadian Geotechnical Society, Toronto, Ont.
- Chang, M.F. & Broms, B.B. (1991). Design of Bored Piles in Residual Soils based on Field-Performance Data. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, Vol.28, pp.200-209
- Chin, T. Y, Meng, C. C. (2003). Design and construction of bored pile foundation. *Geotechnical Course for Pile Foundation Design & Construction*, Ipoh
- D. Loukidis and R. Salgado (2008) Analysis of the shaft resistance of non-displacement piles in sand. *Geotechnique* 58, No. 4, pp. 283–296.
- D. Loukidis, R. Salgado, and G. Abou-Jaoude. (2008) Assessment of axially-loaded pile dynamic design methods and review of INDOT axially-loaded pile dynamic design procedure.
- D. A. Brown, J. P. Turner, and R. J. Castelli (2010) *Drilled shafts: Construction procedures and LRFD design methods*.

- Davies, R. V. & Chan, A. K. C. (1981). Pile Design in Hong Kong. Hong Kong Engineer. Vol. 9, no. 3, pp 21-28.
- E. Anamali, N. Shkodrani, and L. Dhimitri. (2014) Axial load capacity of cast in place piles from SPT and CPTU data. World Journal of Engineering and Technology, pp. 100-108.
- Fleming W. G. K., Weltman A. J., Randolph M. F., and Elson W. K. (1992) Piling engineering (2nd edn), New York.
- Hong Kong Special Administrative Region. (2004). Code of Practice for Foundation, Buildings Department, Hong Kong.
- Hong Kong Special Administrative Region. (2006). Foundation Design and Construction, Geo-Publication No.1/2006, Civil Engineering and Development Department, Hong Kong.
- K. M. Rollins, R. J. Clayton, R. C. Mikesell, and B. C. Blaise. (2005) Discussion of drilled shaft side friction in gravelly soils. Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering, Vol. 131, No. 8, pp. 987–1003.
- Kulhawy F. H., and Fang H. Y. (1991). Drilled shaft foundations. In Foundation engineering handbook (2nd edn), New York.
- Lukas, R.G., and Baker, C.N., Jr. (1978). Ground movement associated with drilled pier installations. Preprint no. 3266, ASCE. New York, N.Y.
- Littlechild, B.D., Plumbridge, G.D., Hill, S.J., Lee, S.C. (2000). Shaft Grouting of Deep Foundations in Hong Kong. New Technological and Design Developments in Deep Foundations, Dennis, N.D., et al. (ed.), ASCE, Geo Institute, Vol. 100, pp 33-45.
- M. J. Tomlinson. (1996). Pile design and construction practice, E&FN Spon, Chapman & Hall, London.
- Poulos H. G. and Davis E. H. (1980). Pile foundation analysis and design, New York.
- Reese, L.C., Owens, M., Hoy, H. (1985). Effects of Construction Methods on CIDH piles: Proceedings of a session / sponsored by the Geotechnical Engineering Division of the American Society of Civil Engineers in conjunction with the ASCE Convention, Denver, Colorado, May 1, 1985.
- Reese, L. C. & O'Neill, M. W. (1998). Drilled Shafts: Construction Procedures and Design Methods. U.S. Department of Transportation – Federal Highway Administration (Office of Implementation), Washington, 564p.

- Tan, Y. C., Chen, C.S. & Liew, S. S. (1998). Load Transfer Behaviour of Cast-in-place Bored Piles in Tropical Residual Soils. Proceedings of the 13th Southeast Asian Geotechnical Conferences, Taipei, pp. 563-571.
- Tan Y. C. and Chow C. M. (2003) Design and construction of bored pile foundation. Geotechnical Course for Pile Foundation Design & Construction, Ipoh.
- Toh, C.T., Ooi. T. A., Chiu, H.K., Chee, S.K. & Ting, W.H. (1989). Design Parameters for Bored Piles in a Weathered Sedimentary Formation. Proceeding of 12th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, Rio de Janeiro, Vol.2, pp. 1073-1078.
- Tomlinson, M. J. (1994). Pile Design and Construction Practice, 4th edn, Spon.
- Tomlinson, M. J. (1995). Foundation Design and Construction, 6th edn, Longman.
- Tomlinson, M. J. (1996). Pile design and construction practice, E&FN Spon, Chapman & Hall, London.
- V. Martinkus, A. Norkus, J. Amšiejus, and T. Statkus. (2013) Experimental investigation of stress distribution of vertically loaded short displacement pile in cohesionless soil. Procedia Engineering 57, pp. 754 – 761.
- Whitaker, T. & Cooke, R.W. (1966). An Investigation of the Shaft and Base Resistance of Large Bored Piles on London Clay. Proceedings of the Symposium on Large Bored Piles, London, pp 7-49.
- Williams, A.F., and Pells, P.J.N. (1981) Side resistance of rock sockets in sandstone, mudstone, and shale. Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 18(4): 502–513. doi:10.1139/t81-061.
- Zhang, L. (2004). Drilled shafts in rock – analysis and design. A.A. Balkema Publishers, London.