

REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS PROCESS USING ROLE-BASED GOAL
MODELING

NOR ASHILA ABDUL RAHMAN

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS PROCESS USING ROLE-BASED GOAL
MODELING

NOR ASHILA BINTI ABDUL RAHMAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Science (Computer Science)

Faculty of Computing
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

APRIL 2017

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

“In the name of Allah, the most Gracious and the most Merciful”

This thesis would have not been completed without the help and splendid support from many individuals and teams. Firstly, my sincere gratitude goes to the backbone of my research, my supervisors, Dr. Rohayanti Hassan and Dr. Muhamad Razib Othman for their excellent supervision, knowledge, belief, patience and interest in the work and for pushing me farther than I thought I could go. To my beloved parents, thank you for always being there and never fail to give me words of encouragement. Your endless support is making me for who I am today. To my dearest colleagues and research-mates, I am thankful for the friendship, supportive comments and ideas in reviewing each other's works and also fun times throughout last one and half year of this study. To my husband and family, thank you for helping me surviving all the stress and not letting me giving up. To everyone who has consistently giving support and advice directly or the other way round, including the team of Software Engineering Research Group (SERG) and GATES IT Solutions Sdn. Bhd., I refer my appreciation. My greatest thanks should also credit to all lecturers at the Faculty of Computing, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for their understanding and support. Last but not least, I appreciate the financial support from the GATES IT Solutions Sdn. Bhd. under GATES Scholar Foundation (GSF) and Malaysian Ministry of Higher Education under MyMaster funds.

ABSTRACT

Requirements analysis is the process of analyzing the requirements of various stakeholders that represent the specification of system behavior. This must be stated precisely in order to proceed to the design phase. It is noted that the current process of requirements analysis is not sufficient for identifying and representing the existence of multiple stakeholders, which could lead to various conflicts and overlapping requirements. Furthermore, the involvement of various stakeholders normally leads to inconsistencies and misinterpretation of requirements. Therefore, this study is conducted to enhance goal modeling representation, namely role-based goal modeling. Role-based goal modeling highlights each stakeholder's role identification in discovering the intentions and requirements of various stakeholders including the integration of data elements in order to determine the dependency of data when dealing with multiple stakeholders. An Integrated Plantation System was selected as a case study for this research with participation from different stakeholders. Besides that, the Integrated Learning Management System and NIMSAD approaches were used to evaluate the proposed method. From the result, it is found that role-based goal modeling showed improvement in deriving high feasibility (five goals) and high adequacy (one goal) requirements for implementation. The integration of data elements indicates high complexity when multiple stakeholders interact with the same data element. In sum, role-based goal modeling can facilitate the process of analyzing and prioritizing requirements from multiple stakeholders in the early stages of the development process.

ABSTRAK

Analisis keperluan adalah proses menganalisis keperluan pelbagai pihak berkepentingan yang menunjukkan spesifikasi sebuah sistem. Hal ini perlu dinyatakan dengan tepat supaya fasa reka bentuk boleh dimulakan. Proses analisis keperluan yang sedia ada didapati tidak cukup untuk mengenal pasti dan mewakili kewujudan pelbagai pihak berkepentingan dan seterusnya boleh menimbulkan pelbagai konflik dan pertindihan keperluan. Tambahan pula, penglibatan pelbagai pihak berkepentingan lazimnya akan menyebabkan percanggahan dan salah tafsir keperluan. Oleh itu, kajian ini dijalankan untuk menambah baik perwakilan pemodelan matlamat iaitu pemodelan matlamat berasaskan peranan. Pemodelan matlamat berasaskan peranan menekankan pengenalpastian peranan pihak berkepentingan dalam mengesan kehendak dan keperluan pelbagai pihak berkepentingan termasuk integrasi elemen data untuk menentukan kebergantungan data apabila melibatkan pelbagai pihak berkepentingan. Sistem Sawit Bersepadu dipilih sebagai kajian kes untuk penyelidikan ini dengan penglibatan daripada pihak berkepentingan yang berbeza. Selain itu, pendekatan Sistem Pengurusan Pembelajaran Bersepadu dan NIMSAD digunakan untuk penilaian model yang dicadangkan. Daripada keputusan kajian, didapati bahawa pemodelan matlamat berasaskan peranan menunjukkan kemajuan dalam memperoleh keperluan dengan kebolehlaksanaan (5 matlamat) dan kecukupan (1 matlamat) yang tinggi untuk tujuan pelaksanaan. Integrasi elemen data menunjukkan kerumitan pada tahap tinggi apabila pelbagai pihak berkepentingan berinteraksi dengan elemen data yang sama. Kesimpulannya, pemodelan matlamat berasaskan peranan boleh memudahkan proses menganalisis dan mengutamakan keperluan pelbagai pihak berkepentingan dalam peringkat awal proses pembangunan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
	ABSTRACT	iv
	ABSTRAK	v
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xv
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Overview	1
	1.2 Problem Background	3
	1.2.1 Challenges in Multi-stakeholder Requirement	3
	1.2.2 Challenges in Integration with Data Element	5
	1.3 Problem Statement	6
	1.4 Objectives of the Study	7
	1.5 Scope of the Study	8
	1.6 Significance of the Study	8
	1.7 Organization of the Thesis	9

2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1	Introduction	11
2.2	Goal Modeling	12
2.2.1	Requirements Completeness in Goal Modeling	12
2.2.2	Traceability Links in Goal Modeling	13
2.2.3	Structuring Requirements Document in Goal Modeling	14
2.2.4	Conflict Detecting in Goal Modeling	15
2.3	Formation of Goal Modeling	17
2.3.1	Assumption Based Goal Modeling	17
2.3.2	Dependency Based Goal Modeling	19
2.4	The Assessment of Goal Modeling	22
2.4.1	Risk Analysis Assessment	23
2.4.2	Complexity Assessment	25
2.5	Trends and Direction	29
2.6	Summary	30
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Introduction	31
3.2	Research Framework	32
3.3	The Operational Framework	33
3.3.1	Feasibility Study and Collection of Data	34
3.3.2	Literature Review	34
3.3.3	Formation of Role-Based Goal Modeling	35
3.3.4	Data Dependency in Role-Based Goal Modeling	36
3.3.5	The Result Analysis	37
3.3.6	Documentation	37
3.4	Case Study Selection and Data Sources	37
3.4.1	Pilot Case Study	38
3.4.2	Implementation Case Study	40
3.5	Evaluation Metrics	41

3.6	Summary	42
4	ROLE-BASED GOAL MODELING WITH REQUIREMENTS CLASSIFICATION MATRIX	
4.1	Introduction	43
4.2	Related Works	44
4.3	Development of Role-based Goal Realization Graph	45
4.3.1	Identifying Role and Goal	45
4.3.2	Formation of Goal Realization Graph	47
4.4	Assessment of Role-based Goal Realization Graph Discussion	49
4.4.1	Confidence Factors Assessment	49
4.4.2	Determination of Feasibility and Adequacy	50
4.5	Extending Role-based Goal Modeling for Requirements Classification Summary	53
4.6	Implementation to the Case Study	54
4.7	Discussion	59
4.8	Summary	61
5	INTEGRATING DATA ELEMENT INTO ROLE-BASED GOAL MODELING	
5.1	Introduction	62
5.2	Related Works	63
5.3	Integrate Data Element into Goal Realization Graph	64
5.4	The Assessment of Data-dependent Role-based Goal Realization Graph	66
5.4.1	Complexity Assessment of Data Element	67
5.5	Case Study Implementation	70
5.6	Discussion	73
5.7	Summary	75

6	IMPLEMENTATION OF ROLE-BASED GOAL MODELING WITH DATA ELEMENT	
6.1	Introduction	76
6.2	Role-Based Applied in Learning Management System	77
6.2.1	Assessment on FEASIBLE, ADEQUATE, RISK and COMPLEX	80
6.2.2	Analysis with Change Request	83
6.3	Comparative Analysis with Previous Work	84
6.3.1	Comparative Analysis on FEASIBLE, ADEQUATE, RISK and DATA	84
6.4	Normative Information Model-based Systems Analysis and Design Evaluation	90
6.5	Discussion	92
6.6	Summary	94
7	CONCLUSION	
7.1	Concluding Remarks	95
7.2	Contributions	97
7.3	Future Works	98
	REFERENCES	99
	APPENDIX	103

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Advantages and disadvantages of goal oriented implementation	14
2.2	Summary of goal modeling attributes	16
2.3	Summary of goal modeling formation	22
2.4	Definition of confidence factors	23
2.5	4-point ordinal scale rating	24
2.6	Goal complexity evaluation	25
2.7	Metric to satisfy goal complexity	26
2.8	Fuzzy values for goals and soft goal correlation	28
2.9	Summary of assessment in goal modeling	29
3.1	SPEM notations	35
3.2	Summary of case study	38
3.3	Summary of goal graph metrics	41
4.1	Notation of goal realization graph	48
4.2	Definition of confidence factor	50
4.3	4-point ordinal scale rating	50
4.4	REFINE and MANDATE profile matrix	52
4.5	The risk identification based on the FEASIBLE and ADEQUATE of goal realization graph	53
4.6	The confidence factor rating	58
4.7	The goal count for Figure 4.9	59
4.8	Requirements classification from the aspect of feasibility, adequacy and risk for manage labor	60

	requisition	
5.1	Additional notation of dependent-data Role-based goal realization graph	66
5.2	Type of DATA inflow and outflow	68
5.3	CONF and PRIOR profile matrix	69
5.4	The role-based driven from the aspect of complexity and risk	69
5.5	The role-based driven requirements classification from the aspect of complexity and risk for Figure 5.5	74
6.1	The assessment of FEASIBLE, ADEQUATE, risk, COMPLEX and CR	82
6.2	FEASIBLE, ADEQUATE, risk and DATA between Kenneth <i>et al.</i> (2011) and role-based	89
6.3	Comparative evaluation framework description	91
6.4	Comparative evaluation for general concepts criteria	92
6.5	Comparative evaluation for modeling criteria	92
6.6	Comparative evaluation for analysis criteria	92

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Content structure of Chapter 2	11
2.2	Operationalizing element in goal graph	19
2.3	Dependency of goal graph formation	20
2.4	Confidence factors assigning and rating	24
2.5	Assessment of assumption and achievability confidence factor	27
3.1	Research framework	32
3.2	Overview of the research process	33
3.3	Visualization of PIS	38
3.4	iLMS overall integration process	40
4.1	Summary of role-based goal realization graph	45
4.2	Relationship between stakeholder's role and goal	46
4.3	Diagram of activities in identifying stakeholders' roles and goals	46
4.4	Steps and notation in formation of goal realization graph	47
4.5	A goal realization	48
4.6	Steps in assessment of goal realization graph	49
4.7	The determination of FEASIBLE of goal realization graph	51
4.8	The determination of ADEQUATE of goal realization graph	52
4.9	A segment of business workflow in PIS system	55

4.10	The role-based goal realization graph of manage labor requisition	56
4.11	The role-based goal realization graph with confidence factor rating of manage labor requisition	57
5.1	Input to interpretation process	62
5.2	Summary of integrating data element into goal realization graph	64
5.3	Steps and notation in formation of goal realization graph with data element	65
5.4	A goal realization graph with data	65
5.5	element Steps in assessment of goal realization graph with data element	67
5.6	Interrelation between "Manage Labor Requisition" and other function	70
5.7 (a)	Interrelation between "Manage Labor Status" and "Manage Labor Requisition"	71
5.7 (b)	Interrelation between "Manage Labor Requisition" and "Manage Labor Application"	72
5.8	Rating for interrelation between "Manage Labor Requisition" and "Manage Labor Application"	73
6.1	Segmentation of iLMS business workflow	78
6.2	The goal realization graph for managing exam registration	79
6.3	The goal realization graph rating for managing exam registration	79
6.4	Interrelation between "Manage Exam Registration" and "Manage Payment"	81
6.5	Goal graph representation	86
6.6	Assessment for random goal graph and role-based	87-88
6.7	Comparative Evaluation Framework	90

LIST OF SYMBOLS

H	-	High
L	-	Low
M	-	Medium
N	-	None

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACHIEVE	-	Achievability
ADEQUATE	-	Adequacy
AGORA	-	Attributed Goal Oriented Requirements Analysis
AHP	-	Analytic Hierarchy Process
ASSUME	-	Assumptions
CGM	-	Constrained Goal Model
COMPLEX	-	Complexity
CONF	-	Conflict
CR	-	Change Request
CReML	-	Comprehensive Requirements Modeling Language
DATA	-	Data Element
ENGAGE	-	Engagement
FEASIBLE	-	Feasibility
GORE	-	Goal-Oriented in Requirements Engineering
GQM	-	Goal-Question-Metric
HQ	-	Headquarters
iLMS	-	Integrated Learning Management System
KAOS	-	Knowledge Acquisition in Automated Specification
MANDATE	-	Stakeholder's Mandate
NIMSAD	-	Normative Information Model-based Systems Analysis and Design Evaluation
SPEM	-	Software Process Engineering Meta-model

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

As a primary focus of a large and complex system development, one of the greatest difficulties is in understanding what a "requirement" really is. Before proceeding to the design stage, requirements can be categorized in many different ways that must be stated clearly, consistently and unambiguously (Irit *et al.*, 2013). Requirements can be summarized into an illustrative representation that should be useful for and understood by project manager and requirement engineer. In requirement engineering (RE), requirement can be classified under different perspectives; high level description, abstract statement and formal specification. Since the RE process is known as a continuing process that involves discovering, documenting and maintaining (Shams *et al.*, 2010; Lemai and Graeme, 2009) a set of requirements, requirements analysis therefore is one of the most important processes that requires high-reliability procedures. Requirements analysis can be difficult because the requirement engineer needs to come out with a set of requirements (Kenneth *et al.*, 2011; Yuanyuan *et al.*, 2011) that could represent a specification of the system (Michel dos *et al.*, 2011). There are two fundamental issues to be addressed in requirements analysis: (i) involvement of multi-stakeholders and (ii) integration between data elements.

Developing a large and complex system will require identification of the different levels of stakeholders' within an organization as well as their roles and objectives (Yuanyuan *et al.*, 2011) that need to be analyzed by the requirement engineer. Considering that stakeholders have valid interests that may be affected directly or indirectly by the system (Niels and Hans, 2013), it is important to analyze multi-stakeholders' requirements. Lack of understanding of the business requirements and engaging key stakeholders could contribute to a project's failure. Lack of stakeholders' role identification such as needs and expectations could contribute to the failure rate of up to 60% in large and complex projects as described by Jeffrey *et al.* (2013). Christopher (2013) stated that multi-stakeholders' role involvement has been seen as a risky factor of project success. With the increment in the list of stakeholders, the requirements can be unmanageable which will lead to increased risk of failure. For that reason, analyzing requirements that come from multi-stakeholders is important in order to improve the selection requirements with high feasibility and adequacy accordingly before proceeding to the system design phase.

In addition, when dealing with a large and complex system development, requirements might conflict and overlap which could lead to project failure. Nikhilesh and Amitabh (2008) stated that when a project fails, there are three possible problems that need to be figured out: (i) requirements are incorrect or incomplete, (ii) requirements are interpreted wrongly and (iii) variation of stakeholders' goals and priorities. Stakeholders are required to carry out different activities according to their roles and goals. A stakeholder's role is considered as an essential element that is associated with the software artifacts. Xu *et al.* (2010) claimed that dependencies between stakeholder and other artifacts are poorly executed in the industry nowadays. Throughout the system development life cycle, stakeholders are certainly associated with the requirements source and other created artifacts. As a result, analyzing requirement requires an extensive process in mapping out the requirements and other software artifacts.

Requirements are the cornerstone of any development. It is essential for the requirement engineer to trace the dependency between requirements and other artifacts. Considering the requirements can be described in various forms: (i) goals, (ii) scenarios, (iii) user profile and (iv) use cases, it is therefore important for the requirement engineer to analyze the number of dependencies that might occur in system development. Because of the growing size, complexity and customization of software systems, the dependencies between requirements and other artifacts should be traced effectively. According to Arda *et al.* (2014), whenever changes are made to the requirement, the requirement engineer needs to find out the affected parts on other software artifacts, such as requirement, design elements and source code.

1.2 Problem Background

The process of requirements analysis is carried out not only to define customers' needs, objectives and functions, but also to synthesize solutions in order to optimize of performance requirements. The process of analyzing requirement still becomes the root cause of failures in development of software project (Shams *et al.*, 2010). There are two challenges that have been discovered accordingly in requirements analysis: (i) multi-stakeholders' requirements and (ii) integration with data element.

1.2.1 Challenge in Multi-stakeholder Requirement

Multi-stakeholder requirement is one major theoretical issue that has become a main concern in requirement analysis for many years. In developing a large and complex system, the involvement of different level of stakeholders from several

departments that share the same information and communicate with each other is required. All stakeholders' needs must be determined clearly from the very first phase of the development process. It is difficult for the requirement engineer to deal with all collections of need (Tom *et al.*, 2013; Michel *et al.*, 2011) that come from different stakeholders with their own desires about the system being developed. Each stakeholder plays an important role which reflects the success of the system development. Under such circumstances, Christopher (2013), holds the view that the increment of stakeholder list will lead to unmanageable requirements since each requirement engages with different level of risk. Besides, the lack of identification of stakeholder's role is seriously taken in a large and complex system development.

Throughout the requirements analysis process, goal modeling represents the relationships between roles in terms of specific goals that one role depends on the other role to provide. Goal has been applied by Kenneth *et al.* (2011) who propose a goal sketching technique that emphasizes the presence of assumptions and distinguishes them from the various system elements to be constructed. However, the goal sketching that is used does not describe the requirements from multiple stakeholders. Vikas and Guillaume (2013) stated that assumptions are usually implicit during requirement modeling. However this often leads to goals and requirements that may cause potential traceability errors and reduce the quality control of the system development. Other approaches used an obstacle analysis (Antoine and Axel van, 2014) that is crucial as it should be more adequate and complete requirements. Unfortunately, requirement analysis process is still the root cause of software-project delays, overruns and failure in systems development.

1.2.2 Challenge in Integration with Data Element

Other challenges in requirement analysis that often affect the development of large and complex systems are requirement conflict and overlap. Stakeholders are required to accomplish a lot of different activities during the development. The variation of stakeholders' goals and priorities is one of the factors that will lead to requirement conflict and overlap. Often, during development, there will be stakeholders who misinterpret the requirements and claim that the system is not being developed accordingly. Besides, there are also stakeholders who do not express all their needs and desires for the system that is to be developed. As a consequence, the requirement engineer could not fully understand the requirements because the design of a to-be system is reliant on requirements from stakeholders (Xu *et al.*, 2010).

Since each stakeholder's requirement is attached with different level of risk, it is important for requirement engineer to analyze and prioritize requirements from the stakeholders. Previous studies such as Kenneth *et al.* (2011) indicate that dependency could be expressed in goal graph using the operationalizing elements of the system-to-be that might not be dependable to the goals. Dependency on the other hand used to define relations between actors in goal modeling formation. Thi-Thuy-Hang and Alain (2012) mentioned that a quality of requirements describes a constraint whose satisfaction or fulfillment ranges on a scale of possibilities and that can constraint a goal. Besides, Chitra *et al.* (2015) in their study implemented inter-actor dependencies using the fuzzy concepts to capture requirements where an actor depends on other actors for its goal accomplishment. However, although the above method has been widely used, the process of analyzing the level of confidence attached to a set of requirements is often poorly executed in the industry nowadays.

1.3 Problem Statement

This study was conducted in the area of requirements analysis process and driven by the problems arising from the process of analyzing requirements specifically in developing large and complex systems. Problems such as lack of representation of multi-stakeholders consequently affect the process of analyzing and prioritizing requirements from multiple stakeholders before proceeding to the design phase. Besides, the integration between requirement and other data elements need to be identified discretely in order to minimize complexity of the requirements. In this study, the problem of analyzing multi-stakeholders' requirements is addressed, during design time, in an attempt to facilitate the modeling expressiveness. To realize the research goal, there are three research questions (RQ) that need to be answered.

- a) RQ 1: What should be done to show the involvement of multi-stakeholders in goal modeling when analyzing requirements?

The first factor that is considered is to manage a set of requirements that come from multi-stakeholders. The importance of analyzing requirements is to improve the selection of feasibility and adequacy of requirement at the earliest stage that should be realized in a to-be system. Requirement analysis is one of the crucial steps that need high-reliability process. Requirement analysis process can be difficult because the requirement engineer needs to come out with a set of requirements that could express all the various stakeholders' needs. Therefore, the representation by including stakeholder-oriented identification is important for classifying requirements based on stakeholders' roles and functions.

- b) RQ 2: How to integrate and evaluate data element in goal modeling?

The second factor that has to be considered is the ease in determining the complexity of dependency of data when dealing with multi-stakeholders to perform a lot of different activities. Data dependency happens when the data can be an input or output from one goal to another goal. Consequently, the data has been changed or intervened from one goal to another goal.

- c) RQ 3: How to validate the improvement of multi-stakeholder representation and the integration of data element?

The validation of the analyzing process is measured based on the applicability of the improvement to the real-world requirement analysis. The improvement process is practical to show the appearance of multi-stakeholders attached in a set of requirements to show the complexity of data element integration. Therefore, the process is particularly useful during requirements analysis and the early stages of systems development.

1.4 Objectives of the Study

The goal of this research is to enhance the requirement analysis process by improving the goal modeling representation that consists of two main components; role-based goal model by highlighting the stakeholder role identification together with integration with data element as a second component. In order to realize the goal, several objectives need to be achieved:

- a) To enhance the representation of goal modeling by including the stakeholder role identification in order to demonstrate multi-stakeholder intentions and requirements.
- b) To propose and evaluate the integration of data element in role-based goal modeling in order to determine the complexity of data dependency of requirements.
- c) To validate the role-based goal modeling using NIMSAD evaluation.

1.5 Scope of the Study

In order to achieve the objectives stated in this study, the scopes of this study are bounded under these limitations:

- a) This study focuses on improving the requirement analysis process with representation of stakeholder role identification plus integration with data element.
- b) Goal representation is applied in order to illustrate the requirements from multi-stakeholders.
- c) The integration with data element is used to show the complexity of data dependency of data when dealing with multi-stakeholders.
- d) Plantation Integrated System (PIS) that focuses on labor management is used in this study in order to demonstrate the proposed model.
- e) The integrated learning management system (iLMS) is used to assess the applicability of the improvement process.
- f) The numbers of change request (CR) from iLMS testing phases are taken into consideration for the evaluation in this study.
- g) The evaluations considered in this study are: (a) feasibility and adequacy of multi-stakeholder requirement and (b) the complexity of the dependency in data element.

1.6 Significance of the Study

The results from this study will assist the requirement engineer to derive a set of requirements that represent a specification of system behavior. Since requirement analysis is an essential activity in requirement engineering, this study aims to reduce time consumption in requirement analysis and minimize project failure at an early stage (Kenneth *et al.*, 2011). This study observes the importance of analyzing

requirements from two different perspectives: (i) development estimation and (ii) managing requirement.

In development estimation, the lack of requirement analysis could increase the project failure rate (Jeffrey *et al.*, 2013) in large and complex system development. Besides, whenever user makes changes in requirement, the requirement engineer will have to systematically monitor and document each change. Moreover, if analysis of requirements is not strictly taken into consideration in system developments, it can cause project delays, overruns and will lead to high cost and budget development (Jeffrey *et al.*, 2013; Shams *et al.*, 2010; Kenneth *et al.*, 2011).

From the perspective of requirement management, insufficient analysis of requirement will affect the consistency of the requirements that have been gathered from stakeholders (Christopher, 2013). If the requirements are not analyzed accordingly, the feasibility of requirement cannot be achieved and it is difficult for the requirement engineer to prioritize the requirements based on the aspect of adequacy and feasibility before proceeding to the design stage (Kenneth *et al.*, 2011). Therefore, this study intends to improve the requirement analysis process by highlighting the multi-stakeholder requirements and minimizing requirement conflicts and overlaps in system developments.

1.7 Organization of the Thesis

This thesis is organized into seven chapters. Chapter 1 defines the challenges, current methods, problem, objectives, scopes and significance of the study. Chapter 2 reviews the main subjects of interest, which are the goal modeling domain, the formation of goal modeling and the assessment of goal modeling. The last section of this chapter will present the trend and tendencies related to this study. In Chapter 3, a

brief review of the proposed role-based goal model development framework is presented, followed by detailed descriptions of a pilot and implementation case study and instrumentation used and result analysis.

Chapter 4 gives a brief overview on the enhancement of goal realization technique that highlights the stakeholder role identification in discovering the intentions and requirements of multi-stakeholders. This includes the assessment of four risks factor in order to analyze the feasibility and adequacy of the requirement. Next, Chapter 5 extends the role-based goal model by considering the integration element in goal modeling. Integration of data element is intended to show the complexity of dependency of data when dealing with multi-stakeholders to perform a lot of different activities. Chapter 6 gives the overview of the whole achievement of the research objectives. The role-based goal modeling is evaluated and compared with the basic goal graph. Subsequently, the role-based goal modeling is then implemented and analysed using a case study of exam registration module in the integrated learning environment case study in order to assess the quality of the proposed model. Finally, Chapter 7 the achievement results to date are presented. The contributions and future works of the study are also described.

REFERENCES

- Agnar, A., Mads, N. (1995). Different Roles and Mutual Dependencies of Data, Information, and Knowledge – an AI Perspective on Their Integration. *Data & Knowledge Engineering*. 16, 191-222.
- Anish, D. S., Xin, L. D., Alon, H. (2008). Data Integration with Dependent Sources. *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Extending Database Technology*. New York, USA. pp. 401-412.
- Antoine, C., Axel van, L. (2014). Integrating Exception Handling in Goal Models. *2014 IEEE 22nd International Requirements Engineering Conference (RE)*. 22-29 August 2014. Karlskrona. pp. 43-52.
- Arda, G., Ivan, K., Klaas van den B., Wietze, S. (2014). Change impact analysis for requirements: A metamodeling approach. *Information and Software Technology*. 56, 950–972.
- Axel van, L., (2001). Goal-Oriented Requirements Engineering: A Guided Tour. *Proceedings of the 5th IEEE International Symposium on Requirements Engineering*. Toronto, Canada, pp. 249-262.
- Carla, P., Ivan, G. (2012). A Systematic Literature Review of Stakeholder Identification Methods in Requirements Elicitation. *The Journal of Systems and Software*. 85, 2171-2181.
- Chitra, M. S., Aneesh, K., Arshinder, K. (2015). Reasoning about Goal Satisfaction for Early Requirements Engineering in the i*Framework using Inter-Actor Dependency. *Proceedings of Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems (PACIS)*. pp. 89.
- Faisal, A., Jeroen, K. (2010). Requirements Analysis: Evaluating KAOS Models. *SciRP, Journal Software Engineering & Applications*. 3, 869-874.

- H.Christopher, P. (2013). Fundamental Principles of Managing Multi-Stakeholder Engagement. *International Food and Agribusiness Management Review*. 16, A, 11-22.
- Haruhiko, K., Hisayuki, H., Motoshi. (2002). AGORA: Attributed Goal-Oriented Requirements Analysis Method, *Proceedings of IEEE Joint International Conference on Requirements Engineering*, pp. 13-22.
- Haruhiko, K., Motoshi, S. (2004). Weaving Multiple Viewpoint Specifications in Goal Oriented Requirements Analysis. *Proceedings of 11th Asia-Pacific Software Engineering Conference*. 30 November – 3 December 2004. Busan, Korea. pp. 418-427.
- Helen, S., Anthony, F., Galal, G. (1999). Stakeholder Identification in the Requirements Engineering Process. *Proceedings of Tenth International Workshop on Database and Expert Systems Applications*. 1 – 3 September 1999. Florence. pp. 387-391.
- Ibrahim, H., Weihang, W., Katrina, A., Tim, P. K. (2007). Extending Argumentation to Goal-Oriented Requirements Engineering. In *Proceedings Advances in Conceptual Modeling – Foundations and Applications, ER 2007 Workshops CMLSA, FP-UML, ONISW, QoIS, RIGiM, SeCoGIS*. November 2007. Auckland, New Zealand. pp. 5-9.
- Irit, H., Iris, R.B., Tsvi, K., Anna, P., Filippo, R., Angelo, S. (2013). Comparing the comprehensibility of requirements models expressed in Use Case and Tropos: Results from a family of experiments. *Information and Software Technology*. 55, 1823–184.
- Jeffrey, M., Gurpreet, D., Mario, C. (2013). Defining value-based objectives for ERP systems planning. *Decision Support Systems*. 55, 98–109.
- Jonathan, L., Nien-Lin, X., Jong-Yih, K. (2001). Structuring Requirement Specifications with Goals. *Journal of Information and Software Technology*. 43, 121-135.
- Kenneth, B., Anthony, F., Rachel, H. (2008). A lightweight technique for assessing risks in requirements analysis. *IET Software*. 2, 46-57.
- Kenneth, B., Anthony, F., Rachel, H. (2011). A method for assessing confidence in requirements analysis. *Information and Software Technology*. 53, 1084–1096.

- Klaus, P., Peter, H., Klaus, W., Matthias, J. (1999). Improving Reviews by Extended Traceability. Proceedings of the 32nd Annual Hawaii International Conference on Systems Sciences. Maui, HI, USA. 5 Jan , ISSN: 0-7695-0001-3
- Lemai, N., Graeme, S. (2009). A Framework for Understanding Creativity in Requirements Engineering. *Journal of Information and Software Technology*. 51, 655-662.
- Mai, C. N., Roberto, S., Paolo, G., John, M. (2016). *Multi-Objective Reasoning with Constrained Goal Models*. Springer London.
- Manzoor, A., Jean-Michel, B., Regine, L., Christophe, G. (2012). Using RELAX, SysML and KAOS for Ambient Systems Requirements Modeling. *Procedia Computer Science*. 10, 474-481.
- Michel, D.D. S., Jos, V., Alexander, V. (2011). User requirements modeling and analysis of software-intensive systems. *The Journal of Systems and Software*. 84, 328–339.
- Naeem, U. R., Sarfraz, B., Sohail, A., Simon, F. (2010). Comparative Study of Goal-Oriented Requirements Engineering. 4th International Conference on New Trends in Information Science and Service Science (NISS). 11-13 May 2010. Gyeongju. pp. 248-253.
- Niels, K., Hans, M. (2013). Multi-stakeholder virtual dialogue: Introduction to the special issue. *Journal of Business Research*. 66, 1460–1464.
- Nikhilesh, K., Amitabh, S. (2008). *Building Software: A Practitioner's Guide*. New York: Taylor & Francis Group.
- Par, C. (2002). Release Planning in Market-Driven Software Product Development: Provoking an Understanding. *Requirements Engineering*, 7, 139-151.
- Patricia, E., Miguel, G., Joao, A. (2013). A Framework to Evaluate Complexity and Completeness of KAOS Goal Model. 25th International Conference on Advanced Information Systems Engineering (CAiSE'13). 17 Jun 2013 – 21 Jun 2013. Valencia, Spain. pp. 562-577.
- Shams-Ul, A., Qadeem, K., S.A.K.Gahyyur. (2010). Requirements Engineering Processes, Tools/Technologies, & Methodologies, *International Journal of Reviews in Computing*, 6, 2. ISSN: 2076-3328.
- Shaokun, F., J.Leon, Z., Wanchun, D., Manlu, L. (2012). A framework for transformation from conceptual to logical workflow models. *Decision Support Systems*. 54, 781–794.

- Shareeful, I. (2009). Software Development Risk Management Model – A Goal Driven Approach. Proceedings of the Doctoral Symposium for ESEC/FSE on Doctoral Symposium. . 24-28 August 2009. Amsterdam, The Netherlands, pp. 5-8.
- Thi-Thuy-Hang, H., Alain, P. (2012). Distinguishing Soft-Goals and Quality Requirements in Software Requirements Modeling. The Fourth International Conference on Advances in Databases, Knowledge, and Data Applications. 29 February 2012. Saint Gilles, Reunion. pp. 9-14.
- Thorsten, M., Daniela, J. and Alexander, D. (2011). Improved Representation of Traceability Links in Requirements Engineering Knowledge using Sunburst and Netmap Visualizations. In: Proceedings 4th International Workshop on Managing Requirements Knowledge (MARK). Trento. pp. 17–21. Tom, H., Monique, S., Guido, D., Antoon, G., Frank, S. (2014). Visualizing Variability Management in Requirements Engineering through Formal Concept Analysis. *Procedia Technology*. 9, pp.189 – 199.
- Vikas, S., Guillaume, A. (2013). Reinventing Goal-Based Requirements Modeling. Proceeding of the Posters Workshop of Complex Systems Design & Management Conference CSD&M 2013. 4 December 2013. Paris, France.
- Vinay, S., Shridhar, A., Sudhakara, G. (2013). A Quantitative Approach Using Goal-Oriented Requirements Engineering Methodology and Analytic Hierarchy Process in Selecting the Best Alternative. Proceedings of International Conference on Advances in Computing Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing. December 2012. pp. 441-454.
- Xu, B., LiGuo, H. and He, Z. (2010). On Scoping Stakeholders and Artifacts in Software Process. Proceedings of 2010 International Conference on Software Process (ICSP 2010). Paderborn, Germany, pp. 39 – 51.
- Yuanyuan, Z., Mark, H., Anthony, F., S.Afshin, M. (2011). Comparing the performance of metaheuristics for the analysis of multi-stakeholder tradeoffs in requirements optimisation, *Information and Software Technology*, 53, 761–773.