

PERFORMANCE OF LIGHTWEIGHT CONCRETE USING PALM OIL  
CLINKER AGGREGATES FOR PRECAST APPLICATION

NAZRY BIN AZILLAH

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

PERFORMANCE OF LIGHTWEIGHT CONCRETE USING PALM OIL  
CLINKER AGGREGATES FOR PRECAST APPLICATION

NAZRY BIN AZILLAH

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Philosophy

Faculty of Civil Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2018

To my beloved parents, siblings, wife and daughter

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, all praises to Allah for the strengths, wisdom, knowledge and opportunity given and His blessing in completing this thesis. Special appreciation goes to my supervisor, Dr. Roslli Noor Mohamed for all the guidance, supervision, constant support and advice. His invaluable help of constructive comments and suggestions throughout the experimental and thesis works have contributed to the success of this research.

I would like to thank all the staff of the Structure and Materials Laboratory, Faculty of Civil Engineering especially Encik Raja Ezar, Encik Nawawi and Encik Zaabah for their valuable co-operations, support and help during execution of experimental. My acknowledgement also goes out to all my postgraduate colleagues named Shariwati Mansor, Nazirah Ahmad Shukri and Sazlly Nazren Mahmor for their assistance and energy contributed since the beginning of the research program.

Last but not least, my deepest gratitude goes to my beloved parents; Mr. Azillah Omar and Mrs. Salmah Ahmad, my parents-in-law; Mr. Mohd Noor Ismail and Mrs. Arbayah Rais and also to my siblings for their endless love, prayers and encouragement. Also not forgetting my wife, Noor Afiqah Mohd Noor and my lovely daughter, Ayna Humayra Nazry for their love, patience and care throughout this Master of Philosophy program. To those who indirectly contributed in this research, your kindness means a lot to me. Thank you very much.

## ABSTRACT

Palm oil clinker (POC) is a waste from the incineration process of palm oil shell and palm oil fibre which can be easily obtained from palm oil mill. This study focused on the 100% replacement of fine and coarse POC aggregates in concrete. The utilization of POC has been accepted by researcher to produce lightweight concrete and has good potential to replace natural aggregates. In this study, the characterization of fine and coarse aggregates consist of the physical and mechanical properties. Specific gravity, water absorption, dry loose bulk density, moisture content, sieve analysis, fineness modulus and Los Angeles abrasion tests were conducted to evaluate the physical properties. While for the mechanical properties of aggregate, tests on aggregate crushing value and aggregate impact value were carried out. It was found that the coarse and fine POC aggregates produce a density of  $817.17 \text{ kg/m}^3$  and  $917.82 \text{ kg/m}^3$ , respectively, which were lighter than normal granite and sand as much as 36.85% and 29.45%. This is due to the porous nature of POC aggregates. POC absorbs excessive water which contributes to higher water absorption of 15.17% and 5.35% for fine and coarse, respectively. The sieve analysis result shows that fine and coarse POC comply with American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) C330/330M (2014), thus indicates that fine and coarse POC aggregates can be considered as a well-graded aggregate. A concrete mixture consists of 100% fine and coarse POC aggregates were then designed according to American Concrete Institute (ACI) 211.2 (1998), producing lightweight concrete with a density of  $1990.33 \text{ kg/m}^3$ . The normal weight concrete (NC) and lightweight concrete or later known as palm oil clinker concrete (POCC) were tested for its fresh and hardened properties. Slump test was performed to assess its workability while for the hardened concrete tests, density, water absorption, ultrasonic pulse velocity, compressive strength, tensile splitting, flexural strength, modulus of elasticity and Poisson's ratio tests were conducted. To evaluate suitability in the structural precast application, normal concrete wall (NCW) and lightweight precast wall (LPW) panels were constructed and tested under static loading. LPW panel exhibited lower axial load capacity by 44.13% from NCW and this is mainly due to the lower initial stiffness. Moreover, the higher Poisson's ratio value for POCC reflects the higher lateral displacement recorded by LPW at peak load which was 4.46 mm compared to only 1.1 mm for NCW. The failure mode and cracking pattern for both panels were similar except LPW exhibited concrete spalling during failure. The utilization of POC aggregate shows significant impact as LPW had larger strain at lower load. From the study conducted, POCC with air voids in the concrete contributes to lower initial stiffness of LPW. In conclusion, the LPW is suitable to be used as low load structural members.

## ABSTRAK

Batu hangus kelapa sawit (POC) ialah sisa buangan dari proses pembakaran kulit kelapa sawit dan serat kelapa sawit yang mudah didapati di kilang kelapa sawit. Kajian ini fokus kepada penggantian 100% agregat POC halus dan kasar di dalam konkrit. Penggunaan POC dipersetujui oleh penyelidik dalam menghasilkan konkrit ringan selain mempunyai potensi bagi menggantikan agregat semulajadi. Ciri-ciri POC yang dikaji di dalam kajian ini meliputi ciri-ciri fizikal dan mekanikal agregat. Graviti tentu, serapan air, ketumpatan pukal longgar kering, kandungan kelembapan, analisis ayakan, modulus kehalusan dan ujian lelasan Los Angeles dilakukan untuk menilai ciri-ciri fizikal. Manakala bagi ciri-ciri mekanikal agregat, ujian nilai kehancuran agregat dan nilai impak aggregate dilakukan. POC kasar dan halus mempunyai nilai ketumpatan  $817.17 \text{ kg/m}^3$  dan  $917.82 \text{ kg/m}^3$ , di mana nilai ini lebih rendah berbanding granit dan pasir sebanyak 36.85% dan 29.45%. Ini disebabkan oleh sifat POC yang berongga. Sifat menyerap air POC menyebabkan nilai serapan air tinggi iaitu sebanyak 15.17% untuk POC halus dan 5.35% untuk POC kasar. Analisis ayakan POC halus dan kasar mematuhi *American Society for Testing and Materials* (ASTM) C330/330M (2014) yang menandakan bahawa agregat POC halus dan kasar dikelaskan sebagai agregat bergred baik. Campuran konkrit mengandungi 100% agregat halus dan kasar direka berpandukan *American Concrete Institute* (ACI) 211.2 (1998) bagi menghasilkan konkrit ringan dengan ketumpatan  $1990.33 \text{ kg/m}^3$ . Konkrit berat biasa (NC) dan juga konkrit ringan yang kemudiannya dikenali sebagai konkrit klinker kelapa sawit (POCC) diuji untuk sifat konkrit basah dan sifat konkrit keras. Ujian runtuh dilakukan bagi menilai keboleherjaan manakala bagi konkrit keras, ujian ketumpatan, serapan air, halaju denyut ultrasonik, kekuatan mampatan, mampatan tegangan, kekuatan lenturan, modulus elastik dan nisbah Poisson turut dilakukan. Bagi menilai kesesuaian aplikasi di dalam struktur konkrit pratuang, dinding konkrit berat biasa (NCW) dan dinding ringan pratuang (LPW) dibina dan diuji dibawah tekanan statik. Panel LPW menunjukkan nilai keupayaan yang lebih rendah dari NCW sebanyak 44.13% yang disebabkan oleh nilai kekukuhan awal yang rendah. Nilai nisbah Poisson yang tinggi turut menyebabkan LPW mempunyai nilai sesaran yang tinggi iaitu 4.46 mm manakala NCW hanya 1.1 mm. Mod kegagalan bagi kedua-dua panel didapati adalah kehancuran konkrit tetapi LPW turut menunjukkan pecahan konkrit. Penggunaan agregat POC menunjukkan hasil yang ketara di mana LPW mempunyai terikan yang lebih besar ketika beban yang rendah. Hasil kajian menunjukkan POCC dengan udara terkandung di dalam konkrit menyumbang kepada nilai kekukuhan awal yang rendah untuk LPW. Kesimpulannya, LPW didapati hanya sesuai untuk digunakan sebagai struktur untuk beban rendah.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	<b>ii</b>
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xii</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xiv</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xvii</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xix</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xxii</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Background of Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Aim and Objectives	4
	1.4 Scopes of Study	4
	1.5 Significance of Research	5
	1.6 Thesis Outline	6

<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>7</b>
	2.1 Introduction	7
	2.2 Lightweight Aggregate	8
	2.2.1 Types of Lightweight Aggregate	9
	2.2.2 Effects on Lightweight Concrete Utilizing Lightweight Aggregate	10
	2.3 Palm Oil Clinker Aggregates	12
	2.3.1 Production of Palm Oil Clinker Aggregates	12
	2.3.2 Properties of Palm Oil Clinker Aggregates	14
	2.4 Lightweight Concrete	17
	2.4.1 Background of Lightweight Concrete	17
	2.4.2 Types of Lightweight Concrete	18
	2.4.2.1 No-fines Lightweight Concrete	18
	2.4.2.2 Aerated Lightweight Concrete	19
	2.4.2.3 Lightweight Aggregates Concrete	20
	2.4.3 Advantages of Lightweight Concrete	21
	2.5 Palm Oil Clinker Concrete	22
	2.5.1 Mix Design Methods of Palm Oil Clinker Concrete	22
	2.5.2 Previous research on Palm Oil Clinker Concrete Properties	24
	2.6 Wall	29
	2.6.1 Theoretical Equation of Wall	30
	2.7 Precast Concrete	31
	2.7.1 Precast Wall	32
	2.7.1.1 Types of Precast Wall	33
	2.7.2 Lightweight Precast Wall	35
	2.7.2.1 Precast Wall Limitation	35
	2.7.2.2 Advantages of Lightweight Precast Wall	36
	2.7.2.3 Structural Behaviour of Lightweight Precast Wall	37
	2.8 Concluding Remarks	39



<b>3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>41</b>
3.1	Introduction	41
3.2	Materials	43
3.2.1	Cement	44
3.2.2	Aggregates	44
3.2.3	Water	45
3.2.4	Superplasticizer	46
3.3	Material Properties of Palm Oil Clinker (POC)	46
3.3.1	Physical Properties of POC	46
3.3.1.1	Sieve Analysis and Fineness Modulus	47
3.3.1.2	Specific Gravity and Water Absorption	48
3.3.1.3	Dry Loose Bulk Density	50
3.3.1.4	Moisture Content	50
3.3.1.5	Los Angeles Abrasion Value	51
3.3.2	Mechanical Properties of POC	52
3.3.2.1	Aggregate Crushing Value (ACV)	52
3.3.2.2	Aggregate Impact Value (AIV)	53
3.3.3	Chemical Properties of POC	54
3.3.3.1	Chloride Content	54
3.3.3.2	Sulphate Content	55
3.4	Concrete Mix Design	56
3.4.1	Mix Design of Normal Concrete	56
3.4.2	Mix Design of Palm Oil Clinker Concrete (POCC)	57
3.5	Preparation and Casting of Test Specimens	58
3.6	Properties of Concrete	59
3.6.1	Fresh Concrete Test – Slump Test	59
3.6.2	Hardened Concrete Tests	61
3.6.2.1	Density	61
3.6.2.2	Water Absorption Test	61
3.6.2.3	Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity Test	62
3.6.2.4	Compressive Strength Test	63
3.6.2.5	Tensile Splitting Strength Test	64
3.6.2.6	Flexural Strength Test	65

3.6.2.7	Modulus of Elasticity and Poisson's Ratio	
Test		66
3.7	Precast Wall	68
3.7.1	Design and Construction of Normal Concrete and POCC Precast Wall Panel	69
3.7.2	Test Set-Up	73
3.7.3	Test Procedures	75
3.7.4	Analysis Procedures	76
3.8	Summary of Chapter	76
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS ON MATERIAL PROPERTIES AND CONCRETE PROPERTIES</b>	<b>78</b>
4.1	Introduction	78
4.2	Material Properties of POC	79
4.2.1	Physical Properties of POC	79
4.2.1.1	Sieve Analysis and Fineness Modulus	80
4.2.1.2	Specific Gravity	85
4.2.1.3	Water Absorption	86
4.2.1.4	Dry Loose Bulk Density	86
4.2.1.5	Moisture Content	87
4.2.1.6	Los Angeles Abrasion Value	87
4.2.2	Mechanical Properties of POC	88
4.2.3	Chemical Properties of POC	89
4.3	Mix Design of Normal Concrete and Lightweight Concrete	89
4.4	Properties of Concrete	94
4.4.1	Fresh Concrete	94
4.4.2	Hardened Concrete	95
4.4.2.1	Density	95
4.4.2.2	Water Absorption	96
4.4.2.3	Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity	97
4.4.2.4	Compressive Strength	98
4.4.2.5	Tensile Splitting Strength	100

4.4.2.6 Flexural Strength	101
4.4.2.7 Modulus of Elasticity and Poisson's Ratio	103
4.5 Summary	106
4.5.1 Material Properties of POC	106
4.5.2 Properties of POCC	107
<b>5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS ON STRUCTURAL BEHAVIOUR OF LIGHTWEIGHT PRECAST WALL PANEL</b>	<b>108</b>
5.1 Introduction	108
5.2 Structural Behaviour of Lightweight Precast Wall Panel (LPW)	108
5.2.1 Ultimate Load Capacity of LPW	109
5.2.2 Load-Displacement Profile of LPW	111
5.2.3 Crack Pattern and Failure Mode of LPW	114
5.2.4 Load-Strain Behaviour of LPW	116
5.3 Validation of Experimental Compressive Stress of LPW	118
5.3.1 First Crack and Ultimate Load	119
5.3.2 Validation of Experimental Compressive Stress of LPW with Theoretical Equations	119
5.4 Summary	123
5.4.1 Structural Behaviour of Lightweight Precast Wall Panel	123
5.4.2 Validation of Experimental Compressive Stress Capacity of LPW with Theoretical Equations	124
<b>6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>125</b>
6.1 Conclusions	125
6.2 Recommendations	127
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>128</b>
Appendices A-E	135-142

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Physical and mechanical properties of coarse POC aggregates	15
2.2	Physical properties of fine POC aggregates	16
2.3	Mix proportions utilizing POC aggregates from previous studies	27
3.1	Mix proportion for NC (per m <sup>3</sup> )	51
3.2	Fine to coarse ratio for POCC	57
3.3	Mix proportion for POCC (per m <sup>3</sup> )	58
4.1	Physical properties of fine and coarse POC, crushed granite and river sand	79
4.2	Sieve analysis of coarse POC aggregate	80
4.3	Sieve analysis of crushed granite	81
4.4	Sieve analysis of fine POC aggregate	81
4.5	Sieve analysis of river sand	82
4.6	Mechanical properties of POC and crushed granite	88
4.7	Chemical properties of coarse POC	89
4.8	Mix proportion for trial mixes	92
4.9	Slump value and designated slump range for concrete mixes	94
4.10	Mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation of density of POCC and NC	96
4.11	Mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation of water absorption of POCC and NC	96

4.12	Mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation of UPV of POCC and NC	97
4.13	Mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation of compressive strength of POCC and NC	99
4.14	Mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation of tensile splitting strength of POCC and NC	101
4.15	Mean, standard deviation and coefficient of variation of flexural strength of POCC and NC	102
4.16	Modulus of elasticity and Poisson's ratio	105
4.17	The percentage of difference for compressive strength	105
5.1	Compressive strength of cubic specimen, first crack and ultimate load	111
5.2	First crack and ultimate load	119
5.3	Comparison of experimental compressive stress and theoretical equations	121

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Types of lightweight aggregates that commonly used in concrete (Chandra and Berntsson, 2002)	10
2.2	Fracture paths for normal weight concrete and lightweight concrete (Newman, 1993)	12
2.3	Physical character of POC (a) POC boulder (b) Porous structure of POC	13
2.4	Types of lightweight concrete (Newman and Owens, 2003)	18
2.5	The honeycomb structure of no-fines lightweight concrete	19
2.6	Aerated lightweight concrete groups	20
2.7	Types of ALC (a) ALC by chemical reaction (b) ALC made of foam	20
2.8	Types of precast wall (a) solid wall (b) sandwich wall (c) thin shell	33
3.1	Flow chart of research activities	42
3.2	Work flows of experimental research activities	43
3.3	Preparation of POC aggregates (a) Raw POC collected from palm oil mill (b) POC after crushed by hammer (c) Grinding machine used to crush POC to smaller size (d) POC after grinded	45
3.4	Sieve shaker for sieve analysis test	47

3.5	Specific gravity and water absorption test (a) Coarse aggregate was immersed in water for 24 hours (b) The apparent mass of aggregate was taken (c) Taking the mass of coarse aggregate after oven dried	48
3.6	The ACV test for coarse aggregate	53
3.7	The AIV test for coarse aggregate	54
3.8	Moulds used for the hardened concrete tests	59
3.9	Slump test for fresh concrete	60
3.10	UPV test being carried out on prism specimen	63
3.11	Compressive test of cube specimen	64
3.12	Tensile splitting strength test (a) Test set-up (b) Schematic diagram	65
3.13	Flexural strength test (a) Test set-up (b) Schematic diagram	66
3.14	Preparation and test set-up (a) Location of strain gauges (b) Modulus of Elasticity and Poisson's ratio test set up for cylindrical specimen	68
3.15	Layout of the wall	69
3.16	Strain gauges attached to steel reinforcement (SS)	71
3.17	Preparation of steel strain gauge and formwork (a) Strain gauge after glued (b) First layer of coating with N-1 (c) Second layer of coating with bituminous tape (d) Completed formwork with reinforcement	71
3.18	Mixing and casting process (a) Drum mixer for the mixing process (b) Slump test to check the workability (c) Concrete vibrating	72
3.19	(a) Location of the strain gauges at the surface of wall (SC) (b) Testing setup for POCC wall	73
3.20	Set-up for wall panel test	74
3.21	Schematic diagram of test set-up	75
4.1	Sieve analysis grading curve for coarse POC	82
4.2	Sieve analysis grading curve for crushed granite	83
4.3	Sieve analysis grading curve for fine POC	83

4.4	Sieve analysis grading curve for river sand	84
4.5	Fineness modulus results	85
4.6	Rejected trial mixes of POCC (a) D1 with honeycomb all over the cubic specimens (b) Trial mixes A1 to A3 have segregation and less cohesive cement paste	91
4.7	Compressive strength of cube specimens for POCC and NC	98
4.8	Failure mode of cubic specimens (a) POCC (b) NC	100
4.9	Failure mode of cylindrical specimen for POCC	101
4.10	Failure mode of POCC prism specimen	102
4.11	Failure mode of normal concrete prism specimen	103
4.12	Determination of modulus of elasticity and Poisson's ratio of POCC from stress-strain curves	104
4.13	Determination of modulus of elasticity and Poisson's ratio of NC from stress-strain curves	104
5.1	The cracks path line for LPW and NCW	109
5.2	Fracture path (a) Crack travels between cement paste and aggregates for normal weight concrete (b) The crack path travels through the porous structure of POC aggregates	110
5.3	Load-vertical displacement of precast wall panels	112
5.4	Lateral displacement along the wall height of Lightweight Precast Wall (LPW) at different load	113
5.5	Lateral displacement along the wall height of Normal Concrete Wall (NCW) at different load	113
5.6	Crack propagation and failure mode (a) LPW (b) NCW	114
5.7	Illustration of crack pattern	115
5.8	The spalled concrete of LPW (a) Flat surface of spalled concrete (b) Converged cracks	115
5.9	Load-strain of concrete strain gauges for NCW and LPW	117
5.10	Load-strain of steel reinforcement strain gauges for NCW and LPW	118
5.11	Comparison of experimental and theoretical compressive stress of LPW panels	120



**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

ACI	-	American Concrete Institute
ACV	-	Aggregate crushing value
AIV	-	Aggregate impact value
ASTM	-	American Society for Testing and Materials
BS	-	British Standards
CIDB	-	Construction Industry Development Board
COV	-	Coefficient of variation
DOE	-	Department of Environment
FCSP	-	Foamed concrete sandwich panel
IBS	-	Industrial building system
LPW	-	Lightweight precast wall
LVDT	-	Linear variable displacement transducer
LWA	-	Lightweight aggregate
LWAC	-	Lightweight aggregate concrete
LWC	-	Lightweight concrete
LWECC	-	Lightweight expanded clay concrete
LWPSC	-	Lightweight pumice stone concrete
M-sand	-	Manufactured sand
NC	-	Normal concrete
NCW	-	Normal concrete wall
OPC	-	Ordinary Portland cement
OPS	-	Oil palm shell
PFA	-	Pulverized fly ash
POC	-	Palm oil clinker
POCC	-	Palm oil clinker concrete

POS	-	Palm Oil Shell
PP	-	Particle packing
SCC	-	Self-compacting concrete
SCLWC	-	Self-compacting lightweight concrete
SD	-	Standard deviation
SP	-	Superplasticizer
UPV	-	Ultrasonic pulse velocity

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$A$	-	Mass of oven-dry specimen
$A_c$	-	The cross-sectional area of the specimen which was subjected to the compression load
$A_{sc}$	-	The area of steel reinforcement bar
$A_{s,max}$	-	Maximum area of reinforcement required
$A_{s,min}$	-	Minimum area of reinforcement required
$B$	-	Mass of saturated surface-dry sample in air
$B_1$	-	Mass of pycnometer filled with water to calibration mark
$C$	-	Apparent mass of saturated test sample in water
$C_{nom}$	-	Nominal cover
$C_1$	-	Mass of pycnometer filled with specimen and water to calibration mark
$D$	-	Mass of dried sample
$d$	-	Diameter of the specimen
$d_1$	-	Horizontal dimension of prism specimen
$d_2$	-	Vertical dimension of prism specimen
$E$	-	Modulus of elasticity
$F$	-	The maximum load at failure
$f_c$	-	Compressive strength
$f_{cd}$	-	The design compressive strength of concrete
$f_{cf}$	-	Flexural strength
$f_{ct}$	-	Tensile splitting strength
$f_{k, exp}$	-	Experiment compressive stress of wall
$f_{k, theo}$	-	Theoretical compressive stress of wall

$f_y$	-	The characteristic yield strength of concrete
$G$	-	Mass of the aggregate and the cylinder
$H$	-	Height of the wall
$H/t$	-	Slenderness ratio
$h$	-	Width of the wall
$I$	-	Distance between the supporting rollers
$I_m$	-	Moment of inertia
$i$	-	The radius of gyration about the axis considered
$k$	-	Factor for fully restrained wall against rotation
$L$	-	Length of specimen
$l_e$	-	Effective length
$M_{Ed}$	-	The design bending moment
$M_{o2}$	-	First order moment
$M_1$	-	Mass of test specimen
$M_2$	-	Mass of the material passing the 2.36 mm test sieve
$m_3$	-	Mass of the precipitate of barium sulphate
$N_{Ed}$	-	The design ultimate axial load in the wall
$P_u$	-	Ultimate load for stocky wall
$S$	-	Mass of saturated surface-dry specimen (used in gravimetric procedure for density and relative density)
$T$	-	Mass of cylinder
$T_1$	-	Time taken by the pulse to transverse the length
$t$	-	Thickness of the wall
$V$	-	Volume of cylinder
$V_1$	-	Volume of the specimen
$V_2$	-	Used volume of 0.01 mol/l silver nitrate solution
$\nu$	-	Poisson's ratio
$W$	-	Mass of original sample
$W_1$	-	Mass of original test sample
$W_2$	-	Final mass of the test sample
$W_3$	-	1000 g / mass of the aggregate
$\varepsilon_a$	-	Longitudinal strain at stress $\sigma_a$
$\varepsilon_b$	-	Longitudinal strain at stress $\sigma_b$

$\varepsilon_{ta}$	-	Transverse strain at stress $\sigma_a$
$\varepsilon_{tb}$	-	Transverse strain at stress $\sigma_b$
$\lambda$	-	Slenderness ratio
$\lambda_{lim}$	-	Slenderness limit
$\sigma_a$	-	Upper loading stress of the gradient from graph of stress versus strain
$\sigma_b$	-	Lower loading stress of the gradient from graph of stress versus strain
$\phi$	-	Capacity reduction factor for compression members

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Mix Design of NC (DOE)	135
B	Mix Design of POCC (ACI)	136
C	Design of LPW and NCW Panels	137
D	Theoretical Equations for Ultimate Load From Previous Studies	140
E	List of Publications	142

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Background of Study**

Construction industry contributes directly to the development of an area. With the increase of population, rapid development of public facilities such as road, infrastructure and residential buildings are essential. Thus, the demand for concrete which consists of four basic materials such as cement, sand, crushed granite and water has been increasing by time. Aggregate consumes about 60 % to 70 % of the total volume of concrete (Harmon, 2006). Dependency on these natural resources only had raise concerns toward the equilibrium of environment since the source will be depleted (Sharmin *et. al.*, 2015).

Whilst the construction of industrial building system (IBS) is focusing on utilizing precast panels in buildings, more studies were conducted to enhance the performance and production. Precast panel is a concrete panel that was cast in a factory earlier and then been transported to the site. It is being used as cladding since 1920s and has been widely used since 1950s (Rahim *et al.*, 2012).

The Malaysian government has urged the local construction industry to move on from conventional practice to precast products in all government projects since 1960s. Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB) was set up by the Malaysian government in 1994 in order to guide and to educate the local construction industry (Azman *et al.*, 2012). The precast panel is seen as a good alternative especially in improving quality and time reduction of construction project.

Meanwhile, Malaysia produced 19.96 million tonnes crude palm oil in 2015 with an increase of 1.5% from previous year (MPOB, 2015). The palm oil process produces 2.6 million tonnes of waste per year which has cause problem in finding the suitable disposable area (Basri *et al.*, 1999). Numbers of researches have been conducted to determine the suitable method of disposing the waste and the ability of this waste to be recycled. Among the materials that had been recognized suitable to be used in concrete production are palm oil clinker (POC) and palm oil shell (POS) (Ahmmad *et al.*, 2014). POC can be used as a replacement for both coarse and fine aggregate. Furthermore, a study by Mohammed *et al.* (2013) reported that POC produced lighter density which fulfilled the requirement of lightweight aggregate (LWA) in lightweight concrete (LWC). The utilization of POC in LWC can help not only in waste disposing problem but also can reduce the dependency in the natural source.

POC is an aggregate with porous and solid character. It is a by-product of POS and oil palm fibre incineration used in generating electricity (Jumaat *et al.*, 2015). The light density makes it as a suitable material for LWC. Concrete with density  $2000 \text{ kg/m}^3$  or less is considered under lightweight concrete according to BS EN 206 (2013). LWC has been used for a long time since the 18<sup>th</sup> century when Roman builds the pantheon. And it has widely been used in USA and Europe in the late 1990s to replace normal dense concrete. The used of POC can reduce the dead load and at the same time giving reduction to construction cost. In this study, lighter precast panels were produced using POC as fine and coarse aggregates. With a lighter precast panel, the cross section of structural members can be reduced and this will give some space to engineer to design a more sophisticated structure.



## 1.2 Problem Statement

Concrete is one of the most important materials in the construction industry. As the development keep on going, the demand for concrete will increase. The high demand of concrete requires a huge amount of sand and granite, leading to massive extraction of those materials. Tu *et al.* (2006) reported that 8 to 12 billion tones of natural aggregates been extracted annually. Depletion of natural sources to be used in concrete can cause ecological imbalance. Thus it is important to find an alternative material to replace both sand and granite.

Currently, the precast panel is facing difficulties in producing a lighter panel. More than that, the application of precast panels in the local building is still on a small scale. Precast panels need heavy crane during production and transportation. Lighter panels will eliminate the use of heavy machines, thus ease the assembling process at site. In this case, producing a lighter precast panel will help in eliminating those problems and most importantly, gain the interest from construction players. Several types of research have been carried out on lightweight precast panels, but with inclusion of waste material more research is seen required to make it more applicable (Cavaleri *et al.*, 2003; Carbonari *et al.*, 2012; Amran *et al.*, 2016). Lightweight panels reduce self-weight and thus lower the load carried by the foundation.

POC is a by-product from the incineration process of palm oil fiber and palm oil shell at palm oil mill. This waste is produced in a large quantity from all palm oil mill in Malaysia (Abutaha *et al.*, 2016). POC was normally dumped into the environment due to no significant application. This sparks concern among public, environmental activist and researchers. The reuse of POC in form of fine and coarse aggregates in concrete, so as to produce LWC is promising in reducing the amount of natural aggregates, apart from providing natural balance to the environment.

### **1.3 Aim and Objectives**

The aim of this research is to produce a lightweight precast wall panel made of fine and coarse POC aggregates. The objectives of this research are as follows:

- i. To evaluate the physical, mechanical and chemical properties of POC aggregates.
- ii. To develop optimum mix design of lightweight concrete using 100 % replacement of fine and coarse POC aggregates and to investigate the fresh and hardened tests of palm oil clinker concrete (POCC).
- iii. To investigate the structural behavior of lightweight precast wall (LPW) panel subjected to gravity load.
- iv. To verify the experimental result with predicted values from previous researcher and codes.

### **1.4 Scopes of Study**

This study was conducted through experimental works. All testing methods were in accordance to American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) and British Standards (BS). Both fine and coarse POC aggregates were used as 100 % replacement to produce a lightweight concrete with a designed strength of 30 N/mm<sup>2</sup> at 28 days. The size range for fine aggregate is from 150 µm to 4.75 mm and 4.75 mm to 9.5 mm for coarse aggregate.

The physical properties of fine and coarse POC aggregates were determined and compared with normal aggregate concrete (NC). This is because the POCC will significantly have lighter density and expected to have lower compressive strength. The physical properties being covered are specific gravity, water absorption, dry

loose bulk density, moisture content, sieve analysis, fineness modulus and Los Angeles abrasion value. Meanwhile, the mechanical properties being covered are aggregate impact value and aggregate crushing value. In addition, chemical tests to determine sulphate and chloride content were also conducted. Fresh and hardened palm oil clinker concrete (POCC) properties such as slump test, density, water absorption test, ultrasonic pulse velocity test, compressive strength test, tensile splitting test, flexural splitting test and modulus of elasticity test were conducted to evaluate the performance of POCC as lightweight concrete.

LPW panel made of POCC was produced with a dimension of 1300 mm x 400 mm x 100 mm. The reinforced LPW wall was subjected to an axial load to study the cracking pattern, strength capacity, failure mode, load-displacement behavior and load-strain behavior.

## **1.5 Significance of Research**

This research studied on the usage of POC as a replacement for fine and coarse aggregate in concrete. This replacement can help in the waste disposing problem of POC. Furthermore, it also can help to reduce the dependency on natural resources where the equilibrium of environment could be disturbed. The utilization of POCC in the lightweight precast panel has many advantages such as thermal insulation, high fire resistance, reduction in building weight, construction cost and period. The LPW with the utilization of waste material has high potential to be used in the construction of affordable houses where the amount of conventional aggregates can be reduced. Moreover, with the reuse of waste material the building constructed with LPW made of POCC can be categorized as a green building.

## **1.6 Thesis Outline**

This thesis discusses in details about the material properties of POC aggregates and also the structural behaviour of LPW panel made of POCC. Chapter 1 covers the background of this study, problem statement, shows the aim and objectives, explain the scope of this study and the significance of this research. While Chapter 2 mainly discuss on the literature review on previous studies related to lightweight aggregate and wall. In Chapter 3, all testing that were used in this study were explained clearly. The results obtained from testing for material properties were presented and discussed in Chapter 4 while for the testing on wall was presented in Chapter 5. Finally, Chapter 6 summarize the conclusion of this study.

## REFERENCES

- AbdAllah, A.A.A. (2013). Precast Hollow-Block Reinforced Concrete Bearing Walls. *International Journal of Engineering and Innovative Technology*. 2(7), 463-469.
- Abdullahi, M. and Mohammed, B. (2009). Equations for Mix Design of Structural Lightweight Concrete. *European Journal of Scientific Research*. 31, 132-141.
- Abutaha, F., Abdul Razak, H. And Kanadasan, J. (2016). Effect of Palm Oil Clinker (POC) Aggregates on Fresh and Hardened Properties of Concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*. 112, 416-423.
- Ahmad, M.H., Mohd, S. and Noor, N.M. (2007). Mechanical Properties of Palm Oil Clinker Concrete. *1<sup>st</sup> Engineering Conference On Energy And Environment*. 27-28 December. Kuching, Sarawak, 1-5.
- Ahmed, A.K. and Avetisyan, H. (2016). Reducing Time and Cost of Construction Projects by Improving The Properties of Precast Normal-Weight Wall Wanel. *Procedia Engineering*. 145, 1066-1073.
- Ahmmad, R., Jumaat, M.Z. and Islam, A.B.M.S. (2014). Ductility Performance of Lightweight Concrete Element Containing Massive Palm Shell Clinker. *Construction and Building Materials*. 63, 234-241.
- Ahmmad, R., Jumaat, M.Z., Alengaram, U.J., Bahri, S., Rehman, M.A. and Hashim, H. (2016). Performance Evaluation of Palm Oil Clinker as Coarse Aggregate in High Strength Lightweight Concrete. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 112, 566-574.
- Alam, M.A. and Naz, S. (2015). Experimental Study on Properties of No-fine Concrete. *International Journal of Informative & Futuristic Reseacrh*. 2. 3687-3694.

- American Concrete Institute. (1998). *Standard Practice for Selecting Proportions for Structural Lightweight Concrete. ACI 211.2*. Farmington Hills: ACI
- American Concrete Institute. (2011). *Building Code Requirements for Structural Concrete. ACI 318*. Farmington Hills: ACI
- American Society for Testing and Materials. (1997). *Standard Test Method for Total Evaporable Moisture Content of Aggregate. ASTM C566*. Pennsylvania: ASTM
- American Society for Testing and Materials. (2003a). *Standard Test Method for Bulk Density ("Unit Weight") and Voids in Aggregate. ASTM C29/C29M*. Pennsylvania: ASTM
- American Society for Testing and Materials. (2003b). *Standard Specification for Concrete Aggregates. ASTM C33*. Pennsylvania: ASTM
- American Society for Testing and Materials. (2003c). *Standard Test Method for Resistance to Degradation of Small-Size Coarse Aggregate by Abrasion and Impact in the Los Angeles Machine. ASTM C131*. Pennsylvania: ASTM
- American Society for Testing and Materials. (2006). *Standard Test Method for Sieve Analysis of Fine and Coarse Aggregates. ASTM C136*. Pennsylvania: ASTM
- American Society for Testing and Materials. (2007a). *Standard Test Method for Density, Relative Density (Specific Gravity) and Absorption of Coarse Aggregate. ASTM C127*. Pennsylvania: ASTM
- American Society for Testing and Materials. (2007b). *Standard Test Method for Density, Relative Density (Specific Gravity) and Absorption of Fine Aggregate. ASTM C128*. Pennsylvania: ASTM
- American Society for Testing and Materials. (2014). *Standard Specification for Lightweight Aggregates for Structural Concrete. ASTM C330/330M*. Pennsylvania: ASTM
- American Society for Testing and Materials. (2015). *Standard Specification for Chemical Admixtures for Concrete. ASTM C494/494M*. Pennsylvania: ASTM
- Amran, Y.H.M., Ali, A.A.A., Rashid, R.S.M., Hejazi F. and Safiee, N.A. (2016). Structural Behaviour of Axially Loaded Precast Foamed Concrete Sandwich Panels. *Construction and Building Materials*. 107, 307-320.
- Aslam, M., Shafiqh, P. And Jumaat, M.Z. (2016). Oil-Palm by-Products as Lightweight Aggregate in Concrete Mix: A Review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 126, 56-73.

- Azman, M.N.A., Ahamad, M.S.S., and Majid, T.A. (2012). A Study of Precast Concrete in Malaysia. *Concrete Engineering International*. 50-52.
- Bachmann, H. and Steinle, A. (2011). *Precast Concrete Structures*. Berlin, Wilhelm Ernst & Sohn.
- Basri, H., Mannan, M., and Zain, M. (1999). Concrete Using Waste Oil Palm Shell As Aggregate. *Cement and Concrete Research*. 29, 619-622.
- Benayoune, A., Samad, A.A.A., Ali, A.A.A. and Trikha, D.N. (2007). Response of Pre-cast Reinforced Composite Sandwich Panels to Axial Loading. *Construction and Building Materials*. 21, 677-685.
- Bogas, J.A., Gomes, M.G. and Gomes, A. (2015). Compressive Strength Evaluation of Structural Lightweight Concrete by Non-Destructive Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity Method. *Ultrasonic*. 53, 962-972.
- British Standards Institution. (1983). *Testing Concrete – Part 121: Method for Determination of Static Modulus of Elasticity in Compression*. BS 1881-121. London. BSI
- British Standards Institution. (1985). *Structural Use of Concrete – Part 2: Code of Practise for Special Circumstances*. BS8110-2. London: BSI.
- British Standards Institution. (1990a). *Testing Aggregates – Part 110: Methods for Determination of Aggregate Crushing Value (ACV)*. BS 812-110. London: BSI.
- British Standards Institution. (1990b). *Testing Aggregates – Part 112: Methods for Determination of Aggregate Impact Value (AIV)*. BS 812-112. London: BSI.
- British Standards Institution. (1992). *Specification for Aggregates from Natural Sources for Concrete*. BS 882. London: BSI.
- British Standards Institution. (1997). *Structural Use of Concrete – Part 1: Code of Practise for Design and Construction*. BS 8110-1. London: BSI.
- British Standards Institution. (2002). *Lightweight Aggregates – Part 1: Lightweight Aggregates for Concrete, Mortar and Grout*. BS EN 13055-1. London: BSI.
- British Standards Institution. (2004a). *Eurocode 2: Design of Concrete Structures – Part 1-1: General Rules and Rules for Buildings*. BS EN 1992-1-1:2004. London: BSI.
- British Standards Institution. (2004b). *Testing Concrete – Part 4: Determination of Ultrasonic Pulse Velocity*. BS EN 12504-4. London: BSI.

- British Standards Institution. (2008). *Aggregates for Concrete. BS EN 12620:2002+AI*. London: BSI.
- British Standards Institution. (2009a). *Testing Fresh Concrete – Part 2: Slump-test. BS EN 12350-2*. London: BSI.
- British Standards Institution. (2009b). *Testing Hardened Concrete – Part 2: Making and Curing Specimens for Strength Tests. BS EN 12390-2*. London: BSI.
- British Standards Institution. (2009c). *Testing Hardened Concrete – Part 3: Compressive Strength of Test Specimens. BS EN 12390-3*. London: BSI.
- British Standards Institution. (2009d). *Testing Hardened Concrete – Part 5: Flexural Strength of Test Specimens. BS EN 12390-5*. London: BSI.
- British Standards Institution. (2009e). *Testing Hardened Concrete – Part 6: Tensile Splitting Strength of Test Specimens. BS EN 12390-6*. London: BSI.
- British Standards Institution. (2009f). *Testing Hardened Concrete – Part 6: Density of Hardened Concrete. BS EN 12390-7*. London: BSI.
- British Standards Institution. (2011). *Testing Concrete – Part 122: Method for Determination of Water Absorption. BS 1881-122*. London: BSI.
- British Standards Institution. (2012). *Tests for Chemical Properties of Aggregates Part 1: Chemical Analysis. BS EN 1744-1: 2009 + AI*. London: BSI.
- British Standards Institution. (2013). *Concrete – Specification, Performance, Production and Conformity. BS EN 206*. London: BSI.
- Carbonari, G., Cavalaro, S.H.P., Cansario, M.M. and Aguado, A. (2012). Flexural behaviour of light-weight sandwich panels composed by concrete and EPS. *Construction and Building Materials*. 35, 792-799.
- Cavaleri, L., Miraglia, N. and Papia, M. (2003). Pumice Concrete for Structural Wall Panels. *Engineering Structures*. 25, 115-125.
- CIDB (1999). *Structural Precast Concrete Handbook*. Singapore: CIDB
- Chandra, S. and Berntsson, L. (2002). *Lightweight Aggregate Concrete: Science, Technology, and Applications*. New York: Noyes Publications.
- Clarke, J.L. (1993). *Structural Lightweight Aggregate Concrete*. London: Chapman and Hall
- Dhir, K., Mays, R.G.C. and Chua, H.C. (1984). Lightweight Structural Concrete with Aglite Aggregate: Mix Design and Properties. *The International Journal of Cement Composites and Lightweight Concrete*. 6, 249-261.



- Doh, J.H. and Fragomeni, S. (2006). Ultimate Load Formula for Reinforced Concrete Wall Panels with Openings. *Advances in Structural Engineering*. 9, 103-115.
- Elliot, K.S. (2002). *Precast Concrete Structures*. Oxford: Butterworth Heinemann
- Fathi, M.S., Abedi, M. and Mirasa, A.K. (2012). Construction Industry Experience of Industrialised Building System in Malaysia. *Proceedings of the 9<sup>th</sup> International Congress on Civil Engineering (9ICCE)*. 8-10 May. Isfahan, Iran.
- FIP. (1983). *FIP Manual of Lightweight Aggregate Concrete*. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.) Glasgow: Surrey University Press
- Harmon (2006). *Engineering Properties of Structural Lightweight Concrete*. Carolina Stalite Company.
- Jumaat, M.Z., Alengaram, U.J., Ahmmad, R., Bahri, S. and Islam, A.B.M. (2015). Characteristic of Palm Oil Clinker as Replacement for Oil Palm Shell in Lightweight Concrete Subjected to Elevated Temperature. *Construction and Building Materials*. 101, 942-951.
- Kanadasan, J. and Razak, H.A. (2015). Engineering and Sustainability Performance of Self-Compacting Palm Oil Mill Incinerated Waste Concrete. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 89, 78-86.
- Kuch, H., Schwabe, J. and Palzer, U. (2010). *Manufacturing of Concrete Products and Precast Elements*. German: Verlag Bau+Technik Gmbh
- Lago, B.D., Muhaxheri, M. and Ferrara, L. (2017). Numerical and Experimental Analysis of an Innovative Lightweight Precast Concrete Wall. *Engineering Structures*. 137, 204-222.
- LakshmiKandhan, K.N., Harshavardhan, B.S., Prabakar, J. And Saibabu, S. (2017). Investigation on Wall Panel Sandwiched With Lightweight Concrete. *Materials Science and Engineering*. 225.
- Malaysia Palm Oil Board (2015). *Malaysian Oil Palm Statistics 2015*.
- Mannan, M.A. and Neglo, K. (2010). Mix Design for Oil Palm Boiler Clinker (OPBC) Concrete. *Journal of Science and Technology*. 30, 111-118.
- Mo, K.H., Alengaram, U.J. and Jumaat, M.Z. (2016). Bond Properties of Lightweight Concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*. 112, 478-496.
- Mohamad, N., Inn, G.W., Abdullah, R., Samad, A.A.A., Mendis, P. And Sofi, M. (2017). Structural Performance of FCS Wall Subjected to Axial Load. *Construction and Building Materials*. 134, 185-198.

- Mohammed, B.S., Al-Ganad, M.A. and Abdullahi, M. (2011). Analytical and Experimental Studies on Composite Slabs Utilising Palm Oil Clinker Concrete. *Construction and Building Materials*. 25, 3550-3560.
- Mohammed, B.S., Foo, W.L., Hossain, K.M.A., and Abdullahi, M. (2013). Shear Strength of Palm Oil Clinker Concrete Beams. *Materials and Design*. 46, 270-276
- Mohammed, B.S., Foo, W.L. and Abdullahi, M. (2014). Flexural Strength of Palm Oil Clinker Concrete Beams. *Materials and Design*. 53, 325-331.
- Mydin, M.A.O. (2011). Potential of Using Lightweight Foamed Concrete in Composite Load-Bearing Wall Panels in Low-rise Construction. *Concrete Research Letters*. 2.
- Newman, J. and Owens, P. (2003). Properties of Lightweight Concrete. In Newman, J. and Choo, B.S. (Eds) *Advanced Concrete Technology: Processes*. Oxford: Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Neville, A.M. (1973). *Properties of Concrete*. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.) London: Pitman Publishing.
- Neville, A.M. and Brooks, J.J. (2010). *Concrete Technology*. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.) Essex: Pearson Education Limited.
- Newman, J.B. (1993). Properties of Structural Lightweight Aggregate Concrete. In Clarke, J.L. (Ed) *Structural Lightweight Aggregate Concrete* (pp. 10-25). London: Chapman and Hall
- Omar, W. and Mohamed, N.R. (2002). The Performance of Pretensioned Prestressed Concrete Beams Made With Lightweight Concrete. *Jurnal Kejuruteraan Awam (Journal Of Civil Engineering)*. Volume 14.
- Owens, P.L. (1993). Lightweight Aggregates for Structural Concrete. In Clarke, J.L. (Ed) *Structural Lightweight Aggregate Concrete* (pp. 1-9). London: Chapman and Hall
- Owi, S.F. (2017). *Performance of Self-Compacting Lightweight Concrete Using Palm Oil Clinker*. Master of Philosophy. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai.
- Pillai, S.U. and Parthasarathy, C.V. (1977). Ultimate Strength and Design of Concrete Walls. *Building and Environmet*. 12, 25-29.
- Rahim, A.A., Hamid, Z.A., Zen, I., Ismail, Z., and Kamar, K.A.M. (2012). Adaptable Housing of Precast Panel System in Malaysia. *ASEAN Conference on Environment – Behaviour Studies*. 16 – 18 July 2012. Bangkok, 369-382

- Shafigh, P., Mahmud, H., Jumaat M.Z., Ahmmad, R. and Bahri, S. (2014). Structural-Lightweight Aggregate Concrete Using Two Types of Waste From The Palm Oil Industry as Aggregate. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 80, 187-196.
- Sharmin, A., Alengaram, U.J., Jumaat, M.Z., Kabir, S.M.A., and Bashar, I.I. (2015). Engineering Properties of Oil Palm Shell and Palm Oil Clinker Based Geopolymer Concrete. *Rev. Tec. Univ. Zulia*. 38, 34-48.
- Teo, D.C.L., Mannan, M.A. and Kurian, V.J. (2005). Structural Bond Performance of Lightweight Concrete. *Conference on Sustainable Building South East Asia*. 11 – 13 April 2005. Malaysia.
- Teychenne, D. C., Franklin, R. E. and Entroy, H.C. (1997). *Design of Normal Concrete Mixes*. (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.) London: Construction Research Communications Ltd.
- Tu, T.Y., Chen, Y.Y., Hwang, C.L., (2006). Properties of HPC with Recycled Aggregates. *Cement and Concrete Research*. 36, 943-950.
- Uddin, N. and Du, W. (2014). New Thin Shells Made of Composite Structural Insulated Panels. *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*. 33, 1954-1965.
- Yassin, M.S. and Abdullah, R. (2016). *Reinforced Concrete Design to Eurocode 2*. Malaysia. Johor, UTM Press.