IDENTIFICATION OF FISHING GROUND USING LOCAL KNOWLEDGE AND REMOTE SENSING DATA

MOHAMAD RAHIMI BIN ROSLI

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

IDENTIFICATION OF FISHING GROUND USING LOCAL KNOWLEDGE AND REMOTE SENSING DATA

MOHAMAD RAHIMI BIN ROSLI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Science (Remote Sensing)

Faculty of Geoinformation and Real Estate Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2017

DEDICATION

As with everything I do, I dedicate this, To my beloved parents, Your unconditional love has made me gone through the tough times in my life, The faith you have in me makes me stronger, And yet I have so much to learn, To learn from you, You are the aspiration in my life, Love you always, As to my dear siblings, colleagues and friends, My lecturers and supervisors, Thanks for always be there for me.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, thanks to Allah S.W.T. after all the tough times I have gone through since three years ago, I finally managed to complete this thesis which happen to be a real challenge to me. In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners.

First of all, I would like to thank my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ab Latif bin Ibrahim who have been spending so much time on me since I first started this thesis. They have been supporting and supervising me in this critical year and they sincerely have shared all the knowledge they have towards me completing this thesis.

To my family members especially my parents, Mr. Rosli Ahmad and Mrs. Zainon Sulong, the moral and financial supports that they gave are beyond priceless. I would like to thanks my lecturers, colleagues and friends for being there for me along the way. Thank you so much to everybody and may Allah S.W.T. bless all of you.

ABSTRACT

Accurate identification of potential fishing ground is very important to help local fishermen carrying out fishing activities. Objectives of this study are, i) to identify the potential fishing ground using fishermen's local knowledge, ii) to identify the potential fishing ground using information derived from remote sensing satellite data, and iii) to compare results obtained from first and second objectives. This study has been carried out in east coast of Johor. The data used in this study consist of the local knowledge used by the fishermen in carrying out fishing activities. This information can be obtained from questionnaires survey that have been carried out at eleven fishing villages around the district of Mersing and Kota Tinggi. Seventy samples (10% the total number of local fishermen) were chosen randomly. Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) data were utilized to derive two marine environmental parameters; Sea Surface Temperature (SST) and Chlorophyll-a concentration (Chl-a), which were used to identify the potential fishing ground. Fishing ground identified using both local knowledge and remote sensing technique were then analyzed qualitatively and quantitatively. Correlation analysis between SST and Chl-a with distance and total catch as identified by local fishermen were carried out. SST has a correlation coefficient, R = -0.6378 with the distance and R = 0.4511with the total catch, while Chl-a has a correlation coefficient, R = -0.1523 and R =0.4195 with the distance and total catch respectively. These results show that fishing grounds identified by local fishermen were mostly near to coastal area and having high value of SST and Chl-a distribution which is favorable condition for a fishing ground.

ABSTRAK

Penentuan kawasan penangkapan ikan yang berpotensi secara tepat adalah sangat penting untuk membantu nelayan tempatan menjalankan aktiviti penangkapan ikan. Objektif kajian ini adalah, i) untuk menentukan kawasan penangkapan ikan yang berpotensi dengan menggunakan pengetahuan setempat nelayan, ii) untuk menentukan kawasan penangkapan ikan yang berpotensi dengan menggunakan maklumat yang diperoleh dari data satelit penderiaan jarak jauh, dan iii) untuk membandingkan hasil keputusan daripada objektif pertama dan kedua. Kajian ini dijalankan di pantai timur Johor. Data yang digunakan dalam kajian ini terdiri daripada pengetahuan setempat yang telah digunakan oleh nelayan dalam menjalankan aktiviti penangkapan ikan. Maklumat ini telah diperoleh melalui kajian soal selidik yang telah dijalankan di sebelas buah kampung nelayan di sekitar daerah Mersing dan Kota Tinggi. Tujuh puluh sampel (10% daripada jumlah keseluruhan nelayan setempat) telah dipilih secara rawak. Data Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) digunakan untuk memperoleh dua parameter alam sekitar marin iaitu suhu permukaan laut (SST) dan kepekatan klorofil-a (Chl-a) yang digunakan untuk menentukan kawasan berpotensi untuk penangkapan ikan. Kawasan penangkapan ikan yang dikenal pasti menggunakan pengetahuan setempat dan teknik penderiaan jarak jauh telah dianalisis secara kualitatif dan kuantitatif. Analisis korelasi di antara SST dan Chl-a dengan jarak dan jumlah tangkapan seperti yang dikenal pasti oleh nelayan tempatan telah dijalankan. SST mempunyai pekali kolerasi, R = -0.6378 dengan jarak dan R = 0.4511 dengan jumlah tangkapan, manakala Chl-a mempunyai pekali kolerasi, R = -0.1523 dan R = 0.4195 masing-masing dengan jarak dan jumlah tangkapan. Hasil kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa kawasan penangkapan ikan yang dikenal pasti oleh nelayan tempatan kebanyakannya adalah berhampiran dengan kawasan pantai dan mempunyai nilai taburan SST dan Chl-a yang tinggi, di mana ia merupakan keadaan yang baik untuk sesebuah kawasan penangkapan ikan.

TABLE OF CONTENT

| CHAPTER | TITLE | | PAGE |
|---------|-------|--|------|
| | DECI | LARATION | ii |
| | DEDI | ICATION | iii |
| | ACK | NOWLEDGEMENTS | iv |
| | ABST | TRACT | V |
| | ABST | TRAK | vi |
| | TABI | LE OF CONTENTS | vii |
| | LIST | OF TABLES | xi |
| | LIST | OF FIGURES | xii |
| | LIST | OF ABBREVIATIONS | xiv |
| | LIST | OF APPENDICES | XV |
| 1 | INTR | ODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 | Background of the study | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Problem Statement | 3 |
| | 1.3 | Aim of the Study | 4 |
| | 1.4 | Objectives of the Study | 4 |
| | 1.5 | Scope of the study | 5 |
| | | 1.5.1 Study Area | 5 |
| | | 1.5.2 Data | 6 |
| | 1.6 | Significant of the Study | 7 |
| 2 | LITEI | RATURE REVIEW | 9 |
| | 2.1 | Introduction | 9 |
| | 2.2 | Bottom-up Approach: Utilization of Local | |
| | | Knowledge | 9 |

| ma Tashaisuna 12 |
|--|
| ing rechnique 13 |
| nsing 13 |
| erature (SST) 14 |
| ncentration |
| 16 |
| e Application 17 |
| or Fish |
| 18 |
| or Predicting |
| ggregation 20 |
| or Marine |
| 21 |
| nd Remote |
| 22 |
| 26 |
| |
| 27 |
| 27 |
| 28 |
| 30 |
| ackground |
| 30 |
| tion using |
| 31 |
| rom Remote |
| 35 |
| rface |
| |
| r) 37 |
| T) 37 pphyll-a |
| S) 37 |
| |

3

| RESUI | LT ANI | D ANAL | YSIS | 42 |
|-------|--------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|----|
| 4.1 | Introd | uction | | 42 |
| 4.2 | Result | t of Local Knowledge | | |
| | 4.2.1 | Respon | dent Information | 42 |
| | 4.2.2 | Fishing | Operation | 45 |
| | | 4.2.2.1 | Fishing Method | 45 |
| | | 4.2.2.2 | Fishing Equipment | 46 |
| | 4.2.3 | Fishing | Location | 47 |
| | | 4.2.3.1 | Distance | 47 |
| | | 4.2.3.2 | Depth | 48 |
| | | 4.2.3.3 | Marking Method | 49 |
| | | 4.2.3.4 | Factor Selecting Fishing Location | 50 |
| | 4.2.4 | Fishing | Time | 51 |
| | | 4.2.4.1 | Frequency per Month | 51 |
| | | 4.2.4.2 | Time Spent | 52 |
| | | 4.2.4.3 | Factor Affecting Fishing Time | 53 |
| | | 4.2.4.4 | Month with Highest Catch | 54 |
| | 4.2.5 | Total C | atch | 55 |
| | | 4.2.5.1 | Species with Highest Catch | 55 |
| | | 4.2.5.2 | Catch Rate | 56 |
| | 4.2.6 | Regress | ion Analysis of Catch Rate and | |
| | | Distanc | e | 57 |
| 4.3 | Result | t of Remo | te Sensing Data Processing | 58 |
| | 4.3.1 | Sea Sur | face Temperature Distribution | 59 |
| | | 4.3.1.1 | Relation between SST and Month | 62 |
| | | 4.3.1.2 | Regression Analysis of SST, | |
| | | | Distance and Catch Rate | 63 |
| | 4.3.2 | Chlorop | hyll-a Concentration Distribution | 64 |
| | | 4.3.2.1 | Relation between Chl-a and Month | 67 |
| | | 4.3.2.2 | Regression Analysis of Chl-a, | |
| | | | Distance and Catch Rate | 68 |
| | | | | |

| 5 | CONCLUSION | | 70 |
|---|------------|--------------|----|
| | 5.1 | Introduction | 70 |

ix

| 5.2 | Conclusion | 70 |
|------------------|-----------------|-------|
| 5.3 | Recommendations | 72 |
| | | |
| REFERENCES | | 73 |
| Appendices A – C | | 82-91 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------|---|------|
| 2.1 | Oceanographic variables information | 14 |
| 3.1 | Summary of the data used and their resources | 29 |
| 3.2 | Background information of the respondents | 30 |
| 3.3 | MODIS specification | 35 |
| 3.4 | MODIS spectral bands specification | 36 |
| 3.5 | Detail of MODIS data product used | 37 |
| 3.6 | R_{rs} value and coefficients, a_0 - a_4 for multiple sensors | 40 |
| 4.1 | Species with highest catch | 56 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO | . TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------|--|------|
| 1.1 | Location of the study area | 6 |
| 2.1 | Plot of radiance from blackbody against wavelength, with temperature as a variable | 15 |
| 3.1 | Flowchart of research methodology | 28 |
| 3.2 | Aspect carried out in questionnaire survey | 31 |
| 3.3 | Location of villages | 32 |
| 4.1 | Respondents' age | 43 |
| 4.2 | Years of involvement | 44 |
| 4.3 | Fishing method | 46 |
| 4.4 | Fishing equipment | 47 |
| 4.5 | Fishing location distance | 48 |
| 4.6 | Fishing location depth | 49 |
| 4.7 | Fishing location marking method | 50 |
| 4.8 | Factor selecting fishing location | 51 |
| 4.9 | Fishing frequency per month | 52 |
| 4.10 | Times spent for one fishing activity | 53 |
| 4.11 | Factor affecting fishing time | 54 |

| 4.12 | Month with highest catch | 55 |
|------|--|----|
| 4.13 | Catch rate | 57 |
| 4.14 | Correlation between catch rate and distance | 58 |
| 4.15 | Correlation between SST and Chl-a | 59 |
| 4.16 | SST distribution in 2005 | 60 |
| 4.17 | SST distribution in 2014 | 61 |
| 4.18 | Relation between SST with month in 2014 | 62 |
| 4.19 | Correlation between SST and distance | 63 |
| 4.20 | Correlation between SST and catch rate | 64 |
| 4.21 | Chlorophyll-a concentration distribution in 2005 | 65 |
| 4.22 | Chlorophyll-a concentration distribution in 2014 | 66 |
| 4.23 | Relation between Chl-a with month in 2014 | 67 |
| 4.24 | Correlation between Chl-a and distance | 68 |
| 4.25 | Correlation between Chl-a and catch rate | 69 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

NO ABBREVIATIONS DESCRIPTION

| 1 | FAO | Food and Agriculture Organization |
|----|---------|---|
| 2 | GDP | Gross Domestic Product |
| 3 | DOF | Department of Fisheries Malaysia |
| 4 | MSY | Maximum Sustainable Yield |
| 5 | EEZ | Exclusive Economic Zone |
| 6 | FRI | Fish Research Institute |
| 7 | SST | Sea Surface Temperature |
| 8 | AVHRR | Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer |
| 9 | NOAA | National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration |
| 10 | SeaWiFS | Sea-Viewing Wide Field-of-View Sensor |
| 11 | ERS | European Remote Sensing Satellite |
| 12 | R&D | Research and Development |
| 13 | CZCS | Coastal Zone Color Scanner |
| 14 | MODIS | Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer |
| 15 | MERIS | Medium Resolution Imaging Spectrometer |
| 16 | FAD | Fish Aggregating Device |
| 17 | PFZ | Potential Fishing Zone |
| 18 | CPUE | Catch per Unit Effort |
| 19 | ETM | Enhanced Thematic Mapper |
| 20 | NASA | National Aeronautics and Space Administration |
| 21 | OBPG | Ocean Biology Processing Group |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|----------|---------------------------------------|------|
| A | Sample of Questionnaire | 81 |
| В | Fishing Zone | 87 |
| С | Site Visit at Belukar Juling, Mersing | 88 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Fishing industry is one of the most important economic sector for developing countries such as Malaysia. Globally, the fishing industries give major contribution to the livelihoods of many rural and coastal communities. In terms of economic contribution, the export revenues from fishing activities are greater than those of other agricultural commodities. In 2010, fishing industries provided employment for 54.8 million people around the world which supplied the world with 154 million tons of fish. 131 million tons of these fish have been utilized to provide food for people (FAO, 2012). Products from fishing industries are one of the most widely traded agricultural commodities. Preliminary estimates in the second half of 2011 indicate that the amount of exports have exceeded USD 125 billion (FAO, 2011).

In Malaysia, fishing industry is also one of the main economic sectors that supply sources of protein while contributing to the country's Gross Domestic Product (GDP), employment and development of fish-based industries (Raduan *et al.*, 2011). In 2011, fish production from this sector contributed RM 10,620.97 which is about 1.1% of the country's GDP. With regard to the contribution towards employment opportunity, fishing industries have benefited about 134,110 fishermen and 28,599 fish culturists (DOF, 2012). The development of this sector also helps to decrease importation of the nation's food supply. The rapid growth of the population in Malaysia led to an increasing demand for fish as one of the main food source for the

people. According to DOF (2012), marine capture fisheries comprising of coastal and deep-sea fishing accounted for about 82% of the total landings in Malaysia.

The global ocean productivity for the past 40 years is however believed to be declining due to overexploitation, habitat degradation, pollution and climate change (Klemas, 2010). More than half of all the fish stocks are fully exploited, causing number of catches produced to be at or close to its maximum hold or usually known as Maximum Sustainable Yield (MSY). According to FAO (2011), MSY is the optimum level of effort that produces the maximum yield that can be sustained without affecting me long-term productivity of the stock. As the result of this, 19% were overexploited, 8% were depleted and 1% are recovering, yielding less than their allowed potential and only about 20% were moderately exploited or underexploited with a possibility of producing more (FAO, 2009). The percentage of overexploited, depleted and recovering stocks has tripled since the 1970s (MRAG, 2010).

Malaysia has also experienced the implication of this phenomenon. Although Malaysian sea territory has been extended due to the declaration of the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ), fishing sector is still concentrated in the inshore fisheries within 30 nautical miles of the coast. Based on annual reports by the DOF, 70 to 79 percent of the total fish landed in Peninsular Malaysia are from coastal fisheries, while the rest are from the deep sea fishing and aquaculture. However, marine resources in coastal waters are believed to decline due to overexploitation. According to research and statistics by Fisheries Research Institute (FRI), inshore fishing resources of Peninsular Malaysia has reached MSY, which means that any effort to increase the catch will destroy these resources.

Although landings in Peninsular Malaysia from 1955 to 2010 showed a significant growth, there has been a significant waste of fishery resources which can be identified by a four-fold increase of trash fish landings from the total landings within the last 55 years. Trash fish is a small fry that does not have any trade value as it is only used as feed or fertilizer. In 1955, trash fish landings in Malaysia was only 5% of total landings, while in 2010, it increased substantially to 22.39% (DOF, 2012). If there are no action to be taken to solve this problem, the country's fish stocks may

no longer fulfill the increasing demand of society in future. This concern plea for a more sustainable use of marine resources which requires effective monitoring and management of the entire ecosystems, not just exploiting the fish stocks (Klemas, 2010).

1.2 Problem Statement

Recently, scientists and decision makers have started to realize that fishing management is not all about extensive research, complicated models, a ton of data and well-trained experts (Grant & Berkes, 2004). They began to recognize some gaps with this kind of top-down approaches as they failed to address major problems such as coastal water pollution, erosion, overexploitation and habitat destruction (Freitas & Tagliani, 2009). On the other hand, local communities are also very skeptical towards these approach as they feel that it did not adequately reflects the fishing grounds as they knew (Bergmann *et al.*, 2004). Top-down approach is often criticized for its deep problems; 'out of line' to their targets and poor communication between the decision makers and local communities (Silitoe, 1998; Cochrane, 2000; Nor Hayati, 2011). It failed to utilize all available sources of information especially local knowledge (Anuchiracheeva *et al.*, 2003).

Local knowledge has been long ignored by scientific research for being subjective, anecdotal and of little value to today's fisheries studies (Ames, 2003). This is due to the unsystematic format of local knowledge collected (Anuchiracheeva *et al.*, 2003) and lack of published literature on local knowledge data collection and analysis methods (Hall & Close, 2007). However, there are still a lot of research carried out in remote, infrequently visited and unwell described regions with limited references (Drew, 2005). Due to their isolated areas, knowledge of indigenous people may be the only reliable source of information regarding those species or interactions that are not recorded in scientific literature (Heyman *et al.*, 2001). Johannes (1998) had also stated that, if scientific data on the past status of fish stocks or environmental conditions do

not exist, older fishermen's knowledge may be the only source of information available.

Thus, it is important for top-down approach to be practiced together with bottom-up approach such as the utilization of local knowledge so that the development programs will be established up to the target. Recently, despite the increasing global interest of local knowledge, its integration with top-down approach such as remote sensing is still uncommon. There are still lacking in the form of study that have been carried out to compare fishermen local knowledge with remote sensing analyses for fisheries purpose. The main reason is maybe due to the large gap in point of views between the science and local people in this field. On one side, scientists tend to marginalize this kind of local perspective due to the equivocal evidence of these knowledge as most of them have not really been proved scientifically.

1.3 Aim of the Study

The main aim of this study is to identify the potential fishing ground using fishermen local knowledge and to compare the result with remote sensing satellite data.

1.4 Objectives of the study

The objectives of this study are:

- i. To identify local knowledge from local fishermen regarding fishing ground.
- To compare the identified local knowledge with the Sea Surface Temperature (SST) and chlorophyll-a concentration (Chl-a) based on remote sensing data.

iii. To analyze the differences of fishing ground identified based on the local knowledge and remote sensing technique qualitatively and quantitatively.

1.5 Scope of the Study

The scope of this study consists of two parts which are data and study area. Data used for this study were questionnaires distributed to local fishermen, SST and Chl-a from remote sensing satellite image. While study area shows the region covered for the questionnaire distribution in obtaining the local knowledge information and the region of remote sensing subset image for the data processing.

1.5.1 Study Area

This research was carried out along the East Coast of Johor state, which includes two district; Mersing and Kota Tinggi. This coastal area is part of the country that is facing the South China Sea, at the latitude of 0°U to 23°U and longitude of 99°T to 121°T. It covers an area of about 3.5 million km². The coastal area of the region includes an area of 35 896 km² and 99 749 km² for Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ). Beside Malaysia, South China Sea is surrounded by the mainland of Indonesia, Singapore, Brunei, Thailand, Vietnam, Philippines, Taiwan and China. Chapter 3 will show the detail description of the study area with specific focus on aspects related to fishing activities.



Figure 1.1 Location of the study area

1.5.2 Data

This study used both secondary and primary data. Primary data such as basic information of fishermen that include social and economic background, involvement in fishing activities and their knowledge in fishing were obtained through formal questionnaire surveys. Some of the related information were also obtained from secondary sources. Details on the collection of primary and secondary data will be explained in Chapter 3.

Briefly, the data used in this study consists of two types of data; local knowledge and remote sensing:

i. Local knowledge

Local knowledge is collected based on the interview and questionnaires distributed among the local community that had been carried out August 2014 along Mersing and Kota Tinggi coastal area.

ii. Remote sensing

Location of each identified fishing ground are analyzed using remote sensing technique based on two types of satellite images for each month in 2005 and 2014:

- MODIS SST: MOD28
- MODIS Chl-a: MOD21

1.6 Significance of Study

Based on present scenario of the fishing industry as a major contributor to the nation's economy and the sustainability of food supply, it is very important to give more attentions for the development of this sector. However the most important aspect related to the development of fishing activities is to identify strategies or ways of how to improve production and income of local fishermen. Among problems related to local fishermen are, (i) low catch, (ii) lack of knowledge in recent technologies, (iii) lack of knowledge in identifying potential fishing ground. Most of the local fishermen identify fishing ground based on traditional or local knowledge inherited from their ancestors.

High consistency of local knowledge with catch data and scientific data indicated that this knowledge could be a valuable input in assisting fisheries management (Zukowski et al., 2011). Although the integration of local knowledge and scientific knowledge such as remote sensing may not always appropriate, we cannot actually denied that this integration is indeed can help bridge the gap between the higher management such as decision makers and scientists with the local people. Combination of these scientific and fishers' observation will boost our confidence in both approaches (Johannes et al., 2000), bridge some gaps in our knowledge (Mackinson, 2001) and produce scientifically valid and locally relevant information (Hall & Close, 2007). The integration between the practically local knowledge and scientifically remote sensing techniques can help fishermen in planning their fishing activities in a more proper and effective way. This will reduce their effort, cost and time spent on these activities.

Hence, this will eventually encourage a sustainable and socially acceptable fisheries management in the future. A better fishery management plan will ensure that marine stocks are enough for the coming years. For example, a fish conservation area can prevent overexploitation and source wastage while fish harvesting and hotspot area will optimum the fish catch in unexplored areas. Sufficient stock for the country will reduce the imported fish supply from other countries. Thus, there are significant needs for us to take into consideration regarding these local knowledge as part of fisheries study in addition to the scientific method, specifically remote sensing technique (FAO, 2011).

REFERENCES

- Abernethy, K. E., Allison, E. H., Molloy, P. P., Côté, I. M. (2007). Why do fishers fish where they fish? Using the ideal free distribution to understand the behaviour of artisanal reef fishers, *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, 64, 1595-1604.
- Ames, T. (2003). Putting fishermen's knowledge to work: the promise and pitfalls, Proceedings of Putting Fishers' Knowledge to Work, 27–30 August 2001, Vancouver, Canada.
- Andréfouët, S., Kramer, P., Torres-Pulliza, D., Joyce, K. E., Hochberg, E. J., Garza-Perez,
 R., Mumby, P. J., Riegl, B., Yamano, H., White, W. H., Zubia, M., Brock, J. C.,
 Phinn, S. R., Naseer, A., Hatcher, B. G., Muller-Karger, F. E. (2003). Multi-site
 evaluation of IKONOS data for classification of tropical coral reef environments, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 88, 128-143.
- Anuchiracheeva, S., Demaine, H., Shivakoti, G. P., Ruddle K. (2003). Systematizing local knowledge using GIS: Fisheries management in Bang Saphan Bay, Thailand, *Ocean & Coastal Management*, 46, 1049-1068.
- Aswani, S., Albert, S., Sabetian, A., Furusawa, T. (2007). Customary management as precautionary and adaptive principles for protecting coral reefs in Oceania, *Coral Reefs*, 26, 1009-1021.
- Aswani, S., Lauer, M. (2006). Benthic mapping using local aerial photo-interpretation and resident taxa inventories for designing marine protected areas, *Environmental Conservation*, 33, 263-273.
- Begossi, A. (2008). Local knowledge and training towards management, *Environment, Development & Sustainability*, 10, 591-603.
- Bergmann, M., Hinz, H., Blyth, R. E., Kaiser, M. J., Rogers, S. I., Armstrong, M. (2004). Using knowledge from fishers and fisheries scientists to identify possible groundfish 'essential fish habitats', *Fisheries Research*, 66, 373-379.

- Berkes, F. (1993). Traditional ecological knowledge in perspective, In J.T. Inglis, (ed.), *Traditional Ecological Knowledge Concepts and Cases. International Program on Traditional Ecological Knowledge;* International Development Research Centre, Ottawa, ON, Canada.
- Berkes, F., Colding, J., Folke, C. (2010). Rediscovery of traditional ecological knowledge as adaptive management, *Ecological Applications*, 5, 1251-1262.
- Bezerra, D. M. M., Nascimento, D. M., Ferreira, E. N., Rocha, P. D., Mourão, J. S. (2012). Influence of tides and winds on fishing techniques and strategies in the mamanguape River Estuary, Paraíba State, NE Brazil, *Anais da Academia Brasileira de Ciencias*, 84, 775-787.
- Blondeau-Patissier, D., Gower, J. F. R., Dekker, A. G., Phinn, S. R., Brando, V. E. (2014). A review of ocean color remote sensing methods and statistical techniques for the detection, mapping and analysis of phytoplankton blooms in coastal and open oceans, *Progress in Oceanography*, 123, 123-144.
- Bohensky, E. L., Maru, Y. (2011). Indigenous knowledge, science, and resilience: What have we learned from a decade of international literature on "integration"?, *Ecology and Society*, 16, 4.
- Boomhover, J., Romero, M., Posada, J., Kobara, S., Heyman, W. D. (2010). Prediction and verification of possible reef-fish spawning aggregation sites in Los Roques Archipelago National Park, Venezuela, *Journal of Fish Biology*. Available from: <u>http://doi:10.1111/j.1095-8649.2010.02704.x</u> [2nd July 2013].
- Burke, J., Estrin, D., Hansen, M., Parker, A., Ramanathan, N., Reddy, S., Srivastava, M.
 B. (2006). Participatory sensing, *Center for Embedded Network Sensing. UCLA: Center for Embedded Network Sensing.* Available from: <u>http://escholarship.org/uc/item/19h777qd</u>
- Butler, J. R. A., Tawake, A., Skewes, T., Tawake, L., Mcgrath, V. (2012). Integrating traditional ecological knowledge and fisheries management in the Torres Strait, Australia: the catalytic role of turtles and dugong, *Ecology and Society*, 17, 1-19.
- Butler, J. R. A., Wise, R. M., Skewes, T. D., Bohensky, E. L., Peterson, N., Suadnya, W., Yanuartati, Y., Handayani, T., Habibi, P., Puspadi, K., Bou, N., Vaghelo D., Rochester, W. (2015). Integrating Top-Down and Bottom-Up Adaptation Planning to Build Adaptive Capacity: A Structured Learning Approach, *Coastal Management*, 43 (4), 346-364. Available from: <u>http://doi:10.1080/08920753.2015.1046802</u>

- Chavula, G., Sungani, H., Gondwe, K. (2012). Mapping potential fishing grounds in Lake
 Malawi using AVHRR and MODIS satellite imagery, *International Journal of Geosciences*, 3, 650-658. Available from http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ijg.2012.33065
- Cinner, J. E., Aswani, S. (2007). Integrating customary management into marine conservation, *Biological Conservation*, 140, 201-216.
- Cinti, A., Shaw, W., Torre, J. (2010), Insights from the users to improve fisheries performance: Fishers' knowledge and attitudes on fisheries policies in Bahia de Kino, Gulf of California, Mexico, *Marine Policy*, 34, 1322-1334.
- Close, C. H., Hall, G. B. (2006). A GIS-based protocol for the collection and use of local knowledge in fisheries management planning, *Journal of Environmental Management*, 78, 341-352.
- Cochrane, K. L. (2000). "Reconciling sustainability, economic efficiency and equity in fisheries: the one that got away?" *Fish and Fisheries* 1: 3-21.
- Daqamseh, S. T., Mansor, S., Pradhan, B., Billa, L., Mahmud, A. R. (2013). Potential fish habitat mapping using MODIS-derived sea surface salinity, temperature and chlorophyll-a data: South China Sea Coastal areas, Malaysia, *Geocarto International*, 28 (6), 546-560. Available from: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2012.730065</u>
- De Freitas, D. M., Tagliani P. R. A. (2009). The use of GIS for the integration of traditional and scientific knowledge in supporting artisanal fisheries management in southern Brazil, *Journal of Environmental Management*, 90, 2071-2080.
- Department of Fisheries, (2015). *The Annual Fisheries Statistics Book 2014*, Government of Malaysia. Available from: https://www.dof.gov.my/epms/index.php/pages/view/2600
- Domeier, M. L., Colin, P. L. (1997). Tropical reef fish spawning aggregations defined and reviewed, *Bulletin of Marine Science*, 60, 698-726.
- Drew, J. (2005). Use of traditional ecological knowledge in marine conservation, *Conservation Biology*, 19, 1286-1293.
- Druon, J. N. (2010). Habitat mapping of the Atlantic bluefin tuna derived from satellite data: its potential as a tool for the sustainable management of pelagic fisheries. *Marine Policy*, 34, 293–297.
- Dunn, C. E. (2007). Participatory GIS a people's GIS?, *Progress in Human Geography*, 31, 616–637.

- Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nation, Fisheries and Aquaculture Department (2009). *The state of world fisheries and aquaculture 2008*, Rome, Italy.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nation, Fisheries and Aquaculture Department (2013). *The state of world fisheries and aquaculture 2012*, Rome, Italy.
- Franklin, E. C., Ault, J. S., Smith, S. G., Luo, J., Meester, G. A., Diaz, G. A., Chiappone, M., Swanson, D. W., Miller, S. L., Bohnsack, J. A. (2003). Benthic habitat mapping in the Tortugas region, Florida, *Marine Geodesy*, 26, 19-34.
- Gomes, C., Mahon, R., Hunte, W., Singh-Renton, S. (1998). The role of drifting objects in pelagic fisheries in the southeastern Caribbean, *Fisheries Research*, 34, 47-58.
- Grant, S., Berkes, F. (2004). "One hand can't clap": Combining scientific and local knowledge for improved Caribbean fisheries management, *Proceedings of 10th Biennial Conference of the International Association for the Study of Common Property*, Oaxaca, Mexico.
- Grant, S., Berkes, F. (2007). Fisher knowledge as expert system: A case from the longline fishery of Grenada, the Eastern Caribbean, *Fisheries Research*, 84, 162-170.
- Hall, G. B., Close, C. H. (2007). Local knowledge assessment for a small-scale fishery using geographic information systems, *Fisheries Research*, 83, 11-22.
- Hall, G. B., Moore, A., Knight, P., Hankey, N. (2009). The extraction and utilization of local and scientific geospatial knowledge within the Bluff oyster fishery, New Zealand, *Journal of Environmental Management*, 90, 2055-2070.
- Hamel, M. A., Andréfouët, S. (2010). Using very high resolution remote sensing for the management of coral reef fisheries: Review and perspectives, *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 60, 1397-1405.
- Heyman, W. D., Adrien, G. (2006). A protocol and database for monitoring transient multi-species reef fish spawning aggregations in the Meso-American reef, *Proceedings of the Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute*, 57, 445-462.
- Heyman, W. D., Ecochard, J. L. B., Biasi, F. (2007). Low-cost bathymetric mapping for tropical marine conservation – a focus on reef fish spawning aggregation sites, *Marine Geodesy*, 30, 37-50.
- Heyman, W. D., Graham, R. T., Kjerfve, B., Johannes, R. E. (2001) Whale sharks, *Rhinocodon typus* aggregate to feed on fish spawn in Belize, *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 215, 275-282.

- Heyman, W. D., Kjerfve, B. (2008). Characterization of transient multispecies reef fish spawning aggregations at Gladden Spit, Belize, *Bulletin of Marine Science*, 83, 531–551.
- Heyman, W. D., Requena, N. (2002). Status of Multispecies Spawning Aggregations in Belize, Punta Gorda: The Nature Conservancy.
- Hossain, M. S., Chowdhury, S. R., Chowdhury, M. A. T. (2007). Integration of remote sensing, GIS and participatory approach for coastal island resource use zoning in Bangladesh, *Songklanakarin Journal of Social Science and Humanities*, 13, 413-433.
- Houts, M. E., Rodgers, R. D., Applegate R. D., Busby, W. H. (2008). Using local knowledge and remote sensing to map known and potential prairie-chicken distribution in Kansas, *The Prairie Naturalist*, 40, 3-4.
- Hussain, M. Y., Abdul Manaf, A., Ramli, Z., Saad, S. (2011). Kesejahteraan sosial masyarakat nelayan: Kajian kes di Kampung Sri Bahagia, Mersing, Johor, *Malaysian Journal of Society and Space*, 7, 80-90.
- Jiang, H. (2010). Stories remote sensing images can tell: integrating remote sensing analysis with ethnographic research in the study of cultural landscapes, *Human Ecology*, 31, 215-232.
- Johannes, R. E. (1981). Words of the Lagoon: Fishing and Marine Lore in the Palau District of Micronesia, University of California Press, Berkeley.
- Johannes, R. E. ed. (1989). Traditional ecological knowledge: a collection of essays, International Conservation Union (IUCN), Gland, Switzerland.
- Johannes, R. E. (1998). The case for data-less marine resource management: examples from tropical nearshore fisheries, *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 13, 243-246.
- Johannes, R. E., Freeman, M. M. R., Hamilton, R. J. (2000). Ignore fishers' knowledge and miss the boat, *Fish Fish*, 1, 257-271.
- Johnson, M. ed. (1992) Lore: Capturing Traditional Environmental Knowledge, Dene Cultural Institute, Ottawa.
- Khalil, I. (2007) Seasonal and spatial variability of sea surface temperature (SST) and chlorophyll-a concentration using MODIS data in East Kalimantan waters, Indonesia.
- Klemas, V. (2010) Fisheries applications of remote sensing: an overview, *Fisheries Research*. Available from: <u>http://doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2012.02.027</u>

- Klemas, V. (2012). Remote sensing of environmental indicators of potential fish aggregation: An overview, *Baltica*, 25, 99-112.
- Kobara, S. (2009) Regional analysis of seafloor characteristics at reef fish spawning aggregation sites in the Caribbean, PhD Thesis, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA.
- Kobara, S., Heyman, W. D. (2007). Caribbean-wide geospatial analysis of the location of transient reef fish spawning aggregation sites using remote sensing, *Proceedings* of the Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute, 59, 463-465.
- Kratzer, S., Harvey, E. T., Philipson, P. (2014). The use of ocean color remote sensing in integrated coastal zone management - A case study from Himmerfjärden, Sweden, *Marine Policy*, 43, 29-39.
- Kumari, B., Raman, M., Mali, K. (2009). Locating tuna forage ground through satellite remote sensing. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 30, 5977–5988.
- Lauer, M., Aswani, S. (2008). Integrating indigenous ecological knowledge and multispectral image classification for marine habitat mapping in Oceania, *Ocean & Coastal Management*, 51, 495-504.
- Lillesand, T. M., Kiefer, R. W., Chipman, J. W. (2004). *Remote Sensing and Image. Interpretation* (5th ed.), John Wiley, New York.
- Liu, J. G., Mason, P. J. (2009). Essential Image Processing for GIS and Remote Sensing. Wiley-Blackwell. p. 4. ISBN 978-0-470-51032-2.
- Mackinson, S. (2001). Integrating local and scientific knowledge: an example in fisheries science, *Environmental Management*, 27, 533–545.
- Mansor, S., Tan, C. K., Ibrahim, H. M., Mohd Shariff, A. R. (2001). Satellite Fish Forecasting in South China Sea, *Proceedings of 22nd Asian Conference on Remote Sensing*, 5-9 November 2001, Asian Association on Remote Sensing (AARS), Singapore.
- Mercer, J., Kelman, I., Taranis, L., Suchet Pearson, S. (2010). Framework for integrating indigenous and scientific knowledge for disaster risk reduction, *Disasters*, 34, 214-239.
- Minnett, P. J., Brown, O. B., Evans, R. H., Key, E. L., Kearns, E. J., Kilpatrick, K., Kumar, A., Maillet, K. A., Szczodrak, G. (2004). Sea-surface temperature measurements from the Moderate-Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) on Aqua and Terra, 2004 IEEE International Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, Anchorage, AK.

- Mishra, A. K., Kumar, S. (2013). Utility of Primary Productivity Map for Fishery Forecasting, *Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing*, 41, 433-446.
- MRAG, (2010). Towards sustainable fisheries management: International Examples of innovation, London, UK.
- National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), (2014). *MODIS specifications*. Available from: <u>https://modis.gsfc.nasa.gov/about/specifications.php</u>
- Ocean Biology Processing Group (OBPG) at NASA's Goddard Space Flight Center, (2014). *MODIS product algorithm description*. Available from: <u>https://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/cms/atbd</u>
- Persatuan Nelayan Kawasan (PNK), (2014). Background information of fishermen in Endau, Mersing, Sedili and Pengerang.
- Puri, S. (2007). Integrating scientific with indigenous knowledge: constructing knowledge alliances for land management in India, *MIS Quarterly*, 31, 355-379.
- Raymond, C. M., Fazey, I., Reed, M. S., Stringer, L. C., Robinson, G. M., Evely, A. C. (2010). Integrating local and scientific knowledge for environmental management, *Journal of Environmental Management*, 91, 1766-1777.
- Reiss, C. S., Checkley, D. M., Bograd, S. J. (2008). Remotely sensed spawning habitat of Pacific sardine (Sardinops sagax) and northern anchovy (Engraulis mordax) within the California Current. *Fisheries Oceanography*, 17, 126–136.
- Riolo, F. (2006). A geographic information system for fisheries management in American Samoa, *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 21, 1025-1041.
- Sa'at, N. H. (2011). Social mobility among the coastal community: a case study in Kuala Terengganu, *Kajian Malaysia*, 29 (1), 95-123.
- Saitoh, S. I., Mugo, R., Radiarta, I. N., Asaga, S., Takahashi, F., Hirawake, T., Ishikawa, Y., Awaji, T., In, T., Shima, S. (2011). Some operational uses of satellite remote sensing and marine GIS for sustainable fisheries and aquaculture, 68, 687-695.
- Salk, C., Sturn, T., See, L., Fritz, S. (2016). Local knowledge and professional background have a minimal impact on volunteer citizen science performance in a land-cover classification task, *Remote Sensing*, 8, 774-787.
- Santos, A. M. P. (2000). Fisheries oceanography using satellite and airborne remote sensing methods: a review, *Fisheries Research*, 49, 1-20.
- Schafer, A. G., Reis, E. G. (2008). Artisanal fishing areas and traditional ecological knowledge: The case study of the artisanal fisheries of the Patos Lagoon estuary (Brazil), *Marine Policy*, 32, 289-292.

- Schott, J. R. (2007). *Remote sensing: the image chain approach* (2nd ed.), Oxford University Press, p. 1. ISBN 978-0-19-517817-3.
- Schowengerdt, R. A. (2007). *Remote sensing: models and methods for image processing* (3rd ed.). Academic Press. p. 2. ISBN 978-0-12-369407-2.
- Selgrath, J. C., Roelfsema, C., Gergel, S. E., Vincent, A. C. J. (2016). Mapping for coral reef conservation: comparing the value of participatory and remote sensing approaches, *Ecosphere*. Available from: <u>http://doi:10.1002/ecs2.1325</u>
- Sillitoe, P. (1998). The development of indigenous knowledge: a new applied anthropology, *Current Anthropology*, 39, 223-52.
- Silvano, R. A. M., Begossi, A. (2005). Local knowledge on a cosmopolitan fish ethnoecology of *Pomatomus saltatrix* (Pomatomidae) in Brazil and Australia, *Fisheries Research*, 71, 43-59.
- Silvano, R. A. M., Valbo-Jørgensen, J. (2008). Beyond fishermen's tales: Contributions of fishers' local ecological knowledge to fish ecology and fisheries management, *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 10, 657-675.
- Solanki, H. U., Dwivedi, R. M., Nayak, S. R., Somvanshi, V. S., Gulati, D. K., Pattnayak, S. K. (2003). Fishery forecast using OCM chlorophyll concentration and AVHRR SST: validation results off Gujarat coast, India. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 24, 3691–3699.
- Stuart, V., Platt, T., Sathyendranath, S. (2011). The future of fisheries science in management: a remote-sensing perspective, *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 68, 644-650.
- Tamelander, J., Sattar, S., Satapoomin, U., Campbell, S., Patterson Edward, J. K., Hoon, V., Chandi, M., Arthur, R., Adam, S., Samoilys, M. (2008). Reef fish spawning aggregations in South Asia and Andaman Sea: Preliminary findings from local knowledge, *CORDIO Status Report 2008*, Coastal Oceans Research and Development in the Indian Ocean/Sida-SAREC, Mombasa, pp 277-281.
- Tripathi, N., Bhattarya, S. (2004). Integrating indigenous knowledge and GIS for participatory natural resource management: state-of-the-practise, *The Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries*, 17, 1-13.
- Vajjhala, S. P. (2005). Integrating GIS and participatory mapping in community development planning, Paper for the ESRI International User Conference, Sustainable Development and Humanitarian Affairs Track, July 2005, San Diego, CA.

- Valavanis, V. D., Georgakarakos, S., Kapantagakis, A., Palialexis, A., Katara, I. (2004). A GIS environmental modelling approach to essential fish habitat designation, *Ecological Modelling*, 178, 417-427.
- Valavanis, V. D., Pierce, G. J., Zuur, A. F., Palialexis, A., Saveliev, A., Katara, I., Wang, J. (2008). Modelling of essential fish habitat based on remote sensing, spatial analysis and GIS, *Hydrobiologia*, 612, 5-20.
- Warren, D. M. (1995). Comments on article by Arun Agrawal. *Indigenous Knowledge* and Development Monitor 4, 13.
- Yen, K. W., Lu, H. J., Chang, Y., Lee, M. A. (2012). Using remote-sensing data to detect habitat suitability for yellowfin tuna in the Western and Central Pacific Ocean, *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 33 (23), 7507-7522.
- Zagaglia, C. R., Lorenzzetti, J., Stech, J. L. (2004). Remote sensing data and longline catches of yellowfin tuna (*Thunnus albacores*) in the equatorial Atlantic, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 93, 267-281.
- Zainuddin, M., Kiyofuji, H., Saitoh, K., Saitoh, S. (2006). Using multi-sensor satellite remote sensing and catch data to detect ocean hot spots for albacore (thunnus alalunga) in the northwestern North Pacific, *Deep-Sea Research II*, 53, 419-431.
- Zukowski, S., Curtis, A., Watts, R. J. (2010). Using fisher local ecological knowledge to improve management: The Murray crayfish in Australia, *Fisheries Research*, 110, 120–127.