

PARAMETER ESTIMATION FOR GENERALIZED EXTREME VALUE
AND GENERALIZED PARETO IN EXTREME RAINFALL ANALYSIS

LIM QI SU

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

JUNE 2014

PARAMETER ESTIMATION FOR GENERALIZED EXTREME VALUE
AND GENERALIZED PARETO IN EXTREME RAINFALL ANALYSIS

LIM QI SU

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Science (Mathematics)

Faculty of Science
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2014

To my beloved father, mother, fiancé, brother and sister

Thank you for all your love and support

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am very grateful to my supervisor, Prof. Madya Dr. Fadhilah binti Yusof for her continuous guidance and support. Without her, this study would not have been possible. She has given me a lot of encouragement throughout the whole time. I would like to extend my gratitude and appreciation to my fellow friends who have supported me in completing this research, especially Nurain Noor Rahim and Norain Binti Ahmad Nordin. I have really appreciated the friendship and support of so many people within the Faculty of Mathematics.

I am very thankful to my parents, who have never stop praying for me and encouraging me throughout the whole process. Next, I am grateful to my fiancé, Stanley Gan, who has always lend me his shoulder whenever I needed it. Lastly, a big thank you to my brother and sister, Lim Qi Jie and Lim Qi Hua, for being there when I needed them. Thank you to all and God bless.

ABSTRACT

Analyzing extreme rainfall events is important as the results found can be advantageous for civil engineers and town planners to estimate the strength of building under the most extreme conditions. The annual maximum series (AMS) data of daily rainfall in Malacca were fitted to two distributions, namely Generalized Extreme Value (GEV) and Generalized Pareto (GP) distribution, by using Method of Moments (MOM), Maximum Likelihood Estimator (MLE) and Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) simulations. Previous studies have shown that the performance of the parameter estimations by using MOM was better than MLE. However, some researchers acknowledged that the parameter estimations of MLE can be additionally improved and developed by using Bayesian MCMC. To get posterior densities, non-informative and independent priors were used. The performance of the results were tested by several goodness of fit (GOF) tests. The results showed that GEV distribution is a better distribution than GP distribution in estimating the parameters of extreme daily rainfall amount in Malacca. MOM had maximum value return level for 10, 25, 50 and 100 years for most of stations followed by Bayesian MCMC. Conclusively, the results showed that Bayesian MCMC and MLE were equally better than MOM in estimating GEV and GP parameters.

ABSTRAK

Analisis peristiwa hujan melampau amat penting, memandangkan hasil kajian mampu membantu jurutera awam dan pakar runding untuk menjangka kebolehan struktur sesebuah bangunan untuk bertahan dalam situasi yang paling melampau. Siri maksimum tahunan (AMS) data hujan harian di Melaka telah disuaikan dengan menggunakan dua taburan, iaitu taburan nilai melampau teritlak (GEV) dan taburan Pareto teritlak (GP). Kajian ini dijalankan untuk menentukan kaedah terbaik untuk menganggarkan parameter bagi taburan GEV dan GP dengan menggunakan kaedah momen (MOM), penganggaran kebolehjadian maksimum (MLE) dan kaedah simulasi Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) Bayes. Sesetengah penyelidik mengenalpasti bahawa anggaran parameter MLE boleh ditambahbaik dengan menggunakan (MCMC) Bayes. Untuk mendapatkan taburan posterior, taburan prior tak-bermaklumat dan tak-bersandar digunakan. Prestasi anggaran parameter dengan MOM, MLE dan simulasi Bayes dibandingkan ujian kebagusan penyuaian (GOF). Taburan GEV merupakan taburan terbaik dalam menganggar parameter taburan hujan harian melampau di Melaka. MOM mempunyai tahap pulangan nilai maksimum selama 10, 25, 50 dan 100 tahun bagi kebanyakan stesen diikuti dengan MCMC Bayes. Hasil kajian daripada ujian tersebut menunjukkan bahawa kaedah simulasi MCMC Bayes dan MLE sama-sama lebih baik daripada MOM dalam menganggarkan GEV dan GP parameter.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	i
	DEDICATION	ii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
	ABSTRACT	iv
	ABSTRAK	v
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Background Study	3
	1.3 Problem Statement	6
	1.4 Objectives of Study	7
	1.5 Scope of Study	8
	1.6 Significance of Study	9
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
	2.1 Introduction	10
	2.2 Data	10
	2.3 Overview on Rainfall Methods	11

2.4	Parameter Estimation Methods	14
2.4.1	Method of Moments	15
2.4.2	Maximum Likelihood Estimator	16
2.4.3	Bayesian Approach	17
2.5	Goodness-of-fit test	19
2.6	Summary	20
3	METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Introduction	21
3.2	Data Sources	22
3.3	Descriptive Statistics	28
3.3.1	Mean	29
3.3.2	Standard Deviation	29
3.3.3	Coefficient of Variation	30
3.3.4	Kurtosis	30
3.3.5	Skewness	31
3.4	Probability Distribution	31
3.5	Parameter Estimation	34
3.5.1	Method of Moments	34
3.5.1.1	GEV	36
3.5.1.1	GP	37
3.5.2	Maximum Likelihood Estimator	38
3.5.2.1	GEV	39
3.5.2.1	GP	40
3.5.3	Bayesian Approach	41
3.6	MCMC Simulation	44
3.6.1	Gibbs Sampler	46

	3.6.2	Metropolis-Hastings Sampling	47
	3.7	Goodness-of-fit test	49
	3.8	Research Framework	51
	3.9	Summary	52
4		RESULTS	
	4.1	Introduction	53
	4.2	Descriptive Statistics	54
	4.3	Analysis of the parameter estimation of GEV and GP distribution	55
	4.3.1	Method of Moments	56
	4.3.2	Maximum Likelihood Estimators	58
	4.3.3	Bayesian MCMC	61
	4.4	Comparison of the parameters value according to location, shape and scale for both GEV and GP distributions in all four stations.	71
	4.4.1	GEV Distribution	71
	4.4.2	GP Distribution	74
	4.5	Analysis of the best method for describing the extreme rainfall events in Malacca	77
	4.6	Return Level	79
5		CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	

5.1	Introduction	83
5.2	Conclusion	84
5.3	Recommendations	85

REFERENCES

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	The coordinates of 4 rain gauge station in Malacca	22
3.2	Annual Maximum Series of 4 rain gauge station in Malacca	23
4.1	Descriptive statistics for daily rainfall in Malacca	55
4.2	Parameters estimation by using MOM	57
4.3	Parameters estimation by using MLE	60
4.4	Parameters estimation by using MCMC Simulation	65
4.5	Relative Root Mean Squared Error (RRMSE) for each station of MOM, MLE and Bayesian techniques	79
4.6	Relative Absolute Square Error (RASE) for each station of MOM, MLE and Bayesian techniques	79
4.7	Estimates for 10 year return levels (mm) at each station	81
4.8	Estimates for 25 year return levels (mm) at each station	81
4.9	Estimates for 50 year return levels (mm) at each station	82
4.10	Estimates for 100 year return levels (mm) at each station	83

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Locations of 4 rain gauge stations in Malacca	23
3.2	Time series plot of annual maximum rainfall in Malacca for S01	25
3.3	Time series plot of annual maximum rainfall in Malacca for S02	25
3.4	Time series plot of annual maximum rainfall in Malacca for S03	26
3.5	Time series plot of annual maximum rainfall in Malacca for S04	27
3.6	Probability density function of rainfall data in station S01 (GEV)	27
3.7	Probability density function of rainfall data in station S01 (GP).	28
3.8	The Research Framework of this study	51
4.1	The values of (a) location, (b) scale and (c) shape parameters for both GEV and GP distributions for each station by using MOM	58
4.2	The values of (a) location, (b) scale and (c) shape parameters for both GEV and GP distributions for each station by using MLE	60
4.3	Graph plot (a) Location, (b) scale and (c) shape parameters of GEV parameters for 20 000 iterations for station S01.	63

4.4	Graph plot (a) Location, (b) scale and (c) shape parameters of GP parameters for 20 000 iterations for station S01.	64
4.5	The values of (a) location, (b) scale and (c) shape parameters for both GEV and GP distributions for each station by using Bayesian MCMC	66
4.6	The density posterior plot for the values of (a) location, (b) scale and (c) shape parameters for GEV distribution (d) location, (e) scale and (f) shape parameters for GP distribution in station S01	67
4.7	The density posterior plot for the values of (a) location, (b) scale and (c) shape parameters for GEV distribution (d) location, (e) scale and (f) shape parameters for GP distribution in station S02	69
4.8	The density posterior plot for the values of (a) location, (b) scale and (c) shape parameters for GEV distribution (d) location, (e) scale and (f) shape parameters for GP distribution in station S03	70
4.9	The density posterior plot for the values of (a) location, (b) scale and (c) shape parameters for GEV distribution (d) location, (e) scale and (f) shape parameters for GP distribution in station S04	71
4.10	Comparison of the parameters value according to location, shape and scale for S01 (GEV)	73
4.11	Comparison of the parameters value according to location, shape and scale for S02 (GEV)	73

4.12	Comparison of the parameters value according to location, shape and scale for S03 (GEV)	74
4.13	Comparison of the parameters value according to location, shape and scale for S04 (GEV)	75
4.14	Comparison of the parameters value according to location, shape and scale for S01 (GPD)	76
4.15	Comparison of the parameters value according to location, shape and scale for S02 (GPD)	76
4.16	Comparison of the parameters value according to location, shape and scale for S03 (GPD)	77
4.17	Comparison of the parameters value according to location, shape and scale for S04 (GPD)	78

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Malaysia, situated close to the north of the equator, is largely influenced by the northern hemisphere summer and winter monsoon. Hence, Malaysia's climate can be classified as equatorial, as it is hot and humid throughout the year. Hence, heavy rainfall is bound to happen in regular intervals every year, resulting in local tropical wet season. There are two monsoon winds seasons in Malaysia, which are Southwest Monsoon, which happens from late May to September and the Northeast Monsoon, which happens from November to March. The Northeast Monsoon, which originates from China and North Pacific, brings in more rainfall as compared to the Southwest Monsoon.

Rainfall is the quantity of rain fallen within a given area in a given time. Estimation of the rainfall distribution and identification of wet and dry events in a particular day is part of the rainfall process. There are 189 water basins in Malaysia and with an average rainfall of over 2000 – 4000 mm per year, Malaysia is liable to have flooding. Since 1962, there have been 15 cases of major flooding happening in Malaysia. Flooding is a major concern today due to rapid

development in river catchment areas, which increase the river runoff and decrease the river capacity.

Extreme rainfall is estimated to take place regularly annually, as Malaysia is situated in a tropical climate zone. This results in a local tropical wet season. Extreme rainfall events is connected to climate change that is significant effect such as rising sea levels and rainfall. These rapid climate change leads to increasing flood events and large droughts in Malaysia. The unpredictable extreme rainfall phenomena has costs millions of Malaysian ringgit of damages to the government.

Occurrence of flood causes displacement of people, damaged infrastructures and losses in agricultural production, especially when there are inadequate measures taken. According to Baharuddin M K (2007), about 9% of the land area in Malaysia (approx. 2.97 million ha) is flood prone. It is difficult to estimate the cost of flood damage, but a conservative figure of RM 100 million has been used to estimate the average flood damage per year. This high losses in economic and agriculture sectors have significant impacts to the country development and these inspire researchers to investigate and model the rainfall process to comprehend the fluctuations and characteristics of rainfall previously.

Suitable statistical distributions, which gives the best inferences about the patterns of extreme rainfall, is needed to model extreme rainfall data. Many distributions are able to be used in analyzing the extreme rainfall analysis, such as Generalized Extreme Value (GEV) distribution (Kim *et. al*, 2013), Generalized Pareto (GP) distribution (Coles *et. al*, 2003) and Lognormal distribution (Adetan and Afullo, 2012). Studies, which represents the daily rainfall amount, that have

been conducted in Malaysia includes the commonly used GEV, GP and Mixed Exponential. As Malaysia is situated in a tropical climate zone, the rainfall distributions is classified as extreme events. In this study, GEV and GP distributions will be used to fit the extreme daily rainfall in Malacca.

The rainfall data for Southern Peninsular Malaysia, Malacca had been modelled for the daily rainfall data in this study. Malacca experiences a tropical rainforest climate with Northeast Monsoon rain from November until March and Southwest Monsoon rain from late May to September. The mean annual rainfall in Malacca for 2013 is 1434.5 mm. Moreover, continuous heavy downpour had occurred in Malacca and Johor in December 2006, which has led to one of the worst floods in Malaysia, which is the 2006/2007 Malaysia floods. Floods with water levels as high as 10 feet (3.0 m) above the ground level had occurred in Muar and Segamat, which is very close to Malacca.

1.2 Background of the Study

Floods are one the most common hazard in many areas of the world, which includes Malaysia. Some floods develop slowly, while others can develop in a few minutes without visible signs of rain, like a flash flood. Moreover, floods can be local, which impact a neighborhood, community or on the other hand, it can be very large, which can affect multiple states.

In recent times, the increase of global temperatures has led to increase in the amount and intensity of rainfall in different regions. Activities such as deforestation due to illegal logging, clearance of land for various usage like agriculture, housing and industrial purposes had ruined the ecosystem. Therefore, analysis of rainfall behavior is most valuable for experts to be able to give reliable predicting about extreme weather events.

The recent events of a heavy flood that happened in Johor, Malaysia during mid-December 2006 was one of the most tragic natural disaster that happened. The flooding began when a continuous, torrential downpour since the week before caused rivers and dams to overflow. As a result of this, there is a loss of lives and properties, a decrease in purchasing and production power, mass migration and hindered economic growth in the country.

In this research, the study gives an understanding to the potential change in the events of extreme rainfall and dry spell for the past 34 years. By understanding the probability distribution, predicting of flood events and their characteristics can be determined. With this, prevention measures can be taken and flash flood warning models can be built easily. The study aims to benefits engineer in designing drainage, retaining walls, bridge, dam systems based on the expected rainfall amount over a certain period of time.

Extreme events are a major concern in statistical modeling and to derive estimations of the extreme properties of various phenomena from complex observations, appropriate statistical methods are needed (Raillard *et. al*, 2013). According to Ailliot *et. al* (2008), the data that is available is often limited to

extreme values. Hence, fitting of an extreme value distribution can be difficult, especially for the estimation of extreme quantiles.

To estimate the parameters for GEV and GP distributions, this research conducted in Malaysia has focused on three methods, namely Method of Moments (MOM), Maximum Likelihood Estimator (MLE) and Bayesian inferences. The advantages of using MOM is that the method is fairly simple and produce consistent estimators under weak assumption. However, MOM can often be quite biased. Thus, MOM is often superseded by MLE as MLE has higher probability of being near to the estimated quantities and are often unbiased. Bayesian approach estimates parameters by using observations to update estimates unknown parameters.

According to Adetan and Afullo (2012), parameter estimation by means of moment-based techniques may give better estimation over MLE since the latter contributes to large deviation error as it is incapable to obtain parameter estimation for small sample. However, as compared to MLE, Bayesian approach has no particular advantage over it in the results of parameter estimation, as MLE is an all-around utility and adaptable to model change (Coles, 2001).

Smith (1985) showed that asymptotic properties related with MLE are violated when estimating GEV parameters from the assumption of a restricted parameter space. Moreover, MLE was incapable of obtaining estimates for small samples (Rao and Hamed, 2000). Alternatively, Bayesian approach is chosen to estimates the parameters of GEV and GP distributions. Bayesian approach has the ability to specify prior distributions, which means more information can be incorporated in an inference.

1.3 Problem Statement

As Malaysia is located in the tropical climate zone, extreme rainfall is expected to occur frequently. Hence, the trend of rainfall in Malaysia is different depending on the location, area and surrounding factors. At certain regions in the country, flash floods, droughts and landslides happened regularly. In Smith (2005), the inference on the extreme of environmental processes is essential for design-specification in civil engineering is explained.

Modelling any extreme environmental event is erratic undoubtedly. In modelling extreme rainfall data, suitable statistical distribution have been used for modelling data to give the best inferences of the behavior of extreme rainfall. For example, Gumbel, Generalized Extreme Value (GEV), generalized Pareto distribution (GPD), generalized logistic distribution (GLO), Pearson Type III and lognormal distribution are usually used to analyse extreme rainfall data. Several studies have been conducted have suggested that GEV and GP distributions are two suitable distributions to fit the annual extreme series of rainfall data.

The estimation of parameters by using Method of Moments (MOM) is worthy to be used when the sample size is modest. However, MOM is the oldest method of deriving point estimators and not necessarily the best method since MOM always produce some asymptotically unbiased estimators. MOM provides estimators, which are fairly consistent, but not as efficient as Maximum Likelihood Estimators (MLE). MLE arise as a flexible and powerful modelling tool, but its performance with smaller samples has been shown to be a poor alternative in relative to a fitting procedure based on probability weighted moments. Despite the fact that MLE had many advantages, its poor performance when dealing with small

samples is improved by conducting Bayesian MCMC, especially after the discovery of Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) simulation, which makes complex computation easier (Coles, 2001).

Thus, the predicting of future rainfall distribution for different climate changes situations is needed to offer information for high quality climate related studies. In this study, the probability distribution for modelling regional data is of concerns to engineers and hydrologists. Moreover, information related to distributions of rainfall data is valuable towards designing water-related structures.

1.4 Study Objectives

1. To estimate parameters of Generalized Extreme Value (GEV) and Generalized Pareto (GP) distributions using Method of Moments (MOM), Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) and Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC).
2. To compare the methods of Method of Moments (MOM), Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) and Bayesian Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) used in estimating the parameters of Generalized Extreme Value (GEV) and Generalized Pareto (GP)
3. To evaluate the estimated parameters of GEV and GP distribution using Relative Mean Square Error (RRMSE) and Relative Absolute Square Error (RASE).
4. To predict the return levels values for the next 10, 25, 50 and 100 years based on 30-year history.

1.5 Scope of Study

Suitable statistical distribution will be needed to model extreme rainfall data. In this study, GEV and GP distribution are the most suitable distributions as it gives the best inferences of the behavior of extreme rainfall events (Zalina *et. al*, 2002 and Zin *et. al*, 2009). The daily rainfall of 4 rain gauge stations in Malacca which have records for 34 years from 1975 to 2008 is analyzed. The data of annual maximum rainfall data is then selected for each year.

Three methods, namely Method of Moments, Maximum Likelihood Estimation and Bayesian approach are applied to estimate the parameters of GEV and GP distribution. For the Bayesian approach, Markov Chain Monte Carlo simulation is used to estimate its parameter as suggested by Eli *et. al* (2012) and the simulation of MCMC is supported by R statistical software as suggested by Gamerman and Lopes (2006). To find the best model in this study, Relative Root Mean Square Error (RRMSE) and Relative Absolute Square Error (RASE) have been done. Finally, by using the parameters that have been estimated, the predicted return levels values for the next 10, 25, 50 and 100 years would be calculated based on the 30-years history annual maximum rainfall.

1.6 Significance of Study

Analysis of rainfall occurrence is significant to many as it is beneficial for managing the consumption of water, estimating the ability of building structures and also help predicting the extreme weather events. The analysis and information about rainfall can be used to evaluate and measure the danger of heavy downpour flood and landslides.

Moreover, civil engineers will be able to predict the practical material that should be used to design bridges drainage, retaining wall and dam systems from the information received. Besides, it is hoped that the study may help our country from unnecessary costs and economic damages as well as avoiding danger and hazard as a result of overflow of water in the country.

The predicted return levels values had been calculated by using the estimation of parameters by using MOM, MLE and Bayesian approach for the next 10, 25, 50 and 100 years which were evaluated based on 30-year history annual maximum rainfall. Therefore, the results enable civil engineers and town planners to estimate the ability of building structures which can survive under extreme events (Eli *et. al*, 2012).

REFERENCES

- Adetan, O., and Afullo, T. J. (2012). Comparison of Two Methods to Evaluate the Lognormal Raindrop Size Distribution Model in Durban.
- Ailliot, P., Thompson, C. and Thomson, P. (2008). Mixed methods for fitting the GEV distribution. *Water Resources Research*. Volume 47, Issue 5.
- Alves, M. I. F. and Neves C. (2010). Extreme Value Distributions, *International Encyclopedia of Statistical Science* (p 493-496), Lovric, Miodrag (Ed.), Springer-Verlag, 2010. 1st Edition, 2011, ISBN: 978-3-642-04897-5. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-04898-2
- Alam, M. M., Siwar, C., Toriman, M. E., Molla, R. I., and Talib, B. (2013). Climate Change Induced Adaptation by Paddy Farmers in Malaysia. *Natural Science* 5.163 – 166. SciRes.
- Besag, J., Green, P., Higdon, D., and Mengerson, K. (1995). Bayesian Computation and Stochastic Systems. *Statistical Science*. 10, 3 – 41.
- Bhunya, P., Jain, S., Ojha, C., and Agarwal, A. (2007). Simple Parameter Estimation Technique for Three Parameter Generalized Extreme Value Distribution. *J. Hydrol. Eng.*, 12(6), 682-689.
- Carey-Smith, T., Dean, S., Vial, J., Thompson, C. (2010). Changes in precipitation extremes for New Zealand: climate model predictions. *Weather and Climate*. Vol 30: 23-48.
- Chu, P. S., Zhao, X., Ruan, Y., and Grubbs, M. (2008). Extreme Rainfall Events in the Hawaiian Islands. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*, Vol 48: 502-516.

- Coles, S. (2001). *An Introduction to Statistical Modeling of Extreme Values*. LONDON: Springer.
- Coles, S., and Dixon, M. J. (1999). Likelihood-based inference for Extreme Values. *Extremes* 2:5-23
- Coles, S., Pericchi, L., and Sisson, S. (2003). A fully probabilistic approach to extreme rainfall modeling. *J. Hydrology*. 273:35-50.
- Dan'azumi, S., Shamsudin, S. and Aris, A. (2010). Modeling the Distribution of Rainfall Intensity using Hourly Data. *American Journal of Environmental Sciences* 6(3): 238 – 243. Science Publications.
- Deka, S., Borah, M., and Kakaty, S. M. (2009). Distribution of Annual Maximum Rainfall Series of North-East India. *European Water*. 27/28, 3-14. E. W. Publications.
- Eli, A., Shaffie, M., and Zin, W. Z. W. (2012). Preliminary Study on Bayesian Extreme Rainfall Analysis: A Case Study of Alor Setar, Kedah, Malaysia. *Sains Malaysiana*. 41: 1403-1410.
- Gamerman, D. and Lopes, H. L. (1997). Markov Chain Monte Carlo. Stochastic Bayesian Inference. Chapman & Hall, London.
- García-Cueto, O. R. and Santillán-Soto, N. (2012). Modeling Extreme Climate Events: Two Case Studies in Mexico, *Climate Models*, Dr. Leonard Druyan (Ed.), ISBN: 978-953-51-0135-2, InTech, DOI: 10.5772/31634. Available from <http://www.intechopen.com/books/climate-models/modeling-extreme-climate-events-two-case-studies-in-mexico>
- Gilleland, E. : ismev: An Introduction to Statistical Modeling of Extreme Values, R package version 1.39 ed (2012).
- Haddad, K. and Rahman, A. (2010). Selection of the best fit flood frequency distribution and parameter estimation procedure: a case study for Tasmania

- in Australia. *Stoch. Environ Res Risk Assess.* DOI 10.1007/s00477-010-0412-1.
- Hastings, W. K. (1970). Monte Carlo sampling methods using Markov Chains and their applications. *Biometrika.* 57, 97 – 109.
- Hosking, J. R. M. (1990). L-Moments: Analysis and Estimation of Distribution Using Linear Combinations of Order Statistics. *Journal of Royal Statistical Society.* (B) 52: 105-124.
- Hosking, J.R.M., Wallis, J.R. & Wood, E.F. 1985. Estimation of the generalized extreme-value distribution by method of probability-weighted moments. *Technometrics* 27: 251-261.
- Ibrahim, I. (2004). *Identification of a probability distribution for extreme rainfall series in East Malaysia.* Master thesis. Universiti Teknologi Tun Hussein Onn.
- Jenkinson, A. F. (1955). The frequency distribution of the annual maximum (or minimum) values of meteorological elements. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 81, 158 – 171.
- Kagoda, P. A. (2006). *A Comprehensive Analysis of Extreme Rainfall.* Master thesis. University of Witwatersrand.
- Kartz, R. W., Parlange, M. B., and Naveau, P. (2002). Statistics of Extremes in Hydrology. *Advances in Water Resources.* 25: 1287 – 1304. Elsevier Science Ltd.
- Kim, S. U., Kim, G., Jeong, W. M., and Jun, K. (2013). Uncertainty Analysis on Extreme Value Analysis of Significant Wave Height at Eastern Coast of Korea. *Applied Ocean Research.* 41, 19 – 27. Elsevier Ltd.
- Labovitz, M. L. (2009). Using Return Level in a Statistical Model for the Joint Distribution of the Extreme Values of Equities. Doctor of Philosophy.

Department of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences. University of Colorado.

- Madsen, H., Rasmussen, P., and Rosbjerg, D. (1997). Comparison of annual maximum series and partial duration series methods for modelling extreme hydrologic events 1: at – site modelling. *Water Resources Research*. 33: 747 – 757.
- Martins, E. S., and Stedinger, J. R. (2000). Generalized Maximum-Likelihood Generalized Extreme-Value Quantile Estimators for Hydrologic data. *Water Resources Research*. Vol. 36, No. 3, Pages 737 – 744. American Geophysical Union.
- Mathews, J.H. 1992. *Numerical Methods for Mathematics, Science, and Engineering*. Prentice-Hall International, Inc., London, 646 p.
- Medova, E. A. (2007). *Bayesian analysis and Markov Chain Monte Carlo simulation*. Working Paper Series. Judge Business School, University of Cambridge.
- Metropolis, N., Rosenbluth, A. W., Rosenbluth, M. N., Teller, A. H. (1953). Equation of State Calculations by Fast Computing Machines. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*. Vol 21, No 6.
- Muller, A., Arnaud, P., Lang, M. and Lavabre, J. (2009). Uncertainties of extreme rainfall quantiles estimated by a stochastic rainfall model and by a ssgeneralized Pareto distribution. *Journal-des Sciences Hydrologiques*. Vol 54(3).
- National Environmental Research Council (NERC). (1975). *Flood Studies Report*, Vol. 1, Institute of Hydrology, Wallingford, U.K.
- Nzoufras, I. (2009). *Bayesian Modeling using WinBUGS*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.

- Pickands, J. (1975) Statistical inference using extreme order statistics. *Ann. Statist.* 3, 119-131.
- Polak, E. (1997). *Optimization Algorithms and Consistent Approximations*. Springer, p767.
- Press, W.H., Teukolsky, S.A., Vetterling, W.T., and Flannery, B.P. (1992). *Numerical Recipes in Fortran*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, New York, p963.
- R Development Core Team. (2014). The R Stats package, “R: A language and environment for statistical computing”. *R Foundation for Statistical Computing*, Vienna, Austria.
- Rao, A. R., and Hamed, H. K. (2000). *Flood Frequency Analysis*. Florida: Boca Raton.
- Raillard, N., Ailliot, P., and Yao, J. (2013). Modeling Extreme Values of Processes Observed at Irregular Time Steps: Application to Significant Wave Height. *Annals of Applied Statistics*.
- Reis, D. S., and Stedinger, J. R. (2005). Bayesian MCMC Flood Frequency Analysis with Historical Information. *Journal of Hydrology* 313, 97 – 116. Elsevier B. V.
- Rheinboldt, W.C. (1998). *Methods for Solving Systems of Nonlinear Equations*, SIAM, PA, USA. p148 .
- Roberts, G. O., and Rosenthal, J. S. (2001). Optimal scaling for Various Metropolis-Hasting algorithms. *J. Appl. Statist. Sci.* 16: 351 – 367.
- Saksena, S. K. & Johnson, A. M. (1984) Best unbiased estimators for the parameters of a two-parameter Pareto distribution. *Biometrika* 31, 77-83.

- Singh, V.P. and H. Guo. (1995). Parameter estimation for 3-parameter generalized Pareto distribution by the principle of maximum entropy (POME). *Hydrol. Sci.* 40: 165-181.
- Shabri, A. B., Zalina, M. D., and Ariff, N. M. (2011). Regional Analysis of Annual Maximum Rainfall using TL-Moments Method. *Theor. Appl. Climatol.* 104: 561 – 570.
- Shukla, R. K., Trivedi, M., and Kumar, M. (2010). On the Proficient use of GEV distribution: A Case Study of Subtropical Monsoon Region in India. *Anale. Seri Informatică. Vol VIII fasc. I. Annals. Computer Science Series.* 8th Time 1st Fasc.
- Smith, E. (2005). *Bayesian Modeling of Extreme Rainfall Data*. Doctor Philosophy, University of Newcastle upon Tyne, Newcastle.
- Smith, R. L. (1985). Maximum Likelihood Estimation in a class of non-regular cases. *Biometrika.* 72: 67 – 92.
- Suhaila, J., and Jemain, A. A. (2007). Fitting the Statistical Distributions to the Daily Rainfall Amount in Peninsular Malaysia. *Jurnal Teknologi.* 46 (C), 30 – 48. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Stedinger, J. R., Vogel, R. M., and Foufoula-Georgiou, E. (1993). Frequency analysis of extreme events. *Handbook of Applied Hydrology.* D. A. Maidment, chap. 18, pp. 18 – 1 – 18 – 66. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Stephenson, A. G. and Ribatet, M. : evdbayes: Bayesian analysis in extreme value theory, R package version 1.0-8 ed. (2010).
- Van der Merwe, S., Steven, D., and Pretorius, M. (2013). *Bayesian Extreme Value Analysis of Stock Exchange Data*. Department of Mathematical Statistics and Actuarial Science, University of the Free State.

- Zalina, M. D., Desa, M. N., Nguyen, V. T. V., and Hashim, M. K. (2002). Selecting a probability distribution for extreme rainfall series in Malaysia. *Water Science and Technology* 45: 63 – 68.
- Zin, W. Z. W., Jemain, A. A., Ibrahim, K., Jamaludin, ., and Deni, S. M. (2009). A comparative study of extreme rainfall in Peninsular Malaysia: with reference to partial duration and annual extreme series. *Sains Malaysiana* 38: 751 – 760.
- Zin, W. Z. W., Suhaila, J., Deni, S. M., and Jemain, A. A. (2010). Recent Changes in Extreme Rainfall Events in Peninsular Malaysia: 1971 – 2005. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*. 99: 303 – 314.