# ENVIRONMENTAL DEGRADATION ASSESSMENT OF BIODIESEL PRODUCTION USING LIFE CYCLE ANALYSIS

CHE HAFIZAN CHE HASSAN

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

# ENVIRONMENTAL DEGRADATION ASSESSMENT OF BIODIESEL PRODUCTION USING LIFE CYCLE ANALYSIS

CHE HAFIZAN CHE HASSAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Environmental Engineering)

Faculty of Chemical and Energy Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JULY 2016

To my beloved family

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdullilah, all praise to ALLAH the Almighty for His blessing and guidance in helping and giving me the strength to complete this thesis. In particularly, I would like to take this opportunity to express my deepest gratitude and appreciations to my supervisor, Dr. Zainura Zainon Noor for her kindness, encouragement and guidance. I am also very thankful to my family especially my wife, Norelyza Bt Hussein for their assistance and support..

Thanks also to all my fellow friends who always give a moral support and critics in order to improve my research. Last but not least thanks to those people who is directly or indirectly involve in my research.

#### ABSTRACT

The global transportation sector is one of the major fuel consumers and contributes directly to greenhouse gas emissions. In order to reduce the environmental burden of fuel usage, new diesel blending formulations that consist of biofuels were developed. The objective of the study is to assess the environmental performance of the new diesel blending formulations compared to the existing diesel blending formulation (B5). The life cycle assessment (LCA) methodology has been used to assess the environmental performance of the blending formulation. New weighting values are also developed by using an analytical hierarchy process to support the study within Malaysia's context. In term of LCA result within midpoint categories, Blending 5 has shown the most potential compared to other fuels including B5 blending due to better environmental performance in most categories except for ozone depletion and urban land occupation impacts. In the endpoint categories, for Malaysia's context; Blending 5 has shown better environmental performance as compared to B5 blending with each scoring 9.63E-5 point and 1.00E-4 point, respectively. The result is found to be consistent with other weighting methods. In developing new weighting values, this study suggests there is no consensus in term of importance between regional and global impact categories. This is visualized in the individualist perspective where both global and regional impacts were scored most importance but higher regional impact scored in egalitarian and hierarchist perspectives. In conclusion, Blending 5 has scored the least weighting values as compared to other diesel blending formulations including B5 thus indicating its potential as an alternative to the existing diesel blending formulation.

#### ABSTRAK

Di peringkat global, sektor pengangkutan merupakan salah satu pengguna bahan api fosil dan secara langsung menyumbang kepada pelepasan gas rumah hijau. Dalam usaha untuk mengurangkan pencemaran alam sekitar daripada penggunaan bahan api fosil, rumusan diesel campuran baru yang terdiri daripada biofuel telah dibangunkan. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menilai potensi pencemaran alam sekitar bagi formula baru diesel dan membandingkan dengan formulasi adunan diesel yang sedia ada (B5). Kaedah penilaian kitaran hayat (LCA) telah digunakan untuk menilai prestasi alam sekitar rumusan adunan formula tersebut. Nilai pemberat baru juga dibangunkan dengan menggunakan proses hierarki analisis untuk menyokong kajian dalam konteks Malaysia. Dari segi hasil LCA dalam kategori titik tengah, Adunan 5 telah menunjukkan potensi untuk diaplikasikan kerana prestasi alam sekitar yang lebih baik dalam setiap kategori kecuali impak pengurangan ozon dan kesan pendudukan tanah bandar termasuk B5. Dalam kategori titik akhir, untuk konteks Malaysia; Adunan 5 telah menunjukkan prestasi alam sekitar yang lebih baik berbanding dengan B5 dengan masing-masing menghasilkan 9.63E-5 dan 1.00E-4 markah. Hasil keputusan juga didapati konsisten dengan kaedah pemberat lain. Dalam membangunkan nilai-nilai pemberat baru, kajian ini menunjukkan tidak ada kesepakatan dari segi kepentingan antara kategori kesan serantau dan global. Ini digambarkan dalam perspektif individualis di mana kedua-dua kesan global dan serantau menghasilkan pemberat yang sama penting tetapi pemberat yang lebih tinggi pada kesan serantau pada perspektif egalitarian dan hierarkis. Kesimpulannya, Adunan 5 menghasilkan nilai pemberat yang kurang berbanding dengan formula campuran diesel lain termasuk B5 dan menunjukkan potensinya sebagai alternatif untuk formulasi campuran diesel yang sedia ada.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

CHAPTER		TITLE	PAG	E
	DEC	LARATION	ii	
	DED	ICATION	iii	
	ACK	NOWLEDGEMENT	iv	
	ABS	ГКАСТ	v	
	ABS	ГКАК	vi	
	TAB	LE OF CONTENTS	vii	
	LIST	OF TABLES	xii	
	LIST	OF FIGURES	XV	
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS			Ĺ
	LIST	OF SYMBOLS	xxi	
	LIST	OF APPENDICES	xxii	
1	INTF	RODUCTION	1	
	1.1	Introduction	1	
	1.2	Problem Statement	5	
	1.3	Objectives	6	
	1.4	Scope	7	
	1.5	Significance of the Study	8	
	1.6	Thesis Layout	9	

2	LIT	ERATU	RE REVIEW	11
	2.1	Introdu	uction	11
	2.2	Energy	y	11
	2.3	Fossil	Fuel	14
		2.3.1	Diesel	15
		2.3.2	Gasoline	15
		2.3.3	Natural Gas	16
		2.3.4	Coal	16
	2.4	Renew	vable Energy	17
		2.4.1	Biodiesel	18
		2.4.2	Bioethanol	24
	2.5	Energy	y for Transportation Sector in Malaysia	28
		2.5.1	Fuel Blending	30
	2.6	Source	e of Energy for Power Sector in Malaysia	33
	2.7	Life C	ycle Assessment	36
		2.7.1	Goal and Scope Phase	39
		2.7.2	Life Cycle Inventory (LCI) Phase	40
		2.7.3	Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA) Phase	41
		2.7.4	Interpretation	45
		2.7.5	Life Cycle Assessment of Biodiesel	47
	2.8	LCA V	Weighting	47
	2.9	Analyti	ical Hierarchy Process (AHP)	56
3	MET	rhodoi	LOGY	65
	3.1	Introdu	uction	65
	3.2	Data C	Collection	69
	3.3	Life C	ycle Assessment Methodology	71
	3.4	Life C	ycle Assessment of Natural Gas Power Plant	73
		3.4.1	Natural Gas Power Plant Scope and	
			Functional Unit	74
		3.4.2	Natural Gas Power Plant Inventory and	
			Modelling	74
	3.5	Life C	ycle Assessment of Bioethanol	75

	3.5.1 Bioethanol Plant Scope and Functional Unit	75
	3.5.2 Bioethanol Plant Inventory and Modelling	76
3.6	Life Cycle Assessment of Palm Biodiesel	79
	3.6.1 Palm Biodiesel Plant Scope and Functional	
	Unit	79
	3.6.2 Palm Biodiesel Plant Inventory and Modellin	ng 81
3.7	Other Diesel Blending Composition Inventory	81
	3.7.1 Life Cycle Inventory for Diesel	82
	3.7.2 Life Cycle Inventory for Butanol	83
	3.7.3 Life Cycle Inventory for Butyl Levulinate	84
3.8	Hierarchy Structural Map in LCA Weighting	
	Development	84
3.9	Cultural Perspectives in Response	88
3.10	Aggregation Methods of Stakeholders Judgements in	
	AHP	88
3.11	Synthesis of local priorities for weighting	
	development	89
3.12	Aggregation of Damage and Sub Damage Categorie	s 89
3.13	Consistency Check	90
3.14	Questionnaire Design and Coverage	90
	3.14.1 Sample Size	90
	3.14.2 Questionnaire Description	91
	3.14.3 Developing Main Criteria and Sub Criteria	91
	3.14.4 Questionnaire Administration	92
	3.14.5 Limitations	92
3.15	Key Assumptions	93
LIFE	CYCLE ASSESSMENT OF NEW GREEN	
DIES	SEL FORMULATION	94
4.1	Introduction	94
4.2	Outlining the Scenarios	96
	4.2.1 Brief Explanation of the Modelling Process	96
4.3	Discussion of Result	98

4

	4.3.1	Electric	ity Production from Natural Gas	98
		4.3.1.1	Natural Gas Power Plant LCIA	
			Result	100
		4.3.1.2	Sensitivity Analysis for Electricity	
			Production from Natural Gas	107
	4.3.2	Bioetha	nol	108
		4.3.2.1	Bioethanol Production LCIA Result	111
		4.3.2.2	Sensitivity Analysis for Bioethanol	
			Production	122
	4.3.3	Biodies	el Production	123
		4.3.2.1	Biodiesel Production LCIA Result	126
		4.3.2.2	Sensitivity Analysis for Biodiesel	
			Production	136
4.4	Diese	l Blending	5	137
	4.4.1	Diesel E	Blending LCIA Result	139
	4.4.2	Diesel F	Blending Sensitivity Analysis	151
4.5	Concl	usion on	the LCA	153

# 5 ANALYTICAL HIERARCHY PROCESS IN DEVELOPING NEW WEIGHTING VALUE FOR

AYSIA	CONTEXT	156
Introd	uction	156
Criteri	a	159
Model	ling Life Cycle Assessment Weighting for	
Study	Area	159
Pairwi	se Comparisons	160
5.4.1	Consistency Check of the Current AHP	160
5.4.2	Aggregating Individual Priorities (AIP)	161
Opinio	ons of Respondents	161
5.5.1	Entries for Individualist Perspective	162
5.5.2	Entries for Egalitarian Perspective	169
5.5.3	Entries for Hierarchist Perspective	175
	Introd Criteri Model Study Pairwi 5.4.1 5.4.2 Opinio 5.5.1 5.5.2	<ul> <li>5.4.2 Aggregating Individual Priorities (AIP)</li> <li>Opinions of Respondents</li> <li>5.5.1 Entries for Individualist Perspective</li> <li>5.5.2 Entries for Egalitarian Perspective</li> </ul>

	5.6	Conclusion on the weighting value	187
6		EGRATING THE ANALYTICAL HIERARCHY CESS AND LIFE CYCLE ASSESSMENT	191
	6.1	Introduction	191
	6.2	Comparison of Different Weighting Method	194
	6.3	Weighting Principle Perspectives	201
	6.4	Method Limitations	202
	6.5	Conclusions	203
7	CON	ICLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	205
	7.1	Conclusions	205
	7.2	Recommendation	208
REFEREN	ICES		209

Appendix A-E	
--------------	--

223-256

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Malaysia's sources of electricity generation, 2000-2010	33
2.2	Performance parameters for natural gas combined cycle	
	power plant	34
2.3	LCI for the production of a single oil palm seedling	41
2.4	Summary of various weighting development for LCA	
	application	52
2.5	AHP scale number	57
2.6	Computing consistency index	59
2.7	Average random consistency index values	60
2.8	Summary of various studies that using AHP as	
	methodology	63
3.1	General data collected and its sources	70
3.2	New Diesel Blending Formulation	72
3.3	General Information for the LCA Study	73
3.4	Diesel database description	83
3.5	Butanol database description	83
3.6	Butyl Levulinate database description	84
4.1	Definition for each LCA category	97
4.2	Inventory data for electricity production from natural gas	99
4.3	Summary result for natural gas power plant	101
4.4	Inventory data for bioethanol production	110
4.5	Summary result for bioethanol production	113
4.6	Comparison study for bioethanol production	115

4.7	Inventory data for biodiesel production	125
4.8	Summary result for biodiesel production	128
4.9	Comparison study for biodiesel production	130
4.10	Summary result for diesel blending production	140
4.11	result for each diesel blending component based on 1kg	
	of fuel production	144
5.1	The respondents and number of questionnaire used for the	
	survey	158
5.2	Pairwise comparison matrix for the damage category in	
	term of Individualist perspectives.	163
5.3	Pairwise comparison matrix for the human health	
	category in term of Individualist perspectives.	164
5.4	Pairwise comparison matrix for the ecosystem quality	
	category in term of Individualist perspectives.	166
5.5	Pairwise comparison matrix for the resource consumption	
	category in term of Individualist perspectives.	167
5.6	Damages and Sub Damages Aggregation for Individualist	
	Perspective	168
5.7	Pairwise comparison matrix for the damage category in	
	term of egalitarian perspective	170
5.8	Pairwise comparison matrix for the human health	
	category in term of egalitarian perspective	171
5.9	Pairwise comparison matrix for the ecosystem quality	
	category in term of egalitarian perspective	173
5 10	Pairwise comparison matrix for the resource consumption	172
5.10	category in term of egalitarian perspective.	173
5.11	Damages and Sub Damages Aggregation for Egalitarian	
	Perspective	174
5.12	Pairwise comparison matrix for the damage category in	
	term of hierarchist perspective	176
5.13	Pairwise comparison matrix for the human health	
	category in term of hierarchist perspective	178

5.14	Pairwise comparison matrix for the ecosystem quality	
	category in term of hierarchist perspective.	179
5.15	Pairwise comparison matrix for the resource consumption	
	category in term of hierarchist perspective	180
5.16	Damages and Sub Damages Aggregation for Hierarchist	
	Perspective	181
6.1	Normalization Value for World's Context	193

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Split of global annual energy consumption by sector type	
	in 2012	13
2.2	Typical Layout of a Modern Refinery	14
2.3	Oil palm trees	20
2.4	System configuration of biodiesel plant	21
2.5	Jatropha curcas tree	22
2.6	Formula Structure of Ethanol	24
2.7	Generic block diagram of fuel ethanol production from	
	lignocellulosic biomass.	26
2.8	System configuration of bioethanol plant	27
2.9	World CO <sub>2</sub> emissions by sector in 2010	29
2.10	System configuration of natural gas power plant	
	combined cycle	35
2.11	Phases of LCA and typical phases in life cycle impact	
	assessment (LCIA)	37
2.12	LCA system boundary	40
2.13	Basic concept of category indicator	42
2.14	Relationships between elements within the interpretation	
	phase with the other phases of LCA	45
2.15	Computing the eigenvector and normalizing result for	
	developing priority	58
3.1	Research Methodology flow chart	66
3.2	Area covers in overall study	68

3.3	LCA Framework for the Study	72
3.4	System boundary for natural gas power plant	74
3.5	System boundary for bioethanol production	76
3.6	Bioethanol process modelled in Hysis	78
3.7	System configuration of biodiesel plant	80
3.8	A hierarchy structural map for the AHP study	85
3.9	AHP structural in Super Decision software	87
4.1	The outline of the assessment for environmental	
	performance of the new green diesel formulation.	95
4.2	Natural gas power plant process modelling in Gabi5	100
4.3	Potential environmental impact for natural gas power	
	plant	102
4.4	Sensitivity result for electricity production from natural	
	gas power plant (a) particulate matter formation (b)	
	Photochemical oxidation formation (c) climate change	
	(d) fossil depletion (e) water depletion and (f) natural	
	land transformation.	108
4.5	Bioethanol process modelled in Gabi5	111
4.6	Potential environmental impact for production of	
	bioethanol	114
4.7	Sensitivity result for bioethanol production (a) particulate	
	matter formation (b) Photochemical oxidation formation	
	(c) climate change (d) fossil depletion (e) water depletion	
	and (f) natural land transformation	123
4.8	Biodiesel process modelled in Gabi5	126
4.9	Potential environmental impact for production of	
	biodiesel	129
4.10	Sensitivity result for biodiesel production (a) particulate	
	matter formation (b) Photochemical oxidation formation	
	(c) climate change (d) fossil depletion (e) water depletion	
	and (f) natural land transformation	137
4.11	Diesel blending process modelled in Gabi5	138

4.12	Potential environmental impact for diesel blending based	
	on percentage of contribution (a)	141
4.13	Potential environmental impact for diesel blending based	
	on percentage of contribution (b)	142
4.14	Potential environmental impact for diesel blending based	
	on percentage of contribution (c)	143
4.15	Sensitivity analysis for diesel blending production (a)	
	particulate matter formation (b) Photochemical oxidation	
	formation (c) climate change (d) fossil depletion (e)	
	water depletion and (f) natural land transformation	152
6.1	Weighting result for different diesel blending	
	formulations based on Malaysia's context	198
6.2	Weighting result for different diesel blending	
	formulations based on World's context	199
6.3	Weighting result for different diesel blending	
	formulations based on PE LCIA Survey 2012	200

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADP	-	Abiotic Depletion Potential
AHP	-	Analytical Hierarchy Process
B5	-	Diesel Blending (5% Biodiesel, 95% Diesel)
B20	-	Diesel Blending (20% Biodiesel, 80% Diesel)
B100	-	Diesel Blending (100% Biodiesel)
BR	-	Brazil
С	-	Cellulose
CFC-11 EQ	-	Chlorofluorocarbon Equivalent
СН	-	Switzerland
CI	-	Consistency Index
CN	-	China
$CO_2E$	-	Carbon Dioxide equivalent
СРО	-	Crude Palm Oil
CR	-	Consistency Ratio
DB EQ.	-	Diethyl Benzene Equivalent
DOE	-	Department of Environmental
EFB	-	Empty Fruit Bunch
EtOH	-	Ethanol
FE EQ	-	Ferum Equivalent
FFA	-	Free Fatty Acid
FR	-	France
G	-	Glucose

GHG	-	Greenhouse Gas
GLO	-	Global
GMM	-	Geometric Means
GWP	-	Global Warming Potential
Н	-	Hemicellulose
HC	-	Hydrocarbon
Ι	-	Inhibitors
ILCD	-	The International Reference Life Cycle Data System
ISO	-	International Organization for Standardization
L	-	Lignin
KETTHA	-	Kementerian Tenaga, Teknologi Hijau dan Air
LCA	-	Life Cycle Assessment
LCI	-	Life Cycle Inventory
LCIA	-	Life Cycle Impact Assessment
MNRE	-	Ministry of New and Renewable Energy
MY	-	Malaysia
N-EQUIV.	-	Nitrogen Equivalent
NG	-	Natural Gas
NMVOC	-	Non-methane volatile organic compound
NO <sub>x</sub>	-	Nitrogen Oxide
ODP	-	Ozone Depletion Potential
Р	-	Pentose
P EQ.	-	Phosphorus Equivalent
PAHs	-	Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
PM	-	Particulate Matter
POCP	-	Photochemical Oxidation Potential
POF	-	Photochemical Oxidation Formation
POME	-	Palm Oil Mill Effluent
PPF	-	Palm Press Fiber
RC	-	Random Consistency
RER	-	Europe
RPO	-	Refined Palm Oil
SO <sub>2</sub> eq.	-	Sulfur Dioxide Equivalent
SSCF	-	Simultaneous Saccharification and Cofermentation

SSF	-	Simultaneous Saccharification and Fermentation
U235 eq-	-	Uranium-235 Equivalent
UIAM -	-	Universiti Islam Antarabangsa Malaysia
UKM -	-	Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
UM -	-	Universiti Malaya
UPM -	-	Universiti Pertanian Malaysia
US	-	United States of America
UTM -	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
UTP -	-	Universiti Teknologi Petronas
UV	-	Ultra Violet
VOC	-	Volatile Organic Compounds
WAMM	-	Weighted Arithmetic Means
WHO	-	World Health Organizations
WWTP	-	Waste Water Treatment Plant
WHO	-	World Health Organization

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$P_j$	-	Root of number of element
n	-	Number of element
$a_i$	-	Element i
$a_j$	-	Element j
$EI(a_j)$	-	Environmental impact of an alternative aj
$R_i$	-	Indicator result of impact category i of the reference area
$W_i$	-	Weighting factor of impact category i
$I_i(a_j)$	-	Impact category indicator result of impact category i caused by
		alternative aj
$W_{Di}$	-	Weighting factor for damage of category i
W <sub>SDi</sub>	-	Weighting factor for sub damage of category i

## LIST OF APPENDICES

## APPENDIX

## TITLE

## PAGE

A	Data and visiting letter from YTL Power	
	Sdn Bhd	223
В	Data calculation for bioethanol	
	production	231
С	Sample of questionnaire	238
D	Example of questionnaire response	247
E	Sample of Pair Wise Comparison Matrix	256

## **CHAPTER 1**

#### INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Introduction

The world is currently moving towards sustainable development due to environmental crisis. Sustainability is observed as the main objective of most countries developments and researches. Even the definition of sustainability term give different context in which the word is applied, the main idea is to maintain the resource without neglecting the development. In energy concept, sustainability has been well discussed, mostly agreed with replacement of current shrinking sources of energy with new unlimited sources (Brown et al., 1987). However, this sustainability concept is seem impossible with the current situation in which fossil fuel remains the major source and projected to meet 84% of energy demand in 2030 (The World Energy Outlook (WEO) 2007). Current state of fuel consumption have seen the utilization of fossil fuel alone or blending with other renewable fuel.

The most energy use nowadays comes from fossil fuel including diesel, natural gas, coal and gasoline. Many researches and field engineers believe that the depletion of the original world fossil petroleum has arrived and the exhaustion of the natural resource is already happening. The logic from this theory is based on the current situation that shows depletion of crude oil storage and slow discovery of new fields. There are also contrasting opinions that the peak of oil production is still not occurring for years or maybe decades due to giant oil reservoirs that are waiting to be discovered (Speight, 2010). Another important issue of energy sustainability related to fossil fuel is the fuel price movement. Several incidents such as Iran/Iraq war and 9/11 tragedy are affecting the fuel price especially oil (Economics WTRG, 2008). This arise the concern that forecasting fossil fuel price are difficult due to uncertainty in the future (Shafiee and Topal, 2009). In term of environmental impact, the burning of fossil fuel produces acid solution that generates acid rain which affects both natural and develop areas. Combustion of fossil fuel also generates carbon dioxide and other gases which lead to global warming (Yee *et al.* 2009).

In order to reduce the reliant on the fossil fuel, diesel is utilized align with other fuel such as biodiesel. International standard has been applied for describing the concentration of biodiesel in the blend, known as the BXX nomenclature, where XX denotes the percentage in the biodiesel volume in the diesel/biodiesel blends. Nowadays, nomenclatures such as B2, B5, B20 and B100 are being used with 2%, 5%, 20% and 100% of biodiesel content respectively. The most common blending utilized today is B100, blend B20-B30, additive B5 and lubricity-additive B2 (Yusuf et al. 2011). In Malaysia, the implementation of B5 usage, which constitutes of 5% biodiesel and 95% petroleum diesel, was started in February 2009. The utilization of biofuel in Malaysia, especially biodiesel, has been known since the introduction of the National Biofuel Policy on August 10, 2005. The policy is later changed into National Biodiesel Policy and was developed after many consultations with all stakeholders and extensive research by Malaysian Palm Oil Board (MPOB) since 1982. Although the B5 programme can help to reduce emission of harmful substance into the environment, as for industrial purposes, the policy should target a higher blend of biodiesel in the future to ensure the success of the policy (Abdullah et al. 2009).

One of the potential fuel that have been used extensively as a blending component is biodiesel. Biodiesel has many advantages compared to fossil fuel in terms of GHG emissions and also as renewable sources (Yee et al. 2009). Biodiesel is extracted from vegetable oils and fats that contain triglycerols, thus entitle the fuel as a renewable source (Sharma et al. 2011). There are several vegetable oils that have been experimented to produce biodiesel based on their availability of the oils in the respective country. Palm oil is commonly utilized as raw materials for biodiesel in Malaysia due to its superior annual yield compared to other crops (Yee et al. 2009). Meanwhile, soybean oil is widely applied in United States and rapeseed oil is used in many European countries (Knothe, 2010).

Another blending component, bioethanol can be extracted through traditional methods such as fermentation. Its potential is already known as replacement of petrol usage in transportation systems. The key in converting biomass into bioethanol are based on two significant reactions which are hydrolysis and fermentation. Hydrolysis is a process of converting complex polysaccharides in raw feedstocks into simple sugars. The fermentation reaction is aided by yeast or bacteria that feed on the simple sugars. The products from this process are bioethanol and carbon dioxide (Cheng et al. 2007). Bioethanol is believed to be one of the best alternatives fuel due to its renewable and environmentally properties. In addition, ethanol has been labelled as a cleaner fuel than gasoline with regard to the reduction of tailpipe emissions of certain pollutions such as CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, CO and NO<sub>x</sub>. Furthermore, the mixture of ethanol in gasoline can improve the quality of gasoline in the sense of increasing the fuel octane number. Despite the advantages, the concern of using ethanol in the main market arises due to its relatively high price over gasoline, either in pure or blended form (Nguyen and Gheewala, 2008).

Lower diesel component within the diesel blending, a greener and sustainable fuel is expected. However, the sustainability of these blending formulation is still questioned due to the increasing of other environmental impacts related to agricultural effect coming from biofuel components. Study on biodiesel consumption as transportation fuel shown, despite of lower carbon dioxide concentration in pipe tail, other pollutants such as particulate matter ( $PM_{10}$ ) and nitrous oxide have been claimed much higher compared to diesel. The increasing impact of eutrophication due to high utilization of nitrogen and phosphorus in biodiesel are also been reported (Nanaki and Koroneos, 2012). Therefore, the environmental impacts of new diesel blending were discussed in this study. The new diesel blending formulation are developed by other research teams. The blending consists of diesel and blended with biofuel, and other fuel.

In order to fully understand the sustainability of new diesel blending formulation in Malaysia, Life cycle assessment (LCA) appears to be a valuable tool. Life cycle assessment is a method for assessing the potential environmental impact of a product or process throughout its entire life cycle. Life cycle impact assessment (LCIA), an analytical step within LCA methodology, consists of classification, characterization, normalization and weighting steps. ISO 14000 series were used as reference to the LCA studies. In term of life cycle inventory (LCI), the data were collected through industrial data, literatures and ecoinvent database version 2.2. All the inventories data involves were analysed and modelled using LCA software (Gabi5).

For environmental impact interpretation, presently, majority of life cycle impact assessment (LCIA) analyses were performed using European database that may not precise in term of regional context. The study that comprehend Malaysia's context using Eco-Indicator was conducted by Onn and Yusoff (2010). This however only covers one LCIA methodology, which is Eco-Indicator. The weighting values for others method such as in ReCiPe, CML and Impact 2002+ are still open for reconsideration. Consequently, improvement on LCIA method with emphasizing on new weighting values is suggested in order to characterize the Malaysia's condition. Thus the new weighting values formulated based on ReCiPe methodology is one of the major contribution of the thesis. ReCiPe LCIA methodology was used as this method compromises 18 impact categories within midpoint analysis thus give large coverage on the environment (Goedkoop et al., 2009). Furthermore the method covers both midpoint and endpoint categories. This value not only contributes in precision result of regional LCA studies, but also to contribute the national life cycle assessment (LCA) inventory by LCA Malaysia.

### **1.2 Problem Statement**

Awareness on the significance of environmental issue on the usage of fossil fuel has motivated the society towards utilization of greener fuel that more sustainable to the environment. The utilization of fossil fuel produces numerous amounts of greenhouse gases (GHG) emissions which contribute to global warming. Diesel utilization in diesel engine also emit significant air pollutants such as particulate matter (PM) and nitrogen oxides (NOx) (Lloyd & Cackette, 2001). The depletion of the original world fossil petroleum and the exhaustion of the natural resource are also arise concern among the society. This is based on slow discovery of new oil fields to fulfil the demand. There are also contrasting opinions that the peak of oil production is still not occurring for years or maybe decades due to giant oil reservoirs that are waiting to be discovered (Speight, 2010) which increase the uncertainty of the fuel utilization.

Diesel blending has been introduced to reduce the reliant of fossil diesel in the transportation sector. Fossil diesel is blending with others fuel such as biodiesel. The most common blending utilized today is B100, blend B20-B30, additive B5 and lubricity-additive B2 (Yusuf *et al.* 2011). In Malaysia, the implementation of B5, which constitutes 5% biodiesel and 95% petroleum diesel in Malaysia, was started in February 2009. Although the B5 programme can help to reduce emission into the environment, for industrial purposes, the policy should target a higher blend of biodiesel in the future to ensure the success of the policy (Abdullah *et al.* 2009).

Thus new blending formulations were developed with intentions to have a minimum potential environmental impact. With the reduction of fossil diesel, lower GHG emissions are expected. Study on biodiesel consumption as transportation fuel shown, despite lower carbon dioxide concentration in pipe tail, other pollutants such as particulate matter (PM10) and nitrous oxide have been claimed much higher compared to diesel. The increasing impact of eutrophication due to high utilization of nitrogen and phosphorus are also been reported (Nanaki and Koroneos, 2012). Thus it is crucial to identify the potential environmental impact from the new diesel blending formulation.

In term of life cycle assessment (LCA) method, life cycle impact assessment (LCIA) was used to evaluate the data from process inventory is a combination of classification, characterization, normalization and weighting. This procedure is well known and applied worldwide, however, currently most LCIA analyses were performed using European database thus making the analysis less precise from regional perspective. This is because the European pollution emission rates being used as a basis for European database might not be suitable and accurate for LCA study of Malaysia scenario. Thus, in order to represent Malaysia's environmental condition, it is crucial to establish a Malaysia version of normalization and weighting values based on Malaysia own data. The weighting value will provide more accurate represent value in term of local perspectives.

## 1.3 Objectives

The main aim of the research is to assess and quantify the environmental performance of the new diesel blending formulation consisting of five type of fuels namely diesel, biodiesel, bioethanol, butanol and butyl levulinate using LCA methodology. New weighting values are also developed to support the LCA study by using AHP approach. The objectives of this study are:

I. To conduct inventory of inputs and outputs (based on several different blending and formulation) of new diesel blending production.

II. To quantify the potential environmental degradation of the different diesel blending formulation using life cycle assessment approach.

III. To develop new LCA weighting value that represents Malaysia condition.

IV. To compare the environmental impacts of new and current diesel blending based on the new weighting value.

#### 1.4 Scope

The blending formulation consists of biodiesel, diesel, bioethanol, butanol and butyl levulinate. For data inventory, input and output of each blending composition were collected through literature, industrial data and software database. An electricity component which is natural gas power plant also included to represent Malaysia's context. The raw material for biodiesel is refined palm oil and for bioethanol is empty fruit bunch (EFB) and press palm fiber (PPF). Ecoinvent database was used as inventory data for diesel, butanol and butyl levulinate. The data is assumed based on current technology and also represent Malaysia condition. The boundaries have been setup based on cradle-to-gate for each diesel blending composition.

In order to quantify the potential environmental degradation of different diesel blending formulation, eighteen potential impact included in ReCiPe LCIA methodology were used. The collected inventory data were modeled using LCA software (Gabi 6). Initially, the individual LCIA result of two new diesel blending formulation which is biodiesel and bioethanol; and one electricity mix which is natural gas power plant were presented. The LCIA results of five different blending formulations and comparison with pure fossil diesel were later applied.

New weightage values were developed to represents Malaysia condition in the weighting stage in LCA methodology. Three main criteria which is human health, ecosystem quality and resource consumption of eighteen environmental impact categories listed in ReCiPe LCIA methodology were used as sub-criteria. A questionnaire based on important criterion and sub criterion of environmental impact categories in Malaysia was developed and answered by experts, scientist and LCA practitioners. The responds from the questionnaires were analyzed using AHP methodology in sequence of three steps, namely pairwise comparison, AHP matrix and consistency index (CI). The new weighting values are then used to support the environmental performance of the new diesel blending formulation.

The environmental impacts of diesel blending formulation were further investigated using the new weighting values. The environmental performance of each formulation was later compared to current diesel to ensure the sustainability of the new formulation.

## **1.5** Significance of the Study

Globally, transportation sector has become one of the major sectors for each country. The increasing number of automobiles each year directly consume a lot of energy and indirectly create negative impact to the environment. The adverse impact caused by transportation sector such as emission of  $CO_2$  and  $NO_x$  has changed the

world towards sustainability. Renewable fuel such as biodiesel and bioethanol have become an attractive fuel to replace the current fossil fuel due to their environmental impact potential. In Malaysia, biodiesel is produce from palm oil and blended with fossil diesel (B5) (Abdullah *et. al.*, 2009). In this study, several blending formulations consist of diesel, biodiesel, bioethanol, butanol and butyl levulinate have been developed by others research group (Mohidin, 2014) which have matched their target properties. However, the environmental performance of these new diesel blending is yet to be assessed. LCA, which is one of environmental assessment tool, appears to be an important tool due to comprehensive assessment on product life cycle (Seppala, 2003). The significance of this thesis is to present the environmental performance of new diesel blending formulation and later identified the best formulation in term of environmental impact based on LCA methodology. Furthermore, comparison between the new diesel formulation and the current diesel blending is also presented.

The thesis also intends to highlight the importance of weighting steps that incorporated in LCA methodology that highly preference to regional condition. Without the weightage value of Malaysia's context, the final finding of LCA study may less precise due to different background condition. The weighting values are intended to be used in the LCA study for the diesel blending as well as for other local LCA study application.

#### 1.6 Thesis Layout

The thesis layout of this dissertation is presented as follows:

Chapter 1 comprises the introduction, problem statement, objectives, scope of study, significance of the study and lastly thesis layout. This chapter presents general

information of diesel utilization globally and in Malaysia. This chapter also includes issues related to new diesel blending components and LCA weighting procedure to be applied in Malaysia that contribute to the problem statement, objectives and scope of study.

Chapter 2 discusses the related studies of diesel, palm biodiesel, bioethanol and Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) that was used as method for environmental impact assessment. The related topic regarding AHP and its applications are also been discussed.

Chapter 3 describes the methodology utilized in this research. This chapter initially discussed on the gathering of information and then on the environmental potential impact analysis using LCA. Followed the process, AHP methodology adopted in the development of Malaysia new weighting is explain in detail. Lastly, the inclusion of the new weighting values in the LCA methodology is described.

Chapter 4 presents evaluation on the result and findings from the assessment of the new green diesel formulations. The result from the LCA is illustrated in tables and graphs. The best formulation based on environmental performance was later identified.

Chapter 5 involves results and discussion of the AHP section. The chapter consists of the AHP modelling and the weighting values generated from the questionnaires. Based on the results, the details of the weighting values are discussed. The implications of the weightings value in the LCA study are also deliberated.

Chapter 6 shows the result and findings from the assessment of the new green diesel formulation with the application of the new weighting formulations. The implication of weighting in the life cycle assessment study is discussed.

Chapter 7 presents conclusions and recommendation based on the findings of the thesis. The sustainability of the diesel blending formulation is reviewed based on the finding. The best formulation which has least environmental impact are determined.

The outcomes from the applications of AHP methodology in the LCA weighting are concluded.

- 10. In sensitivity analysis within impact category, in midpoint impact category the sensitivity analysis was based on specific impact category; while endpoint approach was majorly based on the in normalization and weighting value.
- 11. In term of value, significant different in number was observed among weighting methods since different values were used in weighting step.
- 12. The new weighting formulation has also found to follow criteria that listed in literature.

## 7.2 Limitation and Recommendation

In this study, few limitations are observed. The limitations are as follows:

- 1. In data collection for the life cycle assessment study, major of the data collected were based on secondary data (literature and database) thus it is recommended that the data collection were mainly collected through primary data.
- In the life cycle impact assessment methodology, only one method was used which is ReCiPe LCIA methodology. Hence, it is recommended that more LCIA methods were applied such as CML, Impact 2002+ and Eco Indicator 99.
- 3. In term of the boundary of life cycle study, it is suggest for the assessment to be done from cradle-to-grave to have the full diesel blending life cycle evaluation.
- 4. The size of the respondents can be improved by using a bigger sample size with a broader panel of respondents and specified the scope to few sector such as sustainability purchasing and green constructions.

As for improvement, different methods can be used to develop the weighting value based on multi criteria decision making such as PROMETHEE since different methods may induce different values.

#### REFERENCES

- Abarca, J.F, and C.C. Casiccia,(2002). Skin Cancer and Ultraviolet-B radiation
   Under the Antarctic Ozone Hole: Southern Chile, 1987-2000.
   Photodermatology, Photoimmunology & Photomedicine, 18, 294,
- Abba, A. H. (2015). Assessment of MunicipalSolid Waste Disposal Options Using Analytical Hierarchy Process and Life Cycle Analysis (Doctoral dissertation, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, School of Graduate Studies).
- Abba, A. H., Noor, Z. Z., Yusuf, R. O., Din, M. F., & Hassan, M. A. A. (2013). Assessing environmental impacts of municipal solid waste of Johor by analytical hierarchy process.
- Abdul Aziz, A., Das, K., Husin, M., Mokhtar, A., 2002a. Effects of physical and chemical pre-treatments on xylose and glucose production from oil palm press fibre. Journal of Oil Palm Research 14 (2), 10–17.
- Abdullah, A. Z., Salamatinia, B., Mootabadi, H., and Bhatia, S. (2009). Current Status and Policies on Biodiesel Industry in Malaysia as the World's Leading Producer of Palm Oil. *Energy Policy*. 37, 5440-5448.
- Acero, A. P., Rodriguez, C., and Ciroth, A. (2014). LCIA Methods Impact Assessment Methods In Life Cycle Assessment And Their Impact Categories. Open LCA.
- ACFA. (2012). Malaysia to Implement Euro 4M in 2015. Singapore www.acfa.org.sg/pdf/InFocus8%202012\_06%20Malaysia.pdf. Cited October 2015.
- AFDC. U.S. Depatment of Energy. (2016). Fuel Blends. http://www.afdc.energy.gov/fuels/blends.html [retrieved 14.4.16].

- Afgan, N. H., Pilavachi, P. A., and Carvalho, M. G. (2007). Multi-criteria Evaluation of Natural Gas Resources. *Energy Policy*. 35, 704–713.
- Ajav, E. A., & Akingbehin, O. A. (2002). A study of some fuel properties of local ethanol blended with diesel fuel. Ibadan, Nigeria: Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Technology, University of Ibadan.
- Allen, M. R., Barros, V. R., Broome, J., Cramer, W., Christ, R., Church, J. A., ... & Edenhofer, O. (2014). IPCC Fifth Assessment Synthesis Report-Climate Change 2014 Synthesis Report.
- Ali, R., Daut, I., and Taib, S. (2012). A Review on Existing and Future Energy Sources for Electrical Power Generation in Malaysia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 16, 4047-40555.
- Amrollahi, Z., Ystad, P. A. M., Ertesvag, I. S., and Bolland, O. (2012). Optimized Process Configurations of Post-Combustion CO2 Capture for Natural-Gas-Fired Power Plant–Power Plant Efficiency Analysis. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control.* 8, 1–11.
- APECEnergyDemandandSupplyOutlook(2006)http://www.kettha.gov.my/en/content/national-energy-policy[retrieved10.11.12].
- Asahi, T., Turo, D., & Shneiderman, B. (1995). Using treemaps to visualize the analytic hierarchy process. *Information Systems Research*, 6(4), 357-375.
- Ashok, P. (2009). Handbook of plant-based biofuels. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Pr.
- Azevedo, L. B., van Zelm, R., Hendriks, A. J., Bobbink, R., & Huijbregts, M. A. (2013). Global assessment of the effects of terrestrial acidification on plant species richness. *Environmental Pollution*, 174, 10-15.
- Baharuddin, M. K. (2007, June). Climate Change–Its effects on the agricultural sector in Malaysia. In National seminar on socio-economic impacts of extreme weather and climate change (pp. 21-22).
- Bai, F. W., Anderson, W. A., and Moo-Young, M. (2008). Ethanol Fermentation Technologies from Sugar and Starch Feedstocks. *Biotechnology Advances*. 26, 89-105.
- Basiron, Y. (2007). Palm oil production through sustainable plantations. European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology, 109(4), 289-295.

- Bastian O, Schreiber K-F (eds) (1999): Analyse und ökologische Bewertung der Landschaft. Spektrum Akademischer Verlag, Heidelberg, Berlin
- Baumert, K., Herzog, T., and Jonathan, P. (2005). Navigating the Numbers Greenhouse Gas Data and International Climate Policy. Unpublished Note. World Resources Institute;
- Bell, M. J., Hinton, N., Cloy, J. M., Topp, C. F. E., Rees, R. M., Cardenas, L., ... & Chadwick, D. R. (2015). Nitrous oxide emissions from fertilised UK arable soils: Fluxes, emission factors and mitigation. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 212, 134-147.
- Belton, V., & Gear, T. (1997). On the meaning of relative importance. Journal of Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis, 6(6), 335-338.
- Bengtsson, M., and Steen, B. (2000). Weighting in LCA- Approaches and Applications. *Envvironmental Progress*. 19, 101-109.
- Benitez, M. A. (2009). Climate change could affect mosquito-borne diseases in Asia. *The Lancet*, 373(9669), 1070.
- Berggren N, Jordahl H, Stern C (2007) A left-right divide: the political opinions of Swedish social scientists, the Ratio Institute, Stockholm.
- Bournay, L., Casanave, D., Delfort, B., Hillion, G., and Chodorge, J. A. (2005). New Heterogeneous Process for Biodiesel Production: A Way to Improve the Quality and the Value of the Crude Glycerine Produced by Biodiesel Plants. *Catalysis Today*. 106, 190–192.
- Brown, B. J., Hanson, M. E., Liverman, D. M., & Merideth Jr, R. W. (1987). Global sustainability: toward definition. *Environmental management*, 11(6), 713-719.
- Buyukozkan, G., Cifci, G., and Guleryuz, S. (2011). Strategic Analysis of Healthcare Service Quality using Fuzzy AHP Methodology. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 38, 9407–9424.
- Cardona, C. A. A., and Sanchez, O. J. T. (2006). Energy Consumption Analysis of Integrated Flowsheets for Production Of Fuel Ethanol From Lignocellulosic Biomass. *Energy*. 31, 2447-2457.

- Cardona, C. A., & Sánchez, Ó. J. (2007). Fuel ethanol production: process design trends and integration opportunities. *Bioresource technology*, 98(12), 2415-2457.
- Carraretto, C., Macor, A., Mirandola, A., Stoppato, A., and Tonon, S. (2004) Biodiesel as Alternative Fuel: Experimental Analysis and Energetic Evaluations. *Energy*. 29, 2195–211.
- Cavalett, O., Chagas, M. F., Seabra, J. E. A., and Bonomi, A. (2012). Comparative LCA of Ethanol versus Gasoline in Brazil Using Different LCIA Methods. *Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA)*. DOI 10.1007/s11367-012-0465-0.
- Cheng, C. K., Hani, H. H., and Ismail., K, S. K. Production of Bioethanol from Oil Palm Empty Fruit Bunch. *International Conference on Sustainable Materials Engineering*. (2007). 69-72.
- Choo, Y. M., Muhamad, H., Hashim, Z., Subramaniam, V., Puah, W. C., and Tan, Y. A. (2011). Determination of GHG Contributions by Subsystems in The Oil Palm Supply Chain Using The LCA Approach. *Carbon Footprinting*. 16. 669-681.
- Colborn, T., Kwiatkowski, C., Schultz, K., & Bachran, M. (2011). Natural gas operations from a public health perspective. *Human and ecological risk assessment: An International Journal*, *17*(5), 1039-1056.
- Consoli, F., Allen, D., Boustead, I., Fava, J., Franklin, W., Jensen, A.A., de Oude, N.,
  Parrish, R., Perriman, R., Postlethwaite, D., Quay, B., Séguin, J., and Vigon,
  B. (1993). *Guidelines for lifecycle assessment: A 'Code of Practice'*. Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (SETAC), Brussels.
- Contreras F, Hanaki K, Aramaki T, Connors S (2008). Application of analytical hierarchy process to analyze stakeholder's preferences for municipal solid waste management plans, Boston, USA. Resources, Conservation and Recycling 2008;52:979–91.
- Coyle, G. (2004). *The Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP)*. Unpublished Note. Pearson Education Limited 2004.
- Dag deviren, M., Yavuz, S., and Kılınc, N. (2009). Weapon Selection Using the AHP and TOPSIS Methods Under Fuzzy Environment. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 36, 8143-8151.

- De Schryver, A. M. (2011). Value choices in life cycle impact assessment. UB Nijmegen [host].
- De Feo, G., & De Gisi, S. (2010). Using an innovative criteria weighting tool for stakeholders involvement to rank MSW facility sites with the AHP. *Waste Management*, *30*(11), 2370-2382.
- Demirbas A. (2008a) Biofuels Sources, Biofuel Policy, Biofuel Economy and Global Biofuel Projections. *Energy Convers. Manage*. 7, 265-272.
- Demirbas A. (2008b) New Liquid Biofuels from Vegetable Oils Via Catalytic Pyrolysis. Energy. *Educ Sci Technol.* 21, 1–59.
- Demirbas A. (2009). Biofuels securing the planet's future energy needs. *Energy Conversion and Management*. 50, 2239-2249.
- Demirbas, A. (2005) Biodiesel production from vegetable oils via catalytic and noncatalytic supercritical methanol transesterification methods. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. 31, 466–487.
- Demirbas, A. (2006). Energy Priorities and New Energy Strategies. *Energy Edu Sci Technol* 16, 53–109.
- Demirbas, A. (2007). Production of Biofuels from Macroalgae and Microalgae. *Energy Edu Sci Technol* 18,59–65.
- Dong, Y. H., & Ng, S. T. (2014). Comparing the midpoint and endpoint approaches based on ReCiPe—a study of commercial buildings in Hong Kong. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 19(7), 1409-1423.
- Dudley, B. (2013). BP Statistical review of world energy 2013. BP plc, London.
- Dudley, B. (2015). BP Statistical Review of World Energy June 2015. BP plc, London.
- Ecoinvent, E. (2010). database version 2.2. Swiss Center for Life Cycle Inventories: Empa, Dübendorf, Switzerland.
- Economics, W. T. R. G. (2008). Oil price history and analysis. *http://www. wtrg. com/prices. htm.* [Retrived on 1 April 2015 at 10 a.m]
- Environmental Protection Agency. (1993). Life Cycle Assessment: Inventory Guidelines and Principles. EPA/600/R-92/245. Office of Research and Development. Cincinnati, Ohio, USA.
- Er, A. C. (2011). A Comparative Analysis of the Brazilian Bioethanol Sector and the Malaysian Palm Biofuel Sector. *Asian Social Science*. 7, 74-78.

- Ertan, A., & Mustafa, C. (2009). Characterization of the key fuel properties of methyl ester-diesel fuel blends. Fuel, 88, 75.
- Fava J., Consoli, F., Denison, R., Dickson, K., Mohin, T. & Vigon, B. (1993). A conceptual framework for life-cycle impact assessment. Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (SETAC), Pensacola.
- Fernando, H. S., Carlos, R. M., and Jose, R. (2011). Biofuels And Fossil Fuels: Life Cycle Analysis (LCA) Optimisation Through Productive Resources Maximisation. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 15. 2621-2628.
- Finnveden, G., Eldh, P., and Johansson, J. (2006). Weighting in LCA Based on Ecotaxes. *Int J Life Cycle Assess.* 11, 81–88.
- Forman, E. H., and Gass, S. I. (2001). The Analytic Hierarchy Process: An Exposition. *LCA. Methodology Operations Research*. 49, 469-486.
- Frischknecht, R., & Rebitzer, G. (2005). The ecoinvent database system: a comprehensive web-based LCA database. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 13(13), 1337-1343.
- Frischknecht R., Jungbluth N., Althaus H.-J., Doka G., Dones R., Heck T., Hellweg S., Hischier R., Nemecek T., Rebitzer G. and Spielmann M., (2005). The ecoinvent database: Overview and methodological framework, International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment 10, 3–9.
- Geist HJ, Lambin EF (2002) Proximate causes and underlying driving forces of tropical deforestation. BioScience 52:143–150.
- Gloria, T. P., Lippiatt, B. C., and Cooper, J. (2007). Life Cycle Impact Assessment Weights to Support Environmentally Preferable Purchasing in the United States. American Chemical Society. In press
- Goedkoop M, Spriensma R (2001) The ecoindicator\_99: a damageoriented method for life cycle impact assessment: methodology annex. Amersfoort: Pré Consultants BV, the Netherlands
- Goedkoop, M., Demmeers, M., & Collignon, M. (1995). The Eco-indicator 95-Weighting method for environmental effects that damage ecosystems or human health on a European scale. *Final Report Novem, rivm, Amersfoort*.
- Goedkoop, M., Effting, S., & Collignon, M. (2000). The Eco-indicator 99: A damage oriented method for life-cycle impact assessment: Manual for designers. PRé Consultants.

- Goedkoop, M., Heijungs, R., Huijbregts, M., De Schryver, A., Struijs, J., & van Zelm, R. (2009). ReCiPe 2008. A life cycle impact assessment method which comprises harmonised category indicators at the midpoint and the endpoint level, 1.
- Gutiérrez, L. F., Sánchez, Ó. J., & Cardona, C. A. (2009). Process integration possibilities for biodiesel production from palm oil using ethanol obtained from lignocellulosic residues of oil palm industry. *Bioresource technology*, 100(3), 1227-1237.
- Halimah, M., Zulkifli, H., Vijaya, S., Tan, YA., Puah, CW., and Choo, Y. M. (2010) Life Cycle Assessment of Oil Palm Seedling Production (Part 1). J Oil Palm Res 22, 878–886.
- Hansen, S. B., Olsen, S. I., and Zaini, U. (2006). Greenhouse Gas Reductions through Enhanced Use of Residues in the Life Cycle of Malaysian Palm Oil Derived Biodiesel. *Bioresource Technology*. 104, 358–366.
- Harding, K. G., Dennis, J. S., Blottnitz, H. V., and Harrison, S. T. L. (2007) A Life-Cycle Comparison between Inorganic and Biological Catalysis for the Production of Biodiesel. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 16, 1368-1378.
- Hofstetter P, Braunschweig A, Mettier M, Müller-Wenk R, Tietje O (1999) Dominance analysis in the mixing triangle: a graphical decision support tool for product comparisons. J Ind Ecol 3 (4):97–115
- Hossain, M. S., Chowdhury, S. R., Das, N. G., Sharifuzzaman, S. M., & Sultana, A. (2009). Integration of GIS and multicriteria decision analysis for urban aquaculture development in Bangladesh. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 90(3), 119-133.
- Hou, J., Zhang, P., Yuan, X., and Zheng Y., (2011) Life Cycle Assessment of Biodiesel from Soybean, Jatropha and Microalgae in China Conditions, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews.*, 15, 5081-5091. http://www.hha.dk/nat/workshop/2007/nb2611.pdf.
- Huppes G, van Oers L (2011) Background review of existing weighting approaches in Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA). JRC Scientific and Technical Reports. EUR 24997 EN—2011. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg

- Industry Outlook 2014. Malaysia http://www.st.gov.my/index.php/downloadpage/category/106-outlook.html?download=495:peninsular-malaysiaelectricity-supply-industry-outlook-2014.. Cited October 2015.
- International Standard of Organizations 14044 (2006). *ISO 14044:2006(E)*. Published in Switzerland.
- Ishizaka A, Labib A.(2011) Review of the main developments in the analytic hierarchy process. Expert Systems with Applications;38:14336–45.
- Ismail, A., Badri, M. A., & Ramlan, M. N. (1993). The background levels of heavy metal concentration in sediments of the west coast of Peninsular Malaysia. *Science of the total environment*, 134, 315-323.
- Janssen, A., Pischinger, S., & Muether, M. (2010). Potential of cellulose-derived biofuels for soot free diesel combustion. *SAE Int. J. Fuels Lubr*, *3*(1), 70-84.
- Jetten, T.H. & Focks, D.A. (1997). Potential changes in the distribution of dengue transmission under climate warming. American journal of tropical medicine and hygiene, 57(3): 285–297.
- Johnsen, F, M. and Lokke, S. (2012). Review of criteria for evaluating LCA weighting methods. *Life Cycle Impact Assessment (LCIA)*. DOI 10.1007/s11367-012-0491-y.
- Karami, E. (2006). Appropriateness of Farmers Adoption of Irrigation Methods: The Application of the AHP Model. *Agricultural Systems*. 87, 101-119.
- Kashinath, S. A. A., Manan, Z. A., Hashim, H., & Alwi, S. R. W. (2012). Design of green diesel from biofuels using computer aided technique. *Computers & Chemical Engineering*, 41, 88-92.
- Kassim, M. A., Kheang, L. S., Bakar, N. A., Aziz, A. A., and Som, R. M. (2011). Bioethanol Production from Enzymatically Saccharified Empty Fruit Bunches Hydrolysate using Saccharomyces Cerevisie. *Research Journal of Environmental Sciences*. 6. 573-586.
- Kaundinya, D. P., Balachandra, P., and Ravindranath, N. H. (2009) Grid-Connected Versus Standalone Energy Systems for Decentralized Power – A Review of Literature. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 13, 2041–2050.
- Knothe, G. (2010). Biodiesel and Renewable: A comparison. Progress in Energy and Combustion Science. 36, 364-373.

- Koh, M, Y., and Ghazi, T, I, M.(2011). A Review of Biodiesel Production from Jatropha Curcas L. Oil. *Energy and sustainable energy reviews*. 15, 2240– 2251.
- Kraipat, C., Monpilai, H. N., & Juthawan, P. (2006). Biodiesel as an additive for diesohol. Asian Journal on Energy and Environment, 7, 267.
- Lin, Y., and Tanaka, S. (2006), Ethanol Fermentation from Biomass Resources: Current State and Prospects. *Applied Microbiol. Biotechnology*. 69, 627-642.
- Lloyd, A. C., & Cackette, T. A. (2001). Diesel engines: environmental impact and control. *Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association*, 51(6), 809-847.
- Makareviciene, V., and Janulis, P. (2003). Environmental Effect of Rapeseed Oil Ethyl Ester. *Renew Energy*. 28, 2395–403.
- Malaysia Environmental Quality Report (2012). Department of Environment. Malaysia.
- Maria, L. G. R., Electo, E. S. L., Jose, C. E. P., Osvaldo, J. V., Jens, B., and Oscar, A. (2011). A LCA (Life Cycle Assessment) of the Methanol Production from Sugarcane Bagasse. *Energy*. 36, 3716-3726.
- McMichael, A. J., Haines, A., & Slooff, R. (1996). Climate change and human health: an assessment prepared by a task group on behalf of the World Health Organization, the World Meteorological Organization and the United Nations Environment Programme. In *Climate change and human health: an assessment prepared by a Task Group on behalf of the World Health Organization, the World Meteorological Organization and the United Nations Environment Programme.*
- Moeinaddini, M., Khorasani, N., Danehkar, A., & Darvishsefat, A. A. (2010). Siting MSW landfill using weighted linear combination and analytical hierarchy process (AHP) methodology in GIS environment (case study: Karaj). Waste management, 30(5), 912-920.
- Mohidin, K. (2014). Tailor-Made Biofuel-Diesel Blends Properties Validation
   And Engine Performance (Master dissertation, Universiti Teknologi
   Malaysia, School of Graduate Studies).

- Morais, S. A., & Delerue-Matos, C. (2010). A perspective on LCA application in site remediation services: critical review of challenges. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 175(1), 12-22.
- Murat Karabektas, & Murat Hosoz. (2009). Performance and emission characteristics of a diesel engine using isobutanol–diesel fuel blends. Renewable Energy, 34, 1554.
- Myllyviita, T., Leskinen, P., & Seppälä, J. (2014). Impact of normalisation, elicitation technique and background information on panel weighting results in life cycle assessment. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 19(2), 377-386.
- Nakata, T. (2004). Energy-economic models and the environment. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science*. 30, 417-475.
- Nanaki, E. A. and Koroneos, S. J., (2012). Comparative LCA of the Use of Biodiesel, Diesel and Gasoline For Transportation. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 20, 14-19.
- National Mineral Policy. (2009). Towards Sustainable Mining. Malaysia http://www.nre.gov.my/msmy/PustakaMedia/Penerbitan/Dasar%20Mineral% 20Negara%202.pdf. Cited October 2015.
- Nguyen, T. L. T., and Gheewala, S. H. (2008). Fossil Energy, Environmental and Cost Performance of Ethanol in Thailand. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 16. 1814-1821.
- Nordborg, M., Cederberg, C., & Berndes, G. (2014). Modeling potential freshwater ecotoxicity impacts due to pesticide use in biofuel feedstock production: the cases of maize, rapeseed, salix, soybean, sugar cane, and wheat. *Environmental science & technology*, 48(19), 11379-11388.
- Oh, T. H., Pang, S. Y., & Chua, S. C. (2010). Energy policy and alternative energy in Malaysia: issues and challenges for sustainable growth. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 14(4), 1241-1252.
- Ong, H. C., Mahlia, T. M. I., and Masjuki, H. H. (2012). A Review on Energy Pattern and Policy for Transportation Sector in Malaysia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 16, 532–542.

- Onn, C. C., and Yusoff, S. (2010). The Formulation of Life Cycle Impact Assessment Framework for Malaysia Using Eco-indicator. *Life Cycle Impact Assessment*. 15. 985-993.
- Organization and the United Nations Environment Programme. Geneva, World Health Organization, 1996 (document WHO/EHG/96.7).
- Pang, X., Shi, X., Mu, Y., He, H., Shuai, S., Chen, H., and Li, R. (2006). Characteristics of Carbonyl Compounds Emission from a Diesel-Engine Using Biodiesel–Ethanol–Diesel as Fuel. *Atmospheric Environment*. 40, 7057–7065.
- PE International, (2014). GaBi 6 database, http://db.ecoinvent.org
- Phumpradab, K., Gheewala, S. H., & Sagisaka, M. (2009). Life cycle assessment of natural gas power plants in Thailand. *The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment*, 14(4), 354-363.
- Piarpuzan, D., Quintero, J., and Cardona, C. A. (2011). Empty Fruit Bunches from Oil Palm as a Potential Raw Material for Fuel Ethanol Production. *Biomass* and Bioenergy. 35, 1130-1137.
- Ramachandra, T.V. (2007). Solar Energy Potential Assessment Using GIS. *Energy Edu Sci Technol*.18,101–114.
- Refsgaard, K., & Magnussen, K. (2009). Household behaviour and attitudes with respect to recycling food waste–experiences from focus groups. *Journal of Environmental management*, 90(2), 760-771.
- Relyea, R., & Hoverman, J. (2006). Assessing the ecology in ecotoxicology: a review and synthesis in freshwater systems. *Ecology Letters*, 9(10), 1157-1171.
- Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 73, 188-196.
- Rietveld, P., Van., Woudenberg, S. (2005). Why Fuel Prices Differ. *Energy Econ*. 27, 79–92.
- Rodríguez, J. C. (2003). Life Cycle Assessment OF Wood-Based Ethanol-Diesel Blends.
- Rusco, F.W., Walls, W. D. (2008). Biofuels, Petroleum Refining, and the Shipping of Motor Fuels. Technical Paper No. TP-08005. Unpublished Note. Institute for Advanced Policy Research. University of Calgary.

- Saaty, T. L. (1980). The analytic hierarchy process: planning, priority setting, resources allocation. *New York: McGraw*.
- Saaty, T. L. (1986). Axiomatic Foundation of the Analytic Hierarchy Process, Management Science, 32, 841-855.
- Saaty, T. L. (1994a). How to Make a Decision: The Analytic Hierarchy Process, *Interfaces*, 24, 19-43.
- Saaty, T. L. (1994b). *Fundamentals of Decision Making*, RWS Publications, Pittsburgh, PA
- Saaty, T. L. (2008). Decision making with the analytic hierarchy process. International journal of services sciences, 1(1), 83-98.
- Saaty, T. L., & Sagir, M. (2009). An essay on rank preservation and reversal. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, 49(5), 1230-1243.
- Schmidt, W. and Sullivan, J. (2002). Weighting in Life Cycle Assessments in a Global Context. *LCA Methodology*. 7, 5-10.
- Seppälä, J. (2003). Life Cycle Impact Assessment Based On Decision Analysis. Unpublished Note. Helsinki University of Technology.
- Shafiee, S., & Topal, E. (2009). When will fossil fuel reserves be diminished?. Energy policy, 37(1), 181-189.
- Sharaai, A. H., Mahmood, N. Z., & Sulaiman, A. H. (2009). Life cycle assessment (LCA) in potable water production: an analysis of green house gases emission from chemicals and electricity usage in water treatment in Malaysia. *Asian Journal of Water, Environment and Pollution*, 6(3), 27-34.
- Sharma, Y. C., Singh, B., and Upadhyay, S. N. (2011). Advancements in Development and Characterization of Biodiesel: A Review. *FUEL*. 87. 2355-2373.
- Site, P. D., and Filippi, F. (2009). Weighting Methods in Multi-Attribute Assessment of Transport Projects. *Eur. Transp. Res. Rev.* 1, 199–206.
- Spath, P. L., & Mann, M. K. (2000). Life cycle assessment of hydrogen production via natural gas steam reforming. Golden, CO: National Renewable Energy Laboratory.
- Speight, J. G. (2010). The of the future. William Andrew.

- Statistics, I. E. A. (2014). CO2 emissions from fuel combustion-highlights. IEA, Paris httphttps://www.iea.org/publications/freepublications/publication/CO2Emissi onsFromFuelCombustionHighlights2014. pdf. Cited October 2015.
- Stichnothe, H., & Schuchardt, F. (2011). Life cycle assessment of two palm oil production systems. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, *35*(9), 3976-3984.
- Stombaugh, T., Montross, M., Nokes, S., Gray, K. (2012). Butanol: The New Biofuel. *Cooperative Extension Service*, 3.
- Sumathi, S., Chai, S. P., & Mohamed, A. R. (2008). Utilization of oil palm as a source of renewable energy in Malaysia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 12(9), 2404-2421.
- Suruhanjaya Tenaga Malaysia. (2014). Peninsular Malaysia Electricity Supply
- Tan, H. T., Lee, K. T, and Mohamed, A. R. (2010). Second-Generation Bio-Ethanol (SGB) From Malaysian Palm Empty Fruit Bunch: Energy and Exergy Analyses. *Bioresource Technology*. 101, 5719-5727.
- Timilsina, G. R., and Shrestha, A. (2009). Transport Sector CO2 Emissions Growth in Asia: Underlying Factors and Policy Options. *Energy Policy*. 37, 4523– 4539.
- Triantaphyllou, E. (2001). Two new cases of rank reversals when the AHP and some of its additive variants are used that do not occur with the multiplicative AHP. *Journal of Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis*, *10*(1), 11-25.
- Tzamkiozis, T., Ntziachristos, L., & Samaras, Z. (2010). Diesel passenger car PM emissions: From Euro 1 to Euro 4 with particle filter. *Atmospheric Environment*, 44(7), 909-916.
- UNICEF, N., World Health Organization, & UNICEF. (2012). WHO (2012). *Progress on drinking water and sanitation.*
- Vidal, L. A., Marle, F., & Bocquet, J. C. (2011). Using a Delphi process and the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) to evaluate the complexity of projects. *Expert systems with applications*, 38(5), 5388-5405.
- Vidal, L., Sahin, E., Martelli, N., Berhoune, M., and Bonan, B. (2010). Applying AHP to Select Drugs to be Produced by Anticipation in a Chemotherapy Compounding Unit. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 37, 1528-1534.

- Wiloso, E. I., Heijungs, R., & de Snoo, G. R. (2012). LCA of second generation bioethanol: A review and some issues to be resolved for good LCA practice. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 16(7), 5295-5308.
- Woin, P. (1998). Short-and long-term effects of the pyrethroid insecticide fenvalerate on an invertebrate pond community. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 41(2), 137-146.
- Wooley, R., Ruth, M., Sheehan, J., Ibsen, K., Majdeski H., and Galvez, A. (1999) Lignocellulosic Biomass to Ethanol Process Design and Economics Utilizing Co-Current Dilute Acid Prehydrolysis and Enzymatic Hydrolysis Current and Futuristic Scenarios. *National Renewable Energy Laborator*. NREL/TP-580-26157.
- World Energy Outlook (2007). China and India Insight. France http://www.worldenergyoutlook.org/media/weowebsite/2008-1994/weo\_2007.pdf. Cited October 2015.
- Yee, K. F., Tan, K. T. Abdullah, A. Z., and Lee, K. T. (2009). Life Cycle Assessment Of Palm Biodiesel : Revealing Facts And Benefits For Sustainability. *Applied Energy*. 86, 5189-5196.
- Yu, R., Liu, Q., Liu, J., Wang, Q., & Wang, Y. (2016). Concentrations of organophosphorus pesticides in fresh vegetables and related human health risk assessment in Changchun, Northeast China. *Food Control*, 60, 353-360.
- Yusuf, A. A., & Francisco, H. (2009). Climate change vulnerability mapping for Southeast Asia. Economy and Environment Program for Southeast Asia (EEPSEA), Singapore, 10-15.
- Yusuf, N. N. A. N., Kamaruddin, S. K, and Yaakub, Z. (2011). Overview On the Current Trends in Biodiesel Production. *Energy Conversion and Management*. 52. 2741-2751