

**FACTORS AFFECTING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF STRATEGIC  
ASSET MANAGEMENT IN THE STATE-OWNED ELECTRICITY UTILITY  
COMPANY IN ZAMBIA.**

**CHAMA CHISHIMBA**

**UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA**

Factors Affecting the Implementation of Strategic Asset Management in the State-Owned Power Utility Company in Zambia.

CHAMA CHISHIMBA

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the  
Requirements for the award of a degree of  
Master of Asset and Facilities Management

Faculty of Geoinformation and Real Estate  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2017

To my cherished children Chama Jr. and Nelly Chishimba  
and my late parents Kaleb and Rosemary Kalaba Chishimba (RIP)

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to thank the Lord God Almighty for granting me the opportunity to pursue my Master's degree through the Directors at A+ Urban Technics: Professor Albert Malama, Dr Gerald Chungu and Mr Martin Kabwiri-who made it possible for me to advance my education; I'm grateful for the financial support and mentorship. I'll forever be indebted to you all as words cannot express my gratitude.

I would also like to thank Aunt Annie, my siblings-Mwelwa, Mwila, (The late Chabala) Mpundu, Chipampe, Mumba and Kayabwe as well as Francine for the encouragement, support and prayers.

Finally, Special recognition goes to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr Maimunah Sapri for making my post-graduate research meaningful, the course coordinator, Dr Choong Weng Wai and members of the Viva-voce panel Dr Izran Sarrazin and Dr Mohd Shahril for their positive criticism, guidance and knowledge during the preparation of this dissertation.

## ABSTRACT

Electricity plays a pivotal role in various sectors of every economy such as manufacturing, mining, telecommunication and transportation. It is also a key national resource in the provision of services in hospitals, universities and banks as well as residential areas worldwide. However, power utility companies within Africa, Zambia in particular, are facing numerous challenges related to asset failure in their generation, transmission and distribution systems due to ageing. Ultimately, this has led to power outages or load shedding, lasting 6 to 12 hours a day, in all major mining and industrial cities. In light of the foregoing, the aim of this study was to identify and rank the factors that have affected the implementation of strategic assets management in the state-owned power utility company as well as establishing the root cause of these challenges through qualitative and quantitative methods: in particular, descriptive statistics and content analysis of open-ended questions via inductive and deductive methods. The approach that was taken for this present study involved interviewing senior managers at ZESCO Ltd. Lusaka Division using structured and open-ended questionnaires to probe the respondents on what their views were in relation to asset management by asking strategic questions that focused on the key elements of asset management. In conclusion, the findings of the research revealed that *social and cultural (organisational behaviour), the dearth of clear maintenance strategies and asset lifecycle management, Lack of skilled/specialised manpower in asset management, knowledge Management /documenting critical information, lack of policy implementation, non-cost reflective tariffs, Financial (constrained funding for capital projects), Political interference and Regulatory and Statutory compliance* are the factors that have been impeding the implementation of strategic asset management in the state-owned power utility company. Further, the root causes of these factors were due to the *departmentalized* and the *highly reactive* nature of asset and maintenance management processes and procedures that are used to perform asset management. In addition, it was further revealed that lack of awareness of leadership roles, fragmented information systems and risk management played a role in impeding the implementation of strategic asset management. Also, most of the managers indicated that their training did not prepare them for asset management.

**Keywords:** *Ageing assets, factors, implementation, Strategic asset management*

## ABSTRAK

Bekalan elektrik memainkan peranan penting dalam pelbagai bahagian ekonomi seperti pembuatan, perlombongan, telekomunikasi, dan pengangkutan. Ia juga merupakan sumber utama negara dalam penyediaan perkhidmatan di hospital, universiti dan bank serta kawasan perumahan di seluruh dunia. Walau bagaimanapun, syarikat-syarikat utiliti tenaga di Afrika, Zambia khususnya, menghadapi banyak cabaran yang berkaitan dengan kegagalan aset dalam sistem penjanaan, penghantaran, dan pengagihan disebabkan oleh penuaan. Akhirnya, hal ini membawa kepada gangguan kuasa atau penyisihan beban berpanjangan dari 6 hingga 12 jam sehari di semua bandar perlombongan dan perindustrian utama. Memandangkan perkara di atas, kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengenal pasti dan menentukan faktor-faktor yang menjejaskan utiliti kuasa milik kerajaan negeri serta menentukan punca kepada cabaran-cabaran ini melalui kaedah kualitatif dan kuantitatif: khususnya, statistik deskriptif dan analisis kandungan soalan-soalan terbuka melalui kaedah induktif dan deduktif. Pendekatan yang diambil untuk kajian masa ini melibatkan temuramah pengurus kanan di ZESCO Ltd. Bahagian Lusaka dengan menggunakan soal selidik berstruktur dan terbuka untuk menyiasat responden tentang pandangan mereka berkaitan dengan pengurusan aset dengan bertanyakan soalan strategik yang memberi tumpuan kepada perkara utama dalam pengurusan aset. Kesimpulannya, dapatan kajian mendedahkan bahawa *sosial dan kebudayaan (tabiat organisasi), kekurangan strategi penyelenggaraan yang jelas dan pengurusan kitar hayat aset, kurangnya tenaga kerja mahir/khusus dalam pengurusan aset, pengurusan ilmu /pendokumenan maklumat kritikal, kurangnya pelaksanaan dasar, tarif tidak menggambarkan bukan kos, Kewangan (kekangan dana untuk projek modal), Campur tangan politik; dan pematuhan Kawal Selia dan Berkanun* adalah faktor-faktor yang menghalang pelaksanaan pengurusan aset strategik dalam utiliti kuasa milik kerajaan negeri. Selanjutnya, punca faktor-faktor ini adalah disebabkan oleh proses pengurusan aset dan penyelenggaraan dan prosedur yang digunakan untuk melaksanakan pengurusan aset yang bersifat *berjabatan* dan *sangat reaktif*. Di samping itu, ia juga mendedahkan bahawa kekurangan kesedaran tentang peranan kepimpinan dan sistem maklumat dan pengurusan risiko yang berpecah-belah. Juga, kebanyakan pengurus menunjukkan latihan mereka tidak menyediakan mereka untuk pengurusan aset.

**Kata kunci:** *Penuaan aset, faktor-faktor, pelaksanaan, Pengurusan aset strategik*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>TITLES</b>	<b>PAGES</b>
	<b>SUPERVISOR DECLARATION</b>	i
	<b>TITLE</b>	ii
	<b>AUTHOR DECLARATION</b>	iii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iv
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	vi
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vii
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	viii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xv
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xvi
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xviii
<b>1</b>	<b>RESEARCH BACKGROUND</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Background	2
	1.3 Problem Statement	8
	1.4 Research Question	11
	1.5 Research Objectives	12
	1.6 Scope and Limitations	12
	1.6.1 Scope	12
	1.6.2 Limitations	13
	1.7 Significance of the Study	13
	1.8 Organisation of the dissertation	14

<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>16</b>
	2.1 Introduction	16
	2.2 Ageing Utility Assets – A Global Problem	16
	2.3 Ageing Systems in Zambia	20
	2.4 Definitions of a Physical Asset	22
	2.5 Types of Asset	24
	2.6 Asset Life Cycle	27
	2.7 Defining Asset Management – The Variants and Misconceptions	31
	2.8 Challenges in Asset Management	37
	2.9 Specific Factors and Drivers for Strategic Asset Management Implementation	39
	2.10 Fundamentals and Concepts of Asset Management	40
	2.10.1 Fundamentals	40
	2.10.1.1 Value	40
	2.10.1 .2 Alignment	40
	2.10.1 .3 Leadership	42
	2.10.1 .4 Assurance	43
	2.11 Concepts	44
	2.11.1 Risk, Cost and Performance	44
	2.11.1.2 Risk	45
	2.11.1.3 Cost	45
	2.11.1.4 Performance	46
	2.12 Decision-Making Criteria	47
	2.13 Benefits of Good Asset Management	47
	2.14 Leading Asset Management Practices	48
	2.15 General Asset Management Practices	49
	2.16 Asset Management Standards	51
	2.16.1.1 The Publicly Available Specification - PA55 Part-1 and 2	51
	2.16.1.2 Asset Management Principles According to the PAS 55	52



2.16.1.3	The Asset Management System	55
2.16.1.4	Elements of an Asset Management System	56
2.16.2	The ISO 55000X Series of Asset Management Standards	59
2.16.2.1	ISO 55000	60
2.16.2.2	ISO 55001	61
2.16.2.3	ISO 55002	65
2.17	Planning And Implementation of Asset Management	65
2.18	Summary	69
<b>3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>72</b>
3.1	Introduction	72
3.2	Research Design	75
3.3	Literature Review	76
3.4	Research Population and Justification	77
3.5	Justification	78
3.6	Sampling Design	81
3.6.1	Sample Size	81
3.7	Respondents	83
3.8	Interviews	87
3.9	Types and Sources of Data	88
3.9.1	Primary Data	88
3.9.2	The Questionnaire Survey	88
3.9.3	Secondary Data	91
3.10	Conclusion	92
<b>4</b>	<b>RESEARCH ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS</b>	<b>93</b>
4.1	Introduction	93
4.2	Case Description	93

4.3	Research Technique and Respondents	95
4.4	Initial Interviews and Pilot Survey	96
4.5	Section A: Respondents' Background	99
4.5.1	Respondents' post	99
4.5.2	Departments	100
4.5.3	The Level of Education	101
4.5.4	Specialty	102
4.5.5	Past experience in physical asset management or property management?	103
4.5.6	Years of experience in managing/ operating assets	104
4.5.7	Role related to asset management	105
4.5.8	Which asset category are you responsible for?	107
4.6	Section B- Factors Affecting The Implementation of SAM	107
4.6.1.1	What is your understanding of asset management?	111
4.6.1.2	External and internal factors	116
4.6.1.3	Certification to any asset management related standards	119
4.6.1.4	Specific job title for an Asset Director / Manager	121
4.6.1.5	Policy specifically meant for asset management	122
4.6.1.6	Asset management enablers and controls	125
4.6.1.7	Continuous demand and supply analysis	126
4.6.1.8	The 20-year planning horizon for long-term policies	128
4.6.2	Do questions	129
4.6.2.1	Risk register or risk management	

system	131
4.6.2.2 Implementing strategic asset management plans (SAMP)	132
4.6.2.3 Do you consider the training you underwent sufficient for your job scope in relation to asset management?	134
4.6.2.4 Are there plans for you to undergo training in any strategic asset management related short courses in the near future?	135
4.6.2.5 Training/Staff Development Programmes/Continuing Professional Development	136
4.6.3 Check Questions	138
4.6.3.1 Assessment of Asset Management	140
4.6.3.2 Asset Manual That Is Continuously Updated	141
4.6.3.3 Internal Service Level Agreements (SLA)	142
4.6.3.4 Integrated Technology Asset Management Solutions/Asset Registers	144
4.6.3.5 Reliability of Current Asset Register	145
4.6.4 Act-Questions	147
4.6.4.1 Monitoring and Measuring Asset and Asset Management Performance	149
4.6.4.2 How would you describe the asset and maintenance management practices in your	

	organisation?	150
	4.6.4.3 Current standards/ requirement/ specifications ZESCO implemented and certified to?	152
	4.6.4.4 Are the standards being used in asset management fit for purpose	153
	4.6.4.5 Are you aware of PAS 55 1 and 2:2008 specifications for the optimised management of physical assets?	155
	4.6.4.6 Are you aware that ISO 55000/1/2:2014 suite of standards for asset management	156
	4.6.4.7 Any Benefits to your asset management practices once ISO 55000/1/2:2014 for asset management is implemented in ZESCO?	157
	4.7 Conclusion	158
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>161</b>
	5.1 Introduction	161
	5.2 Identifying and Ranking The Factors Affecting The Implementation of Strategic Asset Management in ZESCO Ltd. –Objective One and Two	164
	5.3 The Root Causes of Factors Impacting on The Implementation of SAM in ZESCO Ltd – Objective Three	167
	5.3.1 Context of The Organisation	168
	5.3.2 Leadership	169
	5.3.3 Planning	169

5.3.4 Support	171
5.3.4.1 Competence	171
5.3.4.2 Awareness	171
5.3.4.3 Communication, Information Requirements and Documented Information	172
5.3.5 Performance Evaluation	173
5.3.6 Improvement	174
5.4 Conclusion	175
5.5 Recommendation	177
5.6 Future Research	178
<b>REFERENCES/BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	180
Appendix A : Permission to Conduct Research	185
Appendix B : Sample Questionnaire and Numerical Coding	187
Appendix C : Content Analysis Coding	198
Appendix D : Survey Monkey- Survey Summary Page	202

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLES</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Definitions of the asset management found in literature	33
2.2	ISO 55001: 2014 Section	62
3.1	List of departments and respondents	82
3.2	Departments and their role in asset management	83
3.3	Structure of the survey instrument and reasons	90
4.1	Representation of survey respondents from the 7 departments	101
4.2	Types of assets respondents are responsible for	107
4.3	Plan Questions Frequency Table	108
4.4	Themes and content analysis table	114
4.5	Do Questions Frequency Table	130
4.6	Check Questions Frequency Table	138
4.7	Act Questions Frequency Table	147

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES NO.	TITLES	PAGE
2.1	Focus and business context of this PAS in relation to the other categories of assets	25
2.2	Variations in the description of asset life cycle stages	28
2.3	Asset life cycle stages according to Hastings (2014)	29
2.4	The Eight phases of the asset lifecycle (Adapted from Lutchman 2006)	30
2.5	Key principles and attributes of asset management	53
2.6	Levels of assets and their management	55
2.7	PDCA Cycle of the PAS 55	57
2.8	Elements of an asset management system	58
2.9	Asset Management and the Asset Management System (Source: IIMM 2014)	61
2.10	Planning and implementation elements of an AMS (Adapted from PAS 55-1:2008)	66
3.1	Research Methodology flow chart	74
3.2	Asset management—a matrix function using the ZESCO Ltd. corporate structure (modified from Hastings (2014)).	78
3.3	The start and communication of asset management in an organisation (Source: Author)	81
3.4	Survey instrument framework using the PDCA cycle	89
4.1	Respondent's Post	100
4.2	Level of Education	102
4.3	Respondent's Specialisation	103
4.4	Respondent's Experience	104

4.5	Years of experience	105
4.6	Role in relation to asset management	106
4.7	Proportion of Senior Managers who selected the factors against those who didn't select any.	118
4.8	Factors Affecting the Implementation of SAM	119
4.9	Asset Management Certification	120
4.10	Specific Job Title for Asset Management	122
4.11	Asset Management Policy	123
4.12	Asset Management Enablers and Controls	126
4.13	Demand and Supply Analysis	127
4.14	20 Year Planning Horizon	128
4.15	Risk Register and Risk Management System	132
4.16	Familiarity with Implementing the SAMP	133
4.17	Sufficiency of Training in Relation to Asset Management	135
4.18	Plans to Undergo Asset Management Training	136
4.19	ZESCO Staff Training	137
4.20	Assessment of Asset Management	140
4.21	Asset Management Manual	142
4.22	Service Level Agreements	143
4.23	Integrated technology asset register	144
4.24	Is the Current Asset Register Able to Return Critical Information	146
4.25	Asset Management Review	159
4.26	Asset and Maintenance Management Practices	151
4.27	Current Asset Management Related Standards	152
4.28	Strategic alignment of current standards	154
4.29	Awareness of PAS 55	155
4.30	Awareness of ISO 55000/1/2: 2014	156
4.31	Benefits and Improvements to Asset Management Practices	157



**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

AAMC	-	Australian Asset Management Council
AM	-	Asset Management
AMS	-	Asset Management System
BSI	-	British Standards Institution
ERB	-	Energy Regulation Report
ESI	-	Electricity Supply Industry
ESR	-	Energy Sector Report
ISO	-	International Organization for Standardization
OHSAS	-	Occupational Health and Safety Assessment Series
PAS	-	Publicly Available Specification
PDCA	-	Plan-Do-Check-Act Management System
SAM	-	Strategic Asset Management
SAMP	-	Strategic Asset Management Plan
SLA	-	Service Level Agreement
SSA	-	Sub-Saharan Africa
ZESCO	-	Zambia Electricity Supply Corporation

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLES</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Permission to Conduct Research	186
B	Sample Questionnaire with Numerical Coding	188
C	Content analysis coding	198
D	Survey Monkey-Survey Summary Page	202

# CHAPTER 1

## RESEARCH BACKGROUND

### 1.1 Introduction

Physical assets, particularly those used in the generation, transmission and distribution of electricity perform a vital role in the power utility sector and assist companies in achieving their organisational strategic plans and objectives (ISO 55000, 2014, BSI PAS 55, 2008; [assetmanagementstandards.com](http://assetmanagementstandards.com), 2017). Additionally, these assets are critical in providing reliable services to the various sectors of any nation in the world for economic advancement, prosperity and the general well-being of citizens (ISO 55000, 2014, Lloyd 2010; Crisp, 2004). Furthermore, assets are created or acquired to offer value to both the owners and stakeholders. Consequently, their condition has a bearing on both organisational and national activities. (ISO 55000, 2014; Hastings, 2014; Lloyd 2010; Smit, 2011; Kapika and Eberhard, 2013; Hastings, 2014).

This present research aims to discuss ageing assets in the power utility sector and how the poor performance of these assets has resulted in economic, environmental and social consequences the world over. For instance, in Zambia, the issue of ageing infrastructure assets has resulted in a number of system failures causing national blackouts and power rationing that last for more than 8 hours in a day (ESR, 2015, Zulu, 2015; Smit 2011; Sing'andu, 2009; Parliamentary Committee, 2014/15; KPMG, 2016).

Although Zulu (2015), specifically elaborated that “ageing transmission and distribution facilities have suffered from inadequate operations and maintenance leading

to high transmission and distribution losses thereby affecting the quality of electricity supply” and several other factors that have been identified by institutes and researchers such as Smit (2011), IEC (2015) and Eberhard (2015) who cites reasons attributed to lack of investment in infrastructure assets due to non-cost reflective tariffs, the dearth of skilled personnel, poor infrastructure asset maintenance strategies etc. (Smit, 2011; IEC, 2015; Eberhard, 2015; Kapika and Eberhard, 2013). From a general point of view, the foregoing factors can be regarded as symptoms of other underlying issues (Majeed *et al.*, 2010), and this research will, therefore, attempt to identify the root cause of the bottlenecks surrounding ageing assets in the electricity supply industry.

## 1.2 Background

A number of power utility companies around the world are facing a myriad of difficulties relating to ageing assets particularly those used in the generation, transmission and distribution of electricity (IEC, 2015) and several nation-wide power outages the world over have been attributed to system failures due to obsolete and ageing assets in the production line (IEC, 2015; Parliamentary Committee Report, 2014; Majeed, *et al.*, 2010; Der Lei and Herder 2012; Lutchman, 2006).

The following excerpt from a paper by the International Electrotechnical Commission (2015)-“Strategic asset management of power networks” elaborates that-

“Power networks in developed nations are struggling with [ageing assets], whilst those in developing nations wrestle with trying to identify best-practice [to implement]. Compounding these challenges, there is ever-increasing regulatory and funding pressure being placed on electricity network.

Amidst these challenges, there is great variation around the world on how electricity network companies approach what are arguably their number one challenge –

the design, maintenance and operation of a large network of electrical equipment. Network companies often take quite different approaches in testing equipment, calculating the lifetime and costs of various equipment maintenance options, and even reporting on the performance of their [asset] system. The variety here is hardly intentional – it stems from a lack of internationally accepted global standards or guidelines on how to practice asset management in the electricity network sector.

This lack of international standards or guidelines on asset management for electrical networks [has had a] significant impact on the reliability and future viability of the electricity sector” (IEC, 2015).

The electricity sub-sector is going through a phase in which it is experiencing significant change due to technological, technical, social, economic and environmental developments, and the management of assets is at the top of the list of challenges for several utility enterprises around the world. (IEC, 2015; Khuntia et. al, 2016, PWC 2014; Der Lei and Herder 2012). Al-Mehairi and Al-Batayneh (2014) state that problems attributed to old assets and the increase in asset system failures are two of the most arguable and debatable topics in the power utility industry (Al-Mehairi and Al-Batayneh, 2014; Crisp 2004; Majeed *et al*, 2010; Der Lei and Herder 2012).

On the other hand, William *et al.*, (2017), holds that ageing assets can cause a number of risks– failure to deliver quality service to customers and meeting regulatory compliance. They point out that the impact and consequences are a great burden to most power companies in the electric sector. They add that in America, assets had poor compliance ratings from the American Society of Civil Engineers and a study on Strategic Directions in the Power Sector conducted by Black & Veatch (2016) indicated ageing infrastructure assets as the number one issue among electricity companies.(bv.com, 2017; Der Lei and Herder 2012).

www.bv.com (2017) further contends that despite the realisation of threats associated with old infrastructure assets, the main challenge has been the assessment process and finding credible ways that power utility companies can employ to assess the threats and rank approaches for implementing asset management ([www.bv.com](http://www.bv.com), 2017).

William *et al.*, (2017), and Hastings (2014) both suggest that the answer to the assessment problem lies in formal asset management and that it's a decisive tool for recognising, assessing and highlighting issues in the management of assets (William *et al.*, 2017; Hastings 2014). Although a number of power utility companies implement certain elements of the asset management concept- very few have established an asset management system that actually works (William *et al.* 2017). Lutchman, (2006) argued that infrastructure asset management has grown in popularity- however, the concept is grossly misunderstood (Lutchman, 2006). Hastings (2010) further adds that asset management is mistakenly understood as the care and maintenance of assets (Hastings, 2010).

According to Khuntia et.al (2016) "In the transforming electric power industry, power system reliability is of primary concern because the pressure to deliver quality services places tremendous stress on transmission and distribution assets, and this gave birth to asset management. Asset Management is classified as an important activity in present day transmission and distribution system planning and operation". Brown (2017) alludes to the fact that over and above any other problem; ageing assets demonstrates the potential of asset management to tackle acute challenges being encountered in the electricity sector (Brown, 2017).

The reorganisation of the industry has led a number of electricity companies to pay attention to the ageing assets and the concept of strategic asset management has become one of the focus areas to increase effectiveness and reliability as a strategy to maintain old assets. It has been noted that power utility companies are justifiably on the lookout for solutions to get the most out of their ageing equipment and at the same time

maintaining the standards in terms of reliability and quality of service (Majeed et. al. 2010).

Lloyd (2012), contends that: globally, asset-intensive enterprises and many other entities that by and large depend on the efficiency and effectiveness of infrastructure assets for achieving organisational goals have collectively identified the need to be more strategic and efficient in the various approaches in which they manage their infrastructure. Asset management provides them and other interested parties with a coherent set of ideologies for determining organisational objectives and establishing the worth of the enterprise in the long term (Lloyd, 2012; Brown, 2017).

Despite the realisation of the importance of asset management in the industry, surveys conducted by the International Electrotechnical Commission (2015) still identified four (4) key asset management challenges in the utility sector that are ubiquitous in most parts of the world. These challenges are:

- *A lot of equipment constructed [more than 50 years] remains in service and will soon be operating beyond its designed life.* Brown (2017) and Majeed et al., (2010) corroborate this by stating that most of the equipment in the power utility sector has been in service for more than two decades (Brown, 2017; Majeed, et al., 2010)
- *Even if the older equipment is performing well, obtaining technical support or spare parts for equipment designed and manufactured decades ago can be very difficult.* The electricalreview.co.uk (2015) explains that this challenge has grown further due to most manufacturers withdrawing support for old assets that still perform critical roles in the network system. (electricalreview.co.uk, 2015)
- *In many cases, at the current rate of replacement, it would take several hundred years to fully replace existing old equipment.* Pell et al., (2015), attests to this by stating that there is poor asset planning and demand analysis in most companies (Pell et al., 2015).

- *Because of a large amount of old equipment, there is a significant risk of multiple failures occurring simultaneously, more than many electricity network businesses would be equipped to handle. (IEC, 2015)*

The difficulties listed above present major risks with regards to the quality and reliability of electricity supply. Therefore, economic choices on how to move forward with the upgrades and maintenance of infrastructure assets continue to stand out as significant challenges for most electricity companies. (IEC, 2015; Pell *et al.*, 2015).

Hastings (2014), mentions that “significant amounts of money and time are spent on managing business-critical assets each year, yet there is still confusion over terminology, and a wide variety of management approaches are in use. In many cases, these approaches serve asset management needs well, but often they do not sometimes result in high-profile failures” (Hastings 2014).

Regrettably, the IEC, (2015) also reported that because of variations in methodologies, terminology and measurements, the practice of asset management pertaining to ageing infrastructure the world over differs significantly; by and large, power utility managers are left to decide themselves how to continue, with little direction from the more extensive industry. When researching the current asset management practices in different utility firms the following crucial asset management challenges were highlighted:

- There is a lack of global specifications on maintenance of old assets;
- The dearth of uniformity in asset refurbishment criteria across industry;
- Difficulties in finding competent people with the right talent and training to manage ageing equipment. Smit (2011), attests to such industry issues.
- The management of old infrastructure assets
- Prioritising investment decisions (IEC, 2015).



As is the case globally, Zambia has not been spared from industry challenges concerning ageing assets and difficulties in asset management. A number of factors relating to poor asset management have been identified in reports prepared by the Energy Regulation Board and other research papers and these factors have been attributed to, but not limited to the following:

- Lack of investment in new infrastructure assets (Zulu 2015; Kapika and Eberhard, 2013);
- Poor funding and prioritisation in the generation, transmission and distribution assets (Zulu, 2015; Eberhard 2015);
- Non-cost reflective tariffs which have had an impact on the financial capabilities of the utility company (ESR, 2015; Zulu, 2015; Sing'andu, 2009; Smit, 2011; Kapika and Eberhard, 2013);
- Availability and transportation of spares (Smit, 2011);
- Human resource productivity and poor maintenance and operation regimes (Zulu, 2015; ESR, 2014, Kapika and Eberhard, 2013).
- Climatic conditions causing assets to deteriorate at a faster rate (Smit, 2011);
- Poor financial management (ESR, 2015) and;
- A lack of experienced personnel or poor management practices (Smit, 2011; Kapika and Eberhard, 2013).

However, in the Journal AWWA (2012) it has been pointed out that companies that have implemented a proactive asset management system have noted that “such programs cost-effectively reduce the risk of failure associated with ageing assets and provide value for both the utility company and the community” (AWWA, 2012). Nguyen and Seow (2016), ISO 55000 (2014) and [assetmanagementstandards.com](http://assetmanagementstandards.com) (2017) collectively agree that asset management provides a valuable framework for the power sector by balancing cost, performance and risks (Nguyen and Seow 2016). A PWC power utility roundtable discussion paper (2014) further explains that:

“Many senior executives believe that there is a lot the electricity industry can do to meet these challenges. Most believe they will achieve improvements through activities that are underpinned by the emerging discipline of [strategic] asset management- it is a key foundation for the risk mitigation and improves safety, helping to prioritise which assets are the most in need of attention.

Executives have shared how implementing a robust asset management framework, leveraging PAS 55 and the ISO 55000X series of standards for asset management has positioned their organisations on a journey of excellence. However, as much of the discussions confirmed, making asset management central to how a company operates calls for cultural buy-in and firm leadership from [top management]” (PWC, 2014).

In conclusion of the study background, research conducted by Reliabilityweb.com was done *to determine the status of asset management initiatives worldwide* (2014). More than 1000 firms responded to the study. The research reported that 61% of the participants said that:

“The fundamental reasons to invest in asset management vary, but increased system reliability—the ability of an item to perform a required function under given conditions for a given time interval—stands out as the primary reason with more than three-quarters of asset managers. Not surprising (...) a clear majority of organisations (...) cited (...) ageing assets/infrastructure as [one of the] top three reason to [implement] asset management”. (Reliabilityweb.com Research Report, 2014).

### **1.3 Problem Statement**

The generation transmission and distribution assets of any power utility company are regarded as the most critical, playing a vital role in providing electricity used in

various divisions of the economy such as manufacturing, mining, telecommunication and transportation as well as the wellbeing of citizens (Institute of Asset Management 2015, BSI PAS 55 2008). As an asset system, they are undeniably a key national resource in the provision of the services in hospitals, universities, financial institutions and domestic entities (ESR 2015; Policy Monitoring and Research Centre 2014; usaid.gov 2017; BSI PAS 55, 2008).

However, as discussed in the research background, a number of power utility companies around the world are facing numerous challenges relating to system failures attributed to obsolete and ageing assets in the supply chain. For instance, in Zambia, the Parliamentary Committee on Economic Affairs and Labour (2015) received submissions from stakeholders regarding challenges affecting the electricity sub-sector and it was reported in part that:

“Most of Zambia’s electricity distribution (assets) are aged. The cables, overhead lines and switchgear were installed over forty years ago. The distribution network had reached its limits and equipment failures were common, resulting in the deterioration of quality and reliability of supply” (Parliamentary Committee Report, 2014/2015).

In the same report, it was also noted that:

“The country’s transmission grid was said to be constrained and its efficiency compromised (...) The transmission network (...) required upgrade, reinforcement and expansion in order to improve performance and to carry the load from new generation projects” (Parliamentary Committee Report, 2014/2015).

Ideally, in order to deal with the problems that were pointed out in the parliamentary report, it is important that the root causes of the factors that led to the current situation are properly identified in order to find appropriate solutions.

Notwithstanding all these challenges in the electricity sector in Zambia, an online search reveals that there is little to no literature on studies that have been conducted with regards to ageing assets challenges in the country and it remains indistinct as to what the underlying problems or casual chains of the matter are to date.

Although there are measures that have been put in place to rectify the system failures associated with ageing assets such as the rehabilitation and upgrade projects that are taking place across the country, the same issues will arise in future if the factors surrounding the ageing of assets are not identified and ranked according to criticality.

Implications of failure to manage assets throughout their life cycle have been documented, but not limited to the ones below:

- Reactive work environment and high operational and maintenance costs associated with assets (BSI-PAS 55, 2008; Lloyd 2010; Hastings 2014)
- Missed opportunities because of capacity performance issues (BSI-PAS 55, 2008; ISO 55000, 2014)
- Large asset infrastructure condition deficit (Lutchman, 2006)
- Increase in safety and health-related problems (Lutchman, 2006; Hastings 2014)
- System failures resulting in severe consequences (PWC, 2014; BS-PAS 55, 2008; Hastings 2014)
- Financial losses (Hastings, 2014; Kapika and Eberhard 2013)

In light of the issues raised regarding ageing assets, it is intuitively logical to relate the challenges in the Zambia Electricity Supply Industry (ESI) to those being encountered globally even in the most developed countries. The bottlenecks are undeniably associated with lapses in the implementation of asset management more specifically due lack of policies, poor strategic planning, demand forecasting and management of generation, transmission and distribution assets which can be described

as siloed or departmentalized. Kapika and Eberhard (2013) state that planning for asset renewal should have a time-horizon of not less than 15 years. (Kapika and Eberhard, 2013).

Therefore, this present study aims to first, identify the root cause of the factors apropos ageing assets and secondly, to rank these hold-ups in the implementation of strategic asset management in the power utility sector for prioritisation purposes.

***NOTE: It is important to note that not all system failures and consequent outages that cause national blackouts in Zambia are as a result of challenges due to ageing assets. Other factors such as climate change etc. which had an impact on the rain patterns in the region over the past 3 years, causing low water levels at major hydropower stations, are out of the scope of this study but will be discussed in the context of constraints and risk management as part of the strategic asset management concept.***

#### **1.4. Research Question**

1. What are the factors that have led to ageing assets resulting in power outages and reliability issues?
2. To what extent has the power utility sector (ZESCO Ltd) implemented strategic asset management?

## **1.5 Research Objectives**

The research objectives for this present research are as follows:

1. To identify the factors affecting the implementation of strategic asset management (SAM) in relation to ageing assets.
2. To rank the factors based on frequency from highest to lowest.
3. To establish the root cause of factors impacting on the implementation of strategic asset management in ZESCO Ltd.

## **1.6 Scope and Limitations**

Challenges pertaining to ageing assets are twofold. First, there is the technical facet relating to technology, mechanical and electrical issues such as obsolescence of equipment and spares and second, the strategic management side to these assets which is concerned with the holistic planning, acquisition, deployment, operation and subsequent disposal in order to meet strategic organisational goals. This study was focused on the management aspect only and all technical details of ageing assets were not part of the scope. Nevertheless, there are intersection points that were briefly discussed in relation to documenting information such as the age, having clear maintenance strategies throughout the lifecycle of each asset or asset systems, condition and environment risks which play a vital role in the management processes of these critical assets.

### **1.6.1 Scope**

Challenges pertaining to ageing assets are twofold. First, there is the technical facet relating to technology, mechanical and electrical issues such as obsolescence of equipment and availability of spares and second, the strategic management side to these

assets which is concerned with the holistic planning, acquisition, deployment, operation and subsequent disposal in order to meet strategic organisational goals. This study was focused on the management aspect only and all technical details of ageing assets were not part of the scope. Nevertheless, there are intersection points that were briefly discussed in relation to documenting information such as the age, having clear maintenance strategies throughout the lifecycle of each asset or asset systems, condition and environment risks which play a vital role in the management processes of these critical assets.

### **1.6.2: Limitations**

According to Brown (2017), Asset management is ambitious in scope and requires supporting metrics, organisational design, processes, information systems, and corporate culture (Brown, 2017). Due to time and financial resource factors, the limitations of this study are that:

- It only focuses on factors that affect the implementation of strategic asset management at the ZESCO head office and does not include other parts of the organisations.
- External stakeholders such as The Ministry of Energy and Water Development, Energy Regulation Board and Zambia Bureau of Standards have not be included as respondents in this study.

### **1.7 Significance of the Study**

It is undeniable that ageing assets have become a major challenge in most power utility companies and the systems failures that are rife in a number of electricity organisations have been associated with old infrastructure assets. These failures in the

generation, transmission and distribution assets have resulted in serious impacts and catastrophic consequences as discoursed in the project background and problem statement. To this end, the significance of this research project is that it will help to identify factors that have led to the ageing of electricity infrastructure assets, and ranking them accordingly as well as establishing the root causes of these factors in order to improve planning processes in the future.

Additionally, the research will assist in identifying existing capabilities, competencies and changes that need to be instituted in formulating an asset management system that can effectively support the management of assets throughout their economic life. Furthermore, this present study will be instrumental in establishing tangible targets and inculcating a culture of life cycle management of assets i.e. optimised planning, asset selection, acquisition/development, utilisation, care (maintenance) and ultimate disposal in a timely manner leading to certification in ISO 55000 series of asset management standards.

## **1.8 Organisation of the Dissertation**

This Thesis is made up of five (5) chapters. In chapter one, the research background is given followed by the research problem, research objectives, research questions, the scope, limitation and significance of the study.

The second chapter provides a detailed literature review with a focus on ageing assets from the management point of view, the types of assets, the myriad theories on the asset management concepts and misconception, elements of the asset management system and lastly, the asset management standards.



Chapter three provides the research methodology, justification and framework adapted to conduct the research. The chapter further gives a detailed breakdown of the survey instrument and the rationale behind the questions.

The fourth chapter covers the data analysis of the responses as well as findings and the various methods used to collect the data. The analysis also shows how the responses were triangulated through discussions with key informants for the purpose reliability.

Finally, the fifth chapter draws conclusions from the work carried out in the previous chapters and discourses the findings through synthesis processes with requirements of the assets management standards and general literature by following the framework designed for the research. The closing chapter further gives a recap of the research problem statement, answers the questions as well as indicating how the research objectives were made. In summation, this thesis provides recommendations based on the findings.

- Long-term strategic planning and risk management systems models that can help in assessing the impact of system failures on an organisations goals and objectives.
- Collective frameworks that employ quality, risk and human resources performance management around the lifecycle of critical assets for continuous asset management improvement.
- Development of benchmarking models in strategic asset management models with a focus on how to manage ageing infrastructure assets in the wake of financial constraints.

## REFERENCES/ BIBLIOGRAPHY

- AAMCOG, (2012). *Guide to Integrated Strategic Asset Management*. Version 2.0. ISBN: 978-0-9874699-2-2 (e-book). Brisbane, Australia. [www.apcc.gov.au](http://www.apcc.gov.au)  
Retrieved on 30th April 2017.
- Al-Batayneh, S, M., & Al-Mehairi, M. S. (2014) *Best Practices of Distribution Networks Asset Management in view of PAS55 Framework*. Dubai Electricity & Water Authority (DEWA), Asset Management Department.
- Asset Management Practices, *Investments and Challenges*. (2014). [www.reliabilityweb.com](http://www.reliabilityweb.com). Retrieved on 17th May 2017.
- AWWA (2012). *Asset Management Guidance and Best Practices*. [www.nj.gov/dep/](http://www.nj.gov/dep/)
- Balzer, G., & Schorn, C. (2015). *Asset Management for Infrastructure Systems: Energy and Water* (p. 345). Springer
- Chambers, J., Hardwick, J., Kohler, P., Nugent, S., & Watts, K. (2014). *Framework for Asset Management*.
- Coelho, M., Ratnoo, V., & Dellepiane, S. (2014). *The Political Economy of Infrastructure in the UK About the authors*. Retrieved from <https://www.instituteforgovernment.org.uk/>
- Deloitte. (2015). *Asset Management : A Risk-Based Approach Energy & Resources Benchmark Survey*. London
- Eberhard, A. (2015). *Powering Africa: Facing the Financing and Reform Challenges*. AFD Research Paper Series, No. 2016-21, February. <http://dx.doi.org/xxxxx>
- Electrical review (2013) *risk-management-of-ageing-assets*. <http://www.electricalreview.co.uk/features/9684-ERB>. 2014. *Energy*

*Sector Report. Lusaka.* Retrieved, 2017 from <http://www.erb.org.zm/reports/EnergySectorReport2014.pdf>.

Fogel, G., & Stimie, J. (2016). *Controlling the silent killers of Strategic Asset Management.* Uptime magazine.

Ge, H., Asgarpoor, S., & Hou, J. (2011). *Ageing equipment maintainability assessment for management of critical utility assets.* IEEE Power and Energy Society General Meeting, 1–7. <http://doi.org/10.1109/PES.2011.6039217>

Black & Veatch (2017) <https://www.bv.com/Home/news/solutions/security-and-risk-management/risks-of-aging-infrastructure>.

<https://www.assetivity.com.au/consulting/asset-management.html>

IEC. (2015). *Strategic Asset Management of Power Networks. White Paper*, 1–84.

Independent Transport Safety Regulation (2012) *Sharing practice: A discussion on the relationship between risk asset management.* Sydney, Australia.

International Organization for Standardization (2014) *ISO 55001-Asset Management : Management Systems Requirements.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7908-2720-0-12>

International Organization for Standardization (2014). *ISO 55000-Asset Management: Overview, principles and terminology.* [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7908-2720-0\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-7908-2720-0_12)

Institute of Public Works Engineering Australia (IPWEA), (2014). [www.ipwea.org/bookshop](http://www.ipwea.org/bookshop). *International Infrastructure Management Manual. Quick Guide: Meeting ISO 55001 Requirements for Asset Management: Using the International Infrastructure Management Manual (IIMM).*

- Kapika, J., & Eberhard, A. A. (2013). *Power sector reform and regulation in Africa: lessons from Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia, Namibia and Ghana*. Cape Town, South Africa: HSRC Press.
- KPMG. (2016). *Sub-Saharan Africa Power Outlook*. KPMG Africa Infrastructure.
- Khuntia, S. R., José L. R., & Meijden, M. (2016). A Literature Survey on Asset Management in Electrical Power [Transmission and Distribution] System. [www.researchgate.net/publication/291148616](http://www.researchgate.net/publication/291148616). Retrieved on 5<sup>th</sup> May 2017.
- Lloyd, C. (2010). *Asset management: whole-life management of physical assets*. London: Thomas Telford.
- Lutchman, R. (2006). *Sustainable Asset Management. Linking assets, people, and processes for results*. Lancaster, U.S.A.
- Lei, Telli Van der, P. M. Herder, and Ype Wijnia. *Asset management: the state of the art in Europe from a life cycle perspective*. Dordrecht: Springer, 2012. Print.
- Maheshwari A. (2006) *Development of a Strategic Asset Management Framework. Engineering Asset Management*. Springer, London.
- Majeed, J.A., Osman, H., & Ghosh, P. (2010) *Managing ageing assets*. CIRED Workshop, lyon.
- National Assembly of Zambia (2014). *Report Of The Committee On Economic Affairs, Energy And Labour For The Fourth Session Of The Eleventh National Assembly Appointed On Tuesday, 23rd September 2014*. (Unpublished). National Assembly of Zambia
- Pell, R., Svoboda, R., Eagar, R., Ondko, P., & Kirschnick, F. (2015). *Effective Infrastructure Asset Management*. Arthur Little, 2(Prism), 16.

- Policy Monitoring and Research Centre (2015). *Load shedding infographic*.  
<http://www.pmrczambia.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/08/Loadshedding-Infographic.pdf>.
- PWC (2014). *Powering your journey to success*, 5,6.
- Saunders, M, Lewis, P., and Thornhill, A. (2007). *Research Methods for Business Students*. Financial Times/Prentice Hall.
- Seow, K., Nguyen, L.,K., Tan, K., & Oeveren, K., V. (2016). *Moving Towards Reliability-Centred Management of Energy, Power and Transportation Assets* (ACEPT 2016).  
<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?arnumber=7811520>. Retrieved on 1<sup>st</sup> May 2017.
- Smit, A. (2011). *Improving Power Infrastructure in Sub-Saharan Africa*. Master's degree thesis.
- Sharif M, A.-B., & Matar S, A.-M. (2014). *Best Practices of Distribution Networks Asset Management in view of PAS55 Framework*. IEEE Power and Energy Magazine, 1–7.
- Sing'andu, N. (2009). *An assessment of the impact of ZESCO power rationing on firm productivity and profitability. A case of selected Lusaka based manufacturing firms*. Master's degree thesis. Copperbelt University, Kitwe.
- Then, D. S., & Hee, T. T. (2013). *Facilities management and the business of managing assets*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- The Institute of Asset Management. (2015a). *Asset Management – An Anatomy*. *Asset Management 2015*, 1–68. <http://doi.org/978-1-908891-00-6>
- The Institute of Asset Management and British Standards Institution, (2008). *Asset*

management part 1: Specification for the optimised management of physical assets. PAS 55-1), British Standards Institute.

USAID.(2017).Power Africa in Zambia.

<https://www.usaid.gov/powerafrica/zambia>

ZESCO. (2016). The Property Action plan. (Unpublished) Lusaka Division

Zulu, E. (2015). *The influence of human resource and electricity tariffs on operation and maintenance and its effect on the quality of electricity supply in Zambia: A case of Zesco Lusaka division*. Master's degree. Erasmus University Rotterdam.