

A KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT
SYSTEM FOR SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES

LEE CHENG SHENG

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the Degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Mechanical Engineering)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2017

Dedicated to my beloved family and friends

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor Professor Dr. Wong Kuan Yew for the guidance and advices. I would like to take this chance to thank him for contributing his valuable time and his critical reviews on my progress, his special attention to accuracy and detail. His suggestions have greatly helped to improve the quality of my research.

Secondly, I would like to thank my friends and course mates who have been supporting and encouraging me throughout this research. Finally, I would like to thank those who have contributed directly or indirectly to the success of this research.

ABSTRACT

An important step after the implementation of knowledge management (KM) is to evaluate its effectiveness and performance. Knowledge management performance measurement (KMPM) is necessary in order to achieve effective and successful KM. Comprehensive set of constructs and metrics for KMPM have yet to be developed. In addition, a KMPM system that applies these constructs and metrics is found lacking. It is more apparent in the case of small and medium enterprises (SMEs) as most of the studies have focused on large organizations. Furthermore, as KM is characterized by an environment subject to uncertainties and fuzziness, there is a need to adopt a specific approach to address this issue. In this research, the focus is on the development of a KMPM system for the SMEs. A novel conceptual framework that categorized KM into three main aspects; knowledge resources, KM processes, and KM factors was used as a foundation for developing the system. New set of KMPM constructs and metrics were developed and tailored for the SMEs. Investigation of the developed constructs and metrics in terms of their applicability was carried out through a questionnaire survey. The constructs and metrics were validated through statistical analysis using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, where reliability analysis was conducted followed by validity analysis in terms of content, construct, convergent, discriminant, and criterion validities. The analysis results indicated that the developed constructs and metrics were applicable, reliable, and valid. Following this, a fuzzy logic methodology was utilized as the evaluation mechanism for the KMPM system. MATLAB software was used to develop the fuzzy inference system and Simulink was used to design and develop the system's layout and interface. Case studies were conducted in three small and medium sized consultancy companies to evaluate the developed system. From the evaluation, the evaluators commented that the system was comprehensive, user-friendly, and suitable for SMEs application. In essence, this research has developed new set of constructs and metrics as well as a KMPM system specifically designed for SMEs.

ABSTRAK

Satu langkah penting selepas pelaksanaan pengurusan pengetahuan (KM) adalah untuk menilai keberkesanan dan prestasinya. Pengukuran prestasi pengurusan pengetahuan (KMPM) adalah penting untuk mencapai KM yang berkesan dan berjaya. Satu set konstruk dan metrik yang menyeluruh untuk mengukur prestasi KM masih belum dibangunkan. Selain itu, sebuah sistem pengukuran yang menggunakan konstruk-konstruk dan metrik-metrik ini didapati masih kurang. Ia adalah lebih ketara dalam kes perusahaan kecil dan sederhana (SMEs) kerana kebanyakan kajian telah memberi tumpuan kepada organisasi besar. Tambahan pula, oleh sebab KM mempunyai ciri-ciri persekitaran yang tertakluk kepada ketidaktentuan dan kekaburan, terdapat keperluan untuk mengguna satu pendekatan yang khusus bagi menangani isu ini. Dalam kajian ini, tumpuan diberikan kepada pembangunan sistem KMPM untuk SMEs. Satu rangka kerja konsep novel yang mengkategorikan KM kepada tiga aspek utama: sumber-sumber pengetahuan, proses-proses KM, dan faktor-faktor KM telah digunakan sebagai asas bagi membangunkan sistem ini. Satu set konstruk dan metrik baru yang sesuai untuk SMEs telah dibangunkan. Siasatan dari segi aplikasi bagi konstruk-konstruk dan metrik-metrik yang dibangunkan telah dilakukan melalui kajian soal selidik. Konstruk-konstruk dan metrik-metrik ini telah disahkan melalui analisis statistik menggunakan *Statistical Package for the Social Sciences*, di mana analisis kebolehpercayaan telah dijalankan dan diikuti oleh analisis kesahihan dari segi analisis kandungan, konstruk, tumpu, diskriminan, dan kriteria. Keputusan analisis menunjukkan bahawa konstruk-konstruk dan metrik-metrik yang dibangunkan boleh diaplikasi, dipercayai, dan sah. Selepas ini, kaedah logik kabur telah dicadangkan sebagai mekanisme penilaian untuk sistem KMPM tersebut. Perisian MATLAB digunakan untuk membangunkan sistem inferens kabur dan Simulink digunakan untuk mereka-bentuk dan membangunkan susun atur dan antara muka sistem. Kajian kes telah dijalankan di tiga buah syarikat perundingan bersaiz kecil dan sederhana untuk menilai sistem yang telah dibangunkan. Daripada hasil penilaian, didapati penilai-penilai mengulas bahawa sistem yang dibangunkan adalah menyeluruh, mesra-pengguna, dan sesuai untuk aplikasi SMEs. Pada dasarnya, kajian ini telah membangunkan satu set konstruk dan metrik baru serta satu sistem KMPM yang direka khusus untuk SMEs.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xix
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Overview	1
	1.2 Background of the Research	2
	1.3 Problem Statement	3
	1.4 Objectives of the Research	5
	1.5 Scope of the Research	6
	1.6 Significance of the Research	6
	1.7 Outline of the Thesis	7
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	9
	2.1 Introduction	9
	2.2 Knowledge	9

2.3	Knowledge Management	10
2.4	Knowledge Management in Large Organizations	12
2.4.1	Knowledge Resources	12
2.4.2	KM Processes	13
2.4.3	KM Factors	16
2.5	Small and Medium Enterprises	18
2.6	Knowledge Management in SMEs	20
2.6.1	Knowledge Resources	22
2.6.2	KM Processes	24
2.6.3	KM Factors	29
2.7	Knowledge Management Performance Measurement	33
2.7.1	KMPM Approaches	35
2.8	Previous KMPM Studies in General	36
2.8.1	Intangible Assets Monitor (IAM)	36
2.8.2	Skandia Navigator (SN)	37
2.8.3	Human Resource Accounting (HRA)	38
2.8.4	Balanced Scorecard (BSC)	38
2.8.5	KP ³ Methodology	39
2.8.6	Knowledge Management Performance Index (KMPI)	40
2.8.7	Analytical Network Process (ANP)	41
2.8.8	Principal Component Analysis (PCA)	42
2.8.9	User-Satisfaction-Based System (USBS)	42
2.8.10	Fuzzy Logic	43
2.8.11	Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA)	44
2.9	Analysis of Previous Studies on KMPM in General	45
2.10	Previous Studies on KMPM in Specific for SMEs	46
2.10.1	Generic Intellectual Capital Measuring Model	46
2.10.2	BSC	47
2.11	Analysis of Previous Studies on KMPM in Specific for SMEs	48
2.12	Summary of the Gaps Found in Existing KMPM Models	54

2.13	Fuzzy Logic	55
2.13.1	Reasons for Using Fuzzy Logic	57
2.14	Conclusions	58
3	METHODOLOGY	59
3.1	Introduction	59
3.2	Overall Research Methodology	59
3.2.1	Phase One	60
3.2.2	Phase Two	63
3.2.3	Phase Three	69
3.2.4	Phase Four	71
3.3	Conclusions	72
4	IDENTIFICATION OF PERFORMANCE CONSTRUCTS AND METRICS	73
4.1	Introduction	73
4.2	KMPM Constructs Selection	73
4.2.1	Justification of the KMPM Metrics	84
4.2.1.1	Knowledge Resources	84
4.2.1.2	KM Processes	86
4.2.1.3	KM Factors	93
4.3	Conclusions	109
5	SURVEY RESULTS AND DATA ANALYSIS	110
5.1	Introduction	110
5.2	Data Collection	110
5.3	Data Analysis	112
5.3.1	Profiles of Companies	112
5.3.2	Benefits of KM and Barriers of KMPM	113
5.3.3	Instrument's Applicability	116
5.3.4	Validation of Constructs	119
5.3.4.1	Unidimensionality Analysis	119
5.3.4.2	Reliability Analysis	120

	5.3.4.3 Validity Analysis	121
	5.4 Discussion	128
	5.5 Conclusions	132
6	DEVELOPMENT OF THE KMPM SYSTEM	133
	6.1 Introduction	133
	6.2 Fuzzy Logic Evaluation Methodology for KMPM	133
	6.2.1 Step I: Fuzzification of Inputs	134
	6.2.2 Step II: Application of Fuzzy Operators	135
	6.2.3 Step III: Application of the Implication Method	135
	6.2.4 Step IV: Aggregation of All Outputs	136
	6.2.5 Step V: Defuzzification	137
	6.3 KMPM System Layout and Development	139
	6.3.1 Fuzzification of Inputs and Outputs	142
	6.3.2 Fuzzy If-Then Rules	144
	6.3.3 KMPM System Interface	147
	6.5 Validation	157
	6.6 Conclusions	159
7	EVALUATION AND IMPROVEMENT OF THE DEVELOPED KMPM SYSTEM	160
	7.1 Introduction	160
	7.2 Case Study	160
	7.3 Company Background and General Information	162
	7.3.1 Company A	162
	7.3.2 Company B	163
	7.3.3 Company C	163
	7.4 Companies' KM Performance Results	164
	7.4.1 Company A	165
	7.4.2 Company B	166
	7.4.3 Company C	167
	7.5 KMPM System Evaluation Results and Feedback	167
	7.5.1 Company A	169

7.5.2	Company B	169
7.5.3	Company C	170
7.6	Discussion	170
7.7	Improvement	171
7.8	Implications of the Research	176
7.9	Conclusions	178
8	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	179
8.1	Introduction	179
8.2	Achievement of Objectives	179
8.3	Contributions	181
8.4	Conclusions	182
8.5	Limitations of the Research	183
8.6	Recommendations for Future Work	184
	REFERENCES	185
	Appendices A-E	208-237

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Categories of SMEs based on the number of full-time employees (SME Corporation Malaysia, 2016)	19
2.2	Categories of SMEs based on the annual sales turnover (SME Corporation Malaysia, 2016)	20
2.3	Previous studies on KMPM in general	49
2.4	Previous studies on KMPM in specific for SMEs	53
4.1	Studies on knowledge resources in SMEs	75
4.2	Studies on KM processes in SMEs	76
4.3	Studies on KM factors in SMEs	79
4.4	Summary of KMPM constructs and metrics for knowledge resources	100
4.5	Summary of KMPM constructs and metrics for KM processes	102
4.6	Summary of KMPM constructs and metrics for KM factors	106
5.1	Number of full-time employees	113
5.2	Number of years implemented KM	113
5.3	Benefits of KM	114
5.4	Barriers of KMPM	114
5.5	Applicability of the instrument	117
5.6	Applicability of the proposed metrics	118
5.7	Reliability analysis	120
5.8	Factor analysis	122
5.9	Correlation matrix for knowledge resources	124

5.10	Correlation matrix for KM processes	125
5.11	Correlation matrix for KM factors	126
5.12	AVE and shared variance	127
5.13	Multiple regression analysis	128
6.1	Fuzzy set of all inputs	143
6.2	Fuzzy set of all outputs	143
6.3	Summary of constructs and their respective number of rules	144
6.4	Input membership functions and their respective score	146
6.5	Output membership functions and their respective range	147
6.6	Simulink block diagrams and descriptions	152
6.7	Eleven-point linguistic scale and input scores	155
6.8	Comparison for fuzzy inference system with two inputs	158
6.9	Comparison for fuzzy inference system with three inputs	158
7.1	KMPM results	164
7.2	KMPM system evaluation check list	168
7.3	MATLAB Function Blocks and their codes	173

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	A fuzzy logic system that accepts imprecise data and vague statements and provides decisions (Sivanandam et al., 2007)	55
3.1	Overall research methodology flow chart	61
3.2	Phase 1 flow chart	62
3.3	Phase 2 flow chart	68
3.4	Phase 3 flow chart	70
3.5	Phase 4 flow chart	71
4.1	Studies on knowledge resources in SMEs	82
4.2	Studies on KM processes in SMEs	82
4.3	Studies on KM factors in SMEs	83
5.1	Proposed KMPM model for SMEs	131
6.1	Example of Mamdani's max-min fuzzy inference system	138
6.2	KMPM system layout	140
6.3	KMPM system development flow chart	141
6.4	Input membership functions	142
6.5	Output membership functions	143
6.6	KMPM system	148
6.7	KMPM system part A (knowledge resources)	149
6.8	KMPM system part B (KM processes)	150
6.9	KMPM system part C (KM factors)	151
6.10	KMPM system part D (results display)	152

6.11	Fuzzy Controller Block FIS a1	154
6.12	Interface for single input	155
6.13	Interface for multiple inputs	156
7.1	Improved KMPM system	172
7.2	Improved KMPM system part D (results display)	173

LIST OF SYMBOLS

e	-	The desired level of precision
n	-	Sample size after finite population correction
n_0	-	Sample size
N	-	Population size
P	-	Index of Performance Evaluation
p	-	The estimated proportion of an attribute that is present in the population
q	-	$1 - p$
R	-	Correlation between the independent variables and the dependent variable
Z	-	The abscissa of the normal curve

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AHP	-	Analytical Hierarchy Process
ANP	-	Analytical Network Process
AVE	-	Average Variance Extracted
BSC	-	Balanced Scorecard
DEA	-	Data Envelopment Analysis
ER	-	Evidential Reasoning
GDP	-	Gross Domestic Product
HRA	-	Human Resource Accounting
HRM	-	Human Resource Management
IAM	-	Intangible Assets Monitor
ICT	-	Information Communications Technology
IT	-	Information Technology
KA	-	Knowledge Acquisition
KAU	-	Knowledge Application and Utilization
KCG	-	Knowledge Creation and Generation
KCP	-	Knowledge Circulation Process
KCS	-	Knowledge Codification and Storing
KD	-	Knowledge Disposal
KI	-	Knowledge Identification
KM	-	Knowledge Management
Kmap	-	Knowledge Mapping
KME	-	Knowledge Management Enabler
KMO	-	Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin
KMPI	-	Knowledge Management Performance Index
KMPM	-	Knowledge Management Performance Measurement

KMR	-	Knowledge Management Result
KP	-	Knowledge Protection
KTS	-	Knowledge Transferring and Sharing
MCDM	-	Multi-Criteria Decision Making
MLS	-	Management Leadership and Support
OI	-	Organizational Infrastructure
Mux	-	Multiplexer
PCA	-	Principal Component Analysis
R&D	-	Research and Development
SME	-	Small and Medium Enterprise
SN	-	Skandia Navigator
SPSS	-	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
TE	-	Training and Education
USBS	-	User Satisfaction Based System

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	List of Academics and Practitioners Involved in Pilot Test	208
B	Cover Letter and Questionnaire	209
C	Matlab Programming Codes for Mamdani's Max-Min Fuzzy Inference System	214
D	Knowledge Management Performance Measurement Case Study	232
E	Publications and Awards	236

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

In recent years, knowledge management (KM) has become a very common term. It has been widely used by companies ranging from large multinational corporations to small and medium enterprises (SMEs) in managing their knowledge assets (Okunoye and Karsten, 2002; Zieba et al., 2016). Companies and organizations are well aware of the benefits they can gain from it and how important it is in helping them to stay competitive. Thus, it is important to ensure that the implemented KM programs are effective and efficient. One of the methods to know the performance of KM is through evaluation or measurement (Valmohammadi, and Ahmadi, 2015). Knowledge management performance measurement (KMPPM) is vital as it enables an organization to evaluate, manage, and improve its KM initiatives (Wong, 2005). However, it is not an easy task due to its characteristic that is subjective and intangible (Kluge et al., 2001).

While KM has been acknowledged to improve organizational performance and provide competitive advantage, there are no well-developed KMPPM models or tools for SMEs. KMPPM tools that exist were developed based on the needs of large

multinational companies. Many researchers that are expert in the field of SMEs such as McAdam and Reid (2001), Desouza and Awazu (2006), Hutchison and Quintas (2008), Durst and Wilhelm (2012), Janet and Alton (2013), Wee and Chua (2013), and Zieba et al. (2016) have done empirical studies to prove that KM for large organizations and SMEs are not the same. Therefore, existing KMPM tools may not be suitable to be applied directly in SMEs. Basically, there is a lack of KMPM models specifically designed for SMEs. Thus, the purpose of this study is to address this gap by designing a suitable KMPM system specifically for SMEs.

1.2 Background of the Research

In the 21st century, knowledge capital has replaced the traditional capital and became an important resource of the modern enterprises. Due to this, knowledge has become a strategic resource and a subject of management for either large organizations or SMEs (Chen and Miao, 2010).

For SMEs, their knowledge-based resources are usually more important than their property-based resources (Wiklund and Shepherd, 2003). To be able to compete in dynamic environments, SMEs have to excel in managing their knowledge resources as they have become an important determinant of survival. To achieve this, SMEs must have the ability to search for knowledge, share knowledge, synthesize new and existing knowledge, and utilize them (Nunes et al., 2006; Hutchison and Quintas, 2008).

KMPM is a crucial step after the implementation of KM initiatives as it enables companies to keep track of their KM activities so that decisions can be made on what to continue, what to improve, and what to discard (Andone, 2009). A variety of tools and models that were designed to help organizations in measuring KM

performance can be found in the literature but a specific one tailored to meet the needs and characteristics of SMEs was found to be lacking. The focal point is on large organizations, leaving SMEs unattended. KMPM in SMEs has received little attention from researchers (Rebecca and Pun, 2014; Lee and Wong, 2015). Even after more than a decade of exploration, researchers are still dwelling on the implementation stage. Without measurement, SMEs that have implemented KM may not be able to make sound judgment in improving their KM initiatives.

In general, SMEs need more research attention as they too need KMPM to ensure the success of their KM endeavors so that their efforts and resources devoted to KM are not wasted.

1.3 Problem Statement

Looking at the literature of KM, the lack of research on SMEs is so apparent compared to large organizations (Hutchison and Quintas, 2008; Coyte et al., 2012). The case is even more obvious regarding the research on KMPM in SMEs as to date, little can be found in the literature.

Researchers are focusing on large firms and assuming that SMEs are the same except for sizes. Yet, experts in the field of SMEs such as McAdam and Reid (2001), Desouza and Awazu (2006), Hutchison and Quintas (2008), Durst and Wilhelm (2012), Janet and Alton (2013), and Zieba et al. (2016) have done empirical research to show that the above assumption is wrong as KM in large organizations is different compared to SMEs in many aspects. These differences are caused by the size of the organization which then affects its structure and organizational behavior (Rutherford et al., 2001; Montequín et al., 2006). Thus, the KMPM tools that have been created based on large organizations are not meant to be applied directly in SMEs.

Furthermore, most of the existing KMPM constructs and metrics for SMEs are merely the suggestion or observation of researchers without any proper validation process to verify if they are suitable or reliable to be applied. Hence, using inappropriate measurement tools and improper measurement metrics may provide inaccurate results that may mislead managers in making the right decisions and taking appropriate actions.

SMEs have limited resources and are short of proper information technology (IT) tools or expertise for structured measurement and reporting (Desouza and Awazu, 2006; Coyte et al., 2012). As an example, they usually resolved in using manual filing systems to store knowledge and information rather than using high cost computer-based systems. This causes data collection and retrieval for measurement purposes to be a tedious job. Besides, it will be time consuming to obtain accurate and complete data needed for KMPM, which is another setback of the current KMPM models.

Furthermore, the major issue faced in KMPM is the subjectivity and qualitative nature of the metrics used. In practice, most of the data required for performance evaluation are difficult to be quantified and they may not be precise with crisp boundaries, making them fuzzy or vague. Rather, it is more practical to quantify this information in expressions or words in a natural language and with less precision. In addition, existing measurement models assume that all variables are definite. Whereas in real life, KM activities are vague and ambiguous as they are affected by uncertainties which make them as fuzzy events. Ignoring the fact that KMPM is bounded to have a certain degree of fuzziness would render the measurement results less reliable. Hence, considering the fuzzy nature of KM during KMPM is critical as it provides a better assessment.

As a solution, a measuring system that incorporates fuzzy logic can be applied to cope with the problems that SMEs are facing. It is a practical approach in examining many real-world problems as this technique is based on the fuzzy set

theory that allows the elements of a set to have varying degrees of membership, from a non-membership grade of 0 to a full membership of 100 per cent or grade 1. This smooth gradation of values is what makes fuzzy logic matches well with the typical vagueness and uncertainty of many real-world problems (Beheshti and Lollar, 2008). Hence, it has the capability to cope with the vagueness and uncertainty in the evaluation of KM performance with the use of linguistic assessment.

1.4 Objectives of the Research

Based on the problem statement, three objectives have been designed to cope with the problems that SMEs are facing such as the lack of KM performance measurement models, fuzziness of measurement data, and limitation of time and resources, etc.

The objectives of this study are:

- (i) To develop a set of KMPM constructs and metrics that is suitable for SMEs.
- (ii) To validate the developed KMPM constructs and metrics.
- (iii) To develop a KMPM system based on the fuzzy logic methodology.

1.5 Scope of the Research

The scopes of this research are:

- (i) The study area will be focused on the service sector of SMEs only as majority of SMEs in Malaysia are in the service sector (SME Corporation Malaysia, 2014).
- (ii) The target respondents will be limited to consultancy firms only since they are the knowledge intensive firms and the probability of KM being adopted or implemented in these companies would be higher.
- (iii) Data collection will be carried out through conducting a survey.
- (iv) Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) will be used to analyze the collected data (reliability and validity tests).
- (v) The development of the KMPM system will be based on the fuzzy logic approach.
- (vi) Matlab software (Fuzzy Logic Toolbox) will be used to develop the fuzzy inference system as it is the industry standard computing platform that is widely used. It is also a mature program that is heavily supported and allows for quick prototyping of ideas.
- (vii) Simulink software will be used to design the KMPM system's layout and interface. It is a graphical extension to Matlab which enables data to be transferred easily and it is also highly flexible and user-friendly in performance modeling and simulation.

1.6 Significance of the Research

This research opens a new perspective in designing a KMPM system for SMEs. It also creates awareness on the importance of KMPM in ensuring the success of KM initiatives, where it has been neglected by SMEs. This study provides

guidelines on which aspects of KM that companies have to improve in order to achieve good performance and excel in managing their knowledge assets. This will also act as a starting point to boost research in this particular field, given the lack of research that is focusing on KMPM in SMEs.

This study will provide a new comprehensive set of KMPM constructs and metrics that is tailored to suit the characteristics of SMEs. The proposed constructs and metrics will be validated through proper analysis to ensure their applicability and reliability. Finally, by using the fuzzy logic approach, this study can provide a flexible and efficient measurement system that can help SMEs in evaluating their KM performance.

1.7 Outline of the Thesis

This thesis consists of eight (8) Chapters, with Chapter 1 being a brief introduction to the research. It also discusses the problem statement which forms the basis of the research, the objectives, and the scope of the study. The 2nd Chapter looks at the review of literature on related issues, work and research. It also provides the descriptions of various terminologies associated with this research. The 3rd Chapter describes the methodology adopted. This includes all the steps involved in conducting this research. The 4th Chapter discusses the development and justification of the KM performance constructs and their metrics which will be used in the measurement system. Chapter 5 presents the survey results together with statistical analysis that includes reliability and validity analysis in order to substantiate the applicability of the performance constructs. The 6th Chapter looks into the development of the KMPM system using the fuzzy logic methodology. The methodology will be demonstrated with an illustrative example. This Chapter covers the development of the KMPM system, including the development of the fuzzy rule-based system and the system interface followed by validation. Chapter 7 deals with

the evaluation of the developed system by conducting case studies in SMEs in Malaysia. The evaluation results, improvements made and their implications are discussed. The final Chapter concludes the research and provides recommendations for further studies on KMPM in SMEs.

REFERENCES

- Ahire, S. L., Golhar, D. Y., and Waller, M. W. (1996). Development and validation of TQM implementation constructs. *Decision Sciences*. 27(1), 23-56.
- Ahmed, I., Sultana, I., Paul, S. K., and Azeem, A. (2013). Employee performance evaluation: a fuzzy approach. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*. 62(7), 718-734.
- Ahn, J. H. and Chang, S. G. (2004). Assessing the contribution of knowledge to business performance: The KP3 Methodology. *Decision Support System*. 36(4), 403-416.
- Ahn, J. M., Minshall, T., and Mortara, L. (2015). Open innovation: a new classification and its impact on firm performance in innovative SMEs. *Journal of Innovation Management*. 3(2), 33-54.
- Ahn, Y., Park, S., and Jung, J. (2009). A case study of knowledge management of Busan metropolitan city. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*. 11(3), 388-398.
- Alam, S., Abdullah, Z., Ishak, N. A. and Zain, Z. M. (2009). Knowledge sharing behaviour among employees in SMEs: an empirical study. *International Business Research*. 2(2), 115-122.
- Alavi, M. and Leidner, D. E. (2001). Review: knowledge management and knowledge management systems: conceptual foundations and research issues. *MIS Quarterly*. 25(1), 107-136.
- Alegre, J., Sengupta, K., and Lapiedra, R. (2011). Knowledge management and innovation performance in a high-tech SMEs industry. *International Small Business Journal*. 31(4), 454-470.

- Al-Mabrouk, K. (2006). Critical success factors affecting knowledge management adoption: a review of the literature. *Innovations in Information Technology*. IEEE Xplore. 1-6.
- Altinay, L., Altinay, E., and Gannon, J. (2008). Exploring the relationship between the human resource management practices and growth in small service firms. *Service Industries Journal*. 28(7), 919-937.
- Anderson, J. and Gerbing, D. (1991). Predicting the performance of measures in a confirmatory factor analysis with a pretest assessment of their substantive validities. *Journal of Applied Psychology*. 76(5), 732-740.
- Andone, I. I. (2009). Measuring the performance of corporate knowledge management system. *Informatica Economica*. 13(4), 24-31.
- Antosova, M., Csikosova, A. and Mihalcova, B. (2013). Application knowledge management in the practice of business subjects in Slovakia. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 83, 975-979.
- Alvarez, S. and Busenitz, L. (2001). The entrepreneurship of resource-based theory. *Journal of Management*. 27(6), 755-775.
- Ardichvili, A. (2002). Knowledge management, human resource development, and internet technology. *Advances in Developing Human Resources*. 4(4), 451-463.
- Arora, R. (2002). Implementing KM: a balanced scorecard approach. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 6(3), 240-249.
- Bagnoli, C. and Vedovato, M. (2012). The impact of knowledge management and strategy configuration coherence on SME performance. *Journal of Management and Governance*. 18(2), 615-647.
- Beck, T., Demirguc-Kunt, A., and Levine, R. (2005). SMEs, growth, and poverty: cross-country evidence. *Journal of Economic Growth*. 10(3), 199-229.
- Beheshti, H. M. and Lollar, J. G. (2008). Fuzzy logic and performance evaluation: discussion and application. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*. 57(3), 237-246.
- Beynon, M. (2002). An analysis of distributions of priority values from alternative comparison scales within AHP. *European Journal of Operational Research*. 140(1), 104-117.
- Birasnav, M., Albufalasa, M., and Bader, Y. (2013). The role of transformational leadership and knowledge management processes on predicting product and

- process innovation: an empirical study developed in kingdom of Bahrain. *Review of Applied Management Studies*. 11(2), 64-75.
- Bixler, C. H. (2002). Knowledge management: practical aspects of implementation. *KMWorld*. 11(7).
- Blackburn, R. A. and Schaper, M. T. (2012). *Government, SMEs and Entrepreneurship Development: Policy, Practice and Challenges*. Burlington, USA: Ashgate Publishing Limited.
- Blankenship, S. S. and Ruona, W. E. A. (2009). Exploring knowledge sharing in social structures: potential contributions to an overall knowledge management. *Strategy Advances in Developing Human Resources*. 11(3), 290-306.
- Bodrow, W. (2006). Knowledge management in small and medium-sized enterprises. In Wang, K., Kovacs, G., Wozny, M., and Fang, M. (Ed.). *Knowledge Enterprise: Intelligent Strategies in Product Design, Manufacturing, and Management* (pp. 41-53). Boston: Springer.
- Bontis, N., Dragonetti, N. C., Jacobson, K., and Roos, G. (1999). The knowledge toolbox: A review of the tools available to measure and manage intangible resources. *European Management Journal*. 17(4), 391-404.
- Booker, L. D., Bontis, N., and Serenko, A. (2008). The relevance of knowledge management and intellectual capital research. *Knowledge and Process Management*. 15(4), 235-246.
- Bose, R. (2004). Knowledge management metrics. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*. 104(6), 457-468.
- Bose, R. and Sugumaran, V. (1999). Application of intelligent agent technology for managerial data analysis and mining. *Database Advanced Information System*. 30(1), 77-94.
- Brown, T. A. (2006). *Confirmatory Factor Analysis for Applied Research*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Brunswick, S. and Vanhaverbeke, W. (2015). Open innovation in small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs): External knowledge sourcing strategies and internal organizational facilitators. *Journal of Small Business Management*. 53(4), 1241-1263.
- Cagarra-Navarro, J. G. and Martinez-Conesa, E. A. (2007). E-business through knowledge management in Spanish telecommunications companies. *International Journal of Manpower*. 28(3/4), 298-314.

- Cantu, L. Z., Criado, J., and Criado, A. (2009). Generation and transfer of knowledge in IT-related SMEs. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 13(5), 243-56.
- Cardoso, L., Meireles, A., and Peralta, C. F. (2012). Knowledge management and its critical factors in social economy organizations. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 16(2), 267-284.
- Carneiro, A. (2001). The role of intelligent resources in knowledge management. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 5(4), 358-367.
- Cha, H., Pingry, D., and Thatcher, M. E. (2008). Managing the knowledge supply chain: an organizational learning model of IT offshore outsourcing. *MIS Quarterly*. 32(2), 281-306.
- Chan, I. and Chao, C. K. (2008). Knowledge management in small and medium-sized enterprises. *Communications of the ACM*. 51(4), 83-88.
- Changchit, C., Holsapple, C. W., and Viator, R. E. (2001). Transferring auditors' internal control evaluation knowledge to management. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 20(3), 275-291.
- Chaston, I. (2012). Entrepreneurship and knowledge management in small service-sector firms. *The Service Industries Journal*. 32(6), 845-860.
- Chauvel, D. and Despres, C. (2002). A review of survey research in knowledge management: 1997-2001. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 6(3), 207-223.
- Chen, A. P. and Chen, M. Y. (2005). A review of survey research in knowledge management performance measurement: 1995-2004. *Journal of Universal Knowledge Management*. 0(1), 4-12.
- Chen, C. J., Huang, J. W., and Hsiao, Y. C. (2010). Knowledge management and innovativeness: The role of organizational climate and structure. *International Journal of Manpower*. 31(8), 848-879.
- Chen, H. M. and Miao, Y. J. (2010). Knowledge management for SMEs based on the balanced scorecard. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Management and Service Science*. Wuhan, 1-4.
- Chen, M. Y. and Chen, A. P. (2006). Knowledge management performance evaluation: a decade review from 1995 to 2004. *Journal of Information Science*. 32(1), 17-38.
- Chen, S. Z., Duan, Y. Q., Edwards, J. S., and Lehaney, B. (2006). Toward understanding inter-organizational knowledge transfer needs in SMEs: insight from a UK investigation. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 10(3), 6-23.

- Cheng, C. Y., Ou, T. Y., Chen, T. L., and Chen, Y. Y. (2014). Transferring cognitive apprenticeship to manufacturing process knowledge management system. *VINE*. 44(3), 420-444.
- Chin, K. S., Lo, K. C., and Leung, J. P. F. (2009). Development of user-satisfaction based knowledge management performance measurement system with evidential reasoning approach. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*. 26(5), 449-468.
- Chirico, F. (2008). Knowledge accumulation in family firms: evidence from four case studies. *International Small Business Journal*. 26(4), 433-462.
- Choi, B. and Lee, H. (2002). Knowledge management strategy and its link to knowledge creation process. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 23(3), 173-187.
- Chong, C. W. and Chong, S. C. (2009). Knowledge management process effectiveness: measurement of preliminary knowledge management implementation. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*. 7(2), 142-15.
- Chong, C. W., Chong, S. C., and Gan, G. C. (2011). The KM processes in Malaysian SMEs: an empirical validation. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*. 9(2), 185-196.
- Chong, C. W., Chong, S. C., and Gan, G. C. (2011). Inter-organizational knowledge transfer needs among small and medium enterprises. *Library Review*. 60(1), 37-52.
- Chong, Y. L., Alain, O. K.B., Bao, H., and Lin, B. (2014). Can e-business adoption be influenced by knowledge management? An empirical analysis of Malaysian SMEs. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 18(1), 121-136.
- Choo, A. S, Linderman, K., and Schroeder, R. G. (2007). Method and context perspectives on learning and knowledge creation in quality management. *Journal of Operations Management*. 25(4), 918-931.
- Clarke, J. and Turner, P. (2004). Global competition and the Australian biotechnology industry: developing a model of SMEs knowledge management strategies. *Knowledge and Process Management*. 11(1), 38-46.
- Cocca, P. and Alberti, M. (2010). A framework to assess performance measurement systems in SMEs. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*. 59(2), 186-200.

- Collinson, S. and Wilson, D. C. (2006). Inertia in Japanese organizations: knowledge management routines and failure to innovate. *Organization Studies*. 27(9), 1359-1387.
- Comrey, A. L. and Lee, H. B. (1992). *A First Course in Factor Analysis*. New Jersey: Erlbaum.
- Corbett-Etchevers, I. and Mounoud, E. (2012). A narrative framework for management ideas: disclosing the plots of knowledge management in a multinational company. *Management Learning*. 42(2), 165-181.
- Cormican, K., Coppola, G., and Farina, S. (2012). KM practices in service SMEs. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology: International Science Index*. 6(1), 741-749.
- Corso, M., Giacobbe, A., and Martini, A. (2009). Rethinking knowledge management: the role of ICT and the rise of the virtual workspace. *International Journal of Learning and Intellectual Capital*. 6(3), 272-292.
- Coyte, R., Ricceri, F., and Guthrie, J. (2012). The management of knowledge resources in SMEs: an Australian case study. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 16(5), 789-807.
- Cummings, J. N. (2004). Work groups, structural diversity, and knowledge sharing in a global organization. *Management Science*. 50(3), 352-364.
- Daft, R. L. (2004). *Organization Theory and Design*. Mason: Thomson South-Western.
- Daghfous, A. and Kah, M. M. O. (2006). Knowledge management implementation in SMEs: a framework and a case illustration. *Journal of Information & Knowledge Management*. 5(2), 107-115.
- Darroch, J. and McNaughton, R. (2002). Developing a measure of knowledge management. In: Bontis N (Ed.), *World Congress on Intellectual Capital Readings*. Boston: Butterworth-Heinemann, pp. 226-242.
- Daud, S. and Yusoff, W. (2010). Knowledge management and firm performance in SMEs: the role of social capital as a mediating variable. *Asian Academy of Management Journal*. 15(2), 135-55.
- Davari, A., Nobari, N., and Rezazadeh, A. (2015). A model of knowledge management performance for small and medium-sized enterprises engaging in alliances. *Journal of Advanced Research in Management and Social Sciences*. 4(4), 198-215.

- Davenport, T. H. and Prusak, L. (1998). *Working Knowledge. How Organizations Manage What They Know*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Davenport, T. H., De Long, D. W., and Beers, M. C. (1998). Successful knowledge management projects. *Sloan Management Review*. 39(2), 43-57.
- Davenport, S. (2005). Exploring the role of proximity in SME knowledge-acquisition. *Research Policy*. 34(5), 683-701.
- Dawes, J. (2008). Do data characteristics change according to the number of scale points used? An experiment using 5-point, 7-point and 10-point scales. *International Journal of Market Research*. 50(1), 61-77.
- Delahaye, B. (2005). Knowledge management in a SME. *International Journal of Organizational Behaviour*. 9(3), 604-614.
- Delen, D., Zaim, H., Kuzey, C., and Zaim, S. (2013). A comparative analysis of machine learning systems for measuring the impact of knowledge management practices. *Decision Support Systems*. 54(2), 1150-1160.
- Desouza, K. C. and Awazu, Y. (2006). Knowledge management at SMEs: five peculiarities. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 10(1), 32- 43.
- Devos, J., Van Landeghem, H., and Deschoolmeester, D. (2013). *Information Systems and Small and Medium-sized Enterprises -State of art of IS research in SMEs*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Dumas, J. (1999). *Usability Testing Methods: Subjective Measures, Part II - Measuring Attitudes and Opinions*. American Institutes for Research. Retrieved on 1 August, 2016, from http://www.upassoc.org/html/1999_archive/usability_testing_methods.html
- Durst, S. and Edvardsson, I. R. (2012). Knowledge management in SMEs: a literature review. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 16(6), 879-903.
- Durst, S., Edvardsson, I. R., and Bruns, G. (2013). Knowledge creation in small construction firms. *Journal of Innovation Management*. 1(1), 125-142.
- Durst, S. and Wilhelm, S. (2011). Knowledge management in practice: insights into a medium-sized enterprise's exposure to knowledge loss. *Prometheus*. 29(1), 1-16.
- Edvardsson, I. R. (2006). Knowledge management in SMEs: The case of Icelandic firms. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*. 4(4), 275-282.
- Edvinsson, L. (1997). Developing intellectual capital at Skandia. *Long Range Planning*. 30(3), 266-373.

- Egbu, C. O., Hari, S., and Renukappa, S. H. (2005). Knowledge management for sustainable competitiveness in small and medium surveying practices. *Structural Survey*. 23(1), 7-21.
- Empson, L. (2001). Introduction: knowledge management in professional service firms. *Human Relations*. 54(7), 811-817.
- Erwee, R., Skadiang, B., and Roxas, B. (2012). Knowledge management culture, strategy and process in Malaysian firms. *Knowledge Management Research and Practice*. 10(1), 89-98.
- Evangelista, P., Esposito, E., Lauro, V., and Raffa, M. (2010). The adoption of knowledge management systems in small firms. *Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management*. 8(1), 33-42.
- Field, A. P. (2000). *Discovering Statistic Using SPSS for Windows: Advanced Techniques for the Beginner*. London: Sage.
- Field A. P. (2009). *Discovering Statistics Using SPSS: and Sex and Drugs and Rock 'n' Roll*. (3rd ed.). London: Sage.
- Fink, K. and Ploder, C. (2009). Balanced system for knowledge process management in SMEs. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*. 22(1/2), 36-50.
- Flamholtz, E. G. and Main, E. D. (1999). Current issues, recent advancements, and future directions in human resource accounting. *Journal of Human Resource Costing and Accounting*. 4(1), 11-20.
- Fornell, C. and Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 18(1), 39-50.
- Forza, C. (2002). Survey research in operations management: a process-based perspective. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*. 22(2), 152-194.
- Ghozali, I. (2008). *Structural Equation Modeling: Metode Alternatif Dengan Partial Least Square (PLS)*. Semarang: Badan Penerbit UNDIP.
- Gooijer, J. D. (2000). Designing a knowledge management performance framework. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 4(4), 303-310.
- Goh, C. H. T. and Hooper, V. (2009). Knowledge and information sharing in a closed information environment. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 13(2), 21-34.

- Gold, A. H., Malhotra, A., and Segars, A. H. (2001). Knowledge management: an organizational capabilities perspective. *Journal of Management Information System*. 18(1), 185-214.
- Gotzamani, K. D. and Tsiotras, G. D. (2001). An empirical study of the ISO 9000 standards' contribution towards total quality management. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*. 21(10), 1326-1342.
- Gourova, E. (2010). Knowledge management strategy for small and medium enterprises. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Applied Computer Science*. 639-648.
- Grant, R. M. (1996). Toward a knowledge-based theory of the firm. *Strategic Management Journal*. 17(2), 109-122.
- Guadamillas, F., Donate, M. J., and Sánchez de Pablo, J. D. (2008). Knowledge management for corporate entrepreneurship and growth: a case study. *Knowledge and Process Management*. 15(1), 32-44.
- Gulgun, K. and Gulcin, B. (2006). Knowledge management evaluation framework for effective supply value chain. *International Journal of Industrial and Systems Engineering*. 1(4), 446-460.
- Hair, J. F., Anderson R. E., Tatham R. L., and Black, W. (2005). *Multivariate Data Analysis*. (6th ed.). Upper Saddle River: Prentice-Hall.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., and Anderson, R. E. (2010). *Multivariate Data Analysis*. (7th ed.). Upper Saddle River: Prentice Hall
- Hamdam, H. and Damirchi, G. V. (2011). Managing intellectual capital of small and medium size enterprises in Iran case study: Ardabil province SMEs. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*. 3(2), 233-240.
- Handzic, M. (2006). Knowledge management in SMEs: practical guidelines. *CACCI Journal*. 1(1), 21-34.
- Hart, P. and Saunders, C. (1997). Power and trust: critical factors in the adoption and use of electronic data interchange. *Organizational Science*. 8(1), 23-42.
- Hashim, M. K. and Wafa, S. A. (2002). *Small and Medium Sized Enterprises in Malaysia: Development Issues*. Petaling Jaya: Prentice Hall.
- Heng, M. S. H. (2001). Mapping intellectual capital in a small manufacturing enterprise. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*. 2(1), 53-60.

- Herrera, F. and Herrera-Viedma, E. (2000). Linguistic decision analysis: steps for solving decision problems under linguistic information. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*. 115, 67-82.
- Hinds, P. and Pfeffer, J. (2003). Why Organizations Don't "Know What They Know": Cognitive and Motivational Factors Affecting the Transfer Of Expertise. In Ackerman, M., Pipek, V. and Wulf, V. (ed.), *Beyond Knowledge Management: Sharing Expertise* (pp. 3-26). Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Hörisch, J., Matthew P. Johnson, M. P., and Schaltegger, S. (2015). Implementation of sustainability management and company size: a knowledge-based view. *Business Strategy and the Environment*. 24(8), 765-779.
- Holsapple, C. W. and Joshi, K. D. (2000). An investigation of factors that influence the management of knowledge in organizations. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*. 9(2-3), 235-261.
- Huang, M. J., Chen, M. Y., and Yieh, K. (2007). Comparing with your main competitor: the single most important task of knowledge management performance measurement. *Journal of Information Science*. 33(4), 416-434.
- Hubert, S. O. (1996). Tacit knowledge: The key to the strategic aliment of intellectual capital. *Strategy and Leadership*. 24(2), 10-16.
- Hume, C and Hume, M. (2016). What about us? Exploring small to medium Australian not for-profit firms and knowledge management. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 20(1), 104-124.
- Hussain, F., Lucas, C., and Ali, M. A. (2004). Managing knowledge effectively. *Journal of Knowledge Management Practice* 5. Retrieved on June 19, 2014, from <http://www.tlinc.com/articl66.htm>
- Hutchison, V. and Quintas, P. (2008). Do SMEs do knowledge management? Or simply manage what they know? *International Small Business Journal*. 26, 131-51.
- Inkinen, H. T., Kianto, A., and Vanhala, M. (2015). Knowledge management practices and innovation performance in Finland. *Baltic Journal of Management*. 10(4), 432-455.
- Israel, G. D. (1992). *Determining Sample Size*. Agricultural Education and Communication Department, University of Florida, IFAS Extension. Original publication date November 1992. Revised April 2009. Retrieved on June 8, 2013, from <http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu>.

- Jamsandekar, S. S. and Mudholkar, R. R. (2013). Performance evaluation by fuzzy inference technique. *International Journal of Soft Computing and Engineering*. 3(2), 158-164.
- Janet, W. C. N. and Alton, C. Y. K. (2013). The peculiarities of knowledge management processes in SMEs: the case of Singapore. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 17(6), 958-972.
- Jen, T. Y. and Chin, S. W. (2004). Advancing organizational effectiveness and knowledge management implementation. *Tourism Management*. 25(5), 593-601.
- Jones, O. and Crompton, H. (2009). Enterprise logic and small firms: a model of authentic entrepreneurial leadership. *Journal of Strategy and Management*. 2(4), 329-351.
- Joseph, S. (1999). A methodological framework for evaluating environmentally conscious manufacturing programs. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*. 36(4), 793-810.
- Kakabadse, N. K., Kakabadse, A., and Kouzmin, A. (2003). Reviewing the knowledge management literature: towards a taxonomy. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 7(4), 75-91.
- Kamal, A. A. (2001). Application of the AHP in project management. *Project Management*. 19(1), 19-27.
- Kang, Y. J., Kim, S. E., and Chang, G. W. (2008). The impact of knowledge sharing on work performance: an empirical analysis of the public employees' perceptions in South Korea. *International Journal of Public Administration*. 31(14), 1548-1568.
- Kankanhalli, A., Bernard, T. C. Y., and Wei, K. K. (2005). Contributing knowledge to electronic knowledge repositories: An empirical investigation. *MIS Quarterly*. 29(1), 113-143.
- Kaplan, R. S. and Norton, D. P. (1992). The balanced scorecard: measures that drive performance. *Harvard Business Review*. 70(1), 71-79.
- Kline, R. B. (2005). *Principles and Practices of Structural Equation Modeling*. (2nd ed.). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Kluge, J., Stein, W., and Licht, T. (2001). *Knowledge Unplugged: The McKinsey & Company Global Survey on Knowledge Management*. New York: Palgrave.

- Konstantinou, E. and Fincham, R. (2010). Not sharing but trading: applying a Maussian exchange framework to knowledge management. *Human Relations*. 64(6), 823-842.
- Kosko, B. (1997). *Fuzzy Engineering*. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall.
- Krogh, G., Nonaka, I., and Aben, M. (2001). Making the most of your company's knowledge: A strategic framework. *Long Range Planning*. 34(4), 421-439.
- Krosnick, J. A. and Presser, S. (2010). Question and questionnaire design. In Marsden, P. V. and Wright, J. D. (Ed.). *Handbook of survey research*. (pp. 263-314). Bingley, UK: Emerald Group.
- Kuah, C. T. and Wong, K. Y. (2011). Knowledge management performance measurement: A review. *African Journal of Business Management*. 5(15), 6021-6027.
- Kuah, C. T., Wong, K. Y., and Wong, W. P. (2012). Monte Carlo data envelopment analysis with genetic algorithm for knowledge management performance measurement. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 39, 9348-9358.
- Kwon, H. J. (2012). Systems design and strategies development for knowledge management in non-governmental organizations. *Journal of Information & Knowledge Management*. 11(1), 1-13.
- Laitamaki, J. and Kordupleski, R. (1997). Building and deploying profitable growth strategies based on the waterfall of customer value added. *European Management Journal*. 15(2), 158-166.
- Laudon, K. C. and Laudon, P. L. (1998). *Management Information Systems*. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall.
- Lazarevic, S. P. and Wong, A. (2000). Fuzzy control model in the hospitality industry, *International Journal of Agile Management Systems*. 2(2), 156-162.
- Lee, C. S. and Wong, K. W. (2015). Development and validation of knowledge management performance measurement constructs for small and medium enterprises. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 19(4), 711-734.
- Lee, D. and Van den Steen, E. (2010). Managing know-how. *Management Science*. 56(2), 270-285.
- Lee, H. and Choi, B. (2003). Knowledge management enablers, processes, and organizational performance: an integrative view and empirical examination. *Journal of Management Information Systems*. 20(1), 179-228.
- Lee, K. C., Lee, S., and Kang, I. W. (2005). KMPI: measuring knowledge

- management performance. *Information & Management*. 42(3), 469-482.
- Lee, M. R. and Lan, Y. C. (2011). Toward a unified knowledge management model for SMEs. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 38(1), 729-735.
- Lee, S., Kim, B. G., and Kim, H. (2012). An integrated view of knowledge management for performance. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 16(2), 183-203.
- Lee, Y. C. and Lee, S. K. (2007). Capabilities, processes, and performance of knowledge management: a structural approach. *Human Factors and Ergonomics in Manufacturing*. 17(1), 21-41.
- Leung, Z. C. S. (2014). Knowledge management in social work: the interplay of knowledge sharing platforms. *International Social Work*. 57(2), 143-155.
- Liebowitz, J. (1999). Key ingredients to the success of an organization's knowledge management strategy. *Knowledge and Process Management*. 1(1), 37-40.
- Liebowitz, J. (2005). Developing metrics for determining knowledge management success: a fuzzy logic approach. *Issues in Information Systems*. 5(2), 36-42.
- Lin, C., Chiu T. H., and Tseng, Y. H. (2006). Agility evaluation using fuzzy logic. *International Journal of Production Economics*. 101(2), 353-368.
- Lin, H. F. (2007). A stage model of knowledge management: an empirical investigation of process and effectiveness. *Journal of Information Science*, 33(6), 643-659.
- Longbottom, D. and Chourides, P. (2002). Climbing new heights: conquering K2. *Knowledge Management Magazine*.
- MacDonell, S. G. (2003). Software source code sizing using fuzzy logic modeling. *Information and Software Technology*. 45(7), 389-404.
- Mahmod, R., Rosnan H., and Hazman-Fitri, M. H. (2013). Knowledge management and innovation readiness among SMEs in Malaysia. *Business Engineering and Industrial Applications Colloquium*. 7-9 April. Langkawi, 698-702.
- Maier, R. and Remus, U. (2002). Defining process-oriented knowledge management strategies. *Knowledge and process management*, 9(2), 103-118.
- Maldonado-Guzmán, G., Lopez-Torres, G. C., Garza-Reyes, J. A. Kumar, V., and Martinez-Covarrubias, J. L. (2016). Knowledge management as intellectual property: Evidence from Mexican manufacturing SMEs. *Management Research Review*. 39(7), 830-850.

- Malhotra, Y. (2000). Knowledge management for E-business performance: advancing information strategy to internet time. *Information Strategy: The Executive's Journal*. 16(4), 5-16.
- Mansingh, G., Osei-Bryson, K. M., and Reichgelt, H. (2009). Issues in knowledge access, retrieval and sharing – case studies in a Caribbean health sector. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 36(2), 2853-2863.
- Margilaj, E. and Bello, K. (2015). Critical success factors of knowledge management in Albania business organizations. *European Journal of Research and Reflection in Management Sciences*. 3(2), 15-24.
- MathWorks (2015). Fuzzy Logic Toolbox User's Guide. Retrieved on March 5, 2015, from http://www.mathworks.com/help/pdf_doc/fuzzy/fuzzy.pdf.
- McAdam, R. and Reid, R. (2001). SME and large organization perceptions of knowledge management: comparisons and contrasts. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 5(3), 231-41.
- McCann, J. E. and Buckner, M. (2004). Strategically integrating knowledge management initiatives. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 8(1), 47-63.
- Mchombu, K. J. (2007). Harnessing knowledge management for Africa's transition to the 21st century. *Information Development*. 23(1), 25-42.
- McNichols, D. (2010). Optimal knowledge transfer methods: a generation X perspective. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 14(1), 24-37.
- Meaza, A., Carrasco, C., Elguezal, Z., and Bilbao, Z. (2012). Knowledge management practices in SME. Case study in Basque country SME. *6th International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Industrial Management. XVI Congreso de Ingeniería de Organización*. 18-20 July. Vigo, 555-562.
- Migdadi, M. (2009). Knowledge management enablers and outcomes in the small-and-medium sized enterprises. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*. 109(6), 840-858.
- Mills, A. M. and Smith, T. A. (2011). Knowledge management and organizational performance: A decomposed view. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 15(1), 156-171.
- Minonne, C. and Turner, G. (2009). Evaluating knowledge management performance. *Electronic Journal of Knowledge Management*. 7(5), 583-592.
- Montequín, V. R., Fernández, F. O., Cabal, V. A., and Gutierrez, N. R. (2006). An integrated framework for intellectual capital measurement and knowledge

- management implementation in small and medium-sized enterprises. *Journal of Information Science*. 32(6), 525-538.
- Nguyen, Q. and Neck, P. (2008). Knowledge management as dynamic capabilities: does it work in emerging less developed countries? *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 3(3), 505-520.
- Nold, H. A. (2011). Making knowledge management work: Tactical to practical. *Knowledge Management Research and Practice*. 9(1), 84-94.
- Nonaka, I. and Takeuchi, H. (1995) *The Knowledge-Creating Company: How Japanese Companies Create the Dynamics of Innovation*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Nunes, M. B., Annansingh, F., Eaglestone, B., and Wakefield, R. (2006). Knowledge management issues in knowledge intensive SMEs. *Knowledge Management Issues*. 62(1), 101-119.
- Nunnally, C. J. (1978). *Psychometric Theory*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Nunnally, J. C. and Bernstein, I. H. (1994), *Psychometric Theory* (3rd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- O'Dell, C. and Grayson, C. J. (1998). If only we knew what we know: identification and transfer of internal best practices. *California Management Review*. 40(3), 154-174.
- O'Dell, C. and Grayson, C. J. (1999). Knowledge transfer: discover your value proposition. *Strategy and Leadership*. 27(2), 10-15.
- OECD (1993). *Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises: Technology and Competitiveness*. Paris: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- Oke, A., Burke, G., and Myers, A. (2007). Innovation types and performance in growing UK SMEs. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*. 27(7), 735-753.
- Okunoye, A. and Karsten, H. (2002). Where the global needs the local: variation in enablers in the knowledge management process. *Journal of Global Information Technology Management*. 5(3), 12-31.
- Omar, S. S., Arokiasamy, L., and Ismail, M. (2009). The background and challenges faced by the small and medium enterprises. A human resources development perspectives. *International Journal of Business and Management*. 4(10), 95-102.

- Omerzel, D. G., Antoncic, B., and Ruzzier, M. (2011). Developing and testing a multi-dimensional knowledge management model on Slovenian SMEs. *Baltic Journal of Management*. 6(2), 179-204.
- O'Muircheartaigh, C., Krosnick, J. A. and Helic, A. (2000). *Middle alternatives, acquiescence, and the quality of questionnaire data*. Retrieved on 1 August, 2016, from <http://harrisschool.uchicago.edu/about/publications/working-papers/pdf/wp013.pdf>
- Oppong, S. A., Yen, D. C. and Merhout, J. W. (2005). A new strategy for harnessing knowledge management in e-commerce. *Technology in Society*. 27(3), 413-435.
- Oztemel, E. and Arslankaya, S. (2012). Enterprise knowledge management model: a knowledge tower. *Knowledge and Information Systems*. 31(1), 171-192.
- Pearson, K. (1901). On lines and planes of closest fit to systems of points in space. *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science*. 2(11), 559-572.
- Peffer, K. and Dos Santos B. L. (1996). Performance effects of innovative IT applications over time, *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*. 43(4), 381-392.
- Petrudi, S. H. J., Pirouz, M., and Pirouz, B. (2013). Application of fuzzy logic for performance evaluation of academic students. (2013). *13th Iranian Conference on Fuzzy Systems*. 27-29 August. Qazvin: IEEE, 1-5.
- Petty, R. and Guthrie, J. (2000). Intellectual capital literature review: Measurement, reporting and management. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*. 1(2), 155-176.
- Pillania, R. K. (2007). Organisational issues for KM in SMEs. *International Journal of Business and Systems Research*. 1(3), 367-379.
- Pinho, I., Rego, A., and Cunha, M. P. (2012). Improving knowledge management processes: a hybrid positive approach. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 16(2), 215-242.
- Polanyi, M. (1966). *The Tacit Dimension*. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- Powell, W. W. (1998). Learning from collaboration: knowledge and networks in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries. *California Management Review*. 40(3), 228-240.
- Prahalad, C. K. and Ramaswamy, V. (2004). *The Future of Competition: Co-Creating Unique Value with Customers*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business School Press.

- Radzeviciene, D. (2008). Developing small and medium enterprises using knowledge management frameworks: A case study in Lithuania. *Aslib Proceedings*. 60(6), 672-685.
- Raghu, T. S. and Vinze, A. (2007). A business process context for knowledge management. *Decision Support Systems*. 43(3), 1062-1079.
- Rahmani, B. and Rafezi, H. (2010). Solving fuzzy logic problems with MATLAB. Retrieved on February 18, 2013, from <http://www.scribd.com/doc/33412283/Solving-Fuzzy-Logic-Problems-With-MATLAB>
- Razak, R. A. (2011). Entrepreneurial orientation as a universal remedy for the receding productivity in Malaysian small and medium enterprises: A theoretical perspective. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*. 2(19), 249-257.
- Rebecca, Y. M. Y. and Pun, K. F. (2014). Measuring knowledge management performance in industrial enterprises. *The Learning Organization*. 21(5), 310-332.
- Rehman, M., Mahmood, A. K., and Sugathan, S. K. (2010). Implementation of knowledge management in small and medium enterprises. *Journal of Knowledge Management Practice*. 11(1), 1-9.
- Revilla, M. A., Saris, W. E., and Krosnick, J. A. (2014). Choosing the number of categories in agree–disagree scales. *Sociological Methods and Research*. 43(1), 73-97.
- Robertson, M. and O'Malley-Hammersley, G. (2000). Knowledge management practices within a knowledge-intensive firm: the significance of the people management dimension. *Journal of European Industrial Training*. 24(2-4), 241-53.
- Robinson, H. S., Carrillo, P.M., Anumba, C. J., and Al-Ghassani, A. M. (2005). Performance measurement in knowledge management. In Anumba, C. J., Egbu, C. O., and Carrillo, P. M. (Ed.) *Knowledge Management in Construction*. (pp. 132-150). Noida: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Rodov, I. and Leliaert, P. (2002). FiMIAM: financial method of intangible assets measurement. *Journal of Intellectual Capital*. 3(3), 323-336.
- Rodríguez, V., Ortega, F., Slimani, D., Srivihok, A., and Intrapairot, A. (2004). KNOQUA: a model for IC measurement and knowledge management for SMEs.

- In: D. Remenyi (ed.), *Proceedings of the 5th European Conference on Knowledge Management, Paris, CNAM, 2004* (Academic Conferences Limited, Dublin, 2004) 603–610.
- Roos, J., Roos, G., Dragonetti, N. C., and Edvinsson, L. (1997). *Intellectual Capital: Navigating in the New Business Landscape*. London: Macmillan.
- Rutherford, M. W., McMullen, P., and Oswald, S. (2001). Examining the issue of size and the small business: a self organizing map approach. *Journal of Business and Economic Studies*. 7(2), 64-81.
- Sakthivel, E., Senthamarai Kannan, K., and Arumugam, S. (2013). Optimized evaluation of students performances using fuzzy logic. *International Journal of Scientific and Engineering Research*. 4(9), 1128-1133
- Salojarvi, S., Furu, P., and Sveiby, K. E. (2005). Knowledge management and growth in Finnish SMEs. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 9(2), 103-122.
- Sankowska, A. (2013). Relationships between organizational trust, knowledge transfer, knowledge creation, and firm's innovativeness. *The Learning Organization*. 20(1), 85-100.
- Sedighi, M., and Zand, F. (2012). Knowledge management: review of the critical success factors and development of a conceptual classification model. *10th International Conference of ICT and Knowledge Engineering*. Bangkok: IEEE, 1-9.
- Shannak, R. O. (2009). Measuring knowledge management performance. *European Journal of Scientific Research*. 35(2), 242-253.
- Sheehan, N. T., Vaidyanathan, G., and Kalagnanam, S. (2005). Value creation logics and the choice of management control systems. *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management*. 2(1), 1-28.
- Siegel, D. S. and Renko, M. (2012). The role of market and technological knowledge in recognizing entrepreneurial opportunities. *Management Decision*. 50(5), 797-816.
- Singh, R. K. and Garg, S. K. (2008). Strategy development by SMEs for competitiveness: a review. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*. 15(5), 525-547.
- Sivanandam, S. N., Sumathi, S. and Deepa, S. N. (2007). *Introduction to Fuzzy Logic using MATLAB*. New York: Springer.

- SME Corporation Malaysia (2014). SME Corp. Malaysia Annual Report 2014. Retrieved on 15 August, 2016, from <http://www.smecorp.gov.my/index.php/en/resources/2015-12-21-11-07-06/sme-corp-malaysia-annual-report/book/63-sme-corp-malaysia-annual-report-2014/4-sme-corp-malaysia-annual-report>
- SME Corporation Malaysia (2016). *Guideline on new SME definition*. Retrieved on 15 August, 2016, from www.smecorp.gov.my/images/pdf/Guideline_New_SME_Definition_updated.pdf
- Smith, E. A. (2001). The role of tacit and explicit knowledge in the workplace. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 5(4), 311-321.
- Smolarski, J. and Kut, C. (2011). The impact of venture capital financing method on SME performance and internationalization. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*. 7(1), 39-55.
- Soon, T. T. and Zainol, F. A. (2011). Knowledge management enablers, process and organizational performance: evidence from Malaysia enterprises. *Asian Social Science*. 7(8), 186-202.
- Soto-Acosta, P., Colomo-Palacios, R., and Popa, S. (2014). Web knowledge sharing and its effect on innovation: an empirical investigation in SMEs. *Knowledge Management Research and Practice*. 12(1), 103-113.
- Sparrow, J. (2001). Knowledge management in small firms. *Knowledge and Process Management*. 8(1), 3-16.
- Steier, L. (2001). Family firms, plural forms of governance, and the evolving role of trust. *Family Business Review*. 14(4), 353-367.
- Stein, E. W., Manco, M. P., and Manco, S. A. (2001). A knowledge-based system to assist university administrators in meeting disability act requirements. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 21(2), 65-74.
- Stewart, T. A. (1997). *Intellectual Capital: The New Wealth - Managing and Measuring Knowledge-based Assets*. New York: Doubleday.
- Stonehouse, G. H. and Pemberton, J. D. (1999). Learning and knowledge management in the intelligent organization. *Participation and Empowerment: An International Journal*. 7(5), 131-144.

- Storey, C. and Kahn, K. B. (2010). The role of knowledge management strategies and task knowledge in stimulating service. *Innovation Journal of Service Research*. 13(4), 397-410.
- Strang, K. D. (2010). Comparing learning and knowledge management theories in an Australian telecommunications practice. *Asian Journal of Management Cases*. 7(1), 33-54.
- Straub, D. W., Hoffman, D. L., Weber, B. W., and Steinfield, C. (2002). Measuring e-commerce in net-enabled organizations: an introduction to the special issue. *Information Systems Research*. 13(2), 115-124.
- Streiner, D. L. (1994). Figuring out factors: the use and misuse of factor analysis. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*. 39(3), 135-140.
- Susanty, A., Handayani, N. U., and Henrawan, M. Y. (2012). Key success factors that influence knowledge transfer effectiveness: a case study of Garment Sentra at Kabupaten Sragen. *Procedia Economics and Finance, International Conference on Small and Medium Enterprises Development with the Theme. Innovation and Sustainability in SME Development (ICSMED 2012)*. 4(1), 23-32.
- Sveiby, K. E. (1997). The intangible assets monitor. *Journal of Human Resource Costing and Accounting*. 2(1), 73-97.
- Thiagarajan, T. and Zairi, M. (1998). An empirical analysis of critical factors of TQM: a proposed tool for self-assessment and benchmarking purposes. *Benchmarking for Quality Management & Technology*. 5(4), 291-303.
- Thomke, S. H. (2003). *Experimentation Matters*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Tolstoy, D. (2010). Knowledge combination in networks: evidence from the international venturing of four small biotech firms. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 6(2), 183-202.
- Tseng, S. M. (2008). Knowledge management system performance measure index. *Expert Systems with Applications*. 34(1), 734-745.
- Tseng, S. M. (2016). The effect of knowledge management capability and customer knowledge gaps on corporate performance. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*. 29(1), 51-71.
- uit Beijerse, R. P. (1999). Questions in knowledge management: defining and conceptualising a phenomenon. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 3(2), 94-110.

- Valmohammadi, C. (2010). Identification and prioritization of critical success factors of knowledge management in Iranian SMEs: an experts' view. *African Journal of Business Management*. 4(6), 915-924.
- Valmohammadi, C. and Ahmadi, M. (2015). The impact of knowledge management practices on organizational performance: A balanced scorecard approach. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*. 28(1), 131-159.
- Villar, C., Alegre, J., and Pla-Barber, J. (2014). Exploring the role of knowledge management practices on exports: A dynamic capabilities view. *International Business Review*. 23(1), 38-44.
- Wang, S., Noe, R. A., and Wang, Z. M. (2014). Motivating knowledge sharing in knowledge management systems a quasi-field experiment. *Journal of Management*. 40(4) 978-1009.
- Wang, Y. L. and Zheng, J. G. (2010). Knowledge management performance evaluation based on triangular fuzzy number. *Procedia Engineering*. 7(1), 38-45.
- Wee, J. C. N. and Chua, Y. K. (2013). The peculiarities of knowledge management processes in SMEs: the case of Singapore. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 17(6), 958-972.
- Weeks, M. (2004). Knowledge management in the wild. *Business Horizons*. 47(6), 15-24.
- Whelan, E. and Carcary, M. (2011). Integrating talent and knowledge management: where are the benefits? *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 15(4), 675-687.
- Wiig, K. M. (1997). knowledge management: where did it come from and where will it go? *Expert Systems with Applications*. 13(1), 1-14.
- Wiklund, J. and Shepherd, D. (2003). Knowledge-based resources, entrepreneurial orientation, and the performance of small and medium-sized businesses. *Strategic Management Journal*. 24(13), 1307-1314.
- Wilhelm, S., Gueldenberg, S., and Güttel, W. (2013). Do you know your valuable customers? *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 17(5), 661-676
- WIPO (2004). *WIPO Intellectual Property Handbook*. Geneva: WIPO Publication.
- Wong, K. Y. (2005). Critical success factors for implementing knowledge management in small and medium enterprises. *Industrial Management & Data System*. 105(3), 261-279.
- Wong, K. Y. and Aspinwall, E. (2004). A fundamental framework for knowledge

- management implementation in SMEs. *Journal of Information & Knowledge Management*. 3(2), 155-166.
- Wong, K. Y. and Aspinwall, E. (2005a). An empirical study of the important factors for knowledge-management adoption in the SME sector. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 9(3), 64-83.
- Wong, K. Y. and Aspinwall, E. (2005b). Knowledge management: case studies in SMEs and evaluation of an integrated approach. *Journal of Information & Knowledge Management*. 4(2), 95-111.
- Wong, K.Y., Tan, L. P., Lee, C. S. (2015). Knowledge management performance measurement: measures, approaches, trends and future directions. *Information Development*. 31(3), 239-257.
- Wu, Y. (2002). An e-commerce oriented knowledge management solution. *Journal of Computer Engineering and Applications*. 38(22), 40-42.
- Wu, Y. L., Wang, X., and Wu, H. S. (2009). Research on the performance measurement of knowledge management based on principal component analysis. *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Intelligent Systems and Applications*, Wuhan, 1-4.
- Yang, J. T. and Wan, C. S. (2004). Advancing organizational effectiveness and knowledge management implementation. *Tourism Management*. 25(5), 593-601.
- Yang, L. R., Chen, J. H. and Wang, H. W. (2012). Assessing impacts of information technology on project success through knowledge management practice. *Automation in Construction*. 22(1), 182-191.
- Yeh, D. Y., Cheng, H. C., and Chi, M. L. (2007). A modified two-tuple FLC model for evaluating the performance of SCM: by the six sigma DMAIC process. *Applied Soft Computing*. 7(3), 1027-1034.
- Yin, R. K. (2003). *Case Study Research: Design and Methods*. (3rd ed.). Thousand Oaks: Sage.
- Yip, M. W., Alex, N. H. H., and Sabariyah, binti Din. (2012). Knowledge management activities in small and medium enterprises/industries: a conceptual framework. *2012 International Conference on Innovation and Information Management (ICIIM 2012)*. Singapore, 36, 16-19.
- Yun, G., Shin, D., Kim, H., and Lee. S. (2011). Knowledge-mapping model for construction project organizations. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 15(3), 528-548.

- Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy Sets. *Information and Control*. 8(3), 338-353.
- Zadeh L. A. (1978). Fuzzy set as a basis for theory of possibility. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*. 1(1), 3-28.
- Zhang, C., Xiao, H., Gursoy, D., and Rao, Y. (2015). Tacit knowledge spill over and sustainability in destination development. *Journal of Sustainable Tourism*. 36(7), 1029-1048.
- Zhang, M., Macpherson, A., and Jones, O. (2006). Conceptualizing the learning process in SMEs: improving innovation through external orientation. *International Small Business Journal*. 24(3), 299-323.
- Zhang, R. (2010). The application of the balanced scorecard in performance assessment of knowledge management. *Proceedings of the 2nd IEEE International Conference on Information Management and Engineering*. Chengdu, 443-447.
- Zhou, A. Z. and Fink, D. (2003). Knowledge management and intellectual capital: an empirical examination of current practice in Australia. *Knowledge Management Research and Practice*. 1(2), 86-94.
- Zieba, M., Bolisani, E., and Scarso, E. (2016). Emergent approach to knowledge management by small companies: multiple case-study research. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. 20(2), 292-307.
- Zyngier, S. (2006). Knowledge management governance. In: Schwartz, D. (Ed.). *Encyclopedia of Knowledge Management*. (pp.373-380). Hershey: IGI Global.