

COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH BEHAVIOR OF TREATED MARINE CLAY
USING ORDINARY PORTLAND CEMENT AND BLAST FURNACE SLAG

KUAN SIEW FUNG

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Geotechnics)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

MAY 2017

To my beloved mother and father

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the preparing of this project report, there is a lot of people contributed to my understanding and thoughts. firstly, I would like to express my deep appreciation to my main supervisor, Prof Ir Dr Ramli Nazir, for guiding and provide me all the information and knowledge needed to help in completing my research. All the encouragement, critics and supervision has made this research success to present here.

I am also indebted to the Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) for provide their assistance in supplying all the information and infrastructure to help in completing this research. Special thanks to all my lecturers and fellow postgraduate students for encouraging me all the time.

My sincere appreciation also extends to my manager and colleagues for providing support and assistance in various circumstances. Their views and tips in all the laboratory tests conducted are useful indeed. My last sincere appreciation for those who involves direct and directly in completing this research.

ABSTRACT

Soft marine clay often treated as a problematic soil with undesirable properties of low bearing capacity, high compressibility and low hydraulic conductivity. In many suggested ground improvement works, mixing of additional binders to the marine clay can increase the strength of the soft marine clay. This research will be focused on the effects of compressive strength of the marine treated clay using ordinary Portland cement (OPC) and ground-granulated blast furnace slag (GGBFS) by conducting laboratory testing of unconfined compressive strength (UCS) with different curing time. The preliminary tests on the physical and chemical properties of the marine clay was carried out to have a better understanding on the suitability and properties of the marine clay. The marine clay used in this research is collected from Jimah, Port Dickson in Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia. The samples were tested after a curing period of 7, 14, 28 and 56 days based on two (2) different design mix of slag cement proportion of 0:100 and 70:30. Total of 8%, 11% and 14% binder content to the total weight of samples with water cement ratio of 1.0 were added. From the study, it can be concluded that the strength of the treated marine clay increased with increasing amount of binder content and duration of the curing time. Sample with slag cement proportion of 70:30 have lower strength at early stage but reach higher UCS at later stage when compared with sample with slag cement proportion of 0:100 due to strong pozzolanic reaction of GGBFS. The investigation has shown that GGBFS is a strong bind product that can be used to improve UCS.

ABSTRAK

Tanah lempung marin lembut sering dianggap sebagai tanah yang bermasalah dengan ciri-ciri keupayaan galas kebolehmampatan dan kekonduksian hidraulik yang rendah. Dalam kebanyakan projek cadangan pembaikan tanah, campuran pengikat digunakan untuk menambah kekuatan tanah lempung marin. Kajian ini akan fokus kepada kesan kekuatan mampatan tanah lempung marin dengan campuran Portland Simen Biasa (OPC) dan sanga relau (GGBFS) untuk menjalankan ujian makmal kekuatan mampatan tak terkurung (UCS). Ujian awal mengenai sifat-sifat fizikal dan kimia tanah lempung marin juga dijalankan untuk mengetahui kesesuaian dan sifat-sifat tanah lempung marin. Tanah lempung marin yang digunakan dalam kajian ini dikumpul dari Mukim Jimah, Port Dickson di Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia. Sampel diuji selepas tempoh 7, 14, 28 dan 56 hari berdasarkan dua campuran yang berbeza iaitu sanga simen bahagian 0:100 dan 70:30. Sebanyak 8%, 11% dan 14% kandungan simen dengan jumlah berat sampel telah ditambah. Dalam kajian ini, dapat disimpulkan bahawa kekuatan tanah lempung marin yang dirawat dapat ditingkatkan dengan tempoh masa yang meningkat. Keputusan kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa GGBFS mempunyai kekuatan mampatan yang rendah pada peringkat awal tetapi mencapai kekuatan mampatan yang lebih tinggi pada peringkat kemudian jika dibandingkan dengan sampel dengan sanga simen bahagian 0:100 disebabkan oleh proses pozzolanic yang kuat oleh GGBFS. Kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa GGBFS adalah produk pengikat yang kuat dan boleh digunakan untuk meningkatkan kekuatan mampatan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENT	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Research Background	2
	1.3 Problem Statement	3
	1.4 Objectives	3
	1.5 Scope of Research	4
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	5
	2.1 Introduction	5
	2.2 General Characteristics of Marine Clay	6

2.3	Geotechnical Properties of Marine Clay at Jimah, Port Dickson	7
2.4	Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC)	9
2.5	Ground Granulated Blast Furnace Slag (GGBFS)	11
2.6	Mechanism of Binder Stabilized Clay	12
2.7	Laboratory Mixing Parameters	14
	2.7.1 Effect of Binder Content	15
	2.7.2 Effect of Water Content	16
	2.7.3 Effect of Mixing Condition	17
	2.7.4 Curing Period and Temperature	19
2.8	Compressive Strength Behavior	20
3	METHODOLOGY	25
3.1	Introduction	25
3.2	Sample Collection and Preparation	27
3.3	Material Properties Testing	28
3.4	Method of OPC and GGBFS slurries Preparation	29
3.5	Compaction of Mixed Soil in Mold	32
3.6	Curing of the Samples	35
3.7	Specimen Testing of Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS)	36
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	37
4.1	Introduction	37
4.2	Physical and Chemical Results on Marine Clay	38
4.3	Mechanical Properties (Strength Characteristics)	41
	4.3.1 Stress-strain Curve	
	4.3.2 Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCS)	47
	4.3.2.1 Influence of Curing Time	50
	4.3.2.3 Changes of Density	62

4.3.2.4	Relationship of Curing Period with Unconfined Compressive Strength	64
5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	67
5.1	Conclusion	67
5.2	Limitation	68
5.3	Recommendation	69
	REFERENCES	70
	Appendices	77-98

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Geotechnical properties of marine clay at Jimah, Port Dickson	9
2.2	Components of Portland cement	10
2.3	Chemical compositions of Portland Cement	10
2.4	Chemical component of Furnace Slag	12
2.5	Comparison of different standards of laboratory mixing	18
2.6	The investigations carried out by different researchers	21
3.1	Summary on the chemical composition and physical properties of OPC	27
3.2	Summary on the properties of GGBFS supplied by China	28
3.3	Summary of total required amount of cement, water and clay content for laboratory tests.	30
4.1	Main geotechnical engineering properties of the marine clay, Jimah	38
4.2	Summary of results from particle size distribution curve	40
4.3	Summary of UCS of untreated and treated marine clay with slag cement proportion of (0:100)	48
4.4	Summary of UCS of untreated and treated marine clay with slag cement proportion of (0:100)	49
4.5	Effect of curing period on UCS for treated marine clay	65

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Soil profile at Jimah, Port Dickson	8
2.2	Blast furnace slag has brighter color compared with OPC which is light grey	11
2.3	Chemical reaction between clay, cement, slag and water	14
2.4	Schematic diagram for the mixing process	15
3.1	Flow chart of the research methodology	26
3.2	The electrical mixer used for the mixing of slurry and clay	31
3.3	Mixing of binder with marine clay	31
3.3	Flow chart of compaction for mixed soil with binders	31
3.4	Samples of treated marine clay that is compacted into PVC mold	33
3.5	Samples of treated marine clay that is compacted into the PVC mold	34
3.6	Extraction of treated marine clay from mold for the testing of UCS.	34
3.7	Curing of samples with mold in curing tank with temperature of 22-25 °C for 7, 14, 28 and 56 days.	35
3.8	Compressive strength machine used for testing of samples	36

4.1	Plasticity Chart shows the research soil high plasticity marine clay	39
4.2	Particle size distribution curve for the untreated marine clay	40
4.3	Stress-strain curves for the untreated marine clay	42
4.4	Stress-strain curve for 8% OPC with slag cement proportion of 0:100	43
4.5	Stress-strain curve for 11% OPC with slag cement proportion of 0:100	43
4.6	Stress-strain curve for 14% OPC with slag cement proportion of 0:100	44
4.7	Stress-strain curves of 6% GGBFS and 2% OPC with slag cement proportion of 70:30	45
4.8	Stress-strain curves of 8% GGBFS and 3% OPC with slag cement proportion of 70:30	45
4.9	Stress-strain curves of 10% GGBFS and 4% OPC with slag cement proportion of 70:30	46
4.10	Unconfined compressive strength versus curing time of 7 to 56 days for slag cement proportion of 0:100	51
4.11	Unconfined compressive strength versus curing time of 7 to 56 days for slag cement proportion of 70:30	51
4.12	Unconfined compressive strength against curing time for total binder content of 8%.	53
4.13	Unconfined compressive strength against curing time for total binder content of 11%.	53
4.14	Unconfined compressive strength against curing time for total binder content of 14%	54
4.15	Unconfined compressive strength against curing time for total binder content of 8%, 11% and 14%	55
4.16	The effect of binder content on the unconfined compressive strength for slag cement proportion of 0:100 with related to curing time	58

4.17	The effect of binder content on the unconfined compressive strength for slag cement proportion of 70:30 with related to curing time	58
4.18	Comparison on the effect of binder content on the unconfined compressive strength for slag cement proportion of 0:100 and 70:30 with related to curing time of 7 days.	59
4.19	Comparison on the effect of binder content on the unconfined compressive strength for slag cement proportion of 0:100 and 70:30 with related to curing time of 14 days.	60
4.20	Comparison on the effect of binder content on the unconfined compressive strength for slag cement proportion of 0:100 and 70:30 with related to curing time of 28 days.	60
4.21	Comparison on the effect of binder content on the unconfined compressive strength for slag cement proportion of 0:100 and 70:30 with related to curing time of 56 days.	61
4.22	Comparison on the effect of binder content on the unconfined compressive strength for slag cement proportion of 0:100 and 70:30 with different curing time	61
4.23	Density of samples with related to unconfined compressive strength for slag cement proportion of 0:100 with different binder content	63
4.24	Density of samples with related to unconfined compressive strength for slag cement proportion of 70:30 with different binder content	63
4.25	Relationship between unconfined compressive strength at 14 days curing and that at 7 days curing	65
4.26	Relationship between unconfined compressive strength at 28 days curing and that at 14 days curing	66
4.27	Relationship between unconfined compressive strength at 56 days curing and that at 28 days curing	66

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Al	Aluminum
ASSHTO	American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials
ASTM	American Testing Manual
BS	British Standard
Ca	Calcium
D	Number of day
F	Floride
Fe	Felium
GGBFS	Ground-granulated blast furnace slag
K	Potassium
MC	Marine clay
Mg	Magnesium
Na	Sodium
O	Oxygen
OPC	Ordinary Portland Cement
S	Sulphur
Si	Silicate
Su	Undrained shear strength
UCS	Unconfined Compressive Strength
USCS	Unified Soil Classification System
W	Moisture content

LIST OF SYMBOLS

m_{binder}	binder content
w_N	natural water content in unstabilised soil
ρ_{soil}	bulk density of unstabilised soil
γ	Unit Weight
G_c	Specific gravity of Binder
G_s	Specific gravity of Clay
G_s	Specific gravity
γ_w	Unit Weight of Water
I_p	Plasticity Index
V_a	Volume of air Void
V_c	Volume of Binder
V_s	Volume of Clay
V_w	Volume of Water
w	Natural Moisture Content
W_c	Weight of binder

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Determination of Moisture Content	76
B	Determination of Density	77
C	Determination of Atterberg Limit	78
D	Determination of Particle Density	81
E	Chemical Analysis	82
F	Particle Size Distribution	83
G	Compressive Strength Results	85

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Marine clay normally deposited along the coastal line of east and west part of Peninsular Malaysia. It represents the fine grained soft soil which the hydrous silicate aluminum molecules microcrystalline and arranged repetitiously to produce sheet-like structure. The mineralogical composition of marine clay consists of three main groups of clay minerals which are kaolinites, illites and montmorillonites with some non-clay minerals of feldspars and quartz (Basack & Purkayastha, 2009). This cohesive soil has higher moisture content than the liquid limit, thus displaying low bearing capacity, low permeability and high compressibility in term of engineering properties for construction (Vipulanandan et al., 2007).

The engineering and environmental properties of soft marine clay can be dramatically improved through ground improvement method of soil mixing with ordinary Portland cement (OPC) and furnace slag. Ordinary Portland Cement (OPC) is a hydraulic cement produced by pulverizing clinker consisting essentially of hydraulic calcium silicates, and usually containing one or more of the forms of calcium sulfate as an intergranular condition (ASTM C-150, 2005).

Furnace slag is the byproduct of the steel industry that is naturally cementitious and is often used as a cement replacement material in concrete. It consists of silt and clay-sized, non-cohesive particles with relatively low specific gravity ranging from 2.2 to 2.7. For the specific gravity of OPC is typically over 3.1 (ASSHTO M295, 2011).

1.2 Research Background

With rapid urbanization and fast growing in construction and infrastructure, the expansion of human population led to more utilization of soft ground on coastal area for further project development and construction. However, in geotechnical perspective, soft marine clay layers are weak and often treated as a problematic soil with undesirable properties as it imposes restrictions on the design and performance of site work. Bearing capacity failure occurs normally due to the reduction in strength and stiffness of the soil which led to consolidation and undergo excessive vertical settlement and lateral deformation which damage the construction building and foundation.

As marine clay is unfavorable in designing of engineering structures, many geotechnical engineers used different methods to improve the soft soil strength. One of the common practice is either by removing the whole marine clay and replace it with suitable soil or increase the bearing capacity by transferring the load through it stronger stratum layer below. Some engineers re-design the building or structures foundation used to accommodate the prevailing difficulties of soft soil. However, all these methods are very cost effective as the depth that needed to be considered and the quantities that concerning are small. Thus, the problem of economically construct and maintain of the soft ground within limited right way is a continue concern as this can be very difficult and expensive engineering problem to resolve.

1.3 Problem Statement

Marine clay is one of the problematic soil that exist along the coastal line of West Malaysia. Several problems are faced when dealing with the soft clay from soil characterization, stability of structures and ground improvement solution. Due to it complex geological histories, thus the stress-strain-strength behavior of the soft clay is very complicated. As it exhibits high potential of shrinkage during dry periods and swell during wet periods, it causes a lot of geotechnical issues on construction. Low shear strength and high compressibility of the soft clay causes low bearing capacity which led to the settlement of structures and subsequently causing failure of the foundation.

In many suggested ground improvement works, mixing of additional binders to the marine clay can increase the strength of the soft marine clay. In designing of foundation and structures, it is desirable to know the amount of binders needed for the improvement of soft ground before implementing the treatment process. It is necessary to provide information based on treated local soft marine clay as it will provide better understanding on the most suitable binders to be used for achieve required maximum strength. Thus, trial mix in laboratory is carry out in order to achieve the expected strength needed in the mix. Unconfined compressive strength of treated marine clay is easily related with the type and amount of binders to reach desired strength.

1.4 Objectives

The aims of this research are to improve the strength soft marine clay by mixing of OPC and furnace slag at various percentage and curing period based on laboratory

experimental works and physical model tests. The objectives of this research are as follows:

- a) To determine the physical and mechanical properties of untreated marine clay.
- b) To determine the unconfined compressive strength of treated marine clay using ordinary Portland cement and ground-granulated blast furnace slag.
- c) To compare the effect of addition of Ordinary Portland Cement and ground granulated blast furnace slag on the improvement of compressive strength of treated marine clay with different curing time.

1.5 Scope of Research

This research will be focuses on the effects of compressive strength of the marine treated clay using OPC and GGBFS by conducting laboratory testing of unconfined compressive strength (UCS) at constant rate of strain to determine the maximum strength with slag cement proportion of 0:100 and 70:30. The preliminary tests on the physical and chemical properties of the marine clay is carried out to have a better understanding on the suitability and properties of the marine clay. The marine clay used in this research is collected from Mukim Jimah, Port Dickson in Negeri Sembilan, Malaysia. The samples are tested after a curing period of 7, 14, 28 and 56 days based on four (3) different design mix of 8%, 11% and 14% binder content to the total weight of samples.

REFERENCES

- Abram D. (1918). *Design of Concrete Mixtures*. Bulletin I Structural Materials Research Laboratory. Chicago: Lewis Insititute.
- American Society for Testing and Materials. ASTM C 150 (2005). *Standard Specification for Portland cement*. USA: American Society for Testing and Materials Institution.
- American Association of State Highway and Transportation. AASHTO M 295 (2011). *Standard Specification for Fly Ash and Raw or Calcined Natural Pozzolanic for Use as a Mineral Admixture in Portland cement Concrete*. USA: American Association of State Highway and Transportation.
- American Society for Testing and Materials. (2011). ASTM D2487-11. *Standard Practice for Classification of Soils for Engineering Purposes (Unified Soil Classification System)*. West Conshohocken, PA, USA: ASTM Institution.
- Axelsson K et al (2002) *Stabilization of organic soils by cement and pozzolanic reactions. Feasibility study*. Linkoping (Sweden): 3rd Report of Swedish Deep Stabilization Research Centre.
- Basack, S. & Purkayastha, R.D. (2009). *Engineering Properties of Marine Clays from the Eastern Coast of India*. Journal of Engineering and Technology Research. Vol 1(6), pp 109-114.

- British Standard. (2009). BS EN 12390-3. *Testing Hardened Concrete: Compressive Strength of Test Specimens*. London, UK: British Standard Institution.
- Bruce V. and Alain M. (2008). *The Origin of Clay Minerals in Soils and Weathered Rock*. France, German: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- C.A. Anagnostopoulos (2015). *Strength Properties of an Epoxy Resin and Cement-Stabilized Silty Clay Soil*. Journal of Applied Clay Science. Vol 114. Pp 517-529.
- Chen H, Wang Q. (2006). *The behavior of organic matter in the process of soft soil stabilization using cement*. Bull Eng Geol Environ 65(4), pp 445–448
- Coastal Development of Technology Japan. (2008). *Technical Manual on Deep Mixing Method for Marine Works*. Japan: Coastal Development of Technology Japan.
- Debora R.D. et al. (2012). *Preliminary Laboratory Tests to Study the Increase of Strength in Samples of Soft Soils with Cement, for Treatment using Dry-Mix System*. Journal of Grouting and Deep Mixing.
- D. T. Bergado et al. (2005). *Economical Mixing Method for Cement Deep Mixing*. GSP 136 Innovations in Grouting and Soil Improvement.
- EuroSoilStab. (2001). *Development of Design and Construction Methods to Stabilize Soft Organic Soils*. Design guide soft soil stabilization CT97-0351, EC Project no. BE 96-3177, Industrial & Materials Technologies Programme. Europe: European Commission.
- Gery B. et al. (2011). *Portland Cement*. London: ICE Publishing.
- G. Kang et al. (2016). *Engineering Behavior of Cement-Treated Marine Dredged Clay during Early and Later Stages of Curing*. Journal of Engineering Geology, Vol 206, pp 163-174.

- Gorai B. & Jana R.K. (2003). *Characteristics and Utilization of Copper Slag-A Review*. Resources Conservation and Recycling. Vol 39, pp299-313.
- Guggenheim, S. and Martin, R.T. (1995). *Definition of Clay and Clay Mineral: Joint Report of the AIPEA Nomenclature and CMS Nomenclature Committees*. Journal of Clays and Clay Minerals, Vol 43(2), pp 255-256.
- Guggenheim, S. and Martin, R.T., (1996). *Reply to the comment by D.M. Moore on "Definition of clay and clay mineral: Joint report of the AIPEA nomenclature and CMS nomenclature committees*. Journal of Clays and Clay Minerals, Vol 44(5), pp713-715.
- Horpibulsuk S. et al. (2012). *Strength Development in Blended Cement Admixed Saline Clay*. Journal of Applied Clay Science. Vol 55, pp 44-52.
- Horpibulsuk S. et al. (2015). *Clay-Water/Cement Ratio Identity for Cement Admixed Soft Clays*. Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering. Vol 131(2), pp 187-192.
- Jacobson et al. (2002). *Factors Affecting Strength Gain in Lime-Cement Columns and Development of a Laboratory Testing Procedure*. Master Thesis. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia. pp 83.
- Japanese Geotechnical Society. (2009). *Practice for Making and Curing Stabilized Soil Specimens without Compaction*. JGS T 0821-2009. Japan: Japanese Geotechnical Society.
- L.L. Kae et al. (2014). *Pozzolanic Reaction of A Mortar Made with Cement and Slag Vitriified from a MSWI ash mix and LED Sludge*. Journal of Construction and Building Material, Vol. 64, pp 277-287.

- K. Gong & C.E. White. (2016). *Impact of Chemical Variability of Granulated Blast-Furnace Slag on the Phase Formation in Alkali-Activated Slag Pastes*. Journal of Cement and Concrete Research. Vol 89, pp 310-319.
- Khairul N. et al. (2006). *The Correlation between Chemical and Index Properties for Soft Clay of Peninsular Malaysia*. Technology and Innovation for Sustainable Development Conference (TISD 2006). Faculty of Engineering, Khon Kaen University, Thailand.
- Klaus K. & Alan B. (2013). *Ground Improvement*. London, New York: CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group.
- Lorenzo G.A. (2006). *Fundamental Characteristics of Cement Admixture Clay in Deep Mixing*. Journal of Civil Engineering. Vol 18(2), pp 161-174.
- L. Shao et al. (2008). *Experimental Study on the Stabilization of Organic Clay with Fly Ash and Cement Mixed Method*. Geo Congress 2008. 9-12 March 2008. New Orleans, USA. pp 20-27.
- Lynn S et al. (2012). *Factors Affecting the Improvement of Clay with Blast-Furnace Slag*. Journal of Grouting and Deep Mixing. pp 1748-1757.
- Matsuo T., Nisibayashi K. and Hosoya Y. (1996). *Studies on Soil Improvement Adjusted at Low Compressive Strength in Deep Mixing Method*. Proceedings of IS Tokyo 96, The 2nd International Conference on Ground Improvement Geosystems. 14-17 May 1996, Tokyo. pp 807-824.
- Mehdi R. et al. (2014). *Evaluation of Kaolin Slurry Properties Treated with Cement*. Journal of Measurement, Vol 50, pp 222-228.
- M.J.Chuan et al. (2017). *Influence of Superabsorbent Polymer in Shrinkage Properties of Reactive Powder Concrete Blended with Ground-Granulated Blast Furnace Slag*. Journal of Construction and Building Material, Vol 146, pp 283-296.

- M. Kitazume et al. (2004). *Laboratory Tests on Long Term Strength of Cement Treated Soil*. Journal of Grouting and Ground Treatment, pp 586-597.
- M.Kitazume et al. (2013). *The Deep Mixing Method*. London, New York: CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group.
- M. Kitazume et al. (2015). *Applicability of Molding Procedures in Laboratory Mix Tests for Quality Control and Assurance of the Deep Mixing Method*. Journal of Soils and Foundations. Vol 55(4), pp 761-777.
- Moayed H et al. (2011). *Effect of Sodium Silicates on unconfined Compressive Strength of Soft Clay*. Journal of Geotechnical Engineering. Vol 16. Pp 289-295.
- M. Raftari et al. (2014). Evaluation of Kaolin Slurry Properties Treated with Cement. Journal of Measurement. Vol 50. Pp 222-228.
- Muawia. D. et al. (2015). *Compressive Strength Variations of Lime-treated Expansive Soils*. IFCE 2015.17-21 March 2015. San Antonio, Texas.
- M.V. Vakili et al. (2016). *Investigation on Shear Strength of Stabilised Clay using Cement, Sodium Silicate And Slag*. Journal of Applied Clay Science Vol 124-125. Pp 243-251.
- Nor Zurairahetty M. Y. et al. (2016). Shear Strength and Compressibility Behaviour of Lime-treated Organic Clay. KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering. Vol 20(5). Pp 1721-1727.
- Oana C. (2015). *Soil Improvement by Mixing: Techniques and Performance*. Energy Procedia. Vol 85, pp 85-92.
- Oheryn (2007). *Geotechnical and ground improvement aspects of motorway embankments in soft clay*. PhD dissertation. Griffith University, Gold Coast/Brisbane.

- Pornkasem et al. (2010). *Influence of Fly Ash on Unconfined Compressive Strength of Cement Admixed Clay at High Water Content*. Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering. Vol 22(1), pp 49-58.
- R. Saadeldin & S. Siddiqua (2012). *Geotechnical Characterization of a Clay-Cement Mix*. Bulletin of Engineering Geotechnical Environment 72, pp 601-608.
- Saitoh S. et al. (1985). *Hardening of Soil Improved by the Deep Mixing Method*. Proc. Of the 11th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering. Vol 3, pp 1745-1748.
- S.H. Chew and S.K. Bharati. (2009). *Used of Recycled Copper Slag in Cement-Treated Singapore Marine Clay*. Proc. Of Int. Symp. On Geoenvironmental Eng. ISGE 2009. 8-10 September 2009. Hangzhou, China.
- S.Li et al., (2008). *Experimental Study on the Stabilization of Organic Clay with Fly Ash and Cement Mixed Method*. GeoCongress 2008. March 9-12 2008. New Orleans, Louisiana,
- S.Mukherjee. (2013). *Science of Clay: Application in Industry, Engineering and Environment*. New Delhi, India: Springer Netherlands.
- S. Sasanian and T.A. Newson. (2014). *Basic Parameters Governing the Behaviour of Cement-Treated Clays*. Journal of Soil and Foundation, Vol 54(2), pp 209-224.
- Suriawati R. (2007). *Correlation of Engineering Characteristics of Marine Clay from Central West Coast of Malaysia*. Master Thesis Page 75. Universiti Technology Malaysia, Skudai.
- T.Tsuchida and Y.X. Tang. (2015). *Estimation of Compressive Strength of Cement Treated Marine Clay with Different Initial Water Content*. Journal of Soils and Foundation, Vol 55(2), pp 359-374.

Tugba E. (2015). *Influence of Cement Treatment on Unconfined Compressivs Strength and Compressibility of Lean Clay with Medium Plasticity*. Journal of Arab J Sci Eng. Vol 40, pp 763-772.

Vipilanandan C. et al. (2007). *Geotechnical Properties of Marine and Deltaic Soft Clays*. Advances in Measurement and Modelling of Soil Behaviour. pp 1-13.

Yi et al. (2015). *Microstructural and mechanical properties of marine soft clay stabilized by lime-activated ground granulated blast furnace slag*. Journal of Applied Clay Science. Vol 103(1), pp 71-76.