

ADAPTIVE CONTROL OF ONE-DOF PORTABLE REHABILITATION ROBOT
FOR WRIST TRAINING

JUNAID ZAHID

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Mechatronics & Automatic Control)

Faculty of Electrical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2018

*Especially dedicated to my beloved parents, wife,
siblings, nephews, and nieces.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of ALLAH, the most beneficent and the most merciful. All praise is due to Allah almighty, who asks us to seek knowledge and gives me the ability to finish this project successfully. And Peace be upon our Prophet Muhammad the first teacher.

First of all, I would like to express my sincere thanks to my parents, my wife and my siblings who they are part of this success.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Dr. Yeong Che Fai for his guidance and support with great sincerity and professionalism. By working with him, I have achieved not only the objectives of this project but I also have acquired the scientific research mentality, solving problems and writing skills. Actually this project is the fruit of all I have learnt during my master. Therefore, I want to thanks all doctors in UTM who taught me and I hope flourishing success for UTM. Finally, I gratefully acknowledge Dr. Kang Xiang Khor and Bashar Othman for their valuable help.

Junaid.

ABSTRACT

Stroke is one of the leading causes of severe disability. The application of rehabilitation robots is increasing rapidly to help in recovering this disability through rehabilitation training. By using robot, the patient may perform the training more frequently. Various rehabilitation robots have been developed with a set of rehabilitation training programs with different haptic modalities. Different controllers were applied to provide accurate motor control for the rehabilitation robot and PID controller is one of the commonly used controllers. A robot named CR2-Haptic, which is used to train upper limbs, was developed in UTM with a set of rehabilitation training programs with PID controller that was designed for the patients having standard weight and wrist flexibility. The robot is successfully being used for training of stroke patients. One of the limitations for the PID controller is that it is not able to adapt its controller if the load is over its capability, since the robot controller is tuned based on a set standard weight. Therefore, the robot controller was not able to adapt itself to rotate the patient's hand for patient with high muscle stiffness which is common in stroke patient. Thus, it limits the use of the device to only patient with low muscle spasticity. Whenever the unknown and inaccessible load torque is imposed, the system will have the steady-and/or transient-state error. Therefore, in this project, a model reference adaptive controller (MRAC) which is able to adapt itself based on different patient conditions has been designed using Lyapunov method and implemented on the CR2-Haptic device to reduce the positioning error and make it more beneficial for wide range of stroke patients. The controller has been tested on subjects of different muscles stiffness. It has performed better for accurate positioning of the end effector for patients with different weight and muscle stiffness. The results show that the designed controller is able to cope with the variations in limb's stiffness of the patients without the aid of any additional stiffness detection sensors.

ABSTRAK

Strok adalah salah satu penyebab utama ketidakupayaan yang teruk. Penerapan robot pemulihan meningkat dengan pesat untuk membantu memulihkan kecacatan ini melalui latihan pemulihan. Dengan menggunakan robot, pesakit boleh melakukan latihan tersebut dengan lebih kerap. Pelbagai robot pemulihan telah dibangunkan dengan satu set program latihan pemulihan dengan modaliti haptic yang berbeza. Pengawal yang berbeza digunakan untuk memberikan kawalan motor yang tepat untuk robot pemulihan dan pengawal PID adalah salah satu pengawal yang biasa digunakan. Robot bernama CR2-Haptic, yang digunakan untuk melatih paras atas anggota badan, telah dibangunkan di UTM dengan satu set program latihan pemulihan dengan pengawal PID yang direka untuk pesakit yang mempunyai berat badan standard dan fleksibilitasi pergelangan tangan. Robot ini berjaya digunakan untuk latihan pesakit strok. Salah satu batasan untuk pengawal PID ialah ia tidak dapat menyesuaikan pengawalnya jika beban melebihi tahap keupayaannya, ini kerana pengawal robot ditala berdasarkan set berat badan yang standard. Oleh itu, pengawal robot tidak dapat menyesuaikan dirinya untuk memutar tangan pesakit bagi pesakit yang mengalami kekakuan otot yang tinggi yang biasa terjadi bagi pesakit strok. Oleh itu, ia menghadkan penggunaan peranti itu dengan hanya boleh digunakan untuk pesakit yang mempunyai kelembutan otot yang rendah. Apabila tork beban yang tidak diketahui dan tidak dapat dicapai berlaku, sistem akan mempunyai kesilapan dari segi keadaan mantap dan / atau sementara. Oleh itu, dalam projek ini, pengawal penyesuaian rujukan model (MRAC) yang dapat menyesuaikan diri berdasarkan keadaan pesakit yang berbeza telah direka dengan menggunakan kaedah Lyapunov dan dilaksanakan pada peranti CR2-Haptic untuk mengurangkan kesilapan posisi dan menjadikannya lebih bermanfaat untuk pelbagai jenis pesakit strok. Pengawal telah diuji ke atas subjek otot kekejangan yang berlainan. Ia telah menghasilkan nilai yang lebih baik untuk kedudukan yang tepat dari effector akhir bagi pesakit dengan berat badan yang berbeza dan kekakuan otot. Hasilnya menunjukkan bahawa pengawal yang direka dapat mengatasi variasi kekakuan anggota pesakit tanpa tambahan bantuan sensor pengesanan kekakuan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xv
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objectives	2
	1.4 Scope	3
	1.5 Thesis Outline	3
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	4
	2.1 Overview	4
	2.2 Rehabilitation Robotos	4
	2.3 Classification of Rehabilitation Robotos	5
	2.3.1 Degree of Freedom	5
	2.3.2 Exoskeleton and Endoskeleton	5

2.3.3	Mode of Training	6
2.3.3.1	Passive Mode	6
2.3.3.2	Assistive Mode	6
2.3.3.3	Active Mode	6
2.4	Overview of Controllers	7
2.5	Classification of Controllers	7
2.6	Controllers for Rehabilitation Robots	8
2.6.1	Controllers for one-DOF Robots	8
2.6.2	Controllers for multi-DOF Robots	8
2.6.3	Controllers for DC motor	9
2.6.4	Adaptive Controllers	9
2.6.5	Model Reference Adaptive Controller (MRAC)	10
2.7	Summary	10
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	11
3.1	Overview	11
3.2	System Selection	13
3.3	CR2-Haptic	13
3.4	Mathematical Model	14
3.5	Adaptive Control	16
3.6	Model Reference Adaptive Control	17
3.6.1	Reference Model Selection	18
3.6.2	Adaptive Mechanism Design	18
3.6.2.1	Gradient Method	18
3.6.2.2	Lyapunov Method	19
3.6.2.3	Comparison between Gradient and Lyapunov Method	19
3.6.3	Controller Calculation	19
3.6.3.1	Controller without velocity feedback	20
3.6.3.2	Controller with velocity feedback	21
3.7	Hardware Implementation	23
3.8	Desired input sequence for wrist training	24
3.9	Summary	25

	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	26
4	4.1 Overview	26
	4.2 Simulation	26
	4.2.1 Pre-implemented PID controller	28
	4.2.2 Tuned PID controller	29
	4.2.3 MRAC without velocity feedback	30
	4.2.4 MRAC with velocity feedback	32
	4.2.5 Comparison between PID and designed controller	34
	4.3 Experimental Setup	35
	4.3.1 Pre-implemented PID controller	37
	4.3.2 MRAC with velocity feedback	39
	4.4 Summary	40
5	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS	41
	5.1 Conclusion	41
	5.2 Future Works	41
	REFERENCES	43
	Appendices A-B	47-51

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Components overview of CR2-Haptic	13
3.2	Given Parameters of the DC motor	16
4.1	Mean wrist stiffness in human	27
4.2	Comparison between PID and the designed controller	35
4.3	Mass and height values of subjects	37

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Simple feedback controller	7
3.1	Flow Chart of the Project	12
3.2	Schematic Diagram of armature controlled DC motor	14
3.3	Simulink Diagram of DC motor with PID controller	16
3.4	Block diagram of MRAC	17
3.5	Simulink diagram of MRAC	22
3.6	Flow chart of coding	23
3.7	Water drop game	24
3.8	Desired wrist training sequence	24
4.1	Different wrist movements	27
4.2	Pre-implemented PID step response	28
4.3	PID response to real input scheme	28
4.4	Tuned PID step response	29
4.5	Tuned PID response to real input	30
4.6	Simulink Diagram of MRAC	31
4.7	MRAC step response without velocity feedback	31
4.8	MRAC response to real input scheme	32
4.9	Simulink Diagram of MRAC with velocity feedback	33
4.10	MRAC with velocity feedback step response	33
4.11	MRAC with velocity feedback response to real input	34
4.12	CR2-Haptic	36
4.13	CR2-Haptic interfacing with computer	37
4.14	Experimental step response of PID	38
4.15	Experimental response of PID to real input scheme	38
4.16	Experimental step response of MRAC	39

4.17	Experimental MRAC response to real input scheme	40
------	---	----

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANN	-	Artificial Neural Networks
CR2	-	Compact Rehabilitation robot 2
DC	-	Direct Current
DOF	-	Degree Of Freedom
FBME	-	Faculty of Bio-Medical Engineering
IDE	-	Integrated Development Environment
KVL	-	Kirchhoff's Voltage Law
LQG	-	Linear Quadratic Gaussian
LQR	-	Linear Quadratic Regulator
MIT	-	Massachusetts Institute of Technology
MRAC	-	Model Reference Adaptive Control
PI	-	Proportional Integral
PID	-	Proportional Integral Derivative
PWM	-	Pulse Width Modulation
QEI	-	Quadrature Encoder Interface
SMC	-	Sliding Mode Control
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

LIST OF SYMBOLS

V	-	Input Voltage to DC motor
θ	-	Angular position of end effector
α	-	Adjustable controller parameter
i	-	Current through armature circuit
R	-	Resistance of Armature Circuit
L	-	Inductance of Armature
E	-	Back emf Voltage
T	-	Torque generated by DC motor
K_t	-	Motor Torque Constant of DC motor
K_b	-	Back emf constant of DC motor
$\dot{\theta}$	-	Angular velocity of end effector
J	-	Moment of Inertia
$\ddot{\theta}$	-	Angular acceleration of end effector
b	-	Viscous friction constant
e	-	Difference between actual and reference model output
Y_m	-	Reference Model output
Y	-	Actual output
R	-	Reference input
U	-	Control signal
θ_1	-	Adjustable controller parameter for designed MRAC
θ_2	-	Adjustable controller parameter for designed MRAC
θ_3	-	Adjustable controller parameter for designed MRAC

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	MRAC Coding using Energia IDE	47
B	List of Publications	51

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Stroke is one of the leading causes of severe disability. Rehabilitation robots are used to assist and improve motor function in the patients after stroke [20]-[22]. The application of rehabilitation robots is increasing rapidly to help in recovering post stroke disability through rehabilitation trainings. They provide automated therapy and enable intense and longer duration repetitive task practice [22], [23]. Moreover, by using a robot, the patient may perform training more frequently and easily at home. Various rehabilitation robots have been developed with a set of rehabilitation training programs with different haptic modalities [24]. They consist of actuators to produce desired movement of the end effector that holds the patient's affected limb for the training. DC motors are the commonly used actuators for this purpose. Different control techniques have been applied to control the actuators accurately according to the desired movement. PID controller is one of commonly used controllers.

1.2 Problem Statement

One of the limitations for the PID controller is the low adaptability to external disturbance or load. As the PID controller is tuned based on a preset standard load and parameters, if the robot uses the PID controller, it may not be able to adapt itself to rotate the patient's limb for patient with heavy weight or high muscle stiffness which is common in stroke patient. Thus, it limits the use of the device to only patient with low muscle spasticity. Whenever the unknown and inaccessible load torque is imposed, the system will have the steady-and/or transient-state error. Advanced techniques such as fuzzy logic, artificial neural network, self-Tuning control requires heavy computation for their complex algorithms. Many other techniques such as optimal control and LQR (Linear Quadratic Regulator) do not take in consideration the change in parameters due to external loading or require sensors for all states of the system.

Therefore, in this project, an adaptive controller which is able to adapt itself based on different patients condition is designed to control the movement of end effector of CR2-Haptic, a one-DOF rehabilitation robot that is used to train wrist and forearm movements. The purpose is to rotate wrist of patients with desired response for training and make it more beneficial for a wide range of stroke patients.

1.3 Objectives

The objectives of this project can be outlined as follows:

1. To design an adaptive controller for one-DOF rehabilitation robot that can adapt the variation in wrist stiffness of the patients.
2. To evaluate the performance of the controller using simulink.
3. To implement the designed controller on CR2-Haptic, a rehabilitation robot.

1.4 Scope of the project

The scope of the research is listed as follows:

1. The proposed control algorithm is designed for the robot with one-DOF for supination and pronation training in passive mode.
2. Implementation has been done on CR2-Haptic, a rehabilitation robot.
3. Previously deployed PID controller is reference for testing the designed controllers.

1.5 Thesis Outline

This thesis consists of five chapters. Chapter 1 is the introduction of the project. It covers a brief background of the project, problem statement, objectives, and scope of the research. Chapter 2 is a literature review of some previous research that was helpful to support the project. It classifies Rehabilitation Robots and controller's types for controlling the Robots and DC motors. Chapter 3 is research methodology that consists of the system selection, model of the system, controller design and flow chart of the research. Chapter 4 presents the simulation and experimental results together and discusses the obtained results for this research. The last chapter which is Chapter 5 summarizes the conclusion of the project with future recommendation to extend the research in future.

REFERENCES

- [1] Carlos Rossa, José Lozada, Alain Micaelli, "Interaction power flow based control of a 1-DOF hybrid haptic interface", *International Conference Euro Haptics 2012*.
- [2] Kang Xiang Khor, Patrick Jun Hua, Hisyam Abdul Rahman, Che Fai Yeong, Eileen Lee Ming Su, Aqilah Leela T. Narayanand, "A novel haptic interface and control algorithm for robotic rehabilitation of stroke patients", *IEEE Haptics Symposium 2014*.
- [3] Tan Ping Hua, Yeong Che Fai, Ricky Yap, Eileen Su Lee Ming, "Development of a low cost haptic knob", *International Journal of Mechanical, Aerospace, Industrial, Mechatronic and Manufacturing Engineering Vol:5, No:10, 2011*.
- [4] H.A. Rahman, T.P. Hua, R. YaP , C.F. Yeong, E.L.M. Su, "One degree of freedom haptic device", *International Symposium on Robotics and Intelligent Sensors (2012)*.
- [5] K. X. Khor, P. J. H. Chin, A. R. Hisyam, C.F. Yeong, A. L. T. Narayanan, E. L. M. Su, "Development of CR2-Haptic", *IEEE Conference on Biomedical Engineering and Sciences 2014*.
- [6] D. S. Andreasen, A.A.Aviles, S.K. Allen, K.B.Guthrie, B.R.Jennings, S.H. Sprigle, "Exoskeleton for forearm pronation and supination rehabilitation" (2006).
- [7] Hamed N. Rahimi, Ian Howard, Lei Cui, "Neural adaptive assist-as-needed control for rehabilitation robots", *Australasian Conference on Robotics and Automation (ACRA2016)*.
- [8] Ali Utku Pehlivan, Fabrizio Sergi, and Marcia K. O'Malley, "Adaptive control of a serial-in-parallel robotic rehabilitation device", *IEEE Int Conf Rehabil Robot. 2013*.

- [9] Juan C. P´erez Ibarra, Adriano A. G. Siqueira, “Impedance control of rehabilitation robots for lower limbs”. Review”, *Joint Conference on Robotics: SBR-LARS Robotics Symposium and Robot control 2014*.
- [10] M.Fallahi, S.Azadi, “Adaptive control of a DC motor using neural network sliding mode control”, *Proceedings of the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists 2009 Vol II*.
- [11] Neenu Thomas, Dr. P. Poongodi, “Position control of DC motor using genetic algorithm based PID controller”, *Proceedings of the World Congress on Engineering 2009 Vol II*.
- [12] Preeti agrawal, Rameswar singh, Renu Yadav, “Model reference adaptive control (mrac) techniques for control of DC motor”, *International Journal Of Innovation In Engineering Research & Management 2015*.
- [13] Stelian-Emilian OLTEAN, Adrian-Vasile DUKA, “Design Of local model reference adaptive control for a single robotic link driven by a DC motor”, *The 5th Edition of the Interdisciplinarity in Engineering International Conference, 2011*.
- [14] M. P. R.V. Rao, Heba A. Hassan, “New adaptive laws for model reference adaptive control using non-quadratic Lyapunov functions”, *Automatika 45(2004) 3–4, 155–159*.
- [15] Mojtaba Sharifi, Saeed Behzadipour and G. R. Vossoughi, “Model reference adaptive impedance control of rehabilitation robots in operational space”, *The Fourth IEEE RAS/EMBS International Conference on Biomedical Robotics and Biomechatronics , 2012*.
- [16] Dilip Kumar and Abhijit Kulkarni, “Design of non-linear sliding surface for robust position control of DC motor”, *978-1-4799-4939-7/14 ©2014 IEEE*.
- [17] Ayman A. Aly, “Velocity feedback control of a mechatronics system”, *I.J. Intelligent Systems and Applications, 2013, 08, 40-46*.
- [18] Domenico Formica, Steven K. Charles, Loredana Zollo, Eugenio Guglielmelli, Neville Hogan, and Hermano I. Krebs, “The passive stiffness of the wrist and forearm”, *Journal of Neurophysiology 2012 Aug 15*.
- [19] Hazlina Selamat, Rubiyah Yusof, "*Introduction to adaptive and self-tuning control*", 2014.
- [20] K. X. Khor, P. J. H. Chin, C. F. Yeong, E. L. M. Su, A. L. T. Narayanan, H. Abdul Rahman, and Q. I. Khan, “Portable and reconfigurable wrist robot

- improves hand function for post-stroke subjects,” *IEEE Trans. Neural Syst. Rehabil. Eng.*, pp. 1–1, 2017.
- [21] H. Abdul Rahman, K. X. Khor, C. F. Yeong, E. L. M. Su, and A. L. T. Narayanan, “The potential of iRest in measuring the hand function performance of stroke patients,” *Biomed. Mater. Eng.*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 105–116, Mar. 2017.
- [22] K. X. Khor, H. A. Rahman, S. K. Fu, L. S. Sim, C. F. Yeong, and E. L. M. Su, “A novel hybrid rehabilitation robot for upper and lower limbs rehabilitation training,” *Procedia Comput. Sci.*, vol. 42, no. C, pp. 293–300, 2014.
- [23] H. A. Rahman, K. X. Khor, L. S. Sim, C. F. Yeong, and E. L. M. Su, “Passive upper limb assessment device,” *Procedia Comput. Sci.*, vol. 42, no. C, pp. 287–292, 2014.
- [24] A. Theriault, M. Nagurka, and M. J. Johnson, “Design and development of an affordable haptic robot with force-feedback and compliant actuation to improve therapy for patients with severe hemiparesis,” *IEEE Trans. Haptics*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 161–174, 2014.
- [25] Agrawal, A.K., "Optimal controller design for twin rotor MIMO system, in electrical engineering", *2013 National Institute of Technology, Rourkela: India*.
- [26] Astrom, K.J., Wittenmark, B, “*Adaptive control (2nd edition)*”, Add.Wesley, 1995.
- [27] Tao, G. – “*Adaptive control design and analysis*”, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 2003.
- [28] Oltean, S.E, “Simulation of the local model reference adaptive control of the robotic arm with D.C. motor drive”, *Acta Electrotehnica*, vol. 51, no.2, 2010.
- [29] Chen, Y.R., Wu, J., Cheung, N.R, “Lyapunov’s stability theory based model reference adaptive control for permanent magnet linear motor drives”, *1st IEEE int. conference on power electronics system and applications*, 2004.
- [30] Hummelsheim, “H. Rationales for improving motor function”, *Current opinion in Neurology*. 12:697-701, 1999.

- [31] N. Hogan, "Impedance Control: An Approach to Manipulation: Parts I-III," *Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement, and Control*, vol. 107, no. 1, pp. 1-24, Mar. 1985.
- [32] N. Hogan, "Impedance Control: An Approach to Manipulation", American Control Conference, San Diego, CA, Jun. 1984.
- [33] Astrom, K.J., Wittenmark, B., "*Adaptive control (2nd edition)*", Add. Wesley, 1995.
- [34] Ioannou, P., "*Robust adaptive control*", University of southern California, 2003.
- [35] Nascu, I., "*Control adaptive, Ed. Mediamira*", 2002, Cluj Napoca, Romania.
- [36] Oltean, S.,E., Abrudean, M. Gligor, A., "MRAC and FMRLC for a plant with timer varying parameters", *IEEE-TTC International Conference AQTR 2006, Cluj Napoca, Romaina*.