

STRUCTURAL BEHAVIOUR OF AN INNOVATIVE PRECAST COLD-
FORMED STEEL FERROCEMENT AS COMPOSITE BEAM

TALAL M H F ALHAJRI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Civil Engineering)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DECEMBER 2014

To My Parents

Faten Ali, Mubarak Alhajri

And

My Wife and Children

Nora, Mubarak Talal, Abdulaziz Talal, Faten Talal and AbulhadiTalal

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost I would like express my thanks to Almighty ALLAH on successful completion of this research work and thesis

I hereby, express my sincere and profound gratitude to my supervisors Professor Dr. Mahmood Bin Tahir, and professor Dr. Mohammad Ragaee for their continuing assistance, support, guidance, and understanding throughout my graduate studies. Their trust, patience, knowledge, great insight, modesty and friendly personality have always been an inspiration for me and will deeply influence my career and future life.

The author is grateful Faculty of Civil Engineering, UTM for their support, assistance and friendly treatment that not only facilitated the work, but also made it pleasant. The author is grateful to the Housing and Building National Research center in Egypt for the support and provided to carry the experimental work.

I also wish to express my deep gratitude to my friends in Malaysia, Egypt and Kuwait for their invaluable support and encouragement through the years. Special thanks are due to last but not the least my heartiest appreciation goes to my parents, wife and children for their endless patience and understanding towards my work and everlasting love.

ABSTRACT

This research investigates the structural behaviour of simply supported composite beams, in which a ferrocement slab is connected together with cold-formed steel (CFS) beam by means of shear connectors. This system, called Precast Cold- Formed Steel-Ferrocement Composite Beam System, is designed to utilise the composite action between the CFS sections and ferrocement slab where shear forces are effectively transmitted between the beam and slab via shear connectors. CFS sections have been recognized as an important structural element in developed countries, and sustainable construction material for low rise residential and commercial buildings. However, it still remains as insufficient data and information on the behaviour and performance of CFS as the composite construction in composite action is yet to be established. One limiting feature of CFS is the thickness of this section that makes it susceptible to torsional, distortional, lateral torsional, lateral distortional and local buckling. Hence, a reasonable solution is resorting composite construction of structural CFS section integrated with reinforced concrete deck slab. An efficient and innovative beam system of built-up CFS sections acting compositely with a concrete deck slab has been developed to provide an alternative composite system for floors and roofs in buildings. In this study, ferrocement is an alternative solution as concrete deck of a slab. It is a form of thin reinforced concrete structure, in which a strong cement-sand mortar matrix is reinforced with closely spaced, multiple layers of thin wire mesh or small diameter rods, uniformly dispersed throughout the matrix of the composite. This study mainly comprises three major components; experimental work, theoretical analysis and finite element analysis using ANSYS (version 11). Experimental works involved small-scale and full-scale testing of laboratory tests. The first phase of test program comprised often push-out test specimens and eighteen full-scale CFS-ferrocement composite beam specimens. Push-out tests were carried out to determine the strength and behaviour of the shear transfer enhancement between the CFS and ferrocement. Three types of shear connectors (bolts, self-drilling screws, bar angle) were tested and 2, 4 and 6 layers of wire mesh in ferrocement cold formed were proposed. The expression for predicting the capacity of shear connector in which bolt with 12mm diameter is best to be considered to transfer shear force into steel section-ferrocement slab interface. The second phase of test program comprised of a total of eighteen full-scale simply supported composite beams with variable parameters and tested to failure. The main variables considered in the study are the shape of section (I- and C-section as beam), thickness (2mm, 3mm and 4mm) of the CFS section and number of wire mesh layer (2, 4 and 6 layers). Four points load bending system was used to test the specimens. The plastic analysis results depicted that the ultimate bending capacity of a ferrocement CFS composite beam can be estimated by using conventional equilibrium procedures and the constitutive laws prescribed by Euro codes. The finite element and theoretical model showed agreement with the experimental results based on the moment versus deflection curves of the proposed composite beam system.

ABSTRAK

Penyelidikan ini mengkaji sifat-sifat struktur rasuk rencam disokong mudah, di mana papak ferosimen disambungkan dengan rasuk keluli tergelek sejuk menggunakan penyambung ricih. Sistem ini dikenali sebagai Rasuk Rencam Pratuang Keluli Tergelek Sejuk-Ferosimen, di mana sistem ini direkabentuk supaya daya ricih antara papak dan rasuk dapat diedarkan secara berkesan melalui penyambung ricih. Keluli tergelek sejuk telah dikenali sebagai elemen struktur penting di negara maju dan bahan pembinaan lestari untuk pembinaan bangunan kediaman dan perniagaan ketinggian rendah. Walau bagaimanapun, maklumat berkaitan dengan sifat-sifat keluli tergelek sejuk dalam pembinaan komposit masih kekurangan. Salah satu kekurangan keluli tergelek sejuk adalah ketebalan keratan yang nipis menyebabkan kilasan dan lengkokan mudah berlaku pada keratan. Oleh demikian, salah satu penyelesaian adalah menggunakan pembinaan rasuk rencam yang melibatkan keratan keluli tergelek sejuk diperkukuhkan dengan papak ferosimen. Satu sistem rasuk rencam yang cekap dan inovasi telah dicipta sebagai salah satu pilihan untuk pembinaan lantai bangunan. Dalam kajian ini, ferosimen digantikan sebagai bahan pembinaan untuk papak lantai. Bahan ini dibina dengan menggunakan simen dan pasir diperkukuhkan dengan lapisan wire mesh nipis atau rod kecil, bertaburan sama rata sepanjang matriks komposit. Kajian ini terdiri daripada tiga komponen utama, kerja eksperimen, analisis teori dan analisis unsur terhingga dengan menggunakan ANSYS (versi 11). Kerja eksperimen melibatkan ujian skala kecil dan ujian skala penuh di makmal. Kerja eksperimen fasa pertama mempunyai sepuluh spesimen ujian menolak-keluar dan lapan belas ujian rasuk rencam skala penuh. Ujian menolak keluar bertujuan menentukan kekuatan dan sifat-sifat penyambung ricih antara keluli tergelek sejuk dan ferosimen. Tiga jenis penyambung ricih (bolt, skru gerudi sendiri dan rod) dengan 2, 4 dan 6 lapisan wire mesh ditanam dalam papak ferosimen telah diuji dalam kajian ini. Merujuk kepada keputusan ujian, bolt dengan garis pusat 12mm telah dicadangkan untuk mengedarkan daya ricih antara keluli tergelek sejuk dan ferosimen. Kerja eksperimen fasa dua melibatkan lapan belas ujian rasuk rencam skala penuh dengan pelbagai parameter dan diuji sehingga gagal. Parameter yang dikaji adalah bentuk keratan rasuk (keratan I- dan C-), ketebalan keratan (2mm, 3mm and 4mm) dan bilangan lapisan wire mesh (2, 4 dan 6 lapisan). Sistem lenturan empat titik beban telah digunakan untuk menguji spesimen rasuk rencam. Keputusan analisis plastik menunjukkan bahawa kekuatan lenturan muktamad rasuk rencam boleh dikira dengan menggunakan kaedah keseimbangan selaras dengan Eurocode. Model kaedah unsur terhingga dan kaedah analisis teori menunjukkan persetujuan yang baik dengan keputusan ujian eksperimen.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	TITLE PAGE	i
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xviii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xxi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxiii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 General Appraisal	1
	1.2 Background and Rationale	4
	1.3 Problem Statement	4
	1.4 Aim and Objectives	6
	1.5 Scope of the Study	6
	1.5.1 Push tests	7
	1.5.2 CFS-Ferrocement composite beam tests	7
	1.6 Significance of the Research	8
	1.7 Thesis Layout	9

2	LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1	Introduction	11
2.2	Cold-Formed Steel Structures	11
2.2.1	Cold-Formed Steel Beams	12
2.2.2	Structural Behaviour - Modes of Failure Due To Bending Buckling	13
2.2.3	Connection of CFS I-Beams	19
2.2.4	Flexural Strength of CFS Beams	20
2.3	Ferrocement	21
2.3.1	Ferrocement Versus Reinforced Concrete (Distinct Characteristics)	22
2.3.2	Ferrocement as a Laminated Composite	22
2.3.3	Mechanical Properties of Ferrocement	23
2.3.4	Durability	34
2.3.5	Thermal / Sound Conductivity	36
2.4	Historical Background of Composite Construction	36
2.4.1	Fundamentals of Composite Action	37
2.5	Design Approach for Composite Beam	41
2.5.1	Elastic Behaviour of Composite Beam	41
2.5.2	Ultimate Strength Analysis of Composite Beam	41
2.6	Shear Connectors	43
2.6.1	Push Test	43
2.6.2	Headed Stud Shear Connector	46
2.7	Review of Previous Investigations	52
2.7.1	Composite Beams with CFS	52
2.7.2	Ferrocement as Structure Members	58

	2.7.3	Studies on Finite Element Analysis of Structures	60
	2.8	Summary	62
3		EXPERIMENTAL WORK	63
	3.1	General Research Outline	63
	3.2	Materials	64
	3.2.1	Tensile Test of Steel	64
	3.2.2	Mortar Compressive Strength Test	67
	3.3	Experimental Study	67
	3.4	Push-Out Test	68
	3.4.1	Test Specimens	68
	3.4.2	Description of Specimens	71
	3.4.3	Instrumentation of Tests	71
	3.4.4	Testing Procedure	72
	3.4.5	Design Equation	73
	3.5	Full-Scale Flexural Test	73
	3.5.1	Test Specimens and Arrangement	75
	3.5.2	Test Procedures	81
	3.5.3	Numerical Analysis	83
	3.5.4	Theoretical Analysis	83
	3.6	Summary	88
4		NUMERICAL MODELING	89
	4.1	General	89
	4.2	Finite Element Formulation	90
	4.2.1	Basic Finite Element Relationships	90
	4.2.2	Strain-Displacement Matrix	93
	4.2.3	Element Stiffness Matrix	96
	4.3	Material Constitutive Relationships	97
	4.3.1	Ferrocement Mortar	97
	4.3.2	Steel Wire Mesh	104

	4.3.3	Cold-Formed Beam	105	
4.4		Material Modeling	106	
	4.4.1	Representation of Ferrocement Slab	106	
	4.4.2	Representation of Wire Mesh	107	
	4.4.3	Representation of CFS Beam	109	
	4.4.4	Representation of Shear Connectors	110	
4.5		General Procedure for Nonlinear Solution	111	
	4.5.1	Incremental Method	111	
	4.5.2	Newton-Raphson Iterative Method	112	
	4.5.3	Step-Iterative Method (Mixed Procedure)	113	
4.6		Convergence Criteria	113	
4.7		Finite Elements Mesh	116	
4.8		Loads and Boundary Conditions	117	
5		PUSH-OUT TESTS		120
	5.1	Introduction	120	
	5.2	Material Properties	120	
	5.2.1	Cold-Formed Steel Sections	121	
	5.2.2	Fasteners	122	
	5.2.3	Ferrocement	123	
	5.3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	131	
	5.3.1	Failure Mechanisms	131	
	5.3.2	Load-Deflection Curve	134	
	5.3.3	Parameters Studied	136	
	5.3.4	Comparison Between the Experimental, Theoretical Analysis and FE Results	138	
	5.4	Summary	141	
6		RESULTS AND ANALYSIS		142

6.1	Introduction	142
6.2	Materials Properties	142
6.3	Experimental Results and Discussion	143
6.3.1	Beam Behaviour	143
6.3.2	Strain Analysis	154
6.3.3	Discussion of Slip	168
6.3.4	Verification of Shear Connector Capacity	172
6.4	Parameters Studied	172
6.4.1	Effect of Different Types of Beam Sections	172
6.4.2	Effect on Increasing the Number of Layers of Wire Mesh	174
6.4.3	Thickness of CFS	175
6.5	Numerical Model	180
6.5.1	Failure Mode	180
6.5.2	Load-Deflection Curve	183
6.5.3	Ultimate Load at Failure	186
6.5.4	Deflected Shape	187
6.6	Comparison of Experimental and Theoretical Results	189
6.7	Discussion on the Flexural Stiffness	190
7	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER WORK	193
7.1	Introduction	193
7.2	Strength and Ductility of Shear Connectors	194
7.3	Strength and Stiffness of Composite Beams	195
7.4	Recommendations	197
	REFERENCES	199
	APPENDIX A	210

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Deflection limit of beams due to unfactored imposed loads	17
3.1	Specimens for push-out test	71
3.2	Details of the composite beams	76
5.1	Material properties	123
5.2	Properties of chemical admixtures	124
5.3	Properties of mix (by weight kg/m ³)	128
5.4	Material properties of mortar	130
5.5	Spread for the mix	130
5.6	Failure mechanisms	132
5.7	Summary of capacity of results on the effect of P_u value of different types of shear connectors used	137
5.8	Summary of result on the effect of P_u value as number of layers of wire mesh increased	138
5.9	Comparison of theoretical results with experimental and FE results	139
6.1	Experimental results of full-scale beam testing	143
6.2	Results of experimental strain	155
6.3	Results of calculated strain	156
6.4	Comparison of test and theoretical results on strain analysis	167
6.5	Experimental results of end slip	168
6.6	Ultimate strength of composite beams with different section type	173
6.7	Ultimate strength of composite beams with different layer of wire mesh	176

6.8	Ultimate strength of composite beams with different thickness of CFS	177
6.9	Ultimate loads and deflection from experimental test and finite element analysis	187
6.10	Comparison of experimental and theoretical analysis	190
6.11	Comparison of experimental results	192

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Composite Sections	2
1.2	Typical CFS sections	3
2.1	Cold-formed symmetrical I-sections: (a) two channels using bolts: (b) section using clamps	12
2.2	Modes of buckling of lipped channel in bending (Hsu and Chi, 2003)	13
2.3	Lateral buckling of an I-section beam (Rhodes, 1991)	16
2.4	Deflection history of I beam due to lateral buckling (Yu, 2001)	17
2.5	Ferrocement versus reinforced concrete (cross sections) (Naaman, 2000)	22
2.6	Ferrocement as laminated composite (Naaman, 2000)	23
2.7	Typical cross sections of reinforced concrete and ferrocement	24
2.8	Schematic load-elongation curve of reinforcement concrete and ferrocement in tension (Naaman, 2000)	26
2.9	Typical load-elongation curve of ferrocement (Naaman, 2000)	27
2.10	Typical qualitative influence of specific surface of reinforcement on properties of ferrocement (Naaman, 2000)	28
2.11	Mesh orientation (IFS-10, 2001)	28
2.12	Effect of mesh orientation on load carrying capacity of ferrocement in tension (Arif et al., 1999)	29
2.13	Typical load deflection response of ferrocement illustrating various stages of behaviour (Naaman, 2000)	31

2.14	Load versus various mesh layers of ferrocement in flexure (Arif et al., 1999)	32
2.15	Influence of wire mesh orientation in bending (Arif et al., 1999)	33
2.16	Comparison of deflected beams with and without composite action	38
2.17	Strain variations in composite beams (adopted from Salmon, 1996)	40
2.18	Elements resistance of a composite cross-section	42
2.19	Push test specimen in accordance with BS5400	44
2.20	Push test specimen in accordance with EN1994-1-1	44
2.21	Load-slip curve of push test specimen (adopted from EN1994-1, 2004)	45
2.22	Headed stud shear connector (adopted front Vianna et al., 2009)	46
2.23	Geometry of CFS box-section as composite beam (Abdullah et al., 1999)	53
2.24	Geometry of CFS composite filled beams (Hossain, 2003)	53
2.25	Geometry of composite beams with CFS sections (Hanaor, 2000)	54
2.26	Geometry of composite girders with CFS U section by (Nakamura ,2002)	55
2.27	Floor joist of iSPAN composite floor system	56
2.28	BTTST Shear connector	58
2.29	LYLB Shear connector	58
3.1	Tensile test set-up of materials	66
3.2	Layout of specimen for push-out test	69
3.3	Types and fixing of shear connector	69
3.4	Preparation of test specimen	70
3.5	Schematic diagram of the push-out test	72
3.6	Full-scale test arrangement–strain gauges and transducers (All dimensions in mm)	74
3.7	Layout of CFS-ferrocement composite beam specimen (All dimensions in mm)	77

3.8	Preparation of the composite beam specimen	80
3.9	Locations of transducers	80
3.10	Instrumentation setup	81
3.11	Lateral restrain	82
3.12	Rigid plastic analysis of CFS-ferrocement composite section	84
3.13	Cases for PNA lies in CFS-ferrocement composite section	86
4.1	Idealized uniaxial stress-strain curve for ferrocement matrix	99
4.2	Adopted uniaxial stress-strain curve for ferrocement matrix (ANSYS 11)	100
4.3	Failure surface in principal stress space with nearly biaxial stress states	103
4.4	Idealized uniaxial stress-strain curve for steel wire mesh	104
4.5	Adopted uniaxial stress-strain curve for steel wire mesh (ANSYS 11)	104
4.6	Bilinear stress-strain relationship of steel beam	105
4.7	Adopted uniaxial stress-strain curve for CFS (ANSYS 11)	105
4.8	SOLID 65-3D Reinforced concrete element	107
4.9	Models for reinforcement in concrete; (a) discrete, (b) embedded and (c) smeard	108
4.10	Reinforcement orientation for distributed model	109
4.11	SHELL43 geometry	109
4.12	Modelling of shear connectors (longitudinal view)	110
4.13	Scheme of the solution procedure ina nonlinear problem	115
4.14	Finite element mesh	117
4.15	Distribution of applied loads	118
4.16	Boundary condition for supports	119
5.1	Stress-strain curves of tensile test	121
5.2	Tested specimens	122
5.3	Tested bolts	122
5.4	Preparation of test specimen	125
5.5	Tensile test for wire fabric reinforcement	126
5.6	Mixing procedures	127
5.7	Mortar	127
5.8	Properties of the mortar testing set up arrangement	129

5.9	Spread of the mix	131
5.10	Mode of failure in 10 mm diameter bolts	132
5.11	Mode of failure in 12 diameter bolts	133
5.12	Shear failure of headed stud	134
5.13	Load-slip curves for push test specimens	135
5.14	Comparison between the experimental and FE results	140
6.1	Load against mid-span deflection curves of GROUP 1, 2 and 3 specimens	145
6.2	GROUP 1, 2 and 3 specimen after test	146
6.3	Load against mid-span deflection curves of GROUP4 specimens	148
6.4	GROUP4 specimens after test	149
6.5	Load against mid-span deflection curves of GROUP 5 and 6 specimens	151
6.6	GROUP 5 and 6 specimens after test	153
6.7	Strut and tie model for longitudinal crack formation	154
6.8	Strain distribution of beams	165
6.9	Load-end slip curves	171
6.10	Shear connector after test	172
6.11	Effect of different types of beams on moment-deflection response	174
6.12	Effect of number layers of wire mesh on moment-deflection response	177
6.13	Effect of thickness on moment-deflection response	179
6.14	Comparison of mode failure	182
6.15	Load-deflection curve	186
6.16	Deflection shape at ultimate load	188

LIST OF SYMBOLS

λ	=	Slenderness ratio
f_y	=	Strength of the steel section
t	=	Thickness
L	=	Span of beam
F_{shear}	=	Connection force
F_c	=	Longitudinal resultants in the concrete element
F_s	=	Longitudinal resultants in the steel element
M	=	Total resisting moment
z	=	Distance between the center of the concrete and steel element
ε_c	=	Strain of the concrete
ε_s	=	Strain of the steel
slip	=	Slip strain
E_c	=	Modulus of elasticity of the concrete element
E_s	=	Modulus of elasticity of the steel element
A_c	=	Cross-sectional areas of the concrete element
A_s	=	Cross-sectional areas of the steel element
I_c	=	Moments of inertia of the concrete element
I_s	=	Moments of inertia of the steel element
y_c	=	Distances of the lowest fiber and uppermost fiber of the concrete Element, measured from the neutral axis
y_s	=	Distances of the lowest fiber and uppermost fiber of the steel element, Measured from the neutral axis
s	=	Longitudinal slip
u	=	Longitudinal displacement component
A_c	=	Concrete area
n	=	Modular ratio, E_s / E_c

E_s	=	Elastic modulus of structural steel
E_c	=	Elastic modulus of structural concrete
R_s	=	Axial strength of the steel element
R_c	=	Axial strength of the concrete element
R_q	=	Longitudinal shear strength of the shear connector
η	=	Degree of shear connection
Q_u	=	Ultimate shear capacity of the stud connector
f_c or f_{ck}	=	Concrete cylinder compressive strength
f_u	=	Tensile strength of stud material
V_c	=	Shear strength due to concrete pull-out failure
λ_1	=	Factor dependent upon type of concrete (1.0 for normal density concrete, 0.85 for semi-low density concrete, 0.75 for structural low density concrete)
P_{RD}	=	Strength of the stud connector
t_f	=	Flange thickness of channel shear connector
t_w	=	Web thickness of channel shear connector
H	=	Height of the channel
B_e	=	Effective width of composite beam
P_u	=	Ultimate shear resistance
P_{Rk}	=	Characteristic shear resistance
P_{FEM}	=	Finite element method load
δ_i	=	Initial slip
δ_u	=	Slip capacity
δ_{Pu}	=	Slip at ultimate load
M_u	=	Moment capacity
$M_{u,theory}$	=	Predicted plastic moment capacity
I	=	Second moment of area
δ	=	Deflection of the CFS-concrete composite beams
b_c	=	Effective breadth of concrete slab
h_c	=	Depth of concrete slab
D_s	=	Depth of CFS
t_f	=	Thickness of CFS
t_l	=	Lip length of CFS

b_f	=	Width of CFS
R_{shear}	=	Longitudinal shear resistance of the shear connectors
R_{CFS}	=	Resistance of the CFS beam
R_{conc}	=	Resistance of the concrete
δ_c	=	Deflection of the composite beam with full shear connection
δ_o	=	Deflection of the steel beam acting alone
I_{comp}	=	Second moment of area of the composite section
I_g	=	Second moment of area of uncracked section
I_p	=	Second moment of area of cracked section
P	=	Load
$P_{p,\text{exp}}$	=	Experimental elastic load
$M_{u,\text{exp}}$	=	Experimental ultimate moment
$M_{e,\text{exp}}$	=	Experimental elastic moment
$M_{u,\text{theory}}$	=	Theoretical ultimate moment
d_p	=	Depth of PNA
$d_{p,\text{conc}}$	=	Depth of PNA in the concrete element
$d_{p,\text{CFS}}$	=	Depth of PNA in the CFS element
y_b	=	Depth of ENA
ε_y	=	Yield strain
$f_{cu,\text{mean}}$	=	Average concrete strength
$f_{y,\text{mean}}$	=	Average CFS strength
L/d	=	Span to depth ratio

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ACI	American Concrete Institute
ASCE	American Society of Civil Engineers
AISI	American Iron and Steel Institute
ASTM	American Standards for Testing of materials
BS	British Standards
BTTST	Bent-up Triangular Tab Shear Transfer
CM	Chicken Mesh
CFS	Cold-Formed Steel
DSM	Direct Strength Method
FC	Ferrocement
FRP	Fiber Reinforced Polymer
IFS	International Ferrocement Society
IBS	Industrialized Building System
LYLB	Lakkavalli and Liu Bent-up Tab
LVDT	Displacement Transducers
OPC	Ordinary Portland Cement
RC	Reinforced Concrete
SM	Square Mesh
SP	Superplasticizer
USA	United States of America
UTM	University Technology Malaysia
NAS	North American Specification
PNA	Plastic Neutral Axis
EC4	Eurocode 4
CDAS	Control and Data Acquisition System
ENA	Elastic Neutral Axis
NA	Neutral Axis

FEM	Finite Element Method
HRWR	High Range Water Range
SCM	Self Compacting Mortar
SG	Strain Gauge

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Sample calculations for predicted capacity, M_u , theory and deflection, δ of CFS-ferrocement composite beam	210

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General Appraisal

The use of composite beam in buildings is becoming popular due to the increase in loading capacity and stiffness. The benefits of the composite beam have resulted in significant savings in steel weight and reduce the depth of the beam. To obtain more economical structural design against the cold-formed steel (CFS) beams, composite beam is designed by taking the advantage of incorporating the strength of concrete slab by means of shear connectors. These advantages of composite beam have contributed to its the dominance in the commercial buildings in steel construction industry. The advantages of composite construction have been further extended with the use of ferrocement with possible use as pre-cast composite beam. Composite action is characterized by interactive behaviour between structural steel and concrete components designed to use the best load-resisting characteristics of each material. Steel and concrete composite system, which together resists the entire set of loads imposed on the structure, is generally more efficient in resisting the applied loads.

An illustrative concrete-steel composite cross-section, commonly used in composite beam, is shown in Figure 1, where the concrete carries compressive forces, while steel, a ductile material, carries the tensile forces in the composite unit. For concrete and steel to act compositely, mechanical connections are generally provided in the form of headed shear studs at the interface of the two materials to resist longitudinal shear. Thus, the resulting system is an integrated, strong, safe, and cost-effective composite structure.

The effectiveness of shear connectors at the steel concrete interface is a key element for achieving composite action in composite structural members. For conventional hot-rolled steel composite structures, extensive research has already been carried out (Deierlein, 1988; Viestet et al., 1997) to develop the most efficient and commercially viable shear connectors. Welded headed shear studs are most prominently used in conventional composite structures as shear connectors. Due to the thinness of the CFS sections, welding of shear studs is not viable (Hanaor, 2000); hence, the development of shear connectors for CFS and concrete composite structures is of utmost importance and require further research.




Figure 1.1 Composite Sections

CFS sections are made by bending a flat sheet of steel at room temperature. The use of CFS members in building construction began in the 1850s in both the United States of America (USA) and Great Britain. The CFS structural members have numerous advantages over hot-rolled sections, such as reduced thickness, lightness, ease of prefabrication and mass production, speedy erection, and installation. The use of CFS sections for secondary beams offer many potential advantages, particularly in unusual or special design circumstances. One of the established commercial applications of CFS and concrete is conventional composite beam system, where a concrete topping layer is placed on top of CFS metal deck. However, the structural use of CFS sections began in the mid of 20th century especially for industrial and

commercial buildings (Hancock et al., 2001). The typical sections widely used as purlin and truss members are “Z” and “lipped C” sections (Figure 2).




Figure 1.2 Typical CFS sections

Composite construction of CFS sections and concrete began in the mid-1940s in Europe and was mainly used for floor systems, where a steel deck made from CFS was used to act compositely with concrete (Sabnis, 1979).

Ferrocement is a form of thin reinforced concrete structure in which a brittle cement-sand mortar matrix is reinforced with closely spaced multiple layers of thin wire mesh or small diameter rods, uniformly dispersed throughout the matrix of the composite (Naaman, 2000). Ferrocement has taken a significant place among components used for construction, for its specification of durability and strength, and its small thickness, which makes it a component suitable for constructing many lightweight structures. Ferrocement appears to be an economic alternative material for roofing; however flat or corrugated roofing system is quite popular (ACI 549-R97).

This study investigated the structural behavior of composite beam system with CFS as beam and ferrocement as slab. A new shear connector is proposed in this thesis. This type of system could solve the problem of a low flexural bending capacity of the bare CFS as a beam. The proposed composite beam system enhances the flexural capacity and reduces the deflection due to the composite action and also speeds up the construction time as the proposed ferrocement slab acts as permanent formwork.

1.2 Background and Rationale

The construction of industrialized buildings and sustainable houses are in the rise all over the world. In Kuwait, development and construction activity is one of the most important economic activities needed for both the citizens and the huge foreign labor in the state. It has spurred the demand for fast, cost-effective and quality residential buildings. The supply of houses by both the public and private sectors is far from meeting the demand. Rising cost of both building materials and labor is another problem which makes it imperative to study the economic and systematic application of new construction materials and systems.

Industrialization of Building System (IBS) by developing an efficient prefabricated composite structural element may deal with the problem amicably where the fabrication of the elements takes place in factory or workshops and the elements are installed with minimum construction time and minimum number of labor at site.

1.3 Problem Statement

Ferrocement is a thin composite material made up of a cement based mortar matrix reinforced with thin layer of wire mesh closely spaced together. Over the years, applications involving ferrocement have increased due to its properties such as strength, toughness, water tightness, lightness, ductility and environmental stability. The success of ferrocement has been attributed to its readily available materials components, the low level technology needed for its construction and relatively low cost of final products (ACI 549 R-97).

CFS sections, usually between 1.2 and 3.2mm thickness (Yu et al., 2005), have been recognized as an important contributor to sustainable structures in the developed countries, and a sustainable 'green' construction material for low rise residential and commercial buildings. Their usage however, is limited to structural roof trusses and a host of non-structural applications (Shaari and Ismail, 2003). One limiting feature of CFS is the thinness of its section that makes it susceptible to torsional, distortional,

lateral torsional, lateral distortional and local buckling. The thinness of CFS is also incapable for CFS-concrete composite beam on the welding of shear studs.

Prefabricated floor is used in the construction sector in many parts of the world. It is an alternative system used to overcome the formwork problems (cost and delay in construction) in addition to getting better quality control. It was found, however, that the prefabricated elements made of reinforced concrete are very heavy and difficult to transport and construct.

In this study, a new type of composite beam comprised of CFS section with ferrocement called Precast Cold-Formed Steel-Ferrocement Composite Beam System is proposed to reduce the weight as well as to enhance the strength of the proposed system. The advantages of this system, amongst others, are its relatively lighter weight as compared to typical reinforced concrete slab which result in the reduction of loading of the supported beams and columns. Key elements for precast system are to stiffen the structure and speed up the construction time. Ferrocement with its versatile properties is the most efficient system available to achieve a light, thin, and stiff structure.

In this study, Ferrocement as slab and CFS as beam are proposed to form a composite structure by means of shear connector. Its properties are also evaluated and compared with other competing materials. The following points reflect powerful properties of CFS and ferrocement which will be integrated together to form a composite action. This will develop the following advantages:

- High strength to weight ratio in behavior for ferrocement and CFS as they are integrated together to form a composite structure.
- A new shear connector is proposed for the proposed composite beam system that works well for precast ferrocement slab and CFS section.

1.4 Aim and Objectives

The main aim of this research is to study the behavior and the properties of an innovative precast proposed ferrocement-CFS composite beam-slab structural system. To achieve this aim, the following objectives are studied:

1. To propose new viable shear connectors for the proposed composite beam system.
2. To study the parameters used that can affect the performance of the proposed composite beam system.
3. To investigate the behaviour and performance of proposed ferrocement slab CFS as composite beam system.
4. To validate the behavior of the proposal composite beam system by Finite Element Analysis (FEA).

1.5 Scope of the Study

A new type of composite beam system is proposed comprising of CFS sections as beam with ferrocement as slab, called Precast Cold-Formed Steel-Ferrocement Composite Beam System. Two types of precast composite beams are proposed, which integrated together the slab system developed from ferrocement with CFS section. This study, however, focuses on the behavior and properties of ferrocement-CFS composite beam-slab structural system. The performance of the proposed shear connector system for the proposed CFS-Ferrocement composite beams is also studied. The scope of the study covers two areas of research work on the proposed CFS-Ferrocement composite action. The first research area is related to the performance of shear transfer. The second research area is related to the performance of the proposed CFS-Ferrocement composite beam.

1.5.1 Push Tests

Ten specimens with different configurations are proposed for the experimental work on push out test for the proposed shear connector. Push-out test method is adopted to study the mode of failure, shear capacity, and ductility due to the changes made to the parameters of the proposed shear connector. Clause 5.4.3 of BS 5950: Part 3 mentioned that since the characteristic resistance value are not presently given in the code for all types of shear connectors other than headed studs; therefore, the characteristic resistance of other types of shear connectors should be determined from push-out test. The strength and ductility of shear connectors are always determined experimentally due to the complexity of the dowel interaction between shear connectors and the concrete slab. The load from the push test is used to determine the shear capacity of each of the proposed shear connector. Details of the experimental test and discussion of results are discussed later in this thesis.

1.5.2 CFS-Ferrocement Composite Beam Tests

The beam section consists of two lipped channels connected back-to-back by 6.3 mm diameter self-drilling and one lipped channel. The flanges were connected with ferrocement panel by three types of shear connectors (Bolts-self-drilling-bar angle). The detail of the specimen description and parameters studied are discussed in Chapter 3. Data from push-out tests was analysed to determine the most viable shear connectors between ferrocement slabs and CFS beams which was then be used in full-scale tests.

The proposed CFS-Ferrocement composite beams were tested as full scale and their results were used to evaluate the behaviour and performance CFS of an I-section was formed by connecting back-to-back of lipped C-channels. There were eighteen specimens with different configurations prepared for full-scale testing. A full-scale of simply supported beam specimens with 4200mm length between supports were tested using four-point load system. The beam was subjected to two point loads with 1400mm measured from the supports. This system of loading produces a constant region of pure

bending moment between the two applied loads. Hence, the ultimate flexural capacity of the proposed composite beams can be established. Details of specimens' description and parameters studied are discussed in Chapter 3. The results of the experimental tests were validated by numerical analysis as well as finite element modelling using ANSYS (version 11) software.

1.6 Significance of the Research

Composite beams are extensively used in construction industry due to their efficiency in strength, stiffness and saving materials (Nie et al., 2006; Tahri et al., 2009). To date, headed stud shear connectors are commonly used to perform the composite action between steel beam and concrete slab (Lawson et al., 2001). However, it was found that headed stud shear connectors create a significant tripping hazard on working surfaces at site (US Department of Labor, 2001). Thus, alternative new shear connectors need to be developed. Also, in small and medium size buildings where the span is short (about 4000mm), the use of composite beam with hot rolled steel beam is not effective due to the loss of interaction between steel beam and concrete slab (Johnson, 1981). The proposed composite beams in this study could be an alternative solution to replace the typical composite beam with hot rolled steel and traditional reinforced concrete beams in small and medium size buildings.

Also, in lightweight residential and commercial buildings, CFS members are used as floor beams and joists, and designed as non-composite beams (Popo-Ola et al., 2000; Ghersi et al., 2002). Such beams need to be checked for buckling and most likely failed due to lateral-torsional buckling prior to the attainment of their capacities (Ziemian, 2010). Big steel sections are then used resulting in space and material consuming. Thus, the validation of using CFS sections with ferrocement as a composite beam could significantly increase their strength and stiffness capacities. The ferrocement slab could also provide lateral restraint that prevents the CFS section to fail under lateral-torsional buckling. Also, it could improve the resistance of top flange and reduce its tendency to buckle under compression.

The finding from this research may eventually lead to the development or improvement of the existing system on the welding problem of shear studs on CFS due to its thinness. Therefore, this research is to investigate the possibility of using CFS-ferrocement composite beams for structures. The outcome of this research contributes to promote the proposed composite beam construction method as possible industry implementation and also the use of CFS as one of the alternative materials for small to medium size building construction. Also this research provides important technical knowledge which can be used as a design guideline for the proposed composite beam of CFS and ferrocement structures.

1.7 Thesis Layout

Chapter one presents the general introduction, background of the study, problem statement, aims and objectives and scope of this research. Significance of the study and thesis layout is also described in this chapter.

Chapter two carries a comprehensive literature review on the area of study and all published works related to current study.

Chapter three describes the specimen, test setup and instrumentation used in the experimental for small-scale, push-out test and full-scale flexural test of CFS-ferrocement composite beams.

Chapter four in which three finite element models are used to verify the experimental results and expands the study for more specific points of view.

Chapter five describes the results and analysis of the experimental works for push-out tests and evaluates the strength and behaviour of a shear connector's enhancement.

Chapter six describes the results and analysis of the full-scale flexural test of CFS-ferrocement composite beams.

Chapter Seven presents the discussion and comparison of all the test results, conclusions and the recommendations.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, R., Tahir, M.M. and Osman M.H. (1999). "Performance of CFS of Box-Section as Composite Beam," in 6th International Conference on Steel and Space Structures. Singapore, pp. 365-370.
- Abdullah, and Mansur, M.A. (2001). Effect of Mesh Orientation on Tensile Response of Ferrocement. *Journal of Ferrocement*. 31(4): 289-298.
- ACI Committee 549 (1993). "Guide for the Design, Construction, and Repair of Ferrocement", ACI 549.1R-93.
- ACI Committee 549R (1997). State-of-the-Art Report on Ferrocement, Manual of Concrete Practice, ACI, Farmington Hills, Michigan ACI 549R-97.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (2005). Standard Specifications for Portland Cement, Philadelphia, ASTM C 150-05.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (2003). Standard Specifications for Concrete Aggregates. Philadelphia, ASTM C33-03.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (2002). Standard Specifications for Standard Sand. Philadelphia, ASTM C778-02.
- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) (2000). The Annual Book of ASTM Standards. vol. 01, Philadelphia, Philadelphia.
- Arif, M., Pamkaj, and Kuasik, S.K. (1999). Mechanical Behavior of Ferrocement Composites: An Experimental Investigation. *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 21(4): 301-312.
- Al-Kubaisy, M.A. and Nedwell, P.J. (1999). Behavior and Strength of Ferrocement Rectangular Beams in Shear. *Journal of Ferrocement*. 29(1): 1-16.
- Al-Sulaimani, G.J, and Basunbal, I.A. (1991). Behaviour of Ferrocement under Direct Shear. *Journal of Ferrocement*. 21(2): 109-117.
- ASTM A370-03a. (2004). Standard test methods and definitions for mechanical testing of steel products. Annual book of ASTM standards, vol. 01.04.
- Al-Noury, S.I and Haq S. (1988). Ferrocement in Axial Tension. *Journal of Ferrocement*. 18 (2): 111-137.

- Ahmed, S.F.U., and Nimityogskul P. (1998). Improvement of Punching Shear Resistance in Ferrocement Slabs. *Journal of Ferrocement*. 28(4): 325-336.
- Al-Shaarbaf, I.A.S. (1990). Three-Dimensional Non-Linear Finite Element Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Beams in Torsion. Ph.D. Thesis University of Bradford, U.K., 316pp
- Al-Rifaie, W.N., and Joma'ah, M.M. (2010). Structural Behaviour of Ferrocement System. *Diyala Journal of Engineering Sciences*, first Engineering Scientific Conference, College of Engineering-University of Diyala. December, 237-248.
- Al-Rifai, W.N., Al-Shukur, A.H.K. (2001). Effects of Wetting and Drying Cycles in Fresh Water on the Flexural Strength of Ferrocement. *Journal of Ferrocement*. 31(2): 101-108.
- Arif, M., Akhtar S., Masood, A., Basi, F. and Garg, M. (2001). Flexural Behaviour of Fly Ash Mortar Ferrocement Panels for Low Cost Housing. *Journal of Ferrocement*. 31(2) : 125-135.
- ANSYS, 2011, "ANSYS Help", Release 11.0.
- Barbosa, A.F., and Ribeiro, G.O. (1998). Analysis of reinforced concrete structures using ANSYS nonlinear concrete model.
- Bhattacharyya, P., Tan, K.H., and Mansur, M.A. (2003). Flexural Moment Capacity of Ferrocement Hollow Sandwich Panel System. *Journal of Ferrocement*. 33 (3): 183-189.
- BS5950, Structural use of steelwork in buildings: Part 5: Code of practice for the design of cold-formed sections. British Standards Institution, London, 1998.
- British Standard Institute. BS5400-5 (1979): Steel, concrete and composite bridges - Part 5: Code of practice for the design of composite bridges. London.
- BS5950, Structural use of steelwork in building: Part 3: Design in composite construction-Section 3.1 Code of practice for design of simple and continuous composite beams. British Standards Institution, London, 1990.
- Chu, X.T., Ye, Z.M., Kettle, R., and Li, L.Y. (2005). Buckling behaviour of cold-formed channel sections under uniformly distributed loads. *Thin-walled structures*, 43(4), 531-542.
- Cheng, Y., and Benjamm, W.S.(2003) "Local buckling test on cold-formed steel beam," *Journal of Structural Engineering*, vol. 129 (12), pp. 1596–1606.

- Cervera, M. and Hinton, E. (1986). Non-linear analysis of reinforced plates and shells using a three dimensional model. Computational modelling of reinforced concrete structures.
- Chapman, J. C., and Balakrisnan, S. (1964). "Experiments on composite beams," *The Structural Engineer*, vol. 42(11), pp. 369-383.
- Davies, J.M., Leach, P. and Heinz, D. (1994). Second-order generalised beam theory. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, 31(2), 221-241.
- Desayi, P. and Krishnan, S. (1964). Equation for the stress-strain curve of concrete. In *ACI Journal Proceedings* (Vol. 61, No. 3). ACI.
- Deierlein, G.G. (1988). Design of Moment Connections for Composite Framed Structures. Ph.D. Thesis, Phil M. Ferguson Structural Engineering Laboratory, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas.
- Dundu, M. and Kemp, A.R. (2005). Strength requirements of single cold-formed channels connected back-to-back. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, 62(3), 250-261.
- European Committee For Standardization. (2004). Eurocode 4 - Design of composite steel and concrete structures - Part 1-1: General rules and rules for buildings. Brussels.
- European Committee for Standardisation (CEB), Eurocode 3, (1993), Design of steel structures, Part 1.1: General rules and rules for buildings, DD ENV, 1993-1-1, EC3.
- Fanning, P. (2001). Nonlinear models of reinforced and post-tensioned concrete beams. *Electronic Journal of Structural Engineering*, 1, 111-119.
- Feng, Z. and Ben, Y. (2005) "Tests of cold-formed stainless steel tubular flexural members," *Journal of Thin-Walled Structures*, vol. 43, pp. 1325-1337.
- Fox, D. M., R. M. Schuster, and M. Strickland. (2008). "Innovative Composite Cold Formed Steel Floor System." Nineteenth International Specialty Conference on Cold-Formed Steel Structures, St. Louis, MO.
- Gherzi, A., Landolfo, R. and Mazzolani, F.M. (2002). Design of Metallic Cold-formed Thin walled Members London, Spon press.
- Hancock, G.J., Murray, T.M. and Ellifritt, D.S. (2001). Cold-Formed Steel structures to the AISI specification. USA: Marcel Dekker.
- Hancock, G.J. (2003). Cold-formed steel structures. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, 59(4), 473-487.

- Hanaor, A. (2000). Tests of composite beams with cold-formed sections. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, 54(2), 245-264.
- Hawalder, M.N.A, Mansur, M.A. and Rahman, M. (1990). Thermal Behaviour of Ferrocement. *Journal of Ferrocement*. 20(3): 231-239.
- Hossainan, M.Z. and Inoue (2000). A Comparison of the Mechanical Properties of Ferrocement Elements under Compression for Square and Chicken Meshes. *Journal of Ferrocement*. 30 (4): 319-343.
- Hossain, K.M.A. (2003). Experimental & theoretical behavior of thin walled composite filled beams. *Electronic Journal of Structural Engineering*, 3(3), 117-139.
- Hinton, E. and Owen, D. P. (1977). *Finite element programming*.
- Hsu, H.L. and Chi, P.S. (2003). Flexural performance of symmetrical cold-formed thin walled members under monotonic and cyclic loading. *Thin-walled structures*, 41(1), 47-67.
- Ibrahim, H.M. (2011). Experimental investigation of ultimate capacity of wired mesh-reinforced cementitious slabs. *Construction and Building Materials*, 25 (1), 251-259.
- Irwan, M., Hanizah, A., Azmi, I., Bambang, P., Koh, H. and Aruan, M. (2008). Shear Transfer Enhancement In Precast Cold-Formed Steel-Concrete Composite Beams: Effect of Bent-Up Tabs Types and Angles. *Technology and Innovation for Sustainable Development Conference (TISD2008)*., Thailand, January.
- Irwan, J.M., Hanizah, A. H. and Azmi, I. (2009). Test of shear transfer enhancement in symmetric cold-formed steel–concrete composite beams. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, 65(12), 2087-2098.
- Irwan, J.M., Hanizah, A.H., Azmi, I. and Koh, H.B. (2011). Large-scale test of symmetric cold-formed steel (CFS)–concrete composite beams with BTTST enhancement. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, 67(4), 720-726.
- International Ferrocement Society, (2001). *Ferrocement Model Code*. Thailand, IFS-10.
- Jayas, B.S. and Hosain, M.U. (1988). Behaviour of headed studs in composite beams: push- out tests. *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 15(2), 240-253.
- Jayas, B.S. and Hosain, M.U. (1989). Behaviour of headed studs in composite beams: full-size tests. *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 16(5), 712-724.

- Johnson, R.P. and Anderson, D. (2004). Designers' Guide To En 1994-1-1, Eurocode 4: Design Of Composite Steel And Concrete Structures, London, Thomas Telford Publishing.
- Kandaswamy, S. and Ramachandraiah, A. (2002). Sound Transmission Performance on Ferrocement Panels. *Journal of Ferrocement*. 32(1): 59-67.
- Kachlakev, D., Miller, T., Yim, S., Chansawat, K., and Potisuk, T. (2001). Finite Element Modeling of Concrete Structural Strengthened with FRS Laminates. Final report, SPR, 316.
- Kwon, Y.B. and Hancock, G.J. (1992). Strength tests of cold-formed channel sections undergoing local and distortional buckling. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 118 (7).
- Kumar, A. (2005). Ferrocement box sections-viable option for floors and roof of multi-storey buildings. *Asian Journal of Civil Engineering (Building and Housing)*, 6 (6), 569–582.
- Lawson, R.M. and Chung, K. F. (1994). Composite Beam Design to Eurocode 4: Based on DD ENV 1994-1-1:1994 Eurocode 4: Design of Composite Steel and Concrete Structures: Part 1.1: General Rules and Rules for Building With Reference to The UK National Application Document. Berkshire: Steel Construction Institute.
- Lawson, R.M., Popo-Ola, S.O., and Varley, D.N. (2001). Innovative development of light steel composites in buildings. In: Eligehausen, R. (ed.) *International Symposium on Connections between Steel and Concrete*. Stuttgart, Germany RILEM Publications SARL.
- Lam, D. (2007). Capacities of headed stud shear connectors in composite steel beams with precast hollow core slabs. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, 63, 15.
- Lam, D., and El-Lobody, E. (2005). Behavior of headed stud shear connectors in composite beam. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 131(1), 96-107.
- Lakkavalli, B.S. and Liu, Y. (2006). Experimental study of composite cold-formed steel C-section floor joists. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, 62(10), 995-1006.
- Lawson R.M., *Commentary on BS5950: Part 3: Section 3.1 'Composite Beams'*. Berkshire: Steel Construction Institute, 1990.

- Liborio, J.B.L. and Hanai, J.B. (1992). Ferrocement Durability: Some Recommendations for Design and Production, *Journal of Ferrocement*. 22(3): 265-271.
- Mansur, M.A. and Abdullah (1998). Constitutive Laws of Ferrocement under Biaxial Tension-Compression. *Journal of Ferrocement*. 28(1): 1-25.
- Mansur, M.A. and Paramasivam, P. (1986). Cracking Behaviour and Ultimate Strength of Ferrocement in Flexure. *Journal of Ferrocement*. 16 (4): 405-415.
- Mansur, M.A. (1988). Ultimate Strength Design of Ferrocement in Flexure. *Journal of Ferrocement* 17(4): 385-395.
- Mansur, M.A. and Ong, K.G.C. (1987). Shear Strength of Ferrocement Beams. *ACI Structural Journal*. 84(1):10-17.
- Mansur, M.A. and Ong, K.G.C. (1991). Shear Strength of Ferrocement I-Beams. *ACI Structural Journal*. 88(3): 458-464.
- Mansur, M.A., Ahmed, I. and Paramasivam, P. (2001). Punching Shear Strength of Simply Supported Ferrocement Slabs. *ASCE Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*. 13(6): 418-426.
- Mansur, M.A., and Kiritharan, T. (2001). Shear Strength of Ferrocement Structural Sections, *Journal of Ferrocement*. 31(3): 195-211.
- Mansur, M.A., Paramasivam, P., Wee, T.H., and Lim, H.B. (1996). Durability of Ferrocement-a Case Study. *Journal of Ferrocement*. 26(1): 11-19.
- Masood, A., Arif, M., Akhtar, S. and Haque, M. (2003). Performance of Ferrocement Panels in Different Environments. *Cement and Concrete Research*. 33(4): 555-562.
- Mackay H.M., Gillespie P. and Leluau, C. (1923). Report on the Strength of Steel -I Beams Haunched with Concrete. *Engineering Journal*, Engineering Institute of Canada, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 365-369.
- Methews, M.S., Sudhakumar, J. and Thomas, A.V. (1992). Behaviour of Ferrocement Roofing Panels under Periodic Heat Flow Conditions. *Journal of Ferrocement*. 22(2): 125-133.
- Montesinos, G.P. and Naaman, A.E. (2004). Parametric Evaluation of the Bending Response of Ferrocement and Hybrid Composites with FRP Reinforcements. *Journal of Ferrocement*. 34(2): 341-352.
- Narayanan, R. (1987). *Composite Steel Structures--Advances, Design and Construction*. Cardiff, UK.

- Naaman, A.E. (2000). *Ferrocement and Laminated Cementitious Composites*. Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA: Techno Press.
- Nakamura, S. I. (2002). Bending behavior of composite girders with CFS steel U section. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 128(9), 1169-1176.
- Nedwell, P.J. (2000). Ferrocement Research at UMIST. *Journal of Ferrocement*. 30(4): 379-388.
- Nethercot, D.A. (2004). *Composite Construction*, London and New York, Taylor & Francis Library.
- Nie, J.G., Cai, C.S., Wu, H., and Fana, J.S. (2006). Experimental and theoretical study of steel-concrete composite beams with openings in concrete flange. *Engineering Structures*, 28, 992-1000.
- Oehlers, D.J. (1990). Behavior of headed studs in composite beams: Push-out tests: Discussion. *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 17(3), 341-362.
- Owen, D.R.J. and Hinton, E. (1979). *An introduction to finite element computations*. Pineridge. Swansea, UK.
- Oguejiofor, E. C., and Hosain, M. U. (1994). "A parametric study of perfobond rib shear connectors," *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering*, vol. 21, pp. 614-625.
- Oguejiofor, E. C. and Hosain, M. U. (1992). "Behavior of perfobond rib shear connectors in composite beam: Full-size tests," *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering*, vol. 19, pp. 224 – 235.
- Oehlers, D.J. and Johnson, R.P. (1987). The strength of stud shear connections in composite beams. *The Structural Engineer*, 65(2), 44-48.
- Oehlers, D. J. (1995). Design and assessment of shear connectors in composite bridge beams. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 121(2), 214-224.
- Ollgaard, J.G., Slutter, R.G. and Fisher, J.W. (1971). Shear strength of stud connectors in lightweight and normal-weight concrete. *AISC Engineering Journal*, 8 (2), 55-64.
- Onet, T., Magureanu, C. and Vescan, V. (1992). Aspects Concerning the Behavior of Ferrocement in Flexure, *Journal of Ferrocement*. 22 (1): 1-9.
- Oehlers D.J. and Bradford M.A. (1995). *Composite Steel and Concrete Structural Members*. Kidlington, Oxford, U.K.
- Parmasivam, P. and Tan, K.H. (1993). Punching Shear Strength of Ferrocement Slabs. *ACI Structural Journal*. 90(3): 294-301.

- Paramasivam, P. and Ravindrarajah, S.R. (1988). Effect of Arrangements of Reinforcements on Mechanical Properties of Ferrocement. *ACI Structural Journal*. 85(5): 3-11.
- Popo-Ola, S.O., Biddle, A. R. and Lawson, R.M. (2000). *Building Design Using Cold Formed Steel Sections: Durability of Light Steel Framing in Residential Building*, Berkshire, UK, The Steel Construction Institute.
- Put, B.M., Pi, Y-L. and Trahair, N.S. (1999). "Lateral buckling tests on cold-formed channel beams," *Journal of Structural Engineering*, vol. 125(5), pp. 532-539.
- Pi, Y.L., Put, B.M. and Trahair, N.S. (1998). "Lateral buckling strengths of cold-formed channel section beams," *Journal of Structural Engineering*, vol. 124(10), pp. 1182 – 1191.
- Ramli, M. and Tabassi, A.A. (2012). Mechanical behaviour of polymer-modified ferrocement under different exposure conditions: An experimental study. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 43(2), 447-456.
- Rao, P.K. (1992). Stress-Strain Behavior of Ferrocement Elements under Compression. *Journal of Ferrocement*. 22 (4), 343-352.
- Rao, K.C.B. and Rao, K.A. (1986). Stress-Strain Curve in Axial Compression and Poisson's Ratio of Ferrocement. *Journal of Ferrocement*. 16 (2): 117-128.
- Richard, J.Y. Yen, Yiching L. and Lai, M. T. (1997) "Composite beams subjected to static and fatigue loads," *Journal of Structural Engineering*, vol. 123(6), pp. 765-771.
- Rhodes, J. (1991). *Design of CFS members*. Elsevier Applied Science Publisher.
- Robinson, H. (1988). Multiple stud shear connections in deep ribbed metal deck. *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering*, 15(4), 553-569.
- Sabnis, G.M. (1979). *Handbook of Composite Construction Engineering*. Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York.
- Shaari, S.N. and Ismail E. (2003). Promoting the use of industrialised building systems and modular coordination in the Malaysia construction industry. Board of Engineer Malaysia. *Bulletin ingénieur*.
- Specification for the design of cold-formed steel structural members (2004). "Appendix 1: design of cold-formed steel structural members using the direct strength method", American Iron and Steel Institute, Washington (DC).
- Schafer, B.W. and Pekoz, T. (1999). Laterally braced CFS flexural members with edge stiffened flanges. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, 125(2), 118-127.

- Sakthivel, P.B. and Jagannathan, A. (2012). Fiber Reinforced Ferrocement—A Review Study. In Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Advances in Mechanical, Manufacturing and Building Sciences (ICAMB-2012) (pp. 09-11).
- Salmon, C.G. and Johnson, J.E. (1996). *Steel Structures: Design and Behaviour* (4th Edition). Prentice-Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River, New Jersey.
- Salimullah, M. (1994). Ferrocement Roofing Elements: The Solution of the Middle and Low Income Housing-The Bangladesh Experience. *Journal of Ferrocement*. 24(1): 51-56.
- Samad, A. (2004). "Structural Behavior of Reinforced Flanged Continuous Deep Beams Failing in Shear", Ph.D. Thesis, University of Basrah.
- Smith, A. L. and Couchman, G. H. (2010). Strength and ductility of headed stud shear connectors in profiled steel sheeting. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, 66, 748-754.
- Shim, C-S., Lee, P-G. and Chang, S-P. (2001). "Design of shear connection in composite steel and concrete bridges with precast decks," *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, vol. 57, pp. 203-219,
- Shri, S.D., Thenmozhi, R. and Anitha, M. (2012). Experimental Validation of a Theoretical Model for Flexural Capacity of Hybrid Ferrocement Slab. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 73 (4), 512-526.
- Swamy, R.N. and Shaheen, Y.B.I. (1990). *Tensile Behaviour of Thin Ferrocement Plates*. Proceedings of Thin-Section Fiber Reinforced Concrete and Ferrocement, USA. American Concrete Institute, Detroit, MI.
- Somayaji, S., and Naaman, A.E. (1981). Stress-Strain Response and Cracking of Ferrocement in Tension, *Journal of Ferrocement*. 11(2): 127-142.
- Stone, T.A. and LaBoube, R.A. (2005) "Behavior of CFS built-up I-sections," *Thin-Walled Structures*, vol. 43, pp. 1805 – 1817.
- Suksawang, N., Nassif, H.H. and Sanders, M. (2006). Analysis of Ferrocement-Laminated Concrete Beams. Proceedings of Eight International Symposium and Workshop on Ferrocement and Thin Reinforced cement Composites. 06 08 February, Bangkok Thailand, IFS, 141-150.
- Tawab, A.A., Fahmy, E. H. and Shaheen, Y.B. (2012). Use of permanent ferrocement forms for concrete beam construction. *Materials and structures*, 45(9),1319-1329.

- Tahir, M.M., Shek, P.N. and Tan, C.S. (2009). Push-off tests on pin-connected shear studs with composite steel–concrete beams. *Construction and Building Materials*, 23(9), 3024-3033.
- Viest, I.M., Colaco, J.P., Furlong, R.W., Griffis, L.G., Leon, R.T. and Wyllie, L.A. Jr. (1997). *Composite Construction: Design for Buildings*. McGraw-Hill, NewYork.
- Vianna, J. C., Costa-Neves, L. F., Vellasco, P. S. and Andrade, S. A. L. (2009). Experimental Assessment of Perfobond And T-Perfobond Shear Connectors: Structural Response. *Journal Of Constructional Steel Research*, 65, 408-421.
- Veldanda, M. R. and Hosain, M. U. (1992). “Behaviour of perfobond rib shear connectors: Push-out test,” *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering*, vol. 19, pp. 1-10.
- Wang, S., Naaman, A.E. and Li, V.C. (2004). Bending response of hybrid ferrocement plates with meshes and fibers. *Journal of Ferrocement: Vol, 34 (1)*.
- Wafa, M.A. and Fukuzawa, K. (2010). Characteristics of ferrocement thin composite elements using various reinforcement meshes in flexure. *Journal of Reinforced Plastics and Composites*.
- Wong, Y.C. (1998). “Deflection of steel-concrete composite beams with partial shear interaction,” *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, vol. 124(10), pp. 1159-1165.
- Willam, K.J. and Warnke, E. P. (1975). Constitutive model for the triaxial behavior of concrete. In *Proceedings, International Association for Bridge and Structural Engineering (Vol. 19, p. 174)*. ISMES, Bergamo, Italy.
- Xiong, J.G. and Singh, G. (1997). Review of the fatigue Behaviour of Ferrocement in a Corrosive Environment, *Journal of Ferrocement*. 27(1): 7-18.
- Yu, C. and Schafer, B.W. (2003). Local buckling tests on cold-formed steel beams. *Journal of structural engineering*, 129 (12), 1596-1606.
- Yu, W.K., Chung, K.F. and Wong, M.F. (2005). Analysis of bolted moment connections in cold-formed steel beam–column sub-frames. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, 61(9), 1332-1352.
- US Department of Labor. (2001). “Safety Standards for Steel Erection-66:5317-5325”. Washington D.C.
- Ziemian, R.D. (2010). “Guide to stability design criteria for metal structures”, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

- Zienkiewicz, O. C. (1977). The finite element method. Rayleigh Damping, Field And Dynamic Problems.
- Zhong, S.T. (2000). Steel Structures. China Construction Industry Publishing House, Beijing, China.
- W.W. Yu, CFS Design. 3rd Edition. USA: John Wiley & Sons, 2001
- Uy, B. and Bradford, M.A. (1995). Ductility Of Profiled Composite Beam. Part I: Experimental Study. Journal of Structural Engineering, 121, 7.
- Queiroz, F.D., Vellasco, P.C.G. and Nethercot, D.A. (2007). Finite element modelling of composite beams with full and partial shear connection. Journal of Constructional Steel Research, 63(4), 505-521.