ROAD SAFETY AUDIT AT EXPRESSWAY: UPGRADING PASIR GUDANG EXPRESSWAY (F17) JOHOR BAHARU

JALALUDDIN BIN ABAS

A project report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Civil)

JUNE 2017

DEDICATION

Every challenging work needs self-efforts and blessing of Allah as well as guidance of elders especially those who were very close to our heart.

My humble effort I dedicate to my sweet and loving

Hjh. Mena binti Mohamed Nor (Mother)

> Juraida binti Hj. Darani (Wife)

Hani Humaira binti Jalaluddin Ali Zafran bin Jalaluddin (Children)

Whose affection, love encouragement and prays of day and night make me able to get such success and honour,

Along with all hard working and respected Lecturers & Staff of Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) and Staff of Consultant Firm related.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to express his heartiest gratitude to *Dr. Sitti Asmah binti Hassan* for her keen interest, continuous guidance and constant encouragement during the period of this research as the main supervisor of this work. Without her this study would have been impossible to accomplish.

The author would like to acknowledge *Ir. Hj. Abdul Halim bin Mohd Ghazali (Abad Consultants Sdn. Bhd.) and Ir. Hj. Mior Muhammad bin Shamsuddin (Jurutera Perunding Warisan Sdn. Bhd.)* and all his staffs their enlightenment, encouragement and contributions along the duration of this research.

Thanks are due to the people directly or indirectly involved in this study, also to the author's dearest friends and families for their loves, supports, and encouragements. Hopefully, the time spent on this study would be rewarded back with pleasant and memorable experience and knowledge.

ABSTRACT

Road Safety Audits (RSAs) are an effective tool for proactively improving the future safety performance of a road project during the planning and design stages, and for identifying safety issues in existing transportation facilities. Road safety audits is only a study of safety aspects and the auditing process may indicate road safety problems inherent in designs that conform to the road standards. The main objective in this study area is to identify potential safety hazards on new roads project and existing road which have a direct impacts. Road safety audit process is divided in stages from Stage 1 to Stage 5. In this study case, it is only the first 3 stages to consider. Its started with Feasibility and Planning Stage (Stage 1), then continue with Preliminary Design (Stage 2) and ending with Details Design (Stage 3). The auditing process initiated with selection of auditor team, assemble the background infromation of the project, meeting between client and auditor team. Then the process continue with site inspection by the auditor and follow with producing the finding in a report. Findings from the audit process showing most of the safety requirement in the design was comply with the standards requirement. A minor comment was highlighted in the finding especially for existing road. On the other hand, we can conclude the designer of the new road project had taken all aspect for road safety into account during design stage.

ABSTRAK

Audit Keselamatan Jalanraya atau juga di kenali sebagai "Road Safety Audit – RSA" adalah sebagai mekanisma yang proaktif dalam usaha untuk meningkatkan tahap keselamatan pada masa akan datang di dalam pembinaan projek jalanraya semasa peringkat perancangan dan rekabentuk. Ia juga digunakan sebagai kaedah yang digunakan untuk mengenalpasti isu yang melibatkan kemudahan pengangkutan sediada. Audit keselamatan jalanraya hanyalah kajian berkenaan aspek keselamatan dan sebagai pengukur aras pada permasalahan yang melibatkan keselamatan jalanraya yang terdapat di dalam rekabentuk. Ini juga untuk memastikan rekabentuk yang di buat memenuhi kehendak piawaian. Objektif utama di dalam kajian ini adalah untuk mengenalpasti potensi bahaya yang mungkin akan wujud pada perlaksanaan projek jalanraya yang baru dan juga jalanraya sediada yang menerima impak secara langsung daripada projek tersebut. Untuk kajian ini, hanya 3 peringkat pertama pengauditan sahaja dipertimbangkan. Ia adalah Peringkat Perancangan, Rekabentuk Permulaan dan Rekabentuk Terperinci. Proses pengauditan bermula dengan pemilihan pasukan juruaudit, di ikuti dengan mendapat sumber maklumat berkaitan projek. Kemudian pemeriksaan tapak dijalankan dan seterusnya penyediaan laporan audit. Daripada proses pengauditan yang dijalankan, berdasarkan senarai semak, secara keseluruhannya rekabentuk yang dikeluarkan oleh pihak perunding memenuhi kehendak piawaian yang telah di tetapkan. Hanya terdapat sedikit perkara yang perlu di beri perhatian untuk penambah baikan terutama untuk jalan sediada. Dengan kata lain, pihak perunding yang di beri tanggungjawab untuk merekabentuk projek ini telah mengambil kira segala aspek keselamatan jalan raya.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER TITLE

1

2

PAGE

DECL	ARATION	ii			
DEDI	CATION	iii			
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT					
ABST	RACT	v			
ABST	RAK	vi			
TABL	E OF CONTENTS	vii			
LIST	OF TABLES	xii			
LIST	OF FIGURES	xiii			
LIST	OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv			
LIST	OF SYMBOLS	XV			
LIST OF APPENDICES					
INTR	ODUCTION	1			
1.1	Introduction	1			
1.2	Problem Statement	2			
1.3	Aim and Objective of study	3			
1.4	Scope of the study	4			
LITE	RATURE REVIEW	5			
2.1.	Introduction	5			
2.2	Road Safety Audit	7			

	2.2.1	The Purpose	8
	2.2.2	The Stages	9
2.3	Road	Safety Audit and International Experinces	11
	2.3.1	United Kingdom	11
	2.3.2	Australia	11
	2.3.3	India	12
	2.3.4	Singapore	13
2.4	Road	Safety Audit in Malaysia	14
2.5	Road	Safety Review	16
2.6	Summ	ary	17
MET	THODO	LOGY	18
3.1	Introd	uction	18
	3.1.1	Stage 1 Audit – Feasibility and Planning	
		Stage	20
	3.1.2	Stage 2 Audit – Preliminary Design Stage	21
	3.1.3	Stage 3 Audit – Detailed Design Stage	22
3.2	Road	Safety Audit Procedure	23
3.3	Select	ing the Audit Team	25
3.4	Backg	round of Information	25
	3.4.1	A set of drawing	26
	3.4.2	Road Safety Audit Guidelines produced	
		by the Road Branch, JKR Malaysia 1997.	26
	3.4.3	A series of Arahan Teknik (Jalan) produced	
		by JKR, Malaysia.	26
	3.4.4	A series of guideline by Road Engineering	
		of Malaysia	26
	3.4.5	Traffic Volume based on Road Traffic	

3

		Volume Malaysia 2011	27
	3.4.6	REAM GL 2/2002 and Arahan Teknik	
		(Jalan) 8/86	27
3.5	Initial	Meeting	28
3.6	Assess	sment and Analysis	38
	3.6.1	Scope of Auditing	
		(Feasibility and Planning - Stage 1)	29
	3.6.2	Scope of Auditing	
		(Preliminary Design – Stage 2)	29
	3.6.3	Scope of Auditing	
		(Details Design – Stage 3)	30
3.7	Site Ir	aspections	30
3.8	Audit	Report	31
3.9	Completion Meeting		
3.10	Summary		
ANA	LYSIS .	AND RESULT	34
ANA 4.1	L YSIS . Introd	AND RESULT uction	34 34
ANA 4.1 4.2	LYSIS . Introd Site D	AND RESULT uction vescription	34 34 35
ANA 4.1 4.2 4.3	LYSIS A Introd Site D Feasib	AND RESULT uction rescription pility and Planning Stage – Stage 1 Auditing	34343536
ANA 4.1 4.2 4.3	LYSIS A Introd Site D Feasib 4.3.1	AND RESULT uction rescription bility and Planning Stage – Stage 1 Auditing Road Networks Effects	 34 34 35 36 36
ANA 4.1 4.2 4.3	LYSIS A Introd Site D Feasib 4.3.1 4.3.2	AND RESULT uction escription bility and Planning Stage – Stage 1 Auditing Road Networks Effects General Geometric Standards	 34 34 35 36 36 39
ANA 4.1 4.2 4.3	LYSIS A Introd Site D Feasib 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3	AND RESULT uction escription bility and Planning Stage – Stage 1 Auditing Road Networks Effects General Geometric Standards Outline Provision for Users with Special	34 34 35 36 36 39
ANA 4.1 4.2 4.3	LYSIS A Introd Site D Feasib 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3	AND RESULT uction escription bility and Planning Stage – Stage 1 Auditing Road Networks Effects General Geometric Standards Outline Provision for Users with Special Needs	 34 34 35 36 36 39 41
ANA 4.1 4.2 4.3	LYSIS / Introd Site D Feasib 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3	AND RESULT uction escription bility and Planning Stage – Stage 1 Auditing Road Networks Effects General Geometric Standards Outline Provision for Users with Special Needs Access Control Details.	 34 34 35 36 36 39 41 42
ANA 4.1 4.2 4.3	LYSIS / Introd Site D Feasib 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3 4.3.4 4.3.5	AND RESULT uction escription bility and Planning Stage – Stage 1 Auditing Road Networks Effects General Geometric Standards Outline Provision for Users with Special Needs Access Control Details. Environmental Considerations.	 34 34 35 36 36 39 41 42 42 42
ANA 4.1 4.2 4.3	LYSIS / Introd Site D Feasib 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3 4.3.4 4.3.5 4.3.6	AND RESULT uction escription bility and Planning Stage – Stage 1 Auditing Road Networks Effects General Geometric Standards Outline Provision for Users with Special Needs Access Control Details. Environmental Considerations. Considerations Alternatives.	 34 34 35 36 36 39 41 42 42 42 42 42
ANA 4.1 4.2 4.3	LYSIS / Introd Site D Feasib 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3 4.3.4 4.3.5 4.3.6 Prelim	AND RESULT uction escription bility and Planning Stage – Stage 1 Auditing Road Networks Effects General Geometric Standards Outline Provision for Users with Special Needs Access Control Details. Environmental Considerations. Considerations Alternatives.	 34 34 35 36 36 39 41 42
ANA 4.1 4.2 4.3	LYSIS / Introd Site D Feasib 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3 4.3.4 4.3.5 4.3.6 Prelim 4.4.1	AND RESULT uction escription bility and Planning Stage – Stage 1 Auditing Road Networks Effects General Geometric Standards Outline Provision for Users with Special Needs Access Control Details. Environmental Considerations. Considerations Alternatives. inary Stage – Stage 2 Auditing Design Criteria.	 34 34 35 36 36 39 41 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 43
ANA 4.1 4.2 4.3	LYSIS / Introd Site D Feasib 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3 4.3.4 4.3.5 4.3.6 Prelim 4.4.1 4.4.2	AND RESULT uction escription bility and Planning Stage – Stage 1 Auditing Road Networks Effects General Geometric Standards Outline Provision for Users with Special Needs Access Control Details. Environmental Considerations. Considerations Alternatives. inary Stage – Stage 2 Auditing Design Criteria. Cross section.	 34 34 35 36 36 39 41 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 43 44
ANA 4.1 4.2 4.3	LYSIS / Introd Site D Feasib 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3 4.3.4 4.3.5 4.3.6 Prelim 4.4.1 4.4.2 4.4.3	AND RESULT uction escription bility and Planning Stage – Stage 1 Auditing Road Networks Effects General Geometric Standards Outline Provision for Users with Special Needs Access Control Details. Environmental Considerations. Considerations Alternatives. harry Stage – Stage 2 Auditing Design Criteria. Cross section. Horizontal and Vertical Alignment.	 34 34 35 36 36 39 41 42 42 42 42 42 42 42 43 44 44

4

	4.4.5	Provision of Median.	46
	4.4.6	Provision of Adequate Sight Distance	46
	4.4.7	Treatment of Acceleration and Deceleration	
		Lanes.	47
	4.4.8	Provision for Motorcycle Lane.	47
	4.4.9	Street Lighting.	47
	4.4.10	Provision of Crash Cushion.	48
	4.4.11	Access Control.	48
	4.4.12	Traffic Management Plan.	48
4.5	Detail	Design – Stage 3 Auditing	49
	4.5.1	Check Detail Drawings.	49
	4.5.2	Audit of Geometric	51
	4.5.3	Audit Kempas, Rinting and Masai	
		Interchnages.	53
	4.5.4	Road Marking and Traffic Signing.	53
	4.5.5	Street Lighting.	54
	4.5.6	Traffic Signal Installation.	54
	4.5.7	Road Side Safety Provision.	54
	4.5.8	Traffic Management Plan during	
		construction.	55
4.6	Summa	ary	56
	4.6.1	Feasibility and Planning – Stage 1 Auditing.	56
	4.6.2	Preliminary Design – Stage 2 Auditing.	57
	4.6.3	Detail Design – Stage 3 Auditing.	57
CONC	LUSIC	ON AND RECOMMENDATION	60
5.1	Introdu	iction	60
5.2	Conclu	sion	60
0.12	5.2.1	To identify of potential hazards on new	00
		road project at the appropriate stage.	61
	5.2.2	To identify of hazardous features of an	
		existing road so that they can be	

5

		eliminated or otherwise treated before	
		they become accident prone locations.	61
	5.2.3	To ensure that the safety requirements of	
		all road users are explicitly considered in	
		the planning, preliminary and design stage.	62
5.3	Recon	nmendation	62
REFERENCES			64-65

APPENDICES

Appendix A - C

xi

66-103

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.

TITLE

PAGE

3.1:	References information for Auditing	27
4.1:	Definition of Road Classification/Hierarchy	37
4.2:	Design Standard	40
4.3:	Design Speed	43
4.4:	Horizontal Radius	44
4.5:	K Values	45
4.6:	Stopping/Passing Sight Distance	47

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO. TITLE

2.1:	Stages of RSA	9
3.1:	Road Safety Audit Workflow	19
3.2:	Steps involved in Stage 1 Audit	21
3.3:	Steps involved in Stage 2 Audit	22
3.4:	Steps involved in Stage 3 Audit	23
3.5:	Process for Conducting RSA	24
4.1:	Location of case study.	35
4.2:	Provision of median	46
4.3:	Provision of crash cushion.	48
4.4:	Sungai Plentong flood prone (CH700)	51
4.5:	Provision for clear zone details.	55

PAGE

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADT	-	Average Daily Traffic
ASD	-	Average Sight Distance
ASEAN	-	Association of Southeast Asian Nations
ATJ	-	Arahan Teknik Jalan
FHWA	-	Federal Highway Administration
GDP	-	Gross Domestic Product
IHT	-	Institution of Highways and Transportation
Irap	-	International Road Safety Assessment Program
IRC	-	India Roads Congress
JKR	-	Jabatan Kerja Raya
JKJR	-	Jabatan Keselamatan Jalan Raya
NSW	-	New South Wales
REAAA	-	Road Engineering Association of Asia and Australasia
REAM	-	Road Engineering Association of Malaysia
RSA	-	Road Safety Audit
RSPM	-	Road Safety Plans of Malaysia
RTA	-	Roads and Traffic Authority
RTVM	-	Road Traffic Volume Malaysia
TMP	-	Traffic Management Plan
UNESCAP	-	United Nations Economic Commission for Asia and
		the Pacific.
VicRoads	_	Victoria Roads

LIST OF SYMBOLS

СН	-	Chainage
е	-	Super elevation
kph	-	kilometer per hour
VIPs	-	Vertical Intercept Points

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE

A	Standards Reference	66
В	Data Road Traffic Volume Malaysia 2011	72
С	Construction Drawing	81

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Road Safety Audit (RSA) is a formal procedure for assessing accident potential and safety performance in the provision of new road schemes and schemes for the improvement and maintenance of existing roads. A road safety audit is a formal examination with intention of identifying road safety deficiencies and areas of risk that could lead to road crashes. New roads must incorporate design and operational safety elements from the start.

RSA is a relatively new aspect of road engineering which requires specialist skill based on a sound knowledge, experience and understanding of Traffic Engineering and Road Safety principle and practices. An important focus of RSA is its consideration of the specific safety needs of all road users.

Road Safety Auditing is not expected to eliminate all or even the majority of accidents but experience in other countries indicates that if it is applied at the various stages of development of new road projects positive benefits can be obtained. Road Safety Audit costs in the order of 4% to 10% of design costs for new major projects but can provide very much higher benefits to the community in reduced accident costs, and in minimising the trauma suffered by people as a result of traffic accidents.

The basic RSA is the application of safety principles to new project design and improvements to the highway to prevent crashes from occurring or to reduce their severity. The outcome of the audit is the identification of any potential safety issues, together with suggestions on how to address the issues. Additionally, road safety audits are systematic, auditing takes place according to agree upon procedure, in which the parties involved have designated roles in the process. (Terecia W, 2004)

The RSA process requires an objective approach to the assessment of crash risk. The principal method of ensuring this objective is through the independent safety assessment of projects by persons not connected with the original design. Designers and planners need to be familiar with experience conducting road safety engineering technique. (Terecia W, 2004)

1.2 Problem Statement

Road safety audit assess the operation of a road, focusing on road safety as it affects the users of the road. Safety audit is only a study of safety aspects and an auditor may indicate road safety problems inherent in designs that conform to our road standards. This is due to the fact that our road standards are an expression of a socio-economic balance between road safety, accessibility, environment and economy. (IRC: SC: 88-2010)

Base on the Road Traffic Volume Malaysia (RTVM 2011) by Highway Planning Division, Lebuhraya Pasir Gudang should be designed to U5 of JKR standard where the ADT is more than 10,000. It is classified as a divided highway and always had grade separation at all intersections. The road conveys through traffics from residential settlements to the vicinity of the business centers within urbanized area with limited access control.

1.3 Aim and Objectives of study

The aim of this study is to evaluate the RSA process for the road project and existing roads are capable of providing the highest practicable standard of traffic safety for all road users. The following objectives are set forth to reach the aim of the study:

- i) To identify of potential safety hazards on new roads project, at the appropriate stage.
- ii) To identify of hazardous features of an existing road so that they can be eliminated or otherwise treated before they become accident prone locations.
- iii) To ensure that the safety requirements of all road users are explicitly considered in the planning and design.

1.4 Scope of the study

The Government of Malaysia through implementation agency Jabatan Kerja Raya (JKR) is desirous to improve the road infrastructure of Lebuhraya Pasir Gudang in Johor Baharu, Johor. The project namely FASA 2A stretches from Plentong interchange to Sri Alam / Taman Rinting interchange and this route is known as FT17. The scope of study covers the upgrading of three interchanges; Kempas Interchange, Seri Alam / Taman Rinting Interchange and Masai Interchange. The proposed road upgrading is from 4 lane to 6 lane dual carriageway.

Based on surrounding features, FT17 could be classifieds a highway and designed under U5 JKR standard where projected ADT is more than 10,000. Fasa 2A is approximately 6.7 km long where residential and industrial are prominent on the left and right sides along the stretch. The location of the proposed project about 7 km north east of Johor Baharu city centre in Johor.

REFERENCE

- Appleton, I and Jordan, P.W., (1994), "Road Safety Audit: Progress in New Zealand and Australia", Proceedings, New Zealand Land Transport Symposium, Wellington, New Zealand.
- Austroads (2002), "Guide to Road Safety Part 6: Road Safety Audit," Austroads, Sydney, Australia.
- Fang Fwa Tien (2016), "50 Years of Transportation in Singapore Achievements and Challenges", National University of Singapore, Singapore.
- Hildebrand, E.D., Wilson, F.R. (1999), "*Road Safety Audit Guidelines*", University of New Brunswick, Transportation Group, Canada.
- Indian Road Congress (2010), "Manual on Road Safety Audit (1st rev.)", New Delhi. (IRC:SP:88-2010)
- Jabatan Kerja Raya (1985), "Manual on Traffic Control Devices: Traffic Sign Applications", Arahan Teknik (Jalan) 2B/85, Cawangan Jalan, Ibu Pejabat JKR, Kuala Lumpur.
- Jabatan Kerja Raya (1986), "A Guide on Geometric Design of Roads," Arahan Teknik (Jalan) 8/86, Cawangan Jalan, Ibu Pejabat JKR, Kuala Lumpur.
- Jabatan Kerja Raya (1987), "A Guide to the Design of At-Grade Intersections," Arahan Teknik (Jalan) 11/87, Cawangan Jalan, Ibu Pejabat JKR, Kuala Lumpur.
- Jabatan Kerja Raya (2002) (2nd Edition), "Road Safety Audit Guidelines for the Safety Audit of Roads and Road Projects in Malaysia", Cawangan Jalan, Ibu Pejabat JKR, Kuala Lumpur.
- Jabatan Keselamatan Jalan Raya (2014), "*Road Safety Plan of Malaysia 2014-2020*", Kementerian Pengangkutan Malaysia, Malaysia.
- New South Wales (NWS) Centre for Road Safety (2011), "Guidelines for Road Safety Audit Practices", Roads and Traffic Authority of New South Wales.
- Ogden KW (1994), "Traffic Engineering Road Safety: A Practitioner's Guide", Institute of Transport Studies, Department of Civil Engineering, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia.
- REAM GL 2/2002, (2002), "A Guide on Geometric Design of Roads (8/86), Road Engineering Association of Malaysia, Malaysia.

- Road Traffic Volume of Malaysia (2011), Highway Planning Division, Ministry of Works Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Terecia Wilson (2004), "Road Safety Audit: An Emerging and Effective Tool for Improved Safety", Institute of Transportation Engineers, South Carolina.
- Trentscoste, M. (1997), "FHWA Study Tour for Road Safety Audit Parts 1 and 2", Federal Highway Administration, US Department of Transportation, Washington D.C.