

OPTIMIZATION OF BIOHYDROGEN PRODUCTION BY *Enterococcus* sp. NF6
USING STARCH AS SUBSTRATE

DHARSIGAH A/P N BANIEAR SALVAM

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Science (Biotechnology)

Faculty of Biosciences and Medical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JULY 2017

To my family,
Always and no matter what.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This study would not have been possible without the support of many people.

First of all, I would like to thank God, for this opportunity and the endless blessings that made this possible and my family and friends for the endless love, guidance, support, thoughts and motivation.

I am grateful to my advisor and supervisor, Dr. Mohd Firdaus Abdul Wahab, whose expertise, understanding and generous guidance and support made it possible for me to work on this topic that has my deep interest.

Special thanks also to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for the facilities and for the opportunity to carry this project. Sincere thanks to all the Proteomics Lab members, for the constant support and guidance throughout my study.

Finally, I would also like to thank Proteomics Lab Assistant, Mr. Yusnizam Samsudin for the immense support and help.

ABSTRACT

The rising gap between the demand for fossil fuel-based energy and insufficient energy supply has led to the vast investigation of potential alternative clean energy, biohydrogen. In this study, starch utilizing bacteria *Enterococcus* sp. NF6 was isolated from cow manure; the effective starch utilization and biohydrogen production of the biocatalyst were investigated. To investigate the optimum conditions for biohydrogen production, batch fermentations were conducted by optimization of physicochemical parameters using one factor at a time (OFAT) method using Modified Reinforced Clostridial Media (MRCM) as fermentation media. Batch fermentations were carried out at several temperatures (30°C, 37°C & 45°C), initial medium pH (5.5, 7.0, 8.0 & 9.0) and initial substrate concentration of (0.5 g/L, 1 g/L & 3g/L). The highest biohydrogen production obtained at pH 5.5, incubation temperature of 37°C, and initial substrate concentration of 1g/L. These conditions, the biohydrogen production rate is 0.23 mL/H, with 100% of substrate utilization, 0.0790 h⁻¹ of specific growth rate and maximum biohydrogen production of 1.85 mL. The outcome suggests the potential use of *Enterococcus* sp. NF6 for biohydrogen production in a single culture system.

ABSTRAK

Peningkatan jurang antara permintaan bagi tenaga berasaskan bahan api fosil dan bekalan tenaga yang tidak mencukupi telah membawa kepada penyelidikan akan tenaga alternatif yang bersih dan berpotensi iaitu biohydrogen. Dalam kajian ini, bakteria *Enterococcus* sp. NF6 yang telah diasing daripada baja yang berasaskan najis lembu telah digunakan untuk mengesan penggunaan kanji sebagai substrat untuk pengeluaran hidrogen. Kajian ini dijalankan untuk menyiasat keadaan optimum bagi pengeluaran biohidrogen melalui proses fermentasi selompok telah dijalankan dengan mengoptimumkan parameter fizikal dengan menggunakan kaedah satu faktor pada satu masa (OFAT) dengan menggunakan Modified Reinforced Clostridial Media (MRCM) sebagai media. Fermentasi telah dijalankan pada beberapa suhu (30°C, 37°C & 45°C), pH awal media (5.5, 7.0, 8.0 & 9.0) dan kepekatan substrat awal (0.5 g/L, 1 g/L & 3g/L). Pengeluaran biohydrogen paling tinggi diperolehi ialah pada pH 5.5, suhu fermentasi 37 ° C, dan kepekatan substrat awal 1 g/L. Pada keadaan ini, kadar pengeluaran biohydrogen adalah 0.23 mL / H, dengan 100% daripada penggunaan substrat, 0,0790 h⁻¹ kadar pertumbuhan tertentu dan pengeluaran biohydrogen maksimum 1.85 mL. Kesimpulannya, menunjukkan potensi penggunaan *Enterococcus* sp. NF6 untuk pengeluaran biohydrogen dalam sistem fermentasi yang menggunakan kultur tulen.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	x
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS	xiii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xv
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of the Research	1
	1.2 Problem Statement/ Significance of Study	4
	1.3 Research Objectives	6
	1.4 Scope of the Research	6
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1 Biohydrogen Production	7
	2.1.1 Microbial Approaches in Biohydrogen Production	7
	2.1.2 Biocatalysts Involved in Biohydrogen Production	10
	2.1.3 Fermentation	12

	2.1.4 Enzymes Involved in Biohydrogen Production	14
	2.2 Parameters Involved in Biohydrogen Production	16
	2.2.1 pH	17
	2.2.2 Temperature	19
	2.2.3 Substrate Concentration	21
	2.3 Gas Chromatography	23
	2.3.1 Overview on Principle of Gas Chromatography	23
	2.3.2 Principle of Gas Chromatography- Thermal Conductivity Detector	25
	2.4 Starch	27
	2.4.1 Starch as Substrate for Biohydrogen Production	29
3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	30
	3.1 Experimental Design	30
	3.2 Media Preparation	31
	3.2.1 Modified Reinforced Clostridial Medium (MRCM)	31
	3.3 Bacterial Cultivation	32
	3.4 Profiling the Growth of <i>Enterococcus</i> sp. NF6	32
	3.5 Optimization of Biohydrogen Production by Batch Fermentation	33
	3.5.1 Effect of Initial Medium pH	33
	3.5.2 Effect of Temperature	34
	3.5.3 Effect of Initial Starch Concentration	34
	3.6 Determination of Biohydrogen Production using Gas Chromatography-Thermal Conductivity Detector	35
	3.6.1 Analytical Method	36
	3.7 Analysis on Fermentation Media	37
	3.7.1 Determination of the Growth and Dry	37

	Cell Weight of <i>Enterococcus</i> sp. NF6	
	3.7.2 Starch Utilization	38
	3.7.3 Reducing Sugar and Amylase Activity	38
3.8	Kinetic Parameter of Biohydrogen Production	40
	3.8.1 Specific Growth Rate, μ	40
	3.8.2 Doubling Time, t_d	40
	3.8.3 Yield of Coefficient	41
	3.8.4 Productivity Rate	41
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	42
4.1	Growth Profile of <i>Enterococcus</i> sp. NF6	42
4.2	Optimization Study for Maximum Biohydrogen Production	45
	4.2.1 Effect of Initial Medium pH on Biohydrogen Production	47
	4.2.2 Effect of Temperature on Biohydrogen Production	53
	4.2.3 Effect of Initial Starch Concentration on Biohydrogen Production	56
	4.2.4 Investigation on Biohydrogen Production	58
4.3	Kinetic Parameters of Substrate Utilization and Biohydrogen Production by <i>Enterococcus</i> sp. NF6	61
5	CONCLUSION	63
5.1	Conclusion	63
5.2	Future Prospect	64
	REFERENCES	65
	Appendices	72

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
4.1	Kinetic Parameters of Substrate Utilization and Biohydrogen Production at Different Fermentation Conditions	62

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Schematic Representation of primary microbial process integrated with other secondary processes for hydrogen production	9
2.2	Schematic Representation of Diverse Biohydrogen Biocatalyst	11
2.3	Schematic Representation of Standard Wheatstone Bridge Circuit	26
2.4 (a)	Structural Representation of Amylose	27
2.4 (b)	Structural Representation of Amylopectin	27
4.1	Growth profile of <i>Enterococcus</i> sp. NF6	44
4.2	Residual starch concentration, reducing sugar concentration, cell biomass and biohydrogen production at medium pH 5.5	49
4.3	Residual starch concentration, reducing sugar concentration cell biomass and biohydrogen production at medium pH 7.0	50
4.4	Residual starch concentration, reducing sugar concentration, cell biomass and biohydrogen production at medium pH 8.0	51
4.5	Residual starch concentration, reducing sugar concentration, cell biomass and biohydrogen production at medium pH 9.0.	52
4.6	Residual starch concentration, reducing sugar concentration, cell biomass and biohydrogen production at incubation temperature of 30°C	54
4.7	Residual starch concentration, reducing sugar	

	concentration, cell biomass and biohydrogen production at incubation temperature of 45°C	55
4.8 (a)	Residual starch concentration, reducing sugar concentration, cell biomass and biohydrogen production at initial starch concentration of 3.0 g/L	57
4.8 (b)	Residual starch concentration, reducing sugar concentration, cell biomass and biohydrogen production at initial starch concentration of 0.5 g/L.	57
4.9 (a)	Cumulative biohydrogen productions at different initial pH.	59
4.9 (b)	Cumulative biohydrogen productions at different temperatures.	60
4.9 (c)	Cumulative biohydrogen productions at different initial starch concentration.	60

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

CH ₃ COCOOH	-	Pyruvic acid		
C ₆ H ₁₂ O ₆	-	Glucose		
CH ₃ COOH	-	Acetic acid		
CH ₃ CH ₂ COOH	-	Propanoic acid		
COOHCH ₂ CH ₂ OCOOH	-	Succinic acid		
CH ₃ CH ₂ OH	-	Ethanol		
CH ₄	-	Methane		
DNS	-	3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid		
Fd	-	Ferredoxin		
Fe	-	Ferum (Iron)		
g	-	Gram		
g/g	-	Gram per gram		
H ₂	-	Hydrogen		
H ⁺	-	Hydrogen ion		
HCl	-	Hydrochloric acid		
kJ/g	-	Kilojoule per gram		
kPa	-	Kilopascal		
L	-	Liter		
M	-	Molar		
mL	-	Milliliter		
mL/g	-	Milliliter per gram		
mL/H	-	Milliliter per hour		
mL/min	-	Milliliter per minute		
mm	-	Millimeter		
NaOH	-	Sodium hydroxide		
NADH	-	Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide-Hydrogen		

NAD ⁺	-	Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide
nm	-	Nanometer
Ni	-	Nickel
pH	-	Potential of hydrogen
sp	-	Species
μL	-	Microliter
°C	-	Degree celsius
α		Alpha

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Starch Standard Curve	72
B	Glucose Standard Curve	73

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Research

The rising gap between the shortages of energy supply and demand for fossil fuel-based energy has led to the outsized scale of industrial development. This leads to substantial depletion of natural resource and alarming changes in the climate, with increasing of greenhouse gasses. Consequently, this encouraged for the investigation for an alternative renewable energy to suffice the global energy demand (Lee *et al.*, 2011). A potential alternative energy that is currently being discussed and broadly investigates is biohydrogen (H_2). Biohydrogen is a sustainable, clean, environment-friendly and promising alternative to fossil fuel based energy.

H_2 is resourceful energy that could amend the use of liquid base fossil fuel as the biohydrogen based fuel has high energy yield per unit mass 122 kJ/g, which is 2.75 fold higher than hydrocarbon based fuel. Moreover, the combustion of H_2 produces water (H_2O) as a by-product, hence reassuring outcome for the reduction of greenhouse gas emission (Bockris, 2002; Christopher & Dimitrios, 2012). H_2 can be generated in four approaches i.e., i) electrochemical process ii) thermochemical process iii) photochemical process and iv) microbial process (Reungsang *et al.*, 2004).

The first three approaches are at a disadvantage as the processes do not produce energy, do not reduce waste and involve electricity produced from fossil fuel combustion. Alternatively, the microbial process reduces both energy and waste. The microbial process is classified as the following approaches; (i) biophotolysis of water using algae and cyanobacteria, (ii) photodecomposition of organic compounds, (iii) fermentative hydrogen production from organic compounds and (iv) hybrid system using photosynthetic and fermentative bacteria (Reungsang *et al.*, 2004).

For energy efficiency and practicality fermentation using carbohydrate-based substrates depicts promising route for biohydrogen production compared to photosynthetic or chemical routes (Vendruscolo, 2014). This is due to the higher production rate, low operational cost and simple set up of the experiment compared to the rest of microbial approaches (Ghimire *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, the selection of suitable biocatalyst and inoculum is essential for fermentation, either by a pure or mixed consortium of bacterial species. The common research typically used pure culture as biocatalyst and defined substrate as a carbon source (Chandrasekhar *et al.*, 2015). Carbohydrate based substrate for instance like starch is preferred substrate for fermentative hydrogen production.

The foremost H₂ producing biocatalysts for fermentation are heterotrophs that do not require solar energy and able to tolerate oxygen deficiency condition (Chandrasekhar *et al.*, 2015). By understanding the metabolic pathway of the biocatalyst or the fermentative hydrogen producing bacteria, the fermentation conditions reroute the metabolic pathway to increase the substrate utilization.

The operational parameters are the key factor in the hydrogen production through the microbial process. The physiochemical parameters affect mainly the yield and the biohydrogen production rate, through the growth of the microbe, enzymatic and metabolic activity and eventually to the production rate. Parameters that are crucial like pH, temperature and starch concentration for the fermentation process are the important parameter involved (Choi & Ahn, 2014).

pH is reflected as the crucial parameter that affects the hydrogen production by alternating the metabolic pathways by inhibiting the enzymatic activity of the biocatalyst (hydrogen producing bacteria) involved and plays a critical role in fermentative hydrogen production. The minor changes with cause the change in its metabolic pathway and affects the hydrogen yield.

Meanwhile, the changes in temperature have an effect on the hydrogen production rate also in the consumption and degradation of the substrate in the process, biohydrogen yield, and formation of metabolites, Volatile Fatty Acids (VFA) with the growth of the microbe. The optimum temperature directly correlates with the enzyme activity which leads to the higher yield of hydrogen.

Apart from that, the activity and metabolic pathway of the biohydrogen producing bacteria is affected by the substrate and its composition. The metabolic byproduct increases with the concentration of the substrate. The shift in the metabolic pathway forms more reduced compound when the electron flow interrupts with the increase in the byproduct concentrations with the increasing starch concentration confirms the theory.

1.2 Problem Statement/ Significance of Research

The dependence and the outburst of the industrial revolution have led to challenges with the supply of enough fossil energy for continued growth of the economy and related emissions. Moreover, the increasing demand and the extensive use of the fossil fuel-based energy also have led to the emission of greenhouse gasses and climate change.

The depositions of fossil fuels are limited physically or economically, hence making them finite and non-renewable natural resources. United States Energy Information Administration (EIA) has estimated that the natural reserves of fossil fuel will be completely exhausted by 2069 to 2088 (Energy Information Administration, 2017). This has accustomed unconstrained demand of fossil fuel supply that has conveyed to major financial distress by the hike in the fossil fuel price globally.

Moreover, fossil fuel dependence has brought major impacts to the environment including the global warming. National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) has recorded that the level of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere are higher than they have been in the recent years, recording exceeding 400 parts per million (ppm) which results in increasing global temperature and climate change.

Hence, the escalating gap between the supply and demand of fossil fuel had led to the global and national economic impact, increasing competition for fossil fuel reserves and climate change effects has prompted for the exploration for an alternative renewable energy to replace fossil fuel driven energy. Hydrogen, sustainable energy that shows potentially versatile energy currency to replace fossil fuel based energy. Accordingly, focuses on the biological approaches for the production of the hydrogen (biohydrogen).

In this study, a biohydrogen-producing bacteria and starch degrading bacteria *Enterococcus* sp. strain NF6, isolated from the cow manure used as a biocatalyst for fermentation using starch as a substrate with Modified Reinforced Clostridial Medium (MRCM). The effective starch utilization and biohydrogen production of the biocatalyst are investigated, along with the optimum conditions for biohydrogen production.

The physiochemical parameters pH, temperature and starch concentration was optimized to obtain the higher production of hydrogen along with the analysis on the correlation of enzymatic activity with the hydrogen production. Hence, this study leads to the potential use of the biocatalyst in an upscale study of biohydrogen production or the use in mixed culture of biohydrogen production for higher yield of hydrogen.

1.3 Research Objectives

In this study, *Enterococcus* sp. NF6 is used as the biocatalyst. The main objectives of the study are as follows,

- i. To measure the performance of *Enterococcus* sp. NF6 for biohydrogen production on Modified Reinforced Clostridial Medium (MRCM) in batch experiment.
- ii. To optimize the production of biohydrogen based on temperature, starch concentration and pH using one-factor-at-time (OFAT).
- iii. To study the kinetic of biohydrogen production by *Enterococcus* sp. NF6.

1.4 Scope of the Research

This study focuses on the optimization of the biohydrogen production by *Enterococcus* sp. NF6 through different fermentation conditions using Modified Reinforced *Clostridial* Media (MRCM). The physiochemical parameters pH, temperature and initial starch concentration are optimized using one-factor-at-time (OFAT) method. At each parameter, the bacteria were screened for biohydrogen production, cell biomass, starch utilization, the concentration of reducing sugar and amylase activity. The optimum condition was analyzed with the results obtained. The kinetics of biohydrogen production was investigated by determining the specific growth rate, doubling time, yield of the product over the substrate and cell concentration.

REFERENCES

- Akutsu, Y., Li, Y.-Y., Harada, H., & Yu, H.-Q. (2009). Effects of temperature and substrate concentration on biological hydrogen production from starch. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 34(6), 2558–2566.
- American Association of Cereal Chemists., J.-L., & CHEN, J.-F. (n.d.). *Cereal chemistry*. *Cereal chemistry* (Vol. 69). American Association of Cereal Chemists.
- Arimi, M. M., Knodel, J., Kiprop, A., Namango, S. S., Zhang, Y., & Geißen, S. U. (2015). Strategies for improvement of biohydrogen production from organic-rich wastewater: A review. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 75(0), 101–118.
- Audu, J. O., & Abdul-Wahab, M. F. (2014). Biohydrogen production by bacteria isolated from manures of three different bovines using synthetic starch wastewater as substrate. *Malaysian Journal of Microbiology*, 10(1), 38–47.
- Azwar, M. Y., Hussain, M. A., & Abdul-wahab, A. K. (2014). Development of biohydrogen production by photobiological , fermentation and electrochemical processes : A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 31, 158–173.
- Böhm, R., Sauter, M., & Böck, A. (1990). Nucleotide sequence and expression of an operon in *Escherichia coli* coding for formate hydrogenylase components. *Molecular Microbiology*, 4(2), 231–243.
- Balachandar, G., Khanna, N., & Das, D. (2013). *Biohydrogen: Chapter 6. Biohydrogen Production From Organic Wastes by Dark Fermentation*. Elsevier Inc. Chapters.
- Basak, N., & Das, D. (2007). The prospect of purple non-sulfur (PNS) photosynthetic bacteria for hydrogen production: The present state of the art. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 23(1), 31–42.

- Boyington, J. C. (1997). Crystal Structure of Formate Dehydrogenase H: Catalysis Involving Mo, Molybdopterin, Selenocysteine, and an Fe₄S₄ Cluster. *Science*, 275(5304), 1305–1308.
- Chandrasekhar, K., Lee, Y.-J., & Lee, D.-W. (2015). Biohydrogen Production: Strategies to Improve Process Efficiency through Microbial Routes. *Int. J. Mol. Sci. Int. J. Mol. Sci*, 16, 8266–8293.
- Chen, W., Tseng, Z., Lee, K., & Chang, J. (2005). Fermentative hydrogen production with *Clostridium butyricum* CGS5 isolated from anaerobic sewage sludge, 30, 1063–1070.
- Choi, J., & Ahn, Y. (2014). Characteristics of biohydrogen fermentation from various substrates. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 39(7), 3152–3159.
- Christopher, K., & Dimitrios, R. (2012). A review on exergy comparison of hydrogen production methods from renewable energy sources. *Energy & Environmental Science*, 5(5), 6640.
- Colonna, P., & Mercier, C. (1983). Macromolecular modifications of manioc starch components by extrusion-cooking with and without lipids. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 3(2), 87–108.
- Dabrock, B., Bahl, H., & Gottschalk, G. (1992). Parameters Affecting Solvent Production by *Clostridium pasteurianum*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 58(4), 1233–1239.
- El-Fallal, A., Abou, M., El-Sayed, A., & Omar, N. (2012). Starch and Microbial α -Amylases: From Concepts to Biotechnological Applications. *Carbohydrates - Comprehensive Studies on Glycobiology and Glycotechnology*.
- Energy Information Administration, U. S. (2017). Annual Energy Outlook 2017

- Ghimire, A., Frunzo, L., Pirozzi, F., Trably, E., Escudie, R., Lens, P. N. L., & Esposito, G. (2015). A review on dark fermentative biohydrogen production from organic biomass: Process parameters and use of by-products. *Applied Energy*, *144*, 73–95.
- Hallenbeck, P. C., & Benemann, J. R. (2002). Biological hydrogen production; Fundamentals and limiting processes. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, *27*(11–12), 1185–1193.
- Hawkes, F. R., Dinsdale, R., Hawkes, D. L., & Hussy, I. (2002). Sustainable fermentative hydrogen production: Challenges for process optimisation. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, *27*(11–12), 1339–1347.
- Jaseena, K. A., & Sosamony, K. J. (2016). Practical Aspects of Hydrogen Production by Dark Fermentation – A Review. *Engineering Science and Technology: An International Journal*, *6*(3), 28–37.
- Khanal, S. K., Chen, W. H., Li, L., & Sung, S. (2004). Biological hydrogen production: Effects of pH and intermediate products. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, *29*(11), 1123–1131.
- Khanna, N., & Das, D. (2013). Biohydrogen production by dark fermentation. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Energy and Environment*, *2*(4), 401–421.
- Kongjan, P., Min, B., & Angelidaki, I. (2009). Biohydrogen production from xylose at extreme thermophilic temperatures (70°C) by mixed culture fermentation. *Water Research*, *43*(5), 1414–1424.
- Kothari, R., Singh, D. P., Tyagi, V. V., & Tyagi, S. K. (2012). Fermentative hydrogen production - An alternative clean energy source. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, *16*(4), 2337–2346.
- Kraemer, J. T., & Bagley, D. M. (2007). Improving the yield from fermentative hydrogen production. *Biotechnology Letters*, *29*(5), 685–695

- Lee, D. J., Show, K. Y., & Su, A. (2011). Dark fermentation on biohydrogen production: Pure culture. *Bioresource Technology*, *102*(18), 8393–8402.
- Lee, K. S., Hsu, Y. F., Lo, Y. C., Lin, P. J., Lin, C. Y., & Chang, J. S. (2008). Exploring optimal environmental factors for fermentative hydrogen production from starch using mixed anaerobic microflora. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, *33*(5), 1565–1572.
- Lin, C., & Chang, R. (2004). Fermentative hydrogen production at ambient temperature, *29*, 715–720. Liu, G. G. Z., & Shen, J. J. Q. (2004). Effects of culture and medium conditions on hydrogen production from starch using anaerobic bacteria. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, *98*(4), 251–256.
- Liu, X., Ren, N., Song, F., Yang, C., & Wang, A. (2008). Recent advances in fermentative biohydrogen production. *Progress in Natural Science*, *18*(3), 253–258.
- Miller, G. L. (1959). Use of Dinitrosalicylic Acid Reagent for Determination of Reducing Sugar. *Analytical Chemistry*, *31*(3), 426–428.
- Minick, M., Fotta, K., & Khan, A. (1991). Polyiodine units in starch-iodine complex: INDO CI study of spectra and comparison with experiments. *Biopolymers*, *31*(1), 57–63.
- Mohan, S. V., Babu, V. L., & Sarma, P. N. (2007). Anaerobic biohydrogen production from dairy wastewater treatment in sequencing batch reactor (AnSBR): Effect of organic loading rate \mathcal{Q} , *41*, 506–515.
- Mohan, S. V., & Chandrasekhar, K. (2011). Solid phase microbial fuel cell (SMFC) for harnessing bioelectricity from composite food waste fermentation: Influence of electrode assembly and buffering capacity. *Bioresource Technology*, *102*(14), 7077–7085.
- Morimoto, M., Atsuko, M., Atif, A. A. Y., Ngan, M. A., Fakhru'l-Razi, A., Iyuke, S. E., & Bakir, A. M. (2004). Biological production of hydrogen from glucose by

- natural anaerobic microflora. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 29(7), 709–713.
- Mu, Y., Zheng, X., Yu, H., & Zhu, R. (2006). Biological hydrogen production by anaerobic sludge at various temperatures. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 31(6), 780–785.
- Nath, K., & Das, D. (2011). Modeling and optimization of fermentative hydrogen production. *Bioresource Technology*, 102(18), 8569–8581.
- Pravallika, S. (2016). Gas Chromatography a Mini Review, 5(2), 55–62.
- Reungsang, A., Sangyoka, S., Imai, T., & Chaiprasert, P. (2004). Biohydrogen production from cassava starch manufacturing wastewater. *The Joint International Conference on "Sustainable Energy and Environment (SEE)*, (319), 319–327.
- Roy, S., Ghosh, S., & Das, D. (2012). Improvement of hydrogen production with thermophilic mixed culture from rice spent wash of distillery industry. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 37(21), 15867–15874.
- Sauter, M., Böhm, R., & Böck, A. (1992). Mutational analysis of the operon hyc determining hydrogenase 3 formation in *Escherichia coli*. *Molecular Microbiology*, 6(11), 1523–1532.
- Sawers, R. G. (2005). Formate and its role in hydrogen production in *Escherichia coli*. *Biochem Soc Trans*, 33(Pt 1), 42–46.
- Schagger, H., Cramer, W. A., & Vonjagow, G. (1994). Classification and phylogeny of hydrogenases 1. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 217(2), 220–230.
- Shaterzadeh, M. J., & Ataei, S. A. (2017). Environmental Effects The effects of temperature, initial pH, and glucose concentration on biohydrogen production from *Clostridium acetobutylicum*. *Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects*, 0(0), 1–6.

- Su, H., Cheng, J., Zhou, J., Song, W., & Cen, K. (2009). Improving hydrogen production from cassava starch by combination of dark and photo fermentation. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 34(4), 1780–1786.
- Taylor, P., & Jane, J. (2006). Journal of Macromolecular Science , Part A : Pure and Applied Chemistry Starch Properties , Modifications , and Applications, (July 2013), 37–41.
- Taylor, P., Vijayaraghavan, K., Amin, M., & Soom, M. (2006). Trends in bio-hydrogen generation – A review, (August 2015). Toonen, A., & van Loon, R. (2013). Hydrogen Detection with a TCD using Mixed Carrier Gas on the Agilent Micro GC, 4. Retrieved from www.agilent.com/chem/microgc
- Valdez-Vazquez, I., Ríos-Leal, E., Esparza-García, F., Cecchi, F., & Poggi-Varaldo, H. M. (2005). Semi-continuous solid substrate anaerobic reactors for H₂ production from organic waste: Mesophilic versus thermophilic regime. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 30(13–14), 1383–1391.
- Triwahyuni, E., Hariyanti, S., Dahnum, D., Nurdin, M., & Abimanyu, H. (2015). Optimization of Saccharification and Fermentation Process in Bioethanol Production from Oil Palm Fronds. *Procedia Chemistry*, 16, 141–148.
- Vardar-Schara, G., Maeda, T., & Wood, T. K. (2008). Metabolically engineered bacteria for producing hydrogen via fermentation. *Microbial Biotechnology*, 1(2), 107–125.
- Vendruscolo, F. (2014). Biohydrogen Production from Starch Residues, (12).
- Wei, J., Liu, Z. T., & Zhang, X. (2010). Biohydrogen production from starch wastewater and application in fuel cell. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 35(7), 2949–2952.
- Wolff, I. A., Davis, H. A., Cluskey, J. E., Gundrum, L. J., & Rist, C. E. (1951). Preparation of Films from Amylose. *Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 43(4), 915–919.

- Yang, F., Hanna, M. A., & Sun, R. (2012). Value-added uses for crude glycerol--a byproduct of biodiesel production. *Biotechnology for Biofuels*, 5(1), 13
- Yokoi, H., Saito, A., Uchida, H., Hirose, J., Hayashi, S., & Takasaki, Y. (2001). Microbial hydrogen production from sweet potato starch residue. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering*, 91(1), 58–63.
- Yossan, S., O-Thong, S., & Prasertsan, P. (2012). Effect of initial pH, nutrients and temperature on hydrogen production from palm oil mill effluent using thermotolerant consortia and corresponding microbial communities. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 37(18), 13806–13814.
- Yu, H. (2002). Hydrogen production from rice winery wastewater in an upflow anaerobic reactor by using mixed anaerobic cultures. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 27(11–12), 1359–1365.
- Zhang, T., Liu, H., & Fang, H. H. P. (2003). Biohydrogen production from starch in wastewater under thermophilic condition. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 69(2), 149–156.
- Zhao, Q. B., & Yu, H. Q. (2008). Fermentative H₂ production in an upflow anaerobic sludge blanket reactor at various pH values. *Bioresource Technology*, 99(5), 1353–1358.