EFFECT OF CATALYST LOADING IN DIRECT METHANOL FUEL CELL USING SULFONATED-POLY-ETHER-ETHER-KETONE/CHARGED SURFACE MODIFYING MACROMOLECULES MEMBRANES.

SITI ERLYANE BINTI ROSLI

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

EFFECT OF CATALYST LOADING IN DIRECT METHANOL FUEL CELL USING SULFONATED-POLY-ETHER-ETHER-KETONE/CHARGED SURFACE MODIFYING MACROMOLECULES MEMBRANES.

SITI ERLYANE BINTI ROSLI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Gas)

Faculty of Petroleum and Renewable Energy Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

SEPTEMBER 2015

Specially dedicated to

My beloved parents and brothers, My family members and friends, Thanks for their support, encouragement and inspiration.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah. I would like to express my grateful to Allah S.W.T because give me a good health and strength to complete my thesis. Without His permits, I would not be able to reach up till this level.

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Dr. Muhammad Noorul Anam B Mohd. Norddin for encouragement, guidance, suggestions and critics throughout finish this project. Thanks for giving a worth knowledge that's help to improve myself. I also would like to express my deepest gratitude and thanks to my co-supervisor Dr. Juhana binti Jaafar and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Rubita binti Sudirman who was particularly helpful in guiding me toward making this project successful.

Most importantly, I am deeply indebted to my supportive parents (Mr. Rosli and Mrs. Noraini) also my beloved siblings for their endless prayer for my triumph and persistently giving spiritual motivation throughout my study. They are my eternal source of inspiration in every aspect and every moment of my life.

I would like to convey my special appreciation to all my colleagues Amira, Noraini, Shahida, Najaatol, Suhaila, Nurehan, Farah and Faisal for their useful views and tips for the development of this project.

Last but not least, my sincere obligation goes to Advanced Membrane Technology Research Center (AMTEC) members especially Ms Dayang Salyani, Mrs Rosma, Ms Nadzirah, Mrs Farhana, Mrs Maizurah and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their assessments and tips are useful indeed. Again, my sincere thanks and appreciation is extended to all of you.

ABSTRACT

Direct methanol fuel cell (DMFC) for portable power applications requires high power density and high-energy conversion efficiency which largely depends on membrane and electrocatalyst to achieve high performance. In improving these factors, the first objective of this study was to synthesize and characterize sulfonatedpoly-ether-ether-ketone (SPEEK) and SPEEK/ charged surface modifying macromolecules (cSMM). The second objective was to synthesize and characterize Platinum/Ruthenium (Pt/Ru) catalyst for anode and Palladium (Pd) catalyst for cathode. Consequently, the third objective was to test the performance of SPEEK/cSMM using single cell DMFC under different catalyst loadings. In this work, 20 wt %, 30 wt % and 40 wt % Pt/Ru were used as the catalyst for anode while 5 wt % and 10 wt % Pd were used on the cathode, with three different loadings of 2, 4 and 6 mg/cm², respectively for each 4 cm²-electrode. The electrodes were prepared using catalyzed diffusion media (CDM) method, while the membrane electrode assembly (MEAs) was prepared by hot pressing method. The single cell DMFC tests were run at constant condition of 100 ml min⁻¹ air flowrate, 1M methanol concentration, 1 ml min⁻¹ methanol flowrate and 60°C operating temperature, respectively. In finding the suitable catalyst loading in anode, commercial 40 wt % Pt electrode was used as the cathode. On the anode, it was found that the best result of catalyst loading was 30 wt % Pt/Ru with 4 mg/cm², which then was used to get the suitable catalyst loading in cathode for 5 wt % and 10 wt % of Pd/Carbon. On the cathode, the best catalyst loading was 10 wt % Pd with 4 mg/cm² loading. By applying the best loading of catalysts, the highest power density of 123 mW/cm² was achieved, eventhough its open circuit voltage (OCV) and ohmic voltage yielded the lowest values. This shows that a combination of the best anode and cathode loading were able to generate the highest power density for SPEEK/cSMM electrolyte membrane for DMFC application.

ABSTRAK

Sel bahan api metanol (DMFC) untuk aplikasi mudah alih memerlukan ketumpatan kuasa dan tenaga kecekapan penukaran yang tinggi yang sebahagian besarnya bergantung pada membrane dan pemangkin untuk mencapai prestasi yang tinggi. Dalam meningkatkan faktor-faktor ini, objektif pertama kajian ini ialah untuk sintesis dan mencirikan pengsulfonan poli-eter-eter-ketone (SPEEK) dan SPEEK/ makromolekul pengubah permukaan bercas (cSMM). Objektif kedua ialah untuk sisntesis dan mencirikan pemangkin Platinum/Ruthenium (Pt/Ru) untuk anod dan pemangkin Paladium (Pd) untuk katod. Seterusnya, objektif ketiga ialah untuk menguji prestasi SPEEK/cSMM menggunakan sel tunggal DMFC pada muatan pemangkin yang berbeza. Dalam kerja ini, 20 wt %, 30 wt % dan 40 wt % Pt/Ru digunakan sebagai pemangkin pada bahagian anod manakala 5 wt % dan 10 wt % Pd digunakan pada bahagian katod, dengan tiga muatan berbeza iaitu masing-masing 2, 4 dan 6 mg/cm^2 untuk setiap 4 cm^2 elektrod. Elektrod disediakan dengan menggunakan kaedah media penyebaran pemangkin (CDM) manakala pemasangan membran elektrod (MEA) disediakan dengan kaedah penekanan panas. Sel tunggal DMFC masing-masing diuji pada kadar aliran udara 100 ml min⁻¹, kepekatan metanol 1M, kadar aliran metanol 1 ml min⁻¹dan suhu operasi 60 °C. Dalam mendapatkan pemangkin muatan yang sesuai pada bahagian anod, elektrod komersial 40 wt % Pt digunakan sebagai katod. Pada anod, didapati pemangkin yang terbaik ialah 30 wt % Pt/Ru pada 4 mg/cm², yang mana kemudian digunakan untuk mendapatkan pemangkin muatan di katod yang sesuai untuk 5 wt % dan 10 wt % Pd/Karbon. Pada katod, pemangkin muatan yang terbaik dijumpai ialah 10 wt % Pd pada 4 mg/cm². Menggunakan pemangkin muatan yang sesuai ini, menghasilkan ketumpatan kuasa yang paling tinggi iaitu 123 mW/cm², walaupun voltan litar terbuka (OCV) dan voltan ohmnya menghasilkan nilai terendah. Ini menunjukkan anod dan katod ini berkebolehan untuk menghasilkan ketumpatan kuasa yang paling tinggi untuk membran elektrolit SPEEK/cSSM bagi aplikasi DMFC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEGMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	Х
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xviii
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	2
	1.3 Objectives	4
	1.4 Scope	4
	1.5 Thesis Organization	5
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	
	2.1 Introduction	6
	2.2 Fuel Cell	6
	2.3 Direct Methanol Fuel Cell (DMFC)	7

2.4	DMFC Component			8
	2.4.1	Membra	ne Electrode Assembly (MEA)	9
	2.4.2	Polymer	Electrolyte Membrane (PEM)	10
		2.4.2.1 I	Polymer Electrolyte Membrane	11
			Concept	
		2.4.2.2 I	Proton Transport in PEM	12
		2.4.2.3	Nafion	13
		2.4.2.4 \$	Sulfonated Poly Ether Ether	14
			Ketone (SPEEK)	
		2.4.2.5 \$	SPEEK/ Charged surface	15
			Modifying Macromolecules	
			(cSMM)	
		2.4.2.6	Advantages of SPEEK/cSMM	16
			Membrane	
	2.4.3	Electrod	e	16
		2.4.3.1	Methanol Oxidation at Anode	17
		2.4.3.2	Oxygen Reduction Reaction	18
		2.4.3.3	Effect of Methanol Crossover	19
			on DMFC Performnace	
		2.4.3.4	Catalyst	20
		2.4.3.5	Carbon Support	20
		2.4.3.6	Potential of Pd as a Cathode	21
	2.4.4	Gas Diff	usion Layer (GDL)	23
	2.4.5	Bipolar	Plate	25
2.5	DMFC	Performat	nce	26
2.6	DMFC	2 Performat	nce of Previous Works	28

3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY AND EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

3.1	Introduct	ion	30
3.2	Materials	5	32
3.3	Preparati	on of SPEEK	33
	3.3.1	Degree of Sulfonation of SPEEK	34

3.4	Prepara	Preparation of SPEEK/cSMM Membrane		
	3.4.1	Water Uptake	35	
	3.4.2	Methanol Permeability	36	
	3.4.3	Proton Conductivity	37	
3.5	Prepara	tion of Electrode	37	
3.6	Prepara	Preparation of MEA 4		
3.7	Single-	Single-Cell DMFC Performance Test40		
3.8	Charact	erization of Catalyst	42	
	3.8.1	Scanning Electron Microscopy	42	
		(SEM)		
	3.8.2	Energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX)	42	

4 **RESULT AND DISCUSSION**

4.1	Introduc	tion	43
4.2	SPEEK a	and SPEEK/cSMM Membrane	44
	Characte	rization	
	4.2.1	Degree of Sulfonation	44
	4.2.2	Water Uptake, Methanol	45
		Permeability and Proton	
		Conductivity of SPEEK/cSMM	
4.3	Character	rization of Catalyst Layer	47
	4.3.1	Scanning Electron Microscope	47
		(SEM)	
		4.3.1.1 Cracks on Anode Catalyst	47
		Layer	
		4.3.1.2 SEM on Cathode Catalyst	49
		Layer	
	4.3.2	Energy-dispersive X-Ray (EDX)	50
		4.3.2.1 EDX on Anode Catalyst	51
		Layer	
		4.3.2.2 EDX on Cathode Catalyst	52
		Layer	
4.4	Pt/Ru as .	Anode Catalyst	53

	4.4.1	Effect of Catalyst Loading in 20 %	53
		PtRu	
	4.4.2	Effect of Catalyst Loading in 30 %	55
		PtRu	
	4.4.3	Effect of Catalyst Loading in 40 %	57
		PtRu	
	4.4.4	Open Circuit Voltage for Anode	58
		Catalyst Loading	
4.5	Effect of	Catalyst Loading on Anode	60
	Performa	ance	
4.6	Pd as Ca	thode Catalyst	62
	4.6.1	Effect of Catalyst Loading in 5 %	62
		Pd	
	4.6.2	Effect of Catalyst Loading in 10 %	64
		Pd	
	4.6.3	The Effect of Cathode Catalyst	66
		Loading on DMFC Performance	

5 CONCLUSION & FUTURE WORKS

5.1	Conclusion	70
5.2	Recommendation for Future Works	71

REFERENCES	73
Appendices	82

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.

TITLE

PAGE

2.1 Comparison of membrane and catalyst f		29
	DMFC performance from other researchers	
3.1	List of chemicals used in the study	32
3.2	Composition of catalyst	38
3.3	Calculation for preparation of catalyst ink	38
4.1	Summary of SPEEK/cSMM Membrane	45
	Characterization	

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.

TITLE

PAGE

2.1	Schematic diagram of DMFC system	8
2.2	Basic Components of DMFC	9
2.3	Membrane Electrode Assembly	10
2.4	Schematic diagram of a half MEA and the	23
	microstructure of triple-phase boundary. The half	
	MEA includes a membrane, catalyst layer,	
	microporous layer (MPL), and carbon paper	
	backing layer	
2.5	Schematic Diagram of two different MEA	24
	configurations: (a) CCM and (b) CDM	
2.6	A representive schematic of a polarization curve	26
2.7	A typical DMFC power density	28
3.1	Overview of research scopes and experiment	31
3.2	Sulfonation of SPEEK	33
3.3	a) Catalyst Ink, (b) Anode Electrode	39
3.4	Membrane electrode assembly	40
3.5	Schematic diagram of DMFC	41
3.6	Fuel cell analyzer PRO200F	41
3.7	Hitachi TM300 Scanning Electron Microscope	42
4.1	A crack structure on 4 mg/cm ² with:	48
	(a) 20 % PtRu, (b) 30 % PtRu, (c) 40 % PtRu	
4.2	SEM of cathode catalyst layer with: (a) 5% Pd,	50
	(b) 10% Pd	
4.3	The amount of Pt and Ru elements in Pt/Ru	51
4.4	The amount of Pd and C elements in Pd	52

4.5	The power density and voltage of DMFC as a	54
	function of current density at different catalyst	
	loading for 20 % PtRu	
4.6	The power density and voltage of DMFC as a	56
	function of current density at different catalyst	
	loading for 30 % PtRu	
4.7	The power density and voltage of DMFC as a	58
	function of current density at different catalyst	
	loading for 40 % PtRu	
4.8	Summary of the highest open circuit voltage for	59
	each weigh % of PtRu	
4.9	Summary of the best performance of DMFC for	61
	each PtRu	
4.10	The power density and voltage of DMFC as a	63
	function of current density at different catalyst	
	loading for 5% Pd	
4.11	The power density and voltage of DMFC as a	65
	function of current density at different catalyst	
	loading for 10% Pd	
4.12	Performance on cathode catalyst loading	66

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

С	-	Carbon
ССМ	-	Catalyst-Coated Membrane
CDM	-	Catalyzed Diffusion Media
cSMM	-	Charged Surface Modifying Macromolecules
DMFC	-	Direct Methanol Fuel Cell
DS	-	Degree of Sulfonation
EDX	-	Energy Dispersive X-Ray
GDL	-	Gas Diffusion Layer
H ¹ NMR	-	Hydrogen-Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
IEC	-	Ion Exchanged Capacity
MEA	-	Membrane Electrode Assembly
MOR	-	Methanol Oxidation Reaction
MPL	-	Microporous Layer
OCV	-	Open Circuit Voltage
ORR	-	Oxygen Reduction Reaction
PEM	-	Polymer Electrolyte Membrane
PEMFC	-	Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell
PEEK	-	Poly (ether ether ketone)
SEM	-	Scanning Electron Microscope
SPEEK	-	Sulfonated Poly (ether ether ketone)

LIST OF SYMBOLS

А	-	Ampere
A/cm^2	-	Area per square centimeter
g	-	Gram
Ι	-	Current
mg/cm^2	-	Miligram per square centimeter
S	-	Siemens
V	-	Voltage

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Fuel cell is an electrochemical device that converts the chemical energy from fuel into electricity through a chemical reaction with oxygen or oxidizing agent. Fuel can run as long as fuel is accessible and no need to recharge. Fuel cells are mainly fitting in power systems because of their energy density, easy to handle, simple in design and inexpensive (Cacciola *et al.*, 2001). Fuel cells have two electrodes which are anode and cathode that are separated by an electrolyte. The anode provides boundary between electrolyte and fuel while the cathode provides boundary between electrolyte and oxygen. Electrolyte is a purposely designed for proton that can surpass through it but filter electrons. The free electrons pass through a wire and produce electric current. The protons pass through to the cathode via the electrolyte and will react with oxygen to create water and carbon dioxide. The most important design in fuel cells are the electrolyte substance which usually defines the type of fuel cell, the anode catalyst that breaks down the fuel into electrons and proton and the cathode catalyst which turns the ion into waste chemicals such as water and carbon dioxide.

There are two types of low-temperature fuel cells which are proton exchange membrane fuel cell (PEMFC) and direct methanol fuel cell (DMFC) (Rao and Trivedi, 2005). Several researchers believed that the DMFC is an appropriate and capable options for portable devices in solving the problem of future energy (Cacciola *et al.*, 2001; Segura and Andu, 2009; Verma, 2000). This is because

DMFC can be operated at a low temperature, has high energy-conversion efficiency and produces a low level of pollutants. Moreover, DMFCs using liquid and renewable energy methanol fuel, which is easily stored and transported and simplifies the fuel cell system has been considered to be a favorable option in terms of fuel usage and feed strategies (Wasmus and Ku, 1999) as compared to PEMFC which uses hydrogen gas as fuel. Yet the DMFCs have their own challenges that need to be overcome before they can be massively commercialized. Few of the major problems faced in DMFCs are including methanol crossover, that can overcome by developing improved membranes, meanwhile slow anode and cathode kinetics can be overcame by developing improved anode and cathode catalysts.

The methanol crossover from anode to cathode together with the proton appears to be a part of limitation for DMFCs to become a commercially possible power generator. In order to overcome this problem, many studies are focused on developing new membranes materials that have high proton conductivity but low in methanol crossover. Industry used Nafion[®] membrane produced commercially by Dupond, has excellent proton conductivity but also possesses very high methanol permeability that limited its advantage in DMFC application.

Most researchers have focused on exploring new anode catalysts that can efficiently enhanced the electro-oxidation of methanol kinetics and looking for new membranes that have a lower methanol crossover (Ding, 2010; Hosseini and Bodaghi, 2011). Several materials have also been studied to discover material of electrode that provides superior catalytic activity to produce lower over potentials towards the methanol oxidation reaction (MOR) and oxygen reduction reaction (ORR).

1.2 Problem Statement

The limiting factors in achieving high performance of DMFC relate to membrane and electrodes. These factors in DMFC need to be overcome before they can be massively commercialized. Sulfonated poly (ether ether ketone) (SPEEK) is getting more attention as Nafion competitor in DMFC application (Jaafar et al., 2009; Jones and Roziere, 2001; Jung and Park, 2009; Yang, 2008). The membrane surface of SPEEK which is more hydrophilic tends to favor water uptake and enhance proton conductivity. The proton conductivity of the membrane can be enhanced if the hydrophilicity of the membrane is improved. Moreover, SPEEK also provides a tortuous pathway towards methanol that reduce methanol crossover through the membrane. Furthermore, the previous work by Norddin *et al.* (2008) has shown that the performance in DMFC has improved further by modification of SPEEK with cSMM polymer. The overall membrane performance of SPEEK/cSMM was better than Nafion while their open circuit voltage (OCV) and power density is comparable to Nafion. Therefore, SPEEK/cSMM has the potential to compete with Nafion, if the appropriate condition of catalyst loading for SPEEK/cSMM is determined.

Low power density in DMFC system is caused by poor kinetics of the catalyst reactions (Baldauf and Preidel, 1999). Catalyst loading in electrode is an important parameter to be controlled because it influences the cell performance. Even though high catalyst loading is required in DMFCs to accomplish high power density but it also contributes to poor DMFC performance since it can 'poison' the electrode. Generally, platinum (Pt) is used at the cathode and an alloyed platinum-ruthenium (Pt-Ru) at the anode. Natter *et al.* (2003) synthesized electrodes of Pt-Ru for DMFC and it turned out to be most active for the methanol electro oxidation at about 50 % Ru. On the other hand, Palladium (Pd) is showing a potential as cathode catalyst sine its reactivity towards ORR is only second to Platinum. In advantage, Pd has better resistance to mass transfer loss than Pt. In this study, the cathode catalyst of Pt is replaced by another catalyst material which is Pd, and will be tested with different anode and cathode catalyst loading to achieve higher power density.

1.3 Objectives

The general aim of this study is to find the best catalyst loading combination of anode and cathode electrode for SPEEK/cSMM membrane in order to produce higher power density. The specific objectives of this study are listed as follows;

- i. To synthesize and characterize SPEEK and SPEEK/cSMM.
- ii. To synthesize and characterize Pt/Ru and Pd for anode and cathode as catalyst for DMFC.
- iii. To test the performance of SPEEK/cSMM using single cell DMFC under different catalyst loading.

1.4 Scope

In order to achieve the objectives of this study, the following scopes have been drawn:

- i. Preparing SPEEK and SPEEK/cSMM membrane via intercalation method.
- ii. Characterizing SPEEK and SPEEK/cSMM on water uptake, methanol permeability and proton conductivity.
- iii. Preparing the electrode consisting of 2, 4 and 6 mg/cm² catalyst loading for 20, 30 and 40 weight % of Pt/Ru as well as for 5 and 10 weight % of Pd.
- iv. Preparing membrane electrode assembly (MEA) with SPEEK/cSMM membrane, anode and cathode using hot pressed method.
- v. Characterizing the electrode on scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy-dispersive x-ray (EDX).
- vi. Testing the MEA on single cell DMFC performance to compare the results of OCV and power density.

1.6 Thesis Organization

Chapter 1 describes a general fuel cell technology in terms of electrode structure. Optimizing catalyst loading in electrode is an important parameter to be controlled in order to commercialize it for future energy solution. This chapter also presents the background of fuel cell, problem statement, objectives and scope of the study.

Chapter 2 provides an overview of direct methanol fuel cell technology with the basic components of DMFC. This chapter also provides information about DMFC performance related to methanol oxidation and oxygen reduction reaction as the literature review of the matter understudy.

Chapter 3 explains the methodology of the experiments in this research. It also describes all the characterization methods of the electrode structure in DMFC. The workflow of the study is included to give an overview of the overall structure of the research. Steps of the membrane preparation are described in detail in this chapter.

Chapter 4 presents the performance of DMFC by using different catalyst loading on the anode and cathode. It explains the effect of each catalyst loading on these performances. This chapter also describes the characterization of catalyst layer using scanning electron microscopy and energy dispersive x-ray. These details were used to further explain the relationship between catalyst loading, electrode and PEM performance.

Chapter 5 concludes the overall performance of DMFC and presented some future improvement to the fuel cell development. It gives the general conclusion from this research and some recommendation for future works.

REFERENCES

- Alchmad, F., Kamarudin, S. K., Daud, W. R. W. and Majlan, E. H. (2011). Passive Direct Methanol Fuel Cells for Portable Electronic Devices. *Applied Energy*. 88, 1681-1689.
- Alcaide, F., Alvarez, G., Cabot, P. L., Grande, H. J., Miguel, O. and Querejeta, A. (2011). Testing of Carbon Supported Pd–Pt Electrocatalysts for Methanol Electrooxidation in Direct Methanol Fuel Cells. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 36(7), 4432–4439.
- Alexandre Hacquard (2005). Improving and Understanding Direct Methanol Fuel Cell (DMFC) Performance. Master Thesis. Worcester Polytechnic Institute.
- Alvarez, G. F., Mamlouk, M. and Scott, K. (2011). An Investigation of Palladium Oxygen Reduction Catalysts for the Direct Methanol Fuel Cell. *International Journal of Electrochemistry*. 2011, 1–12.
- Anindita, R., Awasthi., Mandhu. and Singh, R. N. (2011). Preparation of Nanostructured Pd-C-Ru Composite Electrodes for Alcohol Electrooxidations. Part II: A Study of Methanol Oxidation by Cyclic Voltammetry and Impedance Spectroscopy. *The Open Catalysis Journal*. 4, 100-106.
- Baldauf, M. and Preidel, W. (1999). Status of The Development of a Direct Methanol Fuel Cell. *Journal of Power Sources*. 84(2), 161–166.
- Basri, S., Kamarudin, S. K., Daud, W. R. W., Yaakob, Z. and Kadhum, A. A. H. (2014). Novel Anode Catalyst for Direct Metahol Fuel Cells. *The Scientific World Journal*. 2014, 1-8.
- Biroli, G. and Garrahan, J. P. (2013). Perspective: The Glass Transition. 1–15.
- Broussely, M. and Archdale, G. (2004). Li-ion Batteries and Portable Power Source Prospects for the Next 5 – 10 Years. *Journal of Power Sources*. 136, 386-394.
- Cacciola, G., Antonucci, V. and Freni, S. (2001). Technology Up Date and New Strategies on Fuel Cells. *Fuel*. 100, 67–79.

- Cai, H., Shao, K., Zhong, S., Zhao, C., Zhang, G., Li, X. and Na, H. (2007). Properties of Composite Membranes Based on Sulfonated Poly(Ether Ether Ketone) (SPEEK)/Phenoxy Resin (PHR) for Direct Methanol Fuel Cells Usages. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 297(1-2), 162–173.
- Cao, J., Chen, M., Chen, J., Wang, S., Zou, Z., Li, Z., Akins, D. L. (2010). Double Microporous Layer Cathode for Membrane Electrode Assembly of Passive Direct Methanol Fuel Cells. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 35(10), 4622–4629.
- Cerritos, R. C. (2012). Morphological Effect of Pd Catalyst on Ethanol Electro-Oxidation Reaction. *Materials*. 5(12), 1686–1697.
- Chen, Y. and Yang, P. (2003). Performance of an air-breathing direct methanol fuel cell. *Journal of Power Sources*. 123, 37-42.
- Cheng, H., Yuan, W. and Scott, K. (2007). Influence of Thermal Treatment on RuSe Cathode Materials for Direct Methanol Fuel Cells. 1, 16–20.
- Choi, W. C., Kim, J. D. and Woo, S. I. (2001). Modification of Proton Conducting Membrane for Reducing Methanol Crossover in a Direct Methanol Fuel Cell. *Journal of Power Sources*. 96, 411-414.
- Coutanceau, C., Koffi, R. K. and Marestin, K. (2006). Development of Materials for Mini DMFC Working at Room Temperature for Portable Applications. *Journal* of Power Sources. 160, 334-339.
- Ding, K. (2010). Preparation of Palladium Particles-Decorated Manganese Dioxide and its Catalysis Towards Oxygen Reduction Reaction. *International Journal of Electrochemical Science*. 5, 668–681.
- Du, L., Yan, X., He, G., Wu, X., Hu, Z. and Wang, Y. (2012). SPEEK Proton Exchange Membranes Modified with Silica Sulfuric Acid Nanoparticles. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 37(16), 11853–11861.
- Fu, Y., Manthiram, A. and Guiver, M. D. (2006). Blend Membranes Based on Sulfonated Poly(Ether Ether Ketone) and Polysulfone Bearing Benzimidazole Side Groups for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells. *Electrochemistry Communications*. 8(8), 1386–1390.
- Fu, Y., Manthiram, A. and Guiver, M. D. (2007). Acid–base Blend Membranes based on 2-Amino-Benzimidazole and Sulfonated Poly(Ether Ether Ketone) for Direct Methanol Fuel Cells. *Electrochemistry Communications*. 9(5), 905–910.

- Gao, Y., Robertson, G. P., Guiver, M. D. and Jian, X. (2003). Synthesis and Characterization of Sulfonated Poly(Phtalazinone Ether Ketone) for Proton Exchange Membrane Materials. J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Chem. Ed. 41,497.
- Garcia, A. C., Paganin, V. A. and Ticianelli, E. A. (2008). CO Tolerance of PdPt/C and PdPtRu/C Anodes for PEMFC. *Electrochimica Acta*. 53(12), 4309–4315.
- Gleason, D. A, Jensen, K. G. and Painuly, G (2008). Proton Exchange Membranes and Membrane Electrode Assemblies for Enhanced Direct Methanol Fuel Cell Performance.Degree Thesis. Worcester Polytechnic Institute.
- Gogel, V., Kerres, J., Garche, J. and Jo, L. (2002). New Membranes for Direct Methanol Fuel Cells. *Journal of Power Sources*. 105, 267–273.
- Gogel, V., Frey, T., Yongsheng, Z., Friedrich, K., Jorissen, L., and Garche, J. (2004).
 Performance and Methanol Permeation of Direct Methanol Fuel Cells:
 Dependence on Operating Conditions and on Electrode Structure. *Journal of Power Sources*. 127, 172–180.
- Grden, M., Lukaszewski, M., Jerkiewicz, G. and Czerwinski, A. (2008). Electrochemical Behaviour of Palladium Electrode: Oxidation, Electrodissolution and Ionic Adsorption. *Electrochimica Acta*. 53(26), 7583– 7598.
- Han, J. and Liu, H. (2007). Real Time Measurements of Methanol Crossover in a DMFC. *Journal of Power Sources*. 164, 166-173.
- Hogarth, M. P., & Ralph, T. R. (2002). Catalysis for Low Temperature Fuel Cells. Johnson Matthey Technology Centre. 146-165.
- Hosseini, J. and Bodaghi, A. (2011). Preparation of Palladium Nanoparticles Titanium Electrodes as a New Anode for Direct Methanol Fuel Cells. *Journal Solid State Electrochem*. 15, 795–800.
- Huang, D. (2011). Effect of Dispersion Solvent in Catalyst Ink on Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cell Performance. *International Journal of Electrochemical Science.* 6, 2551–2565.
- Jaafar, J., Ismail, A. F. and Matsuura, T. (2009). Preparation and Barrier Properties of SPEEK/Cloisite 15A/TAP Nanocomposite Membrane for DMFC Application. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 345, 119–127.
- Jaafar, J., Ismail, A. F., Matsuura, T. and Nagai, K. (2011). Performance of SPEEK based Polymer-Nanoclay Inorganic Membrane for DMFC. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 382, 202–211.

- Jiang, R., Kunz, R. H and Fenton, J. M. (2005). Investigation of Membrane Property and Fuel Cell Behavior with Sulfonated Poly(Ether Ether Ketone) Electrolyte : Temperature and Relative Humidity Effects. *Journal of Power Sources*. 150, 120-128.
- Jones, D. J. and Roziere, J. (2001). Recent Advances in The Functionalisation of Polybenzimidazole and Polyetherketone for Fuel Cell Applications. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 185(1), 41–58.
- Jung, H.Y. and Park, J. K. (2009). Long-Term Performance of DMFC Based on the Blend Membrane of Sulfonated Poly(Ether Ether Ketone) and Poly(Vinylidene Fluoride). *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 34(9), 3915–3921.
- Kadirgan, F., Kannan, A. M., Atilan, T., Beyhan, S., Ozenler, S. S., Suzer, S. and Yorur, A. (2009). Carbon Supported Nano-Sized Pt–Pd and Pt–Co Electrocatalysts for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 34(23), 9450–9460.
- Kamarudin, S. K., Achmad, F. and Daud, W. R. W. (2009). Overview on the Application of Direct Methanol Fuel Cell (DMFC) for Portable Electronic Devices. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 34(16), 6902–6916.
- Kerres, J.A., Ullrich, A., Meier, F. and Haring, T. (1998). Synthesis and Characterization of Novel Acid-Based Polymer Blends for Application in Membrane Fuel Cells. 125, 243-249.
- Khairunnisa, A. B. (2012). Effect of Temperature on Electrolyte Membrane Characteristic for Direct Methanol Fuel Cell. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai.
- Kim, M. S., Fang, B., Chaudhari, N. K., Song, M., Bae, T. S. and Yu. J. S. (2010). A Highly Efficient Synthesis Approach of Supported Pt-Ru Catalyst for Direct Methanol Fuel Cell. *Electrochimica Acta*. 55, 4543-4550.
- Kreuer, K. D. (2001). On the Development of Proton Conducting Polymer Membranes for Hydrogen and Methanol Fuel Cells. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 185(1), 29–39.
- Kwon, J., Kim, Y., Cho, H. and Stack, A. D. (2011). High-Efficiency Active DMFC System for Portable Applications. *IEEE Transaction on Power Electronics*. 26(8), 2201–2209.

- Lee, C. H., Park, C. H., Lee, S. Y., Jung, B. O. and Lee, Y. M. (2008). Passive DMFC System using a Proton Conductive Hydrocarbon Membrane. *Desalination*, 233(1-3), 210–217.
- Leimin, X., Shijun, L., Lijun, Y. and Zhenxing, L. (2009). Investigation of a Novel Catalyst Coated Membrane Method to Prepare Low-Platinum-Loading Membrane Electrode Assemblies for PEMFCs. *Fuel Cells*. 9, 101–105.
- Li, W., Zhou, W., Li, H., Zhou, Z., Zhou, B., Sun, G. and Xin, Q. (2004). Nano-Stuctured Pt–Fe/C as Cathode Catalyst in Direct Methanol Fuel Cell. *Electrochimica Acta*. 49(7), 1045–1055.
- Li, W., Wang, X., Chen, Z., Waje, M. and Yan, Y. (2006). Pt-Ru Supported on Double-Walled Carbon Nanotubes as High-Performance Anode Catalysts for Direct Methanol Fuel Cells. 15353–15358.
- Lei, L., Jun, Z. Y. W. (2003). Sulfonated Poly(Ether Ether Ketone) Membranes for Direct Methanol Fuel Cell. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 226(1-2), 159–167.
- Liu, F. (2006). *Optimizing Membrane Electrode Assembly of Direct Methanol Fuel Cells for Portable Power*. Ph. D. Thesis. The Pennsylvania State University.
- Liu, H., Song, C., Zhang, L., Zhang, J., Wang, H. and Wilkinson, D. P. (2006). A Review of Anode Catalysis in the Direct Methanol Fuel Cell. *Journal of Power Sources.* 155, 95–110.
- Liu, Z., Yi, X., Guo, B., Hong, L. and Yang, J. (2007). Pt and PtRu Nanoparticles Deposited on Single-wall Carbon Nanotubes for Methanol Electro-oxidation. *Journal of Power Sources*. 167, 272–280.
- Maillard, F., Martin, M., Gloaguen, F. and Le, J. (2002). Oxygen Electroreduction on Carbon-supported Platinum Catalysts . Particle-size effect on the tolerance to methanol competition. *Electrochimica Acta*. 47, 3431–3440.
- Manthiram, A. (2010). Materials and Manufacturing Challenges of Direct Methanol Fuel Cells. *The AMMTIAC Quarterly*. 4(1), 69–74.
- Mcgrath, K. (2010). High Concentration Direct Methanol Fuel Cell Using QSI Nano Pd. Energy Research Laboratory, Quantum Sphere Inc.
- Meng, H., Xie, F., Chen, J. and Shen, P. K. (2011). Electrodeposited Palladium Nanostructure as Novel Anode for Direct Formic Acid Fuel Cell. *Journal of Materials Chemistry*. 21(30), 11352-11358.

- Mikhailenko, S. D., Zaidi, S. M. J. and Kaliaguine, S. (2001). Sulfonated Polyether Ether Ketone Based Composite Polymer Electrolyte Membranes. *Catalysis Today.* 67, 225.
- Nakagawa, N., Sekimoto, K., Masdar, M. S. and Noda, R. (2009). Reaction Analysis of a Direct Methanol Fuel Cell Employing a Porous Carbon Plate Operated at High Methanol Concentrations. *Journal of Power Sources*. 186, 45-51.
- Natter, H., Hempelmann, R. and Wippermann, K. (2003). Preparation and Characterisation of Pt-Ru Model Electrodes for the Direct Methanol Fuel Cell. *Electrochimica Acta*. 48, 3047–3051.
- Norddin, M. N. A. M. (2010). Development of Charged Surface Modifying Macromolecules for Direct Methanol Fuel Cell. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai.
- Norddin, M. N. A. M., Ismail, A. F., Rana, D., Matsuura, T., Mustafa, A. and Tabe-Mohammadi, A. (2008). Characterization and Performance of Proton Exchange Membranes for Direct Methanol Fuel Cell: Blending of Sulfonated Poly(Ether Ether Ketone) with Charged Surface Modifying Macromolecule. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 323(2), 404–413.
- Oliveira, V. B., Rangel, C. M. and Pinto, A. M. F. R. (2009). Modelling and Experimental Studies on a Direct Methanol Fuel Cell Working Under Low Methanol Crossover and High Methanol Concentrations. *International Journal* of Hydrogen Energy. 34, 6443-6451.
- Park, I. S., Li, W. and Manthiram, A. (2010). Fabrication of Catalyst-coated Membrane-Electrode Assemblies by Doctor Blade Method and their Performance in Fuel Cells. *Journal of Power Sources*. 195(20), 7078–7082.
- Pintauro, P. and Hao, N.T. Sulfonated Polyphosphazenes for Proton Exchange Membrane Fuel Cells. US Patent 6, 365,294. 2002.
- Prabhuram, J., Zhao, T. S., Liang, Z. X., Yang, H. and Wong, C. W. (2005). Pd and Pd-Cu Alloy Deposited Nafion Membranes for Reduction of Methanol Crossover in Direct Methanol Fuel Cells. *Journal of The Electrochemical Society*. 152(17), 1390–1397.
- Rao, C. R. K. and Trivedi, D. C. (2005). Chemical and Electrochemical Depositions of Platinum Group Metals and their Applications. *Electrochemical Materials Science Division*. 249, 613–631.

- Rayment, C. (2003). *Introduction to Fuel Cell Technology*. University of Notre Dame.
- Reeve, R. W., Christensen, P. A., Dickinson, A. J., Hamnett, A. and Scott, K. (2000). Methanol-tolerant Oxygen Reduction Catalysts based on Transition Metal Sulfides and their Application to the Study of Methanol Permeation. *Electrochimica Acta*. 45(25-26), 4237-4250.
- Ren, S., Li, C., Zhao, X., Wu, Z., Wang, S., Sun, G., Xin, Q. (2005). Surface Modification of Sulfonated Poly(Ether Ether Ketone) Membranes using Nafion Solution for Direct Methanol Fuel Cells. *Journal of Membrane Science*. 247(1-2), 59–63.
- Robertson, G. P., Mikhailenko, S. D., Wang, K., Xing, P., Guiver, M. D. and Kaliaguine, S. (2003). Casting Solvent Interactions with Sulfonated Poly(Ether Ether Ketone) during Proton Exchange Membrane Fabrication. Journal of Membrane Science. 219, 113-121.
- Segura, F. and Andu, J. M. (2009). Fuel cells: History and Updating . A Walk along Two Centuries. *Department of Electronic*. 13, 2309–2322.
- Silva, V. S., Schirmer, J., Reissner, R., Ruffman, B., Silva, H., Mendes, A., Madeira, L. M. and Nunes, S. P. (2005). Proton Electrolyte Membrane Properties and Direct Methanol Fuel Cell Performance II. Fuel Cell Performance and Membrane Properties Effects. *Journal of Power Sources*. 140, 41-49.
- Steele, B. C. H. and Heinzel, A. (2001). Materials for Fuel-cell Technologies. Macmillan Magazines Ltd, 414, 345–352.
- Tusi, M. M., Brandalise, M., Correa, O. V., Neto, A. O., Linardi, M. and Spinace, E. V. (2009). Preparation of PtRu/C Electrocatalysts by Hydrothermal Carbonization Process for Methanol Electro-oxidation. *Portugaliae Electrochimica Acta*. 27(3), 345–352.Verma, L. K. (2000). Studies on Methanol Fuel Cell. *Department of Applied Chemistry*. 464–468.
- Wan, C. H. and Lin, C. H. (2009). A Composite Anode with Reactive Methanol Filter for Direct Methanol Fuel Cell. *Journal of Power Sources*. 186, 229-237.
- Wang, H., Zhang, M., Cheng, F. and Xu, C. (2008). Pt Supported on Ti for Methanol Electrooxidation by Magnetron Sputter Method. *Journal of Electrochemical Science*. 3, 946–952.

- Wang, J., Yin, G., Chen, Y., Li, R. and Sun, X. (2009). Pd Nanoparticles Deposited on Vertically Aligned Carbon Nanotubes Grown on Carbon Paper for Formic Acid Oxidation. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 34(19), 8270–8275.
- Wasmus, S. and Ku, A. (1999). Methanol Oxidation and Direct Methanol Fuel Cells: A Selective Review 1. *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*. 461, 14–31.
- Wei, Z., Wang, S., Yi, B., Liu, J., Chen, L., Zhou, W. J., Li, W. and Xin, Q. (2002). Influence of Electrode Structure on The Performance of a Direct Methanol Fuel Cell. *Journal of Power Sources*. 106, 364-369.
- WinterGreen Research. (2010). Direct Methanol Fuel Cell (DMFC) Market Shares, Strategies and Forecasts, Worldwide, Nanotechnology, 2010 to 2016. 279.
- Wu, S. D., Chou, C. P., Su, A. and Hwang, J. J. (2011). Design and Performance Test of the Direct Methanol Fuel Cell System. *Journal of Fuel Cell Science and Technology*. 8, 25001-25008.
- Wu, Y. N., Liao, S. J., Su, Y. L., Zeng, J. H. and Dang, D. (2010). Enhancement of Anodic Oxidation of Formic Acid on Palladium Decorated Pt/C Catalyst. *Journal of Power Sources*. 195(19), 6459–6462.
- Yang, B. and Manthiram, A. (2003). Sulfonated Poly(Ether Ether Ketone) Membranes for Direct Methanol Fuel Cells. *Electrochemical and Solid-State Letters*. 6(11), 229.
- Yang, B. and Manthiram, A. (2006). Comparison of the Small Angle X-ray Scattering Study of Sulfonated Poly(Ether Ether Ketone) and Nafion Membranes for Direct Methanol Fuel Cells. *Journal of Power Sources*. 153(1), 29–35.
- Yang, C. C., Lee, Y.J. and Yang, J. M. (2009). Direct Methanol Fuel Cell (DMFC) based on PVA/MMT Composite Polymer Membranes. *Journal of Power Sources*. 188(1), 30–37.
- Yang, T. (2004). Fabrication of a Thin Catalyst Layer using Organic Solvents. Journal of Power Sources. 127, 230–233.
- Yang, T. (2008). Preliminary Study of SPEEK/PVA Blend Membranes for DMFC Applications. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 33(22), 6772–6779.
- Zainoodin, A. M., Kamarudin, S. K. and Daud, W. R. W. (2010). Electrode in Direct Methanol Fuel Cells. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*. 35(10), 4606– 4621.

- Zhao, C., Wang, Z., Bi, D., Lin, H., Shao, K., Fu, T., Zhong, S. (2007). Blend Membranes based on Disulfonated Poly(Aryl Ether Ether Ketone)s (SPEEK) and Poly(Amide Imide) (PAI) for Direct Methanol Fuel Cell Usages. *Polymer*. 48(11), 3090–3097.
- Zheng, W., Suominen, A. and Tuominen., A. (2012). Discussion on the Challenges of DMFC Catalyst Loading Process for Mass Production. Energy Procedia. 28, 78-87.
- Zhiani, M., Gharibi, H. and Kakaei, K. (2012). Performing of Novel Nanostructure MEA based on Polyaniline Modified Anode in Direct Methanol Fuel Cell. *Journal of Power Sources*. 210, 42-46.
- Zhou, B., Ji, X., Sheng, V., Wang, J., Jiang, Z. (2004). Mechanical and Thermal Properties of Polyether Ketone Reinforced with CaCO₃. *European Polymer Journal*. 40, 2357.