

MULTI-FLOOR FACILITY LAYOUT IMPROVEMENT USING SYSTEMATIC
LAYOUT PLANNING AND SIMULATION

SEYEDEH SABEREH HOSSEINI

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of
the requirements for the award of the degree
of Master of Engineering (Industrial Engineering)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2013

Thanks God to enable me performing this research, I would like to dedicate this dissertation to my beloved father and mother who taught me how to be strong and ambitious and to my beloved brother and best friends whose positive energies always support me the best way.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A debt of gratitude to my talented supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Wong Kuan Yew for his high dedication, advises and superb guidance, which prepared me in overcoming the challenges in this master project.

I would also like to thank my co supervisor, Dr. Seyed Ahmad Helmi bin Syed Hassan for his kind guidance and all those who have been involved in making this project a success.

And finally, warm thanks to the manager and personnel of METALKUB Company for their support and providing data that is used in the facility layout planning analysis.

ABSTRACT

Every factory encounters with different layout problems from time to time and the operating efficiency of a manufacturing company is significantly influenced by its plant layout. Lack of consideration to facility planning and work flow design, as the company grows, is common. METALKUB is such a company that produces different types of cards and it is located in Iran. This company is facing with two problems in its production layout of which one of them is high distance between packaging department at first floor and pickup storage in ground floor that have high frequency of flow each day. Workers should walk through a long distance between these two departments which lead to high travelling time. Another problem is cross-traffic between some departments at first floor. The objective of this project is minimizing total traveling time, distance and number of cross-traffic. Systematic Layout Planning is employed to identify work/information flow through operation of products. Using this information, design alternative is created which decreases the travelling time and distance of the production flow. The effectiveness of proposed layout is determined using ARENA simulation academic version. Total travel distance from packaging process until keeping in warehouse is reduced significantly by 8417.5 m to 5023 m, which subsequently reduces time of travel as well. The number of cross-traffic is decreased from 38 to 24.

ABSTRAK

Setiap kilang menghadapi dengan masalah susun atur yang berbeza dari masa ke masa dan kecekapan operasi syarikat pembuatan ketara dipengaruhi oleh susun atur kilang. Kekurangan pertimbangan kepada perancangan kemudahan dan aliran kerja reka bentuk, apabila syarikat tumbuh, adalah perkara biasa. Metalkub adalah sebuah syarikat yang menghasilkan jenis kad yang berbeza dan ia terletak di Iran. Syarikat ini sedang menghadapi dengan dua masalah pada susun atur pengeluaran yang mana salah satu ialah jarak yang jauh antara jabatan pembungkusan di tingkat pertama dan penyimpanan kutipan di tingkat bawah yang mempunyai kekerapan aliran yang tinggi setiap hari . Pekerja harus berjalan dengan jarak yang jauh di antara kedua-dua jabatan yang membawa kepada masa perjalanan. Yang tinggi satu lagi masalah ialah trafik rentas antara beberapa jabatan di tingkat pertama. Objektif projek ini adalah meminimumkan jumlah perjalanan masa, jarak dan bilangan trafik rentas untuk memaksimumkan kualiti, fleksibiliti dan penggunaan ruang. Perancangan Susunatur sistematik digunakan untuk mengenal pasti kerja / aliran maklumat melalui operasi produk. Menggunakan maklumat ini, reka bentuk alternatif dicipta untuk mengurangkan masa perjalanan dan jarak aliran pengeluaran. Keberkesanan susun atur yang dicadangkan adalah ditentukan menggunakan ARENA versi simulasi akademik. Jumlah jarak perjalanan dari proses pembungkusan jabatan sehingga penyimpanan dalam gudang dikurangkan dengan ketara sebanyak 8417,5 m ke 5023 m, dan seterusnya mengurangkan masa perjalanan. Bilangan trafik rentas menurun dari 38 ke 24.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURE	xiv
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xv
1	INTROUDUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Background of research	2
	1.3 Objective of study	3
	1.4 Scope of study	4
	1.5 Significance of study	4
	1.6 Organization of thesis	5
	1.7 Conclusion	6
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1 Introduction	7
	2.2 Facility layout planning	7
	2.2.1 Objectives of facilities planning	9

2.2.2	Factor affecting facilities layout planning	10
2.3	Traditional types of facilities layout	10
2.4	Non Traditional Types of Facilities Layout	13
2.5	Reviews on Layout Planning Techniques	14
2.6	Multi floor facility layout	17
2.6.1	The size and shape of building	18
2.6.2	Available time and movement	18
2.6.2	Departmental information	19
2.6.4	Objective function	19
2.7	Previous researches which improved Multi- Floor Facility Layout	20
2.8	Techniques for facilities layout	23
2.9	Heuristic procedures for the FLP	24
2.9.1	Apple's Plant Layout Procedures	24
2.9.2	Reed's Plant layout Procedure	25
2.9.3	Muther's Systematic Layout Planning (SLP) Procedure	26
2.10	Simulation	28
2.10.1	Trends in Simulation	30
2.10.2	Simulation Software	30
2.11	Comparison this project with other previous works	31
2.12	Conclusion	32
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	33
3.1	Introduction	33
3.2	Research Design	33
3.2.1	Phase I	35
3.2.2	Phase II	35
3.2.3	Phase III	36

3.2.3.1	Input Data and Activities	37
3.2.3.2	Flow of Materials Analysis	37
3.2.3.3	The activity relationship chart	38
3.2.3.4	Relationship Diagram	38
3.2.3.5	Space Requirements	39
3.3.3.6	Space available	39
3.2.3.7	Space Relationship Diagram	40
3.2.3.8	Modifying Constraints	40
3.2.3.9	Practical Limitations	41
3.2.3.10	Develop Layout Alternatives	41
3.2.3.11	Evaluation	41
3.2.4	Phase IV	42
3.2.5	Phase V	42
3.3	Conclusion	43
4	PROBLEM IDENTIFICATION	44
4.1	Introduction	44
4.2	Problem Identification	44
4.2.1	Material flow between departments	45
4.3	Company Process Mapping	46
4.4	Flow analysis and measurement	51
4.5	Distance measurement	51
4.5.1	From-To chart analysis	52
4.5.2	Overall From-To-Chart	53
4.5.3	Overall From-To-Chart with Closeness Rating	54
4.6	Conclusion	56
5	SYSTEMATIC LAYOUT PLANNING	57
5.1	Introduction	57
5.2	Input Data	58
5.3.1	Standard Time	58

5.3.2	Standard Time Determination	59
5.3	Flow Of Materials	63
5.4	Activity Relationship Chart	63
5.5	Relationship Diagram	64
5.6	Space requirements	66
5.7	Space Available	66
5.8	Space Relationship Diagram	67
5.9	Modifying Constraints	68
5.10	Practical Limitation	69
5.11	Develop Layout Alternatives	69
5.11.1	Design I	69
5.11.2	Design II	70
5.11.3	Design III	71
5.12	Conclusion	72
6	DATA ANALYSIS AND MODELING	73
6.1	Introduction	73
6.2	Simulation Software: Arena 13.9	73
6.3	Performance Measures	75
6.4	Simulation Model Development	75
6.4.1	Assumptions	77
6.4.2	Model Verification	78
6.4.3	Model Validation	79
6.5	Conclusion	83
7	SIMULATION EXPERIMENTATION AND RESULTS	84
7.1	Introduction	84
7.2	Experimentation	84
7.2.1	Experiment 1: Layout Design 1	85
7.2.2.	Experiment 2: Layout Design 2	87
7.2.3...	Experiment 3: Layout Design 3	88

7.3	Cost estimation for layouts improvement	90
7.4	Discussion	92
7.5	Conclusion	93
8	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	94
8.1	Introduction	94
8.2	Summary of the study	94
8.3	Research findings	95
8.4	Recommendation	95
8.5	Conclusion	96
	REFERENCE	97
	APPENDIX A-J	100-142

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Advantages and limitations of fixed product layout	11
2.2	Advantages and limitations of Cellular layout	11
2.3	Advantages and limitations of product layout	12
2.4	Advantages and limitations of process layout	13
2.5	Layout Planning Techniques and Time line	15
2.6	Summary of previous projects	20
2.7	Summary of previous projects	21
4.1	Label of departments	48
4.2	Distance between departments	52
4.3	Number of material flow between departments per day	53
4.4	Total distance travelled between departments per day	54
4.5	Activity closeness relationship	55
4.6	Overall from to chart with closeness rating	56
5.1	Wedding cards processes	60
5.2	Packets of Wedding process	60
5.3	Christmas and personal card processes	61
5.4	Packets Process for Christmas and personal card	61
5.5	classic cards and posters cards processes	62
5.6	Packets process for classic cards and posters cards process	62
5.7	Preliminary suggestions for activity relationship chart between departments	64
5.8	Space requirement information	67

6.1	The results of running the simulation model	81
6.2	Comparison between simulated and actual values	82
7.1	The overall distance for layout design 1	85
7.2	Performance measures for Layout Design 1	86
7.3	From-to chart for the total travelled distance between departments	87
7.4	Performance measures for design 2	88
7.5	The overall from-to chart for layout design 3	89
7.6	The performance measures for layout design 3	90
7.7	The results that have been obtained by simulation	92
7.8	The results that have been obtained manually	92

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Nontraditional types of facilities layout	14
3.1	Research methodology	34
3.2	SLP Procedures(Tompkins, 2010)	36
4.1	The cross-traffic of existing facility layout	45
4.2	Flow Process Chart	47
4.3	Christmas & personal cards and packets flow	49
4.4	Classic and personal cards and packets flow	49
4.5	Wedding cards and packets flow	50
4.6	The overall material flow in the existing layout Number	50
5.1	Relationship diagram	65
5.2	Space relationship diagram	68
5.3	Layout design 1	70
5.4	Layout design 2	71
5.5	Layout design 3	72
6.1	Examples of Flowchart modules	74
6.2	Examples of Data Modules	74
6.3	The animation view of model	77
6.4	The simulation results for average transfer time	79
6.5	The warm-up period	80

LIST OF APPENDIX

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Data and Sample Size of Cycle Time	102
B	Data of transportation time for Current Layout (40 Observations)	105
C	Data of transportation time for Layout Alternative 1	122
D	Data of transportation time for Layout Alternative 2	123
E	Data of transportation time for Layout Alternative 3	124
F	Symbols of Operation Process Chart (OPC)	125
G	Current Layout Model	126
H	Design I	130
I	Design II	135
J	Design III	140

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

With rapid increase of demand in production, industrial factories need to increase their potentials in production and effectiveness to compete against their market rivals. Therefore, the way to solve this production problem is very important. There are many ways to solve the problems concerning productivity such as quality control, total quality management, Standard time and plant layout. Facility Layout Problem (FLP) is described as the efficient formation of physical departments that are identified to be difficult and are normally NP-Hard (Enea et al. 2005). Layout designing objectives lead to minimize the total cost of material transportation and maximize the total closeness rating between some departments. On the other hand, as the criterion for evaluation of layout plans, satisfaction or goodness of closeness between pairs of facilities is also considered (Krishna and Jaafari, 2009).

Typically, the total distance travelled by the “materials” in the facility is used as a proxy for the cost of the facility layout and as a quality indicator in the facility design. Single floor problems and multi floor problems are the major classification. Researches for multi-floor facility layout problems (MFFLP) have been conducted

over a period of years as the multi-floor (multi story) plants which are favorable in terms of utilizing their site efficiently. MFFLPs are more complicated than single floor problems; consequently a lot of researches, using computers, have been conducted (Kohara and Yamamoto, 2008). The focus of this project is on the company with two-floor production operation in which the vertical transportations are done by elevator (Goetschalckx and Irohara, 2007).

The waiting time of vertical transportation, like using an elevator, is more than horizontal one; therefore, the minimization of total waiting time depends on the time consumed in vertical transportation in multi-floor layout (Matsuzaki et al. 1999). The background of the study and the problem definition are discussed in this chapter to describe what the objectives of the thesis are and how improvement challenges are supposed to be solved. Then, Systematic Layout Planning (SLP) is implied to find the better layout in order to decrease the distance and travelling time between departments that have high frequency of material flows between each other. In order to evaluate the proposed alternative layouts, ARENA simulation is used. All these concepts are briefly described in the scope of the study. After considering the scope of study, the goals and advantages are discussed as the significance of the study. Finally, the structure of the rest of the thesis is described to present how this project is going to accomplish the study.

1.2 Background of research

Multi-floor facilities are constructed in countries or areas with high land cost because usable land is either very limited and/or very expensive, especially as one gets closer to industrialized zones. A comparison between MFFLP and single-floor layout problem is, because of vertical traveling between floors, MFFLP is more challenging than single-floor layout problem. The layout analysts should be aware of some factors that may affect the quality and efficiency of any multi-floor facility

layout such as the number and location of vertical handling devices to put, the congestion and delays that may be occurred between departments (Tompkins et al. 2010).

The case study is the company of cards production where the plant layout of the manufacturing company is not properly designed. The materials at ground floor should be transferred by elevator to level one in order to send to different departments. The products after packaging at first floor should be shipped to the final product storage that is located at ground floor. There is a long distance between these two departments that consume a lot of time as well. Another problem which has been found at this company is the cross-traffic flow of materials between departments that occur at first floor. As a result, proper evaluation and improvement to the existing layout is done to overcome this problem. To experiment the manufacturing activities without actual implementation we can use some tools such as computer simulation which can be applied as a stochastic model to estimate the uncertainty of events. Simulation is capable to determine the movement and interactions of system components and can help to design the complex layout and also for examining the flexibility of a design which enables users to evaluate alternative solutions.

1.3 Objective of the study

- i. Identify the layout problems in the manufacturing company
- ii. Develop improved layouts using SLP
- iii. Determine the effectiveness of the proposed alternative layouts using simulation

1.4 Scope of the study

The scopes of this project are as follow:

- a) Three different types of cards processes in two floors of the company are considered to be improved
- b) SLP procedure will be used to generate the alternative layouts.
- c) ARENA software will be used to evaluate future layout alternatives for simulation.
- d) The distance between each department is calculated using the rectilinear method.
- e) Process total time, transfer time, distance, number of cross-traffics, output and cost are selected as performance measures. Travelling time and distances are quantified

1.5 Significance of study

SLP procedures are applied in this project to improve MFFLP using computer simulation. The greatest benefits to be expected of this study for the improvement processes are maximizing closeness rating and minimizing total travel time and distance. SLP uses a graphical representation and makes up a proximity matrix which depicts the closeness of each facility. Flowcharts can also be used to show quantitative relationships. By simulation, the movement and interaction of system component in departments could be estimated. It is able of aiding in the design of the most difficult automated materials handling system and also helps the user to estimate alternative solutions and to check the flexibility of a design (Eneyo and Pannirselvam,1998).

1.6 Organization of thesis

Chapter 1 begins with an indication of Facilities Layout Planning explanations and its principles. The Objectives and Scopes of the study are defined. Background of problem and significance of finding are described at this chapter.

In chapter 2, some definitions, principles, and approaches of single FLP and MFFLP, heuristic procedures and simulation are demonstrated. Some previous studies which apply different types of solution methods and simulation on single FLP and MFFLP are reviewed in this chapter.

Chapter 3 provides the methodology which has been used to show the procedures of this research including types of data to be collected, tools and techniques to improve the layout and performance measures.

In chapter 4, the identification of problems that exist in current layout is described. The process flow for each product will be observed and documented. The distances travelled by the workers are calculated. Tools such as cross-over chart, From-To-Charts are used to illustrate the closeness importance between each department.

In Chapter 5, SLP will apply for the generation of layout alternatives. SLP is used in this case study as it is a procedural approach which incorporates both qualitative and quantitative data. Three alternatives layouts will be depicted to improve the facilities layout of the company.

Chapter 6 will apply the ARENA software to make the model from current layout and proposed improvement layouts. The types of data distribution will be

justified. The model will be validated and verified. The results will be analyzed and compared with the current layout. Finally the results of each alternative layout are compared to choose the one with the most significant improvement to the company.

1.7 Conclusion

In the beginning of this chapter, an overview of the MFFLP and the importance of facility layout as the main principle for this project are written. The objectives and scopes of study are described. It is indicated that the use of ARENA may improve the performance of company by minimizing its travelling time and distance. At the end of this chapter, the overall structure of the thesis is mentioned. Subsequently, the literature review of improving MFFLP by SLP and simulation will be discussed in the following chapter to further enhance the reader's understanding.

REFERENCE

- Abdinnour-Helm, S. and S. W. Hadley (2000). "Tabu search based heuristics for multi-floor facility layout." *International Journal of Production Research* 38(2): 365-383.
- Abdinnour-Helm, S. and S.W. Hadley (1995). "An iterative layout heuristic for multi-Floor facilities." *Decision Science Institute Proceedings, Boston, MA.*
- Abdinnour-Helm, S. and S. W. Hadley (2010). "Tabu search based heuristics for multi-floor facility layout." *International Journal of Production Research* 38(2): 365-383.
- Aiello G, Enea M, et al. (2006). "A multi-objective approach to facility layout problem by genetic search algorithm and Electre method. *Robot.*" *Comput. Integr. Manuf.* 22: 447-455.
- Aiello, S., A. O'Hara, et al. (2007). "Systematic Layout Plan for Baystate Benefit Services." *Capstone Design Program: Industrial Engineering.*
- Al-Sudairi A. A., (2007) Evaluating the effect of construction process characteristics to the applicability of lean principles, *Construction Innovation*, 7, 99-121.
- APPLE , J. M. (1963). *Plant Layout and Materials Handling.* New York, Ronald Press.
- Barbee, G. (1996). "The Best Laid Plans - Part 1." *Bobbin* 37(11): 99-101.
- BAZARAA, M. S. (1975). "Computerized Layout Design: A Branch and Bound Approach." *AIIE Trans* 7: 432-428.
- BLOCK, T. E. (1977). "A Note on 'Comparison of Computer Algorithms and Visual Based Methods for Plant Layout' by M. Scriabin and R. C. Vergin." *Management Science* 24: 235-237.
- Bozer., Y. A., E. D.Meller., et al. (1994). "An improvement-type layout algorithm for single and multiple-floor facilities." *Management Science* 40(7): 918-932.
- Chien, T.-K. (2004). "An empirical study of facility layout using a modified SLP procedure." *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management* 15(6): 455-465.
- Daiki Kohara and H. Yamamoto (2008). "Efficient Algorithms Based on Branch and Bound Methods for Multi Floor Facility Layout Problems."

- Dimitrios I. Patsiatzis and L. G. Papageorgiou (2002). "Optimal multi-floor process plant layout." *Computers and Chemical Engineering* 26: 575–583
- Enea M, Galante G, et al. ((2005)). "The facility layout problem approached using a fuzzy model and a genetic search." *Intell. Manuf* 16: 303-316.
- Eneyo, E. S. and G. P. Pannirselvam (1998). "The Use of Simulation in Facility Layout Design A Practical Consulting Experience." *Proceedings of the 1998 Winter Simulation Conference U.S.:* 1527-1532.
- Eneyo, E. S. and G. P. Pannirselvam (,1998). "The Use of Simulation in Facility Layout Design A Practical Consulting Experience." *Proceedings of the 1998 Winter Simulation Conference U.S.:* 1527-1532.
- F. Ramtin, M. Abolhasanpour, et al. (2010). "OptimalMulti Floor Facility Layout." *Proceedings of the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists* 3.
- Foulds, L. R. (1983). "Techniques for Facilities Layout." *Management Science* 29(12): 1414-1426.
- G. Q. Zhang, J. Xue, et al. (2002). "class of genetic algorithms for multiple-level warehouse layout problem." *International Journal of Production Research* 40(3): 731-744.
- Heizer, J. and B. Render (2006). "Operations Management." New Jersey: Pearson International Edition.
- James A.Tompkins, John A.White, et al. (2010). *Facilities Planing*. New York; John Wiley & Sons Inc.
- James A.Tompkins, J. A. W., Yavuz A.Bozer, J.M.A.Tanchoco (2010). "Facilities Planing."
- Johnson, R. V. (1982). "SPACECRAFT FOR MULTI-FLOOR LAYOUT PLANNING." *Management Science* 28: 407-417.
- Kenichiro Matsuzaki, Takashi Irohara, et al. (1999). "Heuristic algorithm to solve the multi-floor layout problem with the consideration of elevator utilization." *Computers & Industrial Engineering* 36: 487-502.
- Krishna k. Krishnan1 and A. A. Jaafari (2009). "A mixed integer programming formulation for multi floor layout." *African Journal of Business Management* 3: 616-620.

- Marc Goetschalckx and T. Irohara (2007). "Efficient Algorithms Based on Branch and Bound Methods for Multi Floor Facility Layout Problems."
- Dorigo, Marco, Mauro Birattari, and Thomas Stutzle "Ant colony optimization." *Computational Intelligence Magazine, IEEE* 1.4 (2004): 28-39.
- MELLER,R.D. and BOZER,Y.A., 1997, Alternative approaches to solve the multi-Floor facility layout problem, *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 16, 192± 203.
- Mirzapourrezaei, S.A.; Lalmazlounian, M.; Dargi, A.; Kuan Yew Wong; , "Simulation of a Manufacturing Assembly Line Based on WITNESS," *Computational Intelligence, Communication Systems and Networks (CICSyN)*, 2011 Third International Conference on , vol., no., pp.132-137, 26-28 July 2011.
- MUTHER, R. (1955). *Practical Plant Layout*. New York, McGraw-Hill.
- Muther, R. (1973). *Systematic Layout planning*. Boston, Channers Books.
- Nikakhtar A., Wong K. Y., Zarei M. H., and Memari A., (2011) Comparison of two simulation software systems for modeling a construction process, *Proceedings of 2011 Third International Conference on Computational Intelligence, Modelling & Simulation, Langkawi, Malaysia*.
- Taho Yang, Chao-Ton Su, et al. (2000). "Systematic layout planning: a study on semiconductor wafer fabrication facilities." *International Journal of Operations & Production Management* 20(11): 1359-1371.
- Wang S. and Halpin D.W., (2004) Simulation experiment for improving construction processes *Proceedings of the 2004 Winter Simulation Conference, Washington, DC, USA*.
- W. Wiyaratn and A. Watanapa (2010). "Improvement Plant Layout Using Systematic Layout Planning (SLP) for Increased Productivity." *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*.
- Yanru Chen , Qinxin Xiao, et al. (2011). "Product Layout Optimization and Simulation Model in a Multi-level Distribution Center." *Systems Engineering Procedia* 2: 300 – 307.
- Zhang, K. K. L. C. (2006). "path relinking and genetic algorithms for the multiple-level warehouse layout problems." *European Journal of Operational Research* 169(2): 413-425.