THE EFFECTIVENESS OF SAFETY AND HEALTH ASSESSMENT SYSTEM IN CONSTRUCTION (SHASSIC)

ROHAIDA BINTI AFFANDI

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the Requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Science (Construction Management)

> Faculty of Civil Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > NOVEMBER, 2009

DEDICATION

Bismillahirahmanirahhim

Especially to mom and late dad Thanks for teaching the meaning of life And much sacrifice for offspring success I will always love u dad...

Unforgettable sibling Rustam, Ratnadewi, Rashdan, Rudi, Rafiz dan R'shad Your advices brings me here...really appreciated it

Faithful friend Norazlin Nadia & family Wouldn't forget how we strive after the long journey together

And cheerful supporter Dayang, Jaja, Maria, Adila Hopefully Allah swt always bless in what ever we do till the end

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all gratitude to Allah swt, my master thesis completed successfully on Your bless. Special appreciation to my project supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Aziruddin Ressang for his generous advice, patience, guidance and encouragement during the years of my study.

I would like to express my sincere to the Director of Construction Department Pejabat Harta Bina, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Mr. Abdol Fattah Bin Abu Bakar, and their Safety and Health Officer, Encik Mohd Faizal Bin Wakiman for the advices and supportive along the project being carried out. And thankfully toward all the sporting Safety and Health Officer from those six sites selected, Mr. Vadivel A/K Muthu, Mr. Mohd. Arif Hassan, Mr. Abd. Razak Bin Bachok, Mr. Razali Bin Ahmad, Miss Eze Mazura Bt. Muda, and Mr. Abg Noor Akhbar whom generously spent their precious time to participate the safety and health assessment. Their opinions and comment are usefull indeed.

Last word, hopefully information produced by this thesis would be valuable in assissting for further research. In fact the goodness coming from Allah swt while the badness coming from my own poorness.

ABSTRACT

Construction industry is really known as one of the industry within the highest accident record. Even though Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1994 has established guidelines for occupational safety and health management system (OSH-MS), but it seems ineffective due to several contractor's firm still lacking of some important elements which should be applied to mitigate accident in construction site. Therefore in 2008, CIS 10:2008 (SHASSIC) introduce by CIDB Malaysia as method for contractors to assess their safety and health complementary performance in site. Since the method still new in the construction industry, this study aims to measure the effectiveness of SHASSIC tool in improving occupational safety and health management in construction site. Four objectives has to be pursued in order to achieve the aim of study: 1) To study the level of OSH management in construction site using SHASSIC tool; 2) To determine weaknesses area of OSH management in construction site; 3) To propose suggestion for improving OSH management towards contractor's firm; and 4) To identify the effectiveness of SHASSIC tool in improving OSH management. Data were obtained by carried out two phases of safety and health assessment which uses CIS 10:2008 SHASSIC as tool to assess six sites selected within Universiti Teknologi Malaysia Skudai compound. The results indicate the performance ranking of sites according to the SHASSIC standard. Three items of weaknesses determine in documentation, seven items in workplace, while category of worker as employees which contribute to the lowest level of compliance to the safety and health requirement. Suggestions were proposed according to the CIS 10:2008 SHASSIC guideline which takes precedence of 1) Occupational Safety and Health Act, 1994 (Act 514) and Regulations, 2) Factories and Machinery Act, 1967 (Act 139) and Regulations and Rules, and 3) OHSAS 18001: 2007, MS 1722: 2005 and ILO OHS MS: 2001 as to comply with safety and health legislation. Thus the effectiveness of SHASSIC tool was identified based on improvement of SHASSIC Score percentage from first toward second assessment carried out on sites.

ABSTRAK

Industri pembinaan amat dikenali sebagai salah satu industri dengan rekod kemalangan yang tertinggi. Walaupun Akta Keselamatan dan Kesihatan Pekerjaan 1994 telah mewujudkan panduan untuk sistem pengurusan keselamatan dan kesihatan pekerjaan (OSH-MS), tetapi ia dilihat tidak berkesan memandangkan masih terdapat beberapa firma kontraktor yang meninggalkan elemen penting yang seharusnya diaplikasi untuk mengurangkan kemalangan di tapak pembinaan. Oleh itu pada tahun 2008, CIDB Malaysia telah memperkenalkan CIS 10:2008 (SHASSIC) sebagai kaedah untuk kontraktor menilai kecukupan tahap keselamatan dan kesihatan di tapak. Memandangkan kaedah tersebut masih baru dalam industri pembinaan, sasaran kajian ini adalah untuk mengukur keberkesanan kaedah SHASSIC dalam mempertingkatkan pengurusan keselamatan dan kesihatan pekerjaan di tapak pembinaan. Terdapat empat objektif yang perlu dikejar bagi mencapai sasaran kajian: 1) Untuk mengkaji tahap pengurusan keselamatan dan kesihatan pekerjaan di tapak pembinaan dengan menggunakan kaedah SHASSIC; 2) Untuk menentukan bahagian kelemahan pengurusan keselamatan dan kesihatan pekerjaan di tapak pembinaan; 3) Untuk mengemukakan cadangan bagi mempertingkatkan pengurusan keselamatan dan kesihatan pekerjaan kepada firma kontraktor; dan 4) Untuk mengenalpasti keberkesanan kaedah SHASSIC dalam mempertingkatkan pengurusan keselamatan dan kesihatan pekerjaan. Data telah didapati melalui pelaksanaan dua tahap penilaian keselamatan dan kesihatan yang menggunakan kaedah CIS 10:2008 SHASSIC untuk menilai enam buah tapak yang dipilih dalam kawasan Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. Keputusannya merangkumi kedudukan prestasi tapak berdasarkan piawaian SHASSIC. Terdapat tiga perkara kelemahan ditentukan dalam dokumentasi, tujuh perkara di tapak kerja, manakala buruh merupakan kategori pekerja yang menyumbang kepada tahap pematuhan keselamatan dan kesihatan yang paling rendah. Cadangan telah dikemukakan berdasarkan panduan CIS 10:2008 yang mengutamakan 1) Akta Keselamatan dan Kesihatan Pekerjaan, 1994 (Akta 524) dan Peraturan, 2) Akta Kilang dan Jentera, 1967 (Akta 139) dan Peraturan, dan 3) OHSAS 18001: 2007, MS 1722: 2005 dan ILO OHS MS: 2001 bagi mematuhi perundangan keselamatan dan kesihatan. Seterusnnya keberkesanan kaedah SHASSIC telah dikenalpasti berdasarkan peningkatan peratusan skor SHASSIC daripada penilaian pertama dengan kedua yang dijalankan di tapak.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER	ITEM	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ij
	DEDICATION	V
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vi
	ABSTRACT	vii
	ABSTRAK	viii
	TABLE OF CONTENT	ix
	LIST OF TABLES	XV
	LIST OF FIGURES	xviii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	XX
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxi

CHAPTER I	INT	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Introduction	1
	1.2	Background of the Problem	4
	1.3	Aim and Objective of Study	8
	1.4	Scope of Study	8
	1.5	Significant of Studty	9
	1.6	Methodology of Study	9

CHAPTER II	OCO	CUPAT	IONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH	12
	2.1	Introd	uction	12
	2.2	Termi	nology in Occupational Safety and Health	12
		2.2.1	Common Hazard and Risk in Construction	13
			2.2.1.1 Physical Health Hazard	14
			2.2.1.2 High-Risk Activities	15
	2.3	Accide	ent Causation	16
		2.3.1	Unsafe Act	19
		2.3.2	Unsafe Condition	19
	2.4	The In	nportance of Well Managed Safety and Health	20
		2.4.1	Humanitarian Consideration	21
		2.4.2	Financial Costs	21
		2.4.3	Legal Sanction	22
	2.5	Roles	of Construction Stakeholder toward Occupational	
		Safety	and Health	22
		2.5.1	Role of Construction Parties	22
			2.5.1.1 Contractors	23
			2.5.1.2 Managers and other Professionals	24
			2.5.1.3 Supervisors	24
			2.5.1.4 Employees	26
		2.5.2	Role of Local Authority and Bodies	26
			2.5.2.1 Department of Occupational Safety	
			and Health (DOSH)	26
			2.5.2.2 National Council of Occupational Safety	
			and Health (NCOSH)	28
			2.5.2.3 National Institute of Occupational Safety	
			and Health (NIOSH)	29
			2.5.2.4 Construction Industry Development Board	
			(CIDB)	30
	2.6	Summ	ary	32

CHAPTER III SAF	FTV AND HEALTH ASSESSMENT SVSTEM	
IN C	CONSTRUCTION (SHASSIC)	33
3.1	Introduction	33
3.2	The Use of SHASSIC in Construction Activities	33
3.3	Contractor's Benefit from SHASSIC Assessment	34
3.4	Scope of SHASSIC	35
3.5	Occupational Safety and Health Act 1994 (Act 514)	36
	3.5.1 Employer's Duties	39
	3.5.2 Employee's Duties	40
3.6	Factories and Machineries Act 1967 (Act 139)	41
3.7	Occupational Safety and Health Management System	
	(OSH-MS)	44
	3.7.1 International Labour Organisation Management	

	5.7.1	International Eabour Organisation Management	
		System (ILO OHS MS:2001)	44
	3.7.2	Occupational Health and Safety Assessment	
		Series (OHSAS 18001:2007)	46
	3.7.3	Occupational Safety and Health Management	
		System (OHS-MS 1722:2005)	48
3.8	Safety	Audit	54
	3.8.1	Safety Audit Requirement bu DOSH	54
	3.8.2	Safety Audit Procedure	55
		3.8.2.1 Kickoff Meeting	56
		3.8.2.2 Interviews	56
		3.8.2.3 Document Spot Check	56
		3.8.2.4 Clouseout Meeting	57
3.9	Summ	ary	57

CHAPTER IV METHODOLOGY

4.1	Introd	uction	59		
4.2	Literature Review				
4.3	Data Collection via Assessment				
4.4	Data Analysis Method				
	4.4.1	SHASSIC Weightage System	62		
	4.4.2	Compliance Percentage Method	64		
4.5	Summ	ary	66		

CHAPTER V	ANA	LYSIS	S AND DISCUSSION	67
	5.1	Introdu	action	67
	5.2	Object	ive (i) : Level of OSH Management in	
		Constr	uction Site Using SHASSIC Tool	67
	5.3	Object	ive (ii) : Weaknesses Area of OSH Management	
		in Con	struction Site and	
		Object	ive (iii) : To propose suggestion for improving	
		OSH n	nanagement towards contractor's firm.	69
		5.3.1	Site 1 : Project of Sport Complex	
			(Stadium & Swimming Pool)	70
		5.3.2	Site 2 : Project of Research Management Centre	77
		5.3.3	Site 3 : Project Faculty of Science	86
		5.3.4	Site 4 : Project of Faculty of Electrical Engineering	93
		5.3.5	Site 5 : Project of Faculty of Built in Environment	101
		5.3.6	Site 6 : Project Faculty of Mechanical Engineering	111
	5.4	Summ	ary of Objective (ii) : Weaknesses Area of OSH	
		Manag	ement in Construction Site	120
		5.4.1	Summary of Weaknesses Area in Documentation	
			for Overall Sites	120
		5.4.2	Summary of Weaknesses Area in Workplace	
			for Overall Sites	122
		5.4.3	Summary of Weaknesses Area of Employees	
			for Overall Sites	123

59

5.5	Summary of Objective (iii) : Suggestion for Improving							
	OSH I	OSH Management Towards Contractor's Firm						
5.6	Object	tive (iv) : The Effectiveness of SHASSIC tool in						
	Impro	ving OSH Management	128					
	5.6.1	Comparison between 1 st and 2 nd Assessment						
		in Document Check	128					
	5.6.2	Comparison between 1st and 2nd Assessment						
		in Workplace Inspection	130					
	5.6.3	Comparison between 1 st and 2 nd Assessment						
		in Employee's Interview	133					
	5.6.4	Summary of Overall Comparison between						
		1 st and 2 nd Assessment	137					

CHAPTER VI	CON	ICLUS	IONAND RECOMMENDATION	138
	6.1	Introdu	action	138
	6.2	Conclu	ision	138
		6.2.1	Objective (i): To Study the Level of OSH	
			Management in Construction Site using	
			SHASSIC Tool	139
		6.2.2	Objective (ii): To Determine Weaknesses Area	
			of OSH Management in Construction Site	139
		6.2.3	Objective (iii): To Propose Suggestion for	
			Improving OSH Management Towards	
			Contractor's Firm	140
		6.2.4	Objective (iv): To Identify the Effectiveness of	
			SHASSIC Tool in Improving OSH Management	140
	6.3	Barrier	rs of Study	141
		6.3.1	Time Constraint	141
		6.3.2	Changes in Site Condition	141
		6.3.3	Undelivered Communication	142

6.4	Further Recommendation				
	6.4.1 Perception of Contractor toward application				
		of SHASSIC in Construction Industry	142		
	6.4.2	The Level of Complementary of SHASSIC in			
		order to fulfil the Safety and Health Act and			
		Regulations	143		

REFERENCES APPENDICES

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO. ITEM

PAGE

1.1	Vacancies Reported to the Malaysian Labour Department	
	by Industry 2004 to 2008	2
1.2	Industrial Accidents Reported to the Labour Department and	
	Social Security Organization (SOCSO) by Industry and	
	Type of Accidents, 2005 to 2008	5
4.1	Allocation of Weightage for Components	62
4.2	Star Ranking	64
5.1	Level of OSH Management in Construction Sites	68
5.2	Weaknesses Area and Suggestion for Improvement in	
	Documentation of Project of Sport Complex	
	(Stadium & Swimming Pool)	70
5.3	Weaknesses Area and Suggestion for Improvement in	
	Workplace of Project of Sport Complex	
	(Stadium & Swimming Pool)	72
5.4	Weaknesses Area and Suggestion for Improvement	
	for Employees of Project of Sport Complex	
	(Stadium & Swimming Pool)	75
5.5	Weaknesses Area and Suggestion for Improvement in	
	Documentation of Project of Research Management Centre	77
5.6	Weaknesses Area and Suggestion for Improvement in	
	Workplace of Project of Research Management Centre	79
5.7	Weaknesses Area and Suggestion for Improvement for	
	Employees of Project of Research Management Centre	82

5.8	Weaknesses Area and Suggestion for Improvement in	
	Documentation of Project Faculty of Science	86
5.9	Weaknesses Area and Suggestion for Improvement in	
	Workplace of Project Faculty of Science	88
5.10	Weaknesses Area and Suggestion for Improvement for	
	Employees of Project Faculty of Science	91
5.11	Weaknesses Area and Suggestion for Improvement in	
	Documentation of Project Faculty of Electrical Engineering	93
5.12	Weaknesses Area and Suggestion for Improvement in	
	Workplace of Project Faculty of Electrical Engineering	95
5.13	Weaknesses Area and Suggestion for Improvement for	
	Employees of Project Faculty of Electrical Engineering	98
5.14	Weaknesses Area and Suggestion for Improvement in	
	Documentation of Project Faculty of Built in Environment	101
5.15	Weaknesses Area and Suggestion for Improvement in	
	Workplace of Project Faculty of Built in Environment	103
5.16	Weaknesses Area and Suggestion for Improvement for	
	Employees of Project Faculty of Built in Environment	107
5.17	Weaknesses Area and Suggestion for Improvement in	
	Documentation of Project Faculty of Mechanical Engineering	111
5.18	Weaknesses Area and Suggestion for Improvement in	
	Workplace of Project Faculty of Mechanical Engineering	113
5.19	Weaknesses Area and Suggestion for Improvement for	
	Employees of Project Faculty of Mechanical Engineering	116
5.20	Level of Compliance in Documentation for Overall Sites	119
5.21	Level of Compliance in Workplace for Overall Sites	122
5.22	Level of Compliance of Employees for Overall Sites	124
5.23	Summary of Suggestion against the Determination of	
	Weakness Area for Overall Sites	125
5.24	Comparison between 1 st and 2 nd Assessment in	
	Document Check	128
5.25	Comparison between 1 st and 2 nd Assessment in	
	Workplace Inspection	131

5.26	Comparison between 1 st and 2 nd Assessment in	
	Employee's Interview (Management Personnel)	133
5.27	Comparison between 1 st and 2 nd Assessment in	
	Employee's Interview (Safety & Health Committee)	133
5.28	Comparison between 1 st and 2 nd Assessment in	
	Employee's Interview (Workers)	135
5.29	Increasing Percentage of SHASSIC Score	137

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO. ITEM

PAGE

1.1	Statistic of Occupational Accidents by Sector for the	
	Category of Death in Year 2007 and 2008	6
1.2	Research Methodology Flow Chart	11
2.1	Theory of Heinrich's Domino Sequence	17
2.2	Factors Related to Accident on Workplace	20
3.1	OHS Management System elements of OHSAS 18001	47
3.2	Occupational Safety and Health Management System	
	(OSH-MS 1722 : 2005)	49
4.1	Data Collection via Assessment	60
4.2	Example of Data Analysis Transform into Bar Chart and Table	65
5.1	Level of Compliance in Documentation for Overall Sites	120
5.2	Level of Compliance in Workplace for Overall Sites	122
5.3	Level of Compliance of Employees for Overall Sites	123
5.4	Comparison between 1 st and 2 nd Assessment in	
	Document Check	128
5.5	Comparison between 1 st and 2 nd Assessment in	
	Workplace Inspection	130
5.6	Comparison between 1 st and 2 nd Assessment in	
	Employee's Interview (Management Personnel)	133
5.7	Comparison between 1 st and 2 nd Assessment in	
	Employee's Interview (Safety & Health Committee)	134
5.8	Comparison between 1 st and 2 nd Assessment in	
	Employee's Interview (Workers)	135

5.9	Summary of Overall Comparison between	
	1 st and 2 nd Assessment	137

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX ITEM

- A SHASSIC Checklist Document Check
- B SHASSIC Checklist Workplace Inspection
- C SHASSIC Questionnaires Employees Interview Category 'A'
- D SHASSIC Questionnaires Employees Interview Category 'B'
- E SHASSIC Questionnaires Employees Interview Category 'C'

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ABBREVIATION FULL NAME

CIDB	Construction Industry Development Board of Malaysia
CIMAH	Control of Industrial Major Accident Hazards
	Regulation 1996
CPLHC	Classification, Packaging and Labelling of Hazardous
	Chemical Regulation 1997
CSDS	Chemical Safety Data Sheet
DOSH	Department of Occupational Safety and Health
	Malaysia
ERP	Emergency Response Plan
FMA	Factories and Machineries Act
HSE	Health Safety and Environment
ILO	International Labour Organisation
ISO	International Standard Organisation
NADOPOD	Notification of Accident, Dangerous Occurrence,
	Occupational Poisoning and Occupational Disease
	Regulation 2004
NIOSH	National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health
NCOSH	National Council for Occupational Safety and Health
MSDS	Material Safety Data Sheet
PPE	Personal Protection Equipments
SHASSIC	Safety and Health Assessment System in Construction
SHC	Safety and Health Committee Regulation 1996
SHO	Safety and Health Officer Regulation 1997
SHW	Safety and Health Welfare

SSS	Safety and Health Supervisor
OSH	Occupational Safety and Health
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Act
OSHMS	Occupational Safety and Health Management System
OHSAS	Occupational Health and Safety Assessment Series
USECHH	Use and Standards of Exposure of Chemical Hazardous
	to Health Regulation 2000

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

The construction sector is one of the important sectors of the Malaysian economy since the rapid development of the sector has contributed towards the national economic growth and it provides economic opportunities for related industries and businesses. This is the evident by the implementation of 8th Malaysian Plan, whereas infrastructure development has been given priority to support the economic growth. Totally RM38.7 million expended to upgrade and maintains infrastructure and public services to fulfill the increasing demands and to achieve a quality services (Rozanah AB Rahman, 2006).

Within 9th Malaysian Plan period, attention is being given to upgrade optimally all the available coverage and services usage as to support the economy activities. Among projects undergone within RM9 period include Iskandar Development in Johor and twin railway line project. Whereas overall RM46.8 million was provided to implement those various project under RM9. The availability of these projects ensuring construction sector continuous develop successfully and the most significant to the national economy is regarding its employment potential (Dr. Fong Chan Onn, 2007).

According to the Vacancies Reported to The Malaysian Labour Department by Industry 2004 to 2008 as in Table 1.1, construction taken place among top three industries which contribute high employment annually especially start from year 2006 until last year 2008.

No		Year						
INO.	Industry	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008		
1.	Agriculture, Hunting and Forestry	1,367	39,450	185,271	220,120	269,272		
2.	Fishing	6	988	2,833	6,639	6,276		
3.	Mining and Quarrying	41	150	861	1,163	1,450		
4.	Manufacturing	17,769	112,542	348,302	275,155	327,798		
5.	Electricity, Gas and Water Supply	198	859	2,227	1,477	2,047		
6.	Construction	3,505	48,524	129,586	117,217	107,421		
7.	Wholesale and Retail Trade, Repair of Motor Vehicles, Motorcycle, Personal and Household Goods	3,890	11,993	26,315	30,647	43,948		
8.	Hotel and Restaurant	1,331	11,928	41,641	35,953	55,369		
9.	Transport, Storage, and Communication	1,046	3,892	8,287	12,578	15,264		
10.	Financial Intermediation	5,228	5,574	11,564	28,471	89,044		
11.	Real Estate, Renting, and Business Activities	934	8,300	15,078	21,764	36,777		
12.	Public Administration and Defence, Compulsory Social Security	1,224	2,497	3,539	11,287	11,132		
13.	Education	2,167	6,997	11,797	13,341	26,454		
14.	Health and Social Work	102	788	4,137	3,080	7,727		
15.	Other Community, Social and Personal Service Activities	2,410	10,352	28,736	43,871	54,079		
16.	Private Household with Employed Person	36	100	1,011	1,139	2,378		
17.	Ex-Territorial Organisation and	58	161	70	696	2,544		
18.	Others	8,663	39,405	12,920	-	-		
	Total	49,975	304,500	834,675	825,182	1,058,980		

Table 1.1 : Vacancies Reported to the Malaysian Labour Department by Industry 2004 to 2008

Source : Labour Department, Ministry of Human Resource.

It is undeniable that workers are the most important assets of the industry, without it the industry cannot progress. However, construction workers are two to three times more likely to die on the job than workers in other industries while the risk of serious injury is almost three times higher. Many site injuries result from people falling from structures such from roofs and scaffolds, or being hit by falling objects. Many others are caused by the misuse of mechanical plant and site transport, including hoist (Frayer, 1995).

As such, shortage of labour is one of the factors which could lead to the slow progress of the industry, especially the construction industry which is very much independent on human resources. As the construction industry continues to progress, the problems to the nation is inevitable. Even though this industry becomes one of the national economic catalysts, however it concurrently contributes to high amount of industrial accident (Rozanah AB Rahman, 2006).

The safety and health of workers is paramount concern for all employers. This is especially true in the construction industry that continuously boasts one of the highest job injury rates of all professions. Construction employs 7.9 million people, 5.4% workforce, yet accounts for 20% of fatalities and over 12% of the injuries in the workforce according to the 2005 Bureau of Labor and Statistics reports (Kraig Knutson, 2009).

Construction accidents causes many human tragedies, de-motivate workers, disrupt site activities, delay project progress and adversely affect the overall cost, productivity and reputation of the construction industry. Although project safety and health management is very much a traditional concern for the construction industry, the industry seems to suffer from a general inability to manage workplace safety and health to a level where a pro-active zero-accident culture prevails.

Due to this matter, Malaysian governments have maintained an on going commitment towards establishing a working environment free of injury and disease. Several strategies were set out which involve enforcement of acts and regulations; legislate of standards; training and promotion to control occupational safety and health aspects for every phases of construction activities. However, evidence is clear that zero-accident culture cannot be guarantee by legislation alone. Therefore construction firm plays significant role in implementation of occupational safety and health management as effectively in construction site as accordance with the legislation requirement (YB Dato' Seri S. Samy Vellu, 2005).

To determine the sufficiency of implementation of occupational safety and health in construction, various tools of safety performance measure were exist to evaluate the level of implementation by organization. Recently in year 2008, Construction Industry Development Board Malaysia (CIDB) through Construction Industry Standard (CIS) has established CIS 10:2008 Safety and Health Assessment System in Construction (SHASSIC). SHASSIC is an independent method to assess and evaluate the safety and health performance implement by contractor in construction works.

Results gathered by these assessments are valuable for contractor to improve their performance since they had recognized areas of weaknesses in implementation occupational safety and health management in construction site. Rowlinson (2004) agrees that if the performance show improvement being made after assessment conducted, this has proven the effectiveness of tool assessment selected as to measure safety and health performance and its applicable as to improve the occupational safety and health management in construction site.

1.2 Background of the Problem

Construction safety and health is a global issue in that it is a concern wherever construction activities take place. The reality is that the construction industry continually has injury and fatality statistics that make it one of the most dangerous industries in which to work. Even though tremendous improvements have been made in safety performance in some countries, the construction industry continues to lag behind most other industries. This has been the experience within most countries. As the world has become smaller through technology and through cooperative arrangements that cross many borders, the issue of construction worker safety and health become a well-recognized problem and represents a concern that is shared worldwide (Hinze, 2007).

Over many years, government has taken a lot of effort to the number of people who are killed, injured, or suffering ill health as a result of construction work. Those effort introduced to construction firms with intends to mitigate accident occurrence in construction site. Noticeably, the worst cases of industrial accidents would be disablement and fatality cases. Table 1.2 shows Industrial Accidents Reported by Industry and Type of Accident recorded by Malaysian Social Security Organization (SOCSO). In year 2008, construction holding among the highest amount of 1,736 disablement while contribute to 102 amounts of fatality cases reported.

No	Industry	Fatal				Disablement			
INO.		2005	2006	2007	2008	2005	2006	2007	2008
1.	Agriculture, Hunting and Forestry	181	195	35	132	3,953	2,423	446	1,605
2.	Fishing	9	22	4	22	76	84	56	164
3.	Mining and Quarrying	32	9	-	15	337	128	-	194
4.	Manufacturing	448	402	258	268	12,459	9,000	3,261	9,701
5.	Electricity, Gas and Water Supply	1	16	7	13	125	92	667	272
6.	Construction	165	166	53	102	2,041	1,171	84	1,736
7.	Wholesale and Retail Trade, Repair of Motor Vehicles, Motorcycle, Personal and Household Goods	139	147	165	195	2,110	1,831	2,086	3,729
8.	Hotel and Restaurant	5	4	-	36	69	34	-	413
9.	Transport, Storage, and Communication	64	82	49	121	721	637	617	530
10.	Financial Intermediation	65	73	7	16	933	943	92	1,649
11.	Real Estate, Renting, and Business Activities	14	28	-	114	294	136	-	1,982
12.	Public Administration and Defence, Compulsory Social Security	4	3	177	156	24	20	2,246	1,704
13.	Education	-	-	-	3	2	-	-	93
14.	Health and Social Work	1	1	-	30	-	-	-	350
15.	Other Community, Social and Personal Service Activities	164	156	-	9	1,743	1,358	-	133
16.	Private Household with Employed Person	-	-	-	65	6	7	-	1,242
17.	Ex-Territorial Organisation and Bodies	-	32	-	4	5	360	-	93
18.	Others	-	1	-	0	-	31	-	2
	Total	731	1,337	755	1,301	-	18,257	9,555	21,592

Table 1.2 : Industrial Accidents Reported to the Labour Department and Social Security Organization(SOCSO) by Industry and Type of Accidents, 2005 to 2008

Source : Social Security Organisation (SOCSO), Labour Department Peninsular Malaysia, Sabah and Sarawak, Ministy of Human Resources.

Meanwhile based on Statistic of Occupational Accident for the Category of Death investigated by DOSH, construction sector has succeeded to decrease number of death from year 2007 to 2008 (see Figure 1.1). But the main issue can be adopted here is construction sector still remain the highest amount of 168 deaths for both year compare to other sectors.



Figure 1.1 : Statistic of Occupational Accidents by Sector for the Category of Death in Year 2007 and 2008 Source: Department of Health and Safety Malaysia, 2009

Much effort has been implemented but accident statistic still shows construction sector taken place as hazardous industry. These proven that performance of safety and health in construction industry are still unsatisfactory. As been reported in May 2009, Petaling Jaya startled with unplanned collapse of old supermarket building which killed 7 workers during demolition progress. This shows the lack of safety awareness and site planning before demolition commencement. Effect from the occurrence, National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) emphasized to all project managers to conduct proper site plan of risk assessment before commencing work to avoid the same tragedies (Bernama, 2009).

Malaysian government's effort through Department Occupational Safety and Health (DOSH) has enforced Occupational Safety and Health Act and Regulations (OSHA), 1994 (Act 514); Factory and Machinery Act (FMA), 1967 (Act 139); Occupational Health and Safety Management System, OHSAS 18001:2007; Occupational Safety and Health Management System, MS 1722:2005. But their initiative alone is insufficient to increase or strengthen safety and health activities within growing construction industry. Earnest effort from all the industry stakeholders is also necessary.

7

During the declaration of National Safety and Health campaign 1994 in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysian 4th Prime Minister, Dato' Seri Dr. Mahathir Mohamad said,

"Safety and Health consultants who provide safety and health consultation services in the construction industry hold responsibility to put more effort in safety and health encouragement towards employers. Besides organizing course and provide training they should take part in prevention of accident by involve in legislation enforcement, inspection of safety and health implementation on site by contractor, and providing new initiative to improve occupational safety and health within industry".

Recognizing these necessities institution bodies such as National Occupational Safety and Health Malaysia (NIOSH) and also Construction Industry Development Board Malaysia (CIDB) play significant role by establishing guidelines and performance-based workplace health and safety standard which sets generalized performance objectives and provides a system of clearly stated responsibilities to encourage greater self-regulation for the construction industry.

In year 2006, DOSH has been enforced Occupational Safety and Health Management System (OSH-MS) to be implemented by construction firms. A Malaysian Standard MS1722:2005 OSH-MS established by government as guidance for employer to implement the occupational safety and health management on construction site (Dr. Fong Chan Onn, 2007). Recently OSH-MS has been applied mostly to the construction organization around Malaysia. However accident statistic still shown construction sector contribute to high rate of death annually (YB Datuk Dr. S. Subramaniam, 2009).

Therefore it is necessary to conduct occupational safety and health assessment to review the performance of Occupational Safety and Health Management System (OSH-MS) being implemented on construction site as to fulfill the legislation requirement. In year 2008, Safety and Health Assessment System in Construction CIS 10:2008 (SHASSIC) were established by CIDB as tool to assess the performance of safety and health management in construction site. Since this method still new to the industry, it is advisable to conduct a study to measure the effectiveness of SHASSIC tool in improving occupational safety and health management in construction site.

1.3 Aim and Objective of Study

The aim of the study is to measure the effectiveness of SHASSIC tool in improving occupational safety and health management in construction site. In order to achieve the aim, following objectives shall be pursued:

- i. To study the level of OSH management in construction site using SHASSIC tool.
- ii. To determine weaknesses area of OSH management in construction site.
- To propose suggestion for improving OSH management towards contractor's firm.
- iv. To identify the effectiveness of SHASSIC tool in improving OSH management.

1.4 Scope of Study

The scope of the study will be covered at three construction sites under progress within Universiti Teknologi Malaysia Skudai, Johor. Respondents to be interviewed from each site include management personnel, safety and health officer, and site workers.

Study shall be carried out when there are different type of activities that are on going at same time (concurrent activities) and many workers of different trades are involved at the site. The SHASSIC assessment is carried out when the actual physical work progress had achieved or falls within 25% to 75%.

1.5 Significant of Study

Referring to the problem issues, evidently the performance of occupational safety and health management in construction site is still under unsatisfactory. Therefore, conducting performance assessment is needed to study the level of OSH management being implemented. Whereas from the result, weaknesses could be recognize and improvement can be made.

Application of SHASSIC as tool of safety and health assessment system in the construction site is still new in Malaysian construction industry. Thus, findings from this study are really valuable to determine the effectiveness of this tool which could be applicable in improving occupational safety and health management in the industry.

Besides, other interested parties such as National Occupational Safety and Health Malaysia (NIOSH) could apply this study as to recognizing weaknesses areas in implementation of OSH management by contractors. According to this matter they may get new initiatives to organize more effective consultation and training programs which could lead to overcome as said weaknesses. While, the Construction Industry Development Board Malaysia (CIDB) as the inventor of SHASSIC tool could also refer the study as to make any amendment towards this tool whereas necessary to improve its application to be more effectively.

1.6 Methodology of Study

This study is mainly divided into three stages:

- i. Identification of Topic and Scope of Study
- ii. Collection of Data and Information concurrently with Analysis and Discussion
- iii. Conclusion and Recommendation

1.6.1 First Stage : Identification of Topic and Scope of Study

Topic of study was selected base on safety and health construction issues arise recently. Instead of searching via internet, discussion with lecturer was made to get view on congruence topic, aim and scope of study. Concurrently to wider knowledge on topic to be selected, meeting with DOSH, NIOSH and CIDB personnel was undergone to collect information on occupational safety and health management and also to gathered Malaysian annual occupational accident by industry.

1.6.2 Second Stage : Collection of Data and Information concurrently with Analysis and Discussion

Commence with gathered secondary data by reviewing the relevant literature on construction safety and management books as well as academic journal published by safety and health department. These follow by conducting two phases of assessment session, to collect primary data which is more effective way to achieve objectives of the study. The assessment will be conducted on three construction sites under progress as been mentioned in the scope of study. The assessment shall be carried out in three different approaches; 1)Document Check, 2)Workplace Inspection, and 3)Employee's Interview.

1.6.3 Third Stage : Conclusion and Recommendation

All the findings are summarized according to the objectives. Several recommendations to be develop base from the research undergone to give some either new initiatives or improvement that can be guidelines for other interested parties.

To be more understandable, Figure 1.2 on the next page clarifies research methodology flow chart on how this study will be conducted.



Figure 1.2 : Research Methodology Flow Chart

REFERENCES

- Abdul Rahim Abdul Hamid, Wan Zulkifli Wan Yusuf and Bachan Singh (2003).
 Hazards at Construction Sites. Proceedings of the 5th. Asia-Pacific Structural
 Engineering and Construction Conference (APSEC 2003), 26-28 August, 2003,
 Johor Bahru, Malaysia.
- Ahmad Fauzi, A. (2007). The Level of Compliance to Safety Audit in Construction Industry. Master of Science (Construction Management), Faculty of Civil Engineering. Skudai : Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Ahmadon Bakri, Rosli Mohd Zin, Mohd Saidin Misnan and Abdul Hakim (2006).
 Occupational Safety and Health (OSH) Management Systems : Towards
 Development of Safety and Health Culture. Proceedings of the 6th. Asia-Pacific
 Structural Engineering and Construction (APSEC 2006), Johor Bahru,
 Malaysia.
- Bahtiar, M. (2006). Pengurusan Keselamatan dan Kesihatan Pekerjaan ke Arah Pensijilan OHSAS 18001. Projek Sarjana Muda Kejuruteraan Awam, Fakulti Kejuruteraan Awam. Skudai : Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Barber, J. (2004). *Health and Safety in Construction : Guidance for construction Professionals.* Britain : Thomas Telford.
- Bernama (2009). *Niosh Wants Risk Assessment Conducted*. Retrieve from http://www.bernama.com/bernama/v5/index.php, 29 May 2009.

- Bateman, King, and Lewis (1994). The Handbook of Health and Safety At Work. London : Kogan Page Limited. pp.105 -107.
- CIDB (2009). Construction Industry Development Board (CIDB) Malaysia : Corporate. Retrieved from http://www.cidb.gov.my, 23 June 2009.
- CIDB and DOSH Malaysia (2007). Message from YB Dato' Seri S. Samy Vellu : Master Plan for Occupational Safety and Health in Construction Industry 2005-2010, 10th. Kuala Lumpur : Construction Industry Development Board Malaysia.
- Coble, R.J. and Blatter, Jr. R.L. (1999). Concerns with Safety in Design-Build Process, American Society of Civil Engineers. Journal of Architectural Engineering, June, pp.44-48.
- Cooper, M.D. (1998). *Improving Safety Culture: A Practical Guide*. London : J.Wiley and Sons.
- David, L. G. (2003). *Construction Safety and Health*. New Jersey Columbus, Ohio : Prentice Hall.
- Ghani W.I (1998). Safety Auditing in a Manufacturing Company. Safety, Health & Environment Seminar 98. August 1314,1998. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. 1998, pp 17.
- DOSH (2009). Department Occupational Safety and Health (DOSH) Malaysia : The Role and Development. Retrieved from http://www.dosh.gov.my, 23 June 2009.
- Griffith, A., and Howarth, T. (2000). *Construction Health and Safety Management*. Harlow : Pearson Education Limited.
- Griffith, A., Stephenson, P. and Watson, P. (2000). *Management System for Construction*. Harlow : Pearson Education Limited.

- Health and Safety Executive (HSE), (1995). *Managing Construction for Health and Safety*. London : HMSO.
- Hinze, J. W. (2007). Construction Safety. Columbus, Ohio: Prentice Hall.
- International Labour Organisation (2001). *Guidelines on Occupational Safety and Health Management Systems (ILO – OSH 2001)*. Switzerland : Geneva.
- Jacobs, H.H. (1970). *Towards more Effective Safety Measurement System*. Journal of Safety Research, 2, 3, pp.161-175.
- Kraig, K. (2009). *Construction Management Fundamentals*, 2nd Edition. New York : McGraw-Hill Higher Education.
- Laws of Malaysia (2000). Factories and Machinery Act 1967 (Act 139) and Regulation and Rules. Kuala Lumpur : International Law Book Services.
- Laws of Malaysia (2000). Occupational Safety and Health Act 1994 (Act 514) and Regulation and Orders. Kuala Lumpur : International Law Book Services.
- Loosemore, M., Dainty, A., and Lingard, H. (2003). *Human Resource Management in Construction Projects : Strategic and Operational Approaches*. London : Spon Press.
- Malaysia (2009). Annually Statistic of Occupational Accidents by Sector for the Category of Death. Retrieved from http://www.dosh.gov.my, 28 May 2009.
- Malaysia (2006). *Guidelines on Occupational Safety and Health Act 1994. Act 514*.Putrajaya : Department of Occupational Safety and Health Malaysia.
- Malaysia (2005). *Guidelines on Workplace Accident Prevention*. Putrajaya : Occupational Health Unit, Ministry of Health Malaysia.

- Malaysia (2007). *Malaysian Industrial Development Authority : Labour Standard*. Retrieved from http://www.mida.gov.my, 22 June 2009.
- Ministry of Human Resource Malaysia (2007). Seminar Perdana Keselamatan dan Kesihatan Pekerjaan : Ucapan YB Datuk Seri Dr. Fong Chan Onn. Pusat Konvensyen Antarabangsa Putrajaya, Malaysia.
- NIOSH (2009). National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) Malaysia : About Us. Retrieved from http://www.niosh.gov.my, 23 June 2009.
- Perry, P. (2006). Construction Safety Questions and Answers : A Practical Approach. London : Thomas Telford Publishing.
- R. Clark. (2000). *Occupational Safety and Health in Construction*. Retrieve from http://www.elaw.org/resources/text.asp, 24 June 2009.
- R. Kunju, Gibb, A.G.F., and McCaffer, R. (1999). Developing a Proactive Safety Performance Measurement Technique (SPMT) for Construction : Implementation of Safety and Health on Construction Sites. 507 – 513.
- Rosli Ahmad (2008). Best Practices in Safety Management for Conventional Civil Construction Industry in Malaysia. Master of Science (Construction Management), Faculty of Civil Engineering. Skudai : Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
- Roughton, James (2002). *Developing an Effective Safety Culture: A Leadership Approach* (1st Edition ed.). Heinemann : Butterworth.
- Rowlinson, S. (2004). Construction Safety Management System. London : Spon Press.
- Rozanah Ab. Rahman (2006). Protection of Workers' Safety at Construction Sites in Malaysia : Is the Law Adequate?. Lecturer in Law, Faculty of Economics and Management. Serdang : Universiti Putra Malaysia.

- Standard Industri Pembinaan (2008). CIS 10:2008 Safety and Health Assessment System in Construction (SHASSIC). Kuala Lumpur : Construction Industry Development Board Malaysia.
- Social Security Organization (SOCSO), (2008). Industrial Accidents Reported to the Labour Department and Social Security Organization (SOCSO) by Industry and Type of Accidents, 2005 to 2008. Retrieved from http://www.perkeso.org.my/statistik2.asp, 24 May 2009.
- Vincent. G. 1975. *Safety in the Construction Industry : OSHA*. Virginia : Reston Publishing Company.