

PREPARATION OF RADIATION GRAFTED KENAF ADSORBENT FOR
ALUMINIUM REMOVAL VIA CHEMICAL VAPOUR DEPOSITION

NOR AZILLAH FATIMAH BINTI OTHMAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Chemical)

Faculty of Chemical & Energy Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

~~OC~~ JANUARY 2016 ~~TO~~BER 2015

Specially dedicated to my beloved family.
Thank you for all the love, encouragement and support.

Ayah, if only you were here. Al-fatimah.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Alhamdulillah, praise be to ~~Almighty~~ Allah, the Most Gracious and Most Merciful and peace be upon ~~the compassionate~~ Prophet Muhammad (SAW). First of all, my family are most deserving for without them, I never would have the courage to attempt this and for their support throughout this study; ~~mentally and financially~~. My husband Faiza, my mother Norpisah, my siblings Azairiah, Hafizul, Anuar and Atiqah, thank you for willing to lend shoulder to share the loads and stress, listening to my rants and sharing the frustration and excitement, for this I am forever grateful. To my children, Hafiy, Halqy and Hazry for being so patience with me, I am sorry for the time that I have spent on thesis writing. I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Dr Tuan Amran Tuan Abdullah for sharing his knowledge and skills during the period, for without him I would never been able to finish this project. Thank you for your patience and guidance, providing me an example of how a supervisor should be, and I can only hope to emulate your example. Special thanks goes to my superiors in Malaysian Nuclear Agency, Dr. Zulkaffli Ghazali, Dr. Kamaruddin Hashim and Dr. Jamaliah Sharif, who helped me to develop my skill and gained knowledge in radiation processing of polymer. Their great advises on practical issues beyond textbooks have lighten the path when it seemed no end was in sight. I am blessed with the opportunity to encountered with an amazing group of individuals. A special thanks to Shida for lending a helping hand, you are truly a godsend. I owe thanks to all research officers and lab assistants especially Azwin, Azra, Sarala, Liza and Hasnul for their assistance, advice and friendship. I am also indebted to the Malaysia Nuclear Agency laboratory technicians for providing the necessary technical support throughout this project. In addition, a thank you to Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI) for granting Sciencefund under the vote number of 03-03-01-SF0214. Finally, thank

you to all people who helped and contribute great ideas, advices and prayed for my success. For all of this, I am eternally grateful.

ABSTRACT

Vapour phase grafting of kenaf fibre with glycidyl methacrylate (GMA) using radiation-induced grafting was studied to develop an adsorbent for removal of aluminium from water. The pre-irradiation of kenaf fibre was carried out at different doses from electron beam accelerator at various absorbed radiation doses (10 to 100 kGy). The grafting process was carried out in a chemical vapour deposition reactor operated at temperature of 40 °C and gauge pressure of 0 MPa to -0.1 MPa with time range of 15 to 90 minutes. The percentage of grafting, P_g (%) was calculated based on quantitative Fourier transform infra-red spectroscopy (FTIR) analysis. The grafted fibre was confirmed using FTIR and scanning electron microscopy. The optimal condition for enhancing P_g was obtained at irradiation dose of 50kGy, -0.025 MPa gauge pressure, and temperature and reaction time of 40 °C and 30 minutes, respectively. Optimization of these parameters will be a guide for subsequent development of grafted copolymer for further functionalization for preparation of adsorbent. The effect in thermal stability of polymeric material after the incorporation of GMA was also investigated. It was found that incorporation of GMA increased the thermal stability of kenaf fibre. The adsorption capacity was assessed to evaluate the efficiency of the adsorbent towards aluminium removal. It was found that the adsorbent could remove more than 99% aluminium with the highest adsorption capacity of 4.98 mg/g.

ABSTRAK

Cangkukan fasa gas gentian kenaf dengan monomer, glisidil metakrilat (GMA) melalui pencangkukan aruhan sinaran dikaji bagi menghasilkan bahan penjerap untuk menyingkirkan aluminium dalam air. Pra-sinaran ke atas gentian kenaf telah dijalankan pada dos sinaran alur elektron yang berbeza (10 hingga 100 kGy). Proses cangkukan dijalankan di dalam reaktor pengendapan wap kimia pada julat suhu 40 °C, tekanan tolok 0 MPa hingga -0.1 MPa dan juga pada julat masa antara 15 hingga 90 minit. Peratus cangkukan, P_g (%) dikira menggunakan analisis kuantitatif spektroskopi inframerah transformasi Fourier (FTIR). Gentian kenaf cangkuk GMA yang berjaya terbentuk ini dianalisis dengan menggunakan FTIR dan mikroskop imbasan elektron untuk mengesahkan pengkopolimeran cangkuk. Keadaan optimum untuk meningkatkan peratus cangkukan didapati pada dos sinaran 50 kGy, tekanan tolok -0.025 MPa, dan suhu dan masa tindakbalas pada 40 °C dan 30 minit. Pengoptimuman parameter ini akan menjadi garis panduan bagi menghasilkan kopolimer cangkuk dengan penambahan GMA yang seterusnya akan ditambah kumpulan berfungsi bagi penyediaan penjerap. Kesan terhadap sifat terma polimer selepas penambahan GMA ke dalam polimer juga telah dikaji. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa penambahan GMA telah meningkatkan kestabilan terma bahan. Kapasiti penjerapan dikaji bagi menilai kebolehupayaan penjerap untuk menyingkirkan aluminium. Didapati bahawa penjerap berkenaan mampu menyingkirkan lebih dari 99% aluminium dengan kapasiti penjerapan sebanyak 4.98 mg/g.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	v
	DEDICATION	vii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vii
	ABSTRACT	viii
	ABSTRAK	ix
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	x
	LIST OF TABLES	xiv
	LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xix
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of the Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	7
	1.3 Research Questions	9
	1.4 Research Objectives	9
	1.5 Scope of the Study	10
	1.6 Significant of Study	11
	1.7 Structure of Thesis	11

2	LITERATURE REVIEW	13
2.1	Introduction	13
2.2	Water Treatment Process	13
2.2.1	Conventional Process	14
2.2.2	Reverse Osmosis	15
2.2.3	Electrodialysis	16
2.2.4	Solvent Extraction	16
2.2.5	Ion Exchange	17
2.2.6	Adsorption	18
2.3	Preparation of Adsorbent	19
2.3.1	Graft Copolymerization	20
2.3.2	Chemical Grafting	23
2.3.3	Photo-induced Grafting	24
2.3.4	Radiation-induced Grafting	25
2.3.4.1	Pre-irradiation Grafting	27
2.3.4.2	Simultaneous Irradiation Grafting	28
2.3.5	Factor Affecting Grafting	28
2.3.5.1	Nature of Base Polymer	29
2.3.5.2	Absorbed Radiation Dose	30
2.3.5.3	Monomer	31
2.3.5.4	Temperature	33
2.3.6	Functionalization of Grafted Material	33
2.4	Characterization of Graft Copolymer	35
2.5	Radiation Processing of Polymer	37
2.5.1	Ionizing Radiation	37
2.5.2	Radiation Processing of Polymer	40
2.5.3	Advantages of Radiation Processing	42
2.6	Chemical Vapour Deposition (CVD)	42
2.6.1	CVD Polymerization	43
2.6.2	Application of CVD Polymers	44
2.7	Natural Fibre	44
2.7.1	Kenaf Fibre	47

2.7.2	Treatment of Kenaf Fibre	48
3	METHODOLOGY	51
3.1	Introduction	51
3.2	Materials	53
3.3	Treatment of Kenaf Fibre	55
3.3.1	Preparation of Sodium Chlorite (NaClO ₂)	55
3.3.2	Treatment Process	55
3.3.3	Lignin Content	56
3.4	Grafting Procedure	57
3.4.1	Pre-irradiation of Kenaf Fibre	57
3.4.2	Chemical Vapour Deposition (CVD)	58
3.4.3	Grafting Process Parameters	60
3.5	Functionalization of Grafted Kenaf Fibre	61
3.6	Adsorption Test	62
3.7	Material Characterization	64
3.7.1	Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM)	64
3.7.2	Field Emission SEM (FESEM)	65
3.7.3	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)	65
3.7.3.1	Qualitative FTIR Analysis	66
3.7.3.2	Quantitative FTIR Analysis	66
3.7.4	Thermal Gravimetric Analysis (TGA)	67
3.7.5	Ultra Violet Visible Spectroscopy (UV-Vis)	68
3.7.6	Elemental Analysis	68
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	70
4.1	Treatment of Kenaf Fibre	70
4.1.1	Lignin Content	70
4.1.2	SEM Analysis	72
4.1.3	FTIR Analysis	75
4.2	Vapour Phase Radiation-induced Graft Copolymerization	76

4.2.1	Effect of Grafting Process Parameters	77
4.2.1.1	GMA Calibration for Quantitative Analysis	77
4.2.1.2	Effect of Radiation Absorbed Dose	80
4.2.1.3	Effect of Deposition Time	87
4.2.1.4	Effect of Pressure	91
4.2.2	Characterization of Grafted Kenaf Fibre	94
4.2.2.1	FTIR Analysis	94
4.2.2.2	SEM Analysis	96
4.2.2.3	TGA Analysis	98
4.3	Functionalization of Grafted Kenaf Fibre	103
4.3.1	Functionalization via Chemical Modification	103
4.3.2	Characterization of Functionalized Grafted Kenaf	105
4.3.2.1	Elemental Analysis	105
4.3.2.2	FTIR Analysis	106
4.4	Adsorption Test of Aluminium Ions by Kenaf Adsorbent	107
4.4.1	Effect of pH	108
4.4.2	Effect of Time	109
5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	111
5.1	Conclusion	111
5.2	Recommendations for Future Work	112
	REFERENCES	113
	Appendices A-F	120-128

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Treatment process for the removal of aluminum from water	14
2.2	Comparison between the main features of direct irradiation and pre-irradiation methods of graft copolymerization	27
2.3	The influence of different parameter for radiation-induced grafting	29
2.4	Most common techniques used in surface characterization of polymers	36
2.5	Comparison of gamma radiation sources and electron beam accelerator	38
2.6	Content of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin (%) in natural fibre	45
3.1	Typical properties of kenaf fibre	54
3.2	Typical properties of glycidyl methacrylate (GMA)	54
3.3	Electron beam accelerator parameters	58
3.4	Grafting parameters design for grafting process	61
3.5	List of instruments and purpose of characterization used	64
4.1	Value of quantative analysis obtained for absorbance peaks of 1725 cm^{-1} in GMA-grafted kenaf fibre samples	80
4.2	Value of quantative analysis obtained for absorbance peaks of 1725 cm^{-1} in GMA-grafted kenaf fibre	88

	samples	
4.3	Value of quantitative analysis obtained for absorbance peaks of 1725 cm^{-1} in GMA-grafted kenaf fibre samples	92
4.4	Peak assignments for several major absorption bands for ungrafted kenaf and grafted kenaf fibre	95
4.5	Thermogravimetric data for each polymer	99
4.6	Elemental analysis of grafted-kenaf functionalized with imidazole	105
4.7	Peak assignments for several major absorption bands for functionalized kenaf fibre	106

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Mechanism showing typical steps involved in polymerization	20
2.2	Schematic illustration of 'grafting-to' approach	21
2.3	Schematic illustration of 'grafting-from' approach	22
2.4	Radiation-induced graft polymerization	28
2.5	Electromagnetic energy spectrum	38
2.6	Cellulose Structure of kenaf fibre from microscopic scale to to cellulose macromolecule on nanoscopic scale	46
2.7	Celullose Structure	46
3.1	Preparation of GMA grafted kenaf fibre by pre-irradiation method	52
3.2	The structure of GMA	53
3.3	The structure of imidazole	53
3.4	Treatment process of kenaf fibre	55
3.5	Workflow for lignin content using TAPPI T222 om-02 Standard Method	56
3.6	Experimental setup for determination of lignin content	56
3.7	Sample passing through electron beam at 50 kGy	57

3.8	Radiation induced grafting of GMA onto kenaf fibre	58
3.9	Custom-build CVD reactor line	59
3.10	Irradiated kenaf fibre was placed in sample holder and grafting was carried out in a 10cm quartz tube inside a CVD reactor	60
3.11	Functionalization of grafted kenaf for aluminum ions adsorbent	62
4.1	Lignin content (%) versus NaClO ₂ concentration (%)	71
4.2	SEM pictures of kenaf fibres treated with different concentration of NaClO ₂	73
4.3	(a) Surface image of raw kenaf fibre, (b) Surface image of treated kenaf fibre	74
4.4	FTIR spectra of raw kenaf fibre and kenaf fibre treated with 0.1wt% - 1.0wt% NaClO ₂	75
4.5	The C=O asymmetric stretching peak for five standard samples of GMA in toluene	78
4.6	A calibration line for the analysis of GMA.	78
4.7	Effect of absorbed dose on percentage of grafting	81
4.8	FTIR spectra of grafted kenaf with different irradiation dose	83
4.9	Expected reaction mechanism of cellulose irradiation	84
4.10	Expected reaction mechanism of graft copolymerization	85
4.11	Results of Elemental Analysis	87
4.12	Effect of deposition time on percentage of grafting	89
4.13	Effect of deposition time on the grafted layer thickness	89
4.14	Effect of pressure on the percentage of grafting	92
4.15	Results of elemental analysis	93
4.16	FTIR Spectra of GMA, ungrafted kenaf and grafted kenaf fibre	94

4.17	Cross section image of treated kenaf fibre	97
4.18	Cross section image of grafted kenaf fibre	97
4.19	TGA curves for raw, treated and grafted kenaf fibre under N ₂ atmosphere at 10°C/min	100
4.20	TG and DT curve of raw kenaf	101
4.21	TG and DT curve of treated kenaf	102
4.22	TG and DT curve of grafted kenaf	102
4.23	Amine group density plot versus temperature at various time	104
4.24	FTIR spectra of GMA-grafted kenaf and imidazole functionalized kenaf fibre	106
4.25	Effect of pH on adsorption capacity of aluminum ions	108
4.26	Effect of contact time on adsorption capacity of aluminum ions	110

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

GMA	-	Glycidyl methacrylate
PGMA	-	Poly(glycidyl methacrylate)
Im	-	Imidazole
NaClO ₂	-	Sodium chlorite
C	-	Carbon
H	-	Hydrogen
N	-	Nitrogen
Al	-	Aluminum
Cu	-	Copper
Co	-	Cobalt
Pb	-	Plumbum
Ni	-	Nickel
Ag	-	Silver
Hg	-	Mercury
WHO	-	The World Health Organization
CVD	-	Chemical vapour deposition
RSM	-	Response surface method
NSDWQ	-	National Standard Drinking Water Quality
PE	-	Polyethylene
PP	-	Polypropylene
PP	-	Polypropylene
PS	-	Polystyrene
PTFE	-	Polytetrafluoroethylene
E-beam	-	Electron beam
RiGP	-	Radiation-induced graft polymerization
kGy	-	kiloGray

MeV	-	Megaelectronvolt
mA	-	miliamps
kW	-	kilowatts
kV	-	kilovolt
cm	-	centimetre
ml/min	-	mililiter per minute
nm	-	nanometer
μm	-	micrometer
mm	-	milimeter
FTIR	-	Fourier Transformed Infra-Red Spectroscopy
SEM	-	Scanning Electron Microscopy
FESEM	-	Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy
UV-Vis	-	Ultra Violet Viscosmeter
Pg	-	Percentage of grafting
PVC	-	Polyvynil chloride
EVA	-	Ethylene vinyl acetate
AIBN	-	Azoisobutyronitrile
H ₂ O ₂	-	Hydrogen peroxide
BPO	-	Benzoyl peroxide
ppm	-	parts per million
W _i	-	Initial weight
W _f	-	Final weight
C _i	-	Initial concentration
C _f	-	Final concentration

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Set condition for NaClO ₂ in 500g solution	123
B	Work flow for grafting via chemical vapour deposition	124
C	Lignin content analysis report from Forestry Research Institute Malaysia (FRIM)	125
D	Elemental analysis results from Malaysian Nuclear Agency	126
E	SEM images for grafted layer thickness measurement for various deposition time	128
F	List of publications	130

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

The demand for clean and potable water has increased over the years in line with the rapid development and growing population. Government faces the challenge of ensuring adequate sustainable supply of drinking water at the right price and quality, where quality water means it is safe for consumption right from the tap. In Malaysia, the water quality must comply with World Health Organization (WHO) International Standards and local standards regulated by Ministry of Health, Malaysia (MOH).

Quality of drinking water is measured in terms of several characteristics such as (a) microbiological, by ensuring the water is safe to drink by detecting any microbiological contaminants such as cholera, cryptosporidium, Giardia or Hepatitis A that pose a threat to human health, (b) chemicals, by monitoring levels of disinfection byproducts, solvents, fluoride and pesticides, (c) radiation, by ensuring the presence of radionuclides contaminants either from natural sources such as radium, radon or human-made nuclear materials at acceptable limits and (d) heavy metals, by ensuring the amount of lead, arsenic or aluminum is in compliance with standard regulations [1]. Heavy metals are very toxic elements and unlike many organic pollutants, heavy metals are not easily degraded in nature.

Conventional coagulation, flocculation and sedimentation practices are commonly used in local pre-treatment for river water purification in Malaysia. Chemical coagulant such as aluminum salts and polymers is added to river water to facilitate bonding among particulates. The coagulant-river water mixture is then slowly bubbled in a process known as flocculation. This water bubbling induces particles to collide and clump together into larger sizes which are more easily settled down. This process is often followed by gravity separation (sedimentation) and filtration. The sediment is filtered out and discharged to downstream as sludge.

A cross-sectional study done by M.S. Qaiyum *et al.* [2] demonstrated that treated water samples collected from two residential areas in Mukim Parit Lubok and Parit Raja, Batu Pahat, Malaysia contain high amounts of aluminum (Al) exceeding the standard limit set by the MOH for drinking water guideline. According to National Standard Drinking Water Quality (NSDWQ), the acceptable limit in standards set to regulate drinking water quality of treated water is 0.2 mg/L [3]. The study reported that treated water samples taken from 100 respondent houses in Mukim Parit Lubok have recorded 0.18 ± 0.022 mg/L aluminum concentration in drinking water, while treated water samples taken from 100 respondent houses in Parit Raja have been recorded at 0.22 ± 0.044 mg/L [2]. It is believed that high amount of Al comes from the coagulant which is used during the river water pre-treatment process. Although Al is a naturally occurring metal presence abundant in the environment, excessive addition of Al salts remain as residuals in the treated water which may result in highly concentrated Al in the system.

High amount of Al have a significant impact on the environment. Aluminium is recognized as a toxic agent to aquatic freshwater organisms whereby it causes acidic precipitation. Acidification of catchments leads to increased Al ion concentrations in soil solution and freshwaters. Large parts of both the aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems will be affected as well. Oral exposure of human to high levels of Al can cause a large number of health problems. It is believed that these exposures originated from drinking water. This was confirmed by a study of the relation between aluminum concentrations in drinking water and Alzheimer's

disease conducted by Rondeau *et al.* [4] where 3,777 subjects aged 65 years and over were followed up for 8 years with an active search for incident cases of Alzheimer's disease. A total of 182 Alzheimer patients including 13 who were exposed to high aluminum levels were identified. These findings support the hypothesis that a high concentration of aluminum in drinking water may be a risk factor for Alzheimer's disease. Later on, Rondeau *et al.* [5] published a report linking aluminum in drinking water and Alzheimer's disease to a major transport protein in the human body system for both iron and Al called transferrin.

Coagulation is also important in several wastewater treatment operations. The addition of coagulant chemicals to primary physical separation process is to reduce the load to downstream biological processes, or in some cases for direct discharge to the river [6]. Aluminum is among elements existing in aluminum-based coagulants and having added to the water, a part of coagulant will be dissolved and remained in high concentration in the water. Controlling unwanted elements such as aluminium or heavy metals at this stage of wastewater treatment is an effective step for controlling contaminations from resources in drinking water. Although there are various methods for removal of metals from water, either currently in use or are at various stages of development around the world, chemical precipitation continues to dominate the industry due to low operating costs and simple operation. Liquid extraction, ion exchange, reverse osmosis, electro dialysis techniques are proven to be very efficient but very expensive to implement in large scale. However, the major drawback of precipitation is the generation of bulky precipitated materials and colloidal particles which have motivated researchers around the world to search for a more effective and economical method for removing metal elements from water. Adsorption is an efficient yet simple method for metal ions removal.

Adsorption using grafted materials that could filter out immediately and easily the contaminants in tandem with other processes could be a solution. Most commercially available filter membranes are synthesized by chemical processing that requires some chemicals to attach suitable functional groups onto the polymer backbone. Polymeric substances with the ability to complex metal ions from solution

are very common. There are several modification techniques for polymer such as polymerization reactions, grafting, followed by functionalization, copolymer formation, chain extension, cross-linking, branching, and controlled degradation [7]. Considerable amount of research has been conducted on the synthesis of polymeric adsorbent over the years, both by the conventional chemical grafting technique [8, 9] and by the radiation-induced grafting technique [10].

Radiation-induced grafting technique has generated much interest and shown to be advantageous means of grafting over conventional chemical grafting [11]. Active sites can be created via radiation whereby a large number of free radicals is produced on the polymeric backbones without the use of chemical initiators. These radicals undergo reaction with a monomer of choice to produce macromolecular chains that are covalently bound to the irradiated specimen. The polymeric backbones can be in different geometries such as films, powders and ~~fiber~~fibres that can possibly be grafted by this technique. By the utilization of different monomers or combinations of monomers to vary the penetration of monomer into the bulk, choosing the right absorbed radiation dose to suit the polymer and varying other parameters that may give effect to grafting can produce bulk materials with "tailor made" properties. The major advantage of radiation grafting is that it allows more flexibility in attaching functional groups to different geometries and different kinds of trunk polymers needed for the removal process. Other techniques such as thermal-chemical systems have been commercially practiced, however, concerns over the sensitivity of reaction catalysts to temperature and trunk polymers can be eliminated using electron beam irradiation [12]. Thus, considering the advantages of radiation grafting, different types of contaminants from water can easily be removed with this "tailor made" grafted matrices that facilitate easy clean-up of water.

Grafting of monomer with functional groups is an interesting way for obtaining new materials of special physical-chemical properties. The use of carboxylic acid such as acrylic acid (Aac) or methacrylic acid (MAA), produced functional group $-\text{COOH}$ and COO^- on the polymer trunk. Carboxyl groups have

two lone pairs of electrons on the oxygen atoms therefore two carboxyl groups are needed to form a chelate that binds with divalent cations such as Cu^{2+} , Pb^{2+} and Ni^{2+} [13]. Moreover, the attachment of covalent bond provides long lifetime of chemical stability by means of introduced chains, compared to physically coated polymer chain [14]. Seko *et al.* [15] demonstrated that polyethylene graft polystyrene sulphonic acid adsorbent can be prepared by mutual radiation-induced grafting of styrene/divinyl benzene mixture onto PE film followed by sulfonating the grafted PE with chlorosulfonic acid in 1,2-dichloromethane. The adsorbent was used for metal ions sorption study and results show that the preference order of $\text{Ni(II)} > \text{Co(II)} > \text{Cu(II)} > \text{Pb(II)} > \text{Ag(II)}$.

Natural polymers are abundant, normally low in cost, biodegradable and cause flocculation. However, natural polymers have short shelf life due to the severe drawback of biodegradation. Synthetic polymers on the other hand, are easy to tailor, have good mechanical properties but are very poor in degradability property. Chemically modified natural polymers with improved properties play an important role in polymer research, not only because they are low in cost but due to their degradability property. Extensive studies have been done using radiation-induced grafting technique to modify chemical and physical properties of polymers. Grafting using high energy radiation, by gamma or electron beam irradiation through the formation of radicals is more environmental friendly than the conventional redox grafting method. This technique has been widely used to graft various monomers onto different type of polymers.

In this work, kenaf fiberfibres is used as natural adsorbent to remove aluminum ion from water. Kenaf or its scientific name, *Hibiscus cannabinus* is a herbaceous plant in the malvaceae family. Kenaf fiberfibre is normally comprises of bast (bark) and core (wood). The bast is about 40% of the plant and the fiberfibres are long and slender, meanwhile the core is about 60% of the plant and the fiberfibre is short.

Kenaf [fiberfibres](#) have many environmental advantages like renewable, biodegradable, good mechanical properties, abundant and relatively low cost. Kenaf is cultivated for its [fiberfibre](#) in mostly in Asia regions, some parts of Africa, and also can be found in southeast Europe. Kenaf [fiberfibre](#) is commonly used to make rope, cloth and the most common use is for paper production. A part from that, kenaf [fiberfibre](#) is extensively used in engineered wood, insulation and packing material [16, 17]. It is also useful as filler for plastic composites and can be used as an additive for drilling muds in oil wells [18, 19]. Kenaf also can be made into various types of environmental absorbent agent to absorbs oil, cleaning up liquid leakages in industrial area and as filtering product [20].

A number of agricultural [fiberfibres](#) that can serve the purpose as natural adsorbent have been investigated by other researchers, including oil palm [fiberfibre](#) [21], coconut [fiberfibre](#) [22] and jute [fiberfibre](#) [23]. However, little is known on the potential of kenaf [fiberfibre](#) as adsorbent. Kenaf [fiberfibre](#) has several advantages in term of ecological and economical such as abundancy, exhibiting low density, non-abrasiveness during processing, excellent mechanical properties, biodegradability and inexpensive pricing.

The main aim of this work is to prepare an adsorbent by graft copolymerization using pre-irradiation method, where the material is first irradiated with electron beam processing system, EPS 3000 before the grafting is carried out in the vapour phase inside a chemical vapour deposition (CVD) reactor followed by chemical functionalization. Glycidyl methacrylate (GMA) was used as monomer and amine group was introduced to the polymer matrix using imidazole. GMA was chosen because of its advantages due to reactive epoxide group present in the structure that can be tailored according to target application by introducing functional group on the trunk polymer [24]. Imidazole was selected for functionalization because of its high selectivity towards aluminum ions during adsorption [25]. Preparation of the kenaf adsorbent and its ability to remove aluminum are discussed in this study.

1.2 Problem Statement

Existing adsorbent such as membranes are very expensive, thus making it unrealistic to apply in large scale water treatment application. Therefore, there is a need for a cost effective alternate material for heavy metals adsorbent, such as aluminum. Synthetic polymers such as PP, PE, PS and PVC have been well-established in the market. However, as they are non-biodegradable in nature, the risk of clogging land fills and increase environmental pollution and emissions during incineration will pose danger to mankind [17]. Therefore, many researchers have agreed that biodegradable natural polymers is the answer to this problem [26]. Natural fiber adsorbent is preferable because of its ability to biodegrade, recyclable and presence abundance in environment, as alternative to expensive synthetic adsorbent.

Kenaf bast fiber has received growing attention among researchers as a renewable resources with several advantages in term of ecological and economical such as abundancy, exhibiting low density, non-abrasiveness during processing, excellent mechanical properties, biodegradability and inexpensive pricing. These crops have been planted as a substitute to tobacco plantation since 2002 around East Coast Peninsular Malaysia. While seen as a natural polymer with many potential applications, kenaf fiber suffers weak interfacial bonding between the polar fiber surface, resulting in poor mechanical properties due to this weak interfacial bonding. This problem needs to be solved in order to improve mechanical properties and cost-efficiency of bulk production. One of the most effective ways to solve this problem is by fiber surface modification. Kim *et al.* [27] have recently grafted acrylamide onto kenaf fiber using gamma-ray radiation to improve compatibility between the fibers and cements. The results exhibit that mechanical properties of gamma-ray grafted kenaf fiber-cement composite is superior to those of the ungrafted kenaf-fiber composite. Meanwhile, the study on effect of glycidyl methacrylate grafted on mechanical properties of polyvinyl chloride (PVC)/ethylene vinyl acetate (EVA) composite were done by Bakar N. *et al.* (2014). The results revealed that the presence of PGMA on the surface of kenaf

fiberfibre has improved the interfacial adhesion between the fiberfibre and PVC/EVA matrix [28].

Although many researches on producing grafted polymer using natural material such as chitosan, cellulose and other materials has been reported, most was done in liquid phase, either in solution or emulsion. None has so far been conducted in producing grafted natural polymer in vapour phase using a CVD reactor. Furthermore, no systematic research has been reported on radiation-induced graft polymerization (RiGP) via chemical vapour deposition. This new process by a combination of radiation and chemical vapour deposition on preparation of adsorbent purposed in this study can simplify the current process of conventional RiGP. The grafting rates is likely to be higher in conventional liquid-phase grafting than in vapour-phase grafting because grafting in vapour-phase is limited to the surface of the trunk polymer, meanwhile liquid-phase grafting allows the monomer to penetrate inside the trunk polymer. However, depends on the application of the end products, some polymers only required modification on the surfaces. Vapour-phase grafting also posses several advantages over liquid-phase grafting such as no solvent is required and the efficiency of monomer usage as there is no parasitic loss of monomer by homopolymerization. Since initiator or catalysts are not required for RiGP, a number of chemicals can be eliminated which complies with the requirements of consumers to reduce chemicals. Some chemicals might be harmful to health, thus reduction of chemicals will contributes to the society. Significant reduction in chemical consumption in the process leads to reduce of cost and due to the simple operation, the possibility to apply this process in large scale for mass production make it attractive for industrial application.

The following are questions pertaining to radiation grafting of glycidyl methacrylate onto kenaf bast fiber:-

- i. Can glycidyl methacrylate be grafted onto kenaf bast fiber using RiGP method?
- ii. Can the grafting process be done in vapour phase using chemical vapour deposition?
- iii. What are the effect of the grafting process parameters on the percentage on grafting?
- iv. Can the radiation modified grafted material be used as an adsorbent to remove aluminum ion from water?

1.4 Research Objectives

The objective of this research is to study a new process by a combination of electron beam irradiation and chemical vapour deposition on the preparation of GMA-grafted kenaf adsorbent for removal of aluminum from water. In detail, this objective can be divided into three as follows;

1. to investigate the effect of pretreatment with NaClO_2 to remove phenolic compound and reduce lignin content of kenaf fiber.

4-3 to determine the adsorption capability of prepared adsorbent to adsorb aluminum ion in water.

1.5 Scope of the study

The kenaf ~~fiber~~ fibre was treated using NaClO_2 . Pre-irradiation grafting method was used to graft GMA onto kenaf ~~fiber~~ fibre. The grafting process was done in vapour phase inside a chemical vapour deposition reactor. The effects of grafting process parameters were studied on absorbed radiation dose given to the substrate, deposition time and reactor pressure. The developed GMA-grafted kenaf then was functionalized using imidazole to introduce amine group to the polymer matrix. The modified adsorbent was tested in a batch adsorption test. Subsequently, determination of the adsorption capacity was carried out to evaluate the effectiveness of kenaf adsorbent for aluminum removal. Characterization of the ungrafted and grafted kenaf were carried out using Fourier Transformed Infra-Red Spectroscopy (FTIR), Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy (FESEM), Thermal Gravimetric Analyzer (TGA) and organic elemental analyser (CHN) to evaluate the presence of GMA grafted layer and functionalized amine on the ~~fiber~~ fibre. The evaluation of adsorption efficiency was carried out using Ultra Violet Visible Spectroscopy (UV-Vis) by performing adsorption test in batch unit using aluminum standard stock solution.

1.6 Significant of study

The following two new areas which have not been discussed by previous researchers will be presented in this study:-

- i. A new process of grafting in vapour phase using chemical vapour deposition.
- ii. The combination of high energy radiation and chemical vapour deposition in the grafting process.

1.7 Structure of Thesis

This thesis is comprised of 5 chapters and each chapter gives informations related to the research work.

- Chapter 1 contains introduction of the project. It provides an introduction of the research background, the problem statements, the research objectives and scope of the study.
- Chapter 2 contains the literature review. It provides a brief explanation of natural polymers, polymer modification using radiation method, chemical vapour deposition technique and related previous studies.
- Chapter 3 contains information about the material specifications, equipments and experimental procedures used in this study.
- Chapter 4 contains results and discussion of this study. It present the results obtained from the study that covers grafting process parameters, material characterizations and adsorption studies.
- Chapter 5 concludes the findings an some recommendations for futureworks.

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization, W., *Guidelines for drinking-water quality: First addendum to volume 1, Recommendations*. 2006, World Health Organization.
2. AI, S., Health risk assessment after exposure to aluminium in drinking water between two different villages. *Journal of Water Resource and Protection*, 2011. 2011.
3. Ministry of Health, M., *National Standard for Drinking Water Quality (NSDWQ)*. 2004: Malaysia.
4. Rondeau, V., D. Commenges, H. Jacqmin-Gadda, and J.-F. Dartigues, Relation between aluminum concentrations in drinking water and Alzheimer's disease: an 8-year follow-up study. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 2000. 152(1): 59-66.
5. Rondeau, V., A. Iron, L. Letenneur, D. Commenges, F. Duchêne, B. Arveiler, and J.F. Dartigues, Analysis of the effect of aluminum in drinking water and transferrin C2 allele on Alzheimer's disease. *European journal of neurology*, 2006. 13(9): 1022-1025.
6. Takassi, M.A. and T. Hamoule, Removal of Aluminum from Water and Industrial Waste Water. *Oriental Journal of Chemistry*, 2014. 30(3): 1365-1369.
7. Kontopoulou, M., Advances in Chemically Modified and Functionalized Polymers. *Macromolecular Reaction Engineering*, 2014. 8(2): 67-68.
8. Khullar, R., V.K. Varshney, S. Naithani, and P.L. Soni, Grafting of acrylonitrile onto cellulosic material derived from bamboo (*Dendrocalamus strictus*). *Express Polymer Letters*, 2008. 2(1): 12-18.

9. Khullar, R., V. Varshney, S. Naithani, and P. Soni, Grafting of acrylonitrile onto cellulosic material derived from bamboo (*Dendrocalamus strictus*). *Express Polymer Letters*, 2008. 2(1): 12-18.
10. Princi, E., S. Vicini, E. Pedemonte, G. Gentile, M. Cocca, and E. Martuscelli, Synthesis and mechanical characterisation of cellulose based textiles grafted with acrylic monomers. *European Polymer Journal*, 2006. 42(1): 51-60.
11. Bhattacharya, A. and B. Misra, Grafting: a versatile means to modify polymers: techniques, factors and applications. *Progress in polymer science*, 2004. 29(8): 767-814.
12. Routray, B.T.a.C.R., Grafting of Cellulose Based Materials: A Review. *Chemical Science Review and Letters*, 2014. 3(10): 74-92.
13. Wan Ngah, W. and M. Hanafiah, Removal of heavy metal ions from wastewater by chemically modified plant wastes as adsorbents: a review. *Bioresource technology*, 2008. 99(10): 3935-3948.
14. Kavakh, P.A., N. Seko, M. Tamada, and O. Güven, Radiation - induced graft polymerization of glycidyl methacrylate onto PE/PP nonwoven fabric and its modification toward enhanced amidoximation. *Journal of applied polymer science*, 2007. 105(3): 1551-1558.
15. Seko, N., M. Tamada, and F. Yoshii, Current status of adsorbent for metal ions with radiation grafting and crosslinking techniques. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, 2005. 236(1): 21-29.
16. Ashori, A., Pulp and paper from kenaf bast **fiberfibres**. *FiberFibres and Polymers*, 2006. 7(1): 26-29.
17. John, M.J. and S. Thomas, Biofibres and biocomposites. *Carbohydrate polymers*, 2008. 71(3): 343-364.
18. Akil, H., M. Omar, A. Mazuki, S. Safiee, Z. Ishak, and A. Abu Bakar, Kenaf **fiberfibre** reinforced composites: A review. *Materials & Design*, 2011. 32(8): 4107-4121.
19. Zampaloni, M., F. Pourboghrat, S. Yankovich, B. Rodgers, J. Moore, L. Drzal, M. Misra, Kenaf natural **fiberfibre** reinforced polypropylene composites: A discussion on manufacturing problems and solutions.

- Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 2007. 38(6): 1569-1580.
20. Webber III, C.L., H.L. Bhardwaj, and V.K. Bledsoe, *Kenaf production: fiber, feed, and seed*. 2002, ASHS Press. Alexandria, VA. p. 327-339.
 21. Isa, M.H., N. Ibrahim, H.A. Aziz, M.N. Adlan, N.H.M. Sabiani, A.A.L. Zinatizadeh, and S.R.M. Kutty, Removal of chromium (VI) from aqueous solution using treated oil palm fibre. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 2008. 152(2): 662-668.
 22. Namasivayam, C. and M. Sureshkumar, Removal of chromium (VI) from water and wastewater using surfactant modified coconut coir pith as a biosorbent. *Bioresource Technology*, 2008. 99(7): 2218-2225.
 23. Shukla, S. and R.S. Pai, Adsorption of Cu (II), Ni (II) and Zn (II) on modified jute fibres. *Bioresource Technology*, 2005. 96(13): 1430-1438.
 24. Desmet, G., E. Takács, L. Wojnárovits, and J. Borsa, Cellulose functionalization via high-energy irradiation-initiated grafting of glycidyl methacrylate and cyclodextrin immobilization. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 2011. 80(12): 1358-1362.
 25. O'Connell, D.W., C. Birkinshaw, and T.F. O'Dwyer, A modified cellulose adsorbent for the removal of nickel (II) from aqueous solutions. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*, 2006. 81(11): 1820-1828.
 26. Scott, G., 'Green' polymers. *Polymer degradation and stability*, 2000. 68(1): 1-7.
 27. Kim, D.-Y., J.-P. Jeun, H.-B. Kim, and P.-H. Kang, Mechanical properties of kenaf fiber-cement composites containing kenaf gamma-ray grafted with acrylamide. *Journal of Wood Science*, 2014: 1-6.
 28. Bakar, N., C.Y. Chee, L.C. Abdullah, C.T. Ratnam, and N. Azowa, Effect of methyl methacrylate grafted kenaf on mechanical properties of polyvinyl chloride/ethylene vinyl acetate composites. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 2014. 63: 45-50.
 29. Adokoh, C., E. Obodai, D.K. Essumang, Y. Serfor-Armah, B. Nyarko, and A. Asabere-Ameyaw, Statistical evaluation of environmental contamination, distribution and source assessment of heavy metals (aluminum, arsenic, cadmium, and mercury) in some lagoons and an estuary along the coastal belt

- of Ghana. *Archives of environmental contamination and toxicology*, 2011. 61(3): 389-400.
30. Kubová, J., P. Matúš, M. Bujdoš, and J. Medved, Influence of acid mining activity on release of aluminium to the environment. *Analytica chimica acta*, 2005. 547(1): 119-125.
 31. Zhao, Y.-F., X.-Z. Shi, B. Huang, D.-S. Yu, H.-J. Wang, W.-X. Sun, . . . K. Blombäck, Spatial distribution of heavy metals in agricultural soils of an industry-based peri-urban area in Wuxi, China. *Pedosphere*, 2007. 17(1): 44-51.
 32. Fu, F. and Q. Wang, Removal of heavy metal ions from wastewaters: a review. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 2011. 92(3): 407-418.
 33. Akbal, F. and S. Camcı, Comparison of electrocoagulation and chemical coagulation for heavy metal removal. *Chemical Engineering & Technology*, 2010. 33(10): 1655-1664.
 34. Snoeyink, V., M. Schock, P. Sarin, L. Wang, A. Chen, and S. Harmon, Aluminium-containing scales in water distribution systems: Prevalence and composition. 2003.
 35. Dastgheib, S.A. and D.A. Rockstraw, A model for the adsorption of single metal ion solutes in aqueous solution onto activated carbon produced from pecan shells. *Carbon*, 2002. 40(11): 1843-1851.
 36. Xiao, B. and K. Thomas, Adsorption of aqueous metal ions on oxygen and nitrogen functionalized nanoporous activated carbons. *Langmuir*, 2005. 21(9): 3892-3902.
 37. Roy, D., M. Semsarilar, J.T. Guthrie, and S. Perrier, Cellulose modification by polymer grafting: a review. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 2009. 38(7): 2046-2064.
 38. Luqman, M., *Ion Exchange Technology I: Theory and Materials*: Springer. 2012.
 39. O'Connell, D., C. Birkinshaw, and T. O'Dwyer, A chelating cellulose adsorbent for the removal of Cu (II) from aqueous solutions. *Journal of applied polymer science*, 2006. 99(6): 2888-2897.
 40. Nada, A.M., M.Y. Alkady, and H.M. Fekry, Synthesis and characterization of grafted cellulose for use in water and metal ions sorption. *Bioresources*, 2008. 3(1): 46-59.

41. Uchida, E., Y. Uyama, and Y. Ikada, A novel method for graft polymerization onto poly (ethylene terephthalate) film surface by UV irradiation without degassing. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 1990. 41(3 - 4): 677-687.
42. Chmielewski, A.G., M. Haji-Saeid, and S. Ahmed, Progress in radiation processing of polymers. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, 2005. 236(1): 44-54.
43. Makuuchi, K. and S. Cheng, *Radiation Processing of Polymer Materials and Its Industrial Applications*. New York: Wiley. 2012.
44. Song Cheng and D.R. Kerluke, *ANTEC 2003 Plastics: Annual Technical Conference, Volume 3: Special Areas*: Society of Plastics Engineers. 2003.
45. Aydinli, B. and T. Tinçer, Radiation grafting of various water-soluble monomers on ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene powder:: Part I. Grafting conditions and grafting yield. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 2001. 60(3): 237-243.
46. Nasef, M.M. and E.-S.A. Hegazy, Preparation and applications of ion exchange membranes by radiation-induced graft copolymerization of polar monomers onto non-polar films. *Progress in Polymer Science*, 2004. 29(6): 499-561.
47. Bhardwaj, Y., M. Tamada, M. Nasef, Y.C. Nho, and O. Guven, *HARMONIZED PROTOCOL for RADIATION-INDUCED GRAFTING*.
48. Al-Malaika, S., *Reactive Modifiers for Polymers*: Springer. 1997.
49. Goel, N., M. Rao, V. Kumar, Y. Bhardwaj, C. Chaudhari, K. Dubey, and S. Sabharwal, Synthesis of antibacterial cotton fabric by radiation-induced grafting of [2-(Methacryloyloxy) ethyl] trimethylammonium chloride (MAETC) onto cotton. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 2009. 78(6): 399-406.
50. Sharif, J., S.F. Mohamad, N.A. Fatimah Othman, N.A. Bakaruddin, H.N. Osman, and O. Güven, Graft copolymerization of glycidyl methacrylate onto delignified kenaf ~~fiber~~fibres through pre-irradiation technique. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 2013. 91: 125-131.

51. Poletto, M., L. Heitor, and A.J. Zattera, Native Cellulose: Structure, Characterization and Thermal Properties. *Materials*, 2014. 7(9): 6105-6119.
52. Abdel - Aal, S., Y. Gad, and A. Dessouki, The use of wood pulp and radiation - modified starch in wastewater treatment. *Journal of applied polymer science*, 2006. 99(5): 2460-2469.
53. Sekine, A., N. Seko, M. Tamada, and Y. Suzuki, Biodegradable metal adsorbent synthesized by graft polymerization onto nonwoven cotton fabric. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 2010. 79(1): 16-21.
54. Sherazi, T.A., S. Ahmad, M.A. Kashmiri, and M.D. Guiver, Radiation-induced grafting of styrene onto ultra-high molecular weight polyethylene powder and subsequent film fabrication for application as polymer electrolyte membranes: I. Influence of grafting conditions. *Journal of Membrane Science*, 2008. 325(2): 964-972.
55. Chapiro, A., XIIth international meeting on radiation processing Avignon 25–30 March 2001 (Polymer irradiation: past–present and future). *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 2002. 63(3–6): 207-209.
56. Francis, S., B.R. Dhanawade, D. Mitra, L. Varshney, and S. Sabharwal, Radiation-induced grafting of diallyldimethylammonium chloride onto acrylic acid grafted polyethylene. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 2009. 78(1): 42-47.
57. Mahmoud Nasef, M., E. Shamsaei, P. Ghassemi, A. Ahmed Aly, and A. Hamid Yahaya, Optimization strategies for radiation induced grafting of 4-vinylpyridine onto poly (ethylene-co-tetrafluoroethene) film using Box–Behnken design. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 2012. 81(4): 437-444.
58. Ueki, Y., N. Chandra Dafader, H. Hoshina, N. Seko, and M. Tamada, Study and Optimization on graft polymerization under normal pressure and air atmospheric conditions, and its application to metal adsorbent. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 2012. 81(7): 889-898.
59. Goel, N.K., V. Kumar, M.S. Rao, Y.K. Bhardwaj, and S. Sabharwal, Functionalization of cotton fabrics by radiation induced grafting of quaternary salt to impart antibacterial property. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 2011. 80(11): 1233-1241.

60. Alf, M.E., A. Asatekin, M.C. Barr, S.H. Baxamusa, H. Chelawat, G. Ozaydin - Ince, N.J. Trujillo, Chemical vapor deposition of conformal, functional, and responsive polymer films. *Advanced Materials*, 2010. 22(18): 1993-2027.
61. Bhattacharya, A. and B.N. Misra, Grafting: a versatile means to modify polymers: Techniques, factors and applications. *Progress in Polymer Science*, 2004. 29(8): 767-814.
62. Aliev, R., Hydrophilicity and surface energy of polyethylene modified by radiation grafting of acrylamide. *Polymer Bulletin*, 2001. 47(1): 99-104.
63. Zhao, G., Y. Chen, and X. Wang, Surface modification of polyethylene film by acrylamide graft and alcoholysis for improvement of antithrombogenicity. *Applied surface science*, 2007. 253(10): 4709-4714.
64. Hegazy, D.E.-S., Selectivity of Acrylic Acid Radiation Grafted Non-Woven Polypropylene Sheets towards Some Heavy Metals Ions. *Open Journal of Polymer Chemistry*, 2012. 2(1): 6-13.
65. Ma, H., K. Morita, H. Hoshina, and N. Seko, Synthesis of amine-type adsorbents with emulsion graft polymerization of 4-hydroxybutyl acrylate glycidylether. *Materials Sciences and Applications*, 2011. 2(07): 776.
66. Izumi, Y., H. Nagaike, S. Tabuse, Y. Yoshida, and S. Tagawa, Radiation grafting of styrene onto polyethylene. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 2001. 62(1): 83-88.
67. Chen, J., Y.-C. Nho, and J.-S. Park, Grafting polymerization of acrylic acid onto preirradiated polypropylene fabric. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 1998. 52(1-6): 201-206.
68. O'Connell, D.W., C. Birkinshaw, and T.F. O'Dwyer, Removal of lead (II) ions from aqueous solutions using a modified cellulose adsorbent. *Adsorption Science & Technology*, 2006. 24(4): 337-348.
69. Dole, M., *The radiation chemistry of macromolecules*: Elsevier. 2012.
70. Drobny, J.G., Modification of Polymers by Ionizing Radiation: A review. *ANTEC 2006 Plastics: Annual Technical Conference Proceedings*, 2006: 2465-2469.
71. Cleland, M.R., L.A. Parks, and S. Cheng, Applications for radiation processing of materials. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics*

- Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, 2003. 208(0): 66-73.
72. Clough, R.L., High-energy radiation and polymers: A review of commercial processes and emerging applications. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, 2001. 185(1-4): 8-33.
73. Meister, J., *Polymer modification: principles, techniques, and applications*: CRC Press. 2000.
74. Kerluke, D.R. and S. Cheng, Radiation Processing of Polymers: The Current Status and Prospects for the Future. *ANTEC 2004 Plastics: Annual Technical Conference, Volume 3: Special Areas*, 2004: p. 3738-3739.
75. Mansoori, G.A., T.F. George, L. Assoufid, and G. Zhang, *Molecular Building Blocks for Nanotechnology: From Diamondoids to Nanoscale Materials and Applications*: Springer. 2007.
76. Rong, H., K.-H. Dahmen, H. Garmestani, M. Yu, and K.I. Jacob, Comparison of chemical vapor deposition and chemical grafting for improving the mechanical properties of carbon [fiber/fibre](#)/epoxy composites with multi-wall carbon nanotubes. *Journal of Materials Science*, 2013. 48(14): 4834-4842.
77. Hwang, T.-S. and J.-W. Park, UV-induced graft polymerization of polypropylene-g-glycidyl methacrylate membrane in the vapor phase. *Macromolecular Research*, 2003. 11(6): 495-500.
78. Abdul Khalil, H., A. Yusra, A. Bhat, and M. Jawaid, Cell wall ultrastructure, anatomy, lignin distribution, and chemical composition of Malaysian cultivated kenaf [fiber/fibre](#). *Industrial Crops and Products*, 2010. 31(1): 113-121.
79. Gardner, D.J., G.S. Oporto, R. Mills, and M.A.S.A. Samir, Adhesion and surface issues in cellulose and nanocellulose. *Journal of Adhesion Science and Technology*, 2008. 22(5-6): 545-567.
80. Isogai, A., T. Saito, and H. Fukuzumi, TEMPO-oxidized cellulose nano[fiber/fibres](#). *Nanoscale*, 2011. 3(1): 71-85.
81. Biswal, D. and R. Singh, Characterisation of carboxymethyl cellulose and polyacrylamide graft copolymer. *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 2004. 57(4): 379-387.

82. Edeerozey, A., H.M. Akil, A. Azhar, and M. Ariffin, Chemical modification of kenaf [fiberfibres](#). *Materials Letters*, 2007. 61(10): 2023-2025.
83. Xue, Y., Y. Du, S. Elder, K. Wang, and J. Zhang, Temperature and loading rate effects on tensile properties of kenaf bast [fiberfibre](#) bundles and composites. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 2009. 40(3): 189-196.
84. Khalil, H.A. and N.L. Suraya, Anhydride modification of cultivated kenaf bast [fiberfibres](#): morphological, spectroscopic and thermal studies. *BioResources*, 2011. 6(2): 1121-1135.
85. Zaini, L.H., M. Jonoobi, P.M. Tahir, and S. Karimi, Isolation and characterization of cellulose whiskers from kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) bast [fiberfibres](#). *Journal of Biomaterials and Nanobiotechnology*, 2013. 4: 37.
86. Mohamed, N.H., M. Tamada, Y. Ueki, and N. Seko, Effect of partial delignification of kenaf bast [fiberfibres](#) for radiation graft copolymerization. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 2013. 127(4): 2891-2895.
87. Hubbell, C.A. and A.J. Ragauskas, Effect of acid-chlorite delignification on cellulose degree of polymerization. *Bioresource technology*, 2010. 101(19): 7410-7415.
88. Ashori, A., M. Babae, M. Jonoobi, and Y. Hamzeh, Solvent-free acetylation of cellulose nano[fiberfibres](#) for improving compatibility and dispersion. *Carbohydrate polymers*, 2014. 102: 369-375.
89. Ueki, Y., N.C. Dafader, H. Hoshina, N. Seko, and M. Tamada, Study and Optimization on graft polymerization under normal pressure and air atmospheric conditions, and its application to metal adsorbent. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 2012. 81(7): 889-898.
90. Shojaei, A., R. Fathi, and N. Sheikh, Adhesion modification of polyethylenes for metallization using radiation-induced grafting of vinyl monomers. *Surface and Coatings Technology*, 2007. 201(16): 7519-7529.
91. Madrid, J.F., G.M. Nuesca, and L.V. Abad, Gamma radiation-induced grafting of glycidyl methacrylate (GMA) onto water hyacinth [fiberfibres](#). *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 2013. 85: 182-188.
92. Tissot, C., S. Grdanovska, A. Barkatt, J. Silverman, and M. Al-Sheikhly, On the mechanisms of the radiation-induced degradation of cellulosic substances. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*, 2013. 84: 185-190.

93. Zhou, Y., M.L. Bruening, D.E. Bergbreiter, R.M. Crooks, and M. Wells, Preparation of hyperbranched polymer films grafted on self-assembled monolayers. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 1996. 118(15): 3773-3774.
94. Sharma, R.K., A study in thermal properties of graft copolymers of cellulose and methacrylates.
95. Zulfiqar, S., M. Zulfiqar, M. Nawaz, I. McNeill, and J. Gorman, Thermal degradation of poly (glycidyl methacrylate). *Polymer Degradation and Stability*, 1990. 30(2): 195-203.
96. Luo, G.e., P. James Strong, H. Wang, W. Ni, and W. Shi, Kinetics of the pyrolytic and hydrothermal decomposition of water hyacinth. *Bioresource technology*, 2011. 102(13): 6990-6994.
97. Choi, S.-H., K.-P. Lee, H.-D. Kang, and H.G. Park, Radiolytic immobilization of lipase on poly (glycidyl methacrylate)-grafted polyethylene microbeads. *Macromolecular Research*, 2004. 12(6): 586-592.
98. Kim, H.-S., H.-S. Yang, H.-J. Kim, and H.-J. Park, Thermogravimetric analysis of rice husk flour filled thermoplastic polymer composites. *Journal of thermal analysis and calorimetry*, 2004. 76(2): 395-404.
99. Kargarzadeh, H., I. Ahmad, I. Abdullah, A. Dufresne, S.Y. Zainudin, and R.M. Sheltami, Effects of hydrolysis conditions on the morphology, crystallinity, and thermal stability of cellulose nanocrystals extracted from kenaf bast ~~fiber~~fibres. *Cellulose*, 2012. 19(3): 855-866.
100. Le Troedec, M., D. Sedan, C. Peyratout, J.P. Bonnet, A. Smith, R. Guinebretiere, P. Krausz, Influence of various chemical treatments on the composition and structure of hemp fibres. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing*, 2008. 39(3): 514-522.
101. Ndazi, B.S., C.W. Nyahumwa, and J. Tesha, Chemical and thermal stability of rice husks against alkali treatment. *BioResources*, 2008. 3(4): 1267-1277.
102. Al-Muhtaseb, S.A., M.H. El-Naas, and S. Abdallah, Removal of aluminum from aqueous solutions by adsorption on date-pit and BDH activated carbons. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 2008. 158(2): 300-307.
103. Kehinde, O.O., T.A. Oluwatoyin, and O.O. Aderonke, Comparative analysis of the efficiencies of two low cost adsorbents in the removal of Cr (VI) and