

PERFORMANCE AND MODELLING OF TRANSVERSE RUMBLE STRIPS ON  
NOISE AND VIBRATION STIMULI

MOHD HANIFI BIN OTMAN

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

PERFORMANCE AND MODELLING OF TRANSVERSE RUMBLE STRIPS ON  
NOISE AND VIBRATION STIMULI

MOHD HANIFI BIN OTHMAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy (Civil Engineering)

Faculty of Civil Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

APRIL 2016

Specially dedicated to *my grandfather*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Firstly, I would especially like to thank my supervisor, Dr. Zaiton Haron who give me an opportunity to do the research in which I am interested in, and make this thesis possible in the Faculty of Civil Engineering at Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Johor Bahru. I sincerely cannot help expressing how I should credit this thesis to her support, guidance and help whenever I dropped in her office and her encouragement and patience throughout the duration of my writing up.

I am also very grateful to my co-supervisor, Prof. Dr. Mohd Rosli Hainin for his warm, friendly and selfless advice and help. In addition, many thanks also to my other co-supervisors, Dr. Khairulzan Yahya and Dr. Mohd Badruddin Mohd Yusof for their guidance and support.

Very special thanks are also due to my father, mother, family and friends for their great support and encouragement in the whole remarkable days. Finally yet importantly, special thanks to my beloved wife, Zanariah Jahya for her help, advice, patience, encouragement and motivation throughout this journey. Many thanks to everybody who ever gave me help and support.

## ABSTRACT

Transverse rumble strips (TRS) are commonly used in reducing vehicle speed and increasing drivers' alertness on roadway through optical, sound and vibration effects. However, when inappropriately designed, TRS sound and vibration may become too excessive, thus compromise road users' comfort and annoy local residents who live adjacent to the roadway. This study aims to contribute to the knowledge that will be used to improve the optimisation of TRS cross-section design for road user's comfort and sustainable living of the neighbourhood. The objectives of this study were to: classify TRS profiles and assess the noise annoyance response towards TRS noise; measure and model TRS roadside noise level and analyse the possible tyre-TRS interaction mechanisms that involved in the TRS roadside noise generation; evaluate and estimate vehicle in-cabin TRS sound and vibration; develop the optimum TRS cross section design for road users' comfort. Site investigation and social survey study had been carried out to classify the type of TRS profile used on the roadway and to assess the noise annoyance response towards TRS noise experienced by neighbourhood. Traffic noise assessment and controlled pass-by method were carried out to evaluate and estimate roadside noise level due to TRS and to analyse the possible tyre-TRS vibration mechanisms that were involved in the generation of TRS noise. In-cabin sound and vibration measurements were conducted to evaluate in-cabin vibration and sound due to TRS. Weber's Law was used to determine appropriate vibration to road user comfort, hence optimum TRS cross section design was proposed. The results indicated that three main types of TRS profile existed on the road namely; raised rumbler, middle overlap and multi-layer overlap. Generally, respondents were annoyed with TRS noise. TRS noise depended on the factor of traffic volume, speed, TRS profile and thickness. TRS vibration depended on the factor of vehicle speed and TRS thickness. Raised rumbler's profile generated the highest noise as a result from air pumping tyre-pavement mechanism. TRS optimum cross section design was proposed to enhance TRS performance in providing appropriate vibration to road user.

## ABSTRAK

Jalur jedar (TRS) sering digunakan untuk mengurangkan kelajuan kenderaan dan meningkatkan kewaspadaan pemandu di jalan raya melalui kesan pandangan, bunyi dan gegaran. Walau bagaimanapun, apabila tidak direka bentuk sebaiknya, bunyi dan gegaran TRS mungkin akan menjadi terlalu besar, menyebabkan keselesaan pengguna jalan raya dikompromi dan mengganggu penduduk yang tinggal berdekatnya. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menyumbang kepada pengetahuan yang akan digunakan untuk memperbaiki reka bentuk keratan rentas TRS yang akan meningkatkan keselesaan pengguna jalan raya dan kelestarian kehidupan di kawasan tempat tinggal. Objektif tesis ini adalah: mengelaskan profil TRS yang digunakan di jalan raya dan menilai respon gangguan bunyi terhadap bunyi TRS yang dialami oleh kejiranan; mengukur dan memodelkan tahap bunyi tepi jalan yang dihasilkan oleh TRS dan menganalisa mekanisme getaran tayar-jalan raya yang terlibat dalam penghasilan bunyi TRS; menilai dan menganggarkan bunyi dan gegaran dalam-kabin kenderaan yang terhasil daripada TRS; Menilai reka bentuk keratan rentas optimum TRS untuk tujuan keselesaan pengguna jalan raya. Kerja penyiasatan tapak dan soal selidik telah dijalankan untuk mengelaskan jenis profil TRS yang digunakan di jalan raya dan menilai respon gangguan bunyi TRS yang dialami oleh kejiranan. Penilaian bunyi trafik dan ‘controlled pass-by method’ dijalankan untuk menilai dan menganggarkan tahap bunyi tepi jalan yang dihasilkan oleh TRS dan menganalisa mekanisme getaran tayar-jalan raya yang mungkin terlibat dalam penghasilan bunyi TRS. Pengukuran bunyi dan gegaran dalam-kabin kenderaan juga dijalankan untuk menilai dan menganggarkan bunyi dalam-kabin dan gegaran bagi kenderaan yang terhasil daripada TRS. Hukum Weber digunakan untuk menentukan gegaran yang sesuai untuk keselesaan pengguna jalan raya dan reka bentuk keratan rentas optimum TRS dicadangkan. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa terdapat tiga jenis profil TRS wujud di jalan raya iaitu: ‘raised rumbler’, ‘middle overlapped’ dan ‘multi-layer overlapped’. Secara umumnya responden adalah terganggu dengan bunyi bising daripada TRS. Bunyi TRS bergantung kepada faktor isipadu trafik, kelajuan, ketebalan dan profil TRS. Gegaran TRS pula bergantung kepada kelajuan kenderaan dan Profil ‘raised rumbler’ menghasilkan bunyi yang terhasil daripada mekanisme tayar-jalan raya pengepaman udara. Reka bentuk keratan rentas optimum dicadangkan untuk meningkatkan keupayaan TRS dalam memberikan gegaran sesuai kepada pengguna jalan raya.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>TOPIC</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>TITLE</b>	i
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiii
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xvi
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xx
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	xxi
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xxiii
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Aims and Objectives of Studies	6
	1.4 Scopes of Study	6
	1.5 Contribution of Study	7
	1.6 Structure of Thesis	8
	1.7 Chapter Summary	9

<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1	Introduction	10
2.2	Background of TRS Application	11
2.3	Effectiveness of TRS as Safety Measure	14
2.4	Application of TRS in Malaysia and Elsewhere	15
2.5	Physical Properties of TRS	18
	2.5.1 Thermoplastic Material	19
	2.5.2 Colour	21
2.6	Traffic Noise	22
	2.6.1 Source of Traffic Noise	23
	2.6.2 Effects of Traffic Noise to Human	25
	2.6.3 Attitudes, Demographic Characteristic and Non-Acoustic Factors of Noise Annoyance	28
2.7	Previous Studies on TRS Roadside Noise	30
2.8	TRS Sound and Vibration stimuli	31
	2.8.1 TRS Vibration Stimuli	31
	2.8.2 Whole Body Vibration	32
	2.8.3 Root Mean Square (RMS) Frequency-Weighted Acceleration	35
	2.8.4 Human Perception in TRS Vibration	36
	2.8.5 Weber's Law	37
	2.8.6 Effects of TRS vibration	38
2.9	TRS Sound Stimuli	39
	2.9.1 Tyre-pavement Noise Generation Mechanism	40
	2.9.1.1 Radial and Tangential Vibrations of the Tyre-Tread	40
	2.9.1.2 Carcass and Side Wall Vibrations	41
	2.9.1.3 Air Pumping Mechanism	42
	2.9.2 Human Perception in TRS Sound Stimuli	43
2.10	Previous Studies on Factors Influence TRS Noise and Vibration Stimuli	44
2.11	Research Gap	50
2.12	Chapter Summary	51



<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>52</b>
3.1	Introduction	52
3.2	Classification of TRS Profiles and Assessing the Noise Annoyance Response towards TRS Noise	54
3.2.1	Classification of TRS Profile Used on Roadway	54
3.2.2	Assessing Community Annoyance Response Towards TRS Noise	56
3.2.2.1	Structure of questionnaire	57
3.3	TRS Roadside Noise Level and Tyre-TRS interaction Mechanisms	61
3.3.1	Noise Level Arising When Hit by Actual Traffic	61
3.3.1.1	Instrument and Samples	64
3.3.1.3	Measuring Procedure	65
3.3.2	TRS Noise level Arising by Single Vehicle.	67
3.3.2.1	Experimental Sites	69
3.3.2.2	Test Procedure	70
3.3.3	TRS-Tyre Interaction Mechanisms	73
3.4	Evaluating in-cabin sound and vibration	73
3.5	Data Analysis	78
3.6	Develop the Optimum TRS Cross-Section Design for Passengers' Comfort	80
3.7	Chapter Summary	80
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS</b>	<b>81</b>
4.1	Introduction	81
4.2	Classification of TRS Profiles and Assessing the Noise Annoyance Response towards TRS Noise	81
4.2.1	Classification of TRS Profile Used on Roadway	82
4.2.2	Noise Annoyance Response towards TRS Noise	84
4.2.2.1	Basic Socio-Demographic of Samples	89
4.2.2.2	Annoyance Score Distribution	90
4.2.2.3	Potential Causative Factor of Annoyance Score	91

4.2.2.4	Disturbance in Daily Life Caused by TRS Noise	93
4.2.2.5	Health Issues Caused by TRS Noise	94
4.2.2.6	Additional Comments	94
4.3	TRS Roadside Noise Level and Tyre-TRS Interaction Mechanisms	95
4.3.1	Roadside Noise Level Produced by TRS	95
4.3.1.1	Equivalent Sound Pressure Level	96
4.3.1.2	Effects of Traffic Volume	98
4.3.1.3	Effects of Traffic Speed	100
4.3.1.4	Correlation Test	101
4.3.1.5	Regression Analysis of TRS Roadside Noise from Actual Traffic	102
4.3.1.6	Regression Model	105
4.3.2	Assessing and Estimating Roadside Noise Level due to TRS by Single Vehicle	107
4.3.2.1	Effects of Vehicle's Speed	107
4.3.2.2	Effects of TRS's Thickness	112
4.3.2.3	Effects of TRS's Width	113
4.3.2.4	Effects of TRS's Spacing	114
4.3.2.5	Effects of TRS's Profile	115
4.3.2.6	Correlation Statistics	117
4.3.2.7	Estimating the noise level due to TRS	118
4.3.3	Tyre-TRS interaction mechanisms	119
4.7	In-cabin Sound and Vibration due to TRS	121
4.7.1	Effects of Vehicle's Speed	122
4.7.2	Effects of TRS Thickness	127
4.7.3	Effects of TRS's Width	129
4.7.4	Effects of TRS's Spacing	131
4.7.5	Effects of TRS's Profile	134
4.7.6	Correlation Test	136
4.7.7	Model for $RMS_w$	137
4.7.8	Model for $RMS_{\Delta}$	138
4.7.9	Model for $LA_{eqIVw}$	139
4.7.10	Model for $LA_{eqIV\Delta}$	141

4.8	The Optimum TRS Cross-Section Design for Drivers and Passengers' Comfort	142
4.9	Chapter Summary	145
<b>5</b>	<b>DISCUSSION</b>	146
5.1	Introduction	146
5.2	Classification of TRS Profiles and Assessing the Noise Annoyance Response towards TRS	147
5.2.1	Classification of the Type of TRS Profile Used on Roadway	147
5.2.2	Noise Annoyance Response towards TRS Noise	148
5.2.2.1	Main Findings	149
5.2.3	Study Limitations	151
5.3	TRS Roadside Noise Level and Tyre-TRS Interaction Mechanisms	152
5.3.1	TRS Roadside Noise by Actual Traffic	152
5.3.1.1	Main Findings	152
5.3.2	TRS Roadside Noise by Single Vehicle	153
5.3.2.1	Main Findings	154
5.3.3	Tyre-TRS Vibration Mechanisms	154
5.3.3.1	Main Findings	155
5.3.4	Study Limitations	157
5.4	In-Cabin Vibration and Sound Due to TRS	159
5.4.1	Main Findings	159
5.4.2	Study Limitations	161
5.5	Determining the Optimum TRS Cross-Section Design for Drivers and Passenger's Comfort	162
5.6	Chapter Summary	163

<b>6</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	164
6.1	Introduction	164
6.2	Classification of TRS Profiles and Assessing the Noise Annoyance Response towards TRS Noise	164
6.3	TRS Roadside Noise Level and Tyre-TRS interaction Mechanisms	165
6.4	In-Cabin Vibration and Sound Due to TRS	165
6.5	The Optimum TRS Cross-Section Design for Drivers and Passenger's Comfort	166
6.6	Recommendation for Future Work	167
6.7	Chapter Summary	168
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	169
	Appendices A-E	178 - 197

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Typical Specification of TRS in Malaysia (MOW, 2002)	16
2.2	Frequency weightings and scaling factors for whole body vibration assessments (South, 2004)	54
2.3	The suggested human response to RMS acceleration (ISO, 1997b)	57
2.4	Mechanisms of tyre vibration and its dominant frequency range	40
2.5	Approximate human perception of changes in sound level (Outcalt, 2001)	44
3.1	Overview of the socio-acoustics surveys study's sections.	58
3.2	List of experimental sites and the TRSs sample characteristics	69
4.1	Examples TRS profiles available in Malaysia	83
4.2	Basic socio-demographic of respondents	90
4.3	Potential Causative factor of annoyance score	92
4.4	Effect of TRS roadside noise to respondents' daily life	93
4.5	Correlation test between annoyance score and health issues	94
4.6	Additional comments by respondents	95
4.7	Properties and type of TRS	96
4.8	Equivalent sound pressure level	97
4.9	Equivalent impulse pressure level (L <sub>Ieq</sub> )	98
4.10	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs. traffic volume	99
4.11	L <sub>A</sub> I <sub>eq</sub> vs. traffic volume	100

4.12	L <sub>AeqACTw</sub> - L <sub>AeqACTwo</sub>	101
4.13	Correlation test of L <sub>AeqACT, w</sub> with predictor variables	102
4.14	Residual statistics of L <sub>AeqACTw</sub> model	103
4.15	Co linearity Statistics of L <sub>AeqACTw</sub> model	104
4.16	Parameter and variances of L <sub>AeqACTw</sub> model	105
4.17	ANOVA of L <sub>AeqACTw</sub> model	106
4.18	Model summary of L <sub>AeqACTw</sub> model	106
4.19	Coefficient of L <sub>AeqACTw</sub> model	106
4.20	Excluded variable	106
4.21	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs speed	109
4.22	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs. speed ( $h < 5\text{mm}$ )	110
4.23	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs. speed ( $h \geq 5\text{mm}$ )	111
4.24	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs. thickness	113
4.25	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs. width	114
4.26	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs. spacing	115
4.27	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs. width	116
4.28	Correlation L <sub>AeqCPB</sub> single vehicle test	117
4.29	Coefficient of L <sub>AeqCPB, w</sub> model	118
4.30	Excluded variable	118
4.31	Dimensions and profiles of TRS samples	122
4.32	RMS vs speed	123
4.33	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs. speed	124
4.34	RMS vs. speed, $h \geq 4\text{mm}$	125
4.35	RMS 14.4 vs. speed, $h < 4\text{mm}$	126
4.36	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs. Speed, $h \geq 4\text{mm}$	127
4.37	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs. Speed, $h < 4\text{mm}$	127
4.38	RMS vs. thickness	128
4.39	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs. thickness	129
4.40	RMS vs. width	130
4.41	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs, width	131
4.42	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs spacing	132
4.43	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs. spacing	133
4.44	RMS vs. profile	134

4.45	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs. profile	135
4.46	Correlation test of internal vibration and sound	136
4.47	Coefficient of RMS <sub>w</sub> model	137
4.48	Excluded variable	137
4.49	Coefficient of RMS <sub>Δ</sub> model	139
4.50	Excluded variable	139
4.51	Coefficient of L <sub>AeqIVw</sub> model	140
4.52	Excluded variable	140
4.53	Coefficient of L <sub>AeqIVΔ</sub> model	142
4.54	Excluded variable	142
4.55	Recommended TRS Thickness to lower vehicle speed to anticipated speed	143
4.56	RMS <sub>w0</sub> with added 13% of its value	144

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Typical TRS in Malaysia	3
1.2	Shoulder rumble strips (Morena, 2002)	3
1.3	Centerline rumble strips (Torbic, <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	3
2.1	TRS in several states in the USA – not rely on the optical effect (Gorrill, 2007)	11
2.2	Transverse pavement markings in the USA. (Meyer, 2001)	12
2.3	The characteristic of TRS in Malaysia combining the effect of sound, vibration and optical	13
2.4	TRS that combine optical effects, vibration and auditory a) TRS in Malaysia b) TRS in China (Liu, <i>et al.</i> , 2011) c) TRS at Lyngby, Denmark (Bendtsen, 2000)	13
2.5	Design of typical TRS in Malaysia (Othman <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	16
2.6	TRS in Texas, USA- it has a gap in the middle to allow motorcycle passing through it without hitting the TRS (Carlson and Miles, 2003) a) The specification of TRS with middle 'gap' (Thompson, 2004) b) TRS in Texas, USA (Thompson, 2004)	18
2.7	Good glass-bead dispersion of beads in thermoplastic (Lopez, 2004)	21
2.8	Retro reflectivity using glass beads (Lopez, 2004)	21
2.9	Noise source ranking for a vehicle during the pass-by noise test. (Braun <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	23
2.10	Relationship between traffic volume and noise level (Onuu, 2000)	24



2.11	How noise affects human (Issarayangyun, 2005)	26
2.12	Axes in orientation of human body (ISO, 1997b)	33
2.13	The main frequency weightings used for whole body measurement (South, 2004)	34
2.14	The RMS value from vibration vs. time graph (B&K, 2008)	35
2.15	The Radial and tangential vibrations of the tyre tread (Sandberg and Ejsmont, 2005)	41
2.16	Carcass and side wall vibration	42
2.17	Illustration of air pumping mechanism	42
2.18	Details and dimension of rumbler, orange and asphalt TRS (Meyer, 2006)	46
2.19	Accelerometer (Left) and Microphone (Right) (Meyer, 2006)	47
2.20	Layout of TRS in Lank and Steinauer (2011) study	48
2.21	Flowchart of rumble strips study research gap	50
3.1	Flowchart of the research methodology	53
3.2	Thickness measurement by using Barton comb profile meter	55
3.3	Numerical scale of annoyance score	57
3.4	Leq chart and equation (BK, 2011)	62
3.5	A-frequency weighting (BK, 2011)	62
3.6	Comparison of LAI, LAF and LAS (BK, 2011)	63
3.7	Pulsar Type 1 Sound level meter	64
3.8	Pulsar Acoustic Toolbox software interface	65
3.9	Traffic radar recorder	65
3.10	Measurement layout	66
3.11	Test vehicle - Perodua Myvi	68
3.12	Two examples of experimental site a) Parit Kudus b) Impian Emas	70
3.13	CPB test- Ideal test site (ISO, 2003a)	71
3.14	Location of the sound level meter	72
3.15	PULSAR Acoustic Toolbox interface	72
3.16	The instruments layout	76
3.17	Accelerometer on the seat	76

3.18	The position of microphone	76
3.19	Microphone position with respect to a seat (ISO, 1980)	77
3.20	The display of PULSAR LABSHOP software	77
4.1	RR - 6 raised rumbler	84
4.2	RR - 14 raised rumbler with 'worn off' condition	84
4.3	Surrounding of Parit Kudus 1	85
4.4	Surrounding of Parit Kudus 2	85
4.5	Map of Parit Kudus (courtesy to Google map)	85
4.6	TRS profile of raised rumbler in Parit Kudus	86
4.7	Surrounding of Seri Kenangan 1	87
4.8	Surrounding of Seri Kenangan 2	87
4.9	Seri Kenangan area (courtesy of Google Map)	87
4.10	TRS profile of raised rumbler in Seri Kenangan	88
4.11	Surrounding of Bukit Indah 1	88
4.12	Surrounding of Bukit Indah 2	88
4.13	Map of Bukit Indah (Google Map)	89
4.14	TRS profile of multi-layer overlapped in Bukit Indah	89
4.15	Annoyance score distribution	91
4.16	LAeq vs. traffic volume	99
4.17	LAeq vs. traffic volume (log transformed)	99
4.18	LA <sub>I</sub> eq vs. traffic volume	100
4.19	LAeq vs. traffic speed	101
4.20	Histogram of frequency vs. regression standardized residual of LAeq <sub>ACTW</sub> model	104
4.21	Normal P-P Plot of regression standardized to test random normally distributed errors of LAeq <sub>ACTW</sub> model	104
4.22	Regression standardized residuals vs. regression standardized predicted value to test homoscedasticity of LAeq <sub>ACTW</sub> model	105
4.23	LAeq vs. speed	108
4.24	LAeq vs. speed ( $h < 5\text{mm}$ )	110
4.25	LAeq vs. speed ( $h \geq 5\text{mm}$ )	111
4.26	LAeq vs. thickness	112
4.27	LAeq vs. width	114

4.28	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs. spacing	115
4.29	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs. width	116
4.30	Spectral frequency analysis of each vehicle's speed on roads with and without RR	120
4.31	Spectral frequency analysis of each vehicle's speed on roads with and without MLO	120
4.32	RMS vs speed	123
4.33	Sound level vs. speed	124
4.34	RMS vs. Speed, $h \geq 4\text{mm}$	125
4.35	RMS vs. speed, $h < 4\text{mm}$	125
4.36	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs. Speed, $h \geq 4\text{mm}$	126
4.37	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs. Speed, $h < 4\text{mm}$	126
4.38	RMS vs. thickness	128
4.39	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs. thickness	129
4.40	RMS vs. width	130
4.41	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs, width	131
4.42	RMS vs spacing	132
4.43	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs. spacing	133
4.44	RMS vs. profile	134
4.45	L <sub>Aeq</sub> vs. profile	135
5.1	'Air pumping' mechanism when tyre passing over RR profile	155
5.2	Structural resonance – tyre belt/carcass vibration	156
5.3	Sidewall vibration	157
5.4	TRS layout in most case study locations	158

**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

v	-	Vehicle speed
V	-	Traffic volume
h	-	TRS thickness
w	-	TRS width
sp	-	TRS spacing
ROR		Run- of-road

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

### Exterior Noise from traffic

- $LA_{eqACTw}$  - Absolute roadside sound level from actual traffic passing through ‘with TRS’ track
- $LA_{eqACTwo}$  - Absolute roadside sound level from actual traffic passing through baseline or ‘without TRS’ track
- $LA_{eqACT\Delta}$  - Relative roadside sound level (‘with TRS’ – ‘without TRS’) from a single vehicle test car

### Exterior Impulse Noise from traffic

- $LA_{IeqACTw}$  - Absolute roadside impulse sound level from actual traffic passing through ‘with TRS’ track
- $LA_{IeqACTwo}$  - Absolute roadside impulse sound level from actual traffic passing through baseline or ‘without TRS’ track.
- $LA_{IeqACT\Delta}$  - Relative roadside impulse sound level (‘with TRS’ – ‘without TRS’) from single vehicle test car

### Exterior Noise from single vehicle test

- $L_{AeqCPBw}$  - Absolute roadside sound level from single vehicle test car passing through ‘with TRS’ track
- $L_{AeqCPBwo}$  - Absolute roadside sound level from single vehicle test car passing through baseline or ‘without TRS’ track
- $L_{AeqCPB\Delta}$  - Relative roadside sound level (‘with TRS’ – ‘without TRS’) from a single vehicle test car

### In-cabin vibration

- $RMS_w$  - Absolute in-cabin vibration level in test car when passing through ‘with TRS’ track
- $RMS_{wo}$  - Absolute in-cabin vibration level in test car when passing through ‘without TRS’ track
- $RMS_{\Delta}$  - Relative in-cabin vibration (‘with TRS’ – ‘without TRS’) in test car.

### In-cabin noise

- $L_{AeqIVw}$  - Absolute in-cabin sound level in test car when passing through ‘with TRS’ track.
- $L_{AeqIVwo}$  - Absolute in-cabin equivalent sound level in test car when passing through baseline or ‘without TRS’ track
- $L_{AeqIV\Delta}$  - Relative in-cabin sound level (‘with TRS’ – ‘without TRS’) in test car.

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Complaint letter 1	178
B	Complaint letter 2	179
C	Complaint letter 3	180
D	Questionnaire	181
E	Statistical test for regression model	188

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Background**

The World Health Organization (WHO) has claimed that accidents caused by motor vehicle accidents are the second most frequent death for the entire world involving people aged 5-29 years old. WHO summarised that around 1.2 million people are killed each year on roads and 50 million are injured (Shinar, 2007). In Malaysia, a 10-year road traffic statistics had shown that the total number of accidents had risen from 215,632 cases in 1997 to 363,314 cases in 2007. This is equivalent to 3.73 deaths for every 10 000 registered vehicles in the same year (Kee et al., 2010). Based on the evidence, speeding and carelessness are two main causes of accidents, contributing 32.8 and 28.2 percent respectively to the total number of accidents (Ng and Selva, 2003).

Martindale and Ulrich (2010) state that the easiest and cheapest measure in order to control road accidents caused by excessive speed and carelessness is by using road signs and markings. However, when situations, where drivers confront with too many signs take place, drivers tend to ignore the excessive information thus limit the warning effect. This situation is named as 'clutter effect' (Edquist, 2008). It has been suggested that one of the reasons of their limited effectiveness may be due to their



overuse, particularly in situations of having less risk (Charlton, 2007; Jørgensen and Wentzel-Larsen, 1999). For example, Jørgensen and Wentzel-Larsen (1999) state that the effect of curve warning signs on drivers' perceptions of risk is quite low, with only 6% overall safety impacts.

Therefore, an alternative measure consisting of road layout and its associated features, which is able to subconsciously inform drivers regarding upcoming road condition is introduced. One of them is transverse rumble strips (TRS). TRS is intended to give audible, visual and vibration cue effects when an operational decision point is approaching (Thompson et al., 2006). Moreover, TRS is widely used in Malaysia and all the road authorities in this country are believed to be using it. TRS is classified as passive speed control measure, which serves to alter drivers' perceptions of the correct speed for a particular road so that drivers may assume that a lower speed is more appropriate (Rothenberg et al., 2004). Based on road safety factors, the TRS has the potential for reducing crashes, alerting drivers, improving signs effectiveness, and increasing the rate of deceleration of vehicles along side having to reduce right-angle accidents, which are commonly associated with running through a stop sign or signal, by alerting drivers to an upcoming condition (Carlson and Miles, 2003; Freeman *et al.*, 2008). As compared to other speed control devices, TRS has generally been relatively inexpensive and easy to install and maintain (Corkle et al., 2001). In addition, the impacts on driving comfort are considered minor as compared to speed humps and speed bumps (Liu et al., 2011).

Generally, there are three types of rumble strips that are based on the location of its installation i.e. 1) TRS 2) centreline rumble strips and (CRS) and 3) shoulder rumble strips (SRS) (Torbic et al., 2009). For instance, TRS are placed across the travel lanes of the roadway and perpendicular to the flow of vehicles as shown in Figure 1.1. Other than that, a TRS is placed in the lane and generally traverse more than two-thirds of the travel path perpendicular to the direction of travel (Carlson and Miles, 2003). This is why it is called an in-lane rumble strip in the United States. In Malaysia, TRS is called by various names such as transverse bar, yellow bar and speed breaker. A SRS is usually placed on roadway shoulders, outside of the travel lane as can be seen in Figure 1.2. The purpose of having shoulder rumbles strips is to mitigate single

vehicle run-off-road type crashes. A CRS is installed on or near the centreline of the roadway as in Figure 1.3, as the purpose is to mitigate head-on crashes and opposite-direction sideswipe crashes (Torbic, *et al.*, 2009).



**Figure 1.1** Typical TRS in Malaysia



**Figure 1.2** Shoulder rumble strips (Morena, 2002)



**Figure 1.3** Centerline rumble strips (Torbic, *et al.*, 2009)

## 1.2 Problem Statement

Generally, TRS around the world are diverse in terms of configurations, dimensions, colours, and profiles. In Malaysia whereas the national guidelines are too basic, resulting in district application. Moreover, TRS design heavily relies on the

judgment of district engineers and each TRS differs in terms of thickness, spacing, width, and profiles. All of these characteristics may play an important role in determining the level of TRS sound and vibration stimuli. Besides that, the agents of stimuli, which are visual, sound, and vibration are methodologically different in their functions (Bahar, *et al.*, 2005). The 'eye-catching' colour and sound are only able to increase drivers' alertness but the vibration can also force drivers to slow down (Bahar, *et al.*, 2005). In some areas where vehicles need to slow down, TRS design has been suggested to have the potential to maximise the vibration level so it can force drivers to slow down to the levels of comfortable driving. In other cases, a speed decrease may not be much necessary such as on high-speed highway, but road designer intends to increase driver's alertness, TRS may be used with a design of minimum vibration but is relatively higher in sound.

The lack of proper guidelines has made local engineers come up with their own design of TRS that intends to suit the TRS application in-situ. The design mostly comes from supplier proposal and it occasionally comes up with a poorly design of TRS. The main problem in the development of effective designs, apart from the consideration of the psychological parameters such as perception, is the complex physical processes when the tyres-road interaction is transferred to the driver (Lank and Steinauer, 2011). With poorly designed TRS, it may generate excessive vibration. Moreover, excessive vibration caused by TRS had increased the number of complaints in Dengkil, Selangor (Appendix A). Just as similar in road roughness cases, TRS dimension causes vehicle's tyres to move in a vertical variation on the pavement from an ideal plane. Therefore, it could be a bad choice of using TRS dimension as it can bring negative impact on "ride quality". Excessive vibration also makes road users become fatigue easier, as it may also increase the dynamic loads applied to the pavement by the vehicle wheels, thus accelerate fatigue damage of the road structure (Cantisani and Loprencipe, 2010). Other than that, having short-term exposure to vibration causes small physiological effects such as an increase in heart rate and muscle tension while long-term exposure to vibration causes effects such as disk spine pain, digestive system, peripheral veins and the female reproductive organ problems (Katu *et al.*, 2003). In exposure to TRS vibration, most drivers may not be subjected

to long-term exposure but some drivers who are frequent users of the particular TRS road may be exposed to considerable health risk.

The inappropriate design creates another problem, which is the noise annoyance to adjacent residents. The sound produced by the TRS, which aims to alert the driver, may also be annoying to the local residences. Complaints were made by the local residences to the authority in Batu 30, Jalan Johor Bahru-Pontian, Pengkalan Raja, Pekan Nanas, Johor (Appendix B) and Taman Bukit Indah, Tampoi (Appendix C) regarding the issue of noise annoyance generated by TRS. For instance, this is not just a local issue but also it occurs in other countries as reported in Clarkin (2010, August 8). The TRS involving approaching of a roundabout had to be removed from following complaints as they were all on its noise from adjacent residents. TRS noise is classified as impulse noise that can cause more annoyance to the receiver (Bahar, *et al.*, 2005; Bendtsen *et al.*, 2004).

Better designs of TRS are required to keep drivers alert and reduce vehicle speed and at the same time minimise noise annoyance and vibration that can affect drivers and passengers' comfort and vehicle conditions. Based on a personal interview with several road authorities (Public Work Departments and municipal council), it is common among them that thicker TRS discourages over speed drivers and force them to slow down by generating relatively higher vibration. Although previous study found otherwise, it was unable to draw a strong conclusion (Meyer, 2006). Besides that, in some cases from observations, driving at 50km/h may cause someone to experience excessive vibration that affects his comfort level. This pattern does raise questions about the effectiveness of TRS used for the purpose of speed reduction. Therefore, this study takes a bigger role to justify and may support the previous finding.

### **1.3 Aims and Objectives of Studies**

This study aims to contribute to the knowledge in improving the optimisation of TRS cross-section design for road user's comfort and sustainable living of the neighbourhood.

To achieve the aim of the study, the following objectives have been identified:

- i. To classify TRS profiles and assess the noise annoyance response towards TRS noise.
- ii. To measure and model TRS roadside noise level and analyse the possible tyre-TRS interaction mechanisms that involved in the TRS roadside noise generation.
- iii. To evaluate and estimate vehicle in-cabin sound and vibration due to TRS.
- iv. To develop the optimum TRS cross-section design for road users' comfort.

### **1.4 Scopes of Study**

The scopes of study were as follow:

- i. The CPB tests were carried out by using a passenger car (2005 Perodua Myvi 1.3) as a test car, which is among the most common types of passenger cars in Malaysia. The result may not be consistent and accurate if other types and classes of vehicles are used in the test as previous studies indicated that each type and class of vehicle has its own unique sound and vibration stimuli

(Gardner, *et al.*, 2007; Hirasawa *et al.*, 2005; Karkle, *et al.*, 2011; Lank and Steinauer, 2011; Meyer, 2006).

- ii. The social survey study was carried out in three case study locations namely Kampung Parit Kudus at Pontian, Kampung Seri Kenangan at Pekan Nanas and Taman Bukit Indah at Tampoi Johor.
- iii. Traffic noise assessment studies at the case study locations were measured at three-daytime hours at the location with TRS and without TRS. The longer period of assessment may be required in the future to represent noise characteristic at every hour in a day.
- iv. The focus of this thesis is to determine the TRS noise characteristics that may trigger annoyance to the community. Therefore, the noise propagation aspects like the wind and temperature effect, ground characteristics, natural barrier and others were not discussed.
- v. This study intends to propose the appropriate design that would able to alert drivers but would not compromise their comfort. It used the typical car on Malaysia road, such as Perodua Myvi. Therefore, the in-cabin vibration and sound performance of that model largely influenced the end result of the proposed design.

## **1.5 Contribution of Study**

There were complaints from the community that the TRS could be annoying to residents who live adjacent to the roads. Although they had lodged complaints to the

authorities, the latter took a long time to remove the TRS and this signals that they did not take this problem seriously. Therefore, this study had been able to assess and highlight this problem.

The study also has identified the key parameters to be the cause of the TRS noise increment, hence this helps road planners to design a quieter TRS for the residential areas. At the same time, TRS can be designed 'noisy' to maximise its warning effect on the road that is far from residential areas. This study is also expected to assist road planners and engineers in determining the thickness that provides appropriate vibration that they would like to apply to the typical car on the road.

## **1.6 Structure of Thesis**

This thesis is divided into six chapters. Chapter 1 explains the introduction of study. This includes the background of the study, problem statement, objectives and scope of the study. Chapter 2 reports the literature review. Background of TRS application, its effectiveness, physical properties, background knowledge on traffic noise, sound and vibration stimuli and previous studies on factors affecting TRS sound and vibration are discussed. Next, Chapter 3 focuses on methodology of study, where it discusses the method behind each objective. The chapter ends with a discussion of data analysis method. Chapter 4 further reports the results of the study and Chapter 5 presents the discussion. This thesis ends with Chapter 6 that highlights the conclusion and recommendations for future study.

## 1.7 Chapter Summary

This chapter begins with a discussion of the background knowledge of TRS. TRS is widely used because it has generally been relatively inexpensive, easy to install and maintain and its impacts on driving comfort are considered to be minor as compared to speed humps and speed bumps. Poorly designed TRS may generate excessive vibration, which can bring negative impact on “ride quality”. The inappropriate design also creates another problem, which is noise annoyance to adjacent residents. Four objectives have been organised in relation to problems that were stated above. However, this study is still bound to the scopes that have been described above.



## REFERENCES

- Anunda A., Kecklunda G., Vadebyb A., Hjalmdahlb M., Åkerstedta T. (2008), *The alerting effect of hitting a rumble strip—A simulator study with sleepy drivers*, Accident Analysis and Prevention, **40**, 1970–1976
- Arana, M. and Garcia, A. (1998). A Social Survey on the Effects of Environmental Noise on the Residents of Pamplona, Spain. *Applied Acoustics*. 53(4), 245-253.
- Babisch, W. and Ising, H. (2001). Noise-induced stress is a risk factor in cardiovascular disease. *Proceedings of the 2001 Proceedings of the International Congress and Exhibition on Noise Control Engineering* The Hague, Holland, : Internoise 2001, 1–292.
- Babisch W., Neuhauser H., Thamm M., Seiwert M. (2009), *Blood pressure of 8-14 year old children in relation to traffic noise at home - Results of the German Environmental Survey for Children (GerES IV)*, Science of the Total Environment, 407, 5839-5843.
- B&K (2008). Human Vibration Analyzer Type 4447 User Manual. Nærum, Denmark: Brüel&Kjær Sound & Vibration Measurement A/S.
- Bachner, A. and Swain, K. (2008). *Traffic Signs and Pavement Markings*. Cornell Local Roads Program.
- Bahar, G., Erwin, T., MacKay, M., Smiley, A. and Tighe, S. (2005). Best Practice Guidelines for the Design and Application of Transverse Rumble Strips. Ottawa, Canada: Transportation Association of Canada
- Basrur, S. V., Fong, S. and Johnston, M. (2000). Health Effects of Noise. Toronto: Health Promotion and Environmental Protection Office.
- Bendtsen, H., Haberl, J., Sandberg, U. and Watts, G. (2004). SILVIA Deliverable 12: Traffic management and noise reducing pavements Recommendations on additional noise reducing measures. Roskilde, Denmark: EUROPEAN COMMISSION DG TREN - GROWTH.
- Berglund, B., Lindvall, T. and Schwela, D. H. (1999). Guidelines for Community Noise. Geneva: World Health Organization.

- Boer, E. d. and Schroten, A. (2007). Traffic Noise Reduction in Europe. Delft: CE Delft.
- Bolton JS, Kwon HS (1998) Nearfield acoustical holography applied to sound radiation from tires. West Lafayette, Indiana, USA: School of Mechanical Engineering, Purdue University
- Braun, M. E., Walsha, S. J., Horne, J. L. and Chuter, R. (2013). Noise source characteristics in the ISO 362 vehicle pass-by noise test: Literature review. *Applied Acoustics* 74, 1241–1265.
- Cantisani, G. and Loprencipe, G. (2010). Road Roughness and Whole Body Vibration: Evaluation Tools and Comfort Limits. *Journal of Transportation Engineering*. 136.
- Carlson, P. J. and Miles, J. D. (2003). *Effectiveness Rumble Strips On Texas Highways: First Year Report*. Report, Texas Transportation Institute.
- Carlson, P. J., Pike, A. M., Miles, J. D. and Park, E. S. (2007). Pavement Marking Visibility: Brighter versus Wider *18th Biennial Transportation Research Board (TRB) Visibility Symposium* College Station, Texas: Transportation Research Board.
- Charlton, S. G. (2007). The role of attention in horizontal curves: A comparison of advance warning, delineation, and road marking treatments. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*. 39, 873–885.
- Clarkin, M. (2010, August 2). Rumble strips near roundabout to be removed *hutchnews.com*.
- Cohen, S., Evans, G. W., Stokols, D. and Krantz, D. S. (1986). *Behavior, Health, and Environmental Stress*. New York: Plenum Press.
- Corkle, J., Joni L.Giese and M.Marti, M. (2001). Investigating the Effectiveness of Traffic Calming Strategies On Driver Behavior, Traffic Flow and Speed. St. Paul, Minnesota: Minnesota Local Road Research Board.
- Crocker M. J. 2007. Theory of Sound - Predictions and Measurement. In: CROCKER, M. J. (ed.) Handbook of Noise and Vibration Control. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- Dai, L., Cao, J., Fan, L. and Mobed, N. (2005). Traffic Noise Evaluation and Analysis in Residential Areas of Regina. *Journal of Environmental Informatics*. 5 (1), 17-25.

- DOE (2004). *Planning Guidelines For Environmental Noise Limits and Control*. Putrajaya, Malaysia: Department of Environmental.
- Dudek, C. L., Huchingson, R. D., Creasey, F. T. and Pendleton, O. J. (1988). Field Studies of Temporary Pavement Markings at Overlay Project Work Zones on Two-Lane, Two-Way Rural Highways *Transportation Research Record 1160* (pp. 22–34). Washington D.C.: National Research Council.
- Duffner, O. (2006). *USING AUDIO-BASED SIGNAL PROCESSING TO PASSIVELY MONITOR ROAD TRAFFIC*, Dublin City University, Dublin.
- Edquist, J. (2008). *The Effects of Visual Clutter on Driving Performance*. Doctor of Philosophy, Monash University, Melbourne.
- Elghamrawy, T., El-Rayes, K., Liu, L. and Odeh, I. (2012). Performance of Temporary Rumble Strips at the Edge of Highway Construction Zones. *Journal Of Construction Engineering And Management*. 923-930.
- Freeman, J. R., Bansen, J. A., Wemple, B. and Spinks, R. (2008). Innovative Operational Safety Improvements at Unsignalized Intersections. Tallahassee, Florida: Florida Department of Transportation.
- Gardner, L. W., Rys, M. J. and Russell, E. (2007). Comparison of football shaped rumble strips versus rectangular rumble strip *Report No. K-TRAN : KSU-00-4P2*. Kansas Department of Transportation, Kansas State University, University of Kansas.
- Gibbons, R. B. (2006). Pavement Marking Visibility Requirements During Wet Night Conditions. Charlottesville, Virginia: Virginia Transportation Research Council.
- Gorrill, D. (2007). Transverse Rumble Strips *Transportation Research Synthesis*. Saint Paul, Minnesota: Minnesota Department of Transportation.
- Griffin, M. J. (1990). *Handbook of Human Vibration*. London: Academic Press.
- Harris, C. M. (1989). *Shock and Vibration Handbook* (4th ed.) New York: McGraw Hill Pub.
- Heinonen-Guzejev, M. (2009). *Noise sensitivity – medical, psychological and genetic aspects*, University of Helsinki Finland, Helsinki.
- Hirasawa, M., Asano, M. and Saito, K. (2004). Introduction of Rumble Strips as New Highway Safety Improvement Measure Against Head-On Collision. *Transportation Engineering*. 345-349.

- Hirasawa, M., Motoki, A. and Saito, K. (2005). Study on development and practical use of rumble strips as a new measure for highway safety. *Journal of Eastern Asia Society for Transportation Studies*. 6, 3697-3712.
- Ising, H. and Kruppa, B. (2004). Health effects caused by noise: Evidence in the literature from the past 25 years. *Noise Health*. 6, 5–13.
- Ismail, A. R., Nuawi, N. Z., Kamaruddin, N. F. and Bakar, R. A. (2010). Comparative Assessment of the Whole Body Vibration Exposure Under Different Car Speed on Malaysia Road Profile. *Journal of Applied Sciences*. 10(14), 1428-1434.
- ISO (1980). ISO 5128: Acoustics - Measurement of noise inside motor vehicle. Geneva: International Organization for Standardization.
- ISO (1997a). ISO 11819-1:2001: Acoustics - Measurement of the influence of road surfaces on traffic noise - Part 1: Statistical Pass-By method . Brussels: European Committee for Standardization.
- ISO (1997b). ISO 2631-1: Mechanical vibration and shock Evaluation of human exposure to whole-body vibration - Part 1: General requirements. Geneva: International Organization for Standardization.
- ISO (2003a). ISO 13325: Tyres — Coast-by methods for measurement of tyre-to-road sound emission.
- ISO (2003b). ISO/TS15666: Acoustics -- Assessment of noise annoyance by means of social and socio-acoustic surveys.
- Issarayangyun, T. (2005). *Aircraft Noise and Public Health: Acoustical Measurement and Social Survey around Sydney (Kingsford Smith) Airport*. Doctor of Philosophy, THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES, SYDNEY.
- Jakovljevic, B., Paunovic, K. and Belojevic, G. (2009). Road-traffic noise and factors influencing noise annoyance in an urban population. *Environment International*. 35(3), 552-556.
- Jørgensen, F. and Wentzel-Larsen, T. (1999). Optimal use of warning signs in traffic. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*. 31(6), 729–738.
- Karami, K. and Frost, S. (1999). Nuisance caused by Aircraft Noise in the Vicinity of Tehran International Airport. *Environmental Management and Health*. 10(2), 90-95.
- Karkle, D. E., Rys, M. J. and Russell, E. R. (2011). Centerline Rumble Strips: Study of External Noise. *Journal of Transportation Engineering*. 137(5), 311-318.

- Katu, U. S., Desavale, R. G. and Kanai, R. A. (2003). Effect Of Vehicle Vibration On Human Body – RIT Experience. In Saha, S. K. (Ed.), *11th National Conference on Machines and Mechanisms*. Delhi, India: Department of Mechanical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi.
- Kee, S. S., Tamrin, S. B. M. and Goh, Y. M. (2010). Driving Fatigue and Performance among Occupational Drivers in Simulated Prolonged Driving. *Global Journal of Health Science*. 2(1), 167-177.
- Keulemans, C. (2005). Sound power measurements on heavy vehicles to study propulsion noise. Göteborg Sweden: Volvo Trucks.
- Keulen WV, Duškov M (2005) Inventory study of basic knowledge on tyre/road noise. Delft: Road and Hydraulic Engineering Division of Rijkswaterstaat.
- Lank, C. and Steinauer, B. (2011). Increasing road safety by influencing drivers' speed choice with sound and vibration. *Transportation Research Record*. (2248), 45-52.
- Larsen, L. E., Bendtsen, H. and Mikkelsen, B. (2002). Traffic noise annoyance – A survey in Aarhus, Odense and Randers. Lyngby: Danish Transport Research Institute.
- Li, B., Tao, S. and Dawson, R. W. (2002). Evaluation and analysis of traffic noise from the main urban roads in Beijing. *Applied Acoustics*. (63), 1137-1142.
- Lipscomb, D. M. (1995). Auditory Perceptual Factors Influencing the Ability of Train Horns *Third International Symposium on Railroad-Highway Grade Crossing Research and Safety*. Washington D.C: Federal Highway Authority.
- Liu, P., Huang, J., Wang, W. and Xu, C. (2011). Effects of transverse rumble strips on safety of pedestrian crosswalks on rural roads in China. *Accident Analysis and Prevention*. 43(6), 1947– 1954.
- Lopez, C. A. (2004). Pavement Marking Handbook. Austin: Texas Department of Transportation.
- Mak, K. L., Hung, W. T. and Lee, S. H. (2012). Exploring the impacts of road surface texture on tyre/road noise – A case study in Hong Kong. *Transportation Research Part D* 17, 104–107.
- Makarla R. (2009), *Evaluation of External Noise Produced By Vehicls Crossing Over Centerline Rumble Strips on Undivided Highways in Kansas*, Master Degree, , Kansas State University.

- Mason, R.L.; Gunst, R.F.; Hess, J.L. (2003). *Statistical Design and Analysis of Experiments, with Applications to Engineering and Science*. New Jersey, United States: Wiley-Interscience
- Mato, R. A. M. and Mufuruki, T. S. (1999). Noise Pollution Associated with the Operation of The Dar es Salaam International Airport. *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*. 4(2), 81 – 89.
- May, D. N. (1978). *Handbook of Noise Assessment*. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Company.
- Meyer, E. (2001). A New Look at Optical Speed Bars. *ITE Journal*. 44-48.
- Meyer, E. (2006). *Guidelines for the Application of Removable Rumble Strips*. Lawrence, Kansas: Meyer Intelligent Transportation Systems.
- Meyer, E. and Walton, S. (2002). *Preformed Rumble Strips*. Kansas Department of Transportation.
- Miedema, H. M. E. and Vos, H. (1999). Demographic and attitudinal factors that modify annoyance from transportation noise. *Journal Acoustical Society America*. 105 (6), 3336-3344.
- Migletz, J., Fish, J. K. and Graham, J. L. (1994). *Roadway delineation practices handbook*. Washington, D.C.: Federal Highway Administration.
- Miner, G.; Nisbet, R.; Elder, J. (2009). *Handbook of Statistical Analysis and Data Mining Applications*. Waltham, United States: Academic Press
- Morena, D. A. (2002). *The Nature and Severity of Drift-Off Road Crashes on Michigan Freeways, and the Effectiveness of Various Shoulder Rumble Strip Designs*. Lansing: Federal Highway Administration – Michigan Division.
- MOW (2002). *Traffic Calming Guidelines*. Kuala Lumpur: Highway Planning Unit, Ministry of Work.
- Nelson, P. A. (2007). Sound Sources. In Crocker, M. J. (Ed.) *Noise and Vibration Control*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- Nelson, P. M. (1987). *Transportation Noise Reference*. London: Butterworth.
- Ng, W. K. and Selva, P. (2003). OSH profile in the transport sector in particular commuting hazard.
- Niessner, C. W. (2008). *Color Effectiveness of Yellow Pavement Marking Materials*. Washington DC: Transportation Research Board.

- Nivison, M. E. and Endresen, I. M. (1993). An analysis of relationship among environmental noise, annoyance and sensitivity to noise, and the consequences for health and sleep. *J Behav Med.* 16(3), 257–276.
- Ohrstrom, E. and Bjorkman, M. (1988). Effects of Noise-Disturbed Sleep- A Laboratory Study on Habituation and Subjective Noise Sensitivity. *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 122(2), 277-290.
- Onuu, M. U. (2000). Road Traffic Noise in Nigeria: Measurements, Analysis and Evaluation of Nuisance. *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 233(3), 391-405.
- Othman, M. H., Z.Haron, Yahya, K. and Yaacob, H. (2010). Transverse Rumble Strips Application in Malaysia. *Proceedings of the 2010 GEOTROPIKA2010* Kota Kinabalu, Sabah,
- Otten, H., Schulte, W. and von Eiff, A. W. (1990). Traffic noise, blood pressure, and other risk factors: the Bonn traffic noise study. In Berglund, B. (Ed.) *Noise as a Public Health Problem* (Vol. 4, pp. 327–335). Stockholm, Sweden: Swedish Council for Building Research.
- Ouis, D. (2001). Annoyance From Road Traffic Noise : A Review. *Journal of Environmental Psychology.* 21(1), 101-120.
- Outcalt, W. (2001). Centerline Rumble Strips *Report No.CDOT-DTD-R-2001-8.* Denver: Colorado Department of Transportation.
- Paunovic, K., Jakovljevic, B. and Belojevic, G. (2008). The importance of non-acoustical factors on noise annoyance of urban residents. *Proceedings of the 2008 9th International Congress on Noise as a Public Health Problem (ICBEN)* Foxwoods, Connecticut USA,
- Perisse J (2002) A Study of Radial Vibrations of Rolling Tyre for the Road Noise Characterisation. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 16: 1043–1058.
- Phan, H. Y. T., Yano, T., Phan, H. A. T., Nishimura, T., Sato, T. and Hashimoto, Y. (2010). Community responses to road traffic noise in Hanoi and Ho Chi Minh City. *Applied Acoustics* 71, 107–114
- Rasmussen RO, Ferragut T, Wiegand P, Harrington D (2005) Concrete Pavement Surface Characteristics Field Experiments. Austin: Federal Highway Administration.
- REAM (2004). *Guidelines On Traffic Control And Management Devices.* Kuala Lumpur: Road Engineering Association of Malaysia.

- Rothenberg, H., Benavente, M. and Swift, J. (2004). Report on Passive Speed Control Devices. Amherst: Massachusetts Traffic Safety Research Program.
- Sandberg, U. and Ejsmont, J. A. (2002). *Tyre/Road Noise Reference Book*. Kisa, Sweden: INFORMEX Ejsmont & Sandberg Handelbolag.
- Sayed, R. A., Eskandarian, A. and Mortazavi, A. (2012). Drowsy and Fatigued Driver Warning, Counter Measures, and Assistance. In Eskandarian, A. (Ed.) *Handbook of Intelligent Vehicles* (pp. 977-996). London: Springer-Verlag London Ltd.
- Schomer, P. D. (2002). On Normalizing DNL to Provide Better Correlation with Response. *Journal of Sound and Vibration* 15-23.
- Shinar, D. (2007). *Traffic Safety and Human Behaviour*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Smith, B. J., Peters, R. J. and Owen, S. (1996). *Acoustics and noise control*. Essex, England: Addison Wesley Longman Limited.
- Sorensen, M., Hvidberg, M., Andersen, Z. J., Nordsborg, R. B., Lillelund, K. G., Jakobsen, J., Tjønneland, A., Overvad, K. and Raaschou-Nielsen, O. (2011). Road traffic noise and stroke: a prospective cohort study. *European Heart Journal*. 32(6), 737–744.
- South, T. (2004). *Managing Noise and Vibration at Work, A practical guide to assessment, measurement and control*. Burlington, USA: Elsevier Butterworth-Heinemann.
- Spreng, M. (2000). Possible health effects of noise induced cortisol increase. *Noise Health*. 2(7), 59–64.
- Stansfeld, S. A. and Matheson, M. P. (2003). Noise Pollution: Non-Auditory Effects on Health. *British Medical Bulletin*, 68 /, 243-257.
- Thomas, G. B. and Schloz, C. (2001). Durable, Cost-Effective Pavement Markings Phase I: Synthesis of Current Research. Ames, Iowa: Center for Transportation Research and Education, Iowa State University.
- Thompson, T. D. 2004. Evaluation of Rumble Strips at Rural Stop-Controlled Intersection in Texas. Texas A&M University
- Thompson, T. D., Burris, M. W. and Carlson, P. J. (2006). Speed Changes Due to Transverse Rumble Strips on Approaches to High-Speed Stop-Controlled Intersections. *Transportation Research Record: Journal of the Transportation Research Board*. 1–9.



- Torbic, D. J., Hutton, J. M., Bokenkroger, C. D., Bauer, K. M., Harwood, D. W., Gilmore, D. K., Dunn, J. M. and Rochento, J. J. (2009). Guidance for the Design and Application of Shoulder and Centerline Rumble Strips *NCHRP Report 641*. Washington D.C: Transportation Research Board.
- Smith, M.J.d. (2014). *Statistical Analysis Handbook*. Winchelsea, UK: The Winchelsea Press
- van Kamp, I., Job, R. F., Hatfield, J., Haines, M., Stellato, R. K. and Stansfeld, S. A. (2004). The role of noise sensitivity in the noise-response relation: a comparison of three international airport studies. *Journal Acoustical Society of America*. 116(6), 3471–3479.
- Wayson R. (1998). Relationship between pavement surface texture and highway traffic noise- a synthesis of highway practice. NCHRP Synthesis 268. Washington DC: Transportation Research Board
- WHO (1980). *Noise, Environmental Health Criteria*. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- Yang, Y., Sun, X. and He, Y. (2010). Effectiveness of Rumble Strips on Freeways *ICCTP 2010: Integrated Transportation Systems* (pp. 425-433).
- Yano, T. and Kobayashi, A. (1990). Effects of Duration of Repeated Impulsive Sounds on Noisiness. *Environment International*. 16(4-6), 547-554.