ANALYSIS OF SITE SUITABILITY OF EVACUATION AREA FOR FLOOD DISASTER

MIOR ASRI BIN MIOR TERMIZI

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

ANALYSIS OF SITE SUITABILITY OF EVACUATION AREA FOR FLOOD DISASTER

MIOR ASRI BIN MIOR TERMIZI

A report submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Science (Geoinformatics)

Faculty of Geoinformation and Real Estate Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2016

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of ALLAH S.W.T., the most Gracious, Most Merciful Alhamdulillah, this research is finally completed. The research was completed with much assistance from many persons.

I would like to thank to my supervisors Sr Dr. Shahabuddin Amerudin and Mr Wan Hazli Wan Kadir for their support of the idea for the research and for their considerable assistance in getting the project off the ground and keeping it moving forward. Their guidance help in all the time of research and writing of this thesis.

I wish to express my special thanks to my beloved wife, parents, family and friend who gives me spirit, support and encouragement to me in completion of this project.

Finally thanks to all my lecturers and classmates for their help and support. I would also like to thank everyone who has contributed whether directly or indirectly to this project.

ABSTRACT

The worst flood was in December 2014 in Kelantan has given a big impact in term of property damage to that area. The flood has caused many victim lost their homes and has to find a shelter that is safe from the flood. So by determining the suitable area or shelter for evacuation it can help local authorities in flood disaster management in order to help evacuees to live in the safest shelter. This study was conducted to analyze site suitability of evacuation Centre when flood disaster occur. Shelter for evacuation also identified in the study area and the existing shelter for evacuation also analyzed to see their suitability against the flood disaster. DEM and hydrological data were used to produce flood extent map, which were important to identify the extent of flood in an area. The criteria that effect the evacuation suitability were identified such as the evacuation site or shelter must near to road and healthcare centre. By using Multi-Criteria Evaluation (MCE) with Weight Linear Combination (WLC) and Analytic Hierarichy Process (AHP) technique, the criteria is weighted and combined with the flood extent. The result shows the suitability map for evacuation centre and the existing shelter is analyzed according to the suitable area map result. There is about 22 new propose evacuation centre based on the very high suitability from the suitability map of evacuation centre due to flood. Each existing and proposed evacuation centre is analyzed by factor, such as distance to health care, affected area by flood, and occupancy of the evacuation centre. These factors is weight overlay and the result shows that there are 4 from 22 existing evacuation centre are low suitability score, while 13 from 22 is in high and very high suitability, which were suitable for future flood event. The suitable site for establish temporary area also conducted where the result found 22 proposed empty land that is suitable for establish evacuation shelter or tents. This study can assist local authorities in planning, rescuing and relocating the flood victim to the safest evacuation centre.

ABSTRAK

Banjir paling teruk telah berlaku pada Disember 2014 di Kelantan telah memberi kesan yang buruk seperti kerosakan harta benda. Banjir tersebut menyebabkan banyak mangsa kehilangan rumah mereka dan terpaksa mencari tempat perlindungan lain yang selamat dari banjir. Maka dengan menentukan kawasan atau tempat tinggal yang sesuai untuk pemindahan banjir boleh membantu pihak berkuasa dalam pengurusan bencana banjir dan membantu mangsa banjir tinggal di tempat perlindungan yang paling selamat. Kajian ini dijalankan untuk menganalisis kesesuaian pusat pemindahan apabila berlakunya bencana banjir. Tempat pemindahan mangsa banjir yang sedia ada untuk pemindahan dianalisis untuk melihat kesesuaiannya terhadap bencana banjir. Dalam kajian ini, data DEM dan hidrologi digunakan untuk menghasilkan peta limpahan banjir, ia penting untuk mengenal pasti sejauh mana limpahan banjir di sesuatu kawasan. Kriteria yang mempengaruhi kesesuaian pemindahan dikenalpasti seperti tempat pemindahan mangsa mesti berhampiran dengan jalan dan pusat penjagaan kesihatan. Dengan menggunakan Multi-Criteria Evaluation (MCE) dengan teknik Weighted Linear Combination (WLC) dan Analytic Hierarichy Process (AHP), kriteria diukur dan digabungkan dengan peta kawasan limpahan banjir. Hasil kajian menunjukkan peta kesesuaian untuk pusat pemindahan, dan tempat tinggal yang sedia ada dianalisis mengikut hasil peta kesesuaian tersebut. Terdapat 22 pusat pemindahan baru dicadangkan berdasarkan kesesuaian yang sangat tinggi dari peta kesesuaian pusat pemindahan akibat banjir. Setiap pusat pemindahan yang sedia ada dan yang dicadangkan dianalisis oleh faktor jarak kepada pusat penjagaan kesihatan, kawasan yang terjejas oleh banjir, dan jumlah penghuni pusat pemindahan. WLC digunakan untuk faktor-faktor ini dan hasilnya menunjukkan bahawa terdapat 4 daripada 22 pusat pemindahan yang sedia ada mempunyai skor kesesuaian rendah, manakala 13 daripada 22 dalam kesesuaian tinggi dan sangat tinggi, ia sesuai jika berlaku banjir pada masa hadapan. Tapak sesuai untuk membina tempat perlindungan sementara atau khemah juga dijalankan di mana hasilnya adalah 22 cadangan tanah kosong yang sesuai untuk diwujudkan tempat perlindungan.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION OF THESIS STATUS	
	SUPERVISOR DECLARATION	
	TITLE	i
	DECLARATION	ii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
	ABSTRACT	iv
	ABSTRAK	V
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
	LIST OF TABLES	х
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVATIONS	XV
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xvi
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xvii

INTR	ODUCTION	1
1.1	Background of the Problem	1
1.2	Statement of the Problem	2
1.3	Aim	4
1.4	Objectives of the Study	4

1

1.5	Scope of the Study	4
	1.5.1 Study Area	5
	1.5.2 Data	6
	1.5.3 Software	7
1.6	Significance of study	8
1.7	Thesis Structure	8
LITH	ERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1	Introduction	10
2.2	Flood	10
	2.2.1 Year 2014 Flood Event in Kelantan	12
	2.2.2 Emergency Response Due to 2014	
	Flood in Kelantan	15
2.3	The Flood Delivery System Phases in	
	Malaysia	16
2.4	National Disaster Management Agencies	
	(NaDMA)	17
2.5	Emergency Evacuation Shelter due to disaster	19
	2.5.1 Establishing the Evacuation Centre	20
2.6	GIS for Disaster Management	22
2.7	Flood Map	23
2.8	Digital Elevation Model (DEM)	25
2.9	Flood Modelling	25
2.10	Site Suitability Selection with GIS	26
2.11	Multi-Criteria Evaluation (MCE)	27
	2.11.1 Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)	28
	2.11.2 Weighted Linear Combination (WLC)	30
2.12	Recent and Previous Related Studies	31
	2.12.1 MCE Previous Studies	31
	2.12.2 Previous Studies Related to Evacuation	
	Centre Due to Flood Disaster.	32
2.13	Summary	39

2

RESE	EARCH METHODOLOGY	40
3.1	Introduction	40
3.2	Identification of Site Suitability of Evacuation	
	Centre for Flood Criteria	42
3.3	Method of Research	43
3.4	DEM of Studies Area	43
3.5	Flood Modelling	44
3.6	Proximity of Healthcare and Road	50
3.7	Multi-Criteria Evaluation (MCE)	52
	3.7.1 Determine Weight of Criteria by AHP	52
	3.7.2 Weighted Linear Combination (WLC)	54
3.8	Methodology for Analysis of Each Evacuation	
	Centre Suitability	55
3.9	Suitable Site to Establish Temporary	
	Evacuation Centre	61
3.10	Summary	65
RESU	JLTS AND ANALYSIS	67
4.1	Introduction	67
4.2	Flood Extent Map	68
4.3	Proximity Map for Each Healthcare and Road	
	Factor	69
4.4	Analysis Result of WLC and the Suitability	
	Map	71
4.5	Evacuation Centre Suitability Map	72
4.6	Existing Evacuation Centre	73
4.7	Proposed Evacuation Centre	78
4.8	Evacuation Centre Analysis	80

3

4

	4.9	Suitable Site to Build Temporary Evacuation	
		Settlement	86
	4.10	Flooded Settlement and Their Nearest	
		Evacuation Centre	89
	4.11	Summary	91
5	CON	CLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	93
5	CONO 5.1	CLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION Introduction	93 93
5	CONO 5.1 5.2	CLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION Introduction Problem and Limitation	93 93 93
5	CONC 5.1 5.2 5.3	CLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION Introduction Problem and Limitation Conclusion	93 93 93 95
5	 CONC 5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 	CLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION Introduction Problem and Limitation Conclusion Recommendation	 93 93 93 95 97

REFERENCES	98
Appendices A - E	105 - 109

LIST OF TABLES

TITLE

PAGE

1.1	The data used in this study and its specification.	7
1.2	Software's used in this studies with its description.	7
2.1	Highest river level record by places in Kelantan (eBanjir, 2015a).	14
2.2	The number of evacuees of each district in Kelantan during the 2014 flood (Bernama, 2014a).	14
2.3	Scale for pairwise comparison (Saaty, 2006).	28
2.4	Comparative matrix of quality of locational characteristics on a commercial building (Ezwan and Hadi, 2012).	29
2.5	The evaluation used in Junglan Yang <i>et al.</i> (2012) studies, with the weight score of each element.	37
3.1	The criteria for evacuation centre site suitability evaluation with the study area and data acquired.	42
3.2	Manning's n values for different land use.	47
3.3	The proximity distance of the criteria with its factor rating.	51
3.4	The pair-wise comparative matrix for criteria.	53
3.5	The normalized value, with the weight of the factor.	53
3.6	The description and factor rating of each factor.	55
3.7	The pair-wise comparative matrix for each factor.	58

3.8	The normalized value, with the calculated weight of each factor.	59
4.1	The value of weightage result with their suitable rating.	71
4.2	The list of evacuation centre in year 2014 flood with the number of evacuees.	74
4.3	The list of evacuation centre in year 2014 flood with the flood percentage and the suitability level based on the suitability map.	77
4.4	The list of newly proposed evacuation centre with their land use type.	78
4.5	The suitability score of each existing and proposed evacuation centre.	81
4.6	The name of proposed temporary evacuation settlement with the number of estimated tents that can be established on the proposed area.	88
4.7	The flooded village list in the study area with their distance to nearest very high suitability evacuation centre.	90

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURES NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	The location of the study area in Kelantan State	5
1.2	The detailed location of the study area	6
2.1	The flood prone area in Peninsular Malaysia that are shown in green color (DID, 2013).	12
2.2	The temporary shelter that were erected in the backyard of a housing area for displaced flood victims in Gua Musang (Zurairi, 2015)	16
2.3	The flood extent map of Brisbane CBD (GISCorps, 2011).	23
2.4	Kota Tinggi flood extent map (Wong et al., 2012).	24
2.5	The modified Flood modelling operational framework (Billa <i>et al.</i> , 2006).	26
2.6	The potential area and restricted area for coastal resort in Port Dickson (Mahsa <i>et al.</i> , 2013).	32
2.7	The proposed evacuation centre with buffer zone of 5 km for mobilization from the flooded evacuation centre to the proposed evacuation centre (Nurshafeena, 2016).	33
2.8	Proximity of each factor with their factor rating (Bandana and Michael, 2008).	34
2.9	Physical suitability (a) and social suitability (b) for evacuation shelter map (Bandana and Michael, 2008).	35

2.10	The combined suitability from both social and physical map (Bandana and Michael, 2008).	36
2.11	a) Map of vulnerability based on type of exposure to a hazard, b) Map of vulnerability based on prevalence of disease, c) Map of vulnerability based on type of income, d) Map of vulnerability based on methods of mitigation, e) Map of overall vulnerability, f) Raster map of overall vulnerability (Kevin <i>et al.</i> , 2012).	38
3.1	Methodology flowchart of the studies.	41
3.2	Kuala Krai DEM used in this studies.	44
3.3	The boundary node dialog, table on the left is the stream flow table, on the right is water level table.	45
3.4	The cross section in one of the node of the river in the study area.	46
3.5	The manning's n value on the study area.	47
3.6	The model setting up for this studies.	48
3.7	Components that produce the model.	49
3.8	The flood extent shown in black colour, inside the NETTER window of SOBEK.	50
3.9	The multiple ring buffer toolbox dialog in ArcMap to produce the proximity map of healthcare (a) and road (b).	51
3.10	The Weighted Sum toolbox in ArcMap, used to overlay the criterion map with assigned weight value.	55
3.11	The evacuation centre with percent of flood (shown in red text colour) in their area, viewed in ArcMap. The flood are shown as transparent blue colour.	56
3.12	The digitized building in Google Earth.	57
3.13	The Street-View feature inside Google Earth, used to determine numbers of the floor of the building.	58
3.14	The attribute table of evacuation centre feature shown in ArcMap.	60
3.15	The built-up area digitized on satellite image in Google Earth.	61

3.16	The flood extent and agriculture land use.	62
3.17	The slope map in the study area.	63
3.18	The open area result with an area above 0.1 hectares.	64
4.1	200-years flood extent in the study area.	68
4.2	The flood extent map with DEM on the background.	69
4.3	Road proximity map in the study area.	70
4.4	Healthcare proximity map in the study area.	70
4.5	The suitability map result from WLC technique.	72
4.6	The suitability map for evacuation centre for flood.	73
4.7	Existing evacuation centre on the suitability map for flood.	76
4.8	The proposed evacuation centre in the study area.	79
4.9	The map of evacuation centre vulnerability to flood with its interpolated raster map.	82
4.10	The map of evacuation centre distance to healthcare with its interpolated raster map.	83
4.11	The map of evacuation centre occupancy interpolated raster map.	84
4.12	The map of evacuation centre suitability with its interpolated raster map.	85
4.13	The proposed area for establishing temporary evacuation shelter for flood.	87
4.14	The map of affected settlement by flood.	89

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AHP	Analytic Hierarchy Process
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DID	Department of Irrigation and Drainage
GIS	Geographical Information System
JKR	Jabatan Kerja Raya
JPAM	Jabatan Pertahanan Awam Malaysia
LiDAR	Light Detection and Ranging
MCE	Multi-Criteria Evaluation
NaDMA	National Disaster Management Agencies
NDMRC	Natural Disaster Management and Relief Committee
NGO	Non-Government Organization
NSC	National Security Council
PBT	Pihak Berkuasa Tempatan
SMS	Short Messages System
WHO	World Health Organization

WLC Weighted Linear Combination

LIST OF SYMBOLS

0	Degrees
%	Percent
ha	Hectare
m	Meter
km	Kilometer
m²	Meter square
m³/s	Cubic meter per second
n	Manning's value

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
А	List of existing evacuation centre due to flood disaster in 2014 with coordinate in WGS84 coordinate system. (eBanjir, 2015)	105
В	List of proposed evacuation centre due to flood disaster with coordinate in WGS84 coordinate system	106
С	List of proposed open area for evacuation settlement with coordinate in WGS84 coordinate system	107
D	Analysis of each existing evacuation centre in the study area with factors score	108
Е	Analysis of each new proposed evacuation centre in the study area with factors score	109

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Problem

Geographically, Malaysia is away from the Pacific Rim of fire and relatively free from some damaging natural disasters. However, Malaysia is still subjected to several other natural hazards for example monsoonal floods, landslides and air pollution. Among these, flood is the utmost destructive natural disaster in terms of frequency, flooded areas, people affected and economic loss.

Floods in Malaysia can be generally divided into two categories that are monsoonal flood and flash flood. Flash floods refer to a situation which happens suddenly due to prolonged heavy rain (National Weather Service, 2015). Basically, flash flood happen in a very fast and short time due to the failure of drainage system in an urban area, such as Kuala Lumpur. Monsoon floods can be defined as flooding that happens due to the result of wind that brings a lot of rain (Aziz, 2015). Generally, this monsoon flood will affect many areas in Malaysia and it happen in certain seasons. Normally, northeast monsoon floods occur from October to February and bring heavy

rainfall to Malaysia, especially Kelantan, Terengganu, Pahang, Sabah and Sarawak, while southwest monsoon floods happen from May to August and bring little rainfall to the west coast of the peninsula, including Kuala Lumpur, Selangor, Melaka, Johor, Perak, and Penang (JKR, 2015).

At the end of the year 2014, several states in Malaysia have been hit with floods, one of the country's worst incidents in history. More than 200,000 people were affected while 21 were killed in this flood disaster (AFP, 2014). As part of the northeast monsoon, heavy rains since 17 December forced 3,390 people in Kelantan and 4,209 people in Terengganu to flee their homes (The Nation, 2014).

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Recent flood in Kelantan was the worst in the history of the state and superseded the flood occurred in 1967. The flood that is the worst in 30 years, with a recorded number of over 45,467 victims seeking shelter at evacuation centres (The Star Online, 2014). Two factors were believed to be the causes of this catastrophe which is the effect of weather (heavy rainfall) and uncontrolled land management in the upstream area (Azlee, 2015). The unprecedented damages were believed due to the debris flow and timber waste due to uncontrolled logging (Komoo, 2015).

During flood in Kelantan, the emergency response was handled by 3 different levels that is district, state and federal. However the scale or magnitude of the flood event was beyond expectation and control and further worsen by failed electricity supply and very limited communication. It was also reported that some of the evacuation centres and important agencies such as hospital, fire brigade, and Jabatan Pertahanan Awam Malaysia (JPAM) were flooded and evacuees had to be transferred to another centre which already occupied beyond its designated capacity. Furthermore there were also some issues in reaching the evacuation centres as well as transporting the evacuees since the water flow is too fast and no suitable landing area for helicopter. This further complicates the process of flood aids distribution.

The limited space in evacuation shelters may cause evacuees to travel far from their home to lodging or may result in them not evacuating at all. Since evacuation shelters are almost always 'dual-use' facilities, their location in disaster situations may be less than ideal (Bandana and Michael, 2008). Dual-use facilities is the facility that turned into an evacuation shelter where the primary purpose of the facility is for some other public function such as school, hospital, and mosque. Thus, there is a need to identify suitable shelters for evacuation. Instead of constructing new shelters, the most cost effective solution is to identify existing facilities such as hospitals, recreation buildings, schools, community centres, and others that are structurally suitable for evacuees.

The evacuation area have to be away from the flood prone area and give a safer yet comfortable for the evacuees to stay on the selected evacuation area. Thus Geographic Information System (GIS) with site suitability analysis can be used to determine the suitable evacuation centre that match with criteria. A GIS provide a valuable tool in planning for, responding to and recovering from incidents that may require evacuation and shelter (UK Government, 2013). A GIS based site suitability approach is extensively used to evaluate and rank candidate facility locations (Bandana and Michael, 2008). Sites suitability analysis helps in identifying suitable sites that match specific criteria or limitation. GIS with Multi-criteria evaluation technique can be used as a process that transforms and combines geographical data and value judgments (the decision-maker's preferences) to obtain information for decision making (Ronald, 2011).

1.3 Aim

The aim of this study is to analyse site suitability of the evacuation centre using the suitability criteria for evacuation centre due to flood disaster with Multi-Criteria Evaluation (MCE) technique.

1.4 Objectives of the Study

Following are the objectives for this study: -

- 1) To produce a flood extent map of the study area.
- 2) To analyse site suitability for evacuation centre due to flood.
- 3) To proposed new evacuation centre due to flood.
- 4) To analyse the existing and the proposed evacuation centre suitability.

1.5 Scopes of the Study

The scope of this study may include the study area, data source, and software used for completing this study.

1.5.1 Study Area

The study area covers the part of Kuala Krai district in Kelantan state. Kuala Krai is one of the worst district that hit with flood on year 2014 with about 5200 evacuees fled from their home to the relief centre (Bernama, 2014a). Figure 1.1 shows the study area in Kelantan state and Figure 1.2 shows the detailed study area. The area size of the study area is 14,600 ha. The main rivers in this area are Sungai Kelantan, Sungai Lebir, and Sungai Galas.



Figure 1.1: The location of the study area in Kelantan State.



Figure 1.2: The detailed location of the study area.

1.5.2 Data

Table 1.1 shows the data used in this studies and it also shows the description and the data specification.

Data	Data Format	Year	Source
			DID Kelantan, data
LiDAR 3 Meter	Raster	2007	captured by RESGIS and
			AAMHatch
River water level	Textual	2014 - 2015	DID Kelantan
Stream Flow	Textual	2014 - 2015	DID Kelantan
Existing Shelter List	Textual	2014 - 2015	eBanjir
Land Use	Shapefile	2013	MaCGDI

Table 1.1: The data used in this study and its specification.

1.5.3 Software

The software used in this study was mainly ArcGIS 10.3. In order to produce flood extent map, SOBEK 2.14 was used. Table 1.2 shows the software's used in this study.

Software	Description
ArcGIS 10.3	ArcGIS used for processing multi-criteria analysis. Also used to
	analyses the suitability of evacuation centre and identifying suitable
	site for evacuation shelter/camp.
SOBEK 2.14	This software used in this research study to produce flood extent area
	of 200-years flood prediction

Table 1.2: Software used in the study and with its description.

1.6 Significance of Study

The results and methodology from this study can be used to determine the suitable emergency evacuation centre for flood. It may help in planning and disaster management when or after the disaster occur. Local authorities can have a better planning in rescuing and relocating the flood victims to the safest, comfortable, and establishing the evacuees live with a good health.

1.7 Thesis Structure

Chapter 1: Introduction

Describe the background of research which contributes on the problem statement, aim, and objectives.

Chapter 2: Literature Review

Literature review that were related to this study. This chapter describe what the 2014 flood phenomena in Kelantan state and the emergency response due to the flood on that event. This chapter also review the method that will be used in this studies, and the recent studies that are related to evacuation suitability due to disaster using GIS.

Chapter 3: Methodology

Consist of the research methodology that explains all the related method used in this research. This chapter describe about the methodology on producing flood extent map and to create the suitability for evacuation map using Multi-Criteria Evaluation (MCE) with Weighted Linear Combination (WLC) and Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP).

Chapter 4: Result and Analysis

This chapter explains the result from methodology in the chapter three. Then the final result be analysed to answer the objectives of this research. Suitability map created in chapter three is used to analyses the existing evacuation shelter used in 2014 flood event, and also will be used to propose other evacuation shelters that are suitable when flood occurs again in the future.

Chapter 5: Conclusion and Recommendation

This chapter provides the conclusion with reference to the research objectives and several suggestions and recommendations for future research.

References

- Abbas, Srivastava R. and Tiwari R. (2008). GIS-based disaster management; A case study for Allahabad Sadar sub-district (India). Management of Environmental Quality; An International Journal, Issue(s) available: 78 from Volume 14 issue 1, to Volume 26 Issue 6, ISSN: 1477-7835, Department of Civil Engineering, Motilal Nehru National Institute of Technology, Allahabad, India.
- Adelwisa R., Arlene G., Jonathan G., Troy G., Florinda P., and Barcelona A. (2006). Draft Manual for Evacuation Management. World Health Organization (WHO)
- AFP (2014). Floods kill 21 in Malaysia, waters recede. Asia One. Retrieved 20 November 2015, from http://news.asiaone.com/news/malaysia/floods-kill-21malaysia-waters-recede
- Andre Z. (1999). Digital Elevation Modelling for natural hazard risk assessment. Australian Journal of Emergency Management.
- ARC (American Red Cross) (2002). Standards for Hurricane Evacuation Shelter Selection. Retrieved 20 October 2015, from http://www.floridadisaster.org /Response/engineers/documents/2007SRR/2007SRR-AppxC.pdf
- Arcement G.J. and Schneider V.R. (1989). Guide for Selecting Manning's Roughness Coefficients for Natural Channels and Flood Plains. United States Geological Survey Water-supply Paper 2339, Metric Version.
- Auynirundronkool K., Nengchen C., Chao Y., and Silapathong C. (2012). Flood Detection and Mapping of the Thailand Centre Plain Using RADARSAT and MODIS Under a Senor Web Environment. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation 2012: 245-255
- Ayobami and Rabi'u (2012). *SMS as a rural disaster notification system in Malaysia*. International Conference on communication and Media (i-Come '12).
- Aziz, A. (2015). Pengaruh Monsun dan Banjir Pada MPSB Kuala Berang. Retrieved from http://www.mardec.com.my/media/pdf/pengaruhmonsun.pdf
- Azlee, A. (2015). Worst floods in Kelantan, confirms NSC. *The Malay Mail*. Retrieved
 20 September 2015, from http://www.themalaymailonline.com/malaysia
 /article/worst-floods-in-kelantan-confirms-nsc

- Bandana K. and Michael E. (2008). A GIS-Based Model to Determine Site Suitability of Emergency Evacuation Shelters. Transactions in GIS, 2008, 12(2): 227–248.
- Bernama (2014a). Floods: Worse in Kelantan, situation improves in Kedah. *The Star Online*. Retrieved 1 May 2016, from http://www.thestar.com.my/news/nation /2014/12/27/floods-worse-in-kelantan/
- Bernama (2014b). NGOs Chip In Their Contribution to Help Flood Victims. Retrieved 27 April 2016, from http://web.archive.org/web/20141226032228/ http://www.bernama.com.my/bernama/v7/ge/newsgeneral.php?id=1096050.
- Bernama (2015). *Lessons learnt, Kuala Krai folks get ready to face flood*. The Sun Daily. Retrieved 4 May 2016, from http://www.thesundaily.my/news/1594480
- Billa L., Mansor S. Mahmus R., and Ghazali A. (2006). Hydro-Meteorological Modeling and GIS for Operational Flood Forecasting and Mapping. The 2nd International Conf. on Water Resources & Arid Environment, 2006.
- Boughton W., and Droop O., (2003). Continuous simulation for design flood estimation, a review alternative flood control policies in the Netherlands. Ecological Economics, 50(1-2): 1-21.
- Chan N. (2012). Impacts of Disasters and Disasters Risk Management in Malaysia: The Case of Floods. International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research and Innovations Vol. 2, Issue 4, and pp: 59-65.
- Chen X, Meaker W., and Zhan B. (2005) Agent based modelling and analysis of hurricane evacuation procedures for the Florida Keys. Natural Hazards 38: 321–38.
- Daneshvar, R., Fernandes, L., Warith, M., and Daneshfar, B. (2005). Customizing ArcMap Interface to Generate a User- friendly Landfill Site Selection GIS Tool. Journal of Solid Waste Technology and Management, 31(1), 1-12.
- Deltares (2016). SOBEK Hydrodynamics, Rainfall Runoff and Real Time Control User Manual.
- Deni, S. M. and A. A. Jemain (2009). Fitting The Distribution of Wet and Dry Spells With Alternative Probability Models. Atmosphere Physics. 104: 13-27.
- DID (2013). Flood Management Programme and Activities. Retrieved 1 May 2016 from www.water.gov.my/our-services-mainmenu-252/flood-mitigationmainmenu-323/programme-aamp-activities-mainmenu-199?lang=en&showall=1

- Drobne S. and Lisec, A. (2009). *Multi-attribute decision analysis in GIS: weighted linear combination and ordered weighted averaging*. Journal of Informatica 33 pp: 459-474.
- Ezwan and Hadi (2012). *Combining AHP with GIS in the Evaluation of Locational Characteristics Quality for Purpose-built Offices in Malaysia*. 6th IRERS 2012. Globalization of Real Estate: Transformation and Opportunities.
- eBanjir (2015a). *Laporan Catatan Aras Air Tertinggi Mengikut Tempat*. Retrieved 5 April 2016, from http://ebanjir.kelantan.gov.my/p_parpt01.php
- eBanjir (2015b). Laporan Mangsa Banjir Daerah Yang Berpindah Mengikut Harian. Retrieved 20 March 2016, from http://ebanjir.kelantan.gov.my/p_pmrpt05 .php?_dt1=23-12-2014&_district=kuala-krai
- Encarta Dictionary (2006). *Flood*. Retrieved 20 April 2016, from http://www. webcitation.org/query?id=1257023547055729
- Fakhrul Radhi (2009). Pembangunan Pangkalan Data Untuk Analisis Penempatan Banjir dan Laluan Alternatif. Undergraduate Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai.
- Fawcett T., Holland R., Holmes J. and Powell C. (1993). Evaluation of multi criteria analysis as an aid to decision making in waste management. Report AEA-EE-0426, ETSU: Harwell
- FEMA (2000) Design and Construction Guidance for Community Shelters. FEMA 361/July 2000 First Edition.
- FEMA (2006). Design and Construction Guidance for Community Shelters 2nd Edition. Retrieved 21 March 2016 from http://www.fema.gov/plan/prevent/ saferoom/fema361.shtm.
- GISCorps (2011). "Three GISCorps volunteers finalized a pilot project for the Red Cross in Brisbane – Australia. Retrieved 15 October 2015 from www.giscorps .org/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=100&itemid=63
- Glossary of Meteorology (2000). *Flood*. Retrieved 20 April 2016, from http://glossary.ametsoc.org /wiki/Flood
- Hossain A., Yafei Jia, and Xiabo Chao (2014). Estimation of Manning's Roughness Coefficient Distribution for Hydrodynamic Model Using Remotely Sensed Land Cover Features. 17th International Conference of Geoinformatics, pp 1-4.

- IFRC (1999). *What is a disaster*?. Retrieved 18 March 2016 from http://www.ifrc.org /en/what-we-do/disaster-management/aboutdisasters/what-is-a-disaster/
- IFRC (2015). Emergency Plan of Action operation update, Malaysia: Seasonal Floods 2014. Retrieved 18 March 2016, from http://adore.ifrc.org/Download.aspx?FileId=70128
- Ivy S., and Indramalar B. (2015). Kelantan flood victims in makeshift shelters at the mercy of the elements. *The Star Online*. Retrieved 20 March 2016, from http://www.thestar.com.my/lifestyle/people/2015/03/27/flood-victims-inkelantan-continue-to-be-at-the-mercy-of-the-elements-in-their-makeshiftshelters/
- Jiang H. and Eastman R. (2000). Application of fuzzy measures in multi-criteria evaluation in GIS. International Journal of Geographical Information Science 14:2. pp: 173-184.
- JKR (2015). *Banjir*. Retrieved 20 November 2015, from http://bencanaalam. jkr.gov.my/content.php?ida=INFO20080101235958&type=INFO&page=cont ent
- Junglan Y., Yongjin J., and Chulmin J. (2012). *Determining Site Suitability of Evacuation Shelters Using GIS*. Geospatial World Weekly 22 October 2012.
- Kao J., Chen W., Lin H., and Guo S. (1996). Network Expert Geographic Information System for Landfill Siting. Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering, 122(10): 307-317. Lunkapis, G.J., 2004. GIS as Decision Support Tool for Landfills Siting,
- Kevin M., Siddique M., and Julian S. (2012). Using Multi-criteria Evaluation and GIS for Flood Risk Analysis in Informal Settlements of Cape Town: The Case of Graveyard Pond. South African Journal of Geomatics, Vol. 1, No. 1, January 2012.
- Khalid M.S, and Shafiai S. (2015). Flood Disaster Management in Malaysia: An Evaluation of the Effectiveness Flood Delivery System. International Journal of Social Science and Humanity, Vol. 5, No. 4, April 2015.
- Khanna R., Agrawa K., and Kumar K. (2014). Remote sensing and GIS Applications in Flood Management. Paper proceeding in India Disaster Management Congress, National Institute of Disaster Management (NIDM), Central Water Commission, New Delhi, India.

- Komoo I. (2015). Rangka pelan bertindak kurangkan kemusnahan banjir. *Berita Harian Online*. Retrieved 20 August 2015, from http://www.bharian.com.my /node/37172
- Mahsa L., Himan S., Bashir R, Farshid S., Syed N., and Hadi B.(2013) A Multi-Criteria Evaluation using the Analytic Hierarchy Process Technique to Analyze Coastal Tourism Sites. APCBEE Procedia 5, pp: 479-485.
- Malay Mail Online (2014). *Despite massive flooding, PM says no need to declare emergency in Kelantan*. Retrieved 20 May 2016, from http://www.themalaymailonline.com/malaysia/article/despite-massive-floodin g-pm-says-no-need-to-declare-emergency-in-kelantan#sthash.3DtRph18.dpuf
- Malczewski J. (2000). On the use of weighted linear combination method in GIS: Common and best practice approaches. Transactions in GIS 4: 5–22
- MASMA (2000). Urban Stormwheater Management Manual for Malaysia, Volume 4/Chapter 3, Department of Irrigation and Drainage
- Miller W. (1998). An approach for greenway suitability analysis. Landscape and Urban Planning, 1998; 42: 91-105.
- Manjush K., Aneesh A., and Jayalekshmi A.B. (2005). Application of GIS and Remote Sensing Disaster Prone Areas: A Case Study in Coastal Kerala. Geomatics Lab, Centre for Earth Science Studies. Thiruvananthapuram, India.
- MKN (1997). Arahan No, 20 (Semakan Semula), Dasar dan mekanisme pengurusan bencana Negara. Majlis Keselamatan Negara Jabatan Perdana Menteri.
- Muhafandi, M. (2014). Gua Musang residents say 'flash floods worst ever'. Astro Awani. Retrieved 20 March 2016, from http://english.astroawani.com/floodnews/gua-musang-residents-say-flash-floods-worst-ever-50818
- Murty M. (2015). PAS MP: Wrong to blame logging as the main cause of floods in Kelantan. *The Rakyat Post*. Retrieved 30 April 2016, from http://www.the rakyatpost.com/news/2015/01/13/pas-mp-wrong-blame-logging-main-cause-floods-kelantan/
- National Weather Service Weather Forecast Official Portal (2015). Definitions of flood and flash flood. Retrieved 14 November 2015, from http://www.srh.noaa.gov/mrx/hydro/flooddef.php
- Nik Faizal (2014), Laporan Banjir -Pengalaman Getir Hospital Kuala Krai Dr Nik Faizal. Retrieved 4 May 2016, from https://www.youtube.com /watch?v=6SenihoA46s

- Noor H., Ghazali H., and Mustapha F. (2012) *Public Infobanjir: Towards People centreed flood information dissemination.* Water Resources and Hydrology Division: Department of Irrigation and Drainage Malaysia, ID: 122.
- NST (2014). DPM: Govt to Utilise All Assets to Help Flood Victims. *Malaysian Digest.* Retrieved 28 April 2016, from http://www.malaysiandigest.com /frontpage/29-4-tile/534527-dpm-govt-to-utilise-all-assets-to-help-floodvictims.html
- Nurshafeena K. (2016). *Determining Emergency Evacuation Aid for Flood Disaster Preparedness*. Postgraduate Thesis. Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai.
- Plate J. (2002). *Flood Risk and Flood Management*. Journal of Hydrology vol. 267, pp: 2-11.
- Ronald C.E (2011). GIS-based Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis (in Natural Resource Management). Division of Spatial Information Science. University of Tsukuba.
- Saaty T., (1977). A scaling method for priorities in a hierarchical structure. Journal of Mathematical Psychology, 15, 234-281.
- Saaty T., (1980). The Analytical Hierarchy Process, Planning Priority, Resource Allocation. RWS Publications, USA.
- Saaty T., (2006). The analytic hierarchy and analytic network processes for the measurement of intangible criteria and for decision-making. In: J. Figueira; S. Greco; M. Ehrgott (Eds.): Multiple Criteria Decision Analysis State of the Art Surveys. Springer New York.
- Stephen C. (1991). Integrating multi-criteria evaluation with geographical information systems. International Journal of Geographical Information Systems. 5:3, pp: 321-339.
- Syed Hussain P.R, Jamaluddin M.J, Abdul Rahim, and Noorazuan M.H (2010). Ancaman Kesihatan Terhadap Manusia Akibat Bencana Banjir. Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM).
- The Nation (2014). "One missing, thousands flee homes in flood-hit north-eastern Malaysia". Retrieved 20 December 2015, from http://www.nationmultimedia. com/breakingnews/One-missing-thousands-flee-homes-in-flood-hit-nort-30250115.html
- The Sphere Project (2011). *Humanitarian Charter and Minimum Standards in Humanitarian Response*. 3rd edition. Practical Action Publishing. Belmont Press Ltd, Northampton, United Kingdom.

- The Star Online (2014). New moon phenomenon triggers extraordinary floods in east coast. Retrieved 1 May 2016, from http://www.thestar.com.my/ news/nation /2014/12/23/new-moon-flood-east-coast/
- The Star Online (2015). *Floods cost Kelantan RM200mil in losses*. Retrieved 1 May 2016, from http://www.thestar.com.my/news/nation/2015/01/02/floods-cost-kelantan-rm200mil-in-losses/.
- Thiele, L. (1999). *Multiobjective Evolutionary Algorithms: A Comparative Case Study and the Strength Pareta Approach*. IEEE Transaction on Evolutionary Computation, 3(4), pp: 257-271.
- UK Goverment. (2013). Evacuation and shelter guidance. *UK Government*. Retrieved 28 September 2015 from www.uk.gov
- WHO (2005). Pocket Emergency Tools 2nd Edition. World Health Organization
 Western Pacific Region. Philippines.
- Wong Wai Sam, Mazura Nor Zulkifli, and Cheok Hou Seng (2012). *Flood Mapping* as a Planning and Management Tool. Dr Nik & Associates Sdn Bhd.
- Zhijun T., Jiquan Z., and Xingpeng L. (2012). GIS-Based Design of Urban Emergency Shelter in Songbei Harbin. Recent Advances in CSIE 2011, LNEE 129, pp: 617–622.
- Zurairi A. (2015). After floods, Shelterbox builds modern shelters for displaced families. *The Malay Mail*. Retrieved 28 April 2016, from http://www.themalaymailonline.com/malaysia/article/after-floods-shelterboxbuilds-modern-shelters-for-displaced families#sthash.g0HLr31G