

FAILURE ANALYSIS OF NOTCHED COMPOSITE PLATES WITH BUSHINGS

HOOMAN HOOSHYARFARD

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

FAILURE ANALYSIS OF NOTCHED COMPOSITE PLATES WITH BUSHINGS

HOOMAN HOOSHYARFARD

A project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Engineering (Mechanical - Materials)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2013

I dedicate this project to my wife and family, who offered me unconditional love and support throughout the course of this thesis

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

In the name of almighty God, the most gracious and merciful

I owe my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Pro. Dr. Andreas Oechsner for his encouragement, guidance, support and suggestions throughout this project. Without his continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

I would like to express my warmest grateful to my precious family especially my father. Their constants concern, encouragement, and love made it possible for me to complete this research. Also, I would like to thank my wife Neda for standing beside me throughout my career and writing this thesis.

Lastly, I offer my regards and blessings to all of those who supported me during the completion of the project.

ABSTRACT

Fiber reinforced composite structures are widely used in the aerospace, aircraft, civil and automotive applications due to their high specific modulus and high specific strength. These applications require the joining of the composite structures. In mechanically fastened joints holes should be drilled, and the stress concentration around the holes leads to significant reduction in the strength of composite laminates. Bushings are installed to improve the strength of the laminates. Based on finite element calculations, the effect of bushing installation on the failure characteristics of laminates was investigated in this thesis. The Tsai-Wu failure criterion was used for failure calculations. A carbon epoxy laminate subjected to 0.4 mm pin displacement was simulated through different models with and without bushing. Also, the established samples had different orientations which were $[0\ 45\ -45\ 90]_s$ and $[90\ 45\ -45\ 0]_s$ in order to study the influence of stacking sequence of layers on the laminate strength. The results showed a great improvement in load carrying capacity of samples with bushing due to the introduced bushing compressive load which postponed the failure. Moreover, the laminate with bushing and $[90\ 45\ -45\ 0]_s$ orientation showed higher strength compared to the $[0\ 45\ -45\ 90]_s$ laminate. The obtained results of the laminate orientation effect were matched with experimental test results.

ABSTRAK

Struktur diperkukuhkan gentian adalah digunakan secara meluas di dalam industry aero angkasa, penerbangan, kejuruteraan awam dan automotif disebabkan nilai spesifik modulus dan kekuatan spesifik yang tinggi. Di dalam sambungan yang disambung secara mekanikal tebukan lubang adalah diperlukan, dan penumpuan tekanan pada lubang akan mengakibatkan pengurangan yang signifikan terhadap kekuatan laminar komposit. Sesendal digunakan untuk menambahkan kekuatan lamina. Berdasarkan pengiraan elemen terhingga, kesan penggunaan sesendal terhadap kegagalan lamina dikaji di dalam tesis ini. Kriteria kegagalan Tsai-Wu digunakan untuk pengiraan kegagalan bahan. Satu lamina epoksi karbon dikenakan anjakan pin 0.4mm dan kemudiannya disimulasikan dengan model yang berbeza; dengan dan tanpa sesendal. Sampel juga mempunyai dua orientasi yang berbeza iaitu $[0\ 45\ -45\ 90]_s$ dan $[90\ 45\ -45\ 0]_s$ bagi mengkaji kesan susunan lapisan kepada kekuatan lamina. Keputusan menunjukkan peningkatan yang ketara terhadap kapasiti membawa bebanan pada sampel dengan sesendal disebabkan adanya kemuatan daya tekanan pada sesendal; yang menambahkan tempoh sebelum kegagalan bahan. Tambahan lagi, lamina dengan sesendal dan mempunyai orientasi $[90\ 45\ -45\ 0]_s$ menunjukkan kekuatan yang lebih tinggi berbanding orientasi lamina dengan susunan $[0\ 45\ -45\ 90]_s$. Keputusan kesan orientasi lamina yang diperolehi dibandingkan dengan keputusan ujian eksperimen.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter	Title	Page
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	ix
	LIST OF FIGURES	x
	LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS	xi
1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem statement	2
	1.3 Objective	3
	1.4 Scope of the project	3
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	4
	2.1 Composite materials	4
	2.1.1 Particle reinforced composites	6
	2.1.2 Fiber reinforced composites	7
	2.1.2.1 Critical fiber length	8

2.1.2.2	Fiber orientation and concentration effect	9
2.1.2.3	The fiber and matrix phase	11
2.1.3	Polymer matrix composites	13
2.1.4	Manufacturing of fiber reinforced composites	15
2.1.5	The structure of reinforced plastics	17
2.2	Macromechanics of composites	18
2.2.1	Classical lamination theory	19
2.3	Fiber reinforced composite structures with holes	27
2.3.1	Failure modes of bolted composite joints	28
2.3.2	Factors affecting joint strength	30
2.3.2.1	Geometric parameters	30
2.3.2.2	Preload moment and clamping pressure	33
2.3.2.3	Stacking sequence	36
2.3.2.4	Bolt-hole clearance	38
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Introduction	39
3.2	Theoretical basis	40
3.3	Modeling	41
3.3.1	Material parameters	41
3.3.2	Boundary conditions	43
3.3.3	Finite element models	43
4	EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	Introduction	45
4.2	Damage comparisons at different increments	46
4.3	Pin load-displacement graphs	49
5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMENDATION	
5.1	Conclusion	55
5.2	Recommendation	55
	References	57

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page
2.1	Characteristics of several fiber reinforcement materials	12
2.2	Properties of glass, carbon, aramid fiber reinforced epoxy composites	14
3.1	Carbon epoxy parameters	41
3.2	Used strength parameters of carbon epoxy lamina	42
3.3	The sample models dimensions	42
4.1	Required pin loads at increments 20 and 80 for all samples	49
4.2	Load-displacement data at yielding and maximum strength points	53

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page
2.1	Classification scheme for various composite types	5
2.2	Modulus of elasticity versus volume percent tungsten	7
2.3	The deformation pattern of the matrix under applied tension	8
2.4	Stress-position profiles	9
2.5	Stress-strain curves	10
2.6	Schematic diagrams showing the prepreg process	15
2.7	Schematic diagrams showing the SMC process	16
2.8	Schematic diagram of laminar composite structure	17
2.9	Schematic of a honeycomb structure	18
2.10	Laminate structure	22
2.11	Stress and moment resultants	24
2.12	Common failure modes in bolted composite plates	28
2.13	Local damage after bearing failure	29
2.14	Typical pinned joint configuration	31
2.15	Displacement-load curves	32
2.16	The effect of the hole shape on the failure factor	33
2.17	Initiation and propagation of damage	35
2.18	Damage progress near the hole of laminate $[(0/90)_6]_s$	37
3.1	Schematic of the models with and without bushing	44
4.1	Damage progress near the hole of laminate	47
4.2	Comparisons of damage along x-direction at 2 increments	48
4.3	Pin load-displacement graphs	51
4.4	Comparisons of load-displacement curves	52

LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

CFRP	Carbon fiber reinforced polymer
GFRP	Glass fiber reinforced polymer
UDC	Unidirectional composite
FEM	Finite element method
XFEM	Extend finite element method
SMC	Sheet molding compound
BMC	Bulk molding compound
et al.	And others
SL	Single layer
DL	Duplex layer
e.g.	For example
etc.	And so on

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

The advent of the composites as a distinct classification of materials began during the mid-20th century with the manufacturing of deliberately designed and engineered multiphase composites such as carbon or glass fiber reinforced polymers. Fiber reinforced composite materials have been widely used in aircraft and space structures because of their high specific modulus and high specific strength. As the use of composites has become popular in recent years, the design of the composite joints has become a very important research area. Also, improper design may lead to overweight of defective structures. These joints transfer loads between composite components and other composite or metallic parts.

There are three main methods for joining composite structures namely, bonding, mechanically fastened or a combination of the two. Among the different techniques for joining structural members, mechanical fastening through a pin or bolt is a common preference owing to low cost, simplicity, and facilitation of disassembly for fix. Opposing many metallic structural parts, for which the strength

of the joints is mainly governed by the shear and tensile strengths of the pins or bolts, composite joints present specific failure modes because of their heterogeneity and anisotropy.

Despite of aforementioned advantages, mechanical joining of composite structures requires holes to be drilled. These holes cause complicated stress concentration in the vicinity of the boundary of the holes, and thus significant reduction of load carrying capacity of the composite structure.

Thus, to improve mechanical behaviour of notched composite plates bushings can be used. The bushing is an interference fit in that the bushing outside diameter is larger than the hole diameter. This can be done by shrink fitting - a liquid nitrogen bath for the bushings - followed by quick and careful installation. The other method is to swage them in with a tapered mandrel.

Reliable analysis methods are required to predict accurately the behaviour and strength of bolted joints in composite structures without systematic use of experimental data. Pinned joints represent a particular case of bolted joint when there is no clamping force. With using the finite element (FE) analysis, the progressive damage models can be developed and simulated to achieve greater predictive capabilities. The aim of this work is to present an up-to-date refined FE model for fastened composite pinned joints including bushings. The model is based on a 3D progressive failure approach.

1.2 Problem statement

Based on literature review and importance of higher joint efficiency in composite structures, there are some significant reasons to carry out this project. Holes often occur in aircraft structures. After taking holes, the stress concentration is produced around the hole region, and it has a significant effect on the strength of the

composite laminates. The stress concentration depends on the geometric shape, size of the open hole and the size of round corner in the changed section [1].

Bearing and delamination which is a separation of fiber and matrix can happen as a result of high stress concentration at the vicinity of a pinned hole which weakens the strength of the composite laminates.

Although other investigations were done by many researches about failure analysis of fiber reinforced composites, there are not enough available results about composite plates with bushings.

1.3 Objective of the study

This project focuses on evaluation and prediction of failure state in composite plates due to the finite element method. Damage of composite laminates containing holes will be calculated with the Tsai-Wu failure criterion. The effect of load increments and stacking sequence on the failure of the composite structure will be discussed in this thesis.

1.4 Scope of the Study

The scope of study for this research is described in the following lines. Generation of appropriate finite element models based on MSC Marc was the first step. Then, the application of appropriate failure criteria was the next important step. The next step was the evaluation of the damage field in composites with and without bushings. Moreover, the effect of stacking sequence on strength, and damage zone was investigated. After all the prediction of the failure in different samples, this documentation was done.

REFERENCES

1. Z. Shen (1995), Composite aircraft structure durability/damage tolerance design guidelines (Aviation Industry Press, China).
2. W.D. Callister et al. (2009). Materials Science and Engineering, 8th edition, World Color USA/Versailles, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
3. K.L. Loewenstein (1993), The manufacturing technology of continuous glass fibers, 3rd revised edition, Elsevier.
4. S. Kalpakjian (2010), Manufacturing engineering & technology, 6th edition.
5. B. Zhang (2011), Journal of advanced materials research, Vols. 314-316, pp. 2243-2252.
6. ASM Metals Handbook, Vol. 21, p. 507.
7. B.G. Kiral (2010), Materials and design, Vol. 31, pp. 85-93.
8. B. M. İcten et al. (2006), Composite Structures, Vol. 73, pp. 443-450.
9. S. Faruk et al. (2008), Materials and Design, Vol. 29, pp. 1159-1169.
10. A. Valenza and V. Fiore (2007), Journal of Composite Materials, Vol. 41, No. 8, pp. 951-964.
11. F. Irissari et al. (2011), Journal of composite structures, Article in press
12. Y. Taner and S. Tamer (2007), Mechanics and Design, Vol.28, pp. 520-527.
13. J. Jadee et al. (2011), Key engineering material, Vols. 471-472, pp. 932-944.
14. S. Faruk et al. (2010), Indian Journal of Engineering and Materials Sciences, Vol. 17 (2010), pp. 39-48.

15. B. Murat and K. Ramazan (2002), *Composite Science and Technology*, Vol.62, pp. 1259-1271.
16. O. Buket et al. (2010), *Composite Science and Technology*, Vol. 61, pp. 1491-1497.
17. A. Osman (2009), *Composite Structures*, Vol. 90, pp. 43-52.
18. Z. Wang et al. (2012), *Key engineering materials*, Vols. 488-489, pp. 771-774
19. L. Dahsin et al. (1999), *Journal of Composite Materials*, Vol. 33, pp. 2-21.
20. H. Hosseini et al. (2011), *Key engineering materials*, Vols. 471-472, pp.568-571.
21. R. Karakuzu et al. (2008), *Composite Structures*, Vol. 82, pp. 225-234.
22. A.K. Nada et al. (2009), *Composite Structures*, Vol. 91, pp. 266-277.
23. F. Zhenyu et al. (2011), *Advanced materials research*, Vols. 160-162, pp. 71-75
24. C. Maajid et al. (2010), *Material Science and Engineering*, Vol.10, pp. 1-10.
25. G. Claire et al. (2003), *Mechanics of Advanced Materials and Structures*, Vol. 10, pp. 1-21.
26. A. Atas et al. (2012), *Composite structures*, Vol. 94, pp.548-552.
27. Y. Liu et al. (2006), *Key engineering materials*, Vols. 324-325, pp. 395-398.
28. H.J. Park (2001), *Composite Structures*, Vol. 53, pp. 213-221.
29. W.J. Quinn and F.L. Matthews (1977), *Journal of Composite Materials*, Vol.11, pp. 139-145.
30. Fu-kuo et al. (1984), *Journal of composite materials*, Vol. 18, pp. 465-475.
31. A. Aktas et al. (2003), *Composite structures*, Vol. 62, pp. 107-111.
32. B. Zhang et al. (2011), *Advanced Materials Research*, Vols. 314-316, pp.2243-2252.
33. M. A. McCarthy and C.T. McCarthy (2002), *Journal of Plastics, Rubber and composites*, Vol. 32, No. 2, pp. 1-11.

34. V. P. Lawlor et al. (2002), *Journal of Plastics, Rubber and composites*, Vol. 31, No. 9, pp. 405-411.
35. L. Tong (2000), *Composite: Part A*, Vol. 31, pp. 609-615.
36. K. Kaw (2006), *Mechanics of composite materials*, 2nd edition