

A DEVELOPMENT OF MODEL FOR SERVICE QUALITY, STUDENT
SATISFACTION, STUDENT LOYALTY AND PERCEIVED VALUE IN
THE UNIVERSITY OF NOTTINGHAM, MALAYSIA

ZARITH THURAYA BINTI ABD AZIZ

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

A DEVELOPMENT OF MODEL FOR SERVICE QUALITY, STUDENT
SATISFACTION, STUDENT LOYALTY AND PERCEIVED VALUE
IN THE UNIVERSITY OF NOTTINGHAM, MALAYSIA

ZARITH THURAYA BINTI ABD AZIZ

A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Master of Management (Technology)

Faculty of Management
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

DECEMBER 2014

I dedicate this thesis to my beloved parents and all my family members

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah SWT, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful, Alhamdulillah, all praised to Allah for the strengths and HIS blessing in completing this thesis.

This research paper was prepared for the partial fulfillment of Master in Management (Technology). I would like to thank to all people who made this study possible. First and foremost, I would like to acknowledge my supervisor, Prof.Madya Shoki Md. Ariff for his guidance, support and help throughout this study. It is an honor for me to complete this study under his supervision and guidance. I'm also grateful to Dr. Norhayati Zakwan and Dr. Aslan Amat Senin for comments and advice to complete this study.

I owe my deepest gratitude to my late mother, Kamariah Abd Shukor for her advices and support that encouraged me to complete this study. I would like to show my gratitude to my father, Abd Aziz Othman and my family for all support, advices and help to complete my Master in Management (Technology).

Last, but not least, I would like to thanks to all my friends for their support and help throughout this study, without their support I would never be able to finish my study. May ALLAH SWT bless all of you.

ABSTRACT

Delivering superior service quality is becoming important elements in order to generate and maintaining loyal customers and it will be same in the higher education sector context. The higher education management or the universities have to provide superior service quality in order to retain loyal students and remain competitive in the industry. This study aims to investigate: i) the relationship between service quality and student satisfaction ii) the relationship between student satisfaction and student loyalty, and iii) the mediating effect of student's perceived value on the relationship between service quality and student satisfaction. The research was conducted at University of Nottingham, Malaysia Campus and 355 students were selected randomly as a sample of this study. Then, a total of 220 set questionnaires were collected out of 355 set questionnaires distributed, indicating 61.97% of questionnaires returned. This study employs modified HEDPERF instruments (non-academic, academic, reputation, access, programme issues) and two additional dimensions (educational resources and financing). The Factor Analysis, Pearson Correlation and Hierarchical Regression model (to test mediation effects) were used in this study. The result of this study indicated that all dimensions of service quality have a positive relationship with student satisfaction. It also shows the high correlation between student satisfaction and student loyalty ($r=0.787$). Meanwhile, perceived value also identified has a partial mediator role on the relationship between service quality and student satisfaction. Based on this study, financing dimension has identified as an important role in influencing student satisfaction. This study also may provide useful information for University Nottingham, Malaysia in improving service quality, student satisfaction, student loyalty and perceived value.

ABSTRAK

Penyediaan perkhidmatan kualiti yang baik menjadi elemen yang penting dalam melahirkan dan mengekalkan kesetiaan pelanggan dan begitu juga dalam konteks institusi pengajian tinggi dimana universiti perlu menyediakan kualiti perkhidmatan yang baik untuk mengekalkan kesetiaan pelajar pada institusi dan kekal kompetitif dalam industry pendidikan. Kajian ini bertujuan mengkaji i) hubungan di antara kualiti perkhidmatan dan kepuasan pelajar ii) hubungan di antara kepuasan pelajar dan kesetiaan pelajar iii) kesan pengantaraan nilai yang diterima kepada hubungan kualiti perkhidmatan dan kepuasan pelajar. Kajian ini dijalankan di Universiti Nottingham, Kampus Malaysia dan seramai 355 sampel pelajar telah di pilih secara rawak. Sebanyak 220 soal selidik telah dikumpul daripada 355 soal selidik yang diedarkan menunjukkan 61.97% pulangan. Kajian ini menggunakan instrumen modifikasi HEDPERF (bukan akademik, akademik, reputasi, akses, isu program) dan dua dimensi tambahan (sumber pendidikan dan kewangan). Faktor analisis, korelasi pearson dan regresi hierarki (kesan pengantaraan) telah digunakan di dalam kajian ini. Hasil kajian menunjukkan semua dimensi kualiti perkhidmatan mempunyai hubungan yang positif dengan kepuasan pelajar. Ia juga menunjukkan kepuasan pelajar mempunyai korelasi yang tinggi dengan kesetiaan pelajar ($r=0.787$). Manakala, nilai yang diterima juga mempunyai peranan sebahagian pengantara di antara hubungan kualiti perkhidmatan dan kepuasan pelajar. Berdasarkan kajian ini, dimensi kewangan mempunyai peranan yang penting dalam mempengaruhi kepuasan pelajar. Kajian ini juga menyumbang maklumat yang berguna kepada pihak pengurusan Universiti Nottingham, Kampus Malaysia dalam menambahbaikkan kualiti perkhidmatan, kepuasan pelajar, kesetiaan pelajar dan kesan pengantara nilai yang diterima.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xviii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xix
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Background of the Study	2
	1.3 The University of Nottingham, Malaysia Campus	5
	1.4 Problem Statement	5
	1.5 Research Questions	7
	1.6 Objective of the Study	8
	1.7 Significance of the Study	9
	1.8 Scope of the Study	10
	1.9 Chapter Summary	11

2	LITERATURE REVIEW	12
2.1	Introduction	12
2.2	Review of literature related to Service quality, Student Satisfaction, Student loyalty and Perceived Value in Private Higher Education	13
2.3	Theories of Service quality	15
2.3.1	Gronroos theory	15
2.3.2	Service gap theory	16
2.3.3	The Dynamic Process model	18
2.4	Service quality in higher education	18
2.5	Instruments for measuring service quality	20
2.5.1	SERVQUAL MODEL	20
2.5.2	SERVPERF MODEL	22
2.5.3	HEDPERF MODEL	23
2.5.4	Pivotal, Core and Peripheral (PCP) Attributes Model	25
2.6	Measures service quality in higher education	27
2.7	Review of dimensions to measure service quality in Higher education institution	28
2.8	Customer satisfaction	33
2.8.1	Theory of customer satisfaction	34
2.8.1.1	The Expectation –disconfirmation theory	35
2.8.1.2	Cognitive and affective component	35
2.8.2	Measuring customer satisfaction	36
2.8.2.1	The Expectancy-disconfirmation theory	37
2.8.3	Measuring student satisfaction	38
2.8.4	Service quality and Student Satisfaction	39
2.9	Customer loyalty	42
2.9.1	Theory of Customer loyalty	43
2.9.2	Measuring Customer loyalty	44
2.9.3	Student satisfaction and Student loyalty	45

2.10	Theory of Value	47
	2.10.1 Measuring Perceived value	49
2.11	Perceived Value and its mediating role in the relationship between Service Quality and Student Satisfaction	51
2.12	Conceptual Framework	53
2.13	Hypotheses Development	56
2.14	Chapter Summary	61
3	METHODOLOGY	62
3.1	Introduction	62
3.2	Research Design	62
3.3	Population and Sampling Procedure	63
	3.3.1 Target Population	64
	3.3.2 Sampling Techniques	65
	3.3.3 Sample Size	65
3.4	Types of Data	66
	3.4.1 Primary Data	67
	3.4.2 Secondary Data	67
3.5	Instrument Development	68
	3.5.1 Questionnaire Design	68
3.6	Validity	75
	3.6.1 Factor Analysis	76
	3.6.2 Reliability	77
3.7	Data Analysis	77
	3.7.1 Descriptive Analysis	78
	3.7.2 Pearson Correlation	78
	3.7.3 Hierarchical Regression Model	79
3.8	Summary statistical analysis	81
3.9	Chapter Summary	82

4	DATA ANALYSIS	83
4.1	Introduction	83
4.2	Response rate	83
4.3	Respondents Profile Analysis	84
4.4	Validity Analysis	86
4.4.1	Service quality	86
4.4.2	Student satisfaction	96
4.4.3	Student loyalty	98
4.4.4	Perceived value	100
4.5	Reliability	102
4.6	Examining data variables and assumption test	103
4.6.1	The Normality test	104
4.6.2	Linearity test	105
4.6.3	The Multicollinearity test	106
4.7	Correlation analysis	107
4.7.1	The relationship between Service Quality and Student Satisfaction	108
4.7.2	The relationship between Student Satisfaction and Loyalty towards the Private Higher Education Institution	111
4.8	Hierarchical Regression (Mediator)	112
4.8.1	Mediating effect of Perceived Value on the relationship between Service Quality and Student Satisfaction	112
4.9	Summary of Hypotheses test	131
4.10	Chapter Summary	135
5	DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS	136
5.1	Introduction	136
5.2	Summary of the Main Findings	136
5.3	Research Findings	138

5.3.1	Findings on the research objective 1: The relationship between Service Quality and Student Satisfaction	138
5.3.2	Findings on the research objective 2: The relationship of Student Satisfaction to Student Loyalty in a Private higher Education Institution	140
5.3.3	Findings on the research objective 3: mediating role of Perceived Value on the relationship between Service Quality and Student Satisfaction	141
5.4	Implication of the Findings	143
5.5	Chapter Summary	147
6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	148
6.1	Introduction	148
6.2	Conclusion	148
6.3	Recommendation for Higher Management for Future Studies	150
6.3.1	Recommendation for Higher Education Management	150
6.4	Limitation and Recommendation for future studies	152
6.4.1	Limitation of the Study	152
6.4.2	Recommendation for Future Studies	153
6.5	Chapter Summary	155
	REFERENCES	156
	Appendices A-B	181 - 190

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	The enrollment of student in Malaysia Higher Education Institution	3
1.2	Total numbers of Private Higher Education Institutions in Malaysia in year 2009 and year 2014	3
2.1	Service quality dimensions as identified by several scholars	28
2.2	The dimensions that contribute to student Satisfaction	40
2.3	Understanding Customer Value	48
2.4	The factors to measure perceived value	50
2.5	The Perceived value mediates the relationship between Service Quality and Student Satisfaction	53
3.1	Likert scales table for service quality, Student Satisfaction, Student Loyalty and Perceived Value	70
3.2 (a)	Service Quality and items constructed	70
3.2 (b)	Student satisfaction items constructed	73

3.2 (c)	Student loyalty items constructed	74
3.2 (d)	Perceived value items constructed	75
3.3	The level of strength in relationship between Parameters	79
3.4	Mediation effect analysis step	80
3.5	Summary of Statistical Analysis	81
4.1	The Frequency table of respondent's profile	84
4.2	KMO and Bartlett's test (service quality)	87
4.3	Total Variance Explained (service quality)	88
4.4	The Rotated Component Matrix (service quality)	90
4.5	KMO and Bartlett's test (Second round)	91
4.6	Total Variance Explained (Second round)	92
4.7	The Rotated Component Matrix (Second round)	93
4.8	The Implication of Service Quality Dimensions	95
4.9	KMO and Bartlett's test Analysis (student satisfaction)	96
4.10	Total Variance Explained (student satisfaction)	97
4.11	The Component Matrix (student satisfaction)	97
4.12	The KMO and Bartlett's analysis (student loyalty)	98

4.13	Total Variance Explained (student loyalty)	99
4.14	The Component Matrix (student loyalty)	99
4.15	The KMO and Bartlett's test Analysis (perceived value)	100
4.16	Total Variance Explained (perceived value)	101
4.17	The Component Matrix (perceived value)	102
4.18	The Cronbach's alpha for all variables	103
4.19	The Normality test	104
4.20	Multicollinearity analysis of Perceived Value mediate the relationship between Service Quality and Student Satisfaction	107
4.21	The correlation between Service Quality and Student Satisfaction	110
4.22	Correlation between Student Satisfaction and Student Loyalty	111
4.23	Relationship between Academic and Student Satisfaction	114
4.24	Relationship between Non-Academic and Student Satisfaction	114
4.25	Relationship between Reputation and Student Satisfaction	114
4.26	Relationship between Access and Student Satisfaction	115

4.27	Relationship between Programme Issues and Student Satisfaction	115
4.28	Relationship between Educational Resources and Student Satisfaction	115
4.29	Relationship between Financing and Student Satisfaction	116
4.30	Relationship between Academic and Perceived Value	117
4.31	Relationship between Non-Academic and Perceived Value	117
4.32	Relationship between Reputation and Perceived Value	117
4.33	Relationship between Access and Perceived Value	118
4.34	Relationship between Programme Issues and Perceived Value	118
4.35	Relationship between Educational Resources and Perceived Value	118
4.36	Relationship between Financing and Perceived Value	119
4.37	Relationship between Perceived Value and Student Satisfaction	120
4.38	Relationship between Academic, Perceived value and Student Satisfaction	121
4.39	Result of Hierarchical multiple regression analysis for mediating effect of Perceived Value on the relationship between Academic and Student Satisfaction	121

4.40	Relationship between Non-Academic, Perceived Value and Student Satisfaction	122
4.41	Results of Hierarchical multiple regression analysis for mediating effect of Perceived Value on the relationship between Non-Academic and Student Satisfaction	122
4.42	Relationship between Reputation, Perceived Value and Student Satisfaction	123
4.43	Results of Hierarchical regression analysis model of Mediating effect of Perceived Value on the relationship between Reputation and Student Satisfaction	124
4.44	Relationship between Access, Perceived Value and Student Satisfaction	125
4.45	Results of Hierarchical regression analysis model of mediating effect of Perceived Value on the relationship between Access and Student Satisfaction	125
4.46	Relationship between Programme Issues, Perceived Value and Student Satisfaction	126
4.47	Results of Hierarchical regression analysis model of mediating effect of Perceived Value on the relationship between Programme Issues and Student Satisfaction	126
4.48	Relationship between Educational Resources, Perceived Value and Student Satisfaction	127

4.49	Results of Hierarchical regression analysis model of mediating effect of Perceived Value on the relationship between Educational resources and Student Satisfaction	128
4.50	Relationship between Financing, Perceived Value and Student Satisfaction	129
4.51	Results of Hierarchical regression analysis model of the mediating effect of Financing, Perceived Value and Student Satisfaction	129
4.52	Summary of testing mediator hypotheses	130
4.53	Summary of Hypotheses testing	131

LIST OF FIGURE

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Gap model	17
2.2	The Pivotal, Core and Peripheral attributes model	26
2.3	The Expectation-Disconfirmation model	38
2.4	Student Loyalty Assessment model	47
2.5	The Conceptual Framework of Service Quality, Student Satisfaction and Perceived Value	56
4.1	The Linearity Analysis	106

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Example of Questionnaire	181
B	Table of the Normality test	188

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Malaysia consist 574 private higher education institutions in 2012 (Minister of Higher Education, 2012). Both of public and private higher education institutions playing a same role and they offer a wide range of courses to local and international students. Therefore, higher education institutions realized it is important to deliver high quality service and ensure students are satisfied with the service provided. According to National Higher Education Strategic Plan 2020 which had launched by Ministry of Higher Education (2007), the plan intends to transform local higher education in sync with the global landscape (Chapman *et al*, 2007). It describes two of seven thrusts states in the plan are widening access and enhancing quality and improving the quality of teaching and learning. Higher education institution acts as service provider which have direct interactions with students and student acts as main customer or service receiver who may responses on services provided by the institution. Meanwhile, student acts as a main customer of higher education institution. Therefore, it is important to considered student satisfaction factor as this issue important in service marketing. Besides that, customer plays a vital role to determine the success of business. Therefore, analyzing of customer needs is an important duty to increases the success of business (Parasuraman, Zeithaml and

Berry, 1988). Therefore, this study will provides a direction for educational management, administrator and scholars to understand student needs, service quality, student satisfaction, student loyalty and perceived value in the University of Nottingham, Malaysia Campus.

1.2 Background of the Study

In today's competitive educational environment, most higher education institutions looking for more innovative ways to achieve competitive advantage in order to attract students to their institution, improve their efficiency and make student retained to the institution. As stated by Rust *et al* (2000) customer loyalty has a powerful impact on the firm's performance and it is considered by many companies as an important source of competitive advantage. Therefore, the institutional providers have to differentiate their service offering by cultivating long term relationship with customers (student) in order to create competitive advantage. Then, the education industry in Malaysia has growth and it can be seen through several areas such as the increasing student enrollment, increasing number of higher education institutions, increasing in government spending, additional government policies in promoting education and the country continuous need for human resources (Ariffin, 2008). As in Table 1.1 it shows the increasing student enrollment in Malaysia. Meanwhile, Table 1.2 shows the total of private higher education institution in Malaysia.

Table 1.1: The Enrollment of student in Malaysia Higher Education Institutions
(Source:9th Malaysia Plan).

Level of Study	Number of students								
	2000			2005			2010		
	Public	Private	Total	Public	Private	Total	Public	Private	Total
Certificate	23,816	81,754	105,570	37,931	94,949	132,800	141,290	143,480	284,770
Diploma	91,398	117,056	208,454	98,953	131,428	230,381	285,690	188,680	474,3470
First Degree	170,794	59,932	230,726	212,326	110,591	322,917	293,650	134,550	428,800
Master	24,007	2,174	26,181	34,436	4,202	38,638	111,550	5,770	117,320
PhD	3,359	131	3,490	6,742	140	6,882	21,410	270	21,680
Total	313,374	261,047	574,421	390,388	341,310	731,698	853,590	472,750	1,326,340

Table 1.2: Total numbers of Private Higher Education Institutions in Malaysia in Year 2009 and Year 2014 (Source: Ministry of Higher Education)

Private Higher Education Institutions in 2009 and 2014		
Categories of Private Higher Education Institutions	Number (2009)	Number (2014)
Private University and College	448	505
Foreign University branch campus in Malaysia	5	9
Total of active IPTS	453	533

As in Table 1.1, it shows the increasing number of student enrollment in both public and private higher education institutions from year 2000 to year 2010 and it has contribute to the growth of private higher education in Malaysia as shown in Table 1.2. Besides that, the other factors also may contributes to the growth of private higher education institutions in Malaysia such as the significant changes in the infrastructure and system of higher education in Malaysia. As stated by Vaz and Mansori (2013) the significant changes in the infrastructure and the system of higher education in Asia (e.g: Hong Kong, Singapore, and Malaysia) have contributed to the exponential growth in supply and demand in the higher education institution in a very short period of time.

Besides that, limited number of public higher education institutions in Malaysia also contribute to the growth of private higher education institution as the available number of public higher education institutions (IPTA) in Malaysia can only provide access to a limited number of students (Mohamed Ali, 2010). Thus, student who fail to enter public institution tend to continue study at private institution. Therefore, it leads to high demand for private higher education institutions. Then, as there is increasing number of private higher education institutions in Malaysia, the competitive marketing strategy is required for a private institution to create competitive advantage such as provides high service quality to students. As stated by Mohamed Ali (2010) IPTS or private higher education institutions must be able to provide quality higher education similar to that provided by public universities in order to ensure that students receive quality higher education services. Therefore, it encouraged author to study service quality, student satisfaction, student loyalty, perceived value and the interrelationship between them in The University of Nottingham, Malaysia Campus.

1.3 The University of Nottingham, Malaysia Campus

The University of Nottingham began in the city of Nottingham, United Kingdom in 1881 and known as a civic college. Then, they became the University of Nottingham in 1948. After few years, they extended to become a global university with several numbers of campuses at home and international campuses in China and Malaysia. The University of Nottingham, Malaysia campus was opened in year 2000 and become the first campus of a British University opened in Malaysia. Besides that, the University of Nottingham, Malaysia campus is one of the first anywhere in the world earning the Queen's award for Enterprise 2001 and the Queen's award for industry (International Trade) 2006. The University of Nottingham, Malaysia confers the same degrees as those in the United Kingdom and all courses are taught in English. Then, currently, the University of Nottingham, Malaysia campus consist 3,177 students for academic session 2012/2013 with 2,129 students are international students. Thus, this University was chosen to conduct this study.

1.4 Problem Statement

The rapid growth of private higher education institutions in Malaysia encouraged them to deliver superior services. Therefore, it created more options for students and makes the competition more intense among private higher education institutions. Moreover, the similar programs offered in both public and private higher education institutions make them hard to implement strategies to create competitive advantage. So, educational providers have to focus on improving their service quality in order to differentiate themselves to others competitors. As stated by Thomas (2011) educational institutions are forced to commit themselves to certain quality criteria and adopt a market orientation strategy to differentiate themselves from their competitors by delivering superior quality service. Thus, it is important for the institution to deliver high service quality. Therefore, future study is required

to identify factors of service quality that influence student satisfaction in order to improve their services. Besides that, as review of literatures the author identified most studies on service quality emphasizes in public higher education (e.g: Janardhana and Rajashekar, 2012, Basheer *et al*, 2012, Raheem *et al*, 2012, R.jain *et al*, 2010, Halil *et al*, 2009) and less emphasizes in private higher education institutions especially in Malaysia. Therefore, it has encouraged for future studies to obtain more information on service quality in the private higher education context.

As review of literatures, it shows that service quality as one of the important factor for higher education institution to create competitive advantage. Therefore, the institution has to ensure that students will satisfy with services provided by the institution in order to remain competitive in the industry. As concludes by Vipin Kumar (2014) service quality is a vital factor that determines the level of student satisfaction. However, lack of literatures on service quality and student satisfaction in the private education industry especially in Malaysia private colleges and Universities (Mansori *et al*, 2014) encouraged for future studies to validate this relationship and identify factors that contributes more on student satisfaction in the University of Nottingham, Malaysia Campus.

Besides that, as review of literatures it shows that student loyalty is very important to improve its competitiveness (Soedijati, 2013). Moreover, student loyalty is one of the critical factors to win the competition to attract students to their institutions (Elisabeth, 2013). So, it shows that student loyalty is important as a source of competitive advantage for higher education. Then, several studies also found that student satisfaction has a relationship with student loyalty (e.g. Baseer *et al*, 2012, Sam Thomas, 2011, Mahadzirah and Zainudin, 2009, Arambewela and Hall, 2009) but there are still arguing among scholars that the other factors may affect more on student loyalty. Therefore, it has encouraged for further study to identify the relationship between this variables in the University of Nottingham, Malaysia Campus.

Then, as review of literatures student perceived value also important in long term success for higher education institution. As stated by Phadke and Bhagwat (2011) value is a critical factor in the long term success of an educational institution that aims at attracting and retaining students. Thus, the institution has to understand how students perceive the value of services they offer so that the higher education institution can improve their services in order to attracting and retaining students. Meanwhile, Hamid and Noor (2013) found few studies proven perceived value to be the antecedents for customer's behavior and attitude such as intention and decision to purchase, satisfaction, loyalty and willingness to recommend a particular offering. Besides that, only few studies have explored the concept of perceived value within the higher education context (Hamid and Noor, 2013). Therefore, it encourages for higher education institution to consider students perceived value issues in order to identify whether student perceived value has a mediating effect on the relationship between service quality and student satisfaction in the University of Nottingham, Malaysia Campus.

1.5 Research Questions

The objectives of this study are to examine the relationship between service quality (non- academic aspects, academic aspects, access, reputation, programme issues, educational resources and financing) and student satisfaction. Then, examine the relationship between student satisfaction and student loyalty. Finally, examine the mediating effect of perceived value on the relationship between service quality and student satisfaction. Therefore, several questions have been addressed:

- 1) Does service quality (non-academic aspects, academic aspect, reputation, access, programme issues, educational resources and financing) have a positive relationship with student satisfaction in the private higher education institution?
- 2) Does student satisfaction have a relationship on student loyalty towards the private higher education institution?
- 3) Does the student perceived value mediate the relationship between service quality and student satisfaction in the private higher education institution?

1.6 Objectives of the Study

The objectives of this study are followed:

RO1: To examine the relationship between service quality (non-academic aspects, academic aspects, reputation, access, programme issues, educational resources and financing) and student satisfaction in the private higher education institution.

RO2: To examine the relationship between student satisfaction and their loyalty towards the private higher education institution.

RO 3: To examine the mediating effect of the student's perceived value of higher education on the relationship between service quality and student satisfaction.

1.7 Significance of the study

The results of this study would give new insight on measurement of service quality in higher education especially for the private higher education institution. This study identified HEDPERF model is the best measurement of service quality in higher education but the author employs a modified HEDPERF as several items do not fit with HEDPERF dimensions. Therefore, seven dimensions of service quality have been proposed in order to fit in the latest trend with five dimension were adopted from HEDPERF model (non-academic, academic, reputation, access, programme issues) and two additional dimensions of service quality (educational resources and financing) were adopted from previous studies and literatures. Besides that, the study on the relations between service quality and student satisfaction and the study on the relation between student satisfaction and student loyalty would give deeper vision to scholars on these relationships. Moreover, by investigating the relationship between service quality and student satisfaction it would be identify which dimension have more impact on student satisfaction. So, the educational management could plan strategies to improve their services. Besides that, by investigating student perceived value as a mediator on the relationship between service quality and student satisfaction it could contribute information on this study as lack of studies emphasizes on value in higher education institution especially in the private higher education institution.

Then, practically this study is beneficial for educational management of University of Nottingham, Malaysia Campus to improve their service quality. Besides that, this study is also beneficial for the stakeholder to plan strategies for the dimensions that need improvement (Ernest and Tan, 2013). Then, the results of this study also can contribute information and help educational management of the institution in determining the satisfaction level for management to enhance the service provided by the institution (Subrahmanyam *et al*, 2013). Furthermore, the study on the relationship between service quality and student satisfaction also can give in-depth insight for educational management into how students perceived the quality of the services offered at the institutions and how satisfied they are with these

offerings (Thorsten *et al*, 2005). So, the institution can understand how service quality influence student satisfaction and plan a strategy to improve their service in order to satisfied student which can attract potential students and existing student retained to the institution.

1.8 Scope of the study

This research is focused on student perception of service quality and its relationship with student satisfaction, relationship between student satisfaction and student loyalty and the mediating effect of student perceived value on the relationship between service quality and student satisfaction at the University of Nottingham, Malaysia. This study decided to employ HEDPERF model and its dimension of service quality which consists five dimensions (non-academic, academic, reputation, access and programme issues) and the author add two dimensions (educational resources and financing) as reviews in previous studies and literatures of service quality in higher education institutions. Then, this study focuses on University of Nottingham, Malaysia students (private higher education institution) and the reason to choose this University is because they are first British University established in Malaysia in year 2000 and one of the private higher education institution operated more than 10 years in Malaysia. Thus, respondents of this study are students in this University. Therefore, to analyze the data questionnaire was used to collect the data.

1.9 Chapter summary

This chapter consist the background of this study and the scenario of service quality in higher education institutions. Besides that, it also consist the problem statement of this study, objectives of the study that identified the purpose of this study and research questions. Moreover, the scope of this study focuses on students at the University of Nottingham, Malaysia. Then, in chapter 2 it discusses the literature review related to this study.

REFERENCES

- Abu Hasan.H.Illias.R., Rahman.R. and Abd Razak.M. (2008). Service quality and student satisfaction: A case study at Private Higher Education Institutions. *International Business Research*, 1(3), 136-175.
- Adriani Kusumawati (2013). A qualitative study of the factors influencing student choice: The case of Public University in Indonesia. *Journal of Basic and Applied Scientific Research*, 3(1), 314-327.
- Ahmed, I., Nawaz, M.M.,Ahmad,Z., Ahmad, Z, Shaukat, M.Z., Usman, A., Wasim-ul-Rehman and Ahmed, N. (2010). Does Service Quality Affects Students Performance? Evidence from Institutes of Higher Learning. *African Journal of Business Management*, 4(12), 2527-2533.
- Aken.V.E.(2008). Customer satisfaction measurement. *Industrial Management*, 50(6),9.
- A.K.Hazlina, Nesim.R.and Reza Masinaei (2011). Impacts of Service Quality on Customer Satisfaction: Study in Online Banking and ATM Services in Malaysia. *International Journal Trade Economy Finance*, 2(1).
- Aldridge.S., and Rowley.(1998). Measuring customer satisfaction in higher education. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 6(4),197-204.
- Aldridge.S. and Rowley.J.(2001). Conducting a withdrawal survey. *Quality in Higher Education*, 7(1),55-63.
- Alireza Jalali and Mastura Jaafar (2013). Service Satisfaction: The case of higher learning institution in Malaysia Universal. *Journal of Education and General studies*, 2(8),265-275.
- Aly.N. and Akpovi.J. (2001). Total quality management in California Public higher education. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 9(3), 127-131.
- Alves.H. and Raposo.M.(2007). Conceptual model of student satisfaction in Higher Education. *Total Quality Management*, 18(5), 571-588.
- Ana Brochado (2009). Comparing alternative instruments to measure service quality in higher education. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 17(2),174-190.

- Anbalagan Krishnan, Keling Stevenson.B.A. and Oktavia Nurtjahja (2012).
Evaluative criteria for Private Universities and Colleges. *Curtin Insight*.
Retrieved on March 12, 2013, from <http://www.curtin.edu.my/campusnews/Insight/2012>.
- Ancheh.K.S.B., Krishnan, A. And Nurtjahja.O. (2007). Evaluative criteria for selection of Private Universities and Colleges in Malaysia. *Journal of International Management Studies*, 2(1), 1-11.
- Anderson.E.W. and Sullivan.M.W.(1993). The antecedents and consequences of customer satisfaction for firms. *Marketing Science*, 12,125-143.
- Anderson.E.W.(1998). Customer satisfaction and word of mouth. *Journal of Service Research*,1,7-15.
- Anita Quinn, Gina Lemay, Peter Larsen and Dana.M.Johnson (2009). Service quality in higher education. *Total Quality Management and Business Excellence*, 20(2), 139-152.
- A.Raheem.M.Y.,Za'faran.H. and Sofiah.A.R.(2012). Educational service quality at Public higher educational institutions: A proposed framework and importance of the sub-dimensions. *International Journal of Economics Business and Management Studies*, 1(2),36-49.
- Arambewela.R. and Hall.J.(2006). A comparative analysis of International Education Satisfaction using Servqual. *Journal of Services Research*,6,141-163.
- Arambewela.R., and Hall.J.(2009). The role of personal values in enhancing student experience and satisfaction among international students. *Asian Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistic*, 21(4), 555-569.
- Ariffin, Ahmad.M.S. and Ibrahim (2008). Determining decision making styles and demographic differences in selecting higher education services among Malaysian. *International Journal of Business Society*, 1,1-18.
- Ashim Kayastha (2011). *A study of graduate student satisfaction towards service quality of Universities in Thailand*. Master Thesis. Webster University.
- Athiyaman.A.(1997). Linking student satisfaction and service quality perceptions: The Case of University Education. *European Journal of Marketing*, 31(7), 528-540.
- Athiyaman.A.(2004). Antecedents and Consequences of Student Satisfaction with University Services: A Longitudinal Analysis. *Academy of Marketing Studies Journal*.

- Avdijieva.M.. and Wilson.M.(2002). Exploring the development of quality in higher education. *Journal Managing Service Quality*,12(6),372-383.
- Azham Othman (2008). *A comparative study on service quality between public and private higher education institutions*. Master Thesis. University Utara Malaysia.
- Azleen Ilias, Rahida.A.R., M.Zulkeflee.A.R.(2008). Service quality and student satisfaction: A case study at private higher education institutions. Canadian Centre of Science and Education. *International Business Research*, 1(3).
- Azman Ismail, Muhammad Madi.A., Sebastian, K.Francis (2009). Exploring the relationship among service quality features, perceived value and customer satisfaction. *Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management*, 2(1),230-250.
- Baron.R.M.and Kenny.D.A.(1986). The moderator-mediators variable distinction in social psychological research:Conceptual,strategic and statistical consideration. *Journal of Personality and social Psychology*, 51(6),1173-1182.
- Baron.S. and Harris.K.(2003). *Services Marketing. Texts and Cases*, (2nd ed), Palgrave.
- Basheer,Al-alak and Ahmad Salih.M.A.(2012). Assessing the relationship between higher education service quality dimensions and student satisfaction. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Science*, 6(1),156-164.
- Bauer.H.H., Falk.T., and Hammerschimdt.M. (2006). eTransqual: A transaction process-based approach for capturing service quality in online shopping. *Journal of Business Research*, 59 (7), 866-875.
- Baxter Magolda.M.B.(2001). *Making their own way: Narrative for transforming higher education to promote self-development*. Sterling.VA.Stylus.
- Bay.D. and Daniel.H.(2001). The student is not customer: An alternative perspective. *Journal of Marketing for Higher Education*, 11(1),1-19.
- Becket. N. and Brookes.M.(2006). Evaluating quality management in University Departments. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 14(2), 123-142.
- Bei.L.T. and Y.C.Chiao (2001). An Integrated model for the effects of perceived product, perceived service quality and perceived price fairness on customer satisfaction and loyalty. *Journal of consumer satisfaction, dissatisfaction and complaining behavior*,14,125-141.

- Bhattacharjee.A. and Premkumar.G.(2004). Understanding changes in belief and attitude toward information technology usage: A theoretical model and longitudinal test. *MIS Quarterly*, 28(2), 229-254.
- Bishop (1984). Competitive intelligence. *Progressive Grocer*, 63 (3), 19-20.
- Boulding.W., Kalra.A.,Stealin.R. and Zeithaml.V.A.(1993). A dynamic process model of service quality from expectations to behavioural intentions. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 30(1), 7-27.
- Bolton.R.N. and Drew.J.H.(1991). A multi-stage model of customer's assessments of service quality and value. *Journal of Marketing*,17,375-384.
- Bordern.V.M.H.(1995). Segmenting student markets with a student satisfaction and priorities survey. *Research in Higher Education*,36(1),73-88.
- Bigne.E.,Moliner.M.A. and Sachnez.J.(2003). Perceived quality and satisfaction in multi service organizations:The case of Spanish public services. *Journal of Service Marketing*, 17(4), 420-442.
- Brooks.R., and Everett.G.(2009). Post-graduation reflections on the value of a degree. *British Education Research Journal*, 35(3),333-349.
- Brown.T.J.,Churchill Jr.G.A.,and Peter.J.P.(1993). Improving the measurement of service quality. *Journal of Retailing*, 69 (1), 127-139.
- Brown.R.M., and Mazzarol.T.W.(2009). The importance of Institutional image to student satisfaction and loyalty within higher education. *Journal of Higher Education*, 57(1),81-95.
- Browne.B.,Kaladenberg.D.,Browne.W. and Brown.D.(1998). Student as customers: Factors affecting satisfaction and assessment of institutional quality. *Journal of Marketing for Higher Education*, 8(3),1-14.
- Carey.K., Cambiano.R.L., and DE Vore.JB.(2002). Student to faculty satisfaction at a Midwestern University in United States. Retrieved 17 May, 2012, 93-97, from <http://www.ecu.edu.au//conferences/herdsa/main/paper/ref/pdf/carey>
- Carrillat.F.,F.Jaramillo and J.Mulki. (2009). Examining the impact of service quality: A meta-analysis of empirical evidence. *The Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 17(2), 95-110.
- Carman.J.M.(1990). Consumer perceptions of service quality: An assessment of the SERVQUAL dimensions. *Journal of Retailing*, 66(1),33-35.
- Caruana.A.(2002). Service loyalty: The effects of service quality and the mediating role of customer satisfaction. *European Journal of Marketing*, 36(7),811-828.

- Cheng.Y. and Tam.W.(1997). Multi-models of Quality in Education. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 5(1),22-31.
- Chen.C.F. (2008). Investigating structural relationship between service quality, perceived value, satisfaction and behavioural intentions for air passengers: evidence from Taiwan. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*. 42 (4), 709-717.
- Chen.Z., Dubinsky.A.J.(2003). A conceptual model of perceived customer value in E-commerce: A preliminary investigation. *Psychology and Marketing*. Wiley periodicals.Inc.,20(4),323-347.
- C.Chia-Chi.(2008).Choice, perceived control and customer satisfaction: The psychology of online service recovery. *Behavioral and Social Networking*,11 (3),321-328.
- Chong Hong wai, Tan Hui Lu, Thiam Bee Ngoh and Wong Poi Jin. (2012). *The effects of service quality, relational benefits, perceived value and customer satisfaction towards customer loyalty in hair salon industry*. Bachelor Thesis. Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman.
- Chuah Chin Wei and Subramaniam.S.R.(2011). Student satisfaction towards the University: Does service quality matters?. *Macrothink Institute*, 3(2).
- Churchill.G.A. and Surprenant.C. (1982). An investigation into the determinants of customer satisfaction. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 491-504.
- Clare.C.(2004). Perceptions of quality in higher education, in Australian Universities Quality Forum 2004. *AUQA Occasional Publication*, 181-187.
- C.Claycomb and Charles.L.Martin. (2002). Building customer relationship: An inventory of service providers objectives and practices. *Journal of Services Marketing*, 16(7),615-635.
- Comrey.A.L.andLee.H.B.(1992). *A first course in factor analysis*. Hillsdale.NJ. Erlbaum.
- Corneliu Munteanu, Ciprian Ceobanu, Claudia Bobalca and Anton.(2010). An analysis of customer satisfaction in a higher education context. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 23(2), 124-140.
- Cortinas.M., Elorz.M. and Villanueva.M.L.(2004). Retail store loyalty management via an analysis of heterogeneity of the service elements. *International Review of Retail, Detail, Distribution, and Consumer Research*, 14(4), 407-436.

- Cronin Jr.J.J, and Taylor.S.A.(1992). Measuring service quality: A re-examination and extension. *Journal of Marketing*, 56,55-68.
- Cronin Jr.J.J, and Taylor.S.A.(1994). SERVPERF versus SERVQUAL: Reconciling Performance based and perceptions-minus- expectation. *Journal of Marketing*, 58(1),125.
- Cronin Jr.JJ., Brady.M.K.,and Hult.G.T.M.(2000). Assessing the effects of quality, value, and customer satisfaction on consumer behavioral intentions in service environments. *Journal of Retailing*, 76(2), 193-218.
- Czepiel, John.A. and Robert Gilmore.(1987). *Exploring the concept of loyalty in services in the services Marketing Challenge: Integration for competitive advantage*. Chicago,IL: AMA,91-94.
- Damme.D. (2001). Quality issues in the internationalization of higher education. *Higher Education*, 41(4), 415-441.
- Daniel.J.Beaumont.(2012). *Service quality in higher education. The student's viewpoint*. Bachelor Thesis. University of Manchester.
- David Airey and Marion Bennet.(2007). Service quality in higher education: The experience of overseas students. *Journal of Hospitality, Leisure, Sport and Tourism Education*, 6(2),55-67.
- Day.E., Crask.M.R.(2000). Value assessment: The antecedents of customer satisfaction. *Journal of Consumer Satisfaction, dissatisfaction and complaining behaviour*, 13, 42-50.
- DeSarbo.W.S.,Jedidi.K. and Sinha.I.(2001). Customer value analysis in a heterogenous market. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22(9), 845-857.
- DeWulf.K., Oderkerken Schroder.G., and Schumacher.P.(2003). Strengthening outcomes of retailer-consumer relationship. The dual impact of relationship marketing tactics and consumer personality. *Journal of Business Research*, 56,177-190.
- Dick.A.S., Basu.K.(1994). Customer loyalty: Toward an integrated conceptual framework. *Journal of Academy of Marketing Science*, 22(2), 99-113.
- Dublin.L.and Cross.J.(2003). Implementing e-learning getting the most from your e-learning investment. Proceedings of the ASTD International Conference, San Diego.

- Duque.L.C.and Weeks.J.R.(2010). Towards a model and methodology for assessing student learning outcomes and satisfaction. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 18(2),84-105.
- Dyson.P.,Farr.A. and Hollis.N.S.(1996). Understanding, measuring and using brand equity. *Journal of Advertising Research*,36(6),9-21.
- Elisabeth K.Seodijati.(2014).Developing student loyalty. *Journal of Global Management*, 7(1).
- Elliot.K.M.,Healy.M.A.(2001). Key factors influencing student satisfaction related to recruitment and retention. *Journal of Marketing for Higher education*, 10(4),1-14.
- Elliot.K.M.,and Shin.D.(2002). Student satisfaction: An alternative approach to assessing this important concept. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 24(2),197-209.
- Field.A.(2005). *Discovering statistics using SPSS*. (2nd ed).143-217,341.
- Figen Eres.(2011). Service quality of state Universities in Turkey: The case of Ankara. *European Journal of Higher Education*, 1, 2-3.
- Firdaus.A.(2004). Measuring service quality in higher education: HEDPERF vs SERVPERF. *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*, 24(1),31-47.
- Firdaus.A.(2005). HEDPERF versus SERVPERF: The quest for ideal measuring instrument service quality in higher education sector. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 13, 305-328.
- Firdaus.A.(2006). The development of HEDPERF: A new measuring instrument of service quality for the higher education sector. *International Journal of Research and Method in Education*, 30(6),569-581.
- Fitzsimmons.J.A.,and Fitzsimmons.M.J.(1994). *Service management for competitive advantage*. McGraw-Hill:New York.
- Ford.J.B., Joseph.M.,and Joseph.B.(1999). Importance-performance analysis as a strategic tool for service marketers: The case of service quality perceptions of business students in New Zealand and the USA. *The Journal of Service Marketing*, 13(2),171-186.
- Fornell.C.(1992). A national customer satisfaction Barometer: The Swedish experience. *Journal of Marketing*, 56,6-21.
- Frances.M.Hill.(1995). Managing service quality in higher education: The role of the student as primary customer. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 3(3),10-21.

- Gallifa.J.,and Batalle.P.(2010). Student perceptions of service quality in a multi-campus higher education system in Spain. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 18(2),156-170.
- Gamage, David.T., Suwanabroma, Jaratdao, Ueyama, Takeyuki, Hada, Sekio and Sekikawa Eutsuo. (2008). The impact of quality assurance measures on student services at the Japanese and Thai Private Universities. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 16(2),181-198.
- Garbino.E., and Johnson.M.S.(1999). The Different roles of satisfaction, trust, and commitment in customer relationship. *Journal of Marketing*, 63 (2), 70.
- Garcia and Aracil.A.(2009). European graduate level of satisfaction with higher education. *Quality Assurance in Education*,57(1),1-21.
- Gareth Smith, Alison Smith and Alison Clarke.(2007). Evaluating service quality in Universities: A service department perspective. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 15(3).
- George Philip and Shirley-Ann Hazlet.(1997). The measurement of service quality: A new P-C-P attributes model. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*, 14(3), 260-286.
- Gold.S.(2001). A constructivist approach to online training for online teachers. *International Journal of Instruction*, 5(1).
- Gorsuch.R.L.(1983). *Factor analysis*.(2nd ed). Hillsdale.NJ:Erlbaum.
- Graf, Albert and Peter Maas. (2008). Customer Value from a Customer Perspective: A Comprehensive Review. *Working Paper on Risk Management and Insurance*, 52.
- Gronroos.C.(1993). A service quality model and its marketing implications. *European Journal of Marketing*, 18(4), 36-44.
- Gronroos.C.(1988). Service quality: The six criteria of good perceived service quality. *Review of Business*, 9,10-13.
- Gronroos.C.(1990). Service management and marketing, *Lexington books*, Lexington, MA.
- Gronroos.C.(1994). From marketing mix to relationship marketing: Towards a paradigm shift in marketing. *Management Decision*, 32(2),4-20.
- Giese.J.L., and Cote.J.A.(2000). Defining customer satisfaction. *Academy of Marketing Science Review*, 15(4),43-48.

- Guolla.M.(1999). Assessing the teaching quality to student satisfaction relationship: Applied customer satisfaction research in the classroom. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practices*, 7(3), 87-96.
- Guildford.J.P.(1965). *Fundamental statistics in Psychology and Education*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Hackl.P.,Scharitzer.D.and Zuba.(2000). Customer satisfaction in Austrian food retail market. *Total Quality Management*, 11(7).
- Hadikoemoro.S.(2002). A comparison of Public and Private Universities students expectations and perceptions of service quality in Jakarta, Indonesia. *Unpublished D.B.A. Nova Southeastern University United States: Florida*.
- Hair.J.F.,R.L.Tatham,R.E.Anderson and W.Black.(1998). *Multivariate Data Analysis*. (5th ed). Prentice Hall International, Englewoods Clifs, New Jersey: London.
- Halstead.D., D.Hartman, Sandra.L.Schmidt (1994). Multisource effects on the satisfaction information process. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 22(2),114-129.
- Halil Nadiri, Jay Kandampully and Kashif Hussain.(2009). Student's perceptions of service quality in higher education. *Total Quality Management*, 20(5),523-535.
- Ham Leugenia and Hayduk Steven.S.(2003). Gaining competitive advantage in higher education: Analyzing the gap between expectations and perceptions of service quality. *International Journal of Value-Based Management*, 16(3), 223-242.
- Harvey.L. and Green.(1993). Defining quality, assessment and evaluation in higher education. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 18,9-13.
- Hasnain Manzoor. (2013). Measuring Student Satisfaction in Public and Private Universities in Pakistan. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research Interdisciplinary*, 13(3).
- Hassan.H.F.A.,Illias.A.,Rahman.R.A., and Razak.M.Z.A.(2008). Service quality and student satisfaction: A case study at Private Higher Education Institutions. *International Business Research*, 1(3), 163-175.

- Hayan Din, Mikhles Alnazer. (2013). The impact of service quality on student satisfaction and behavioural consequences in higher education services. *International Journal of Economy, Management and Social Science*, 2(6),285-290.
- Healy.M., and Perry.C.(2000). Comprehensive criteria to judge validity and reliability of qualitative research within the realism paradigm. *Qualitative Marketing Research: An International Journal*, 3(3),118-126.\
- Heck.R.H.,and Johnsrud.L.K.and Rosser.V.G. (2000). Administration effectiveness in higher education :improving assessment procedures. *Research in Higher Education*, 41(6),663-685.
- Helena Alves and Mario Raposo. (2010). The measurement of perceived value in higher education: A Undimensional approach. *Management, Economics and Marketing Working Papers*.
- Helgesen.O., Nettet.E.(2007). What accounts for student's loyalty? Some field study evidence. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 21(2), 126-143.
- Henning-Thurau.T.,Langer.M.F.and Hansen.U. (2001). Modeling and managing student loyalty approach based on the concept of relationship quality. *Journal of Service Research*, 3(4),331-334.
- Henning-Thurau.T., Gwinner.K.P., Gremler.D.D.(2002). Understanding relationship marketing outcomes: An integration of relational benefits and relationship quality. *Journal of Service Research*, 4(3), 230-247.
- Hermawan.A.(2001). *The effects of service cues on perceived service quality, value, satisfaction and word of mouth recommendation in Indonesian University setting*. P.h.D. Dissertation, Wayne Huizenga Graduate School of Business and Entrepreneurship, Nova Southeastern University.
- Heskett.J.L.,Sasser Jr.W.E., and Schlesinger.L.A.(1999). *The service profit chain: How leading companies link profit and growth to loyalty, satisfaction and value*. New York: Free press.
- Higgs.B.,Polonsky.M.,and Hollick.M.(2005). Measuring expectations: Forecast Vs Ideal expectation: Does it really matter?. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer services*, 12(1), 49-64.
- Hill.F.M.(1995). Managing service quality in higher education: The role of the student as primary consumer. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 3(3),10.

- H. Faridah and M.S. Nooraini (2006). Student's need recognition for higher education at private colleges in Malaysia: An exploratory perspective. *Sunway Academic Journal*, 3,61-71.
- Hoffman.K.D.,and Bateson.J.E.G.(2006). *Service marketing: concepts, strategies and cases*.(3rd ed). Mason: Thomson.
- Holbrook, Morris.B.(1996). Emotion in the consumption experience: Toward a new model of consumer behavior in the role of affect in consumer behaviour. *Published in: The role of affect in consumer behaviour: Emerging theories and applications*, 17-52.
- Holdford.D.,and Patkar.A.(2003). Identification of the service quality dimensions of pharmaceutical education. *American Journal of Pharmeutical Education*, 67(4), 108.
- Hu.H.H., Jay.K., and Thanika .D.J.(2009). Relationship and impacts of service quality, perceived value, customer satisfaction and image: An empirical study. *The Service Industries Journal*, 29(2), 111-125.
- Hu.H.I., J.Kandampully and Juwaheet.T. (2009). Relationship and impacts of service quality, perceived value, customer satisfaction and image: An empirical study. *Journal of Service Industry*, 29 (2), 111-125.
- H.Nurlida,H. Faridah,M.S. Nooraini (2010). Determining mediating effect of information satisfaction on international student college choice: Empirical evidence in Malaysia's university. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Education*, 3(1), 51-63.
- Iwaarden.V.J.,T.Van Der Wiele.(2002). *A study on the applicability of SERVQUAL dimensions for websites*. ERM Reports Series Research in Management. Erasmus University Rotterdam:Rotterdam, 1-18.
- Jalal.R.M. Hanaysha.(2012). Service quality and satisfaction study on International students in Universities of North Malaysia. *International Journal of Research in Management*, 3(2).
- Janardhana Gundla .P. and Rajashekar Mamilla.(2012). *Student's opinion of service quality in the field of higher education*. Creative Education. Retrieved June 8, 2012, from [http://www.SciRP.org/journal/ce,3\(4\)](http://www.SciRP.org/journal/ce,3(4)).
- Jaroslav Dado, Janka Taborecka.P., Dejan R., and Tamara Rajic.(2011). An empirical investigation into the construct of higher education service quality. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 1(3).

- Jasmina Dlacic, Maja Arslanagic, Selma Kadic.M.,Suzana Markovic and Sanja Raspor (2014). Exploring perceived service quality, perceived value and repurchase intention in higher education using structural equation modelling. *Total Quality Management*, 25(2), 141-157.
- Jessica Sze Yin Ho and Yeoh Sok Foon.(2012). Internationalizing Higher Education: The Effect of Country-of-Origin on the Evaluation of Service Quality. *Journal of IBIMA*.
- Johnson.M.(2001). *Customer satisfaction*. Encyclopedia of the Social and Behavioral Sciences. Elsevier: Amsterdam, 3198-3202.
- Jones.M.A.,Reynold.K.E., Arnold M.J. (2006). Hedonic and Utilitarian Shopping Value: Investigating differential effect on retail outcomes. *Journal of Business Research*, 59, 974-981.
- Jooyeon Ha, Soocheong (Shawn) Jang. (2010). Perceived value, satisfaction and behavioural intentions: The role of familiarity in Korean restaurants. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 29, 2-13.
- Jose.I.Rojas-Mendez, Arturo.Z.Vesquez-Parraga, Ali Kara and Arcadio.C.U.(2009). Determinant of student loyalty in higher education: A tested relationship approach in Latin America. *Latin American Business Review*, 10(1), 21-39.
- Joseph.M.and Joseph.B.(1997). Service quality in education: A student perspective. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 5,15-21.
- Joseph.M., Yakhou.M., and Stone.G.(2005). An educational institution's quest for service quality: Customer's perspective. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 13(1),66.
- Kalynaram.G.,and Little.J.(1994). An empirical analysis of latitude of price acceptance in consumer package goods. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 21,408-417.
- Kanji.G.K., Abdul Malek and Wallace.(1999). A comparative study of quality practices in higher education institutions in the US and Malaysia. *Total Quality Management*, 3, 357-371.
- Kasper.H.,V.Helsdingen .P. ,and De Vries.V.(1999). *Service Marketing Management*. New York,N.Y.John: Wiley and sons.
- Keegan.W.J., and Davidson.H.(2004). *Offensive Marketing: Gaining competitive advantage*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Keller.G. (2012). *Managerial statistics*. (9th ed). Cengage.

- Keling.S.B.A.(2006). Institutional factors attracting students to Malaysian institution of higher learning. *International Review of Business Research Papers*, (1), 46-64.
- Khan.M.S.,Mahapatra.S.S. and Sreekumar. (2008). Service quality evaluation of technical institutions using data envelopment analysis. *International Journal of Productivity and Quality Management*, 3(1), 127-143.
- Khodayari.F., Khodayari.B.(2011). Service quality in higher education. *Journal of Research in Business*, 1(9),38-46.
- Kitchroen.K.(2004). Literature review: Service quality in educational institutions. *ABAC Journal*, 24, 14-25.
- Knox.S.D. and Denison.T.J.(2000). Store loyalty: Its impact on retail revenue, an empirical study of purchasing behaviour in the UK. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 7(1), 33-45.
- Kotler.P. and Carke.R.N.(1987). *Marketing for Health Care Organizations*. Englewood Cliff.N.J: Prentice Hall.
- Kotler.P.(2009). *Marketing Management*, PrenticeHall Inc. New York.
- Krejcie.R.V.,and Morgan.D.W.(1970). Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational and Psychological Measurement Journal*, 30, 607-610.
- Lagrosen.S.,Roxana.S.H., and Markus.L.(2004). Examination of the dimensions of quality in higher education. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 12 (2), 61.
- Lam.S.Y., Shankar.V., Erramilli.M.K., and Murthu.B.(2004). Customer value, satisfaction, loyalty and switching costs: An illustration from business to business service context. *Journal of The Academy of Marketing Science*, 32(3), 293-311.
- Lassar.W.M., Manali.C. and Winsor.R.D.(2000). Service quality perspectives and satisfaction in private banking. *Journal of Service Marketing*, 14(3), 224-271.
- LeBlanc,G, and Nguyen,N.(1997). Searching for excellence in business education: An exploratory study of customer impressions of service quality. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 11, 71-79.
- LeBlanc.G., and Nguyen.N.(1999). Listening to the customer's voice: Examining perceived service value among business college students. *International Journal of Education*, 13 (4), 187-198.

- Ledden.L., Kalafatis, S.P. and Samouel,P. (2007). The relationship between personal values and perceived value of education. *Journal of Business Research*, 60, 965-974.
- Ledden.L., S.P.Kalafatis.(2010). The impact of time on perceptions of educational value. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 23(2), 141-157.
- Lee.J., Lee.J., and Feick.L.(2001). The impact of switching costs on the customer satisfaction-loyalty link: Mobile phone service in France. *Journal of Services Marketing*, 15, 35-48.
- Lee,J.,Kim,H.J.and Ahn.M.J.(2011). The Willingness of e-Government service adoptions by business users: The role of offline service quality and trust in technology. *Government Information Quarterly*, 28(2), 222-230.
- Lemon.K.N.,Rust.R.T. and Zeithaml.V.A.(2001). What drives customer equity. *Marketing Management*, 10(1), 20-25.
- Leo.Z.Archambault. (2008). Measuring service performance, student satisfaction and its impact on student retention in private, Post-secondary institutions. *EDU COM Conferences*.19-21 September.
- Letcher.D.W.and Neves .K.S.(2010). Determinant of Undergraduate Business student satisfaction. *Research in Higher Educational Journal*,1-26.
- Lethinen.U.,and Lethinen.J.R. (1991). Two approaches to service quality dimensions. *Services Industry Journal*, 11(3), 287.
- Lewin.K. (1938). *The conceptual representation and measurement of psychological forces*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Lin.C., Cher.P. and Shih.H.(2005). Past progress and future directions in conceptualizing customer perceived value. *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, 16(3,4), 318-336.
- Lindeman.R.H., Merenda.P.F., and Gold.R.Z.(1980). Introduction to bivariate and multivariate analysis. *Journal of Applied Statistics*. Glenview,IL: Scott, Foresman and Company.
- Loo.R.(1983). Caveat on sample sizes in factor analysis. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*. 56, 371-374. New York: McMillan.
- Low.L.(2000). Are college student satisfied?: A national analysis changing expectation. *The USA Group Foundation New Agenda Series*, 2(1). Indianapolis,IN:USA Group Foundation.

- Machleit.K., and Mantel.S.(2009). Emotional response and shopping satisfaction: Moderating effects of shopper attributions. *Journal of Business Research*,54 (2), 97-106.
- Mahadzirah.M. and Zainudin.A. (2009). Building corporate image and securing student loyalty in the Malaysian Higher Learning Industry. *The Journal of International Management Studies*, 4(1).
- Malhotra.N.K. and Peterson.M.(2006). *Basic marketing research: A decision making approach*. (2nd ed). Upper Saddle River, NJ:Prentice Hall.
- Mansori Shahan, Vaz Anthony, M.Ismail Zarina Mizam. (2014). Service quality, satisfaction and student loyalty in Malaysian Private Education. *Asian Social Science*, 10 (7).
- Maria .J., Martinez. A., Miguel.B., and Jose.M.Castan.(2013). Dimension of perceived service quality in higher education virtual learning environments. *Universities and Knowledge Society Journal*, 10(1).
- Maria Pereda (2007). Service quality in higher education: The experience of overseas students. *Journal of Hospitality, Leisure, Sport and Tourism Education*, 6(2).
- Martinez-arguelles,M.J.Castan and A. Juan (2010). How do students measure service quality in e-learning?. *Electronic Journal of e-learning*, 8(2),10.
- Mazzarol.T. and Soutar.G.N.(1999). Sustainable competitive advantage for education institutions: A suggested model. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 13(6), 287-300.
- McAlexander.J.H., Kaldenburg.D.O. and Koenig.H.F. (1994). Service quality measurement. *Journal of Health Care Marketing*, 14(3), 34-40.
- McDougall.H.G.and T.J.Levesque (1994). Benefit segmentation using service quality dimensions: An investigation in retail banking. *International Journal of Bank*, 2, 15-23.
- McDougall H.G. and Levesque.T. (2000). Customer satisfaction with services: Putting perceived value into equation. *Journal of Services Marketing*, 14(5), 392-410.
- McQueen.R. and Knussen.C.(2002). *Research methods for social science*. Harlow: Pearson Education, 459-474.
- Mesay S.Shanka (2012). Bank Service Quality, Customer Satisfaction and Loyalty in Ethiopian Banking Sector. *Journal of Business Administration and Management Sciences Research*, 1(1),1-9.

- Mersid Porturak (2014). Private universities service quality and student satisfaction. *Global Business and Economics Research Journal*, 3(2), 33-49.
- Ministry of Higher Education (2010). *The Enrollment of student in Malaysia Higher Education Institutions*. Retrieved February 2, 2013, from <http://www.mohe.gov.my>.
- Ministry of Higher Education. (2012). *Total number of private higher education institutions in Malaysia*. Retrieved February 2, 2013, from <http://www.mohe.gov.my>
- Mittal.v.,Kumar.P.and Tsiros.M.(1999). Attributes level performance, satisfaction and behavioural intentions overtime: A consumption-system approach. *Journal of Marketing*,63, 88-101.
- Mohamed Ali Abdul Rahman (2010). Malaysia Model in private higher education. *Journal of e-learning and Higher education*, 146.
- Mohamed.M.Mostafa (2006). A comparison of SERVQUAL and I-P analysis: Measuring and improving service quality in Egyptian private Universities. *Journal of Marketing for Higher Education*, 16(2), 83-104.
- Molinari.L.K., Abratt.R., and Dion.P.(2008). Satisfaction, quality, value and effects on repurchase and positive word of mouth behavioural intentions in a B2B services context. *Journal of Servics Marketing*, 22(5), 363-373.
- Moliner.M.A., J. Sanchez.R.,and Callarisa (2007). Perceived relationship quality and post-purchase perceived value. *European Journal of Marketing*, 41(11,12), 1392-1422.
- Moliner.M.A., J. Sanchez.L., Callarisa and Rodriguez .R.M.(2005). Perceived value of the purchase of a tourism product. *Tourism Management*, 27 (3), 394-409.
- Moller.K.and Halinen (2000). Relationship marketing theory: Its roots and directions. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 16, 29-54.
- M.Mohktar, S.Sanuri and Miyaki Ahmed A. and Mohd Noor.N. (2011). The relationship between service quality and satisfaction on customer loyalty in Malaysian mobile communication industry. *European Union Journal*, 32-38.
- Muhammed.E.M., Rizwan.Q.D. and Usman Ali (2010). The impact of service quality on student's satisfaction in higher education institute of Punjab. *Journal of Management Research*, 2(2),1-11.
- Nael Aly and Joseph Akpovi (2001). Total quality management in California public higher education. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 9(3), 127-131.

- Nageena Tabassum, Zeeshan Zafar, Ashraf Ali, Altaf Alam, Muhammad Ashraf.A. (2013). The effect of Value Perception on Customer Loyalty and Satisfaction (A study of University Students). *Journal of Business and Management*, 12 (6), 62.
- Navarro.M.M.,Iglesias.M.O.and Torres.P.R.(2005). Measuring customer satisfaction in summer course. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 13(1), 53-65.
- Neuman.W.L.(2005). *Social research methods: Qualitative and Quantative approaches*. (6th ed). USA, Allyn and Bacon.
- Nunnally.J.C.(1978). *Psychometric theory*.(2nd ed). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Oldfield.B.and Baron.S.(2000). Student perceptions of service quality in a UK University Business and Management Faculty. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 8,85-95.
- Olin, Y.N.Odin and P.Valette-Florance (2001). Conceptual and Operational Aspects of Brand Loyalty. *Journal of Business Research*, 53(2), 75-84.
- Oppenheim.A.N.(1992). *Questionnaire design, interviewing and attitude measurement*. Pinter: London.
- Oliver.R.A.(1980). A cognitive model of the antecedents and consequences of satisfaction decisions. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 17, 460-469.
- Oliva.T.A., Oliver.R.L., Macmillan.I.C.(1992). A catastrophe model for developing service satisfaction strategies. *Journal of Marketing*, 56(3),83-95.
- Oliver.R.L.(1981). Measurement and evaluation of satisfaction processes in retail settings. *Journal of Retailing*, 57(3), 25-43.
- Oliver.R.L. and W.S.De Sarbo.(1988). Response determinants in satisfaction judgement. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 14, 495-507.
- Oliver.R.L. (1993). Cognitive, Affective and Attributes bases of the satisfaction response. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 20 (3), 418-430.
- Oliver.R.L.(1997). *Satisfaction: A behavioural perspective on the consumer*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Oliver.R.L.(1999). Whence customer loyalty?. *Journal of Marketing*, 63, 33-44.
- Olsen.L.L. and Johnson.M.D.(2003). Service equity, satisfaction and loyalty: From transaction-specific to cumulative evaluations. *Journal of Service Research*, 5(3), 184.

- O'Neill.M. and Palmer (2000). Importance-Performance Analysis: A useful tool for directing continuous quality improvement in higher education. *Measuring Business Excellence*, 8(3), 249-258.
- O'Neill.M.(2003). The influence of time on student perceptions of service quality: The need for longitudinal measure. *Journal of Educational Administration*, 41(3),310-324.
- O'Neill .M.A. and Palmer (2004). Importance-performance analysis: A useful tool for directing continuous improvement in higher education. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 12(1), 39-52.
- Owlia.M.S. and Aspinwall.E.M.(1996). Quality in higher education. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 7(2), 161-171.
- Parasuraman.A., Zeithaml.V. and Berry.L.(1985). A conceptual model of service quality and its implications for future research. *Journal of Marketing*, 49, 41-50.
- Parasuraman.A.,Zeithaml.V.A. and Berry.L.(1988). SERVQUAL: A multiple item scale for measuring customer perceptions of service quality. *Journal of Retailing*, 64,12-40.
- Parasuraman.A., Zeithaml.V.A. and Berry.L.(1991). Refinement and reassessment of the SERVQUAL scale. *Sloan Management Review*, 67, 420-450.
- Parasuraman.A., Zeithaml.V.A. and Berry.L.(1994). Reassessment of expectations as a comparison standard in measuring service quality: implications for future research. *Academic of Management Executive*, 58, 111-124.
- Parasuraman, Zeithaml and Berry.L.(1996). The behavioural consequences of service quality. *Journal of Marketing*, 2, 31-46.
- Parves Sultan and Ho Wong (2010). Performance-based service quality model: An empirical study on Japanese Universities. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 18 (2), 126-143.
- Parves Sultan and Ho Yin Wong. (2011). Service quality in a higher education context: Antecedents and dimensions. *International Review of Business Research Papers*, 7(2), 11-20.
- Patil Arun.S. and Pudlowski Zenon.J.(2005). Important issues of the accreditation and quality assurance and a strategy in the development of an accreditation framework for engineering courses.*Global Journal of Engineering Education*, 9(1).

- Peterson.D.K.(1997). *A test of compensation strategy effects in Technology organizations on individual local pay status value: A proposed relationship to commitment, satisfaction and attachemen*. Lincoln, Nebraska: University of Nebraska.
- Petrick.J., Morais.D. and Norman.W.(2001). An examination of determinants of entertainment vacationers intention to revisit. *Journal of Travel Research*, 40(1), 41-48.
- Petruzzellis.L.,D'Uggento Angela and Romanazze Salvatore (2006). Student satisfaction and quality of service in Italian Universities. *Managing Service Quality*, 16(4), 349-364.
- Philip.D.M. and Baumgartner.H.(2002). The role of consumption emotions in the satisfaction response. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 12(3), 243-252.
- Price.I, Matzdorf.F., Smith.L. and Agahi.H.(2003). The impact of facilities on student choice of university, *Facilities*, 21(10), 212-222.
- R. Amran, D. Ibrahim, Lim Kim Yew and J.I.Muhammad (2011). Service quality, customer satisfaction in technology-based universities, *African Journal of Business Management*, 5(15),6541-6553.
- R. Amran , Shekarchizadeh Ahamdredza , J.I. Muhamad (2012). Perception of Service Quality in Higher Education: Perspectives of Iranian Students in Malaysian Universities. *International Journal of Academic Research in Management*, 1, 10-25.
- Ratna.R., Siva.M. and Swinburne (2008). A formative approach to customer value in the Indonesian Higher Education sector.
- Raquel S.F., M.Angeles and Pialr Rivera.T.D.(2010). Analysis of the value creation in higher institutions: A relational perspective. *Theoretical and Applied Economics*, 10(551), 25-36.
- Ribbink.D., Van Riel, Liljander.V. and Streukens.S.(2004). Comfort your online customer: Quality, trust and loyalty on the internet. *Journal Managing Service Quality*, 14(6), 446-456.
- R.Jain. G.Sinha and S.K.De.(2010). Service quality in higher education: An exploratory study. *Asian Journal of Marketing*, 4(3) 144-154.
- Robert.M.Brown and Timothy W.Mazzarol (2009). The importance of institutional image to student satisfaction and loyalty within higher education. *Higher Education*, 58, 81-95.

- Rodie.A.R. and Klein.S.S.(2000). Customer participation ins ervices production and delivery. *Handbook of Service Marketing and Management*, 111-126.
- Roger Smith and Christine Ennew (2001). Service quality and its impact on Word-of-Mouth communication in higher education.
- Roholah.S. and Younus.V.A.(2013). The effect of TQM on customer satisfaction in higher education. *Journal Management Science*,3,891-896.
- Roland.K.Yeo (2008). Brewing service quality in higher education. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 16(3), 266-286.
- Roland. T.Rust, Katherine N.Lemon and Zeithaml.V.(2000). Return on Marketing: Using customer equity to focus marketing strategy. *Journal of Marketing*, 68, 109-127.
- Rose Yanhong Li and Mike Kaye (2006). Understanding overseas student's concerns and problems. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 20(1).
- Ruth.N.Bolton and James H.Drew (1991). A longtidunal analysis of the impact of service changes on customer attitudes. *Journal of Marketing*, 55(1), 1-10.
- Ruyter.J.C.De., M.G.M. Wetzelsen, Lemmink (1996). The power of perceived service quality in international marketing channels. *European Journal of Marketing*, 30, 22-38.
- Sakthivel.P.B., Raju.R.(2006). An instrument for measuring engineering education quality from student's perspective. *Quality Management Journal*, 13(3), 23-34.
- Sam Thomas (2011). What drives student loyalty in Universities: An empirical model from India. *International Business Research*, 4(2).
- Sanchez-Fernandez.R., Iniesta-Bonillo, M.Angelen, Schlesinger diaz Walesska, Rivera-Trres.P. (2010). *Theoretical and Applied Economics*, 10(551), 25-36.
- Sanchez-Fernandez.R., M.A. Iniesta-Bonillo (2006). Consumer perspective of value: Literature Review and a new conceptual framework. *Journal of Customer Satisfaction, dissatisfaction and complaining behaviour*, 19, 40-58.
- Sanders.L. and Chan.S. (1996). Student Satisfaction surveys: Measurement and Utilization issues. *AIR Professional File*, 50(2), 1-7.
- Sangeeta.S., Banwet.D.K. and Karunes.S.(2004). Customer requirement constructs: The premise for TQM in education: A comparative study of select engineering and management institutions in the Indian Context. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 53(5), 499.

- Saravanan.R. and Rao.KS.P.(2007). Measurement of service quality from the customer's perspective: An empirical study. *Total Quality Management and Business Excellence*, 18(4), 435-449.
- Saunders.M., Lewis.P. and Tornhill.A.(2000). *Research methods for business students*.(2nd ed): Prentice Hall.
- Schekarchizadeh.A.,Amran Rasli and H.Hon Tat (2011). SERVQUAL in Malaysian universities: Perspectives of international students. *Business process management Journal*, 17(1), 67-81.
- Scotti.D.J., Harmon.J. and Behson.S.J.(2007). Links among high performance work environment, service quality and customer satisfaction: An extension to the healthcare sector. *Journal of Healthcare Management*, 52(3), 109-125.
- Sekaran.U.(2003). *Research method for business: A skill building approach*. New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons.
- Selmi and Deddy.(2007). Pengaruh Kualitas layanan terhadap nilai pelanggan kepuasan, Kepuasan pelanggan dan perilaku pasca pembelian: Kajian para pelanggan PT. Matahari Putra Prima, tbk di Jawa Timur. *Jurnal Aplikasi Manajemen*, 5(3). 503-411.
- Selim Ahmed, Muhammad Mehedi Masud (2014). Measuring service quality of a higher educational institute towards student satisfaction. *American Journal of Education Research*, 2 (7), 447-455.
- Sepideh. F., Hadi.M., and Mona. A. (2013). Perceived Service Quality and Student Satisfaction in higher education. *Journal of Business and Management*, 2(4),65-74.
- Sheth.J.N.(1996). Relationship Marketing: Frameworks and concepts. *International Conference on Relationship Marketing: Development, Management and Governance of Relationships*. March 29-31, Berlin, Germany.
- Sheth.J.N. and Newman.B.I.(1999). *Customer Behavior: Consumer Behavior and Beyond*. USA: George Provol, 699-702.
- Shields, Patricia and Rangarjan.N. (2013). *A playbook for research methods: integrating conceptual frameworks and project management*. Stillwater, Ok: New Forums Press.
- Sia Mal Kong and Kanesan Muthusamy (2010). Using service gaps to classify quality attributes. *Total Quality Management Journal*, 23(2), 145-163.

- Sik Sumaedi, I.Gede Mahatma.Y.B., Nur Metasari (2011). The effect of students perceived service quality and perceived price on student satisfaction. *Management Science and Engineering*, 5(1),88-97.
- Silke.J., Claudia.V. and Hans-Ruideger Kaufman (2006). A student satisfaction model for Austrian Higher Education providers considering aspects of Marketing Communications. *Innovative Marketing*, 2(3).
- Sirdeshmukh.D., Singh.J. and Sabol.B.(2002). Consumer trust, value, and loyalty in relational exchange. *Journal of Marketing*, 66, 15-37.
- Sivakumar.K. and S.P. Raj (1997). Qualit tier competition: How price change influence brand choice and category. *Journal of Marketing*, 61(3), 71-85.
- Silke.J., Claudia.V. and Hans-Rudiger.K.(2006). A student satisfaction model for Austrian higher education providers considering aspects of Marketing Communications. *Innovative Marketing*, 2(3).
- Skogland.I. and Siguaw.J.(2004). Are you satisfied customer loyal?. *Cornel Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly*, 45(3), 221-234.
- Soderlund.M.(2006). Measuring customer loyalty with multi-item scales: A case for caution. *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, 17(1), 76-98.
- Sohail.M.S., Rajadurai.J. and Rahman.N.A.A.(2003). Managing quality in higher education: A Malaysian case study. *The International Journal of Educational Management*, 17(4), 141-146.
- Sohail.M.S. and Shaikh.N.M.(2004). Quest for excellence in business education: A study of student impression of service quality. *International Journal of Educational Management*, 18(1), 58-65.
- Soutar.G. and McNeil.M.(1996). Measuring service quality in a tertiary institution. *Journal of Educational Management*, 34(1), 72-82.
- Spreng.R.A., T.J.Page (2003). A test of alternative measures of disconfirmation. *Decision Science*, 34(1), 31-62.
- Stefan Lagrosen, Roxana.S.H., Markus Leitner (2004). Examinationof the dimensions in higher education. *Quality Assurance in Education*, 12(2), 61-69.
- Stephan W. and Melodena Stephans.B. (2013). Assessing Student Satisfaction in Transnational higher education. *International Journal of Education Management*, 27(2),143-156.

- Subrahmanyam.A., Raja Shekar.B. and Ram Kumar.M.(2013). Critical Review on Issues and Challenges to Measure Service Quality in Higher Education. *International Journal of Current Research*, 5(1).
- Suciati and Nurhidayah (2012). Quality, University Image, Satisfaction and Loyalty: A study of a Distance Graduate study program. *European Journal of Education and Learning*, 12.
- Sulieman (2011). Banking Service Quality provided by Commercial Banks and Customer Satisfaction. *American Journal of Scientific Research*, 27,68-83.
- Suniti Phadke and Venkatesh Bhagwat (2011). Insight into Undergraduate Business Student's Perception of Education Service Quality and Value. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Management Studies*, 1(3),1-18.
- Suniti Padhke (2012). *Empirical analysis of antecedents and mediators of student loyalty among undergraduate business students in Bangalore, India*. Phd Thesis, Christ University.
- Sureshchander.G.S,Chandrasekhran.R., and Anantharaman.R.N.(2002). The relationship between service quality and customer satisfaction: A factor specific approach. *Journal of Service Marketing*, 16(4), 363-379.
- Tabachnick and Fidell (2001). *Using multivariate statistics*.(4th ed). Allyn and Bacon.
- Tam.J.(2004). Customer satisfaction, service quality and perceived value: An integrative model. *Journal Marketing Management*, 20(7,8), 897-917.
- Teas.R.(1994). Expectations as a comparison standard in measuring service quality: An assessment of a reassessment. *Journal of Marketing*, 58(1), 132-139.
- Teo.C.L.(2001). Realities of private institution. *New Strait Time*. Retrieved February 4, 2013, from <http://www.csnet.org/journal/index.php/ibr/article>.
- Ting.D.H.(2004). Service Quality and Satisfaction Perceptions: Curvilinear and Interaction Effect. *The International Journal Bank Marketing*, 22(6), 407-420.
- Tsong-Shin Sheu (2010). Exploring the Differential Affection of Service Quality, Sacrifice, Perceived Value and Customer Satisfaction on University students Favorable and Unfavorable Behavioral Intentions. *Journal of Quality*, 17(6),6.
- Turel.O. and Sereko.A. (2006). Satisfaction with mobile services in Canada: An empirical investigation. *Telecommunication Policy*, 30, 314-331.

- T.Vanniarajan, T.Meharajan and B.Arun (2011). Service quality in education: Student's perspective. *European Journal of Social Science*, 26(2), 297-309.
- Uncles.M.D., Dowling.G.R. and Hammond.K.(2003). Customer loyalty and customer loyalty programs. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 4, 294-316.
- Usman Ali (2010). The impact of service quality on student satisfaction in Higher Education Institutes of Punjab. *Journal of Management Research*, 2(2).
- Vavra.T.G.(1997). *Improving your measurement of customer satisfaction*. American Society for Quality. Milwaukee. WI:ASQ Quality Press. WI: American Society for quality.
- Van Damme.D.(2001). Quality issues in the internationalisation of higher education. *Higher Education*, 41, 415-441.
- Vael.A.J.(2006). *Research methods for Leisure and Tourism: A practical guide*. Prentice Hall: New York.
- Vincent.C.S.Hueng and Eric.W.T.Ngai.(2008). The mediating effects of perceived value and customer satisfaction on customer loyalty in the Chinese Restaurant Setting. *Journal of Quality Assurance in Hospitality and Tourism*, 9(2), 85-107.
- Voss.R., Gruber.T., Szmigin.I.(2007). Service quality in higher education: The role of student expectations. *Journal of Business Research*, 60(9), 949-959.
- Wang.M.J.(2004). Correlational analysis of student visibility and learning outcomes in an online setting. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, 8(4), 71-82.
- Wang.Y., Lo.H.P., and Yang .Y.(2004). An integrated framework for service quality, customer value, satisfaction: Evidence from China's telecommunication industry. *Information System Frontiers*, 6(4), 325-340.
- Wiers-Jenssen.J., Stensaker.B. and Groggaard.J.(2002). Student satisfaction: Towards an empirical deconstruction of the concept, quality in higher education. *Quality in Higher Education*, 8(2), 183-195.
- Woodruff, Robert.B.(1997). Customer Value:The next source for competitive advantage. *Academy of Marketing Science Journal*,25(2),139.
- Yang.Z. and Peterson.R.T.(2004). Customer perceived value, satisfaction and loyalty: The role of switching costs. *Journal of Marketing for Higher Education*, 21(10), 799-822.

- Yavas.U.Benkenstein.M. and Stuhdreier.U.(2004). Relationship between service quality and behavioural outcomes: A study of private bank customers in Germany. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 22(2), 144-157.
- Ying Feng Kuo, Chi Ming Wu, Wei Liaw Cheng (2009). The relationship among service quality, perceived value, customer satisfaction and post-purchase intentions in mobile value added services. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 25,887-896.
- Yoo.B., Donthu.N. and Lee.S.(2000). An examination of selected marketing mix elements and brand equity. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 28(2), 195-211.
- Yu Fen Chen, Chin Hui Hsiao and Wen Ching Lee (2005). How does student satisfaction influence student loyalty from the relationship marketing perspective. *Psychology and Marketing*, 21(10), 799-822.
- Zainudin Awang (2009). Building corporate image and securing student loyalty in the Malaysian Higher Learning Industry. *Journal of International Management Studies*, 4(1).
- Zeithaml.V.A.(1988).Consumer perceptions of price, quality and value: A means-end model an synthesis of evidence. *Journal of Marketing*, 52,2-22.
- Zeithaml.V.A., Berry.L.L. and Parasuraman.A.(1993). The nature and determinants of customer expectations of services. *Journal of Marketing Science*, 21(1), 1-12.
- Zeithaml.V.A.(2002). Service excellence in electronic channels: Managing service quality. *Journal of the Academic of Marketing Science*, 12(3), 135-138.
- Zeithaml.V.A. and Bitner.M.J.(2003). *Service Marketing*.(3rd ed). New York, United Stated of America: McGraw-Hill.
- Zeithaml.V.A., Bitner.M. and Gremler.D.(2006). *Service Marketing: Integrating customer focus across the firm*. (4th ed). Boston, M.A.: McGraw-Hill.
- Zeithaml.V.M., Bitner and D.D.Gremler (2008). *Service marketing: Integrating customer focus across the firm*.(5th ed). Boston, M.A.: McGraw-Hill.
- Zins.A.H.(2001). Relative attitudes and commitment in customer loyalty models: Some experiences in the commercial airline industry. *Journal of Service Industry Management*, 12(3), 269-294.
- Zikmund.W.(2000). *Business research method*. (6th ed). Forth Worth: The Dryden Press.