

IMAGE RECONSTRUCTION TECHNIQUE VIA ULTRASONIC
TOMOGRAPHY SYSTEM FOR METAL PIPE

JAVAD ABBASZADEH BARGOSHADI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Electrical Engineering)

Faculty of Electrical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2014

To my beloved wife and family

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

My deep appreciation and gratitude goes to my supervisors Dr. Herlina Binti Abdul Rahim and Prof. Dr. Ruzairi Bin Abdul Rahim for their kindness, constant endeavor, guidance and the numerous moments of attention they devoted throughout this work.

My heartfelt gratitude goes to Mr. Nor Muzakkir Bin Nor Ayob, Mr. Jaysuman and Mr. Mahdi Faramarzi for the helpful suggestions, endless supports and encouragement that have greatly helped me in finishing this research. They spent a lot of their precious time to guide, advice and support me.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my dearest family especially both to my understanding and beloved wife for her love and her endless support in any possible way. This research would have never reached to this point if not because of her support and patience. My success will always be her success too.

Last but not least, thanks to those who have helped me in one way or another throughout the whole duration of my research development. For other researchers or students who wish to contact me, please do so via my email, Javad.abbaszadeh@gmail.com.

ABSTRACT

Detection of concentration of the gas/liquid mixture inside the pipe with Ultrasonic Tomography (UT) has been investigated seriously with various researchers in the recent decade. To date, most of the researches of ut focus on Acrylic or PVC as pipe material. This research investigates the usage of metal pipe for ut application. The attenuation problem of metal pipe is due to high acoustic impedance mismatch between liquid and metal pipe. Based on the problems of metal pipe for application in the UT system, various alternatives are presented in this research. Modelling of the UT using COMSOL software is studied to visualize the real UT system. Various frequencies are tested to determine the optimum frequency of the UT system. The hardware of UT system is developed after selection of the suitable transceiver. The structures of the transmitter and receiver circuits are developed in order to improve the Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR) and functionality of circuits. The sampled signals are preceded to the computer via Data Acquisition (DAQ) system. Various algorithms are investigated to produce the best image reconstruction of the UT system. As the basic and convenient algorithm, Linear Back Projection (LBP) is used for reconstructing the primary image. Median Filter Back Projection (MFBP) and Disk Filter Back Projection (DFBP) are applied to improve the image quality of LBP algorithm. Additionally, the Circular Thresholding Segmentation (CTS) algorithm is applied to produce the segmented thresholding images. Based on the simulation results, 40 kHz is determined as the optimum frequency of UT system. The designed UT system for the metal pipe is experimentally tested and cross-sectional images are extracted from metal pipe. Additionally, this thesis presents the static and dynamic results of UT system from metal pipe. Based on the comparison between the performances of applied algorithms, the CTS algorithm has the best results due the minimum errors between original images and reconstructed images. The obtained results corroborate the

ABSTRAK

Pengesanan kepekatan campuran gas / cecair di dalam paip dengan tomografi ultrasonik (UT) telah dikaji secara serius oleh pelbagai penyelidik dalam dekad baru-baru ini. Setakat ini, kebanyakan kajian ut tertumpu kepada akrilik atau PVC sebagai bahan paip. Kajian ini mengkaji penggunaan paip logam untuk aplikasi UT. Masalah pengecilan paip logam terjadi disebabkan impedans akustik yang tinggi tidak berpadanan antara cecair dan paip logam. Berdasarkan kepada masalah paip logam untuk diaplikasikan dalam sistem UT, pelbagai alternatif dibentangkan di dalam kajian ini. Permodelan UT dengan menggunakan perisian COMSOL dikaji bagi menggambarkan sistem UT yang sebenar. Pelbagai frekuensi telah diuji untuk menentukan frekuensi yang optimum bagi sistem UT itu. Perkakasan sistem UT dibangunkan selepas pemilihan peralatan pemancar penerima yang sesuai. Struktur pemancar dan penerima litar dibangunkan bagi meningkatkan isyarat kepada nisbah bunyi (SNR) dan fungsi litar. Isyarat sampel didahului ke komputer melalui Sistem Perolehan Data (DAQ). Pelbagai algoritma dikaji untuk menghasilkan pembinaan semula imej terbaik bagi sistem UT itu. Sebagai algoritma asas dan mudah, Unjuran Belakang Linear (LBP) digunakan untuk membina semula imej utama. Penapis Median (MFBP) dan Penapis Cakera (DFBP) digunakan untuk meningkatkan perbezaan kesan kabur LBP. Selain itu, algoritma Segmentasi Ambang Bulat (CTS) digunakan untuk menghasilkan imej ambang bersegmen. Berdasarkan kepada keputusan simulasi, 40 kHz ditentukan sebagai frekuensi optimum bagi sistem UT. Sistem UT yang dibangunkan untuk paip logam diuji dan imej seksyen silang dikeluarkan dari paip logam. Selain itu, tesis ini mempersembahkan hasil statik dan dinamik bagi sistem UT daripada paip logam. Berdasarkan perbandingan antara prestasi algoritma yang diguna, algoritma CTS mempunyai keputusan yang terbaik kerana mempunyai kesilapan yang minimum antara imej asal dan imej yang dibina semula. Keputusan yang diperolehi menyokong kebolehgunaan sistem UT yang

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xviii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xx
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxiii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Research Objectives	4
	1.4 Research Methodology	5
	1.5 Research Scope and Limitations	7
	1.6 Thesis Organization	9
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	12
	2.1 Introduction	12
	2.2 Introduction to process tomography	12

2.3	X-Ray Tomography	15
2.4	Electrical Capacitance Tomography	16
2.5	Electrical Impedance Tomography	18
2.6	Optical Tomography	19
2.7	Electrodynamic Tomography	20
2.8	Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Tomography	20
2.9	Ultrasonic Tomography	21
2.9.1	Limitations of Ultrasonic Technique	24
2.9.2	Recent Work Related to Ultrasonic Tomography	26
2.10	Summary	33
3	THEORETICAL BACKGROUND	34
3.1	Introduction	34
3.2	Ultrasonic Tomography System	34
3.3	Fundamental Principles of Ultrasonic Wave Propagation	37
3.3.1	Acoustic Impedance	37
3.3.2	Ultrasonic Attenuation Model	42
3.3.3	Ultrasonic Wave Propagation	43
3.3.4	Ultrasonic Transmission Mode	46
3.4	Theory of Simulation	47
3.5	Image Reconstructing Algorithms	49
3.5.1	Linear Back Propagation	51
3.5.2	Filter Back propagation	51
3.6	Image Reconstruction Error Measurement	53
3.7	Summary	54
4	METHODOLOGY	55
4.1	Introduction	55

4.2	Hardware Development	55
4.2.1	Metal Pipe Conveyor	55
4.2.2	Sensor Selection	57
4.2.3	Sensor Fixture Design	64
4.2.4	Electronic Circuitry and Embedded System	66
4.2.5	Data Acquisition	88
4.3	Software Development	90
4.3.1	Projection Geometry	90
4.3.2	Geometry Gridding	92
4.3.3	Sensitivity Map	93
4.3.4	Ultrasonic Tomography System Calibration	95
4.3.5	Measurement Process	96
4.3.6	Investigated Image Reconstruction Algorithms	103
4.4	Summary	108
5	RESULTS AND ANALYSIS	110
5.1	Introduction	110
5.2	Simulation Results	110
5.3	Forward Model Simulation Results	121
5.3.1	Simulation of the Single Gas Bubble	122
5.3.2	Simulation of the Double Gas Bubbles	125
5.4	The Experimental Results	132
5.4.1	Static Results	132
5.4.2	Dynamic Results	137
5.5	Measurement Error Analysis	145
5.6	Summary	152
6	CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE WORK	153

6.1 Conclusion	153
6.2 Contributions towards Process Tomography	155
6.3 Recommendation for Future Works	156
REFERENCES	158
Appendices A - F	170 - 196

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Comparison of various kinds of tomography	15
3.1	Various ultrasonic propagation modes in solids	44
3.2	Various types of filter masks	52
4.1	The transducer characteristic	63
4.2	Truth table	76
4.3	Observation timings (μ s) logged for all scanning geometries	86
4.4	GUI-generated callbacks for software operations	97
5.1	The calculated value of ME for the different diameters of hollow pipes	151

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	An example of x-ray tomography system	16
2.2	ECT measurement circuits a) Block diagram of a charge/discharge circuit b) Block diagram of an AC-based circuit	17
2.3	Electrical Impedance Tomography Cross sectional structure	18
2.4	Typical structure of optical tomography system	19
2.5	The basic concept of NMRT	21
2.6	Ultrasonic wave propagation inside the pipe	24
3.1	General block diagram of ultrasonic tomography system	35
3.2	The shadowing effect of objects on propagation of ultrasonic wave by the fan beamed ultrasonic transducer	36
3.3	The correct method of mounting an ultrasonic transducer	38
3.4	Ultrasonic wave propagation from pipe-section (metal layer) to liquid media	39
3.5	Propagation of the ultrasonic wave from liquid to gas bubble	40
3.6	The ultrasonic attenuation model	42
3.7	The attenuation model for ultrasonic transmitter	42
3.8	Longitudinal and shear waves of ultrasonic wave propagation modes	43
3.9	Two most modes of vibration of particles	45
3.10	The back projection method [8]	49
3.11	The fan-shaped beam back projection [58]	50
4.1	Prototype vertical column design (dimension in mm)	56
4.2	Base holder design	57

4.3	Basic structure of the ultrasonic sensor	58
4.4	Piezoelectric crystal vibration concept	58
4.5	Propagation of longitudinal wave and lamb wave from Tx1 to Tx8	59
4.6	3D view of metal pipe with sensor holder ring	60
4.7	Implementation of sensors on the surface of metal pipe	61
4.8	Cross section view of metal with mounted sensors	61
4.9	The transducer dimension	62
4.10	Impedance characteristic of the ultrasonic sensor	62
4.11	The divergent and narrow focused ultrasound beam	63
4.12	Sensor fixture design	64
4.13	The experimental sensors fixture with applied sensors	65
4.14	Block diagram of ultrasonic tomography (UT) system	66
4.15	Block diagram of the power supply	67
4.16	Schematic of the power supply circuit for producing ± 35 V	69
4.17	Schematic of the power supply circuit for generating a) ± 15 V b) +5 V	70
4.18	Generated applied pulse for actuation of ultrasonic sensors	70
4.19	Schematic of isolator and amplifier	73
4.20	The schematic of switching part	73
4.21	Electromechanical relay: Current through the coil creates a magnetic field that moves the armature between the contacts	74
4.22	Block diagram of receiver circuit	77
4.23	Circuit schematic of the applied LNA in receiver circuit	77
4.24	The effect of Q on the shape of band pass filter	78
4.25	Band pass filter topology	79
4.26	Schematic for signal amplifier circuit	80
4.27	Manner of sample and hold from receiving signal	81
4.28	Typical sample and hold circuit	82
4.29	Sample and hold circuit and signal response	83
4.30	Receiving signals by the ultrasonic receiver sensor	84
4.31	Receiving signals by the ultrasonic receiver sensor in the case of object existence inside the pipe	85

4.32	Determining the peak amplitude and its observation time information	86
4.33	PCB of the a) power supply b) transmitter and receiver circuit	87
4.34	Prototype of final designed ultrasonic tomography system with metal pipe conveyor	88
4.35	The data acquisition system (NI USB-6210)	89
4.36	The measurement section configuration	90
4.37	Single scanning geometry	91
4.38	Sixteen scanning geometry	91
4.39	Image plane model for 64 x 64 pixels tomogram	92
4.40	Nodes representing transducer arc on the image plane model	93
4.41	The virtual projection for Tx1 to Tx8	94
4.42	Graphical user interface for measurement process	96
4.43	Operation structure for the application software	99
4.44	Data acquisition flow	100
4.45	Flowchart of image reconstruction structure	101
4.46	Calculating the median value of a pixel neighbourhood	104
4.47	A disk filter with the diameter of 3 pixel	105
4.48	Calculating the circular average value of a pixel neighbourhood	105
4.49	Flow chart of CTS algorithm	107
5.1	Ultrasonic wave propagation in 40 kHz	111
5.2	Distribution of ultrasonic wave on the wall of the metal pipe in 40 kHz	111
5.3	Distribution of ultrasonic wave inside the metal pipe in 40kHz	111
5.4	Ultrasonic wave propagation in 250 kHz	112
5.5	Distribution of ultrasonic wave on the wall of the metal pipe in 250 kHz	113
5.6	Distribution of ultrasonic wave inside the metal pipe in 250kHz	113
5.7	Distribution of ultrasonic wave on the boundary of the metal	

	pipe in 40 kHz	114
5.8	Distribution of ultrasonic wave inside the metal pipe in 40kHz	114
5.9	Transient time analyzing of inside the pipe in various times (a) $t=22\mu\text{s}$ (b) $t=35\mu\text{s}$ (c) $t=56\mu\text{s}$ (d) $t=78\mu\text{s}$	115
5.10	3D view of transient time analyzing of inside the pipe in various times (a) $t=22\mu\text{s}$ (b) $t=35\mu\text{s}$ (c) $t=56\mu\text{s}$ (d) $t=78\mu\text{s}$	116
5.11	Transient time analyzing of boundary of the metal pipe in various times (a) $t=3\mu\text{s}$ (b) $t=8\mu\text{s}$ (c) $t=23\mu\text{s}$ (d) $t=32\mu\text{s}$	117
5.12	Manner of ultrasonic wave propagation in different frequencies a) 40 kHz b) 80 kHz c)120 kHz	118
5.13	Receiving pressure wave form in opposite side of transmitter a) 40 kHz b) 80 kHz c)120 kHz	119
5.14	a) Scattered ultrasonic pressure wave inside the steel pipe with a gas bubble in 40 kHz b) the effect of gas bubble in received pressure wave form	121
5.15	2-D simulation of the metal pipe with 22 implemented sensor	123
5.16	Projections of 5 channels (TX5, TX4, TX3, TX2 and TX1) for the case of single gas bubble	124
5.17	Forward model simulated images for the case of single gas bubble a)LBP b)MFBP c)DFBP d)CTS	125
5.18	2-D simulation of the metal pipe with double gas bubble	126
5.19	Projections of 5 channels (TX5, TX4, TX3, TX2 and TX1) for the case of double gas bubble	127
5.20	Forward model simulated images for the case of double gas bubble a)LBP b)MFBP c)DFBP d)CTS	128
5.21	Forward model simulated images for the case of quarter flow a)LBP b)MFBP c)DFBP d)CTS	129
5.22	Forward model simulated images for the case of half flow a)LBP b)MFBP	130
5.23	Forward model simulated images for the case of three quarter flow a)LBP b)MFBP c)DFBP d)CTS	131

5.24	The experimental setup	133
5.25	Reconstructed cross-sectional images from experimental UT system - Single hollow pipe ($d_1 = 32$ mm) a)LBP b)MFBP c)DFBP d)CTS	134
5.26	The experimental setup (Dual hollow PVC pipe)	135
5.27	Reconstructed cross-sectional images from experimental UT system - Double hollow pipes ($d_1 = 20$ mm and $d_2 = 32$ mm) a)LBP b)MFBP c)DFBP d)CTS	136
5.28	The experimental setup with air pump for dynamic study	137
5.29	Experimental dynamic results from bubbly flow inside the metal pipe – LBP algorithm	138
5.30	Experimental dynamic results from bubbly flow inside the metal pipe – MFBP algorithm	139
5.31	Experimental dynamic results from bubbly flow inside the metal pipe – DFBP algorithm	140
5.32	Experimental dynamic results from bubbly flow inside the metal pipe – CTS algorithm	141
5.33	Reconstructed cross-sectional images from experimental dynamic UT system – for single gas bubble a)LBP b)MFBP c)DFBP d)CTS	142
5.34	Reconstructed cross-sectional images from experimental dynamic UT system – for Dual gas bubbles a)LBP b)MFBP c)DFBP d)CTS	144
5.35	Estimated area of gas bubble ($d = 22$ mm) with LBP algorithm for different thresholding	146
5.36	Estimated area of gas bubble ($d = 22$ mm) with MFBP algorithm for different thresholding	146
5.37	Estimated area of gas bubble ($d = 22$ mm) with DFBP algorithm for different thresholding	147
5.38	Estimated area of gas bubble ($d = 22$ mm) with CTS algorithm for different thresholding	147
5.39	Measurement error of the reconstructed image with different thresholding for the LBP algorithm	148

5.40	Measurement error of the reconstructed image with different thresholding for the MFBP algorithm	149
5.41	Measurement error of the reconstructed image with different thresholding for the DFBP algorithm	149
5.42	Measurement error of the reconstructed image with different thresholding for the CTS algorithm	150
5.43	The comparison of the estimated area of gas module with the actual area for the different sizes of hollow pipes	151

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

AC	-	Alternating Current
ADC	-	Analogue-to-Digital Converter
CAT	-	Computerised Axial Tomography
CPU	-	Central Processing Unit
CS	-	Control Signal
CT	-	Computerised Tomography
CTS	-	Circular Thresholding Segmentation
DA	-	Dielectric Absorption
DAQ	-	Data Acquisition System
DC	-	Direct Current
DCU	-	Digital Controller Unit
DFBP	-	Disk Filtering Back Projection
ECT	-	Electrical Capacitance Tomography
EIT	-	Electrical Impedance Tomography
EMT	-	Electromagnetic Tomography
ERT	-	Electrical Resistance Tomography
GUI	-	Graphical User Interface
IC	-	Integrated Circuit
ICSP™	-	In-Circuit Serial Programming™
IPT	-	Industrial Process Tomography
LBP	-	Linear Back Projection
MATLAB	-	Matrix Laboratory
MCU	-	Microcontroller Unit
MFBP	-	Median Filtering Back Projection
MIPS	-	Million Instruction Per Second
MRI	-	Magnetic Resonance Imaging

NMR	-	Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
Op	-	Amp - Operational Amplifier
PC	-	Personal Computer
PCB	-	Printed Circuit Board
PCI	-	Peripheral Component Interconnect
PET	-	Positron Emission Tomography
PT	-	Process Tomography
RISC	-	Reduced Instruction Set Computer
RS232	-	Recommended Standard 232
SHA	-	Sample and Hold Amplifier
SnH	-	Sample-and-Hold
TI	-	Texas Instruments
USB	-	Universal Serial Bus
UT	-	Ultrasonic Tomography
UTT	-	Ultrasonic Transmission Tomography

LIST OF SYMBOLS

-	-	Average Mean of Matrix A
-	-	Average Mean of Matrix B
A_G	-	Percentage of Gas Area
A_{gas}	-	Measured Gas Area
A_L	-	Percentage of Liquid Area
A_{liquid}	-	Measured Liquid Area
$B(x,y)$	-	EPR Marking Matrix
c	-	Velocity of Sound
C	-	Capacitor
C_{max}	-	Maximum Concentration
C_{Th}	-	Concentration Threshold
d	-	Diameter
dB	-	Decibel
E_a	-	Estimated Gas Area
F_c	-	Center Frequency
fps	-	Frames per second
Gas_Down_{xy}	-	Simulated Gas Bubble (Downstream)
Gas_Up_{xy}	-	Simulated Gas Bubble (Upstream)
Gas_{xy}	-	Simulated Gas Bubble
kHz	-	Kilohertz
L	-	Distance
M_a	-	Measured Gas Area

ME	-	Measurement Error
MHz	-	Megahertz
M_P	-	Maximum Total Pixels
$M_{Tx,Rx}(x, y)$	-	Normalized Sensitivity Matrices
N_{delay}	-	Number of Delayed Frames
nF	-	Nanofarad
P_{gas}	-	Gas Component Percentage
P_{liquid}	-	Liquid Component Percentage
P_r	-	Reflection Coefficient
P_t	-	Transmissions Coefficient
R	-	Resistor
Rx	-	Receiver
SimMap	-	Simulated Phantom Matrix
Sim_x	-	Simulation Profile
SnH	-	Sample-and-Hold
S_{TxRx}	-	Sensor Loss Voltage
t_s	-	Observation Time
Tx	-	Transmitter
V	-	Flow Velocity
V-	-	Inverting Input
$V(x,y)$	-	Concentration Profile
V+	-	Non-inverting Input
$V_{EPR}(x,y)$	-	Concentration Profile using LBP
$V_{LBP}(x,y)$	-	Concentration Profile using LBP
V_{p-p}	-	Voltage Peak-to-Peak
V_{ref}	-	Voltage Reference
$V_{refTxRx}$	-	Reference Sensor Voltage

V_{Supply}	-	Voltage Supply
V_{TxRx}	-	Measured Sensor Voltage
Z	-	Acoustic Impedance
ρ	-	Density
τ	-	Transit Time
f	-	Frequency
α	-	Attenuation Coefficient
λ	-	Ultrasound Wavelength
$^{\circ}$	-	Degree
μF	-	Microfarad
2D	-	Two Dimensional
3D	-	Three Dimensional

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Acoustic properties of materials	170
B	Sensitivity maps for projection of Tx5	171
C	The sensor values	182
D	Program matlab codes for selected important functions	183
E	Publications related to the thesis	194
F	Research awards and expositions	196

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

The root of tomography word belongs to the Greek language. It consists of “Tomov” and “Graph” which mean “to slice” and “picture”. The concept of “to slice” relates to the cross section of any object. In other word, the definition of tomography word can be stated as a process for obtaining the cross sectional images from an object [1].

For the first time in 1950’s, the development of process tomography started and has a wide application in medicine especially for body scanning in that time. However, it still is used as the efficient instrument in medicine for scanning application in various proposes [1]. Evaluation of process tomography carried out during the mid-1980. Various imaging equipments for tomography processes was presented in the 1970’s, but it involved using ionization in x-rays, etc. The present progress on process tomography systems are achieved due to the accomplished researches in mid- 1980’s. Generally, process tomography is a technique used to determine the internal behaviours of flowing materials in a pipeline [2]. It used to demonstrate the internal composition of pipes or mixing vessels in the images form. There are many different types of tomographic methods which are used to obtain different kinds of readings. For example, the significant part of the tomography system which leads to the creation the different types of tomography system would be the applied sensors. The applied sensors mount around a cylindrical vessel or

object for obtaining different measurement readings through the device under test [2].

According to the potentiality of process tomography for improvement of efficiency and safety in process industries with changing the material of the container of fluid, it is still investigated by the researchers which results to the design of new circuit structure for transmitting and receiving signals or new reconstruction algorithms to improve the quality of images. Both qualitative and quantitative data needed in modelling a multi-phase flow system can be achieved by use of this system. In tomography, multiple projections with the use of various sensors are used to obtain sets of data from various views across the process vessel. These data are used to provide tomographic cross sectional images representing the contents of the pipeline or vessel. The plane image of objects provides an opportunity to reveal the complexities of structure without invading the object [3].

The efficiency of tomography systems increases with an increment of the quantity and quality of data due to many earlier measurement techniques [4]. In this research ultrasonic sensor array is selected to mount on the periphery of the pipe for collecting the data from inside the pipe. According to designed and manufactured tomography systems to date, most of the systems have been implemented on acrylic pipes or polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipes but metal pipes are not used for the container of multi fluid flow. It is because of the various difficulties in ultrasonic wave propagation and the point is that longitudinal mode of wave propagation is our desired mode and the other modes of propagation make problems in sensing process. Additionally, due to the large difference between acoustic impedance of metal layer and liquid layer, a few percentage of ultrasonic energy can penetrate on the inside of the pipe and consequently the received energy by the receiver sensors is very low percentage of transmitted energy. In this research ultrasonic sensor array will be mounted on the surface of metal pipe.

The first non-invasive of ultrasonic tomography (UT) fabrication technique was introduced by Gai [5]. Improvement on the mentioned research work is not longer investigated. Development on UT has focused more to liquid/gas two-phase flow [6 and 7] where the Perspex pipe has been used as conveyor. However, the

latter system has been implemented in the invasive mode which is not supported mostly by the industries. Three transmitters have been implemented in non-invasive system and for exciting the mentioned transmitters; a signal with 200V amplitude was applied to the transmitters [7].

1.2 Problem Statement

Various investigations on process tomography with different kinds of sensors are carried out. For instance, adopting ultrasonic sensors in process tomography was introduced by Gai [5] when they presented their research on the non-invasive UT fabrication technique. The history of UT pursuit with the carried out developments on measurement of liquid/gas flow [6 and 7]. The latter system which implements invasive technique of sensing is mostly not favored by the industries. Additionally, the proposed technique by Xu *et al.* [7] utilized high excitation voltage (around 200V) for the excitation of ultrasonic transmitters. This is however troublesome and the electrocution danger or technical breakdown would be dangerous if any fault accidentally appeared to be in the system. Nevertheless, the high excitation voltage has put a restriction on the system and also the application [8].

The latest development on UT by Rahiman [8] however have solved the earlier problems described. The implemented system have successfully developed an UT system using low operating voltage transducers (20V) which has been proved to be sufficient for liquid/gas flow imaging as long as the acoustic energy could pass through the process vessel [8]. More importantly, the developed system has successfully implement 16-pairs of ultrasonic transducers for non-invasive ultrasonic measurement system. The non-invasive transducer fabrication techniques were realised by using silicon grease as the acoustic coupling to ensure ultrasonic waves to be able to penetrate the process vessel.

In the pursuit of continuing the previous research done specifically in UT, this research is motivated by the opportunity to increase the capability of an UT system and widen the range of application where it can be utilized. Compared to

conventional measurement techniques, process tomography measurement technique is essential to give an insight into phase interactions and help in providing better understanding of the operation process, which such information is useful for system design and control.

In light of this issue, the main limitations of the previous development on UT systems are as:

- i. Application of metal pipe in UT system. To date majority of investigations in UT system are restricted to the pipes with material of Acrylic or PVC [9-25].
- ii. Improving the spatial resolution of generated images with UT system. It is still a challenge between researchers to develop a new image reconstruction algorithm in order to increase the spatial resolution of images [13, 23, 26-40].

These limitations are considered in this study. Awareness on some of the deficiency or opportunity for enhancing the advantage of such system for industrial environment where metal pipes apply for the transfer of the materials, new design and more others can be implemented and exploited which is discussed in upcoming chapters.

1.3 Research Objectives

The main objective of this research is generating cross-sectional images from metal pipe with UT system. Based on the main objective, other objectives of this research are listed as follow:

1. To simulate and model the tomography system to find the optimum sensor for metal pipe.

2. To implement ultrasonic transducers non-invasively on the periphery of the metal pipe and designing the suitable electronic circuit as the transmitter and receiver circuit for supporting the 16 applied ultrasonic sensors.
3. To implement suitable algorithm for the image reconstruction.

1.4 Research Methodology

The approach that will be used in this research is a non-invasive technique where 16 ultrasonic transceivers will be mounted on the surface of a metal pipe. By the considering the method of non-invasive technique and developing the systems for an industrial applications [31] cause to arise the ideas which listed as follows:

- i. Acoustic impedance plays a main role in transmitting the ultrasonic energy from one layer to another layer. Due to the impedance mismatch between air and the surface of the pipe so using the ultrasonic method in air is very inefficient and large amount of ultrasonic energy is attenuated [31]. When ultrasonic transducers mount on the surface of the pipe, air gap may be trapped between the surface of the transducer and surface of the pipe that it cause to large amount of ultrasonic energy is reflected and cannot transmit on the wall of the pipe. To solve of the mentioned problem, an acoustic coupling should be equipped between the sensors and the outer pipe surface so that the ultrasonic wave could transmit on the pipe.
- ii. The high difference of acoustic impedance between metal pipe and liquid inside the pipe results to the high value reflection of ultrasonic wave at the interface of liquid and metal pipe. Due to the high reflection property of metal pipe for the ultrasonic wave, low amount of ultrasonic energy can penetrate inside the metal pipe. In order to solve this problem, high amplitude of ultrasonic wave should be applied on the metal pipe.
- iii. Ultrasonic transducers must be suitable to the application design where the transducers projection should be in a wide angle and they can support high

amplitude voltages. Wide beam angle is because of the straight-line propagation of ultrasonic waves has been used in this system. High amplitude voltage supporting of sensors is because of the high attenuation of ultrasonic wave along the transmission path from transmitter to receiver.

- iv. Since the metal pipe has been applied as the conveyor of tomography system, the manner of ultrasonic wave propagation is notable. In this tomography system straight line propagation of wave (longitudinal mode) is our favorite mode. When ultrasonic wave transmitted on the wall of metal pipe, other modes of propagation such as shear mode, surface mode and lamb wave are also resulted. Lamb wave propagation on the wall of the pipe is parallel with shear wave and it can make a problem in sensing procedure. Lamb wave is proportional with the ultrasonic frequency so it is important an optimum frequency is determined for the exciting frequency of ultrasonic transducers.
- v. Two types of signal can be used as the exciting signal of transducer: Continues and pulse signals. Since continues signals make the standing waves so pulse signal is generated. The pulse signal should ideally be software controlled so that the timing of the pulses can be easily varied and the synchronization is ensured. Additionally, the pulse signal should be long enough for the transient response and it is short enough to avoid multiple reflection and overlapping receiver signals. The mentioned terms achieve by the use of microcontroller.
- vi. At the receiving part of the system, very low percentage of transmitting energy can be achieved at the other side of the pipe and due to the lamb wave problem that it is assumed as a noise of system cause to disappear the low voltage signal which is transmitted in straight line. A low-noise signal conditioning circuit is required to increase signal to noise ratio and amplify the ultrasound receiving signal.

1.5 Research Scope and Limitations

The research scopes are divided into six main parts. They are the designing of transducer fixture, suitable ultrasonic transducer and coupling material, suitable frequency for the resonance frequency of transducers, the electronic transmitter circuit, the electronic measurement circuit, the digital controller and the data acquisition system. The details are explained as following:

i. Designing of transducer fixture

The design of fixture of holding the ultrasonic transducers includes the mechanical structure of the fixture, the geometry of the transducers, the angle of transducer's projection beam, and the cost effective to the design.

ii. Suitable ultrasonic transducer and coupling material

The design includes the selection of suitable ultrasonic transducer that it can support the high voltage amplitude and it has wide angle beam projection profile. The couplant is also should be suitable with the experimental environment and the handling feasibility.

iii. Suitable frequency for the resonance frequency of transducers

The selection of a proper frequency as an exciting frequency includes the consideration of the straight line propagation of wave and decrease the length propagation of the lamb wave. Lamb wave has the noise role in this kind of measuring and it makes a problem due to the time of flight Lamb wave is more quick than straight line wave and due to the low amplitude of the received straight line signal, Lamb wave cause to disappear the affection of straight line signal and it can be control by the exciting frequency.

iv. The electronic transmitter circuit

The design includes the ultrasound signal generator, designing a switching high power amplifier, isolator for isolating the high power part from

low power, transformer for impedance matching of the system. Finally they are implemented in printed circuit board (PCB) for reduce the noise affection.

v. The electronic measurement circuit

The design of the measuring circuit in receiving part of the system include the selection of effective low noise amplifier integrated circuit (IC) and using an appropriate amplifying technique, the signal processing circuit using the sample and hold technique to convert the analog signal to digital. The printed circuit board (PCB) layout and implementing the components properly is needed to reduce the noise within the circuits.

vi. The digital controller and the data acquisition system

The design includes the microcontroller programming, the ultrasound projection sequence, delay estimation between pulses for preventing the overlapping problem, the determination of observation time (t_s) for estimation of the time of flight of each sensor, the sample and hold triggering signal to convert the analog signal to digital and finally the synchronization of data acquisition by implying the controlling pulse signal to start and stop the operation.

The limitations of UT system due to the physical property of ultrasonic wave are as follow:

- i. The main affection of ultrasound wave frequency is the sizes of the particles or bubbles being comparable with the wavelength of the ultrasound, therefore in practice unpredictable scattering, reflection and mode changing can be occurred in real work. The size of gas bubbles in the sensing zone should be greater than at least half wavelength of the ultrasonic wave in order to block the ultrasonic wave from reaching to the receiver sensors during the measurement process [41 and 42]. Due to the application of the 40 kHz ultrasonic sensors in this system, the minimum detectable size of bubbles is 19 mm.

- ii. Since ultrasound travels too slowly, so it is not proper to give high-resolution images of fast moving flows in large pipes. The speed of ultrasound in water is about 1500 m/s or 1.5 mm/ μ s [43]. Based on the configuration of hardware of UT system, the total time for generating the cross-sectional image due to the one complete scan of metal pipe is equal to 1.4 seconds.

- iii. The presented UT system in this research can only be used in the sparse bubbly flows. When so many gas bubbles exists inside the pipe in the sensing area, and the distribution area of the gas bubbles in the cross section of the pipe is larger than the axial aperture of the used pipe; then ultrasonic wave cannot pass through and reach the receiver sensor along a straight line [3]. Only 20% of total holdups such as gas bubbles or solid particles would be reliable limit for the monitoring of the flow with UT systems.

1.6 Thesis Organization

Chapter 1 as have been gone through describes the definition of tomography and its brief history, along with an overview of this research. This chapter also states the research's background problems, the problem statements, and the importance of the study, the research methodology, the research's principal aims and objectives which is believed to benefit wide range of differential applications. Additionally, the limitations of current UT system are presented.

Chapter 2 presents an introduction to the process tomography, including the lists of the most common tomography techniques summarizing their working principles, advantages and disadvantages. Fundamentals on the use of ultrasonic sensing for imaging instrument are compiled specifically for understanding the key aspects.

Chapter 3 is about the basic and fundamental governed theories in UT systems. Due to the complex behaviour of ultrasonic wave when propagate within the metal pipe, theory of ultrasonic wave is utilized for estimating the ultrasonic wave behaviour in metal pipes. In this chapter, the essential theory of the simulation with COMSOL software will be presented. In this research COMSOL software is used in order to determine the suitable sensor for the UT system with metal pipe conveyor. The basic and conventional image reconstruction algorithms also will be presented at the end of this chapter.

Chapter 4 is divided to two main parts: Hardware development and Software development of UT system. It starts with the production of the experimental setup which includes the metal pipe and base holder design and also the rapid prototyping mechanical works for producing the sensors fixture. The main step in this research is finding the proper sensor for the case of metal pipe. For this purpose, various simulations with COMSOL software are carried out to find the optimum frequency as the resonance frequency of the applied sensor. Detailed explanation on each parts and its importance to the whole system is presented including various other sub-systems such as the ultrasonic sensor, electronic circuitry, embedded system, PCB design and finally the data acquisition module. Programming structures are charted to illustrate all software-wise mechanisms. Additionally, this chapter is focused on explaining the software development. Virtual projection of the ultrasound propagation is mapped and used by the proposed algorithms for image reconstruction where composition determination and error measurement are calculated. The structure for constructing the application software providing the graphical user interface are given in details in associated flow chart. Additionally, several types of functions that are designed for operating the measurement process are also discussed.

Chapter 5 exposed all the achieved results from simulation with COMSOL regards to the finding the optimum frequency and identification of the sampling method form received signals, results from forward modeling of UT system and resultant cross-sectional images form experimental static UT system by inserting hollow PVC pipe inside the metal pipe. The dynamic results of UT system with metal pipe for bubbly flow are illustrated in this chapter. The results are analysed on

its imaging capabilities specifically in a metal pipe configuration, the recorded measurement of liquid and gas component distributions inside the metal pipe and error measurement from the actual value.

Chapter 6 concludes the research findings throughout the dissertation including discussing significant contributions towards process tomography community particularly on the subject of ultrasonic tomography. Recommendations for future works are also addressed to assist other researchers in pursuing the works for further development and improvement.

REFERENCES

1. Beck, M.S. and R.A. Williams, *Process tomography: principles, techniques, and applications*. 1995: Butterworth-Heinemann.
2. Hammer, B.a., *Process Tomography-A Strategy for Industrial Exploitation*. preconference, ECAPT. 1994: p. pp. 25-32.
3. Dyakowski, T., *Tomography in a process system*. In R. A. Williams, *Process Tomography: Principles, Techniques and Applications* 1995: p. pp. 13-37.
4. Abdul Rahim, R., *A Tomographic Imaging System for Pneumatic Conveyors Using Optical Fibres*. 1996, Sheffield Hallam University:.
5. Gai, H., M.S. Beck, and R. Flemons, *An integral transducer/pipe structure for flow imaging*, in IEEE 3rd International Ultrasonic Symposium 1989, IEEE.: Montreal : . p. pp. 1077-1082.
6. Xu, L. and Z. Chen. *Investigation of transmission-mode UCT system for bubbly gas/liquid fluid distribution monitoring*. in Proc. 2nd ECAPT Conf.(Karlsruhe, 1993). 1993.
7. Xu, L., et al., *Application of ultrasonic tomography to monitoring gas/liquid flow*. Chemical Engineering Science, 1997. 52(13): p. 2171-2183.
8. Rahiman, M.H.F., *Non-invasive imaging of liquid/gas flow using ultrasonic transmission-mode tomography*. 2005, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Faculty of Electrical Engineering.
9. Moshfeghi, M., *Ultrasound reflection-mode tomography using fan-shaped-beam insonification*. IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control, 1986. 33: p. 299-314.
10. Beller, L.S., *Ultrasonic tomography for in-process measurements of temperature in a multi-phase medium*. 1993, Google Patents.
11. Schlaberg, H.I., M. Yang, and B.S. Hoyle, *Real-time ultrasonic process tomography for two-component flows*. Electronics Letters, 1996. 32(17): p. 1571-1572.

12. Leighton, T.G., et al., *Acoustic detection of gas bubbles in a pipe*. Acta Acustica united with Acustica, 1998. 84(5): p. 801-814.
13. Yang, M., et al., *Real-time ultrasound process tomography for two-phase flow imaging using a reduced number of transducers*. Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control, IEEE Transactions on, 1999. 46(3): p. 492-501.
14. Miyashita, T. and A. Honda, *An experimental study of ultrasonic diffraction tomography with circular scanning*. Japanese Journal of Applied Physics, 2000. 39(5S): p. 3101.
15. Hoyle, B.S., et al., *Design and application of a multi-modal process tomography system*. Measurement Science and Technology, 2001. 12(8): p. 1157.
16. Steiner, G., H. Wegleiter, and D. Watzenig. *A Dual Mode Ultrasound and Electrical Capacitance Process Tomography Sensor*. in *Sensors, 2005 IEEE*. 2005: IEEE.
17. Kurniadi, D. and A. Trisnobudi, *A Multy path ultrasonic transit time flow meter using a tomography method for gas flow velocity profile measurement*. Particle & Particle Systems Characterization, 2006. 23(4): p. 330-338.
18. Rahiman, M.H.F., R. Abdul Rahim, and M. Tajjudin, *Ultrasonic transmission-mode tomography imaging for liquid/gas two-phase flow*. Sensors Journal, IEEE, 2006. 6(6): p. 1706-1715.
19. Carvalho, R.D.M.d., et al., *Application of the ultrasonic technique and high-speed filming for the study of the structure of air/water bubbly flows*. Experimental Thermal and Fluid Science, 2009. 33(7): p. 1065-1086.
20. Pusppanathan, M.J., *Application of ultrasonic tomography in non-invasive imaging of liquid - gas flow*. 2009, University Teknologi Malaysia.
21. Chun, S., B.-R. Yoon, and K.-B. Lee, *Diagnostic flow metering using ultrasound tomography*. Journal of mechanical science and technology, 2011. 25(6): p. 1475-1482.
22. Wackel, S., U. Hempel, and J.r. Auge, *Acousto-capacitive tomography of liquid multiphase systems*. Sensors and Actuators A: Physical. 172(1): p. 322-329.
23. Ayob, N.M.N., *Development of ultrasonic tomography system for liquid/gas flow measurement in a vertical column*. 2011, Universiti Malaysia Perlis.

24. Muhamad, I.R., Y.A. Wahab, and S. Saat. *Identification of water/solid flow regime using ultrasonic tomography*. in System Engineering and Technology (ICSET), 2012 International Conference on. 2012.
25. Fenster, A., et al., *Three-dimensional ultrasound imaging*. Handbook of 3D Machine Vision: Optical Metrology and Imaging, 2013. 16: p. 285.
26. Hauck, A., *Ultrasonic time-of-flight tomography for the non-intrusive measurement of flow velocity fields*, in *Acoustical imaging*. 1990, Springer. p. 317-325.
27. Seiraffi, M.A., *Two-Phase Flow Measurement in Pipelines Using Ultrasonic-Tomography*, in *Acoustical Imaging*. 1992, Springer. p. 933-937.
28. Komiya, K.-I. and S. Teerawatanachai, *Ultrasonic tomography for visualizing the velocity profile of air flow*. Flow Measurement and Instrumentation, 1993. 4(2): p. 61-65.
29. Hoyle, B.S. and S.P. Luke, *Ultrasound in the process industries*. Engineering Science & Education Journal, 1994. 3(3): p. 119-122.
30. Dyakowski, T., *Process tomography applied to multi-phase flow measurement*. Measurement Science and Technology, 1996. 7(3): p. 343.
31. Abdul Rahim, R., *Ultrasonic Transmission-Mode Tomography in Water/Particles Flow* in Malaysian Science and Technology Congress 2003. 2003: Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
32. Kannath, A. and R. Dewhurst, *Real-time measurement of acoustic field displacements using ultrasonic interferometry*. Measurement Science and Technology, 2004. 15(12): p. N59.
33. Zhao, X., J.L. Rose, and H. Gao, *Determination of density distribution in powder compacts using ultrasonic tomography*. Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control, IEEE Transactions on, 2006. 53(2): p. 360-369.
34. Raiajutis, R., et al., *Application of the ultrasonic transmission tomography for inspection of the petroleum tank floor*. Ultragarsas, 2007. 62(3): p. 26-32.
35. Raut, S., et al. *Image Segmentation—A State-Of-Art Survey for Prediction*. in Advanced Computer Control, 2009. ICACC'09. International Conference on. 2009: IEEE.
36. Rahiman, M.H.F., et al., *Ultrasonic tomography imaging simulation of two-phase homogeneous flow*. Sensor Review, 2009. 29(3): p. 266-276.

37. Abdul Wahab, Y., et al. *Application of transmission-mode ultrasonic tomography to identify multiphase flow regime*. in Electrical, Control and Computer Engineering (INECCE), 2011 International Conference on. 2011.
38. Kun, W., et al., *An imaging model incorporating ultrasonic transducer properties for three-dimensional optoacoustic tomography*. Medical Imaging, IEEE Transactions on, 2011. 30(2): p. 203-214.
39. Liu, Y., et al., *Ultrasonic Tomographic Image Reconstruction Based on TTLS Regularization*. Dianshi Jishu (Video Engineering), 2013. 37(7): p. 114-116.
40. Yang, L., et al. *Immersion ultrasonic reflection tomography by annular array system*. in Nondestructive Evaluation/Testing: New Technology & Application (FENDT), 2013 Far East Forum on. 2013.
41. Ng, W.N., *Development of ultrasonic tomography for composition determination of water and oil flow*. 2005, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Faculty of Electrical Engineering.
42. Ayob, N.M.N., et al. *Simulation on using cross-correlation technique for two-phase liquid/gas flow measurement for Ultrasonic Transmission Tomography*. in *Signal Processing and Its Applications (CSPA), 2010 6th International Colloquium on*. 2010.
43. Beck, R.W., *Process tomography: Principles, techniques and applications*. 1995, London: : Butterworth-Heinemann Ltd.
44. Patrick, D.R. and S.W. Fardo, *Industrial process control systems*. Lilburn, GA: The Fairmont Press Inc, 2009.
45. Falcone, G., G.F. Hewitt, and C. Alimonti, *Multiphase flow metering: principles and applications*. Vol. 54. 2009: Elsevier.
46. Nielsen, B.D. and R.L. Mokwa, *Non-destructive soil testing using x-ray computed tomography*. 2004, Montana State University--Bozeman.
47. DOE/EM-0579, *Waste Inspection Tomography (WIT)*, Demonstrated at Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory, U.S.A: Department of Energy., 2001.
48. Wang, Q., et al., *Two-phase flow regime identification based on cross-entropy and information extension methods for computerized tomography*. Instrumentation and Measurement, IEEE Transactions on, 2011. 60(2): p. 488-495.

49. Yang, W. and L. Peng, *Image reconstruction algorithms for electrical capacitance tomography*. Measurement Science and Technology, 2003. 14(1)
50. Tajjudin, M.B., *Fan beam optical tomography*. Faculty of Electrical Engineering. 2005, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.
51. Vandenberghe, S., et al., *Fast reconstruction of 3D time-of-flight PET data by axial rebinning and transverse mashing*. Physics in medicine and biology, 2006. 51(6): p. 1603.
52. Kuzuoglu, M., K. Leblebicioglu, and Y. Ider, *A fast image reconstruction algorithm for electrical impedance tomography*. Physiological Measurement, 1994. 15(2A): p. A115.
53. Gao, N.Z., *Estimation of electrical conductivity distribution within the human head from magnetic flux density measurement*. Phys. Med. Biol. Journal. , 2005. Vol.50.: p. pp.2675-2687.
54. Otten, D.M. and B. Rubinsky, *Front-tracking image reconstruction algorithm for EIT-monitored cryosurgery using the boundary element method*. Physiological Measurement, 2005. 26(4): p. 503.
55. Pang, J., R. Rahim, and K. Chan. *Infrared tomography sensor configuration using 4 parallel beam projections*. in Proceedings of 3rd International Symposium on Process Tomography, 2004.
56. Chan, K.S., *Tomographic imaging of pneumatic conveyor using optical sensor*, in World Engineering Congress 2002, Sarawak, Malaysia.
57. Long, G., H. Yan, and Y. Sun, *Analysis of density matrix reconstruction in NMR quantum computing*. Journal of Optics B: Quantum and Semiclassical Optics, 2001. 3(6): p. 376.
58. Abdul Rahim, R., *Initial Results on Low Cost Microprocessor and Ethernet Controller based Data Acquisition System Developing for Optical Tomography System*. Sensors & Transducers Journal, 2007. Vol.81(Issue 7): pp. 1333-1340.
59. Abdul Rahim, R., et al., *Modeling orthogonal and rectilinear mixed-modality projection of optical tomography for solid-particles concentration measurement*. Sensors and Actuators A: Physical, 2010. 161(1): p. 53-61.
60. Rahiman, M.H.F., *Real-time Velocity Profile Generation of Powder Conveying using Electrical Charge Tomography*. 2002, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

61. Bidin, A.G., *Electrodynamic Sensors for Process Tomography*. In R. a. Williams, in *Process Tomography - Principles, Techniques and Application*. 1995, Jordan Hill: Butterworth Heinemann Ltd. pp. 101-117.
62. Hoyle, B., *Process tomography using ultrasonic sensor*. *Meas. Sci.Technol.*, 1996. Vol. 7: pp.272-280.
63. Warsito, W. and L.-S. Fan, *Measurement of real-time flow structures in gas-liquid and gas-liquid-solid flow systems using electrical capacitance tomography (ECT)*. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 2001. 56(21): p. 6455-6462.
64. Boyce, G.A., et al., *Endoscopic ultrasound in the pre-operative staging of rectal carcinoma*. *Gastrointestinal endoscopy*, 1992. 38(4): p. 468-471.
65. Hoyle, B.S., *Ultrasonic sensors*. In R. A. Williams, in *Process Tomography: Principles, Techniques and Applications*. 1995, Butterworth-Heinemann.: Oxford: pp.119-149.
66. Brown, G.J., *Development of an ultrasonic tomography system for application in pneumatic conveying*. *Measurement Science Technology*. 7:, 1996: pp. 396-405.
67. Hoyle, W.L., *Ultrasonic process tomography using multiple active sensors for maximum real time performance*. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 1996. Vol.52. pp.2161-2170.
68. Abdul Rahim, R., *Monitoring Liquid/Gas Flow Using Ultrasonic Tomography*, in *3rd International Symposium on Process Tomography*. 2004: Poland. Lodz, Poland. . pp. 130-133.
69. Müller, R., et al., *Acoustic tomography on the basis of travel-time measurement*. *Measurement Science and Technology*, 2004. 15(7): p. 1420.
70. Stotzka, R., et al. *Prototype of a new 3D ultrasound computer tomography system: transducer design and data recording*. in *Medical Imaging 2004*. International Society for Optics and Photonics.
71. Gai, H., *Ultrasonic techniques for flow imaging*. 1990, University of Manchester.
72. Li, W. and B. Hoyle, *Ultrasonic process tomography using multiple active sensors for maximum real-time performance*. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 1997. 52(13): p. 2161-2170.

73. Ohkawa, M., N. Kawata, and S. Uchida, *Cross-sectional distributions of gas and solid holdups in slurry bubble column investigated by ultrasonic computed tomography*. Chemical Engineering Science, 1999. 54(21): p. 4711-4728.
74. Wolf, J., *Investigation of bubbly flow by ultrasonic tomography*. Particle & Particle Systems Characterization, 1988. 5(4): p. 170-173.
75. Wolf, J., *Ultrasonic tomography in the field of flow measurement*. The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 1988. 83, p. S104.
76. Gai.H, B.M.S., and Flemons.R. , *An Integral Transducer/Pipe Structure for Flow Imaging*, in IEEE 3rd International Ultrasonic Symposium 1989, IEEE.: Montreal : pp. 1077-1082.
77. Flemons, R., *Ultrasonic transducer and ultrasonic flow imaging method*. 1988: U.K. Patent application 8815000.
78. Wiegand, F. and B.S. Hoyle, *Simulations for parallel processing of ultrasound reflection-mode tomography with applications to two-phase flow measurement*. Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control, IEEE Transactions on, 1989. 36(6): p. 652-660.
79. Wiegand, F. and B. Hoyle, *Development and implementation of real-time ultrasound process tomography using a transputer network*. Parallel computing, 1991. 17(6): p. 791-807.
80. Schlaberg, H.I., M. Yang, and B.S. Hoyle, *Ultrasound reflection tomography for industrial processes*. Ultrasonics, 1998. 36(1): p. 297-303.
81. Yang, M., et al., *Parallel image reconstruction in real-time ultrasound process tomography for two-phased flow measurements*. Real-time imaging, 1997. 3(4): p. 295-303.
82. Steiner, G. and F. Podd, *A non-invasive and non-intrusive ultrasonic transducer array for process tomography*. interaction, 2006. 99: p. 10.
83. Steiner, G., *Sequential fusion of ultrasound and electrical capacitance tomography*. Int. J. Inf. Syst. Sci, 2006. 2(4): p. 484-497.
84. Saad, M. and S. Ibrahim, *Concentration and velocity measurement of flowing object using optical and ultrasonic tomography*. 2007, Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia.

85. Ayob, N.M.N., et al. *Detection of small gas bubble using ultrasonic transmission-mode tomography system*. in *Industrial Electronics & Applications (ISIEA), 2010 IEEE Symposium on*. 2010: IEEE.
86. Bello, P.D., J. Yuanwei, and L. Enyue. *Abstract: GPU Accelerated Ultrasonic Tomography Using Propagation and Backpropagation Method*. in *High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis (SCC), 2012 SC Companion:*. 2012.
87. Gibbs, V., D. Cole, and A. Sassano, *Ultrasound physics and technology: how, why, and when*. 2009: Elsevier Health Sciences.
88. Herman, G.T., *Fundamentals of computerized tomography: image reconstruction from projections*. 2009: Springer.
89. Rahiman, M.H.F., R.A. Rahim, and N.M.N. Ayob, *The Front-End Hardware Design Issue in Ultrasonic Tomography*. *Sensors Journal, IEEE*, 2010. 10(7): p. 1276-1281.
90. Gill, R., *The physics and technology of diagnostic ultrasound: a practitioner's guide*. 2012: Buy now from the author.
91. Azhari, H., *Feasibility Study of Ultrasonic Computed Tomography–Guided High-Intensity Focused Ultrasound*. *Ultrasound in Medicine & Biology*, 2012. 38(4): p. 619-625.
92. Cheeke, J.D.N., *Fundamentals and applications of ultrasonic waves*. 2012: CRC press.
93. Ensminger, D. and F.B. Stulen, *Ultrasonics: data, equations and their practical uses*. 2010: CRC Press.
94. Rahiman, M.H.F., R. Abdul Rahim, and N.M.N. Ayob, *The Front-End Hardware Design Issue in Ultrasonic Tomography*. *Sensors Journal, IEEE*, 2010. 10(7): p. 1276-1281.
95. Rose, J.L. and P.B. Nagy, *Ultrasonic waves in solid media*. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 2000. 107: p. 1807.
96. Zhang, H., et al. *Ultrasonic guided wave tomography of pipes*. in *Audio Language and Image Processing (ICALIP), 2010 International Conference on*. 2010.
97. Rahiman, M.H.F., et al., *Modelling ultrasonic sensor for gas bubble profiles characterization of chemical column*. *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical*, 2013. 184(0): p. 100-105.

98. Fan, Y. and Y. Chu. *Application and study on preconditioned conjugate gradient method algorithm in concrete ultrasonic computerized tomography.* in *Information Technology and Computer Science (ITCS), 2010 Second International Conference on.* 2010.
99. Bargoshadi, J.A. and E. Najafiaghdam. *Ultrasonic dispersion system design and optimization using multiple transducers.* in *Piezoelectricity, Acoustic Waves, and Device Applications (SPAWDA) and 2009 China Symposium on Frequency Control Technology, Joint Conference of the 2009 Symposium on.* 2009: IEEE.
100. Hemzal, D., R. Jirik, and J. Jan. *Analytic ray propagation in FEM modelling of ultrasonic transmission tomography.* in *Systems, Signals and Image Processing (IWSSIP), 2012 19th International Conference on.* 2012.
101. Raman, V., A. Abbas, and S.C. Joshi. *Mapping local cavitation events in high intensity ultrasound fields.* in *Excerpt from the Proceeding of the COMSOL Users Conference, Bangalore.* 2006.
102. Harari, I. and T.J. Hughes, *Finite element methods for the Helmholtz equation in an exterior domain: model problems.* *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 1991. 87(1): p. 59-96.
103. Ihlenburg, F. and I. Babuška, *Finite element solution of the Helmholtz equation with high wave number Part I: The h-version of the FEM.* *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, 1995. 30(9): p. 9-37.
104. Garcia-Stewart, C., et al. *Image reconstruction algorithms for high-speed chemical species tomography.* in *Proceedings of 3rd World Conference on Industrial Process Tomography (Virtual Centre for Industrial and Process Tomography, 2003).* 2003.
105. Hsieh, J. *Computed tomography: principles, design, artifacts, and recent advances.* 2009: SPIE.
106. Plraskowski, A., *Imaging industrial flows: Applications of electrical process tomography.* 1995: Institute of Physics Pub.(Bristol, UK and Philadelphia).
107. Rahiman, M.H.F., et al., *Ultrasonic tomography–image reconstruction algorithms.* *International Journal of Innovative Computing, Information and Control*, 2012. 8: p. 527-538.

108. McKeen, T.R. and T.S. Pugsley, *The influence of permittivity models on phantom images obtained from electrical capacitance tomography*. Measurement Science and Technology, 2002. 13(12): p. 1822.
109. Isa, M.D., et al., *Validation process for electrical charge tomography system using digital imaging technique*. Applied Physics Research, 2009. 1(2): p. P11.
110. Qu, H., et al., *SR-CT filter-back-projection algorithm and application in detection of microstructure evolution*. Procedia Engineering, 2010. 7: p. 63-71.
111. Hakilo, A.S., *Flow regime identification of conveying in pneumatic pipeline using electric charge tomography and neural network techniques*. 2006, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia: Malaysia.
112. Pontana, F., et al., *Chest computed tomography using iterative reconstruction vs filtered back projection (Part 1): evaluation of image noise reduction in 32 patients*. European radiology, 2011. 21(3): p. 627-635.
113. Lou, Y., et al., *Image recovery via nonlocal operators*. Journal of Scientific Computing, 2010. 42(2): p. 185-197.
114. Ikeda, T., *Fundamentals of piezoelectricity*. Vol. 2. 1990: Oxford University Press Oxford.
115. Safari, A. and E.K. Akdoğan, *Piezoelectric and acoustic materials for transducer applications*. 2008: Springer.
116. Vijaya, M., *Piezoelectric Materials and Devices: Applications in Engineering and Medical Sciences*. 2012: CRC Press.
117. Rose, J.L. and B.B. Goldberg, *Basic physics in diagnostic ultrasound*. 1979: Wiley NY, DOI: 10.1002/jcu.1870080317.
118. Xu, L.-J. and L.-A. Xu, *Ultrasound tomography system used for monitoring bubbly gas/liquid two-phase flow*. Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control, IEEE Transactions on, 1997. 44(1): p. 67-76.
119. Sonehara, M. and Y. Kobayashi, *Analog switch*. 2011, Google Patents.
120. Zhou, Q.-H., *Application of Karnaugh Map in Design of Relay Logic Control Circuits*. Qinggong Jixie/ Light Industry Machinery, 2011. 29(2): p. 83-86.
121. Deliyannis, T., Y. Sun, and J.K. Fidler, *Continuous-time active filter design*. Vol. 12. 2010: CRC Press.

122. Yang, H., et al., *Design of an Active Adjustable Band-Pass Filter*, in *Multimedia and Signal Processing*. 2012, Springer. p. 601-608.
123. Lipták, B.G., *Instrument Engineers' Handbook, Volume Two: Process Control and Optimization*. Vol. 2. 2010: CRC press.
124. Dorf, R.C., *The electrical engineering handbook*. 1997: CRC press.
125. Devices, A. and D.H. Sheingold, *Nonlinear circuits handbook: designing with analog function modules and IC's*. 1976: Analog Devices, Incorporated.
126. Green, R., et al., *Concentration profiles of dry powders in a gravity conveyor using an electrodynamic tomography system*. *Measurement Science and Technology*, 1997. 8(2): p. 192.
127. Albrechtsen, R., Z. Yu, and A. Peyton, *Towards an analytical approach for determining sensitivity limits and sensitivity maps of mutual inductance sensors*. *Proc. Process Tomography-95 Implementation for Industrial Processes*, 1995: p. 288-99.
128. Chan, K.S., *Real time image reconstruction for fan beam optical tomography system*. M. Eng. Thesis, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 2002.
129. Alenius, S. and U. Ruotsalainen, *Bayesian image reconstruction for emission tomography based on median root prior*. *European journal of nuclear medicine*, 1997. 24(3): p. 258-265.
130. Ozcan, A., et al., *Speckle reduction in optical coherence tomography images using digital filtering*. *JOSA A*, 2007. 24(7): p. 1901-1910.
131. Jago, J. and T. Whittingham, *Experimental studies in transmission ultrasound computed tomography*. *Physics in medicine and biology*, 1991. 36(11): p. 1515.
132. Okumura, T., et al., *Variable N-Quoit filter applied for automatic detection of lung cancer by X-ray CT*. *Computer Assisted Radiology and Surgery (CAR'98)*, 1998: p. 242-247.
133. Gupta, S. and S. Kumar, *Variational level set formulation and filtering techniques on CT images*. *International Journal of Engineering Science*, 2012, 4.
134. Satange, D., et al., *Study And Analysis Of Enhancement And Edge Detection Method For Human Bone Fracture X-Ray Image*. *International Journal of Engineering*, 2013. 2(4).

135. Stevens, G.M., *Volumetric tomographic imaging*, Thesis (PhD). Stanford University, Source DAI-B 62/01, p. 115, Jul 2001, 151 pages.
136. Han, J.-H., J. Lee, and J.U. Kang, *Pixelation effect removal from fiber bundle probe based optical coherence tomography imaging*. Optics express, 2010. 18(7): p. 7427.
137. Stevens, G.M., R. Fahrig, and N.J. Pelc, *Filtered backprojection for modifying the impulse response of circular tomosynthesis*. Medical physics, 2001. 28: p. 372.
138. Singh, K.K. and A. Singh, *A study of image segmentation algorithms for different types of images*. IJCSI International Journal of Computer Science Issues, 2010. 7(5): p. 1694-0814.