

IMPROVEMENT OF HAEMODYNAMIC STENT STRUT CONFIGURATION
FOR PATENT DUCTUS ARTERIOSUS THROUGH COMPUTATIONAL
MODELLING

ISHKRIZAT BIN TAIB

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Mechanical Engineering)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2016

To my beloved Ayah, Mak, Abah, Mak Labu, my supportive wife Norsa'adah, my children Muhammad Hadif and Muhammad Hafiy and my siblings Mashitah, Aden, Firdaus and Asma Husna and my family

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Foremost, thanks to Almighty Allah, the Most Gracious the Most Merciful, for the wisdom he bestowed upon me, the strength, knowledge and good health in order to finish this research.

I wish to express my sincere appreciation and thanks to my Family for giving continuous support and encouragement in finishing my study. My beloved and supportive wife, Norsa'adah Binti Abdul Kadir, and my lovable children, Muhammad Hadif and Muhammad Hafiy who served as my inspiration to complete this research.

I would like to express my special gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kahar bin Osman, and co-supervisors, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Takahisa Yamamoto and Dr Ahmad Zahran Khudzari for imparting their knowledge, encouragement, guidance, critics and suggestions. Without their continuous support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

My thanks and appreciations to Computational Fluid Mechanics Lab members and Advanced Stent Group, Dr. Mohamed Ikhwan Kori and Dr. Fara Lyana Jamalruhanordin. I will be forever grateful for their love and kindness.

ABSTRACT

Currently, the treatment of *Patent Ductus Arteriosus* (PDA) by the implantation of coronary stent has resulted in severe hemodynamic complications. There is thus a need to customize and improve current stent geometry specific to PDA to overcome this problem. Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) approaches, verified by an experimental technique are used to analyze current stent strut configurations. Statistical analysis is used to rank the parameter performance and to obtain the best stent configuration. The most favorable configuration is then used to design new stent strut configuration specific for PDA. In the analysis of the new stent design, CFD results show low possibility of re-stenosis process due to thrombosis formation, inflammation, and neo-intimal hyperplasia. Furthermore, comprehensive CFD analysis by solving fluid-structure interaction (FSI) cases has produced an optimum stent strut configuration that is structurally sound. The strength of stent strut configuration due to hemodynamic effect is analyzed through the Von Mises stress distribution. The results show that the new improved design of stent strut configuration has excellent hemodynamic performance. Finally, the new stent design is predicted to be able to overcome hemodynamic complications and stent structural failure when applied specifically to PDA.

ABSTRAK

Rawatan implantasi *stent* koronari pada *Patent Ductus Arteriosus (PDA)* telah menyebabkan komplikasi hemodinamik yang ketara. Oleh yang demikian, penambahbaikan kepada bentuk *stent* sedia ada perlu dilaksanakan terutamanya untuk kegunaan *PDA*. Dalam kajian ini, penambahbaikan reka bentuk *stent* koronari sedia ada untuk kesesuaian pemasangan di *PDA* telah dapat diimplementasikan. Dinamik Bendalir Perkomputeran (*CFD*) turut dibuktikan dengan menggunakan teknik eksperimen dengan menganalisis konfigurasi *stent* sedia ada. Analisis statistik digunakan untuk menilai prestasi setiap parameter *stent* dan untuk mendapat konfigurasi *stent* yang terbaik. Konfigurasi yang terbaik kemudiannya digunakan untuk mereka bentuk konfigurasi *stent* yang baru khusus untuk kegunaan *PDA*. Dalam analisis reka bentuk *stent* yang baru, hasil analisis *CFD* menunjukkan proses *re-stenosis* yang disebabkan oleh pembentukan *trombosis*, keradangan, dan *neo-intimal hyperplasia* adalah rendah. Selain itu, hasil analisis *CFD* yang menyeluruh dengan menyelesaikan interaksi struktur-bendalir (*FSI*) telah membuktikan struktur konfigurasi *stent* adalah kukuh. Kekuatan konfigurasi *stent* disebabkan oleh kesan hemodinamik telah dikenal pasti melalui analisis agihan tegasan *von Misses*. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa reka bentuk *stent* yang diubah suai ini mempunyai prestasi hemodinamik yang sangat baik. Akhir sekali, reka bentuk *stent* baru ini dijangka dapat mengatasi komplikasi hemodinamik dan juga kegagalan struktur *stent* apabila diguna pakai secara khusus dalam *PDA*.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xi
	LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxiii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxiv
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Problem Statement	3
	1.2 Significance of the Study	3
	1.3 Objectives	3
	1.4 Scope	4
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	5
	2.1 The implantation of stent in Patent Ductus Arteriosus	5
	2.2 Treatment and complications in PDA	6
	2.3 Effect of stent geometry design of hemodynamics	9
	2.4 In-vivo and In-vitro testing on stenting arteries	11
	2.5 The emerging challenges of stented arteries using computational modelling	15
	2.5.1 Stent geometry and strut pattern effects on arterial hemodynamic	16
	2.5.2 Single stent strut configurations effect on arterial hemodynamic	19

2.6	Variables predicting the progression of vascular disease	23
2.7	Fluid structure interaction (FSI) in artery stenting	25
3	METHODOLOGY	27
3.1	Flow chart of the study	27
3.2	The simplified model of DA	30
3.2.1	The selections on commercial stent strut configurations	31
3.3	The geometry of the stent	32
3.4	The modified geometry for stent strut configuration	35
3.5	Parametric studies on stent strut configuration	35
3.6	Material properties for vessel and stent	37
3.7	Computational Methods	37
3.7.1	Governing equations	37
3.7.1.1	Conservation of Mass-The continuity equation	38
3.7.1.2	Linear Momentum equation-The Cauchy's equation	40
3.7.1.3	Navier-Stokes equation for Incompressible	41
3.7.2	FSI simulation	43
3.7.3	Meshing of stented PDA models	45
3.7.4	Boundary conditions	46
3.8	Mathematical calculation on prediction of neointimal proliferation	48
3.8.1	Wall shear stress	48
3.8.2	Wall shear stress gradient	49
3.8.3	Wall shear stress angle gradient	49
3.8.4	Oscillatory shear index	50
3.8.5	Relative residence time	50
3.8.6	Statistical analysis on the results	51
3.8.7	Scoring system to evaluate stent performance	52
3.9	Experimental set up for validation	53
3.9.1	Mock Loop Set up	56
3.9.2	Particle image velocimetry (PIV) data acquisition	56
3.9.3	Experimental parameters	56

4	STENT STRUT CONFIGURATION EFFECTS ON DUCTUS ARTERIOSUS HEMODYNAMICS	57
4.1	Validations on computational models	57
4.2	Cyclic convergences for pulsatile waveforms	62
4.3	Mesh convergences	62
4.4	Evaluating the hemodynamic performances of commercial stents strut configurations	63
4.4.1	Prediction of thrombosis formation near to stent strut configurations	64
4.4.2	Time averaged Wall Shear Stress Gradient (TAWSSG)	70
4.4.3	Time-averaged Wall Shear Stress Angle Gradient (TAWSSAG)	72
4.4.4	Oscillatory shear index (OSI)	74
4.4.5	Relative Residence Time (RRT)	76
4.5	Evaluation and ranking for commercial stent performances	78
5	HEMODYNAMICALLY PARAMETRIC STENT STRUT CONFIGURATIONS	81
5.1	Hemodynamic stent performance for modified stent strut configurations	81
5.1.1	Hemodynamic TAWSS subjected to development of thrombosis and arteriosclerosis	82
5.1.2	Hemodynamic TAWSSG subjected to endothelial dysfunction	84
5.1.3	TAWSSAG analysis in predicting the endothelial permeability and risk of inflammation	85
5.1.4	OSI in predicting atherosclerotic plaque	86
5.1.5	The prediction of flow stagnation through RRT	88
5.1.6	The evaluation of hemodynamic stent performances through the scoring system	89
5.2	Parametric study on modified stent strut configurations	89
5.2.1	Comparison between proposed stents in TAWSS	90

5.2.2	Comparison between proposed stents in TAWSSG	91
5.2.3	Comparison between proposed stents in TAWSSAG	93
5.2.4	Comparison between proposed stents in OSI	94
5.2.5	Comparison between proposed stents in RRT	95
5.2.6	The scoring evaluation of hemodynamic stent performances	96
5.3	Parametric study on different strut thickness	97
5.3.1	The predictions of thrombosis and atherosclerosis formation	97
5.3.2	Comparison between proposed stents in TAWSSG	99
5.3.3	Comparison between proposed stents in TAWSSAG	101
5.3.4	Comparison between proposed stents in OSI	102
5.3.5	Comparison between proposed stents in RRT	104
6	FLUID STRUCTURE INTERACTION ON STENTED PATENT DUCTUS ARTERIOSUS	108
6.1	Validations of the models	108
6.2	Comparison between FSI and rigid wall	109
6.3	The effects on hemodynamic displacement of stent strut configurations	110
6.4	The analysis of stress distributions on stent strut configurations	113
7	CONCLUSIONS	118
7.1	Conclusions	118
7.2	Recommendation for future work	119
	REFERENCES	121
	Appendices A – C	134 – 153

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	The chronology of clinical findings on PDA stenting and complications	8
2.2	The stent design effect on local hemodynamic divergence that lead to re-stenosis process	10
2.3	The effect on stent strut design altered hemodynamic stent performance for both in vitro and in silico studies	11
2.4	In vitro and in vivo findings on the effect of stent strut configurations on arterial walls that led to the development of re-stenosis process	14
2.5	Chronology studies on numerical modeling on stent design effect on the arterial hemodynamic.	22
2.6	The prediction of re-stenosis growth through the computational analysis	24
3.1	Specific information on selected commercial stents	33
3.2	Parametric study on different unit cell for both stent A and stent B	36
3.3	The parametric study on the strut configurations thickness	36
3.4	Material properties selections	37
4.1	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness of TAWSS ≤ 20 dyne/cm ² for bulging stented DA model	69
4.2	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness of TAWSS ≤ 20 dyne/cm ² for straight stented DA model	70
4.3	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness of TAWSSG ≤ 5000 N/m ³ for bulging stented DA model	71
4.4	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness of TAWSSG ≤ 5000 N/m ³ for straight stented DA model	72

4.5	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness for TAWSSAG ≤ 300 rad/mm for bulging stented DA model	73
4.6	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness for TAWSSAG ≤ 300 rad/mm for straight stented DA model	74
4.7	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness for OSI ≤ 0.2 for bulging DA model	75
4.8	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness for OSI ≤ 0.2 for straight DA models	76
4.9	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness for RRT ≤ 10 Pa ⁻¹ for bulging stented DA model	77
4.10	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness for RRT ≤ 10 Pa ⁻¹ for straight DA model	78
4.11	Ranking of hemodynamic stent performance based on area-averaged mean, standard deviation, and kurtosis analysis	79
4.12	Ranking of hemodynamic stent performance based on <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement	79
4.13	Ranking of hemodynamic stent performance based on total score between area-averaged mean, standard deviation and kurtosis and <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement	80
5.1	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness for TAWSS ≤ 20 dyne/cm ² for modified stents	84
5.2	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness for TAWSSG ≤ 5000 N/m ³ for modified stented DA models	85
5.3	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness for TAWSSAG ≤ 300 rad/mm for modified stented DA models	86
5.4	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness for OSI ≤ 0.2 for modified stented DA models	87
5.5	Time area weighted mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness for RRT ≤ 10 Pa ⁻¹ for modified stented DA models	88
5.6	Ranking of hemodynamic stent performance between area-averaged mean, standard deviation, and kurtosis analysis and <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement	89
5.7	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness for TAWSS below 20 dyne/cm ² for different unit cell patterns	91

5.8	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness for TAWSSG ≤ 5000 N/m ³ for different unit cells patterns	92
5.9	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness for TAWSSAG ≤ 300 rad/mm for different unit cells patterns	94
5.10	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness for OSI ≤ 0.2 for different unit cells patterns	95
5.11	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness for RRT ≤ 10 Pa ⁻¹ for different unit cells patterns	96
5.12	Ranking of hemodynamic stent performance between area-averaged mean, standard deviation, and kurtosis analysis and <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement	97
5.13	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness of TAWSS ≤ 20 dyne/cm ² for different stents thickness.	99
5.14	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness of TAWSSG ≤ 5000 N/m ³ for different of stents thickness	101
5.15	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness of TAWSSAG ≤ 300 rad/mm for different of stents thickness	102
5.16	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness of OSI ≤ 0.2 for different of stent thickness	104
5.17	The area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness of RRT ≤ 10 Pa ⁻¹ for different of stent thickness	105
5.18	Ranking of hemodynamic stent performance between area-averaged mean, standard deviation, and kurtosis analysis and <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement	106
5.19	Ranking of hemodynamic stent performance between area-averaged mean, standard deviation, and kurtosis analysis and <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement	107
6.1	The comparison between stented DA analyzed using FSI and rigid wall for both peak systole and early diastole time	109
6.2	The maximum and minimum displacement of the stent strut configurations for both peak systole and early systole time	113
6.3	The maximum and minimum value of von Misses stress on the stent strut configurations for both peak systole and early diastole time with the percentage differences	117

A.1	Patient specific data collected at National Heart National (IJN) Malaysia	134
B.1	Analysis on mean TAWSS data using <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement for bulging DA stented models	147
B.2	Analysis on mean TAWSSG data using <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement for bulging DA stented models	147
B.3	Analysis on mean TAWSSAG data using <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement for bulging DA stented models	148
B.4	Analysis on mean OSI data using <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement for bulging DA stented models	148
B.5	Analysis on mean RRT data using <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement for bulging DA stented models	148
B.6	Analysis on mean TAWSS data using <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement for straight DA stented models	148
B.7	Analysis on mean TAWSSG data using <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement for straight DA stented models	149
B.8	Analysis on mean TAWSSAG data using <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement for straight DA stented models	149
B.9	Analysis on mean OSI data using <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement for straight DA stented models	149
B.10	Analysis on mean RRT data using <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement for straight DA stented models	150
B.11	Analysis on mean TAWSS data using <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement of stented models for different unit cells	150
B.12	Analysis on mean TAWSSG data using <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement of stented models for different unit cells	150
B.13	Analysis on mean TAWSSG data using <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement of stented models for different unit cells	150
B.14	Analysis on mean OSI data using <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement of stented models for different unit cells	151
B.15	Analysis on mean RRT data using <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement of stented models for different unit cells	151
B.16	Analysis on mean TAWSS data using <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement of stented models for different stents thickness	151
B.17	Analysis on mean TAWSSG data using <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement of stented models for different stents thickness	151
B.18	Analysis on mean TAWSSAG data using <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement of stented models for different stents thickness	152

B.19	Analysis on mean OSI data using <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement of stented models for different stents thickness	152
B.20	Analysis on mean RRT data using <i>Cohen's d</i> measurement of stented models for different stents thickness	152

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Illustration of patent ductus arteriosus stenting for neonate with hypoplastic left heart syndrome	6
2.2	Definitive repair technique implemented on cyanotic CHD; (a) Blalock -Taussig (b) modified Blalock –Taussig (c) central shunt (d) Right ventricle-pulmonary atresia shunt	6
2.3	Morphology of Congenital Heart Disease (a) PAIVS (b) Ebstein’s Anomaly (c) TOF	7
2.4	The illustration of the flow chamber with strut geometry for in vitro study conducted by Frank et al. 2002	13
2.5	The experimental model conducted by Pekkan et al. 2008 on the embryonic aortic arch model and flow visualization on mean steady flow	13
2.6	The contour of WSS distribution in axial plane of coronary artery conducted by Ladisa et al. 2003	17
2.7	The distribution of WSS for different design strut configurations with straight cylindrical coronary vessel conducted by Ladisa et al. 2004	18
2.8	Parametric study on stent strut configurations conducted by He at al. 2005, strut radius of r , axial distance between strut of h and axial strut length of f	20
2.9	Single strut configuration generated from realistic deformed CFD model on stented coronary vessel conducted by Bolassino et al. 2008	20
2.10	Boundary condition of 3D model of single strut of BX-Velocity stent illustrated in axial plane presented by Duraiswamy et al. 2009	21
3.1	Visualization of the flow chart represents the sequence of processes and steps implemented in obtaining the desired modified stent strut configurations for DA models	29

3.2	Illustrations for: (a) simplified bulged model of PDA, (b) patient specific PDA model with single tortuosity in bulging shape taken from angiogram (c) simplified straight of PDA (d) patient specific PDA model in straight, short and conical in shape taken from angiogram	30
3.3	The illustration of different types of stents; (a) Closed cell design and (b) Opened cell stent design	31
3.4	The illustration of several types of commercial stents established for DA model simulations	32
3.5	Three dimensional simplified model of the stented bulging DA model; the length of L , normal diameter of d and bulging diameter of D	34
3.6	The geometry of the stents (a) The front view of stent geometry before expansion (normal stent) (b) The top view of stent geometry after expansion (c) The front view of stent geometry before expansion (d) The stent angle after expansion	34
3.7	The illustration of modified stent strut configuration (a) Stent A has developed similar O-ring shape and wave linkages (b) Stent B was similar to bone shape and wave connectors	35
3.8	Illustrations for PDA stenting technique imposed in computational modelling; (a) 3D model simplified PDA stenting (b) Fill up the blood domain (c) Create the suitable meshing quality	45
3.9	The discretization on simplified PDA; (a) the bulge simplified model discretized into tetrahedral shapes of meshes (b) the straight simplified PDA model discretized locally near stent strut configuration (c) The constraint of the structural model of DA models	45
3.10	The schematic stented DA model of boundary conditions	47
3.11	Transient velocity waveform imposed to simulate the pulsatile flow at patent ductus arteriosus	47
3.12	The outlet pressure waveforms employed at patent ductus arteriosus	47
3.13	The flow loop model setting (a) Complete flow loop diagram of DA model (b) The geometry of DA glass model (c) The PIV system used in measuring velocity data at the horizontal plane.	55

3.14	Schematic diagram of the PIV measures the velocity data from the top view	55
4.1	The velocity distributions of both numerical and experimental results at cross-sectional aneurysm models for Re of 300. ($L/d = 4$, $D/d = 1.6$)	58
4.2	The flow visualization of axial velocity distributions on luminal surface of coronary stented artery between present study and the result conducted by Gundert et al. 2013	59
4.3	Comparison of velocity distributions at the cross sectional horizontal plane of straight stented DA models (a) Flow characteristics from the numerical modeling, (b) Flow characteristics from the experimental study	60
4.4	The velocity distributions on the straight stented DA models for both numerical and experimental data	60
4.5	The velocity distributions at the cross sectional horizontal plane of bulging stented DA models (a) Flow characteristics on numerical modeling (b) Flow characteristics on experimental study	61
4.6	The velocity distributions on the bulging DA models for both numerical and experimental results	61
4.7	Cyclic convergence on pulsatile velocity distributions on the stented DA models (Type VI stent)	62
4.8	Mesh convergence results of straight stented DA model for three different numbers of nodes	63
4.9	The percentages of areas exposed to WSS on luminal surface of commercial stents with the $WSS \leq 20$ dyne/cm ² in different cardiac times	65
4.10	The distributions of time-averaged axial wall shear stress (TAAWSS) on the luminal surface of bulging stented DA models in predicting the formation of thrombosis	66
4.11	The distributions of TAWSS on luminal surface of straight stented DA models	67
4.12	Visualization of the TAWSS distribution near to stent strut configurations (a) The distribution of TAWSS at proximal area (b) The visualized TAWSS at the ultimate stent strut configuration near to distal region	67
4.13	The percentages of areas exposed to TAWSS ≤ 20 dyne/cm ² for both stented DA models	68

4.14	The percentages of areas exposed to $TAWSS_{low} \leq 5 \text{ dyne/cm}^2$ for both stented DA models	69
4.15	The percentages of areas exposed to $TAWSSG \leq 5000 \text{ N/m}^3$ for both stented DA model	71
4.16	The percentages of areas exposed to $TAWSSAG \leq 300 \text{ rad/mm}$ for both stented DA model	73
4.17	The percentages of areas exposed to $OSI \leq 0.2$ for both stented DA model	75
4.18	The percentages of areas exposed to luminal surface of stented DA models for $RT \leq 10 \text{ Pa}^{-1}$	77
5.1	The percentages of areas exposed to $TAWSS_{low} \leq 5 \text{ dyne/cm}^2$ for both commercial stents and modified stents	83
5.2	The percentages of areas exposed to $TAWSS \leq 20 \text{ dyne/cm}^2$ for both commercial stents and modified stents	83
5.3	Comparison of percentages of areas exposed to $TAWSSG \leq 5000 \text{ N/m}^3$ between commercial stents and modified stents	85
5.4	The percentages of $TAWSSAG$ between commercial stents and modified stent A and B for the value $\leq 300 \text{ rad/mm}$	86
5.5	The percentages of areas exposed $OSI \leq 0.2$ between commercial stents and modified stent A and B	87
5.6	The percentages of areas exposed to RRT between commercial stents and modified stent A and B	88
5.7	The percentages of areas exposed to $TAWSS_{low} \leq 5 \text{ dyne/cm}^2$ between for different unit cell patterns	90
5.8	The percentages of areas exposed to $TAWSS \leq 20 \text{ dyne/cm}^2$ for different unit cell patterns	91
5.9	The percentages of areas exposed to $TAWSSG \leq 5000 \text{ N/m}^3$ for different unit cells patterns	92
5.10	The percentages of areas exposed to $TAWSSAG \leq 300 \text{ rad/mm}$ for modified patterns	93
5.11	The percentages of areas exposed to $OSI \leq 0.2$ for different unit cells stents	94
5.12	The percentages of areas exposed to $RRT \leq 10 \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ for different unit cell patterns	96
5.13	The percentages of areas exposed to $TAWSS_{low} \leq 5 \text{ dyne/cm}^2$ on the luminal surface of DA models with the different of stent thickness	98

5.14	The percentages of areas exposed to $TAWSS \leq 20 \text{ dyne/cm}^2$ on the luminal surface of DA models with the different of stent thickness	99
5.15	The percentages of areas exposed to TAWSSG on the luminal surface of DA models with the different stent thickness	100
5.16	The percentages of areas exposed to $TAWSSAG \leq 300 \text{ rad/mm}$ on the luminal surface of DA models with the different stent thickness	102
5.17	The percentages of areas exposed to $OSI \leq 0.2$ on the luminal surface of DA models with the different stent thickness	103
5.18	The percentages of areas exposed to $RRT \leq 10 \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ on the luminal surface of DA models with the different stent thickness	105
6.1	The displacement magnitude along fluid structure interaction of PDA at peak systole time ($t = 0.37 \text{ s}$, $P = 139.6 \text{ mmHg}$)	109
6.2	The hemodynamic effects on Type T1 stent displacements at peak systole time (a) the maximum location of stent displacement (b) the minimum location of stent displacement	110
6.3	The hemodynamic effects of the stent displacement of three different strut thicknesses during peak systole and early diastole time	111
6.4	The visualization of stent displacement due to hemodynamic effects on different stents thickness for both peak systole and early diastole times	111
6.5	The displacement of the stent strut configurations at curve surface of single strut stent during peak systole time	112
6.6	The displacement of the stent strut configurations at curve surface of single strut stent during early systole time	112
6.7	The von Misses stress distribution at peak systole time (a) the maximum location of von Misses stress (b) the minimum location of von Misses stress	114
6.8	The von Misses stress distributions on the arterial stented DA model with three different strut thicknesses during peak systole and early diastole time	114
6.9	The hemodynamic effects on the stent structure displacement for three different stents	115
6.10	The distributions of von Misses stress along the stent strut configurations during peak systole time	116

6.11	The distributions of von Mises stress along the stent strut configurations during early diastole time	116
A.1	Statistical data for PDA in tortuosity cases; More bending (MB), Not available (NA), straight (S) and Single bending (SB) of ductus arteriosus	135
A.2	The illustration of the dimension used in implementing trapezoid method	135
A.3	Locations of P_{systolic} and $P_{\text{diastolic}}$	136
B.1	The distributions data of $\text{TAWSS} \leq 20 \text{ dyne/cm}^2$ on the luminal surface of the bulging stented DA model	137
B.2	The distributions data of $\text{TAWSSG} \leq 5000 \text{ N/m}^3$ on the luminal surface of the bulging stented DA model	138
B.3	The distributions data of $\text{TAWSSAG} \leq 300 \text{ rad/mm}$ on the luminal surface of the bulging stented DA model	138
B.4	The distributions data of $\text{OSI} \leq 0.2$ on the luminal surface of the bulging stented DA model	139
B.5	The distributions data of $\text{RRT} \leq 10 \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ on the luminal surface of the bulging stented DA model	139
B.6	The distributions data of $\text{TAWSS} \leq 20 \text{ dyne/cm}^2$ on the luminal surface of the straight stented DA model	140
B.7	The distributions data of $\text{TAWSSG} \leq 5000 \text{ N/m}^3$ on the luminal surface of the straight stented DA model	140
B.8	The distributions data of $\text{TAWSSAG} \leq 300 \text{ rad/mm}$ on the luminal surface of the straight stented DA models	141
B.9	The distributions data of $\text{OSI} \leq 0.2$ on the luminal surface of the straight stented DA model	141
B.10	The distributions data of $\text{RRT} \leq 10 \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ on the luminal surface of the straight stented DA model	142
B.11	The distributions data of $\text{TAWSS} \leq 20 \text{ dyne/cm}^2$ on the luminal surface for Type A stent with the different unit cell of stented DA models	142
B.12	The distributions data of $\text{TAWSSG} \leq 5000 \text{ N/m}^3$ on the luminal surfaces for Type A stent with the different unit cell of stented DA models	143
B.13	The distributions data of $\text{TAWSSAG} \leq 300 \text{ rad/mm}$ on the luminal surfaces for the different unit cell of stented DA models	143
B.14	The distributions data of $\text{OSI} \leq 0.2$ on the luminal surfaces for the different unit cell of stented DA models	144

B.15	The distributions data of $RRT \leq 10 \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ on the luminal surfaces for the different unit cell of stented DA models	144
B.16	The distributions data of $TAWSS \leq 20 \text{ dyne/cm}^2$ on the luminal surfaces for Type A stent with the different thickness of stented DA models	145
B.17	The distributions data of $TAWSSG \leq 5000 \text{ N/m}^3$ on the luminal surfaces for Type A stent with the different thickness of stented DA models	145
B.18	The distributions data of $TAWSSAG \leq 300 \text{ rad/mm}$ on the luminal surfaces for Type A stent with the different thickness of stented DA models	146
B.19	The distributions data of $OSI \leq 0.2$ on the luminal surfaces for Type A stent with the different thickness of stented DA models	146
B.20	The distributions data of $RRT \leq 10 \text{ Pa}^{-1}$ on the luminal surfaces for Type A stent with the different thickness of stented DA models	147
C.1	The matlab program to calculate area-averaged mean, standard deviation, kurtosis and skewness	154
C.2	The matlab program to sort the data in hemodynamic variables range	155

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BMS	-	Bare metallic stent
CFD	-	Computational Fluid Dynamics
CHD	-	Congenital Heart Disease
CCD	-	Charged couple device
CO	-	Cardiac output
DA	-	Ductus Arteriosus
DES	-	Drug Eluting Stent
FEM	-	Finite Element Method
FSI	-	Fluid Structure Interaction
FSP	-	Flow separation time
IJN	-	Institut Jantung Negara
LVOT	-	Left ventricular outflow tract
MAP	-	Mean arterial pressure
mBT	-	Modified Blalock-Taussig
MOSI	-	Modified oscillatory shear index
NIH	-	Neointimal hyperplasia
OSI	-	Oscillatory shear index
PAIVS	-	Pulmonary Atresia with intact ventricular septal
PDA	-	Patent Ductus Arteriosus
PGE1	-	Prolonged prostaglandin E1
RRT	-	Relative residence time
RVOT	-	Right ventricular outflow tract
st	-	Stroke volume
TAWSS	-	Time-averaged wall shear stress
TAWSSG	-	Time-averaged wall shear stress gradient
TGA	-	Transposition of Great Arteries
TOF	-	Tetralogy of Fallot

LIST OF SYMBOLS

E	-	Young modulus
Re	-	Reynolds number
l/D_s	-	Height of void area
VL_s	-	Width of void area
α	-	Angle AB
β	-	Angle AC
ν	-	Poisson's ratio
ρ	-	Fluid density
τ	-	Wall shear stress
μ	-	Viscosity coefficient
ϕ	-	Faced-averaged variable
σ_y	-	Yield stress
Δ	-	Vector gradient operator
V_F	-	Velocity vector
Γ	-	Boundary
\ddot{u}_s	-	Local acceleration of the solid
f_F^B	-	body force per unit volume
σ_s	-	Solid stress
σ_F	-	Fluid stress

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

The implantation of thin wire meshes called stent in Ductus Arteriosus (DA) has become a viable alternative treatment for neonates who have been diagnosed with Cyanotic Congenital Heart Disease. The stent is temporarily implanted in the DA region the so-called patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) within 6 to 12 months or until the neonate has gained sufficient weight to undergo the surgical repair for palliative over conduit surgery or first stage cavopulmonary anastomosis. Between 2001 and 2003, approximately 8.9 percent all patients who underwent the PDA stenting procedures were deemed unsuccessful as reported by the National Heart Institute of Malaysia (IJN) [1]. However, rapid advancement of interventional trans-catheter stenting technique is able to reduce mortality and morbidity in neonates [2]. From 2010 to 2011, PDA stenting procedure were successfully implemented on 29 neonates aged less than three months based on data reported by the Department of Pediatrics, National Heart Institute (IJN) of Malaysia.

Maintaining the patency of DA with metallic-based coronary stent was applied as a novel approach, but earlier results have been discouraging [1, 3, 4]. This is due to the difficulty of pulmonary arterioplasty during definitive repairs with less than satisfactory results when the metallic stent is densely embedded into the fibrotic tissue [5]. The complications after the PDA invasive technique such as re-stenosis, acute stent thrombosis, and stent embolization have inspired researchers to develop new and improved stent technology. Previous researchers had reported that the stent strut configurations had a major influence on the process of re-stenosis, especially on the formation of thrombosis [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]. Thus, the stent strut configurations are required to be studied, simulated, and analyzed in detail in order to find some degree of strut improvement due to hemodynamic variables.

The hemodynamic stent performances are predicted based on the hemodynamic variables via computational modeling. Recently, stented DA model can be simulated

near the vessel environment due to the advancement and improvement of computing ability and performance. Both computational fluid dynamic (CFD) and fluid-structure interaction (FSI) methods are used and proven by many researchers [5, 6, 8, 9, 10] to predict the hemodynamic stent performance of altered hemodynamic variables. Hence, computational modeling is suitable to be utilized to predict the risk of re-stenosis based on the hemodynamic stent impact on the arterial PDA.

This study proposes a detailed analysis and assessment via statistical data distributions to predict the favorable hemodynamic stents performances among the stents. Three different studies are conducted to pre-clinically assess the stent impact on the arterial PDA stenting. This study begins by comparing the hemodynamic variable effects on eight different types of commercial stent strut configurations. The stents represent both open and closed cell stents implying different response in hemodynamic variables. The hemodynamic variables considered in this study include Time-averaged Wall Shear Stress (TAWSS), Time-averaged low Wall Shear Stress (TAWSS_{low}), Time-averaged Wall Shear Stress gradient (TAWSSG), Time-averaged Wall Shear Stress angle gradient (TAWSSAG), oscillating shear index (OSI), and relative residence time (RRT). These hemodynamic variables are adopted to predict the best hemodynamic stent performances through the implementation of scoring systems. The implementation of a scoring system to determine the favorable hemodynamic stent performances is then discussed in detail.

In the second study, modified parametric stent strut configurations are modeled and simulated using CFD to predict the hemodynamic effects on the arterial stented PDA. The stent modifications are made based on the hemodynamic results obtained from the simulation of commercial stent strut configurations. The parametric stent models differ in the number of unit cell stents, thickness, and width of strut configurations. The hemodynamic performances of modified strut configuration are then compared with the modified stents to find the best stent through the highest score.

In the third study, computational modelling via fluid-structure interactions (FSI) is performed to predict the hemodynamic effect on the stented PDA. The FSI modeling gives important information related to the stent displacement and the maximum stress exerted on the luminal surfaces, which cannot be obtained from rigid wall simulation. The distinctions of the luminal surface area between FSI and rigid wall imply that the FSI method has the ability to predict nearer to the real vessel environment as compared to rigid wall simulation. The analysis of stress exerted on the stent surfaces is calculated through the von Mises stress that can

predict structural stent failure due to hemodynamic effects. Thus, this study aims to predict the hemodynamic stents performances by means of CFD and FSI to reduce the development of re-stenosis.

1.1 Problem Statement

The increase in the rate of re-stenosis a few weeks after stent implantation was of concern and normally depends on various factors including stent strut configurations which alter the hemodynamic variables [5]. Four key processes can explain the process of re-stenosis: thrombus formation, arterial inflammation, neo-intimal hyperplasia (NIH) and remodeling [11]. These processes are triggered by the stimulus from the injury incurred after the stenting procedure. The excessive growth of cell proliferation from the NIH process caused blockage of the arterial wall, thus requiring another stenting procedure. However, this subsequent stent implantation may cause a more severe complication called in-stent restenosis.

1.2 Significance of the Study

Changes of stent strut parameters such as increasing the spacing between strut and strut, decreasing the strut width, and sometimes fewer strut-strut intersections have a significant effect on reducing the re-stenosis rate [12]. This may be due to a different hemodynamic effects on blood borne and arterial wall cells after the implantation of various stent configurations. Thus, stent geometry has become highly significant to be studied and investigated in detail in order to improve the hemodynamic stents performances.

1.3 Objectives

The objectives of this project are:

1. To establish the hemodynamic stent performances due to the effect of existing strut configuration differences by altering hemodynamic variables.

2. To improve and modify stent strut configuration geometry parameterizations to obtain desired strut configuration
3. To quantify the hemodynamic effects on the stent structural in predicting the possibility of structural failure.

1.4 Scope

1. Stents are selected among the commercially available coronary stents.
2. The effects of hemodynamic variables in stent geometry are obtained from the CFD and FSI only.
3. Data establishment on hemodynamic stents performances is based on simplified DA models.
4. The experimental work is validated with the results of numerical simulation.

right pulmonary artery, carotid artery, brachiocephalic artery and subclavian artery to obtain better understanding in improving the geometry of stent strut configuration.

REFERENCES

1. Alwi, M., Choo, K. K., Latiff, H. A., Kandavello, G., Samion, H. and Mulyadi, M. D. Initial results and medium-term follow-up of stent implantation of patent ductus arteriosus in duct-dependent pulmonary circulation. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 2004. 44(2): 438–45. ISSN 0735-1097. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2004.03.066. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15261945>.
2. Alwi, M. Stenting the ductus arteriosus: Case selection, technique and possible complications. *Annals of pediatric cardiology*, 2008. 1(1): 38–45. ISSN 0974-5149. doi:10.4103/0974-2069.41054. URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2840730&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.
3. Tamisier, D., Vouhé, P. R., Vernant, F., Lecá, F., Massot, C. and Neveux, J. Y. Modified Blalock-Taussig shunts: results in infants less than 3 months of age. *The Annals of thoracic surgery*, 1990. 49(5): 797–801. ISSN 00034975. doi: 10.1016/0003-4975(90)90026-3.
4. Sivakumar, K., Shivaprakasha, K., Rao, S. G. and Kumar, R. K. Operative outcome and intermediate term follow-up of neonatal Blalock-Taussig shunts. *Indian heart journal*. 53(1): 66–70. ISSN 0019-4832. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11456144>.
5. Alwi, M. and Mood, M. C. Stenting of Lesions in Patent Ductus Arteriosus with Duct-Dependent Pulmonary Blood Flow. Focus on Case Selection, Techniques and Outcome, 2013. doi:10.1016/j.iccl.2012.09.011.
6. Alwi, M., Choo, K.-K., Radzi, N. a. M., Samion, H., Pau, K.-K. and Hew, C.-C. Concomitant stenting of the patent ductus arteriosus and radiofrequency valvotomy in pulmonary atresia with intact ventricular septum and intermediate right ventricle: early in-hospital and medium-term outcomes. *The Journal of thoracic and cardiovascular surgery*, 2011. 141(6): 1355–61. ISSN 1097-685X. doi:10.1016/j.jtcvs.2010.08.085. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21227471>.
7. Michel-Behnke, I., Akinturk, H., Schranz, D., Gibbs, J. L., Blackburn, M. E.,

- Wren, C., Hamilton, J. L. and Watterson, K. Fate of the Stented Arterial Duct Response. *Circulation*, 2000. 102(22): e178–e178. ISSN 0009-7322. doi: 10.1161/01.CIR.102.22.e178. URL <http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/doi/10.1161/01.CIR.102.22.e178>.
8. Gewillig, M., Boshoff, D. E., Dens, J., Mertens, L. and Benson, L. N. Stenting the Neonatal Arterial Duct in Duct-Dependent Pulmonary Circulation: New Techniques, Better Results. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 2004. 43(1): 107–112. ISSN 07351097. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2003.08.029.
 9. Gibbs, J. L., Uzun, O., Blackburn, M. E., Wren, C., Hamilton, J. R. and Watterson, K. G. Fate of the stented arterial duct. *Circulation*, 1999. 99(20): 2621–2625. ISSN 0009-7322. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.99.20.2621.
 10. Schneider, M., Zartner, P., Sidiropoulos, a., Konertz, W. and Hausdorf, G. Stent implantation of the arterial duct in newborns with duct-dependent circulation. *European heart journal*, 1998. 19(9): 1401–9. ISSN 0195-668X. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9792267>.
 11. Schneider, D. J. The patent ductus arteriosus in term infants, children, and adults. *Seminars in perinatology*, 2012. 36(2): 146–153. ISSN 1558-075X. doi:10.1053/j.semperi.2011.09.025. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22414886>.
 12. Setchi, A., Mestel, a. J., Siggers, J. H., Parker, K. H., Tan, M. W. and Wong, K. Mathematical model of flow through the patent ductus arteriosus. *Journal of mathematical biology*, 2012. ISSN 1432-1416. doi: 10.1007/s00285-012-0596-8. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23053537>.
 13. Pennati, G., Migliavacca, F., Dubini, G. and Bove, E. L. Modeling of systemic-to-pulmonary shunts in newborns with a univentricular circulation: State of the art and future directions. *Progress in Pediatric Cardiology*, 2010. 30(1-2): 23–29. ISSN 10589813. doi:10.1016/j.ppedcard.2010.09.004. URL <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1058981310000780>.
 14. Santoro, G., Capozzi, G., Caianiello, G., Palladino, M. T., Marrone, C., Farina, G., Russo, M. G. and Calabrò, R. Pulmonary artery growth after palliation of congenital heart disease with duct-dependent pulmonary circulation: arterial duct stenting versus surgical shunt. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 2009. 54(23): 2180–6. ISSN 1558-3597. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2009.07.043. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19942090>.

15. Michel-Behnke, I., Akintuerk, H., Thul, J., Bauer, J., Hagel, K.-J. and Schranz, D. Stent implantation in the ductus arteriosus for pulmonary blood supply in congenital heart disease. *Catheterization and cardiovascular interventions : official journal of the Society for Cardiac Angiography & Interventions*, 2004. 61(2): 242–52. ISSN 1522-1946. doi:10.1002/ccd.10766. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14755821>.
16. Feltes, T. F., Bacha, E., Beekman, R. H., Cheatham, J. P., Feinstein, J. A., Gomes, A. S., Hijazi, Z. M., Ing, F. F., de Moor, M., Morrow, W. R., Mullins, C. E., Taubert, K. A. and Zahn, E. M. Indications for cardiac catheterization and intervention in pediatric cardiac disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*, 2011. 123(22): 2607–52. ISSN 1524-4539. doi:10.1161/CIR.0b013e31821b1f10. URL <http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/123/22/2607>.
17. Armstrong, E. J., Feldman, D. N., Wang, T. Y., Kaltenbach, L. A., Yeo, K. K., Wong, S. C., Spertus, J., Shaw, R. E., Minutello, R. M., Moussa, I., Ho, K. K. L., Rogers, J. H. and Shunk, K. A. Clinical presentation, management, and outcomes of angiographically documented early, late, and very late stent thrombosis. *JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions*, 2012. 5(2): 131–140. ISSN 19368798. doi:10.1016/j.jcin.2011.10.013.
18. Hussain, A., Al-zharani, S., Muhammed, A. A., Al-ata, J. and Galal, O. M. Midterm outcome of stent dilatation of patent ductus arteriosus in ductal-dependent pulmonary circulation. *Congenital Heart Disease*, 2008. 3(4): 241–249. ISSN 1747079X. doi:10.1111/j.1747-0803.2008.00197.x.
19. Odemis, E., Haydin, S., Guzeltas, A., Ozyilmaz, I., Bilici, M. and Bakir, I. Stent implantation in the arterial duct of the newborn with duct-dependent pulmonary circulation: single centre experience from Turkey. *European journal of cardio-thoracic surgery : official journal of the European Association for Cardio-thoracic Surgery*, 2012. 42(1): 57–60. ISSN 1873-734X. doi:10.1093/ejcts/ezr258. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22290915>.
20. Schranz, D., Zartner, P., Michel-Behnke, I. and Akintürk, H. Bioabsorbable metal stents for percutaneous treatment of critical recoarctation of the aorta in a newborn. *Catheterization and cardiovascular interventions : official journal of the Society for Cardiac Angiography & Interventions*, 2006. 67(5): 671–3. ISSN 1522-1946. doi:10.1002/ccd.20756. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16575923>.
21. McMahon, C. J., Oslizlok, P. and Walsh, K. P. Early restenosis following

- biodegradable stent implantation in an aortopulmonary collateral of a patient with pulmonary atresia and hypoplastic pulmonary arteries. *Catheterization and cardiovascular interventions : official journal of the Society for Cardiac Angiography & Interventions*, 2007. 69(5): 735–8. ISSN 1522-1946. doi:10.1002/ccd.21091. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17330269>.
22. Gundert, T. J., Marsden, A. L., Yang, W. and LaDisa, J. F. Optimization of cardiovascular stent design using computational fluid dynamics. *Journal of biomechanical engineering*, 2012. 134(1): 011002. ISSN 1528-8951. doi:10.1115/1.4005542. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22482657>.
 23. Taib, I., Kadir, M. R. A., Azis, M. H. S. A., Md Khudzari, A. Z. and Osman, K. Analysis of Hemodynamic Differences for Stenting Patent Ductus Arteriosus. *Journal of Medical Imaging and Health Informatics*, 2013. 3(4): 555–560. ISSN 21567018. doi:10.1166/jmih.2013.1197. URL <http://openurl.ingenta.com/content/xref?genre=article&issn=2156-7018&volume=3&issue=4&spage=555>.
 24. Duraiswamy, N., Schoepfoerster, R. T. and Moore, J. E. Comparison of near-wall hemodynamic parameters in stented artery models. *Journal of biomechanical engineering*, 2009. 131(6): 061006. ISSN 01480731. doi:10.1115/1.3118764.
 25. Murphy, J. and Boyle, F. Predicting neointimal hyperplasia in stented arteries using time-dependant computational fluid dynamics: a review. *Computers in biology and medicine*, 2010. 40(4): 408–18. ISSN 1879-0534. doi:10.1016/j.compbiomed.2010.02.005. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20211464>.
 26. Pant, S., Bressloff, N. W., Forrester, A. I. J. and Curzen, N. The influence of strut-connectors in stented vessels: a comparison of pulsatile flow through five coronary stents. *Annals of biomedical engineering*, 2010. 38(5): 1893–907. ISSN 1573-9686. doi:10.1007/s10439-010-9962-0. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20177782>.
 27. Pache, J., Kastrati, A., Mehilli, J., Schühlen, H., Dotzer, F., Hausleiter, J., Fleckenstein, M., Neuman, F. J., Sattelberger, U., Schmitt, C., Müller, M., Dirschinger, J. and Schömig, A. Intracoronary stenting and angiographic results: Strut thickness effect on restenosis outcome (ISAR-STEREO-2) trial. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 2003. 41(8): 1283–1288. ISSN 07351097. doi:10.1016/S0735-1097(03)00119-0.

28. Mauri, L., Silbaugh, T. S., Garg, P., Wolf, R. E., Zelevinsky, K., Lovett, A., Varma, M. R., Zhou, Z. and Normand, S.-L. T. Drug-eluting or bare-metal stents for acute myocardial infarction. *The New England journal of medicine*, 2008. 359(13): 1330–1342. ISSN 1533-4406. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0801485.
29. Gundert, T. J., Marsden, A. L., Yang, W., Marks, D. S. and LaDisa, J. F. Identification of hemodynamically optimal coronary stent designs based on vessel caliber. *IEEE transactions on bio-medical engineering*, 2012. 59(7): 1992–2002. ISSN 1558-2531. doi:10.1109/TBME.2012.2196275. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22547450>.
30. Wernick, M. H., Jeremias, A. and Carrozza, J. P. Drug-eluting stents and stent thrombosis: a cause for concern? *Coronary artery disease*, 2006. 17(8): 661–665. ISSN 0954-6928. doi:10.1097/MCA.0b013e32801122b1.
31. Camenzind, E., Steg, P. G. and Wijns, W. A Cause for Concern. *Circulation*, 2007. 115(11): 1440–1455. ISSN 0009-7322. doi:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.106.666800. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17344324>.
32. Kono, K. and Terada, T. Hemodynamics of 8 different configurations of stenting for bifurcation aneurysms. *American Journal of Neuroradiology*, 2013. 34(10): 1980–1986. ISSN 01956108. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A3479.
33. Babiker, M. H., Gonzalez, L. F., Ryan, J., Albuquerque, F., Collins, D., Elvikis, A. and Frakes, D. H. Influence of stent configuration on cerebral aneurysm fluid dynamics. *Journal of biomechanics*, 2012. 45(3): 440–447. ISSN 1873-2380. doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2011.12.016. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22226405>.
34. Kastrati, A., Mehilli, J., Dirschinger, J., Pache, J., Ulm, K., Schühlen, H., Seyfarth, M., Schmitt, C., Blasini, R., Neumann, F. J. and Schömig, A. Restenosis after coronary placement of various stent types. *American Journal of Cardiology*, 2001. 87(1): 34–39. ISSN 00029149. doi:10.1016/S0002-9149(00)01268-6.
35. Lansky, A. J., Roubin, G. S., O’Shaughnessy, C. D., Moore, P. B., Dean, L. S., Raizner, A. E., Safian, R. D., Zidar, J. P., Kerr, J. L., Popma, J. J., Mehran, R., Kuntz, R. E. and Leon, M. B. *Randomized comparison of GR-II stent and Palmaz-Schatz stent for elective treatment of coronary stenoses*. Technical Report 12. 2000. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.102.12.1364.
36. Baim, D. S., Cutlip, D. E., Midei, M., Linnemeier, T. J., Schreiber, T., Cox, D., Kereiakes, D., Popma, J. J., Robertson, L., Prince, R., Lansky, A. J., Ho,

- K. K. L. and Kuntz, R. E. Final results of a randomized trial comparing the MULTI-LINK stent with the Palmaz-Schatz stent for narrowings in native coronary arteries. *American Journal of Cardiology*, 2001. 87(2): 157–162. ISSN 00029149. doi:10.1016/S0002-9149(00)01308-4.
37. Koskinas, K. C., Chatzizisis, Y. S., Antoniadis, A. P. and Giannoglou, G. D. Role of endothelial shear stress in stent restenosis and thrombosis: pathophysiologic mechanisms and implications for clinical translation. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 2012. 59(15): 1337–49. ISSN 1558-3597. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2011.10.903. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22480478>.
38. Larrabide, I., Radaelli, A. and Frangi, A. Fast virtual stenting with deformable meshes: Application to intracranial aneurysms. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*. 2008, vol. 5242 LNCS. ISBN 3540859896. ISSN 03029743. 790–797. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-85990-1-95.
39. Duraiswamy, N., Cesar, J. M., Schoepfoerster, R. T. and Moore, J. E. Effects of stent geometry on local flow dynamics and resulting platelet deposition in an in vitro model. *Biorheology*, 2008. 45(5): 547–61. ISSN 0006-355X. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19065004>.
40. Pache, J., Kastrati, A., Mehilli, J., Schühlen, H., Dotzer, F., Hausleiter, J., Fleckenstein, M., Neuman, F. J., Sattelberger, U., Schmitt, C., Müller, M., Dirschinger, J. and Schömig, A. Intracoronary stenting and angiographic results: Strut thickness effect on restenosis outcome (ISAR-STEREO-2) trial. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 2003. 41(8): 1283–1288. ISSN 07351097. doi:10.1016/S0735-1097(03)00119-0.
41. Serruys, P. W., Degertekin, M., Tanabe, K., Abizaid, A., Sousa, J. E., Colombo, A., Guagliumi, G., Wijns, W., Lindeboom, W. K., Ligthart, J., de Feyter, P. J. and Morice, M.-C. Intravascular ultrasound findings in the multicenter, randomized, double-blind RAVEL (RAnDomized study with the sirolimus-eluting VELOCITY balloon-expandable stent in the treatment of patients with de novo native coronary artery Lesions) trial. *Circulation*, 2002. 106(7): 798–803. ISSN 1524-4539. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12176950>.
42. Frank, A. O., Walsh, P. W. and Moore, J. E. Computational fluid dynamics and stent design. *Artificial organs*, 2002. 26(7): 614–21. ISSN 0160-564X. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22482657>.
43. LaDisa, J. F., Olson, L. E., Hettrick, D. a., Warltier, D. C., Kersten,

- J. R. and Pagel, P. S. Axial stent strut angle influences wall shear stress after stent implantation: analysis using 3D computational fluid dynamics models of stent foreshortening. *Biomedical engineering online*, 2005. 4: 59. ISSN 1475-925X. doi:10.1186/1475-925X-4-59. URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=1276824&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.
44. Pekkan, K., Dasi, L. P., Nourparvar, P., Yerneni, S., Tobita, K., Fogel, M. A., Keller, B. and Yoganathan, A. In vitro hemodynamic investigation of the embryonic aortic arch at late gestation. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 2008. 41(8): 1697–1706. ISSN 00219290. doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2008.03.013.
45. Briguori, C., Sarais, C., Pagnotta, P., Liistro, F., Montorfano, M., Chieffo, A., Sgura, F., Corvaja, N., Albiero, R., Stankovic, G., Toutoutzas, C., Bonizzoni, E., Di Mario, C. and Colombo, A. In-stent restenosis in small coronary arteries: Impact of strut thickness. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 2002. 40(3): 403–409. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0735109702019897>.
46. Hsiao, H.-M., Lee, K.-H., Liao, Y.-C. and Cheng, Y.-C. Cardiovascular stent design and wall shear stress distribution in coronary stented arteries. *Micro & Nano Letters*, 2012. 7(5): 430. ISSN 17500443. doi:10.1049/mnl.2011.0590. URL <http://digital-library.theiet.org/content/journals/10.1049/mnl.2011.0590>.
47. Bakhshali, M. A., Mafi, M. and Daneshvar, S. Mathematical modelling of the patent ductus arteriosus (PDA). *Mathematical and Computer Modelling of Dynamical Systems*, 2013. 19(3): 238–249. ISSN 1387-3954. doi:10.1080/13873954.2012.727187. URL <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/13873954.2012.727187>.
48. Shobayashi, Y., Tanoue, T., Tateshima, S. and Tanishita, K. Mechanical design of an intracranial stent for treating cerebral aneurysms. *Medical engineering & physics*, 2010. 32(9): 1015–24. ISSN 1873-4030. doi:10.1016/j.medengphy.2010.07.002. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20675176>.
49. Jiménez, J. M. and Davies, P. F. Hemodynamically driven stent strut design. *Annals of biomedical engineering*, 2009. 37(8): 1483–94. ISSN 1573-9686. doi:10.1007/s10439-009-9719-9. URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2819751&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.
50. Li, N., Zhang, H. and Ouyang, H. Shape optimization of coronary artery

- stent based on a parametric model. *Finite Elements in Analysis and Design*, 2009. 45(6-7): 468–475. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168874X0900002X>.
51. Pant, S., Limbert, G., Curzen, N. P. and Bressloff, N. W. Multiobjective design optimisation of coronary stents. *Biomaterials*, 2011. 32(31): 7755–73. ISSN 1878-5905. doi:10.1016/j.biomaterials.2011.07.059. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21821283>.
 52. Lally, C., Dolan, F. and Prendergast, P. J. Cardiovascular stent design and vessel stresses: a finite element analysis. *Journal of biomechanics*, 2005. 38(8): 1574–81. ISSN 0021-9290. doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2004.07.022. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15958213>.
 53. Chiastra, C., Migliavacca, F., Martínez, M. A. and Malvè, M. On the necessity of modelling fluid-structure interaction for stented coronary arteries. *Journal of the Mechanical Behavior of Biomedical Materials*, 2014. 34: 217–230. ISSN 18780180. doi:10.1016/j.jmbbm.2014.02.009.
 54. Coimbatore Selvarasu, N. K. Investigation of the Hemodynamics of Coronary Arteries - Effect of Stenting, 2013. URL <https://vtechworks.lib.vt.edu/handle/10919/50568>.
 55. Faik, I., Mongrain, R., Leask, R. L., Rodes-Cabau, J., Larose, E. and Bertrand, O. Time-dependent 3D simulations of the hemodynamics in a stented coronary artery. *Biomedical materials (Bristol, England)*, 2007. 2(1): S28–S37. ISSN 1748-6041. doi:10.1088/1748-6041/2/1/S05.
 56. Benard, N., Perrault, R. and Coisne, D. Computational approach to estimating the effects of blood properties on changes in intra-stent flow. *Annals of biomedical engineering*, 2006. 34(8): 1259–71. ISSN 0090-6964. doi: 10.1007/s10439-006-9123-7. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16799830>.
 57. LaDisa, Jr., J. F., Guler, I., Olson, L. E., Hettrick, D. A., Kersten, J. R., Warltier, D. C. and Pagel, P. S. Three-Dimensional Computational Fluid Dynamics Modeling of Alterations in Coronary Wall Shear Stress Produced by Stent Implantation. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*, 2003. 31(8): 972–980. ISSN 0090-6964. doi:10.1114/1.1588654. URL <http://link.springer.com/10.1114/1.1588654>.
 58. LaDisa, J. F. Stent design properties and deployment ratio influence indexes of wall shear stress: a three-dimensional computational fluid dynamics investigation within a normal artery. *Journal of Applied Physiology*, 2004.

- 97(1): 424–430. ISSN 8750-7587. doi:10.1152/jappphysiol.01329.2003. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14766776>.
59. He, Y., Duraiswamy, N., Frank, A. O. and Moore, J. E. Blood flow in stented arteries: a parametric comparison of strut design patterns in three dimensions. *Journal of biomechanical engineering*, 2005. 127(4): 637–647. ISSN 01480731. doi:10.1115/1.1934122.
60. Balossino, R., Gervaso, F., Migliavacca, F. and Dubini, G. Effects of different stent designs on local hemodynamics in stented arteries. *Journal of biomechanics*, 2008. 41(5): 1053–61. ISSN 0021-9290. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiomech.2007.12.005. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18215394>.
61. M.A. Salehi* and A.Oladzadeh. Three-dimensional Simulation of Blood Flow in Stented Vessels and the Comparison of Wall Shear Stress in Various Stent Plans Using CFD, 2013. URL <http://jnasci.org/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/1076-1084.pdf>.
62. He, Y., Duraiswamy, N., Frank, A. O. and Moore, J. E. Blood flow in stented arteries: a parametric comparison of strut design patterns in three dimensions. *Journal of biomechanical engineering*, 2005. 127(4): 637–47. ISSN 0148-0731. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16121534>.
63. Murphy, J. and Boyle, F. Predicting neointimal hyperplasia in stented arteries using time-dependant computational fluid dynamics: A review. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, 2010. 40(4): 408–418. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S001048251000020X>.
64. LaDisa Jr., J. F., Olson, L. E., Hettrick, D. A., Warltier, D. C., Kersten, J. R. and Pagel, P. S. Axial stent strut angle influences wall shear stress after stent implantation: analysis using 3D computational fluid dynamics models of stent foreshortening. *Biomed Eng Online*, 2005. 4: 59.
65. Khanafer, K. M., Gadhoke, P., Berguer, R. and Bull, J. L. Modeling pulsatile flow in aortic aneurysms: effect of non-Newtonian properties of blood. *Biorheology*, 2006. 43(5): 661–79.
66. Gundert, T. J., Dholakia, R. J., McMahon, D. and LaDisa, J. F. Computational Fluid Dynamics Evaluation of Equivalency in Hemodynamic Alterations Between Driver, Integrity, and Similar Stents Implanted Into an Idealized Coronary Artery. *Journal of Medical Devices*, 2013. 7(1): 011004. ISSN 1932-6181. doi:10.1115/1.4023413. URL

<http://medicaldevices.asmedigitalcollection.asme.org/article.aspx?doi=10.1115/1.4023413>.

67. Pant, S., Bressloff, N. W. and Limbert, G. Geometry parameterization and multidisciplinary constrained optimization of coronary stents. *Biomechanics and modeling in mechanobiology*, 2012. 11(1-2): 61–82. ISSN 1617-7940. doi:10.1007/s10237-011-0293-3. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21373889>.
68. Torii, R., Oshima, M., Kobayashi, T., Takagi, K. and Tezduyar, T. E. Computer modeling of cardiovascular fluid structure interactions with the deforming-spatial-domain/stabilized space in time formulation. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 2006. 195(13-16): 1885–1895. ISSN 00457825. doi:10.1016/j.cma.2005.05.050. URL <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0045782505003117>.
69. Chen, H., G. Kassab, F. G., S. De and K, M. M. R. Computational Modeling of Coronary Stents, in *Computational Modeling in Biomechanics. Springer Netherlands*, 2010: 207–220.
70. Govindaraju, S. D. Influence of fluid-structure interaction on wall shear stress in a stented coronary artery model. 2012. URL <http://gradworks.umi.com/35/29/3529986.html>.
71. Alwi, M. Stenting the patent ductus arteriosus in duct-dependent pulmonary circulation: techniques, complications and follow-up issues, 2012. doi:10.2217/fca.12.4.
72. Matsui, H., McCarthy, K. and Ho, S. Morphology of the patent arterial duct: features relevant to treatment. *Images in paediatric cardiology*, 2008. 10(1): 27–38. ISSN 1729-441X. URL <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3232584&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>.
73. Miller, M. W., Gordon, S. G., Saunders, A. B., Arsenault, W. G., Meurs, K. M., Lehmkuhl, L. B., Bonagura, J. D. and Fox, P. R. Angiographic classification of patent ductus arteriosus morphology in the dog. *Journal of Veterinary Cardiology*, 2006. 8(2): 109–114. ISSN 17602734. doi:10.1016/j.jvc.2006.07.001.
74. Petrini, L., Migliavacca, F., Auricchio, F. and Dubini, G. Numerical investigation of the intravascular coronary stent flexibility. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 2004. 37(4): 495–501. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021929003003397>.

75. Sick, P. B., Gelbrich, G., Kalnins, U., Erglis, A., Bonan, R., Aengevaeren, W., Elsner, D., Lauer, B., Woinke, M., Brosteanu, O. and Schuler, G. Comparison of early and late results of a Carbofilm-coated stent versus a pure high-grade stainless steel stent (the Carbostent-Trial). *American Journal of Cardiology*, 2004. 93(11): 1351–1356. ISSN 00029149. doi:10.1016/j.amjcard.2004.02.029.
76. Colombo, A., Stankovic, G. and Moses, J. W. Selection of coronary stents. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 2002. 40(6): 1021–1033. ISSN 07351097. doi:10.1016/S0735-1097(02)02123-X.
77. Martin, D. M. and Boyle, F. J. Drug-eluting stents for coronary artery disease: a review. *Medical engineering & physics*, 2011. 33(2): 148–63. ISSN 1873-4030. doi:10.1016/j.medengphy.2010.10.009. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21075668>.
78. Forbes, T. J., Rodriguez-Cruz, E., Amin, Z., Benson, L. N., Fagan, T. E., Hellenbrand, W. E., Latson, L. A., Moore, P., Mullins, C. E. and Vincent, J. A. The Genesis stent: A new low-profile stent for use in infants, children, and adults with congenital heart disease. *Catheterization and Cardiovascular Interventions*, 2003. 59(3): 406–414. ISSN 15221946. doi:10.1002/ccd.10547.
79. Walke, W., Paszenda, Z. and Filipiak, J. Experimental and numerical biomechanical analysis of vascular stent. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 2005. 164-165(0): 1263–1268. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0924013605000828>.
80. Kobayashi, T., Tomita, H., Yokozawa, M., Takamuro, M., Hatakeyama, K., Kim, S. H., Ono, Y. and Sakamoto, K. Genesis stent implantation without using a long sheath in two children. *Journal of Cardiology*, 2008. 52(3): 296–299. ISSN 09145087. doi:10.1016/j.jjcc.2008.06.002.
81. Xia, Z., Ju, F. and Sasaki, K. A general finite element analysis method for balloon expandable stents based on repeated unit cell (RUC) model. *Finite Elements in Analysis and Design*, 2007. 43(8): 649–658. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0168874X07000054>.
82. Dumoulin, C. and Cochelin, B. Mechanical behaviour modelling of balloon-expandable stents. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 2000. 33(11): 1461–1470. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021929000000981>.

83. McGarry, J. P., O'Donnell, B. P., McHugh, P. E. and McGarry, J. G. Analysis of the mechanical performance of a cardiovascular stent design based on micromechanical modelling. *Computational Materials Science*, 2004. 31(3-4): 421–438. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0927025604001570>.
84. Wang, W.-Q., Liang, D.-K., Yang, D.-Z. and Qi, M. Analysis of the transient expansion behavior and design optimization of coronary stents by finite element method. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 2006. 39(1): 21–32. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021929004005378>.
85. Linxia, G., Santra, S., Mericle, R. A. and Kumar, A. V. Finite element analysis of covered microstents. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 2005. 38(6): 1221–1227. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021929004003069>.
86. Kojima, M., Irie, K., Fukuda, T., Arai, F., Hirose, Y. and Negoro, M. The study of flow diversion effects on aneurysm using multiple enterprise stents and two flow diverters. 2012. 7(4): 159–165. doi:10.4103/1793.
87. Miller, M. W., Gordon, S. G., Saunders, A. B., Arsenault, W. G., Meurs, K. M., Lehmkuhl, L. B., Bonagura, J. D. and Fox, P. R. Angiographic classification of patent ductus arteriosus morphology in the dog. *Journal of Veterinary Cardiology*, 2006. 8(2): 109–114. ISSN 17602734. doi: 10.1016/j.jvc.2006.07.001.
88. Henry Y. Chen, G. S. K. *Computational Modeling in Biomechanics*. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands. 2010. ISBN 978-90-481-3574-5. doi: 10.1007/978-90-481-3575-2. URL <http://www.springerlink.com/index/10.1007/978-90-481-3575-2>.
89. Malek, A. M., Alper, S. L. and Izumo, S. Hemodynamic shear stress and its role in atherosclerosis. *JAMA : the journal of the American Medical Association*, 1999. 282(21): 2035–2042. ISSN 00987484. doi:10.1001/jama.282.21.2035.
90. Toutouzas, K., Colombo, A. and Stefanadis, C. Inflammation and restenosis after percutaneous coronary interventions, 2004. doi:10.1016/j.ehj.2004.06.011.
91. Khanafer, K. M., Bull, J. L., Upchurch Jr, G. R. and Berguer, R. Turbulence Significantly Increases Pressure and Fluid Shear Stress in an Aortic Aneurysm Model under Resting and Exercise Flow Conditions. *Annals of Vascular*

- Surgery*, 2007. 21(1): 67–74. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0890509606000148>.
92. Budwig, #160, R., ELGER, D., HOOPER, H., SLIPPY and J. *Steady flow in abdominal aortic aneurysm models*. vol. 115. New York, NY, ETATS-UNIS: American Society of Mechanical Engineers. 1993.
93. Egelhoff, C. J., Budwig, R. S., Elger, D. F., Khraishi, T. A. and Johansen, K. H. Model studies of the flow in abdominal aortic aneurysms during resting and exercise conditions. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 1999. 32(12): 1319–1329. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021929099001347>.
94. Thury, A., Wentzel, J. J., Vinke, R. V. H., Gijzen, F. J. H., Schuurbiens, J. C. H., Krams, R., de Feyter, P. J., Serruys, P. W. and Slager, C. J. Images in cardiovascular medicine. Focal in-stent restenosis near step-up: roles of low and oscillating shear stress? *Circulation*, 2002. 105(23): e185–7. ISSN 1524-4539. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12057999>.
95. Martin, D. and Boyle, F. J. Computational structural modelling of coronary stent deployment: a review. *Computer methods in biomechanics and biomedical engineering*, 2011. 14(4): 331–348. ISSN 1025-5842. doi: 10.1080/10255841003766845.
96. Rutherford, R. B. Structural failures in abdominal aortic aneurysm stentgrafts: Threat to durability and challenge to technology, 2004. doi:10.1053/j.semvascsurg.2004.09.009.
97. Carter, A. J. Drug-Eluting Stent Fracture. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, 2009. 54(21): 1932–1934. ISSN 07351097. doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2009.07.035. URL <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19909873>.