

NANOSILICA-STABILISED SUPERCRITICAL CARBON DIOXIDE FOAM  
FOR ENHANCED OIL RECOVERY APPLICATION

TAN XIN KUN

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

NANOSILICA-STABILISED SUPERCRITICAL CARBON DIOXIDE FOAM  
FOR ENHANCED OIL RECOVERY APPLICATION

TAN XIN KUN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Petroleum)

Faculty of Chemical and Energy Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

AUGUST 2017

To the Lord God Almighty *Yahweh*,  
and my parents,  
for their unfailing support and love

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to express my utmost gratitude to our beloved God for the successful completion of my thesis. In preparing this thesis, I was in contact with many people, researchers, academicians, and practitioners. They have contributed towards my understanding, thoughts and inspiration. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisor, Dr. Wan Rosli Wan Sulaiman, for encouragement, guidance and support. The due credit is followed by my previous supervisor, Prof. Dr. Ahmad Kamal bin Idris who has served until his fullest term, for his dedication, exhortation, critics and friendship. I am also very thankful to my brothers and sisters for their guidance, advices, care and prayers. Without their continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

I am indebted to the grant that funded my whole research project which is provided by Dr. Wan Rosli and approved by Research Management Centre (RMC). Earnest thanks are mandatory to my lab assistants at reservoir lab, En. Roslan and En. Zul for their help, patience and faithfulness. Librarians at Perpustakaan Sultanah Zanariah (PSZ), Perpustakaan Raja Zarith Sofiah (PRZS) and Faculty of Chemical & Energy Engineering (FCEE) also deserved special thanks for providing conducive study environment and assistance in supplying the relevant literatures.

My sincere appreciation also extends to all my colleagues and others who have provided assistance at various occasions. Their opinions and suggestions are useful indeed. I am truly thankful as well to my church members and my juniors for their encouragement and love. Their heart-warming supports have been a great motivation to me. Unfortunately, it is not possible to list all of them in this limited space. Lastly, I am grateful eternally to all my family members.

## ABSTRACT

Various enhanced oil recovery (EOR) methods have been studied intensively and proven to mobilize, and aid in improving the flow of remaining oil in the reservoirs to producing wells, thus leading to better oil recoveries. Gases have been commonly used in EOR, such as natural gas, carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), and nitrogen while CO<sub>2</sub> is the most commonly used gas. Foam flooding has started to gain more interests in the field for its promising gas mobility reduction. However, foam generated with surfactant suffers instability under harsh reservoir condition, such as high pressure, high temperature and high salinity. Nanoparticle has then come into play for the role to stabilise foam and several studies on the subject have shown favourable results. Nevertheless, nanoparticle-stabilised foam requires more studies and understanding. This thesis involved the study of nanoparticle-stabilised supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> foam in the presence of surfactant. Foams with different formulations (supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>, brine, surfactant and nanoparticles) were generated using a customised glass-bead packed column (GBPC) under 1,500 psi pressure, 25 °C, and a constant flow rate of 6 ml/min. The effect of different nanoparticle concentrations (0%, 0.1%, 0.5%, 0.6% and 1%) and brine salinities (0%, 0.5%, 2% and 10%) on foam are of the key objectives of the study and were both tested. Foam stability and foam mobility tests were carried out quantitatively and qualitatively. Pressure difference valued across the GBPC were recorded. Foam structures and formations were monitored using a camera to capture the images every three minutes throughout the duration of 60 minutes. Nanoparticle-stabilised supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> foam successfully shows significant improvement on foam stability over surfactant foam by 27% as well as slight improvement on foam mobility reduction. Nanoparticle-stabilised foam stability in the presence of oil was also tested. Sodium dodecyl sulfate surfactant foam stabilised with 1.0 wt% nanoparticle concentration shows superior foam stability in the presence of oil.

## ABSTRAK

Pelbagai kaedah perolehan minyak tertingkat (EOR) telah dikaji secara intensif dan terbukti mampu untuk meningkatkan aliran baki minyak dari reservoir ke telaga pengeluaran bagi menambah perolehan minyak. Gas kerap digunakan dalam EOR, misalnya gas asli, gas karbon dioksida ( $\text{CO}_2$ ), dan gas nitrogen dengan  $\text{CO}_2$  ialah gas yang paling biasa digunakan. Banjiran busa menjadi popular dalam bidang ini berikutan kemampuannya untuk mengurangkan pergerakan gas. Walau bagaimanapun, busa dihasil yang menggunakan surfaktan mengalami ketidakstabilan dalam keadaan melampau misalnya yang tekanan tinggi, suhu yang tinggi dan kemasinan yang tinggi. Nanopartikel boleh memainkan peranan dalam menstabilkan busa dengan beberapa kajian tentang subjek ini telah menunjukkan hasil yang menggalakkan. Busa terstabil nanopartikel memerlukan lebih banyak kajian dan pemahaman. Tesis ini melibatkan kajian terhadap busa  $\text{CO}_2$  supergelling terstabil nanopartikel dengan kehadiran surfaktan. Busa dengan formulasi yang berbeza ( $\text{CO}_2$  supergelling, kemasinan air, surfaktan dan nanopartikel) telah dihasil menggunakan turus padat manik kaca (GBPC) pada tekanan 1,500 psi, suhu 25 °C, dan kadar aliran mantap 6 ml/min. Kesan kepekatan nanopartikel (0%, 0.1%, 0.5%, 0.6% dan 1%) dan kemasinan air garam (0%, 0.5%, 2% dan 10%) terhadap busa menjadi objektif utama kajian dengan kedua-duanya diuji. Ujian kestabilan dan pergerakan busa telah dilaksanakan secara kuantitatif dan kualitatif. Perbezaan tekanan merentasi GBPC telah direkod. Struktur dan pembentukan busa pula dipantau menggunakan kamera bagi merakam imej setiap tiga minit untuk tempoh kajian selama 60 minit. Busa  $\text{CO}_2$  supergelling terstabil nanopartikel berjaya memantapkan kestabilan busa secara ketara sebanyak 27% berbanding busa surfaktan dan sedikit perbaikan dalam pengurangan pergerakan busa. Kestabilan busa terstabil nanopartikel dengan kehadiran minyak juga telah diuji. Busa surfaktan natrium dodekil sulfat yang distabilkan dengan 1.0% berat kepekatan nanopartikel menunjukkan kestabilan terbaik busa pada keadaan terbabit.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENT</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	x
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xi
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOL AND ABBREVIATION</b>	xiv
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xv
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	4
	1.3 Objective	5
	1.4 Scope	5
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	7
	2.1 Gas-Based EOR Overview	7
	2.1.1 Background Study	8
	2.2 Gas Flooding Overview	9
	2.2.1 The Advances in Miscible Gas Flooding	12
	2.2.2 Thickeners and Gels for Gas Flooding	14
	2.3 The Uses of Surfactant in EOR	15

2.4	Mechanism of Foam Formation and Termination	19
2.4.1	Snap-Off	19
2.4.2	Lamella Division	20
2.4.3	Leave-Behind	21
2.4.4	Dominant Foam Formation Mechanism	22
2.4.5	Foam Termination Mechanisms in Porous Media	22
2.5	Foam in EOR Overview	24
2.5.1	The Uses of Surfactant in Foam Flooding	27
2.5.2	Foam for Mobility Control in Miscible Gas Flooding	28
2.6	Nanoparticles in EOR Application	31
2.6.1	Nanoparticles in Foam Flooding Application	34
2.6.2	Factor Affecting Foam Stability	37
2.6.3	Type of Nanoparticles	40
2.7	Effect of oil on Foam	41
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>43</b>
3.1	Materials	43
3.1.1	Silica Nanoparticles	45
3.1.2	Carbon Dioxide	45
3.1.3	Deionized Water (DI Water)	45
3.1.4	Sodium Chloride (NaCl)	45
3.1.5	Surfactant	46
3.1.6	Oil	46
3.2	Equipment	46
3.2.1	Pressure Injection Pump	48
3.2.2	Accumulator	49
3.2.3	Quartz Tube	49
3.2.4	View Cell Holder	50
3.2.5	Glass Bead Packed Column	51
3.2.6	Pressure Transducer	51
3.2.7	Data Acquisition Module	52
3.2.8	Back Pressure Regulator (BPR)	53

3.2.9	Image Capturer (Camera)	53
3.2.10	Dynamic Foam Analyzer DFA 100	55
3.3	Set-Up of Experiment	56
3.3.1	Preparation of Nanoparticle Dispersion	57
3.3.2	Procedure of Experiment	58
3.4	Operation of Dynamic Foam Analyzer DFA 100	60
3.5	Characterisations and Calculation	61
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>63</b>
4.1	Nanoparticle-Stabilised Supercritical CO <sub>2</sub> Foam Stability	63
4.1.1	Nanoparticle Concentration Effect on Foam Stability	64
4.1.2	Brine Salinity Effect on Foam Stability	68
4.2	Nanoparticle-Stabilised Supercritical CO <sub>2</sub> Foam Mobility	72
4.2.1	Nanoparticle Concentration Effect on Foam Mobility	73
4.2.2	Brine Salinity Effect on Foam Mobility	75
4.3	Effect of Oil on Foam Stability	77
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>84</b>
5.1	Conclusion	84
5.2	Recommendation	86
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>88</b>
	Appendices A – D	97-108

**LIST OF TABLES**

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Screening Criteria for CO <sub>2</sub> Flooding (Ghedan, 2009)	10
2.2	Screening Criteria for CO <sub>2</sub> Flooding (Kang <i>et al.</i> , 2016)	11
2.3	Summary of Fields that Undergo Foam Flooding in China	25
2.4	Properties of Nanoparticle Tested (Ogolo <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	39
2.5	Properties of Oil Tested (Ogolo <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	40
2.6	Oil Recovery Efficiency vs Type of Hydrocarbon in Crude Oil	41
3.1	Materials and Formulations Used in Supercritical CO <sub>2</sub> Foam Test	44
3.2	Sources of Materials Used	44
3.3	Materials and Formulations used in Effect of Oil on Foam Test	60
4.1	Values for Foam Mobility Calculation	72
4.2	Foam Mobility and Mobility Reduction Factor for Different Nanoparticle Concentrations	74
4.3	Foam Mobility and Mobility Reduction Factor for Different Brine Salinities	76

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	EOR Projects Worldwide between Year 2004 and 2014 (Source: Oil & Gas Journal)	9
2.2	Factors Affecting Miscible Recovery: (a) Oil Displacement Efficiency on Pore Scale and (b) Sweep Efficiency on Field Scale	12
2.3	The Advances in Miscible Gas Flooding	13
2.4	Sandstone Cores Imbibition Profiles When Replaced with Anionic Surfactant (Salehi <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	17
2.5	Effect of Wettability on Oil Recovery (Agbalaka <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	18
2.6	Effect of Brine Salinity on Contact Angle (Gupta <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	19
2.7	Schematic of Snap-Off Mechanism showing (a) Gas Entry into Liquid Filled Pore-Throat, (b) Gas Finger and Wetting Collar Formation Prior to Breakup, and (c) Liquid Lens after Snap-Off (Ransohoff and Radke, 1988)	20
2.8	Schematic of Division Mechanism showing a lamella flowing from the left to the right, (a) Gas Bubble Approaching Branch Point and (b) Divided Gas Bubbles (Ransohoff and Radke, 1988)	21
2.9	Schematic of Leave-Behind Mechanism showing (a) Gas Invasion and (b) Stable Lens (Ransohoff and Radke, 1988)	22
2.10	Foam Lamella Flowing Through a Periodically Constricted Tube (Ransohoff and Radke, 1988)	24
2.11	Schematic Figures of Two-Phase Flow in Porous Media	30
2.12	Interfacial Tension of Oil and Water at Various Concentration of SiO <sub>2</sub> Nanoparticles (Hendraningrat <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	33
2.13	TEM Image of SiO <sub>2</sub> Nanoparticles Dispersed in Water (Al Otaibi <i>et al.</i> , 2013)	35

2.14	SEM Image of Fly-Ash Nanoparticles (Eftekhari <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	36
2.15	CO <sub>2</sub> Foam Volume at Different Pressure (Mo <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	37
2.16	Crude Oil Recoveries versus Injection Pressure of CO <sub>2</sub> (Mo <i>et al.</i> , 2012)	38
2.17	Effect of Total Flow Rate on Normalized Mixture Viscosity (Mungan, 1991)	39
3.1 (a)	Schematic Diagram of Dynamic Foam Generation and Foam Stability Test Setup: (1) ISCO pump; (2) Swagelok valves; (3) Supercritical CO <sub>2</sub> accumulator; (4) Brine/nanoparticles accumulator; (5) BPR; (6) In-line filter (0.5 μm); (7) Glass bead packed column; (8) Honeywell pressure transducer; (9) Measuring Computing Data acquisition system; (10) Quartz tube; (11) CO <sub>2</sub> gas tank	47
3.1 (b)	Dynamic Foam Generation and Foam Stability Test Setup	47
3.2 (a)	Schematic Diagram ISCO Syringe Pumps Connections with Accumulators	48
3.2 (b)	ISCO Syringe Pumps Connections with Accumulators	49
3.3	Quartz Tube for Foam Observation	50
3.4 (a)	Schematic Diagram of DAQ with Pressure Transmitter Electrical/Signal Connections	52
3.4 (b)	DAQ with Pressure Transmitter Electrical/Signal Connections	53
3.5	LabVIEW Block Diagram of VI Setup	54
3.6	Dynamic Foam Analyzer DFA 100	55
3.7	Crest Ultrasonics Sonicator	58
4.1 (a)	Foam Stability for Baseline at 0 wt% Nanoparticle HDK H15	64
4.1 (b)	Foam Stability for Baseline at 0.1 wt% Nanoparticle HDK H15	64
4.1 (c)	Foam Stability for Baseline at 0.3 wt% Nanoparticle HDK H15	65
4.1 (d)	Foam Stability for Baseline at 0.5 wt% Nanoparticle HDK H15	65
4.1 (e)	Foam Stability for Baseline at 0.6 wt% Nanoparticle HDK H15	66
4.1 (f)	Foam Stability for Baseline at 1.0 wt% Nanoparticle HDK H15	66
4.2	Foam Stability in Different Nanoparticle Concentration	67
4.3 (a)	Foam Stability for 0 wt% NaCl	68

4.3 (b)	Foam Stability for 0.5 wt% NaCl	69
4.3 (c)	Foam Stability for 2.0 wt% NaCl	69
4.3 (d)	Foam Stability for 10.0 wt% NaCl	70
4.4	Foam Stability in Different Brine Salinity	70
4.5	Pressure Difference across GBPC for Different Nanoparticle Concentrations	73
4.6	Pressure Difference across GBPC for Different Brine Salinities	75
4.7	Foam Stability for Baseline: (a) Without Oil and (b) With Oil	77
4.8	Bubble Image for Baseline with Oil: (a) Initial and (b) After	78
4.9	Foam Stability for 0.5 wt% Nanoparticle HDK H15: (a) Without Oil and (b) With Oil	79
4.10	Bubble Image for 0.5 wt% Nanoparticle HDK H15 With Oil: (a) Initial and (b) After	80
4.11	Foam Stability for 1.0 wt% Nanoparticle HDK H15: (a) Without Oil and (b) With Oil	81
4.12	Bubble Image for 1.0 wt% Nanoparticle HDK H15 After 60 Minutes: (a) Without Oil and (b) With Oil	82

## LIST OF SYMBOL AND ABBREVIATIONS

K	-	Permeability, mD
q	-	Flow Rate, cm <sup>3</sup> /s
L	-	Length, cm
A	-	Area, cm <sup>2</sup>
$\rho_o$	-	Oil Density, lb/ft
$\mu$	-	Viscosity, cP
$\Delta P$	-	Differential Pressure, psi
$\lambda$	-	Mobility, mD/cP
$\gamma$	-	Mobility Reduction Factor
wt%	-	Weight Percentage
ppm	-	Part Per Million
EOR	-	Enhanced Oil Recovery
BHP	-	Bottomhole Pressure
OOIP	-	Original Oil-In-Place
WAG	-	Water-Alternating-Gas
SAG	-	Surfactant-Alternating-Gas
ASP	-	Alkaline Surfactant Polymer
IFT	-	Interfacial Tension
AOS	-	Alpha Olefine Sulphonate
SDS	-	Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate
CMC	-	Critical Micelle Concentration (CMC), wt%
MMP	-	Minimum Miscibility Pressure, psi
GBPC	-	Glass Bead Packed Column
BPR	-	Back Pressure Regulator
SC	-	Supercritical
NP	-	Nanoparticle

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Foam Stability Measurements and Calculations	97
B	Foam Mobility Measurements and Calculations	100
C	Foam Quality	107
D	Supercritical Carbon Dioxide	108

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background

Since the mid 1980s, EOR gas injection projects have been globally implemented and a growing trend has been evident since year 2000, especially with the increasing number of CO<sub>2</sub> projects. Indeed, since year 2002, EOR gas injection projects have outnumbered thermal projects for the first time in the last three decades (Manrique *et al.*, 2010). CO<sub>2</sub> flooding projects are in steady growth in recent years – in contrast to other EOR methods. The method is poised to become an even more popular oil recovery implementation in the foreseeable future. The distinctiveness of CO<sub>2</sub> flooding is its ability to sweep the oil. Most optimistically, it could recover virtually all the remaining oil where it sweeps. The wide application of CO<sub>2</sub> flooding for enhanced oil recovery is also due to its availability at low cost. However, there are three problems that causing the poor efficiency in CO<sub>2</sub> gas flooding, which are viscous fingering, gravity segregation and early gas breakthrough. Water-alternating-gas (WAG) flooding has been introduced to counter especially viscous fingering of gas flooding. In fact, WAG shows better sweep efficiency, but it could not overcome the existing problems such as gravity segregation and reservoir heterogeneity. The very low viscosity of CO<sub>2</sub> is the factor causing preferential channeling of the CO<sub>2</sub> through high-permeability layers, and low density of CO<sub>2</sub> has resulted in gravity segregation. Extensive studies have been then carried out to remedy the problems by reducing the CO<sub>2</sub> mobility.

Over the last three decades, surfactant has been used to stabilise CO<sub>2</sub> foams in numerous approaches. A conclusion can be drawn that adding surfactant to the water injected along with CO<sub>2</sub> flooding would reduce its mobility and improve sweep efficiency, both in areal and vertical by impeding viscous fingering as well as flow through the higher permeability zones. However, weaknesses of surfactant-stabilised CO<sub>2</sub> foams have also been identified. Surfactant has high retention rate in porous media and it is unstable under reservoir with high-temperature conditions. Surfactant foam is ultimately unstable and it is challenging to keep up a long-term stability during field application. When it is in contact with residual oil, surfactant foam appears to be unstable. Surfactants tend to degrade under high-temperature reservoir conditions before they manage to perform better sweep efficiency.

At 21<sup>st</sup> century, nano-science has been under progressive development and alternative of nanoparticle-stabilised supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> foam emerges as one of the new technologies. Extensive research efforts regarding nanoparticle-stabilised air/water foams are being carried out. There are many research efforts related to nanoparticle-stabilised air/water foams (e.g., Binks, 2002; Binks and Horozov, 2005). There are supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>-in-water emulsions as well as water-in-supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> emulsions. Under reservoir of high pressure and relatively low temperature CO<sub>2</sub> will be in supercritical condition. Being able to use nanoparticle to stabilise and generate foam in supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> is therefore a crucial breakthrough. Nanoparticles have higher adhesion energy to the fluid interface than the surfactant, which gives the potential for nanoparticles to stabilise longer lasting foams, as nanoparticles would require more energy to destabilise the foam. The foams made by solid nanoparticles are stable over long periods (up to a year), in contrast with foams stabilised by surfactant molecules whose lifetime is in the order of a few hours (Alargova *et al.*, 2004).

Nanoparticle-stabilised foams have its characterization in various aspects, including foam type, stability, size of droplet, interfacial properties and bulk viscosity. Furthermore, the impacts of conditions during experiments such as concentration of nanoparticle, aqueous phase salinity, pH and wettability are determined systematically under ambient conditions. In comparison with the studies on nanoparticle-stabilised-air/water foams, the studies relating to nanoparticle-stabilised CO<sub>2</sub> with water foams

are much less. A pressure releasing method has been demonstrated by Dickson *et al.* (2004) to study the effects of particle concentration, particle hydrophilicity, dispersed phase volume fraction and CO<sub>2</sub> density on foam stability. The results showed that the foam stability increased with decreased hydrophilicity and increased particle concentration, at the designed pressure and ambient temperature. Espinosa *et al.* (2010) reported on nanoparticles stabilised supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> foams for potential mobility control applications by using the commercial surface modified nanosilica dispersion. Their results concluded that the supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> foams stabilised with nanoparticle concentrations as low as 0.05 wt%, and that larger particle concentration was required to maintain foam stability at greater salinities. Experiments that have been carried out by (Jianjia Yu *et al.*, 2012) revealed that stable CO<sub>2</sub> foam was generated in nanosilica dispersions at static conditions, with the particle concentration in the range of 4000 to 6000 ppm in the experiments reported. Mixing surfactant and nanoparticles to stabilised foam is a new area for research. Preliminary tests have been initiated and showing positive results (Worthen *et al.*, 2013). In this study, based on the results of static experiments, a series of flow experiments of the simultaneous injection of CO<sub>2</sub> and nanosilica with surfactant dispersion through glass bead packed column were conducted to investigate nanosilica stabilization of CO<sub>2</sub> foam in different nanoparticle concentrations. Sodium dodecyl sulphate was selected as the base surfactant in this study due to its ionic formulation that is also widely used for different industrial purposes. Primary focus of this study was to enhance surfactant foam by adding foam-stabilizing nanoparticles under high pressure condition. The effects of brine salinity on apparent foam viscosity and total foam mobility were investigated. Effect of oil on nanoparticles stabilised foam was also tested.

## 1.2 Problem Statement

The application of foam generated by surfactant in EOR has been an excellent solution to the problems yielded by water-alternating-gas, such as viscous fingering, gravity segregation and reservoir heterogeneity. Foam generally has better mobility control which is important for miscible flooding. However, surfactant-stabilised has

poor stability especially under harsh reservoir condition of high pressure as well as high temperature. Several initiatives aim at identifying and exploiting the capabilities to use nanoparticles to stabilise foam for EOR have been identified. Numerous researchers have been focusing on the identification of nanotech potentialities applied to Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) issues. Although there have been promising preliminary laboratory scale studies, this technology still suffers from requirement of high shear rate for generating foam and high amount of retention of nanoparticles in the porous media. In order to achieve polymer nanocomposite foams which is with high-dimensional stability, high surface quality, good mechanical properties, and excellent thermal still requires a lot of future work (Livi and Duchet-Rumeau, 2013).

Previous research show that agglomeration would take place in particle-stabilised foams (Kaptay and Babcsán, 2012). The concentration of nanoparticle is a significant factor that would affect the rate of agglomeration. In this study, different concentrations of nanoparticle (ppm) are used to stabilise CO<sub>2</sub> foams. Effect of brine salinity on foam stability has arguable results from previously done research. Therefore, the effect of different brine salinity was tested in this study. There were studies done on CO<sub>2</sub> foam but the CO<sub>2</sub> used was not in supercritical condition. For high pressure and relatively low temperature condition of the reservoirs, CO<sub>2</sub> will be in supercritical condition. Thus, it is significant to conduct the study in supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> condition due its significance.

There are also limited studies suggesting that surfactant working in synergy with nanoparticles in stabilising supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> foam. In this study, surfactant was included in order to study the performance of nanoparticles to stabilise surfactant foam. Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) was selected as the surfactant due to its wide application in the industry. The stability of foam in porous media relies essentially on the stability of the foam films (lamellae). The oil may influence the foam performance by affecting lamellae stability being a de-foaming agent. The foam performance in the presence of oil is needed to be identified. nanoparticle-stabilised CO<sub>2</sub> foam specifically, when it contacts with oil were yet to be identified. Using these foams for EOR means the foam stability needs to remain in the presence of oil. Experiments that

test the effects of oil contact when the three phases are present are therefore essential. The foam stability of nanoparticle-stabilised supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> needs to be tested in the presence of oil to study its potential to be applied in EOR. Experiments were run to observe as well as identify the effect of oil - normal hexadecane (n-C16) on nanoparticles and surfactant stabilised foam. Hexadecane was selected due to its properties that is of intermediate oil that could well represent oil.

### **1.3 Objectives**

Three main objectives of this research are identified:

1. To study the stability and mobility of nanoparticle-stabilised supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> foam at various nanoparticle concentration.
2. To determine the nanoparticle-stabilised supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> foam stability under high pressure at various brine salinity.
3. To study the stability of nanoparticle-stabilised foam in the presence of oil.

### **1.4 Scope**

The foam stability of nanoparticle-stabilised CO<sub>2</sub> foam in different nanoparticle concentration was tested. A packed glass-bead column was used as a medium to generate nanoparticle-stabilised CO<sub>2</sub> foam, the foam was flowed into a view cell to be observed. Temperature, pressure, type of nanoparticle, type of surfactant, injection rate, water/CO<sub>2</sub> volume ratio and nanoparticle surface wettability remains constant. Brine salinity and nanoparticle concentration were the key parameters to be studied during the experiments. Method to identify foam stability was foam height versus time. The foam was therefore being observed for an hour with camera to capture its image in an interval of 3 minutes.

The main objective of ensuring the constants and manipulated variables was to achieve optimum recovery of nanoparticle-stabilised CO<sub>2</sub> foam injection. SDS was selected and used due to its wide range of implementation in CO<sub>2</sub> foam applications.

The reaction of foam in the presence of oil was analyzed with the help of Dynamic Foam Analyzer DFA 100. Nanoparticle-stabilized SDS foam was generated using atmospheric air using Dynamic Foam Analyzer DFA 100. The foam stability and bubble counts were recorded.

## REFERENCES

- Abu El Ela, M., Sayyounh, H., and El Tayeb, E. S. (2014). An Integrated Approach for the Application of the Enhanced Oil Recovery Projects. *Journal of Petroleum Science Research*, 3(4), 176. <http://doi.org/10.14355/jpsr.2014.0304.03>
- Agbalaka, C. C., Dandekar, A. Y., Patil, S. L., Khataniar, S., and Hemsath, J. (2008). The Effect Of Wettability On Oil Recovery: A Review. In *SPE Asia Pacific Oil and Gas Conference and Exhibition*. Society of Petroleum Engineers. <http://doi.org/10.2118/114496-MS>
- Al Otaibi, F. M., Kokal, S. L., Chang, Y., AlQahtani, J. F., and AlAbdulwahab, A. M. (2013). Gelled Emulsion of CO<sub>2</sub>-Water-Nanoparticles. In *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition* (pp. 1–13). Society of Petroleum Engineers. <http://doi.org/10.2118/166072-MS>
- Alargova, R. G., Warhadpande, D. S., Paunov, V. N., and Velez, O. D. (2004). Foam superstabilization by polymer microrods. *Langmuir*, 20(24), 10371–10374. <http://doi.org/10.1021/la048647a>
- Aroonsri, A. (2014). *Nanoparticle-Stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> Foam for Mobility Control in CO<sub>2</sub> Enhanced Oil Recovery*. The University of Texas at Austin.
- Bennetzen, M. V., and Mogensen, K. (2014). Novel Applications of Nanoparticles for Future Enhanced Oil Recovery. *International Petroleum Technology Conference*, (December), 10–12. <http://doi.org/10.2523/17857-MS>
- Bernard, G. G., and Holm, L. W. (1964). Effect of Foam on Permeability of Porous Media to Gas. *SPE Journal*, (October), 267–274.
- Bernard, G., Holm, L. W., and Harvey, C. (1980). Use of Surfactant to Reduce CO<sub>2</sub> Mobility in Oil Displacement. *Society of Petroleum Engineers Journal*, 20(August), 281–292. <http://doi.org/10.2118/8370-PA>
- Binks, B. P. (2002). Particles as surfactants—similarities and differences. *Current Opinion in Colloid and Interface Science*, 7(1–2), 21–41. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-0294\(02\)00008-0](http://doi.org/10.1016/S1359-0294(02)00008-0)

- Binks, B. P., and Horozov, T. S. (2005). Aqueous Foams Stabilized Solely by Silica Nanoparticles. *Angewandte Chemie - International Edition*, 44(24), 3722–3725. <http://doi.org/10.1002/anie.200462470>
- Borchardt, J. K., Bright, D. B., Dickson, M. K., and Wellington, S. L. (1988). Surfactants for Carbon Dioxide Foam Flooding. In *Surfactant-Based Mobility Control* (Vol. 373, pp. 163-180–8). American Chemical Society. <http://doi.org/doi:10.1021/bk-1988-0373.ch008>
- Brownscombe, E. R., Dyes, A. B., and Whorton, L. P. (1952). Method For Producing Oil By Means Of Carbon Dioxide.
- Chen, Y., Elhag, A. S., Poon, B. M., Cui, L., Ma, K., Liao, S. Y., ... Johnston, K. P. (2014). Switchable Nonionic to Cationic Ethoxylated Amine Surfactants for CO<sub>2</sub> Enhanced Oil Recovery in High-Temperature, High-Salinity Carbonate Reservoirs. *Spe Journal*, 19(2), 249–259. <http://doi.org/10.2118/154222-PA>
- Christensen, J. R., Stenby, E. H., and Skauge, A. (2001). Review of WAG Field Experience. *SPE Reservoir Evaluation and Engineering*, 4(January), 3–5. <http://doi.org/10.2118/71203-PA>
- Cleveland, D. J., and Morris, C. (2013). Handbook of Energy: Chronologies, Top Ten Lists, and Word Clouds. In *Handbook of Energy: Chronologies, Top Ten Lists, and Word Clouds* (p. 968). Elsevier Science. <http://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-417013-1.00011-X>
- Dandge, D. K., and Heller, J. P. (1987). Polymers for Mobility Control in CO<sub>2</sub> Floods. In *SPE International Symposium on Oilfield Chemistry* (pp. 297–305).
- Dellinger, S. E., Patton, J. T., and Holbrook, S. T. (1984). CO<sub>2</sub> Mobility Control. *SPE Journal*, (April), 191–196.
- Delshad, M., Fathi Najafabadi, N., Anderson, G., Pope, G., and Sepehrnoori, K. (2009). Modeling Wettability Alteration By Surfactants in Naturally Fractured Reservoirs. *SPE Reservoir Evaluation and Engineering*, 12(3), 361–370. <http://doi.org/10.2118/100081-PA>
- Denkov, N. D., Tcholakova, S., Golemanov, K., Ananthpadmanabhan, K. P., and Lips, A. (2009). The role of surfactant type and bubble surface mobility in foam rheology. *Soft Matter*, 5(18), 3389. <http://doi.org/10.1039/b903586a>
- Dickson, J. L., Binks, B. P., and Johnston, K. P. (2004). Stabilization of carbon dioxide-in-water emulsions with silica nanoparticles. *Langmuir*, 20(19), 7976–7983. <http://doi.org/10.1021/la0488102>

- Eftekhari, A. A., Krastev, R., and Farajzadeh, R. (2015). Foam Stabilized by Fly-Ash Nanoparticles for Enhancing Oil Recovery. In *SPE Kuwait Oil and Gas Show and Conference* (pp. 1–19).
- Enick, R., Eric, J., Chunmei, S., Zhihua, H., Jianhang, X., and Sevgi, K. (2000). Direct Thickeners for Carbon Dioxide. *Proceedings of SPE/DOE Improved Oil Recovery Symposium*. <http://doi.org/10.2118/59325-MS>
- Enick, R. M., and Olsen, D. (2012a). Mobility and Conformance Control for CO<sub>2</sub> EOR via Thickeners, Foams, and Gels - A Literature Review of 40 Years of Research and Pilot Tests. In *SPE Improved Oil Recovery Symposium* (pp. 1–12).
- Enick, R. M., and Olsen, D. K. (2012b). *Mobility and Conformance Control for Carbon Dioxide Enhanced Oil Recovery (CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR) via Thickeners, Foams, and Gels - A Detailed Literature Review of 40 Years of Research*. DOE/NETL.
- Eren, T. (2004). *Foam Characterization: Bubble Size and Texture Effects*. The Graduate School of Natural and Applied Science of Middle East Technical University.
- Espinosa, D., Caldelas, F., Johnston, K., Bryant, S. L., and Huh, C. (2010). Nanoparticle-Stabilized Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> Foams for Potential Mobility Control Applications. In *SPE Improved Oil Recovery Symposium* (pp. 1–13).
- Espinosa, D. R. (2011). *Nanoparticle-Stabilized Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> Foams for Potential Mobility Control Applications*. The University of Texas at Austin.
- Farajzadeh, R., Andrianov, a., Krastev, R., Hirasaki, G. J., and Rossen, W. R. (2012). Foam-oil interaction in porous media: Implications for foam assisted enhanced oil recovery. *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science*, 183–184, 1–13. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2012.07.002>
- Farzaneh, S. A., and Sohrabi, M. (2013). A Review of the Status of Foam Applications in Enhanced Oil Recovery. In *EAGE Annual Conference and Exhibition*.
- Friedmann, F., Hughes, T. L., Smith, M. E., G.P. Hild, Davies, A., and S.N., W. (1999). Development and Testing of a Foam-Gel Technology to Improve Conformance of the Rangely CO<sub>2</sub> Flood. *SPE Reservoir Evaluation and Engineering*, 2(February), 4–13.
- Ghedan, S. G. (2009). Global Laboratory Experience of CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR Flooding. *SPE/EAGE Reservoir Characterization and Simulation Conference*, (October), 19–21. <http://doi.org/10.2118/125581-MS>

- Giraldo, J., Benjumea, P., Lopera, S., Cortés, F. B., and Ruiz, M. A. (2013). Wettability Alteration of Sandstone Cores by Alumina-Based Nanofluids. *Energy and Fuels*, 27(7), 3659–3665. <http://doi.org/10.1021/ef4002956>
- Gunning, T. B. (1864). Improvement In Oil-Ejectors For Oil-Wells.
- Gupta, R., Mohan, K., and Mohanty, K. K. (2009). Surfactant Screening for Wettability Alteration in Oil-Wet Fractured Carbonates. In *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition* (Vol. 5, pp. 3270–3290). Society of Petroleum Engineers. <http://doi.org/10.2118/124822-MS>
- Harding, T. G., Ali, S. M. F., and Flock, D. L. (1983). Steamflood Performance in the Presence of Carbon Dioxide and Nitrogen. *Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology*, 22(5), 30–37. <http://doi.org/10.2118/83-05-02>
- Heller, J. P., Dandge, D. K., Card, R. J., and Donaruma, L. G. (1985). Direct Thickeners for Mobility Control of CO<sub>2</sub> Floods. *Society of Petroleum Engineers Journal*, 25(5), 679–686. <http://doi.org/10.2118/11789-PA>
- Hendraningrat, L., Li, S., and Torsæter, O. (2013). Enhancing Oil Recovery of Low-Permeability Berea Sandstone through Optimized Nanofluids Concentration. In *SPE Enhanced Oil Recovery Conference* (pp. 1–10).
- Hild, G. P., and Wackowski, R. K. (1999). Reservoir Polymer Gel Treatments To Improve Miscible CO<sub>2</sub> Flood. *SPE Reservoir Evaluation and Engineering*, 2(2), 19–22. <http://doi.org/10.2118/56008-PA>
- Isaacs, E. E., Green, M. K., Jossy, W. E., and Maunder, J. D. (1992). Conformance Improvement by Using High Temperature Foams and Gels. In *SPE Latin America Petroleum Engineering Conference* (pp. 345–351). Society of Petroleum Engineers. <http://doi.org/10.2118/23754-MS>
- Jarrell, P. M. (2002). *Practical Aspects of CO<sub>2</sub> Flooding*. Society of Petroleum Engineers.
- Jenkins, M. K. (1984). An Analytical Model for Water/Gas Miscible Displacements. In *SPE/DOE Fourth Symposium on Enhanced Oil Recovery* (p. 12).
- Jiang, H., Nuryaningsih, L., and Adidharma, H. (2010). The Effect of Salinity of Injection Brine on Water Alternating Gas Performance in Tertiary Miscible Carbon Dioxide Flooding: Experimental Study. In *SPE Western Regional Meeting*.
- Jikich, S. (Jay). (2012). CO<sub>2</sub> EOR: Nanotechnology for Mobility Control Studied. *Journal of Petroleum Technology*.

- Jiménez, A. I., and Radke, C. J. (1989). Dynamic Stability of Foam Lamellae Flowing Through a Periodically Constricted Pore (pp. 460–479). <http://doi.org/10.1021/bk-1989-0396.ch025>
- Johns, R. T., and Dindoruk, B. (2013). Chapter 1 - Gas Flooding - Sheng, James J. BT - Enhanced Oil Recovery Field Case Studies (pp. 1–22). Boston: Gulf Professional Publishing. <http://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-386545-8.00001-4>
- Kalyanaraman, N., Arnold, C., Gupta, A., Tsau, J. S., and Barati, R. (2015). Stability Improvement of CO<sub>2</sub> Foam for Enhanced Oil Recovery Applications Using Polyelectrolytes and Polyelectrolyte Complex Nanoparticles.
- Kang, P.-S., Lim, J.-S., and Huh, C. (2016). Screening Criteria and Considerations of Offshore Enhanced Oil Recovery. *Energies*, 9(1), 44. <http://doi.org/10.3390/en9010044>
- Kaptay, G., and Babcsán, N. (2012). Particle Stabilized Foams. *Foam Engineering: Fundamentals and Applications*, 2000, 121–143. <http://doi.org/10.1002/9781119954620.ch7>
- Kathel, P., and Mohanty, K. K. (2013). EOR in Tight Oil Reservoirs through Wettability Alteration. In *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition* (pp. 1–15).
- Khajepour, M., Etmiran, S. R., Goldman, J., Wassmuth, F., and Technology, A. I. (2016). Nanoparticles as Foam Stabilizer for Steam-Foam Process. In *SPE EOR Conference at Oil and Gas West Asia* (p. 14).
- Khatib, Z. I., Hirasaki, G. J., and Falls, a. H. (1988). Effects of Capillary Pressure on Coalescence and Phase Mobilities in Foams Flowing Through Porous Media. *SPE Reservoir Engineering*, 3(3), 919–926. <http://doi.org/10.2118/15442-PA>
- Lake, L. W. (1989). *Enhanced Oil Recovery*. Prentice Hall.
- Lee, J. J., Cummings, S., Dhuwe, A., Enick, R. ., Beckman, E. J., Perry, R., ... O'Brien, M. (2014). Development of Small-Molecule CO<sub>2</sub> Thickeners. *JPT*, (July), 145–147.
- Lee, S., and Kam, S. I. (2013). Chapter 2 – Enhanced Oil Recovery by Using CO<sub>2</sub> Foams: Fundamentals and Field Applications. In *Enhanced Oil Recovery Field Case Studies* (pp. 23–61). Elsevier. <http://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-386545-8.00002-6>

- Li, R. F., Yan, W., Liu, S., Hirasaki, G. J., and Miller, C. a. (2008). Foam Mobility Control for Surfactant EOR. In *SPE/DOE Improved Oil Recovery Symposium*.
- Liu, N. (2015). *Nanoparticle-Stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> Foam for CO<sub>2</sub> EOR Application*.
- Livi, S., and Duchet-Rumeau, J. (2013). Processing of Polymer Nanocomposite Foams in Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>. In V. Mittal (Ed.), *Polymer Nanocomposite Foams* (pp. 93–112). CRC Press. <http://doi.org/10.1201/b15572>
- Manlowe, D. J., and Radke, C. J. (1990). A Pore-Level Investigation of Foam / Oil Interactions in Porous Media. *SPE Reservoir Engineering*, (November), 495–502.
- Manrique, E., Thomas, C., Ravikiran, R., Izadi, M., Lantz, M., and Romero, J. (2010). EOR: Current Status and Opportunities. In *SPE Improved Oil Recovery Symposium* (pp. 1–21).
- Martin, F. D., and Kovarik, F. S. (1987). Chemical Gels for Diverting CO<sub>2</sub>: Baseline Experiments. In *62nd Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition of the Society of Petroleum Engineers* (pp. 327–334).
- Mo, D., Yu, J., Liu, N., and Lee, R. (2012). Study of the Effect of Different Factors on Nanoparticle-Stablized CO<sub>2</sub> Foam for Mobility Control. In *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition*.
- Mohd, T. a. T., Muhayyidin, a. H. M., Ghazali, N. a., Shahrudin, M. Z., Alias, N., Arina, S., ... Ramlee, N. a. (2014). Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) Foam Stability Dependence on Nanoparticle Concentration for Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR). *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 548–549, 1876–1880. <http://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.548-549.1876>
- Mungan, N. (1991). An Evaluation of Carbon Dioxide Flooding. In *SPE Western Regional Meeting* (pp. 113–122).
- Ogolo, N. A., Olafuyi, O. A., and Onyekonwu, M. O. (2012). Enhanced Oil Recovery Using Nanoparticles. In *SPE Saudi Arabia Section Technical Symposium and Exhibition*.
- Raible, C., and Zhu, T. (1992). *Application of Polymer Gels for Profile Modification and Sweep Improvement of Gas Flooding*. U.S. Department of Energy.
- Ramey Jr, H. J. (1967). A Current Review of Oil Recovery by Steam Injection. In *7th World Petroleum Congress*.

- Ransohoff, T. ., and Radke, C. . (1988). Laminar flow of a wetting liquid along the corners of a predominantly gas-occupied noncircular pore. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 121(2), 392–401. [http://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9797\(88\)90442-0](http://doi.org/10.1016/0021-9797(88)90442-0)
- Rao, D. N. (2001). Gas Injection EOR- A New Meaning in The New Millennium. *The Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology*, 40(2), 11–18. <http://doi.org/10.2118/01-02-DAS>
- Rashed Rohani, M., Ghotbi, C., and Badakhshan, a. (2014). Foam Stability and Foam-oil Interactions. *Petroleum Science and Technology*, 32(15), 1843–1850. <http://doi.org/10.1080/10916466.2012.683920>
- Salaguer, J.-L. (2002). Surfactant Types and Uses. *FIRP Booklet*, 2(E300A), 1–49.
- Salehi, M., Johnson, S. J., and Liang, J.-T. (2008). Mechanistic Study of Wettability Alteration Using Surfactants with Applications in Naturally Fractured Reservoirs. *Langmuir*, 24(24), 14099–14107. <http://doi.org/10.1021/la802464u>
- San, J., Wang, S., Yu, J., Lee, R., and Liu, N. (2016). Nanoparticle Stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> Foam: Effect of Different Ions. In *SPE Improved Oil Recovery Conference*.
- Saputra, D. D., Bae, W., Permadi, A. K., Muslim, M., Pham, T. H., Efriza, I., and Gunadi, T. A. (2013). Optimisation of Surfactant Concentration to the Foam Generation and Swelling Ratio of CO<sub>2</sub> Foam Flooding in Light Oil Reservoir. In *SPE Asia Pacific Oil and Gas Conference and Exhibition* (pp. 1–16). Society of Petroleum Engineers. <http://doi.org/10.2118/165877-MS>
- Sarbu, T., Styranec, T., and Beckman, E. J. (2000). Non-Fluorous Polymers with Very High Solubility in Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> Down to Low Pressures. *Nature*, 405(6783), 165–168. <http://doi.org/10.1038/35012040>
- Schramm, L. L., and Eddy Isaacs, E. (2012). Foams in Enhancing Petroleum Recovery. *Foam Engineering: Fundamentals and Applications*, 283–305. <http://doi.org/10.1002/9781119954620.ch13>
- Shedid, S. A. (2009). Influences of Different Modes of Reservoir Heterogeneity on Performance and Oil Recovery of Carbon Dioxide Miscible Flooding. *Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology*, 48(2), 29–36. <http://doi.org/10.2118/09-02-29>
- Simjoo, M., and Zitha, P. L. J. (2013). Effects of Oil on Foam Generation and Propagation in Porous Media. In *SPE Enhanced Oil Recovery Conference*.

- Singh, R., Gupta, A., Mohanty, K. K., Huh, C., and Lee, D. (2015). Fly Ash Nanoparticle-Stabilized CO<sub>2</sub>-in-Water Foams for Gas Mobility. In *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition* (pp. 1–13).
- Singh, R., and Mohanty, K. K. (2014). Synergistic Stabilization of Foams by a Mixture of Nanoparticles and Surfactants. *SPE Improved Oil Recovery Symposium*. <http://doi.org/10.2118/169126-MS>
- Skauge, T., Hetland, S., Spildo, K., Skauge, A., and Cipr, U. (2010). Nano-Sized Particles for EOR. In *SPE Improved Oil Recovery Symposium*.
- Smith, D. H. (1988). Promise and Problems of Miscible-Flood Enhanced Oil Recovery. In *Symposium A Quarterly Journal In Modern Foreign Literatures* (Vol. 373, pp. 2–37). American Chemical Society. <http://doi.org/10.1021/bk-1988-0373.ch001>
- Stalkup, F. I. (1978). Carbon Dioxide Miscible Flooding: Past, Present, and Outlook for The Future. *Journal of Petroleum Technology*, 30(8), 1–102. <http://doi.org/10.2118/7042-pa>
- Stern, D. (1991). Mechanisms of Miscible Oil Recovery: Effects of Pore-Level Fluid Distribution. In *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition* (p. 16). <http://doi.org/10.2118/22652-MS>
- Tadros, T. (2013). Critical Micelle Concentration. In T. Tadros (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Colloid and Interface Science* (pp. 209–210). Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg. [http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-20665-8\\_60](http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-20665-8_60)
- Tian, S., He, S., and Qu, L. (2008). Investigating the Effect of Steam, CO<sub>2</sub>, and Surfactant on the Recovery of Heavy Oil Reservoirs. In *2008 SPE International Thermal Operations and Heavy Oil Symposium* (p. 12).
- Torsater, O., Li, S., and Hendraningrat, L. (2013). A Coreflood Investigation of Nanofluid Enhanced Oil Recovery in Low-Medium Permeability Berea Sandstone. *SPE International Symposium on Oilfield Chemistry*. <http://doi.org/10.2118/164106-MS>
- Wang, X., Dong, M., and Zhou, W. (2013). Polymer/ Gel Enhanced Foam Flood for Improving Post-Waterflood Heavy Oil Recovery. *SPE Heavy Oil Conference-Canada*. <http://doi.org/10.2118/165430-MS>
- Watts, R. J., Komar, C. A., and USDOE. (1989). *Gas Miscible Displacement Enhanced Oil Recovery*. (R. J. WATTS, C. A. KOMAR, and U. S. D. ENERGY, Eds.) *US DOE REP. NO. DOE/METC-88/0261 (DE88001050)*.

- Worthen, A. J., Bryant, S. L., Huh, C., and Johnston, K. P. (2013). Carbon Dioxide-in-Water Foams Stabilized with Nanoparticles and Surfactant Acting in Synergy, *59*(9), 23–25. <http://doi.org/10.1002/aic>
- Xing, D., Wei, B., McLendon, W. J., Enick, R. M., McNulty, S., Trickett, K., ... Soong, Y. (2012). CO<sub>2</sub>-Soluble, Nonionic, Water-Soluble Surfactants That Stabilize CO<sub>2</sub>-in-Brine Foams. *SPE Journal*, *17*(4), 1172–1185. <http://doi.org/10.2118/129907-PA>
- Yin, G., Grigg, R. B., and Svec, Y. (2009). Oil Recovery and Surfactant Adsorption During CO<sub>2</sub>-Foam Flooding. In *2009 Offshore Technology Conference*. <http://doi.org/10.4043/19787-MS>
- Yu, J., An, C., Mo, D., Liu, N., and Lee, R. (2012). Foam Mobility Control for Nanoparticle-Stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> Foam. In *SPE Improved Oil Recovery Symposium* (pp. 1–13).
- Yu, J., Liu, N., Li, L., and Lee, R. (2012). Generation of Nanoparticle-Stabilized Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> Foams. In *Carbon Management Technology Conference*.
- Yu, J., Wang, S., Liu, N., and Lee, R. (2014). Study of Particle Structure and Hydrophobicity Effects on the Flow Behavior of Nanoparticle-Stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> Foam in Porous Media. *SPE Improved Oil Recovery Symposium*. <http://doi.org/10.2118/169047-MS>
- Zanganeh, M. N., Kam, S. I., LaForce, T. C., and Rossen, W. R. (2009). The Method of Characteristics Applied to Oil Displacement by Foam. In *SPE EUROPEC/EAGE Annual Conference and Exhibition*.
- Zhang, T., Espinosa, D. A., Yoon, K. Y., Rahmani, A. R., and Yu, H. (2011). Engineered Nanoparticles as Harsh-Condition Emulsion and Foam Stabilizers and as Novel Sensors. In *Offshore Technology Conference* (pp. 1–15).
- Zhu, T., Strycker, A., Raible, C. J., and Vineyard, K. (1998). Foams for Mobility Control and Improved Sweep Efficiency in Gas Flooding. *Most*, 277–286. <http://doi.org/10.2118/39680-MS>
- Zhu, Y., Hou, Q., Weng, R., Jian, G., Luo, Y., Li, J., and Key, S. (2013). Recent Progress and Effects Analysis of Foam Flooding Field Tests in China. *SPE Enhanced Oil Recovery Conference*, 1–8. <http://doi.org/10.2118/165211-MS>