

SIMULTANEOUS DOUBLE PULSE ENHANCED LASER INDUCED
BREAKDOWN SPECTROSCOPIC DETECTION OF TRACE
HEAVY METALS IN HERBS

SYED ZUHAIB HAIDER RIZVI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Physics)

Faculty of Science
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

APRIL 2015

I dedicate this thesis to my *loving Mother*, who wanted me the most to pursue higher education and supported me in every respect by all means.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praises be to Allah, the All-knowing, who bestowed upon me the intellectual ability and wisdom to comprehend scientific knowledge. I am thankful to Him for providing me with enough understanding and determination to complete this thesis successfully.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Yusof Bin Munajat for being thoroughly supportive in conducting this research. His sincerity and commitment with his duties, has been an inspiration for me. I am thankful to him for providing me an opportunity to work freely in the laboratory. I really value the trust he placed in me. His invaluable suggestions and guidance made me through the issues encountered during the research work. I extend my heartfelt thanks to my co-supervisor Dr. Raja Kamarulzaman Raja Ibrahim, for guiding me through the process of data analysis and thesis writing. His suggestions were very helpful in improving the presentation of this thesis.

I sincerely appreciate all the lab technicians for being cooperative whenever their help was required. I would like to name few of them En. Asmawi, En. Rashid, En. Jaafar, Pn. Anisah, En. Subri, En. Azman, En. Rashdan, Pn. Rosilah and Pn. Azlina. I am thankful for their welcoming behavior and patience when I had to use their labs. Their assistance has made the accomplishment of this thesis possible.

Foremost, my cordial gratitude to my family for their unconditional support. Whatever the situation may be, they were always there for me by all means. Without their constant support and motivation, it might not have been possible for me to pursue higher education abroad. Their love, care and support are invaluable assets for me.

I cannot forget the cooperation of my sincere friends and colleagues through my life, in general, and during this thesis, in particular. I would like to mention a few of them; Dr. Kashif Tufail, Dr. Imran Sindhu, Mr. Sufi Roslan, Mr. Musatafa Arab and Mr. Ahmed Almurshadi. I want extend my special thanks to the families of Dr. Kashif and Sufi for being patient, understanding and supportive while they were busy in helping me through the experimental work. I truly value their support in making things easier for me.

Besides, I acknowledge the financial support provided by Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) Malaysia to carry out this research work as an ERGS project (ERGS 4L069). I am also thankful to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for providing financial assistance in terms of International Doctoral Fellowship (IDF) and research facilities for conducting this project successfully. Lastly, I am really grateful to Higher Education Commission of Pakistan (HEC) for providing me financial assistance for the last year of my PhD under “Partial Support for PhD Studies Abroad” scheme.

ABSTRACT

Laser Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy (LIBS) is a versatile technique. It has enormous potential for in-situ elemental analysis of virtually any kind of material. Undesired fluctuations in analytical conditions seriously influence its quantitative measurements. Double Simultaneous Pulse (DSP) configuration is introduced in this work that can potentially minimize the influence of error factors and improve repeatability as well as detection limit. Its performance is compared with conventional Single Pulse (SP) LIBS configuration in a series of experiments performed under controlled environments of air and argon. Herbal samples *Ficus Deltoidea*, *Phaleria Macrocarpa* and *Strobilanthes Crispus* are utilized as investigative materials. Nd:YAG laser (1064 nm, 6 ns, 544 mJ) and Ocean Optics HR4000 spectrometer are employed for laser induced breakdown spectroscopic studies. Mg, Ca, Pb and Cu are quantified in samples through both configurations of LIBS. Better line profiles, emission intensities, signal-to-noise ratios (SNRs) and signal-to-background ratios (SBRs) are observed with DSP configuration. Electron density and plasma temperatures obtained from DSP configuration are slightly higher than those obtained with SP configuration. Comparatively, DSP configuration performs better in the estimation of heavy metal concentrations. Linear correlations of the calibration plots, limits of detection (LODs), relative standard deviation (RSD) and Errors of Prediction are generally improved. Minimum LODs obtained for Pb, Cu, Mg and Ca are 38.63 $\mu\text{g/g}$, 47.75 $\mu\text{g/g}$, 8.92 $\mu\text{g/g}$ and 8.72 $\mu\text{g/g}$ respectively. Minimum values of root mean square error of prediction (RMSEP) in the measurements, as yielded from SP and DSP configurations, are 83.13 $\mu\text{g/g}$ and 43.13 $\mu\text{g/g}$ respectively. Smaller errors and better repeatability are found as special traits of argon while smaller LOD is that of air environment. In this study DSP outperforms SP configuration at several fronts in both environments. It concludes that DSP can be a better alternative configuration of LIBS for quantification of heavy metals in herbal plants.

ABSTRAK

Spektroskopi pecahan teraruh laser (LIBS) adalah satu teknik yang serba boleh. Ia mempunyai potensi yang cerah untuk menganalisis unsur ditempat asal hampir semua jenis bahan. Perubahan yang tidak dikehendaki semasa menganalisis memberi kesan yang serius dalam pengukuran kuantitatif. Konfigurasi dua denyut serentak (DSP) diperkenalkan dalam kerja-kerja ini yang berpotensi mengurangkan pengaruh faktor kesilapan dan meningkatkan kebolehlungan dan juga had pengesanan. Pencapaiannya dibandingkan dengan konfigurasi konvensional denyut tunggal (SP) LIBS dalam satu siri kajian yang dilaksanakan dalam persekitaran yang terkawal didalam udara dan gas argon. Sampel herba *Ficus Deltoidea*, Mahkota dewa dan *Strobilanthes crispus* digunakan sebagai bahan kajian. Nd: YAG laser (1064 nm, 6 ns, 544 mJ) dan spektrometer 'Ocean Optics HR4000' digunakan untuk kajian spektroskopi pecahan teraruh laser. Mg, Ca, Pb dan Cu dalam sampel dikuantitikan melalui kedua-dua konfigurasi LIBS. Profil garis, keamatan pancaran, nisbah isyarat kepada hingar (SNRs) dan nisbah isyarat kepada latar belakang (SBRs) yang lebih baik dapat diperhatikan dengan konfigurasi DSP. Ketumpatan elektron dan suhu plasma yang diperolehi daripada konfigurasi DSP adalah tinggi sedikit daripada apa yang diperolehi dengan konfigurasi SP. Secara perbandingan, konfigurasi DSP melakukan yang lebih baik dalam anggaran kepekatan logam berat. Korelasi linear plot penentuan, had pengesanan (LODs), sisihan piawai relatif (RSD) dan ralat daripada ramalan umumnya bertambah baik. Had pengesanan minima yang diperolehi bagi Pb, Cu, Mg dan Ca adalah masing-masing 38.63 µg/g, 47.75 µg/g, 8.92 µg/g dan 8.72 µg/g. Nilai minimum ralat punca min kuasa dua daripada ramalan (RMSEP) dalam pengukuran adalah masing-masing 83.13 µg/g dan 43.13 µg/g sebagai dapatan dari konfigurasi SP dan DSP. Ralat yang lebih kecil dan kebolehlungan yang lebih baik diperolehi sebagai ciri-ciri khas argon manakala LOD kecil bagi persekitaran udara. Kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa konfigurasi DSP melebihi prestasi SP di pelbagai bidang dalam kedua-dua persekitaran. Dapat disimpulkan bahawa konfigurasi dua denyut serentak DSP boleh menjadi tetapan alternatif LIBS yang lebih baik untuk kuantifikasi logam berat dalam tumbuhan herba

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER | TITLE | PAGE |
|----------------|---|-------------|
| | DECLARATION | ii |
| | DEDICATION | iii |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | iv |
| | ABSTRACT | vi |
| | ABSTRAK | vii |
| | TABLE OF CONTENTS | viii |
| | LIST OF TABLES | xi |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | xiii |
| | LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xxvii |
| | LIST OF SYMBOLS | xxviii |
| | LIST OF APPENDICES | xxx |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 Background | 1 |
| | 1.2 Problem Statement | 3 |
| | 1.3 Objectives | 4 |
| | 1.4 Scope of Study | 4 |
| | 1.5 Significance of the Study | 5 |
| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 6 |
| | 2.1 Laser Ablation | 6 |
| | 2.1.1 Plasma Ignition | 7 |
| | 2.1.2 Plasma Expansion and Cooling | 8 |
| | 2.1.3 Particle Formation and Condensation | 8 |

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 2.2 | Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy | 8 |
| | 2.2.1 LIBS Plasma | 9 |
| | 2.2.2 Advantages | 10 |
| 2.3 | Essentials of LIBS spectrum | 10 |
| | 2.3.1 Line Intensity | 10 |
| | 2.3.2 Line Broadening Mechanisms | 11 |
| | 2.3.3 Spectral Lines Profiles | 12 |
| 2.4 | Plasma Opacity (Self Absorption) | 13 |
| 2.5 | Line Selection Criterion | 14 |
| 2.6 | Measurement of Plasma Parameters | 14 |
| | 2.6.1 Plasma Temperature | 14 |
| | 2.6.2 Electron Density | 17 |
| 2.7 | Local Thermodynamic Equilibrium (LTE) | 18 |
| 2.8 | LIBS of Herbal Plants | 19 |
| 2.9 | LIBS Development and Issues | 22 |
| 3 | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 25 |
| 3.1 | Samples | 25 |
| | 3.1.1 Sample Preparation for LIBS | 25 |
| | 3.1.2 Sample Preparation for Flame Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy (FAAS) | 28 |
| 3.2 | Experimental Setup | 29 |
| | 3.2.1 Sample Chamber | 30 |
| 3.3 | Salient Features of Double Simultaneous Interactions | 35 |
| | 3.3.1 Larger Amount of Radiations | 35 |
| | 3.3.1 Greater mass ablation | 35 |
| | 3.3.3 Coverage of Larger Area in One Shot | 36 |
| 3.4 | Investigation Parameters | 36 |
| 3.5 | Procedure for Spectrum Analysis | 38 |
| | 3.5.1 Line Selection | 28 |
| | 3.5.2 Optimization | 28 |
| | 3.5.3 Calibration | 39 |
| | 3.5.4 Estimation | 41 |
| 3.6 | Performance analysis | 43 |

| | | |
|----------|--|------------|
| 3.6.1 | Root Mean Square Error of Prediction (RMSEP) | 43 |
| 3.6.2 | Maximum Relative Error (MRE) | 43 |
| 3.6.3 | Relative Standard Deviation (RSD) | 44 |
| 3.6.4 | Limit of Detection (LOD) | 44 |
| 4 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 46 |
| 4.1 | Optimization | 46 |
| 4.1.1 | Alignment of fiber optic cable (FOC) | 46 |
| 4.1.2 | Laser Energy | 47 |
| 4.1.3 | Pressure | 49 |
| 4.2 | Plasma Parameters | 60 |
| 4.2.1 | Plasma Temperature | 60 |
| 4.2.2 | Electron Density | 66 |
| 4.3 | LIBS Spectra of Herbal Samples | 72 |
| 4.4 | Spectroscopic Data of Emission Lines | 79 |
| 4.5 | Calibration | 81 |
| 4.5.1 | Calibration Curves in Air Environment | 84 |
| 4.5.2 | Calibration Curves in Argon Environment | 112 |
| 4.6 | Prediction | 129 |
| 4.6.1 | Lead (Pb) | 130 |
| 4.6.2 | Copper (Cu) | 137 |
| 4.6.3 | Magnesium (Mg) | 144 |
| 4.6.4 | Calcium (Ca) | 151 |
| 4.7 | Summary | 158 |
| 5 | CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK | 160 |
| | REFERENCES | 163 |
| | Appendices A-C | 171-224 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------------|---|-------------|
| 3.1 | Experimental parameters | 37 |
| 4.1 | Plasma temperatures with different laser energies in single and double simultaneous pulse configurations at different Air pressures. | 63 |
| 4.2 | Plasma temperatures with different laser energies in single and double simultaneous pulse configurations at different Argon pressures. | 65 |
| 4.3 | Electron densities with different laser energies in single and double simultaneous pulse configurations at different Air pressures, calculated by stark broadening of Ca I 422.67nm line. | 69 |
| 4.4 | Electron densities with different laser energies in single and double simultaneous pulse configurations at different Argon pressures, calculated by stark broadening of Ca I 422.67nm line. | 71 |
| 4.5 | Spectroscopic data of emission lines (source: NIST ASD) | 79 |
| 4.6 | Elemental concentrations in samples, measured with FAAS | 82 |
| 4.7 | Minimum limits of detection (LODs) of Pb, as obtained with SP and DSP LIBS configurations in Air and Argon Environments | 136 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 4.8 | Minimum limits of detection (LODs) of Cu, as obtained with SP and DSP LIBS configurations in Air and Argon Environments | 143 |
| 4.9 | Minimum limits of detection (LODs) of Mg, as calculated for SP and DSP LIBS configurations in Air and Argon Environments. | 151 |
| 4.10 | Minimum limits of detection (LODs) of Ca, as calculated for SP and DSP LIBS configurations in Air and Argon Environments. | 157 |
| 4.11 | Best prediction results and corresponding experimental parameters | 159 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|------------|---|------|
| 2.1 | Gaussian and Lorentzian profiles of equal half widths, while Voigt profile is the convolution of other two [21] | 13 |
| 2.2 | Modes of conventional double pulse LIBS configurations (a) Orthogonal re-heating and (b) Collinear pre-heating | 24 |
| 3.1 | Sample preparation procedure for LIBS | 27 |
| 3.2 | Photograph of the samples, after laser exposure. (From top to bottom rows: Strobilanthes Crispus, Phaleria Macrocarpa and Ficus Deltoidea. | 30 |
| 3.3 | Optical arrangements for laser beam collimation, splitting and focusing. | 33 |
| 3.4 | Schematic diagram of chamber and description of the ports on the chamber | 32 |
| 3.5 | Schematic diagram of experimental arrangements. | 33 |
| 3.6 | Photographs of the experimental setup in laboratory. CL (collimating lens), EM (energy meter), VG (vacuum gauge), SM (spectrometer), BS (beam | |

| | | |
|-----|---|----|
| | splitter), M (mirror), FL (focusing lens), FOC (fibre optic cable) and S (samples). | 34 |
| 3.7 | Symbolic calibration curves (illustration only, no experimental data is involved) | 41 |
| 3.8 | Estimation of element concentration from a Calibration curve (illustration only, no experimental data is involved). | 42 |
| 4.1 | Intensity variation of Mg II line (279.55 nm) with distance of FOC from the target. | 46 |
| 4.2 | Intensity variation of Mg II (279.55 nm) with orientation angle of FOC with horizontal. | 47 |
| 4.3 | Pulse-to-Pulse laser energy fluctuations | 48 |
| 4.4 | Intensity of Mg II (279.55 nm) at different Air pressures in single and double pulse configurations generated with (a) 100 mJ, (b) 200 mJ and (c) 270 mJ of laser energy | 50 |
| 4.5 | Signal to background ratio of Mg II (279.55 nm) at different Air pressures in single and double pulse configurations generated with (a) 100 mJ, (b) 200 mJ and (c) 270 mJ of laser energy | 51 |
| 4.6 | Signal to noise ratio of Mg II (279.55 nm) at different Air pressures in single and double pulse configurations using (a) 100 mJ, (b) 200 mJ and (c) 270 mJ of laser energy | 53 |
| 4.7 | Intensity of Mg II (279.55 nm) at different Argon pressures in single and double pulse configurations generated with (a) 100 mJ and (b) 200 mJ of laser energy | 54 |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 4.8 | Signal to background ratios in Argon environment with single and double pulse configurations with (a) 100 mJ and (b) 200 mJ of laser energy | 55 |
| 4.9 | Signal to noise ratio of Mg II (279.55 nm) at different Argon pressures in single and double pulse configurations using (a) 100 mJ and (b) 200 mJ laser energy | 56 |
| 4.10 | Continuum emissions at different pressures in (a) Air and (b) Argon environments with 100 mJ of laser energy | 58 |
| 4.11 | Average continuum intensity with 100 mJ and 200 mJ at various pressures of (a) Air and (b) Argon with single and double simultaneous pulses | 59 |
| 4.12 | Plasma temperature plots against Air pressures at different laser energies (a) 100 mJ (b) 200 mJ (c) 270 mJ | 61 |
| 4.13 | Plasma temperature plots against Argon pressures at different laser energies (a) 100 mJ (b) 200 mJ | 64 |
| 4.14 | Electron density plots against Air pressures at different laser energies (a) 100 mJ (b) 200 mJ (c) 270 mJ | 67 |
| 4.15 | Electron density plots against Argon pressures at laser energies (a) 100 mJ (b) 200 mJ | 70 |
| 4.16 | LIBS spectrum of Ficus Deltoidea obtained with 200 mJ laser pulse energy in DSP configuration at 500 mbar of Air pressure. | 73 |

- 4.17 LIBS spectrum of Phaleria Macrocarpa obtained with 200 mJ laser pulse energy in DSP configuration at 500 mbar of Air pressure. 74
- 4.18 LIBS spectrum of Strobilanthes Crispus obtained with 200 mJ laser pulse energy in DSP configuration at 500 mbar of Air pressure. 75
- 4.19 LIBS spectrum of Ficus Deltoidea obtained with 100 mJ laser pulse energy in DSP configuration at 300 mbar of Argon pressure. 76
- 4.20 LIBS spectrum of Phaleria Macrocarpa obtained with 100 mJ laser pulse energy in DSP configuration at 300 mbar of Argon pressure. 77
- 4.21 LIBS spectrum of Strobilanthes Crispus obtained with 100 mJ laser pulse energy in DSP configuration at 300 mbar of Argon pressure. 78
- 4.22 Emission lines Pb I 368.3462nm and Pb I 405.7807nm from calibration samples of Ficus Deltoidea obtained with 200 mJ laser energy in DSP configuration 85
- 4.23 Calibration Curves of Pb with Pb I 368.34nm and Pb I 405.78nm lines obtained with 200 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from Ficus Deltoidea samples Air environment. Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 86
- 4.24 Emission lines Pb I 363.9568nm and Pb I 368.3462nm from calibration samples of Phaleria Macrocarpa obtained with 200 mJ laser energy in DSP configuration. 87

- 4.25 Calibration Curves of Pb with Pb I 363.95nm and Pb I 368.34nm lines obtained with 200 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from Phhaleria Macrocarpa samples in Air environment. Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 88
- 4.26 Emission lines Pb I 368.3462nm and Pb I 405.7807nm in calibration samples of Strobilanthes Crispus obtained with 200 mJ laser energy in DSP configuration 86
- 4.27 Calibration Curves of Pb with Pb I 368.34nm and Pb I 405.78nm lines obtained with 200 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from Strobilanthes Crispus samples in Air environment. Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 90
- 4.28 Emission lines Cu I 324.754 nm and Cu I 327.3957 nm in calibration samples of Ficus Deltoidea obtained with 200 mJ laser energy in DSP configuration 92
- 4.29 Calibration Curves of Cu with Cu I 324.75 nm and Cu I 327.40 nm lines obtained with 200 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from Ficus Deltoidea samples in Air environment. Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 93
- 4.30 Emission lines Cu I 324.75 nm and Cu I 327.40 nm in calibration samples of Phhaleria Macrocarpa

- obtained with 200 mJ laser energy in DSP configuration 94
- 4.31 Calibration Curves of Cu with Cu I 324.75nm and Cu I 327.40nm lines obtained with 200 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from Phaleria Macrocarpa samples in Air environment. Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 95
- 4.32 Emission lines Cu I 324.754nm and Cu I 327.3957nm in calibration samples of Strobilanthes Crispus obtained with 200 mJ laser energy in DSP configuration 96
- 4.33 Calibration Curves of Cu with Cu I 324.75nm and Cu I 327.40nm lines obtained with 200 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from Strobilanthes Crispus samples in Air environment. Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 97
- 4.34 Emission lines Mg II 279.553 nm and Mg I 285.166 nm in calibration samples of Ficus Deltoidea obtained with 200 mJ laser energy in DSP configuration 99
- 4.35 Calibration Curves of Mg with Mg II 279.553 nm and Mg I 285.166 nm lines obtained with 200 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from Ficus Deltoidea samples in Air environment. Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 100

- 4.36 Emission lines Mg I 285.166 nm and Mg I 518.360 nm in calibration samples of Phaleria Macrocarpa obtained with 200 mJ laser energy in DSP configuration 101
- 4.37 Calibration Curves of Mg with Mg I 285.166 nm and Mg I 518.360 nm lines obtained with 200 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from Phaleria Macrocarpa samples in Air environment. Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 102
- 4.38 Emission lines Mg I 285.166 nm and Mg I 518.360 nm in calibration samples of Strobilanthes Crispus obtained with 200 mJ laser energy in DSP configuration 103
- 4.39 Calibration Curves of Mg with Mg I 285.166 nm and Mg I 518.360 nm lines obtained with 100 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from Strobilanthes Crispus samples in Air environment. Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 104
- 4.40 Emission lines Ca II 373.69 nm and Ca I 422.673 nm in calibration samples of Ficus Deltoidea obtained with 200 mJ laser energy in DSP configuration 106
- 4.41 Calibration Curves of Ca with Ca II 373.69 nm and Ca I 422.673 nm lines obtained with 200 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from Ficus Deltoidea samples in Air environment.

- Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 107
- 4.42 Emission lines Ca I 422.673 nm and Ca I 616.217 nm in calibration samples of *Phaleria Macrocarpa* obtained with 200 mJ laser energy in DSP configuration 108
- 4.43 Calibration Curves of Ca with Ca I 422.673 nm and Ca I 616.217 nm lines obtained with 200 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from *Phaleria Macrocarpa* samples in Air environment. Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 109
- 4.44 Emission lines Ca I 422.673 nm and Ca I 445.478 nm in calibration samples of *Strobilanthes Crispus* obtained with 200 mJ laser energy in DSP configuration 110
- 4.45 Calibration Curves of Ca with Ca I 422.673 nm and Ca I 445.478 nm lines obtained with 200 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from *Strobilanthes Crispus* samples in Air environment. Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 111
- 4.46 Calibration Curves of Pb with Pb I 368.34 nm and Pb I 405.78 nm lines obtained with 200 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from *Ficus Deltoidea* samples in Argon environment. Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 113

- 4.47 Calibration Curves of Pb with Pb I 368.34 nm and Pb I 405.78 nm lines obtained with 200 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from *Phaleria Macrocarpa* samples in Argon environment. Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 114
- 4.48 Calibration Curves of Pb with Pb I 368.34 nm and Pb I 405.78 nm lines obtained with 200 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from *Strobilanthes Crispus* samples in Argon environment. Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 116
- 4.49 Calibration Curves of Cu with Cu I 324.75 nm and Cu I 327.40 nm lines obtained with 200 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from *Ficus Deltoidea* samples in Argon environment. Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 117
- 4.50 Calibration Curves of Cu with Cu I 324.75 nm and Cu I 327.40 nm lines obtained with 200 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from *Phaleria Macrocarpa* samples in Argon environment. Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 118
- 4.51 Calibration Curves of Cu with Cu I 324.75 nm and Cu I 327.40 nm lines obtained with 200 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from *Strobilanthes Crispus* samples in Argon

- environment. Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 119
- 4.52 Calibration Curves of Mg with Mg I 285.166 nm and Mg I 517.27 nm lines obtained with 100 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from Ficus Deltoidea samples in Argon environment. Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 121
- 4.53 Calibration Curves of Mg with Mg I 285.166 nm and Mg I 518.36 nm lines obtained with 100 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from Phaleria Macrocarpa samples in Argon environment. Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 122
- 4.54 Calibration Curves of Mg with Mg I 517.27 nm and Mg I 518.36 nm lines obtained with 100 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from Strobilanthes Crispus samples in Argon environment. Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 123
- 4.55 Calibration Curves of Ca with Ca II 370.60 nm and Ca II 373.69 nm lines obtained with 100 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from Ficus Deltoidea samples in Argon environment. Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 125

- 4.56 Calibration Curves of Ca with Ca I 422.67 nm and Ca I 616.21 nm lines obtained with 100 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from *Phaleria Macrocarpa* samples in Argon environment. Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 126
- 4.57 Calibration Curves of Ca with Ca I 445.478 nm and Ca I 610.27 nm lines obtained with 100 mJ of laser energy in (a), (b) SP and (c), (d) DSP configurations from *Strobilanthes Crispus* samples in Argon environment. Black squares represent calibration samples while red squares represent prediction/unknown samples. 127
- 4.58 Error and precision measurements in the prediction of Pb concentrations in *Ficus Deltoidea* samples with SP and DSP configurations in (a-c) Air and (d-f) Argon Environments. 131
- 4.59 Error and precision measurements in the prediction of Pb concentrations in *Phaleria Macrocarpa* samples with SP and DSP configurations in (a-c) Air and (d-f) Argon Environments 133
- 4.60 Error and precision measurements the prediction of Pb concentrations in *Strobilanthes Crispus* samples with SP and DSP configurations in (a-c) Air and (d-f) Argon Environments. 135
- 4.61 Error and precision measurements the prediction of Cu concentrations in *Ficus Deltoidea* samples with SP and DSP configurations in (a-c) Air and (d-f) Argon Environments. 138

- 4.62 Error and precision measurements the prediction of Cu concentrations in Phaleria Macrocarpa samples with SP and DSP configurations in (a-c) Air and (d-f) Argon Environments. 140
- 4.63 Error and precision measurements the prediction of Cu concentrations in Strobilanthes Crispus samples with SP and DSP configurations in (a-c) Air and (d-f) Argon Environments. 142
- 4.64 Error and precision measurements the prediction of Mg concentrations in Ficus Deltoidea samples with SP and DSP configurations in (a-c) Air and (d-f) Argon Environments. 145
- 4.65 Error and precision measurements the prediction of Mg concentrations in Phaleria Macrocarpa samples with SP and DSP configurations in (a-c) Air and (d-f) Argon Environments. 147
- 4.66 Error and precision measurements the prediction of Mg concentrations in Strobilanthes Crispus samples with SP and DSP configurations in (a-c) Air and (d-f) Argon Environments. 149
- 4.67 Error and precision measurements the prediction of Ca concentrations in Ficus Deltoidea samples with SP and DSP configurations in (a-c) Air and (d-f) Argon Environments. 152
- 4.68 Error and precision measurements the prediction of Ca concentrations in Phaleria Macrocarpa samples with SP and DSP configurations in (a-c) Air and (d-f) Argon Environments. 154

- 4.69 Error and precision measurements the prediction of Ca concentrations in *Strobilanthes Crispus* samples with SP and DSP configurations in (a-c) Air and (d-f) Argon Environments

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | | |
|---------|---|--|
| DSP | - | Double simultaneous pulses |
| FAAS | - | Flame atomic absorption spectroscopy |
| FWHM | - | Full width at half maximum |
| IB | - | Inverse bremsstrahlung |
| ICP-MS | - | Inductively coupled plasma-mass spectroscopy |
| ICP-OES | - | Inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectroscopy |
| LOD | - | Limit of detection |
| MRE | - | Maximum relative error |
| PI | - | Photo ionization |
| RMSEP | - | Root mean square error of prediction |
| RSD | - | Relative standard deviation |
| SD | - | Standard deviation |
| SP | - | Single pulse |
| XRF | - | X-Ray fluorescence |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| | | |
|-------------|---|---|
| A_0-A_9 | - | Samples of <i>Strobilanthes Crispus</i> |
| A | - | Einstein 's A coefficient/ Transition probability |
| α | - | Absorptivity |
| B_0-B_9 | - | Samples of <i>Phaleria Macrocarpa</i> |
| C | - | Speed of light |
| C_0-C_8 | - | Samples of <i>Ficus Deltoidea</i> |
| Ca | - | Calcium |
| $C_{nom,s}$ | - | Nominal concentration of prediction sample |
| $C_{pre,s}$ | - | Predicted concentration of sample 's' |
| C_p | - | Specific heat |
| Cu | - | Copper |
| D | - | Thermal diffusivity |
| ϵ | - | Emissivity |
| E | - | Laser energy |
| E_{atom} | - | Upper level energy of atomic transition |
| E_i | - | Energy of the transition level |
| E_{ion} | - | Upper level energy of ionic transition |
| g | - | Statistical weight of an energy level |
| I | - | Spectral line intensity |
| k | - | Boltzmann Constant |
| L_v | - | Latent heat of vaporization |
| m | - | Slope of the plot |
| m_e | - | Mass of electron |
| Mg | - | Magnesium |
| N | - | Number of prediction samples |

| | | |
|-------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| n | - | Population density of an energy level |
| n_e | - | Electron Density |
| Pb | - | Lead |
| R | - | Surface reflectivity |
| R^2 | - | Coefficient of determination |
| Γ | - | Wavenumber |
| σ | - | Standard deviation |
| Δt | - | Pulse duration |
| T_0 | - | Room temperature |
| T_b | - | Boiling point |
| T_e | - | Electron temperature |
| $U(T)$ | - | Partition function |
| V^+ | - | Ionization potential |
| w | - | Electron impact parameter |
| ω | - | FWHM |
| λ | - | Wavelength |
| $\Delta\lambda_D$ | - | Doppler's width |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|----------|---|------|
| A | Stark broadening measurements of Ca I 422 nm line | 171 |
| B | Plasma Temperature Calculations | 206 |
| C | List of Publications | 224 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy has shown great promise in the field of elemental detection. Over last few years intense scientific activity is observed in the study of LIBS [1, 2]. It will not be an exaggeration to call it the most versatile currently available technique for elemental analysis. The reason is its potential advantages of simple experimental setup, very little or no sample preparation, applicability in all environments, multi-elemental detection and low detection limits etc. LIBS is capable of fast multi-elemental analysis, one measurement merely takes fraction of a second. Lateral and depth profiling are further advantages that other techniques lack.

LIBS has capacity of elemental analysis of organic materials besides metals, alloys, explosives etc. [3-6]. Beyond laboratory research, it has also found place in industry for specific applications [7].

Malaysia is rich in tropical herbs and herbal medicines are appreciated by the population. Plants do absorb harmful elements from their polluted surroundings [8, 9] that

may reach up to human toxicity levels [10]. If used in medicine, instead of being beneficial these can be harmful for a consumer if consumed over a long period of time or above safety limits. Therefore, it is of key importance to detect and measure the quantity of heavy metals in herbal plants before their usage. Not only the toxic metals, but the detection of beneficial metals or nutrients is also important. Various techniques like ICP-OES, ICP-MS, AAS and XRF are being employed for such analyses [11-13]. These techniques are rather complicated expansive and time consuming. In addition, acid digestion for sample preparation and chemical wastes are further disadvantages. Not much work is yet reported for monitoring of elemental composition of herbs or herbal medicines through non chemical methods.

LIBS can be an extremely useful analytical tool for this application. For the implementation of LIBS to its full potential for elemental analyses of herbal plants, a few aspects of LIBS are required to be improved. It has got some limitations. These are due to the environments in which the source is often deployed, and it is difficult to control the ablated mass, ambient atmosphere and the laser parameters, which accumulatively affect the emission signal from plasma [14]. It imposes limits on the accuracy and hence efficiency of the LIBS method. It is less likely to have identical conditions for each laser pulse, therefore reproducibility becomes an issue with LIBS in practical cases particularly for organic samples. In addition, matrix of the sample strongly influences LIBS measurements. Both physical and chemical matrix effects are really important to take into account for precise measurements [15].

By resolving these issues, overwhelming potential of LIBS can be brought into applications of rapid elemental analysis. Till date, LIBS as a technique, is improved through double pulse configuration (described in Chapter 3) and the efficiency is being enhanced by data processing techniques such as presented in [16, 17]. It is very sensitive configuration and requires the involvement of two pulsed lasers that makes it a rather delicate and expensive system. Therefore, an alternative method that is economical and efficient in performance would be highly appreciable.

In this work we have explored an economical and simplistic approach for reducing errors and increasing repeatability of the quantitative measurements of heavy

metals in plant samples. By means of splitting one laser beam into two and ablating two sample sites simultaneously the influence of sources of errors can be reduced and precision can be increased by several folds.

1.2 Problem statement

LIBS is a highly potential technique for elemental analysis. It is strong in qualitative analysis. While for quantitative analysis, in non-time gated application, its measurements often suffer from lack of precision. It is highly sensitive to sample parameters and analytical environment. Even subtle variations in sampling conditions can reflect in measurements. Addressing these issues, to mitigate or ideally to eliminate them, will bring new endeavors to LIBS applications. A robust method is required to overcome the influence of interfering factors in LIBS measurements. We propose an alternative configuration i.e., Double Simultaneous Pulse for LIBS. It can potentially reduce undesired interferences in the signal and improve precision of measurements. Additionally, LIBS can be an excellent technique for monitoring the content of nutritious and toxic heavy metals in herbal plants. Its application can replace the tediousness of chemical analysis with convenience of fast measurements without even sample preparation.

1.3 Objectives

The current research embarks on the following objectives

- i. Designing and construction of a laboratory LIBS system modifiable among Single Pulse and Double Simultaneous Pulse configurations.
- ii. Determination of heavy metal concentrations in herbal plants with SP and DSP configurations of LIBS.
- iii. Evaluation of the performance of Single Pulse and Double Simultaneous Pulse configurations for quantitative measurements under various experimental conditions.

1.4 Scope of study

Keeping in view the potential capabilities of LIBS and problems in achieving precision in measurements, the present study has been taken up to design and build a LIBS system in the DSP configuration. This configuration is proposed for enhancing the performance of LIBS. Its performance will be compared with a conventional configuration i.e., SP-LIBS under similar experimental conditions.

Due to the importance of monitoring the heavy metal concentrations in herbal plants, locally available herbs will be utilized in the investigation. Sample preparation procedures will be performed in the laboratory. Exploring the best sampling procedures and parameters from selection of leaves till compaction into the pellet form is included in the scope of this work. Maintaining similar quality of all samples is one of our basic interests.

Experiments with two configurations of LIBS will be carried out. A variety of experimental conditions will be applied to explore and compare the performance of Single Pulse and Double Simultaneous Pulse configurations under similar circumstances. Ambient atmospheric conditions (160-1000 mbar of air and argon) and

laser energies (100 mJ, 200 mJ, and 270 mJ) will be basic exploratory parameters in this study.

Quantitative investigations will embark on two objectives (i) investigations of the differences in plasma parameters obtained with both configurations & (ii) accuracy and precision levels obtained in estimation of heavy metal concentrations in herbal samples through both of the LIBS configurations. The approach of studies is towards exploring the performance of SP- and DSP configurations for accurate and precise estimation of heavy metal concentrations in herbal plants.

1.5 Significance of the study

This study encompasses the intention of socio-economic benefits by application of science for welfare of the people. The current study has been carried out at laboratory level but can be extended to the field with appropriate portable equipment.

Since sample preparation is not an essential procedure and very short analysis time, LIBS can be utilized for in-field monitoring of the chemical composition of herbal plants/medicines in a rapid manner. A number of studies of LIBS on elemental analyses of plant samples are published in recent years [18-20]. However, to the best of our knowledge there is none from Malaysia. Since Malaysia is rich in tropical herbs, the application of this analytical technique would be of great benefit.

As an extension, this study can be applied for a wide range of food applications from raw food to the market products.

REFERENCES

1. Cremers, D. A., and Radziemski, L. J. (2013). *Handbook of Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy* (2nd ed.): Wiley.
2. DÍAz, D., Hahn, D. W., and Molina, A. (2012). Evaluation of Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy (LIBS) as a Measurement Technique for Evaluation of Total Elemental Concentration in Soils. *Applied Spectroscopy*, 66(1), 99-106.
3. Lucena, P., Dona, A., Tobaría, L., and Laserna, J. (2011). New challenges and insights in the detection and spectral identification of organic explosives by laser induced breakdown spectroscopy. *Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy*, 66(1), 12-20.
4. Kaiser, J., Novotný, K., Martin, M. Z., Hrdlička, A., Malina, R., Hartl, M., et al. (2012). Trace elemental analysis by laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy—Biological applications. *Surface Science Reports*, 67(11), 233-243.
5. Gupta, G., Suri, B., Verma, A., Sundararaman, M., Unnikrishnan, V., Alti, K., et al. (2011). Quantitative elemental analysis of nickel alloys using calibration-based laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 509(9), 3740-3745.
6. Legnaioli, S., Lorenzetti, G., Pardini, L., Cavalcanti, G., and Palleschi, V. (2014). Applications of LIBS to the Analysis of Metals. In *Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy* (pp. 169-193): Springer.
7. Sturm, V., Fleige, R. d., de Kanter, M., Leitner, R., Pilz, K., Fischer, D., et al. (2014). Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy for 24/7 automatic liquid slag analysis at a steel works. *Analytical chemistry*.

8. Satpathy, D., Reddy, M. V., and Dhal, S. P. (2014). Risk Assessment of Heavy Metals Contamination in Paddy Soil, Plants, and Grains (*Oryza sativa* L.) at the East Coast of India. *BioMed Research International*, 2014.
9. Mansour, S. A. (2012). Evaluation of Residual Pesticides and Heavy Metals Levels in Conventionally and Organically Farmed Potato Tubers in Egypt. In *Sustainable Potato Production: Global Case Studies* (pp. 493-506): Springer.
10. Abou-Arab, A. A. K., Soliman Kawther, M., El Tantawy, M. E., Badeaa, R. I., and Khayria, N. (1999). Quantity estimation of some contaminants in commonly used medicinal plants in the Egyptian market. *Food Chemistry*, 67(4), 357-363.
11. Chuparina, E. V., and Aisueva, T. S. (2011). Determination of heavy metal levels in medicinal plant *Hemerocallis minor* Miller by X-ray fluorescence spectrometry. *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, 9(1), 19-23.
12. Tokalioğlu, Ş. (2012). Determination of trace elements in commonly consumed medicinal herbs by ICP-MS and multivariate analysis. *Food chemistry*, 134(4), 2504-2508.
13. Yuan, X., Chapman, R. L., and Wu, Z. (2011). Analytical methods for heavy metals in herbal medicines. *Phytochemical Analysis*, 22(3), 189-198.
14. Singh, J. P., and Thakur, S. N. (2007). *Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy*: Elsevier Science.
15. Segnini, A., Xavier, A. A. P., Otaviani-Junior, P. L., Ferreira, E. C., Watanabe, A. M., Sperança, M. A., et al. (2014). Physical and Chemical Matrix Effects in Soil Carbon Quantification Using Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy. *American Journal of Analytical Chemistry*, 2014(15-25).
16. Baudelet, M., and Smith, B. W. (2013). The first years of laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry*, 28(5), 624-629.
17. Barbafieri, M., Pini, R., Ciucci, A., and Tassi, E. (2011). Field assessment of Pb in contaminated soils and in leaf mustard (*Brassica juncea*): the LIBS technique. *Chemistry and Ecology*, 27, 161-169.

18. Krajcarova, L., Novotny, K., Galiova, M., Kaiser, J., Kanicky, V., Adam, V., et al. (2014). Mapping of elements distribution in plant samples using LIBS. *Journal of Biochemical Technology*, 2(5), S112-S113.
19. Shukla, S., Rai, P. K., Chatterji, S., Rai, N. K., Rai, A., and Watal, G. (2012). LIBS based screening of glycemic elements of *Ficus religiosa*. *Food biophysics*, 7(1), 43-49.
20. Senesi, G. S., Dell'Aglio, M., De Giacomo, A., De Pascale, O., Chami, Z. A., Miano, T. M., et al. (2014). Elemental Composition Analysis of Plants and Composts Used for Soil Remediation by Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy. *CLEAN–Soil, Air, Water*.
21. Cremers, D. A., and Radziemski, L. J. (2006). *Handbook of Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy*: Wiley.
22. Miziolek, A. W., Palleschi, V., and Schechter, I. (2006). *Laser Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy*: Cambridge University Press.
23. Miller, J. C., and Richard F. Haglund, J. (1998). *Laser Ablation and Desorption: Experimental Methods in the Physical Sciences* (Vol. 30). Chestnut Hill, USA: Academic Press.
24. Marcos-Martinez, D., Ayala, J., Izquierdo-Hornillos, R., de Villena, F., and Caceres, J. (2011). Identification and discrimination of bacterial strains by laser induced breakdown spectroscopy and neural networks. *Talanta*, 84(3), 730-737.
25. Barnett, C., Bell, C., Vig, K., Akpovo, A., Johnson, L., Pillai, S., et al. (2011). Development of a LIBS assay for the detection of *Salmonella enterica*; serovar Typhimurium from food. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 400(10), 3323-3330.
26. Lopez-Moreno, C., Palanco, S., Javier Laserna, J., DeLucia Jr, F., Miziolek, A. W., Rose, J., et al. (2006). Test of a stand-off laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy sensor for the detection of explosive residues on solid surfaces. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry*, 21(1), 55-60.
27. Noll, R. (2012). *Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy: Fundamentals and Applications*: Springer.

28. Lochte-Holtgreven, W. (1995). *Plasma diagnostics*: AIP Press.
29. Hegazy, H. (2010). Oxygen spectral lines for diagnostics of atmospheric laser-induced plasmas. *Applied Physics B*, 98(2-3), 601-606.
30. Aragón, C., and Aguilera, J. A. (2008). Characterization of laser induced plasmas by optical emission spectroscopy: A review of experiments and methods. *Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy*, 63(9), 893-916.
31. Unnikrishnan, V., Mridul, K., Nayak, R., Alti, K., Kartha, V., Santhosh, C., et al. (2012). Calibration-free laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy for quantitative elemental analysis of materials. *Pramana*, 79(2), 299-310.
32. Farid, N., Harilal, S., Ding, H., and Hassanein, A. (2014). Emission features and expansion dynamics of nanosecond laser ablation plumes at different ambient pressures. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 115(3), 033107.
33. Hegazy, H., Abdel-Rahim, F., and Allam, S. (2012). Evolution of Al plasma generated by Nd-YAG laser radiation at the fundamental wavelength. *Applied Physics B*, 108(3), 665-673.
34. Mendys, A., Kański, M., Farah-Sougueh, A., Pellerin, S., Pokrzywka, B., and Dzierżęga, K. (2014). Investigation of the local thermodynamic equilibrium of laser-induced aluminum plasma by Thomson scattering technique. *Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy*, 96(0), 61-68.
35. Cristoforetti, G., De Giacomo, A., Dell'Aglio, M., Legnaioli, S., Tognoni, E., Palleschi, V., et al. (2010). Local Thermodynamic Equilibrium in Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy: Beyond the McWhirter criterion. *Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy*, 65(1), 86-95.
36. Galiová, M., Kaiser, J., Novotný, K., Samek, O., Reale, L., Malina, R., et al. (2007). Utilization of laser induced breakdown spectroscopy for investigation of the metal accumulation in vegetal tissues. *Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy*, 62(12), 1597-1605.
37. Gupta, S., Pandotra, P., Gupta, A. P., Dhar, J. K., Sharma, G., Ram, G., et al. (2010). Volatile (As and Hg) and non-volatile (Pb and Cd) toxic heavy metals analysis in rhizome of *Zingiber officinale* collected from different locations of

- North Western Himalayas by Atomic Absorption Spectroscopy. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 48(10), 2966-2971.
38. Arpadjan, S., Çelik, G., Taşkesen, S., and Güçer, Ş. (2008). Arsenic, cadmium and lead in medicinal herbs and their fractionation. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 46(8), 2871-2875.
 39. Fornarini, L., Spizzichino, V., Colao, F., Fantoni, R., and Lazic, V. (2006). Influence of laser wavelength on LIBS diagnostics applied to the analysis of ancient bronzes. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry*, 385(2), 272-280.
 40. Krajcarova, L., Novotny, K., Babula, P., Provaznik, I., Kucerova, P., Adam, V., et al. (2013). Copper Transport and Accumulation in Spruce Stems (*Picea abies* (L.) Karsten) Revealed by Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy. *International Journal of Electrochemical Sciences*, 8, 4485-4504.
 41. Yao, M., Huang, L., Zheng, J., Fan, S., and Liu, M. (2013). Assessment of feasibility in determining of Cr in Gannan Navel Orange treated in controlled conditions by laser induced breakdown spectroscopy. *Optics & Laser Technology*, 52(0), 70-74.
 42. Kim, G., Kwak, J., Choi, J., and Park, K. (2012). Detection of nutrient elements and contamination by pesticides in spinach and rice samples using laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS). *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 60(3), 718-724.
 43. Ma, F., and Dong, D. (2014). A Measurement Method on Pesticide Residues of Apple Surface Based on Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy. *Food Analytical Methods*, 1-8.
 44. Garcimuno, M., Díaz Pace, D., and Bertuccelli, G. (2013). Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy for quantitative analysis of copper in algae. *Optics & Laser Technology*, 47, 26-30.
 45. Mingyin, Y., Muhua, L., Jinhui, Z., and Lin, H. (2010, 2-4 April 2010). *Identification of Nutrition Elements in Orange Leaves by Laser Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy*. Paper presented at the Intelligent Information Technology and Security Informatics (IITSI), 2010 Third International Symposium on, 398-401.

46. Dhar, P., Gembitsky, I., Rai, P. K., Rai, N. K., Rai, A., and Watal, G. (2013). A possible connection between antidiabetic & antilipemic properties of *Psoralea corylifolia* seeds and the trace elements present: a LIBS based study. *Food Biophysics*, 8(2), 95-103.
47. Shukla, S., Rai, P., Chatterji, S., Rai, N., Rai, A. K., and Watal, G. (2012). LIBS Based Screening of Glycemic Elements of *Ficus religiosa*. *Food Biophysics*, 7(1), 43-49.
48. Radziemski, L. J. (2002). From LASER to LIBS, the path of technology development. *Spectrochimica Acta Part B*, 57, 1109–1113.
49. Cremers, D. A., and Chinni, R. C. (2009). Laser-Induced Breakdown Spectroscopy—Capabilities and Limitations. *Applied Spectroscopy Reviews*, 44(6), 457-506.
50. Young, M., Hercher, M., and Yu, C.-Y. (1966). Some characteristics of laser-induced air sparks *Journal of Applied Physics* 37, 4938–4940.
51. Scott, R. H., and Strasheim, A. (1970). Laser-induced plasmas for analytical spectroscopy. *Spectrochimica Acta Part B*, 25, 311.
52. Felske, A., Hagenah, W.-D., and Laqua, K. (1972). Über einige Erfahrungen bei der Makrospektroanalyse mit Laserlichtquellen: I. Durchschnittanalyse metallischer Proben,. *Spectrochimica Acta Part B*, 27, 1-10.
53. Cremers, D. A., and Radziemski, L. J. (1983). Detection of chlorine and fluorine in air by laser-induced breakdown spectrometry. *Analytical Chemistry*, 55, 1252–1256.
54. Radziemski, L. J., Cremers, D. A., and Loree, T. R. (1983). Detection of beryllium by laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy. *Spectrochim. Acta Part B*, 38 349–355.
55. Wachter, J. R., and Cremers, D. A. (1987). Determination of uranium in solution using laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy,. *Applied Spectroscopy*, 41, 1042–1048.
56. Cremers, D. A., Archuleta, F. L., and Martinez, R. J. (1985). Evaluation of the continuous optical discharge for spectrochemical analysis. *Spectrochimica Acta Part B*, 40, 665–679.

57. Poulain, D. E., and Alexander, D. R. (1995). Influences on concentration measurements of liquid aerosols by laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy. *Applied Spectroscopy*, 49, 569–579.
58. Sabsabi, M., and Cielo, P. (1995). Quantitative analysis of aluminum alloys by laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy and plasma characterization. *Applied Spectroscopy*, 49, 499–507.
59. Aragon, C., Aguilera, J. A., and Campos, J. (1993). Determination of carbon content in molten steel using laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy. *Applied Spectroscopy*, 47, 606–608.
60. Yamamoto, K. Y., Cremers, D. A., Ferris, M. J., and Foster, L. E. (1996). Detection of metals in the environment using a portable laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy instrument. *Applied Spectroscopy*, 50, 222-233.
61. Aldstadt, J. H., and Martin, A. F. (1997). Analytical chemistry and the cone penetrometer. In situ chemical characterization of the subsurface. *Microchimica Acta* 127, 1-10.
62. Corsi, M., Palleschi, V., and Tognoni, E. (2001). *Spectrochimica Acta Part B*, 56 565.
63. Cristoforetti, G. (2009). Orthogonal Double-pulse versus Single-pulse laser ablation at different air pressures: A comparison of the mass removal mechanisms. *Spectrochimica Acta Part B* 64, 26-34.
64. Hou, Z., Zhe Wang, Lui, S.-l., Yuan, T., Li, L., Li, Z., et al. (2013). Improving data stability and prediction accuracy in laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy by utilizing a combined atomic and ionic line algorithm. *Journal of Analytical and Atomic Spectrometry*, 28, 107-113.
65. Cristoforetti, G., Legnaioli, S., Palleschi, V., Salvetti, A., and Tognoni, E. (2004). Influence of ambient gas pressure on laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy technique in the parallel double-pulse configuration. *Spectrochimica Acta Part B*, 59, 1907- 1917.
66. Glaus, R., and Hahn, D. W. (2014). Double-pulse laser ablation coupled to laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy. *Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy*, 98(0), 48-53.

67. Bridgwater, J. (1976). Fundamental powder mixing mechanisms. *Powder Technology*, 15(2), 215-236.
68. Li, X., Wang, Z., Lui, S.-L., Fu, Y., Li, Z., Liu, J., et al. (2013). A partial least squares based spectrum normalization method for uncertainty reduction for laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy measurements. *Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy*, 88, 180-185.
69. Hou, Z., Wang, Z., Lui, S.-l., Yuan, T., Li, L., Li, Z., et al. (2013). Improving data stability and prediction accuracy in laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy by utilizing a combined atomic and ionic line algorithm. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry*, 28(1), 107-113.
70. Effenberger, A. J., Jr., and Scott, J. R. (2010). Effect of atmospheric conditions on LIBS spectra. *Sensors (Basel)*, 10(5), 4907-4925.
71. De Giacomo, A., Dell'Aglio, M., Gaudiuso, R., Amoroso, S., and De Pascale, O. (2012). Effects of the background environment on formation, evolution and emission spectra of laser-induced plasmas. *Spectrochimica Acta Part B: Atomic Spectroscopy*, 78(0), 1-19.
72. Farid, N., Wang, H., Li, C., Wu, X., Oderji, H. Y., Ding, H., et al. (2013). Effect of background gases at reduced pressures on the laser treated surface morphology, spectral emission and characteristics parameters of laser produced Mo plasmas. *Journal of Nuclear Materials*, 438, 183-189.
73. Hoffman, J., Moscicki, T., and Szymanski, Z. (2011). The effect of laser wavelength on heating of ablated carbon plume. *Applied Physics A*, 104(3), 815-819.
74. Farid, N., Li, C., Wang, H., and Ding, H. (2013). Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopic characterization of tungsten plasma using the first, second, and third harmonics of an Nd: YAG laser. *Journal of Nuclear Materials*, 433(1), 80-85.
75. A.Kramida, Ralchenko, Y., Reader, J., and Team, N. A. Retrieved 2014, December 28, 2014, from <http://physics.nist.gov/asd>
76. Flora, G., Gupta, D., and Tiwari, A. (2012). Toxicity of lead: A review with recent updates. *Interdisciplinary toxicology*, 5(2), 47-58.

77. de Romaña, D. L., Olivares, M., Uauy, R., and Araya, M. (2011). Risks and benefits of copper in light of new insights of copper homeostasis. *Journal of Trace Elements in Medicine and Biology*, 25(1), 3-13.
78. Song, Y., and Liu, S. (2012). Magnesium for cardiovascular health: time for intervention. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*, 95(2), 269-270.
79. Song, Y., Dai, Q., and He, K. (2013). Magnesium intake, insulin resistance, and type 2 diabetes. *North American Journal of Medical Sciences*, 6, 9-15.
80. Peacock, M. (2010). Calcium metabolism in health and disease. *Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*, 5(Supplement 1), S23-S30.
81. Lu, C., Wang, L., Hu, H., Zhuang, Z., Wang, Y., Wang, R., et al. (2013). Analysis of total nitrogen and total phosphorus in soil using laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy. *Chinese Optics Letters*, 11(5), 053004-053012.
82. Zhang, T., Wu, S., Dong, J., Wei, J., Wang, K., Tang, H., et al. (2014). Quantitative and classification analysis of slag samples by Laser-induced breakdown spectroscopy (LIBS) coupled with support vector machine (SVM) and partial least square (PLS) methods. *Journal of Analytical Atomic Spectrometry*, 20, 56-70.