

**THE BEHAVIOUR OF BUCKLING RESTRAINED BRACE (BRB) SYSTEM
AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURE**

ELNAZ TALEBI

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

THE BEHAVIOUR OF BUCKLING RESTRAINED BRACE (BRB) SYSTEM AT
ELEVATED TEMPERATURE

ELNAZ TALEBI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Civil Engineering)

Faculty of Civil Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

OCTOBER 2014

*I dedicate with love and gratitude
to my mother, father, husband and mother in-law
for being with me till the very end of my thesis completion.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful. I am praised to Allah, Lord of the universe for making me able to undertake this research work.

I would like to express my supreme thanks and sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Mahmood Md. Tahir for his supervision, support, assistance and friendship throughout the research. Without his continued support and interest, this thesis would not have been the same as presented here.

I am also grateful to Dr. Ahmad B.H. Kueh for several precious discussions on the technical aspects of this research work. I also wish to express my appreciation for the guidance I received from Dr. Airil Yasreen in the primary years of my Ph.D. work.

Last but not least, deepest appreciation to author's husband and parents for their continuous supports and encouragements throughout the progress of this research.

ABSTRACT

Buckling Restrained Braces (BRB) have been widely used in the construction industry as they utilize the most desirable properties of both constituent materials, i.e., steel and concrete. They present excellent structural behaviours such as high load bearing capacity, ductility, energy-absorption capability and good structural fire behaviour. In this study, the use of BRB systems on enhancing the fire resistance of whole building in terms of preventing the progressive collapse of the structural frame against fire was investigated. The effect of size and type of filler material of existed gap at the steel core-concrete interface as well as the element's cross sectional shape on the fire resistance of BRB isolated member was explored. The accuracy of numerical solution was certified by comparing the FE results with those of analytical formulations and experimental predictions. The study in this thesis shows that the superior fire performance of BRB can be obtained by altering the filler material of the gap from metal to concrete as well as by increasing the size of the gap. Also, cylindrical cross-section BRB perform better under fire conditions compared to that of rectangular cross section. In terms of verifying the efficiency of BRBs in preventing the progressive collapse of the structural frame under fire, a new framework called "stiffness reduction" technique was proposed and the response of BRBs was compared with that of Ordinary Concentrically Brace systems (OCBs). The results indicate that BRBs provide higher global collapse temperature of the frame, owing to the greater stiffness they append to the structural frame as compared to OCBs. Moreover, BRBs are strength enough to distribute the sustained load by heated columns to the adjacent members without any buckling occurrence in the bracing member, maintaining the stability of whole frame for a longer period of heating time through both heating and cooling phases of fire.

ABSTRAK

Lengkokan dihalang Penyokong (BRB) telah digunakan secara meluas dalam industri pembinaan kerana mereka menggunakan ciri-ciri yang paling diinginkan dari kedua-dua bahan konstituen, iaitu keluli dan konkrit. Mereka membentangkan tingkah laku struktur yang sangat baik seperti keupayaan galas beban yang tinggi, kemuluran, keupayaan tenaga penyerapan dan tingkah laku kebakaran struktur yang baik. Dalam kajian ini, penggunaan sistem BRB bagi meningkatkan ketahanan api bangunan keseluruhan dari segi mencegah keruntuhan progresif bingkai struktur terhadap kebakaran telah dikaji. Kesan saiz dan jenis bahan pengisi bagi jurang wujud yang pada muka teras konkrit keluli serta bentuk keratan rentas elemen pada ketahanan api daripada BRB ahli terencil telah diterokai. Ketepatan penyelesaian berangka telah disahkan dengan membandingkan hasil FE dengan rumusan analisis dan ramalan eksperimen. Kajian dalam tesis ini menunjukkan bahawa prestasi api ke atas BRB boleh diperolehi dengan mengubah bahan pengisi jurang dari logam untuk konkrit dan juga dengan meningkatkan saiz jurang. Juga, keratan silinder BRB berprestasi lebih baik di bawah keadaan kebakaran berbanding dengan keratan rentas segi empat tepat. Dari segi mengesahkan kecukupan BRBs dalam mencegah keruntuhan progresif bingkai struktur di bawah api, satu rangka kerja baru yang dikenali sebagai teknik "pengurangan kekukuhan" adalah dicadangkan dan tindakbalas BRBs telah dibandingkan dengan sistem sepusat kekangan biasa (OCBs). Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa BRBs menyediakan suhu keruntuhan global yang lebih tinggi daripada bingkai biasa, kerana kekukuhan yang lebih besar yang diperolehi mereka kepada bingkai struktur berbanding OCBs. Selain itu, BRBs mempunyai kekuatan yang mencukupi untuk mengagihkan beban yang berterusan pada tiang-tiang yang dipanaskan kepada ahli-ahli bersebelahan tanpa apa-apa kejadian lengkokan dalam anggota perembatan dan mengekalkan kestabilan keseluruhan rangka untuk tempoh yang lebih lama melalui kedua-dua fasa pemanasan dan penyejukan api.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xv
	LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxv
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxvii
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 General	1
	1.1.1 Overview of Fire Hazard	2
	1.1.2 Overview of Ordinary Brace systems	2
	1.1.3 Overview of Buckling Restrained Brace systems (BRBs)	3

1.2	Background of the Problem	6
1.3	Statement of the Problem	8
1.4	Objectives of the Study	9
1.5	Significant of the Study	10
1.6	Research Findings/Expected Outcomes	11
1.7	Scope of the Study	12
1.8	Research Methodology	12
1.9	Thesis Organization	15
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	17
2.1	Overview	17
2.2	Buckling Restrained Brace systems (BRBs) Behaviour	17
2.2.1	Experimental Studies on the Behaviour of BRBs	18
2.2.2	Numerical Studies on BRB systems	23
2.2.3	Analytical Studies on BRB systems	28
2.3	Concrete Filled Tube (CFT) Compressive Elements Fire Performance	30
2.3.1	Experimental Studies on the Response of CFT Compressive Elements at Fire	31
2.3.2	Numerical Studies on the Response of CFT Compressive Elements at Fire	35
2.3.3	Analytical Studies on the Fire Resistance of CFT Compressive Elements	39
2.4	The Response of Building/Frame at Fire	44
2.4.1	Experimental Studies on the Behaviour of Building/Frame at Fire	45
2.4.1.1	Cardington Fire Test	45

2.4.1.2	Recent Fire Test Conducted on Steel Structures During 2006 to 2013	49
2.4.2	Numerical Studies on the Behaviour of Building/Frame at Fire	53
2.4.3	Analytical Studies on the Behaviour of Building/Frame at Fire	56
2.5	Summary of the Chapter	59
2.6	Overall Literature Study	60
2.7	Concluding Remarks	61
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	63
3.1	Introduction	63
3.2	Study Perspective	64
3.3	Study Outline	65
3.4	Fundamental Principles of Elemental Fire Response	66
3.4.1	Thermal Expansion	68
3.4.1.1	Thermal Expansion for the Axially Restraint Condition	69
3.4.1.2	Thermal Expansion for the Finite Axially Restraint State	71
3.5	Basic Theory on the Structural Response of Buckling Restrained Brace (BRB) Element at High Temperatures (Analytical Structural Model)	73
3.5.1	Cylindrical BRB Equilibrium Condition	75
3.5.2	Constitutive Model for the Steel Tube Casing in BRBs	76
3.5.3	Constitutive Model for the in-Filled Concrete in BRBs	77

3.5.4	Constitutive Model for the Steel Core in BRBs	81
3.5.5	Analysis Procedure	82
3.5.6	Application of Analytical (Structural) Approach on BRBs Exposed to Fire	85
3.6	Principals of Thermal Response of Buckling Restrained Brace (BRB) at Fire (Analytical Thermal Model)	93
3.6.1	Description of Heat Transfer Model	95
3.6.2	The Impulse Green's Function	98
3.6.3	The Step Green's Function	99
3.6.4	Insertion of Fire Environment (Duhamel's Principle)	100
3.7	Model on Isolated BRB	104
3.7.1	Summary of the Existing Fire Tests on BRBs	104
3.7.2	Experiment Methodology	106
3.7.3	Method of Measurements	107
3.8	Model of the Multi-Storey Building	107
3.8.1	The Large-Scaled Fire Test on a Steel Structure at Cardington	108
3.8.2	The Structural Integrity Cardington Fire Test	108
3.9	Data Analysis	110
3.10	Description on the VULCAN Program	111
3.11	Overview of the ABAQUS Program	112
3.12	Mechanical Properties of Steel Material at Elevated Temperatures	114
3.12.1	Steel Stress-Strain Relationships	115
3.12.2	Steel Thermal Expansion	116
3.13	Elevated Temperature Properties of Concrete Material	117
3.13.1	Concrete Stress-Strain Curve	118

3.13.2	Concrete Thermal Expansion	120
3.14	Fire Concept	122
3.15	Assumptions and Limitations	124
3.16	Concluding Remarks	126
4	THE BEHAVIOUR OF ISOLATED BRB AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURE	127
4.1	Introduction	127
4.2	Problem Description	130
4.3	Procedure of Analysis	132
4.3.1	Thermal Analysis	134
4.3.1.1	Modelling Considerations	135
4.3.1.2	Thermal Contact at Steel Core-Concrete and Concrete-Steel Tube Casing Interfaces	136
4.3.2	Structural Analysis	136
4.3.2.1	Mechanical Contact at Concrete-Steel Tube Casing Interface	137
4.3.2.2	Mechanical Contact between Concrete and Steel Core Surfaces	138
4.4	Material Properties	138
4.5	Results and Discussion	141
4.5.1	Verification of Numerical Heat Transfer Model with Analytical Thermal Model	143
4.5.2	Verification of Numerical Structural Model with the Analytical Solution	146
4.5.2.1	The Structural Response of Steel Core under Fire Condition	147
4.5.2.2	The Structural Response of in-Filled Concrete	

	at Fire	150
	4.5.2.3 The structural Response of Steel Tube Casing at Fire	152
4.6	Gap Filler and Cross-sectional Shape Effect on the Fire Resistance of BRB	155
	4.6.1 Problem Description	156
	4.6.2 Thermal (Heat Transfer) Analysis	160
	4.6.3 Structural Analysis	161
	4.6.4 Results and Discussion	162
	4.6.4.1 Effect of the Gap Filler Material at Steel Core-Restrainer Interface	167
	4.6.4.2 Effect of the Size of the Gap at the Steel Core-Restrainer Interface	169
	4.6.4.3 Effect of the Size and Type of Gap-filler Material on Axial Contraction of BRB Element	172
	4.6.4.4 Cross-sectional Shape Effect on Fire Resistance of BRBs	173
4.7	Concluding Remarks	176
5	BEHAVIOUR OF BRB SYSTEM at ELEVATED TEMPERATURE	180
	5.1 Introduction	180
	5.2 Problem Description	183
	5.2.1 Fire scenarios and details of frames	183
	5.3 Material Properties	188
	5.4 Elemental Description	188

5.5	Stiffness Reduction Procedure	189
5.6	Validation of the Proposed Technique (Stiffness Reduction Approach)	190
5.7	Results and Discussion	192
5.7.1	Progressive collapse resistance provided by BRBs hat trusses	192
5.7.1.1	Edge bay fire (Fire 1)	193
5.7.1.2	Central bay fire (Fire 2)	198
5.7.1.3	Efficiency of hat truss bracing member's stiffness against fire	201
5.7.2	Progressive collapse resistance provided by vertical BRB system	204
5.7.2.1	Edge bay fire (Fire 1)	204
5.7.2.2	Central bay fire (Fire 2)	209
5.7.2.3	Efficacy of vertical bracing member's stiffness against fire	212
5.8	Concluding Remarks	213
6	MULTI-STOREY STRUCTURES WITH BRB SYSTEMS AT ELEVATED TEMPERATURE	216
6.1	Introduction	216
6.2	Problem Description	220
6.2.1	Fire scenarios and details of frames studied	220
6.2.2	Material properties	223
6.3	Process of the Analysis	224
6.3.1	Thermal Analysis	225
6.3.2	Structural Analysis	226
6.4	Definition of material Properties	227

6.5	Verification of Proposed FE Model	228
6.6	Results and Discussions	230
6.6.1	Validation of the Numerical Results with the Cardington Seventh Test Predictions	230
6.6.2	Fire Resistance Provided by BRBs on a Structural Steel Frame	235
6.6.2.1	Un-braced Span Fire (Fire 1)	235
6.6.2.2	Braced Span Fire (Fire 2)	244
6.7	Concluding Remarks	252
7	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS	254
7.1	Summary	254
7.2	Conclusions	255
7.2.1	BRB Isolated Member	256
7.2.2	Influence of BRB system on Fire Resistance of Structural Plan Frame	257
7.2.3	Fire Resistance Provided onto the Whole Structure by BRB system	258
7.3	Future Work	259
	REFERENCES	261
	Appendix A	276-279

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Description of fire tests carried out at Cardington (Bailey et al., 1999)	46
2.2	The main literatures of each topic used for the study	60
3.1	Principal values of concrete stress-strain curve per EC4, 2005	119
4.1	Material parameters of CDP model for concrete (Jankowiak, 2005)	140
4.2	Thermal properties of steel and concrete at elevated temperature	141
4.3	Description of the samples	158
4.4	Temperature of the core and elapsed time at the specified points of each sample	166
5.1	Cross sections of bracing elements used for both bracing systems	185
5.2	Cross sections of beams and columns used	186
5.3	Cross-sections of hat truss bracing elements for various cases	202
6.1	Cross sections of beams and columns used	222
6.2	Cross sections of bracing elements used for both bracing systems	223
6.3	Specification of the selected elements used for validation of the numerical results	229

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	(a) The behaviour of ordinary bracing element against axial loading, and (b) the hysteretic response of conventional bracing systems (Xie, 2004)	2
1.2	(a) The behaviour of BRB element under axial loading, and (b) the hysteretic response of BRB system (Xie, 2004)	3
1.3	Comparison of storey drift demand for the structural frame (a) without braced resisting system, (b) with ordinary bracing system, and (c) with BRB system (Mack and Chenault, 2007)	4
1.4	Detail of BRB (a) general structure (Clark et al., 1999), (b) steel core constituent segments and (c) separation gap at steel core-restrainer interface (Korzekwa and Tremblay, 2009)	5
1.5	Research methodology	14
2.1	(a) The scheme of doubled-core, doubled-tube BRB element and (b) the detail of bracing element end connection to the gusset plate (Chyuan et al., 2004)	19
2.2	(a) The scheme of selected BRB specimens, and (b) Comparative cumulative hysteretic energy dissipation of the samples (Mirtaheeri et al., 2011)	22
2.3	The buckling mode of the steel core at pick compression displacement in the last cycle (Korzekwa and Tremblay, 2009)	25

2.4	(a) cross-section of the proposed BRB element, and (b) the meshed model of specified BRB element, using ABAQUS package (Hoveidae and Rafezy, 2012)	27
2.5	Energy-work balance concept (Sahoo and Chao, 2010)	29
2.6	Comparisons between fire resistance of CFT column with various in-filled concrete (Kodur, 1998)	32
2.7	(a) Cross-section of the proposed BRB element, and (b) overall view of the sample (Wang et al., 2004)	33
2.8	The overall view of failure modes for the entire selected CFT columns after the fire test (Lu et al., 2009)	34
2.9	The fire resistance predicted for the chosen CFT columns after the fire test (Huang et al., 2009)	36
2.10	The effect of concrete reinforcement on the fire resistance of selected CFT columns (Lu et al., 2011)	38
2.11	The overall shapes of selected CFT columns (Dai and Lam, 2012)	39
2.12	Comparison of temperature responses derived from Green's function solution with those of (a) experimental tests, and (b) finite element analysis (Wang and Tan, 2006)	40
2.13	Comparison of fire responses for elliptical and circular CFT columns with the dimension of (a) 150 mm × 75 mm × 5 mm, and (b) 300 mm × 150 mm × 10 mm (Espinosa et al., 2011)	43
2.14	Plan view and fire position of various tests conducted at Cardington laboratory	46
2.15	(a) specified building for Ostrava fire test before demolition (b) fire loading simulation for the compartment fire test (Kallerová and Wald, 2006)	50
2.16	Conditions of heated beams after Ostrava fire test (Kallerová and Wald, 2006)	50

2.17	(a) three storey structure view chosen for full scale fire test (b) the scheme of crack propagation in floor slabs after exposed to fire (Wang et al., 2013)	52
2.18	The scheme of load redistribution from the buckled column to the surrounding structural elements (a) without, and (b) with additional slab reinforcement (Agarwal and Varma, 2014)	56
2.19	The schematic of columns buckling length for an un-braced span (Couto et al., 2013)	59
3.1	Simply supported beam subjected to temperature rise	68
3.2	Simply supported beam with lateral restraint condition, subjected to temperature rise	69
3.3	Buckling of simply supported beam with lateral restraint due to temperature increase	71
3.4	Simulation of heated beam with finite lateral restraint for (a) pre-buckling and (b) post-buckling phenomenon (Usmani et al, 2001)	72
3.5	Equilibrium state among circular BRB constituent components	75
3.6	The concept of in-filled concrete constitutive model (Choi and Xiao, 2010)	78
3.7	The cross-section of circular BRB element subjected to fire	95
3.8	BRB element used by Saitoh et al, 2005 for fire resistant test	105
3.9	Plan view and fire position of (a) entire tests and (b) seventh test, at Cardington laboratory	108
3.10	(a) compartment external view, (b) fire protection of joints, (c) permanent action simulation on the 4th floor, (d) fire loading model on the 3rd floor, in Cardington seventh test (Wald et al., 2006)	109
3.11	Reduction factors for the structural steel mechanical properties according to EC3, 2005	115
3.12	The elevated temperature stress-strain relationship of carbon steel material used	116

3.13	Thermal expansion of carbon steel material at elevated temperature according to EC3, 2005	117
3.14	Mathematical scheme of concrete stress-strain relation at high temperatures (EC4, 2005)	119
3.15	Stress-strain relationship of concrete at elevated temperature according to EC4, 2005	120
3.16	Normal weight concrete (NC) and light weight concrete (LC) relative thermal elongation due to temperature rise, according to EC4, 2005	121
3.17	Development of uncontrolled fire (natural fire)	123
4.1	(a) circular BRB element and (b) a-a cross section of the sample	131
4.2	(a) Temperature distribution for the hottest point of BRB constituent components, and (b) the axial displacement resulted from the thermal loading of the corresponding points	133
4.3	(a) Temperature at outer surfaces of the restrainer exposed to fire by convection and radiation, and (b) the corresponding longitudinal section heat distribution from the heated surfaces of BRB to the inner surfaces by conduction	135
4.4	3D finite element structural meshed model for BRB element	137
4.5	Deformed shape and corresponding Von Mises stress (kg/m^2) of one half section of BRB after exposed to fire	142
4.6	Different locations of chosen nodes within the BRB element	144
4.7	Comparison of T-t Curves at point 1	145
4.8	Comparison of T-t Curves at point 2	145
4.9	Comparison of T-t Curves at point 3	145
4.10	Comparison of T-t Curves at point 4	146
4.11	Comparison of the core axial stress	148
4.12	Comparison of the core axial strain	149
4.13	Comparison of the core radial strain	149

4.14	Comparison of the core tangential strain	149
4.15	Comparison of the concrete axial stress	151
4.16	Comparison of the concrete axial strain	151
4.17	Comparison of the concrete radial strain	151
4.18	Comparison of the concrete tangential strain	152
4.19	Comparison of the steel tube axial stress	153
4.20	Comparison of the steel tube axial strain	153
4.21	Comparison of the steel tube radial strain	153
4.22	Comparison of the steel tube tangential strain	154
4.23	Comparisons of elevated temperature behaviour of BRB constituent components	155
4.24	(a) BRB element and (b) a-a cross section of the samples	157
4.25	Positions of the specified points for determining the temperatures in various locations of the steel core at fire	159
4.26	(a) Heat transfer from the fire to the exposed surface of BRB by convection and radiation, (b) heat distribution from the exterior surface of BRB to the inner surfaces by conduction	161
4.27	3D finite element structural meshed model for BRB isolated element	162
4.28	Deformed shape and corresponding Von Mises stress values (kg/m^2) of one half section of BRB after exposed to fire	164
4.29	Comparisons of T-t curves of BRB core section at (a) points 2 and 4 and (b) points 1, 3 and 5 of sample T1	165
4.30	Comparisons of T-t curves of BRB core section at (a) points 2 and 4 and (b) points 1, 3 and 5 of sample T2	167
4.31	Temperature distribution across the cross section and along the length of BRB element for (a) sample T1 and (b) sample T2	168

4.32	Comparisons of T-t curves of BRB core section at (a) points 2 and 4 and (b) points 1, 3 and 5 of sample T3	170
4.33	Buckled shape of the specimen after the heating of sample T2 (as an example) from (a) the experimental test (Saitoh et al., 2005) and (b) the numerical model	171
4.34	Comparisons of axial contraction diagrams for all samples	173
4.35	Comparisons of axial contraction diagrams for all samples	174
4.36	Deformed shape and corresponding Von Mises stress values (kg/m^2) of one half section of (a) quadratic and (b) circular cross sectional-shape of BRB after exposed to fire	175
5.1	Moment-Resisting Frame (MRF) with BRB in (a) hat truss and (b) vertical configurations under different fire scenarios	184
5.2	Overall scheme and cross-section of braces for (a) OCB and (b) BRB system	186
5.3	Symmetrical boundary conditions (Sun et al., 2012)	187
5.4	Parametric fire curve used in this study (Sun et al., 2012)	187
5.5	Comparison of columns axial forces in MRF under Fire 1, between stiffness reduction and static-dynamic procedures	190
5.6	Comparison of columns axial forces in OCBs under Fire 1 for hat truss system, between stiffness reduction and static-dynamic procedures	191
5.7	Comparison of columns axial forces in OCBs under Fire 1 for vertical system, between stiffness reduction and static-dynamic procedures	191
5.8	Comparison of bracing elements axial forces in OCBs under Fire 1 for hat truss system, between stiffness reduction and static-dynamic procedures	192
5.9	Comparison of axial forces in columns of BRBs and OCBs under Fire 1, for the hat truss system	194

5.10	Comparison of axial forces in the braces of BRBs and OCBs via elapsed time under Fire 1, for the hat truss system	194
5.11	Comparison of axial forces in the braces of BRBs and OCBs under Fire 1, for the hat truss system	195
5.12	Failure process of hat truss bracing system under Fire 1, for (a) OCBs and (b) BRBs	197
5.13	Comparison of axial forces in columns of BRBs and OCBs under Fire 2 for the hat truss system	198
5.14	Comparison of axial forces in braces of BRBs and OCBs under Fire 2 for the hat truss system	199
5.15	Failure process of hat truss bracing system under Fire 2, for (a) OCBs and (b) BRBs	201
5.16	Comparison of axial force distributions in column C2 against Fire 1 for hat truss bracing configuration in BRBs and OCBs, with various bracing cross sections	203
5.17	Comparisons of top point deflection of column C2 against Fire 1 for both hat truss bracing systems with various bracing cross sections	203
5.18	Comparison of axial forces in the columns of BRBF and OCBs under Fire 1 for vertical system	206
5.19	Comparison of bracing components axial forces in BRBF and OCBs under Fire 1 for vertical system	207
5.20	Collapse process of (a) BRBs and (b) OCBs (Sun et al., 2012), for vertical bracing arrangement under Fire 1	208
5.21	Comparison of axial forces in columns of BRBs and OCBs under Fire 2 for vertical bracing system	209
5.22	Comparison of bracing components axial forces in BRBs and OCBs under Fire 2	210
5.23	Failure scheme of the structural frame with vertical (a) BRB and (b) OCB (Sun et al., 2012), system against Fire 2	211

5.24	Comparison of top point deflections of C2 in BRBs and OCBs under Fire 1, for vertical bracing system	212
5.25	Comparison of top point deflections of C3 in BRBs and OCBs under Fire 2, for vertical bracing system	212
6.1	Dimensions of structural frame used in this study in (a) plan, (b) x,y elevation	221
6.2	Overall scheme and cross-section of braces for (a) OCB and (b) BRB system	222
6.3	Heat transfer analyses of the selected steel structure with BRBs, for (a) Fire 1 and (b) Fire 2 scenarios	225
6.4	(a) 3D structural model of the building with BRBs, and (b) typical meshed model for BRB system against Fire 1 scenario	227
6.5	Cardington seventh test (a) position, and (b) plan detail	230
6.6	Comparison of computed T-t curves for external (D1) and internal (D2) columns with test predictions	231
6.7	Comparison of T-t curves computed within the cross section of beam D1-D2 with test predictions	231
6.8	Comparison of T-t curves computed within the cross section of beam E1-E2 with test predictions	232
6.9	Comparison of computed FE values for the axial stress developed in column D1, with test predictions	232
6.10	Comparison of computed FE values for the axial stress developed in column E1, with test predictions	233
6.11	Comparison of bending moment in column D1 in third and fourth storey, at Cardington seventh test	234
6.12	Comparison of bending moment in external column, E1, in fourth storey, at Cardington seventh test	234
6.13	Comparison of axial force developed in the columns of 1-axis , for both bracing systems under Fire 1	236

6.14	Comparison of axial force developed in the columns of 2-axis , for both bracing systems under Fire 1	237
6.15	Comparison of axial force developed in the columns of 3-axis , for both bracing systems under Fire 1	237
6.16	Deformed pattern scheme of columns at 1-axis, under heating at un-braced span (corner bay)	239
6.17	Total of the axial loads developed within nine columns during Fire 1	240
6.18	Comparison of axial tension force developed in beam B3 endings for both bracing systems, under Fire 1	241
6.19	Comparison of bending moment developed in beam B3 endings for both bracing systems, under Fire 1	242
6.20	Comparison of vertical displacement at top point location of C5 for both bracing systems, under Fire 1	243
6.21	Comparison of axial force developed within columns of axis A, for both bracing systems under Fire 2	245
6.22	Comparison of axial force developed within columns of axis B, for both bracing systems under Fire 2	245
6.23	Comparison of axial force developed within columns of axis C, for both bracing systems under Fire 2	246
6.24	Comparison of vertical displacement at top point location of C5 for both bracing systems, under Fire 2	248
6.25	Total of the axial loads developed within nine columns during Fire 2	249
6.26	Comparison of axial tension force developed within the endings of beam B4 for both bracing systems, under Fire 2	250
6.27	Comparison of bending moment developed within the endings of beam B4 for both bracing systems, under Fire 2	251

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AISC	-	American Institute of Steel Construction
BRBF	-	BRB frame
BRBs	-	Buckling restrained brace system
BRE	-	Building Research Establishment
BS	-	British Steel
CDP	-	Concrete damaged plasticity
CFDST	-	Concrete filled double skin tubular
CFT	-	Concrete filled steel tube
CHS	-	Circular hollow sections
CP	-	Collapse Prevention
EC	-	Euro code
EHS	-	Elliptical hollow sections
FE	-	Finite element
FEMA	-	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FRR	-	Fire resistances rating
GF	-	Green's function
HSC	-	High strength concrete
ICC	-	International Code Council
IMPA	-	Improved modal pushover analysis
IO	-	Immediate Occupancy
ISO	-	International Standards Organization
LS	-	Life Safety
MFIAJ	-	Marine and Fire Insurance Association of Japan
MPA	-	Modal pushover analysis
MPP	-	Mass proportional pushover

MRF	-	Moment resisting frame
MRs	-	Moment resisting system
NSC	-	Normal strength concrete
OCBs	-	Ordinary concentric brace system
PBPD	-	Performance-based plastic design
RHS	-	Rectangular hollow sections
SCC	-	Self-consolidating concrete
SHS	-	Square hollow sections
SMR	-	Special moment resisting
T-t	-	Temperature-time
3D	-	Three dimensional
2D	-	Two dimensional
1D	-	One dimensional

LIST OF SYMBOLS

ε_{total}	-	Total strain
$\varepsilon_{thermal}$	-	Thermal strain
$\varepsilon_{mechanical}$	-	Mechanical strain
δ	-	Overall deformed shape
α	-	Coefficient of thermal expansion
ΔT	-	Temperature rise
E	-	Module of elasticity
A	-	Cross-section area
σ_a	-	Axial stress
σ_y	-	Yield stress
ΔT_y	-	Yield temperature
P_{cr}	-	Buckling load
l	-	Length of element
I	-	Moment of inertia
λ	-	Slenderness ratio
K_t	-	Spring stiffness
$f_{r,t}$	-	Steel tube radial stress
$f_{\theta,t}$	-	Steel tube tangential stress
$f_{z,t}$	-	Steel tube axial stress

$f_{r,c}$	-	In-filled concrete radial stress
$f_{\theta,c}$	-	In-filled concrete tangential stress
$f_{z,c}$	-	In-filled concrete axial stress
$f_{r,co}$	-	Steel core radial stress
$f_{\theta,co}$	-	Steel core tangential stress
$f_{z,co}$	-	Steel core axial stress
T_t	-	Steel tube thickness
D_t	-	Steel tube diameter
$\varepsilon_{r,t}$	-	Steel tube radial strain
$\varepsilon_{\theta,t}$	-	Steel tube tangential strain
$\varepsilon_{z,t}$	-	Steel tube axial strain
$\varepsilon_{r,c}$	-	In-filled concrete radial strain
$\varepsilon_{\theta,c}$	-	In-filled concrete tangential strain
$\varepsilon_{z,c}$	-	In-filled concrete axial strain
$\varepsilon_{r,co}$	-	Steel core radial strain
$\varepsilon_{\theta,co}$	-	Steel core tangential strain
$\varepsilon_{z,co}$	-	Steel core axial strain
ν	-	Poisson's ratio
ε_{oct}	-	Octahedral normal strain
γ_{oct}	-	Octahedral shear strain
σ_{oct}	-	Octahedral normal stress
τ_{oct}	-	Octahedral shear stress
K_c	-	In-filled concrete bulk modulus
G_c	-	In-filled concrete shear modulus

$f_{B,c}$	-	In-filled concrete compressive strength
γ_c	-	In-filled concrete unit weight
r_0	-	Steel core radius of cylindrical BRB element
r_1	-	In-filled concrete radius of cylindrical BRB element
r_2	-	Steel casing radius of cylindrical BRB element
α_c	-	Thermal diffusivity of in-filled concrete
C_c	-	Thermal conductivity of in-filled concrete
T_i	-	Initial (ambient) temperature
T_g	-	Temperature at fire interface
T_s	-	Steel casing temperature
$q_1(t)$	-	Ingoing heat flux
σ	-	Stefan-Boltzmann constant
ε	-	Emissivity of radiation process
h_v	-	Coefficient of convective heat transfer
$q_2(t)$	-	Heat flux at the steel tube-concrete interface
Q_s	-	Lumped heat capacitance of the steel tube casing
$J_n(z)$	-	Bessel function of the first kind of order n
ζ_n	-	Positive roots of the transcendental equation
θ_s	-	Steel material temperature
$f_{c,\theta}$	-	Concrete material elevated temperature strength
θ_c	-	Concrete material temperature
$q_{convection}$	-	Convection heat flux
$q_{radiation}$	-	Radiation heat flux
T_f	-	Temperature of fire furnace
T_o	-	Absolute zero temperature

- ε_f - Emissivity of fire
- ε_m - Emissivity of steel surface

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

1.1.1 Overview of Fire Hazard

Fire event is one of the most hazardous conditions that any building could encounter throughout its service life. If buildings are not appropriately designed and constructed, this disaster could produce huge obliteration in terms of loss of life, property and money. With increasing interest in developing large cities as well as constructing huge structures with multiple stories, which involve a large amount of combustible furniture, the probability of fire hazard becomes higher. Moreover, severe earthquakes in urban regions are frequently followed by major conflagrations, which are hard to control and leads to huge destructions (e.g. 1995 Kobe earthquake). When a fire happens in the structure, temperature rise due to fire event can lead to the reduction in material strength, which then causes decrease in the strength and stiffness of the structural load bearing elements. It can also induce a big thermal axial forces as well as deformations in the structural members. These two phenomena are the main reason of structural collapse during fire. Therefore, fire concept and gaining

a thorough understanding of the vulnerability of structures under fire conditions impose significant challenges to the structural engineering community in recent years.

1.1.2 Overview of Ordinary Brace systems

Lateral displacements of the buildings against various loading conditions have subjected significant challenges to the structural engineering community. In order to decrease these displacements, which are mainly resulted from the wind and earthquake forces, horizontal bracing systems are formed and appended to the structural frames. In the conventional systems, when the bracing elements are subjected to the big axial forces the buckling occurs in the corresponding members as shown in Figure 1.1(a), which is then followed by the failure of bracing components. Consequently, the capacity of ordinary bracing members is significantly limited under compression, owing to the occurrence of buckling before reaching the load level that corresponds to the plastic response. Therefore, such these members are considered in design as tension-only braces and not used to their full capacity. Figure 1.1(b) shows the hysteretic response of ordinary bracing systems, in which the unsymmetrical behaviour is resulted from the buckling of bracing members beneath compression force.




Figure 1.1 (a) Typical section of ordinary bracing (OCB) element, (b) the behaviour of OCB against axial loading, (c) the hysteretic response of conventional bracing systems (Xie, 2004)

1.1.3 Overview of Buckling Restrained Brace systems (BRBs)

As mentioned in Section 1.1.2, the conventional bracing members buckle under compression force. In order to overcome this negative characteristic of ordinary braces, the overall buckling of bracing element should be prevented such that the tensile and especially compressive components are capable of sustaining higher axial forces. This requirement stimulates researchers to conduct an improved type of bracing system called the buckling restrained brace (BRB), as demonstrated in Figure 1.2 (a). The un-buckled characteristic of BRBs leads to a similar tension and compression behaviour of bracing components at the hysteretic loop, as shown in Figure 1.2 (b).




Figure 1.2 (a) The behaviour of BRB element under axial loading, and (b) the hysteretic response of BRB system (Xie, 2004)

Attributing to the superior performance of BRBs as restraining system due to their high potential of distributing axial loadings without the occurrence of buckling in the bracing members, BRBs are found to decrease noticeably the lateral displacements of the structural frame in comparison to the ordinary types as shown in Figure 1.3.





Figure 1.3 Comparison of storey drift demand for the structural frame (a) without braced resisting system, (b) with ordinary bracing system, and (c) with BRB system (Mack and Chenault, 2007)


The use of BRBs has been extensive in recent decades owing to their supreme structural behaviour in terms of enhancement of lateral resistance of the structural frames against earthquakes. The efficiency of using this system under static and seismic loadings at ambient temperature had been well studied and documented (Clark et al., 1999; Uang and Nakashima, 2004; Kigginsa and Uang, 2006; Newell et al., 2006; Sahoo and Chao, 2010).

The principal strong specifications of BRB systems are high energy dissipation capability, high ductility and almost symmetrical hysteretic responses in tension and compression (Sahoo and Chao, 2010). As shown in Figure 1.4 (a), BRB components are consisted of a steel core encased in a concrete-filled steel hollow (CFT) casing for an enhanced buckling resistance. In terms of its constituents, the steel core is composed of a yielding steel core, non-yielding and buckling-restrained transition parts, non-yielding and unrestrained end regions (Figure 1.4 (b)). About 60%-70% of the entire length of the core is restrained by the casing (Sahoo and Chao, 2010). In these bracing systems, compression stresses are mainly sustained by the restrained portion of the core. On the other hand, the yield strength of the steel core is much lower than that of steel tube casing. This allows the core to yield in the same manner during tension and compression prior to the casing, thus considerably


enhancing the energy dissipation capabilities of BRBs in comparison to the ordinary bracing systems. Due to the Poisson's effect on the steel core, it expands when it is compressed. To prevent the axial stress transition from the core to the restrainer (in-filled concrete steel tube casing), a certain amount of clearance between the core and concrete must be provided to avoid the friction between them (Figure 1.4(c)).



(a)



(b)



(c)

Figure 1.4 Detail of BRB (a) general structure (Clark et al., 1999), (b) steel core constituent segments and (c) separation gap at steel core-restrainer interface (Korzekwa and Tremblay, 2009)

In addition to this gap, in order to almost completely minimize the friction between the core and concrete, a de-bonding agent is also applied to the surface of the core, as shown in Figure 1.4(a).

1.2 Background of the Problem

Traditionally, the preliminary building fire-design codes prepare provisions based on a perspective approach, considering some basic parameters such as the location and number of sprinklers, fire alarms, smoke detectors and exits, which necessitate the structures to meet the relevant standard rules in terms of fire safety concept. However, several studies had demonstrated that such active fire protection systems were easily damaged at fire, and their operational mechanisms were disturbed due to their mechanical failure and deformations (MFIAJ, 1995). This has led to the widespread application of passive fire protection systems in the form of sprays, boars and intumescent onto the surfaces of structural elements, to get the assurance that their temperatures remain lower than the critical value during heating stages. In regards to this, concrete has been known commonly as a safe material, owing to its low conductivity and large thermal inertia (Buchanan, 2001). Conversely, steel is considered to be more vulnerable to fail beneath heating, because of its high conductivity and small thermal inertia such that it is usually coated by fire protective materials. In terms of economy, previous surveys (Robinson and Latham, 1986; Lawson and Newman, 1990; Lawson, 2001) have recorded that using such fire protection systems increase the total cost of the structure by more than 30%. The increase in the constructional charge of buildings has drawn the attention of engineers to explore the use of various alternative fire resistance techniques.

One of the operational solutions for this problem has been recognized as the enhanced strength and stiffness of the structural frame that prevents progressive collapse from local to global for life safety against fire, i.e., allowing the occupants to

leave the building before the overall failure of structure at fire. In this case, in order to certify and monitor the stability of the structure at fire, the performance-based approach, (Eurocode 2-4, 2005) which represents the progression of actual heating stages in the structural frame while it is subjected to fire, has been extended.

To date researchers implemented the performance-based technique in the form of laboratory fire tests on the individual structural elements. As a result, structural engineers have used these test results extensively, without considering how trustworthy they are, by not taking into account whether the corresponding data represent the actual behaviour of the whole structure or not. On the other hand, it has been proven for many years that the elevated temperature behaviour of entire building is completely different from that of isolated element, beneath fire loading (Baily et al., 1999; Usmani et al., 2005; Wald et al., 2006-2007). In order to track the real response of a structural frame (with actual boundary conditions) at fire, full scale fire tests need to be conducted on the whole structure and the structural fire design has to be carried out by the performance-based design of the entire building. Conducting such a large-scaled set of fire tests has been extremely complex, expensive, and engaged major risks in a controlled environmental condition. Moreover, with the advancements in the computational technology, computer models have shown that they are capable enough to simulate and analyze the real states of structures at fire with acceptable accuracy. Hence, finite element (FE) programs can be good alternatives to model the large scale parametric studies on fire. Consequently, from the early 2000's the use of FE programs has been expanded extensively for evaluating the response of whole building during fire, instead of conducting full scale fire tests. Subsequently, various FE programs such as SAFIR (Chitty and Foster, 2001; Lim et al., 2004; Vila Real et al., 2004; Pyl et al., 2012) has been used to simulate the real fire exposure numerically. Also, the use of FE package, ABAQUS, has been extended by British Steel and many other researchers (Sanad, 1999 and 2000a-d; Gillie, 2000; Sun et al., 2012a; Agarwal and Varma, 2014), owing to its capability of simulating both material and geometric nonlinearities in the structural frame during fire. Recently, the application of other FE program, VULCAN, has been developed (Najjar and Burgess, 1996; Huang et al., 2009; Huang, 2010-2011; Yu et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2012b) at the University of Sheffield as a specific FE tool for monitoring

the elevated temperature behaviour of structures at fire. The use of these FE programs has prepared a comprehensive perspective of how the structural load bearing members as well as the whole building perform at high temperatures under fire condition.

1.3 Statement of the Problem

In order to know the level of resistance in the buildings against fire loading, the elevated temperature behaviour of structural frame, considering different horizontal resisting systems needs to be investigated. While there have been extensive advances in the consideration of structural behaviour at fire in recent decades, there are still many aspects of structural-fire responses that are not well understood and need further studies. For instance, no study has yet been done on the fire resistance of multi storey structures with Buckling Restrained Brace system (BRBs). Therefore, supplementary research is required to observe the performance and influence of this type of bracing system on the overall stability and resistance of an entire structure toward fire hazard.

The research work carried out in this thesis is hoped to shed light on the performance of multi-storey buildings with BRBs under fire condition. The research considered the elevated temperature behaviour of BRB constituent components as an individual member subjected to fire loading, as well as the study on the influence of this type of bracing system on the fire resistance of entire building. The outcome of this research is very useful for the future design considerations on the fire resistance of multi-storey structures restrained with BRB system. Generally, the overall problems of this study are identified as follows:

1. Unknown elevated temperature performance of BRB individual element (sub-element) under fire loading with respect to the reduction in material strength due to temperature rise, under fire conditions need to be addressed.
2. Unknown BRB system effect on the overall stability and resistance of entire building against fire loading need to be established.
3. How correct is the current nonlinear finite element (FE) analysis to perform the actual behaviour of structural frame with BRBs subjected to fire need to be validated.

1.4 Objectives of the Study

This thesis concentrates on the behaviour of BRB individual element at high temperatures as well as the additional strength provided by this system on the fire resistance of the structures with multi-storey frames. In order to accomplish the main aim of this study, the objectives of this research can be specified as follows:

1. To develop an efficient three dimensional (3D) numerical modelling for investigating the nonlinear behaviour of BRB constituent components under fire loading and validating the numerical approach with the existing analytical formulations and experimental test results.
2. To develop an efficient two dimensional (2D) numerical modelling in order to recognize the influence of BRB system on preventing the progressive collapse of structural plane frame against fire loading, using the proposed technique to simulate the global collapse of the structural frame at fire.
3. To develop an efficient 3D numerical modelling for investigating the effect of BRBs on the fire resistance of whole building and validating the accuracy of

the proposed model by comparing the FE results with the existing full scale fire tests (Cardington fire test).

4. To compare the efficacy of BRBs on enhancing the overall stability and fire resistance of whole building resulted from objectives 3 and 4 with that of ordinary concentrically bracing system (OCBs).

1.5 Significance of the Study

In last decades, the extensive developments in urbanizations have led to an enhancement in the potential of fire fatalness in different types of buildings. Fire can be a major catastrophe to the safety of building industry. Strength and stiffness of structural load bearing elements will reduce dramatically at elevated temperatures. On the other hand, high temperatures will induce large axial forces and big deformations in the structural members, causing by the global collapse of the whole building due to fire.

Now-a-days, steel constructions have a great consumes acceptability due to their advantages in the building industry all over the world. The possibility of reducing the cross section area of the structural elements, the ability of building such structures more rapidly and the advantages of light weight steel structures contribute to the tendency of structural engineers to prefer steel as construction material rather than the other construction materials. However, steel mechanical properties are very vulnerable at elevated temperature. In addition to its high conductivity, reduction in material strength at high temperatures is another negative characteristic of steel. Recently, in order to improve the poor performance of steel structures at elevated temperature, some provisions such as protecting the steel structural members or alternative design methods have been developed under fire conditions.

Despite of significant researches that have been done to understand the elevated temperature behaviour of buildings at fire incidents in recent decade, there are still many relevant areas of interest that are not well understood and require additional research. As an example, the efficiency of using BRB system under static and seismic loadings at ambient temperature had been well studied and documented (Clark, 1999; Uang, 2004; Sahoo, 2010). However, only limited literatures (Saitoh et al., 2005; Talebi et al, 2014a-c) had explored its performance in fire situation. So, there is a lack of understanding on the structural behaviour of such braces at elevated temperatures.

The investigation presented in this dissertation is intended to contribute to improve the structural behaviour of steel frames restrained with BRB systems under fire conditions.

1.6 Research Findings/Expected Outcomes

There are three expected outcomes in this study. First, the performance of BRB sub-element (isolated member) against fire loading can be predicted such that whether the elevated temperature behaviour of BRB is analogous to that of normal temperature (20 °C), i.e., steel core yields prior to the restraining system due to thermal loading. Second, the effect of BRB system on the overall stability and resistance of a multi-storey building subjected to fire in contrast to that of OCBs and third, the effectiveness of finite element analysis to represent the actual performance of a structure with BRBs exposed to fire hazard.

1.7 Scope of the Study

This research study provides a theoretical basis to understand further high-temperature structural properties and refractory limit of BRBs when exposed to fire. By means of this research, the performance of BRB element in incidents of fire caused by earthquakes and situations of fire without the axial seismic force are investigated and validated analytically in the elastic domain. Also, this study reveals the positive and negative remarks of using BRB system instead of OCBs to resist the structural collapse under fire conditions. Finally, this research work provides useful information to the structural engineers on how to use finite element analysis to predict the failure of building and thus increase the structural safety under fire conditions.

1.8 Research Methodology

Research methodology is a guideline to carry out the study in an organized manner so as to attain the research objectives. In this thesis, the research procedure mainly contains of 3 stages as shown in Figure 1.5, namely, "Stage A": Primary study on elevated temperature behaviour of BRB isolated element, "Stage B": Secondary study on the performance of BRB system on a 2D plane frame at fire, "Stage C": Ultimate study on the response of BRB system on a 3D structure under fire condition. The process of research and the approaches of analyses used are as follows:

- Stage A: includes a primary study on the behaviour of an individual BRB element under fire condition. In this phase of study, coupled nonlinear thermal-stress analysis was conducted, using ABAQUS package. In the primary study, the responses of each constituent components of BRB at elevated temperatures were verified and concluded. The accuracy of numerical approach was validated by the existing analytical studies as well as

experimental tests. At the end of this stage, the elevated temperature behaviour of BRB sub-element was concluded.

- Stage B: involves the performance of BRB system on a plane frame under fire condition. In this part of study, a two dimensional analysis was conducted, using VULCAN program. In this step, the influence of BRB system on the progressive collapse prevention of the structural frame due to fire loading was investigated and its response was compared with that of OCBs. The outcomes at this stage of research gives a comprehensive scheme on the influence of BRB system in transferring the load from the buckled-heated columns to the unheated stiffer members, which was followed by the prevention of spreading the local to global collapse of structural frame at fire, compared to OCBs. The accuracy of FE results was verified with the existing parametric work on the performance of ordinary systems at fire.
- Stage C: consists the response of BRB system on the resistance of whole building under fire scenario. In this stage of study, a three dimensional model was conducted, using ABAQUS package. The effect of using BRB system on the overall stability and resistance of a multi-storey structure exposed to fire was investigated in this stage. This concluding stage of research proposed a comprehensive solution on the use of BRB system as compared to that of OCBs in enhancing the fire resistance of the structures with multiple stories. The accuracy of the proposed numerical model results was validated with the predictions of existing experimental fire test carried out on an eight storey building at Cardington laboratory (Lennon, 1997).

These three stages explained the general scheme of the research methodology that has been implemented in this study. A thorough description on each stage is detailed out in Chapters 4 to 6. Finally, the thesis is ended with concluding remarks and recommendations for future studies.




Figure 1.5 Research methodology

1.9 Thesis Organization

This thesis comprises seven chapters, which are arranged according to the sequence of the main objectives and rationale of the study. A brief description on the structure of these seven chapters is provided below.

- **Chapter 1: Introduction.** This is the current chapter which formulates the research proposal and describes the background of the study, statement of the problem, objective of the study, significant of study, research findings and expected outcomes, scope and limitations, research methodology and thesis organization.
- **Chapter 2: Literature review.** This chapter describes the relevant researches which are useful to compare and improve the proposed research work. It reviews the literatures, which are related to the behaviour of individual structural components and the entire building behaviour in fire incidents. Also some pertinent works on the performance of individual BRB elements and their usage as a braced resisting system in the structural frame under various loading conditions are presented in this chapter.
- **Chapter 3: Theoretical background (research methodology).** This chapter focuses on the methods used for accomplishing the main purpose of this thesis. The principal issues, which affect the behaviour of structural components under fire condition, are discussed in this chapter. As an example, the effects of boundary (restraint) conditions and material strength degradation at elevated temperature are pointed out in this chapter. In terms of finding the handy formulations, the basic theories on thermal and structural response of BRB element against fire loading are detailed out. An overall introduction on the existing fire tests used for verifying the accuracy of proposed numerical solutions is presented in this chapter. Moreover, a brief description on the overview of available computer programs used in this study is provided in this chapter.

- **Chapter 4: Elevated temperature behaviour of BRB sub-element.** This chapter describes the process of analyses for modelling the BRB individual member under fire condition. The response of BRB constituent components at high temperature is concluded thoroughly in this chapter. The accuracy of proposed numerical analyses presented in this chapter is validated by comparing the FE results with existing analytical formulations and experimental test predictions.
- **Chapter 5: Influence of BRB system on the response of structural frame at fire.** This chapter provides a thorough description on the development and validation of the numerical approach, namely, "stiffness reduction technique" proposed for simulating the global collapse in a two dimensional structural plane frame with BRBs, at fire. The influence of BRB system on the prevention of spreading local to global collapse in the structural frame at fire is discussed and compared with that of OCBs. Finally by means of the validated model, the concluding remarks on enhancing the stability of structural frame for preventing the progressive collapse due to fire loading are given at the end of this chapter.
- **Chapter 6: The effect of BRB system on the fire resistance of entire building.** This chapter investigates the effect of BRB system on the overall stability and fire resistance of whole building and a thorough comparison on the influence of this system with that of OCBs is provided also in this chapter. The accuracy of numerical model is verified by validating the FE results with the predictions of existing fire tests, carried out on an eight storey structure at Cardington laboratory.
- **Chapter 7: Conclusions and recommendations.** This chapter concludes and summarizes the results obtained in the previous chapters and provides recommendations for further future studies.

REFERENCES

- ABAQUS (2008). *ABAQUS/Standard Version 6.9 User's Manual*, Providence: SIMULIA.
- Agarwal, A. (2011). *Stability Behavior of Steel Building Structures in Fire Conditions*. Ph.D. Thesis, Purdue University, USA.
- Agarwal, A. and Varma, A.H. (2014). Fire Induced Progressive Collapse of Steel Building Structures: The Role of Interior Gravity Columns. *Journal of Engineering Structures*. Vol. 58, pp. 129-140.
- AISC. Seismic provisions for structural steel buildings-including supplement No.1, ANSI/AISC 341-05, American Institute of Steel Construction, Chicago; 2002.
- Ariyaratana, C. and Fahnestock, L.A. (2011). Evaluation of Buckling-Restrained Braced Frame Seismic Performance Considering Reserve Strength. *Journal of Engineering Structures*. Vol. 33, pp. 77-89.
- Asgarian, B. and Shokrgozar, H.R. (2009). BRBF Response Modification Factor. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 65, pp. 290-298.
- Bailey, C. G., Burgess, I.W. and Plank, R.J. (1996). Computer simulation of a full-scale structural fire test. *Journal of Structural Engineering*. Vol. 74, pp. 93-100.
- Bailey, C.G., Lennon, T. and Moore, D.B. (1999). The behaviour of full-scale steel-framed buildings subjected to compartment fires. *Journal of Structural Engineering*. Vol. 77, pp. 15-21.

- Beck, J.V. (1984). Green's function solution for transient heat conduction problems. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*. Vol. 27, pp. 1235-1244.
- Beck, J.V., Cole, K.D., Haji-Sheikh, A. and Litkouhi, B. (1992). *Heat conduction using Green's functions*. Hemisphere Publishing Corporation.
- British Standards Institution (1987). *BS 476: Fire Tests on Building Materials and Structures, Part 20: Methods for Determination of the Fire Resistance of Elements of Construction (General Principles)*. London, UK: British Standards Institution.
- British Standards Institution (2005). *Eurocode 2 (EC2), BS EN 1992-1-2: Design of concrete structures-part 1-2: General Rules-Structural Fire Design*. London, UK: British Standards Institution.
- British Standards Institution (2005). *Eurocode 3 (EC3), BS EN 1993-1-2: Design of Steel Structures, Part 1-2: General Rules-Structural Fire Design*. London, UK: British Standards Institution.
- British Standards Institution (2005). *Eurocode 4 (EC4), BS EN 1994-1-2: Design of Composite Steel and Concrete Structures, Part 1-2: General Rules-Structural Fire Design*. London, UK: British Standards Institution.
- Buchnan, A.H. (2001). *Structural Design for Fire Safety*. John Willey & Sons.
- Cameron, J., Black, N.M. and Aiken, I.D. (2004). Component Testing, Seismic Evaluation and Characterization of Buckling-Restrained Braces. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, ASCE. Vol. 130, pp. 880-894.
- Chang, H.Y. and Chiu, C.K. (2011). Performance Assessment of Buckling Restrained Braces. *The Twelfth East Asia-Pacific Conference on Structural Engineering and Construction*, Procedia Engineering. Vol. 14, pp. 2187-2195.
- Chitty, R. and Foster, J. (2001). Application of Computer Modeling to Real Fire Incidents. *Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Interflam*. Edinburgh, Scotland.

- Choi, K.K. (2007). *Analytical and Experimental Studies on Mechanical Behaviour of Confined Concrete Filled Tubular Columns*. Ph.D. Thesis, Faculty of Civil Engineering, University of Southern California, USA.
- Choi, K.K. and Xiao, Y. (2010). Analytical studies of concrete-filled circular Steel Tubes under Axial Compression. *Journal of Structural Engineering*. Vol. 136, pp. 565-573.
- Chou, C.C. and Chen P.J. (2009). Compressive Behavior of Central Gusset Plate Connections for a Buckling-Restrained Braced Frame. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 65, pp. 1138-1148.
- Chou, C.C. and Chen, S.Y. (2010). Subassemblage Tests and Finite Element Analyses of Sandwiched Buckling-Restrained Braces. *Journal of Engineering Structures*. Vol. 32, pp. 2108-2121.
- Chyuan, K., Wei, J., Chih, Y., Ling, S. and Hsing, C. (2004). Research and Application of Double-Core Buckling Restrained Braces in Taiwan. *13th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering* Vancouver, Canada. Paper No. 2179.
- Clark, P., Aiken, I., Kasai, K., Ko, E. and Kimura, I. (1999). Design Procedures for Buildings Incorporating Hysteretic Damping Devices. *Proceedings of 69th Annual Convention, SEAOC*.
- Couto, C., Vila Real, P., Lopes, N. and Rodrigues, J.P. Buckling Analysis of Braced and Unbraced Steel Frames Exposed to Fire. (2013). *Journal of Engineering Structures*. Vol. 49, pp. 541-559.
- CT, T.H. (2010). *Analysis of thermally induced forces in steel columns subjected to fire*. MS.c. Thesis, University of Texas at Austin.
- Dai, X.H. and Lam, D. (2012). Shape Effect on the Behaviour of Axially Loaded Concrete Filled Steel Tubular Stub Columns at Elevated Temperature. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 73, pp. 117-127.

- Department of the Environment and the Welsh Office (1992). *The Building Regulations*, Approved Document B: Fire Safety, HMSO, London, UK.
- Ding, J. and Wang, Y.C. (2008). Realistic Modelling of Thermal and Structural Behaviour of Unprotected Concrete Filled Tubular Columns in Fire. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 64, pp. 1086-1102.
- Ding, F., Yu, Z. and Ou, J. (2009). Elasto-Plastic Analysis of Concrete-Filled Circular Steel Tubular Stub Columns after Exposed to High Temperatures. *Journal of Key Engineering Materials*. Vol. 400-402, pp. 763-768.
- Dong, Y. and Prasad, K. (2009). Experimental Study on the Behavior of Full-Scale Composite Steel Frames under Fire Loading. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, ASCE. Vol. 135, pp. 1278-1289.
- Dong, Y.L., Zhu, E.C. and Prasad, K. (2009). Thermal and Structural Response of Two-Storey Two-Bay Composite Steel Frames Under Furnace Loading. *Fire Safety Journal*. Vol. 44, pp. 439-450.
- Ellobody, E. and Young, B. (2010). Investigation of Concrete Encased Steel Composite Columns at Elevated Temperatures. *Thin-Walled Structures*. Vol. 48, pp. 597-608.
- Espinos, A., Romero, M.L. and Hospitaler, A. (2010). Advanced Model for Predicting the Fire Response of Concrete Filled Tubular Columns. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 66, pp. 1030-1046.
- Espinos, A., Gardner, L., Romero, M.L. and Hospitaler, A. (2011). Fire Behaviour of Concrete Filled Elliptical Steel Columns. *Thin-Walled Structures*. Vol. 49, pp. 239-255.
- Gillie, M. (2000). *Modelling Heated Composite Floor Slabs with ABAQUS Using A UGENS Subroutine*. In: ABAQUS Users' Conference. Hibbert, Karlsson and Sorenson.

- Guo, Y.L., Liu, J.B., Hu, D.B. and Deng, K. (2005). The Restraining Requirements for the Buckling-Restrained Brace. *Advances in Steel Structures*. Vol. 1, pp. 161-166.
- Hong, S., Varma, A.H. (2009). Analytical Modeling of the Standard Fire Behavior of Loaded CFT Columns. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 65, pp. 54-69.
- Holman, J.P. (2002). *Heat transfer*. 9th edition. Mc-Graw Hill Inc, New York.
- Hoveidae, N. and Rafezy, B. (2012). Overall Buckling Behavior of All-Steel Buckling Restrained Braces. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 79, pp. 151-158.
- Huang, Z., Burgess, I.W. and Plank, R.J. (2000). Three-Dimensional Analysis of Composite Steel-Framed Buildings in Fire. *Journal of Structural Engineering*. Vol. 126, pp. 389-397.
- Huang, Z., Burgess, I.W. and Plank, R.J. (2001). Non-Linear Structural Modelling of a Fire Test Subject to High Restraint. *Fire Safety Journal*. Vol. 36, pp. 795-814.
- Huang, Z.H., Burgess, I.W. and Plank, R.J. (2002). Modeling Membrane Action of Concrete Slabs in Composite Buildings in Fire. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, ASCE. Vol. 129, pp. 1093-1102.
- Huang, Z., Tan, K.H., Toh, W.S. and Phng, G.H. (2008). Fire Resistance of Composite Columns with Embedded I-section Steel-Effects of Section Size and Load Level. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 64, pp. 312-325.
- Huang, Z., Burgess, I.W. and Plank, R. (2009). Three-Dimensional Analysis of Reinforced Concrete Beam-Column Structures in Fire. *Journal of Engineering Structures*, ASCE. Vol. 135, pp. 1201-1212.

- Huang, Z. (2010a). Modelling of Reinforced Concrete Structures in Fire. Proceeding of Institution of Civil Engineers: *Journal of Engineering and Computational Mechanics*. Vol. 163, pp. 43-53.
- Huang, Z (2010b). Modelling the Bond between Concrete and Reinforcing Steel in a Fire. *Journal of Engineering Structures*. Vol. 32, pp. 3660-3669.
- Huang, Z. (2011). A Connection Element for Modelling End-Plate Connections in Fire. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 67, pp. 841-853.
- ICC (2009). *International Building Code*. Falls Church (VA): International Code Council.
- Ikeda, K. and Ohmiya, Y. (2009). Fire Safety Engineering of Concrete-Filled Steel Tubular Column without Fire Protection. *Fire Science and Technology Journal*. Vol. 28, pp. 106-131.
- International Standards Organization (1980). *ISO 834: Fire Resistance Tests, Elements of Building Construction*. Switzerland: International Standards Organization.
- Izzuddin, B.A., Vlassis, A.G., Elghazouli, A.Y. and Nethercot, D.A. (2008). Progressive Collapse of Multi-Storey Buildings due to Sudden Column Loss- Part I: Simplified Assessment Framework. *Journal of Engineering Structures*. Vol. 30, pp. 1308-1318.
- Jankowiak, T. (2005). *Identification of Parameters of Concrete Damage Plasticity Constitutive Model*. Publishing House of Poznan University of Technology, Poznan; ISSN 1642-9303.
- Kallerová P. and Wald F. (2006). *Ostrava Fire Test*. Czech Technical University, Praha. CIDEAS report No. 3-2-2-4/2, pp. 18.
- Khandelwal, K., El-Tawil, S. and Sadek, F. (2009). Progressive Collapse Analysis of Seismically Designed Steel Braced Frames. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 65, pp. 699-708.

- Kigginsa, S. and Uang, C.M. (2006). Reducing residual drift of buckling-restrained braced frames as a dual system. *Journal of Engineering Structures*. Vol. 28, pp. 1525-1532.
- Kim, J., Lee, Y. and Choi, H. (2011). Progressive Collapse Resisting Capacity of Braced Frames. *Journal of the Structural Design of Tall and Special Buildings*. Vol. 20, pp. 257-270.
- Kirby, B.R. (1997). Large Scale Fire Tests: The British Steel European Collaborative Research Programme on the BRE 8-story Frame. *Proceedings of the Fifth International Symposium of Fire Safety Science*, Melbourne, Australia. pp. 1129-1140.
- Kodur, V.K.R. (1998). Performance of High Strength Concrete-Filled Steel Columns Exposed to Fire. *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering*. Vol. 25, pp. 975-981.
- Korzekwa, A. and Tremblay, R. (2009). *Numerical Simulation of the Cyclic Inelastic Behaviour of Buckling Restrained Braces*. Sause, R., Mazzolani, F.M. and Ricles, J.M (eds). *Behaviour of Steel Structures in Seismic Areas* (pp. 653-658). Taylor & Francis Group, London, UK.
- Kumar, I.J. (1972). *Recent Mathematical Methods in Heat Transfer*. In: *Advances in Heat Transfer*. New York: Academic Press.
- Lamont, S. (2001). *The Behavior of Multi-Story Composite Steel Frame Structures in Response to Compartment Fires*. Ph.D. Thesis, School of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Edinburgh, UK.
- Lamont, S., Lane, B. and Usmani, A. (2005). The Behaviour of Multi-storey Composite Steel Frame Structures in Response to Compartment Fires. *Fire Safety Science—Proceedings of the eighth international symposium*. pp. 177-188.
- Lawson, R.M. and Newman, G.M. (1990). *Fire Resistant Design of Steel Structures*. A handbook to BS 5950: Part 8. The Steel Construction Institute, Ascot, Berkshire.

- Lawson, R.M. (2001). Fire Engineering Design of Steel and Composite Buildings. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 57, pp. 1233-1247.
- Lennon, T. (1997). *Cardington Fire Tests, Survey of Damage to the Eight Storey Building*. Building Research Establishment, Watford. Paper no. 127/97.
- Lim, L., Buchanan, A., Moss, P. and Franssen, J.M. Numerical modelling of two-way reinforced concrete slabs in fire. (2004). *Journal of Engineering Structures*. Vol. 26, pp. 1081-1091
- López-Almansa, F., Castro-Medina, J.C. and Oller, S. (2012). A Numerical Model of the Structural Behavior of Buckling-Restrained Braces. *Journal of Engineering Structures*. Vol. 41, pp. 108-117.
- Lu, H., Zhao, X.L., Han, L.H. (2009). Fire Behaviour of High Strength Self-Consolidating Concrete Filled Steel Tubular Stub Columns. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 65, pp. 1995-2010.
- Lu, H., Zhao, X.L. and Han, L.H. (2011). FE Modelling and Fire Resistance Design of Concrete Filled Double Skin Tubular Columns. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 67, pp. 1733-1748.
- Mack, L. and Chenault, M. (2007). *Innovative Approaches to the Retrofit of Steel Braced Structures*. Technical report, University of Cincinnati.
- Mander, J. B., Priestley, M. J. N., Park, R. (1988). Theoretical Stress-Strain Model for Confined Concrete. *Journal of Structural Engineering*. Vol. 114, pp. 1804-1826.
- Martin, D.M. and Moore, D.B. (1997). Introduction and Background to the Research Programme and Major Fire Tests at BRE Cardington. *National Steel Construction Conference*, London, UK.
- Martin, D.M., Kirby, B.R., O'Connor, M.A. (2001). *British Steel Report, Final Report to ECSC*. Behaviour of a multi-storey steel framed building subjected to natural fire effects. Luxembourg.

- Mirtaheri, M., Gheidi, A., Zandi, A.P., Alanjari, P. and Rahmani-Samani, H. (2011). Experimental Optimization Studies on Steel Core Lengths in Buckling Restrained Braces. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 67, pp. 1244-1253.
- Nakamura, H., Maeda, Y., Sasaki, T., Wada, A., Takeuchi, T., Nakata, Y. and Iwata, M. (2000). *Fatigue Properties of Practical-Scale Unbonded Braces*. Nippon Steel Technical Report. Vol. 82, pp. 51-57.
- Najjar, S.R. and Burgess, I.W. (1996). A Non-Linear Analysis for Three-Dimensional Steel Frames in Fire Conditions. *Journal of Engineering Structures*. Vol. 18, pp. 77-89.
- Newell, J., Uang, C.M. and Benzoni, G. (2006). *Subassembly Testing of Core Brace Buckling Restrained Braces (G Series)*. Final Report to Core Brace, LLC, Report No. TR-06/01. University of California, San Diego.
- Newman, G.M., Robinson, J.F. and Bailey, C.G. (2000). *Fire Safe Design: A New Approach to Multi-Story Steel-Framed Buildings*. The Steel Construction Institute, Berkshire, UK.
- Nguyen, A.H., Chintanapakdee, C. and Hayashikaw, T. (2010). Assessment of Current Nonlinear Static Procedures for Seismic Evaluation of BRBF Buildings. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 66, pp. 1118-1127.
- O'Connor, M.A., Kirby, B.R. and Martin, D.M. (2003). Behavior of a Multi-Story Composite Steel-Framed Building in Fire. *The Structural Engineer*. Vol. 81, pp. 27-36.
- Ozisik, M.N. (1993). *Heat conduction*. (2nd ed). New York: Wiley.
- Palazzo, G., López-Almansab, F., Cahís, X. and Crisafulli, F. (2009). A Low-Tech Dissipative Buckling Restrained Brace. Design, Analysis, Production and Testing. *Journal of Engineering Structures*. Vol. 31, pp. 2152-2161.

- Park, S.H., Chung, K.S., Choi, S.M. (2007). A Study on Failure Prediction and Design Equation of Concrete Filled Square Steel Tube Columns under Fire Condition. *Journal of Steel Structures*. Vol. 7, pp. 183-191.
- Pyl, L., Schueremans, L., Dierckx, W. and Georgieva, I. (2012). Fire safety analysis of a 3D frame structure based on a full-scale fire test. *Thin-Walled Structures*. Vol. 61, pp. 204-212.
- Purkiss, J.A. (2007). *Fire Safety Engineering Design of Structures*. Butterworth-Heinemann. Oxford.
- Robinson, J.T. and Latham, D.J. (1986). *Fire Resistant Steel Design-the Future Challenge*. Anchor, R.D., Malhotra, H.J. and Purkiss, J.A (eds). *Design of Structures against Fire*. (pp. 225-236). CRC Press.
- Romero, M.L., Moliner, V., Espinos, A., Ibañez, C. and Hospitaler, A. (2011). Fire Behavior of Axially Loaded Slender High Strength Concrete-Filled Tubular Columns. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 67, pp. 1953-1965.
- Sahoo, D.R. and Chao, S.H. (2010). Performance-Based Plastic Design Method for Buckling Restrained Braced Frames. *Journal of Engineering Structure*. Vol. 32, pp. 2950-2958.
- Saitoh, K., Nakata, Y., Murai, M. and Iwata, M. (2005). Fire-Resistant Performance of Buckling Restrained Braces Using Mortar Planks. *AIJ J.Technol*. Vol. 22, pp. 223-226 (in Japanese).
- Sanad, A.M., Rottor, J.M., Usmani, A.S. and O'Connor M.A. (1999). Finite Element Modelling of Fire Tests on the Cardington Composite Building. *In Proceedings Interflam '99*. vol. 2.
- Sanad, A.M. (2000a). *BS/Test1 Reference ABAQUS Model Using Beam General Section*. Technical report, University of Edinburgh. Available from: www.civ.ed.ac.uk/research/fire/project/reports.html.

- Sanad, A.M. (2000b). *BS/Test3 Reference ABAQUS Model Using Beam General Section*. Technical report, University of Edinburgh. Available from: www.civ.ed.ac.uk/research/fire/project/reports.html.
- Sanad, A.M. (2000c). PIT Project Research Report AM6: *Analysis of Results From BS/TEST3 Models, Part A Grillage Models*. Technical report, University of Edinburgh. Available from: www.civ.ed.ac.uk/research/fire/project/reports.html.
- Sanad, A.M., Rotter, J.M., Usmani, A.S. and O'Connor, M. (2000d). Composite Beam in Buildings under Fire. *Fire Safety Journal*. Vol. 35, pp. 165-188.
- Song, T.Y., Han, L.H. and Yu, H.X. (2010). Concrete Filled Steel Tube Stub Columns under Combined Temperature and Loading. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 66, pp. 369-384.
- Sun, R.R., Huang, Z. and Burgess, I.W. (2012a). Progressive Collapse Analysis of Steel Structures under Fire Conditions. *Journal of Engineering Structures*. Vol. 34, pp. 400-413.
- Sun, R.R., Huang, Z. and Burgess, I.W. (2012b). The Collapse Behaviour of Braced Steel Frames Exposed to Fire. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 72, pp. 130-142.
- Takeuchi, T., Hajjar, J.F., Matsui, R., Nishimoto, K. and Aiken, I.D. (2010). Local Buckling Restraint Condition for Core Plates in Buckling Restrained Braces. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 66, pp. 139-149.
- Talebi, E., Tahir M.Md., Zahmatkesh, F., Kueh, A.B.H (2014a). Comparative Study on the Behaviour of Buckling Restrained Braced Frames at Fire. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 102, pp. 1-12.
- Talebi, E., Tahir M.Md., Zahmatkesh, F., Kueh, A.B.H. (2014b). Gap filler effect on the behaviour of axially loaded Buckling Restrained Braces at fire. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Under Review.

- Talebi, E., Tahir M.Md., Zahmatkesh, F., Yasreen, A. and Mirza, J. (2014c). Thermal Behavior of Cylindrical Buckling Restrained Braces at Elevated Temperatures. *The Scientific World Journal*. Volume 2014, Article ID 672629, 13 pages. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/672629>.
- The Marine and Fire Insurance Association of Japan (MFIAJ), Inc. (1995). *Study Report on Reliability of Fire Protection Systems at an Earthquake*. Japan.
- Takagi, J. and Deierlein, G.G. (2007). Strength Design Criteria for Steel Members at Elevated Temperatures. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 63, pp. 1036-1050.
- The Building Regulations (2000). *Fire Safety, Approved Document B*. HMSO 2000.
- Usmani, A.S., Rotter, J.M., Lamont, S., Sanad, A.M. and Gillie, M. (2001). Fundamental Principles of Structural Behaviour under Thermal Effects. *Fire Safety Journal*. Vol. 36, pp. 721-744.
- Usmani, A.S., Flint, G.R., J., Allan, Lament, S., Lane, B. and Torero, J (2005). Modelling of the Collapse of Large Multi-Storey Steel Frame Structures in Fire. *Advances in Steel Structures*. Vol. 2, pp. 991-998.
- Uang, C.M. and Nakashima, M. (2004). *Steel Buckling-Restrained Braced Frames*. Earthquake Engineering, Recent Advances and Applications, Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press LLC.
- Vila-Real P.P.M., Lopes, N., Simoes-da-Silva, L., Piloto, P. and Franseen J.M. (2004). Numerical Modeling of Steel Beam-Columns in Case of Fire-Comparison with Eurocode 3. *Fire Safety Journal*. Vol. 39, pp. 23-29.
- Vila-Real P.M.M., Cazeli, R., Simoes-da-Silva, L., Santiago, A. and Piloto, P. (2004). The Effect of Residual Stresses in the Lateral Torsional Buckling of Steel I-Beams at Elevated Temperature. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 60, pp. 783-793.
- Vlassis, A.G., Izzuddin, B.A., Elghazouli, A.Y. and Nethercot, D.A. (2008). Progressive Collapse of Multi-Storey Buildings due to Sudden Column Loss-

- Part II: Application. *Journal of Engineering Structures*. Vol. 30, pp. 1424-1438.
- Vulcan (2000). *Vulcan Analysis Version 10.12.0 User's Manual*, Sheffield University.
- Wald, F., Chlouba, J. and Kallerová, P. (2007). *Temperature of the header plate connection subject to a natural fire*. In: Urban habitat constructions under catastrophic events, proceedings of workshop, Prague. pp. 98-103.
- Wald, F., Simões-da-Silva, L., Moore, D.B., Lennon, T., Chladná, M., Santiago, A., Beneš, M. and Borges, L. (2006). Experimental Behaviour of a Steel Structure under Natural Fire. *Fire Safety Journal*. Vol. 41, pp. 509-522.
- Wald, F., Sokol, Z. and Moore, D. (2009). Horizontal Forces in Steel Structures Tested in Fire. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 65, pp. 1896-1903.
- Wang, Q., Zhao, D. and Guan, P. (2004). Experimental Study on the Strength and Ductility of Steel Tubular Columns Filled with Steel-Reinforced Concrete. *Journal of Engineering Structures*. Vol. 26, pp. 907-915.
- Wang, Y.C. (1998). *Full Scale Testing of Multi-Story Buildings in the Large Building Test Facility, Cardington*. Dubina, D., Vayas, I. And Ungureanu, I. (eds). *New Technologies and Structures in Civil Engineering: Case Studies on Remarkable Constructions*. (pp. 219- 235). Editura Orizonturi Universitare, Timisoara.
- Wang, Y.C. (2000). An Analysis of the Global Structural Behavior of the Cardington Steel-Framed Building during the Two BRE Fire Tests. *Journal of Engineering Structures*. Vol. 22, pp. 401-412.
- Wang, Y.C. and Kodur, V.K.R. (2000). Research towards Use of Unprotected Steel Structures. *Journal of Structural Engineering*, ASCE. Vol. 126, pp. 1442-1450.

- Wang, Y.C. (2002). *Steel and Composite Structures-Behavior and Design for Fire Safety*. Spon Press, London.
- Wang, Z.H., Au, S.K. and Tan, K.H. (2005). Heat Transfer Analysis Using a Green's Function Approach for Uniformly Insulated Steel Members Subjected to Fire. *Journal of Engineering Structures*. Vol. 27, pp. 1551-62.
- Wang, Z.H. and Tan, K.H. (2006). Residual Area Method for Heat Transfer Analysis of Concrete-Encased I-Sections in Fire. *Journal of Engineering Structures*. Vol. 28, pp. 411-22.
- Wang, Z.H. and Tan, K.H. (2006). Green's Function Solution for Transient Heat Conduction in Concrete-Filled CHS Subjected to Fire. *Journal of Engineering Structures*. Vol. 28, pp. 1574-1585.
- Wang, Y., Dong, Y.L., Li, B. and Zhou, G.C. (2013). A Fire Test on Continuous Reinforced Concrete Slabs in a Full-Scale Multi-Story Steel-Framed Building. *Fire Safety Journal*. Vol. 61, pp. 232-242.
- Watson, G.N. (1995). *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*. (2nd ed). Cambridge University Press.
- Wigle, V.R. and Fahnstock, .A. (2010). Buckling-Restrained Braced Frame Connection Performance. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 66, pp. 65-74.
- Wong, S.Y. (2001). *The Structural Response of Industrial Portal Frame Structures in Fire*. Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Civil and Structural Engineering, University of Sheffield, UK.
- World Trade Center Building Performance Study: Data Collection, Preliminary Observations, and Recommendations. (2002). *Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA 403)*, New York.
- Xiao, Y. (1989). *Experimental Study and Analytical Modeling of Triaxial Compressive Behavior of Confined Concrete*. Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Civil Engineering, Kyushu University

- Xiao, Y., Tomii, M. and Sakino, K. (1991). Triaxial Compressive Behaviour of Confined Concrete (in Japanese). *Journal of Concrete Research and Technology*, Japan Concrete Institute. Vol. 2, pp. 1-14.
- Xie, Q. (2004). State of the Art of Buckling-Restrained Braces in Asia. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 61, pp. 727-748.
- Xu, L. and Sun, J. (2012). Temperature Field Calculation and Analysis within Steel Tube Reinforced Columns. *The Open Civil Engineering Journal*. Vol. 6, pp. 15-20.
- Yu, C., Huang, Z., Burgess, I.W. and Plank, R. (2010). Development and Validation of 3D Composite Structural Elements at Elevated Temperatures. *Journal of Structural Engineering*. Vol. 136, pp. 275-284.
- Zha, X.X. (2003). FE Analysis of Fire Resistance of Concrete Filled CHS Columns. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*. Vol. 59, pp. 769-779.