

MOTIVATIONAL MODEL FOR CONTINUOUS INTENTION OF  
ELECTRONIC PORTFOLIO USAGE AMONG STUDENTS

ROKHSAREH MOBARHAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy (Information Systems)

Faculty of Computing  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

SEPTEMBER 2015

*To my lovely husband,  
my adorable parents and parents-in-law,  
my kind sister and brother*

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I appreciate the moment to express my sincere gratitude to my precious supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Azizah Abdul Rahman, for her encouragements and guidance, critics and friendship during these years. I am thankful to her.

I am very much grateful to my darling husband, Mr. Mojib Majidi, for his kind and never-ending motivations and encouragements; without his understanding and patience, I would not have been able to dedicate my time to my research and to make my path toward greater success.

I also admire and thank my respected parents and parents-in-law; without whom, I would not have the chance to understand the beauty of our universe, and the true meaning of love and patience, to this extent. I owe all the nice and valuable moments of my life to them.

I would also express my appreciation to lectures and staffs at faculty of computing for their kind assistance. Many of my friends are also worthy to be very much appreciated here: Dr. Hosein JafarKarimi, for his friendly participation in our scientific discussions, by sharing his views and tips and also my fellow laboratory mates in the Information & System Service Innovation Research Group: Robab Saadatdoost, Saman Foroutani, Masoumeh Zibarzani, Mohammad Dalvi, Farhad Hoseinpour, Dr. Nasrin Badie and Dr. Amin Saedi. I am also grateful to Mahtab Assadian, Dr. Moones Rahmandoust, Dr. Hamidreza Kazempour, Morteza Firouzi and Dr. Mohsen Khalily for their friendly help at various occasions.

I am also indebted to all of those who devoted their lives to keep the flame of knowledge and science burning brightly and beautifully all across the human history.

## ABSTRACT

The implementation of the electronic portfolio (e-Portfolio) has increased in higher education, as it can serve students in new and creative ways. However, despite its advantages, students are not willing to continue the use of the e-Portfolio system. One of the reasons is the lack of motivation to spend the time and effort required to use the system. The aim of this study is to provide a better understanding of the motivational factors affecting students' continuous intention regarding e-Portfolio usage by means of proposing a motivational model. The model is based on the synergy of four theories, namely, self-determination theory, use and gratification theory, unified theory of acceptance and use of technology, and Information Systems continuance model. A qualitative approach using face-to-face interviews was employed to confirm the factors identified in the literature and to explore potential factors that are not currently presented in the literature. Thereafter, a quantitative method using the survey technique was applied to collect data from students. The survey yielded 374 useable responses which were further analyzed using the Partial Least Squares–Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) technique. This technique was used to validate the measurement items and to test the hypotheses and research model. The results indicate that students' satisfaction is the most significant predictor of the students' continuous intention to use the e-Portfolio system, followed by social influences, perceived usefulness, facilitating conditions, feedback, long-term consequences of use, and perceived enjoyment. The results also indicate that five categories of uses and gratification, including outcome, content, process, social and technology gratification, have an influence on the students' continuous intention to use e-Portfolio. Based on the findings, this study provides some practical recommendations for higher education institutions to enhance the continued use of e-Portfolio among students and classifies based on the different roles of managers and administrators, academic advisors and lecturers, designers and developers, and students.

## ABSTRAK

Pelaksanaan portfolio elektronik (e-Portfolio) telah meningkat di peringkat pengajian yang tinggi, kerana ia mampu menyampaikan cara yang baru dan kreatif kepada pelajar. Walaupun ia terdapat banyak kelebihan, pelajar masih agak keberatan untuk meneruskan penggunaan sistem e-Portfolio. Antara kekangan yang dikenal pasti adalah kerana kurang motivasi untuk memperuntukan masa dan usaha yang diperlukan untuk menggunakan sistem ini. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk memberi pemahaman yang lebih mendalam tentang faktor motivasi yang boleh mempengaruhi niat pelajar yang secara berterusan dalam penggunaan e-Portfolio iaitu melalui cadangan model motivasi. Model ini adalah berdasarkan gabungan empat teori, iaitu teori penentuan sendiri, teori penggunaan dan kepuasan, teori kesatuan penerimaan dan penggunaan teknologi, dan model penerusan sistem maklumat. Pendekatan kualitatif menggunakan temubual bersemuka digunakan untuk mengesahkan faktor yang dikenal pasti dalam sorotan kajian dan untuk meneroka faktor yang berpotensi yang ketika ini tiada dalam sorotan kajian. Selepas itu, kaedah kuantitatif menggunakan teknik soal selidik diaplikasi untuk mengumpul data daripada pelajar. Kaji selidik ini menghasilkan 374 respon yang sah dan seterusnya dianalisis dengan lebih lanjut menggunakan teknik Kuasa Dua Terkecil Separa-Model Persamaan Berstruktur (PLS-SEM). Teknik ini digunakan untuk mengesahkan set soalan dan menguji hipotesis dan model penyelidikan. Keputusan menunjukkan kepuasan pelajar adalah faktor yang paling penting yang mempengaruhi niat pelajar untuk menggunakan sistem e-Portfolio secara berterusan, diikuti oleh pengaruh sosial, anggapan kepentingan, keadaan yang memudahkan, maklum balas, kesan jangka panjang penggunaan dan anggapan keseronokan. Keputusan ini juga menunjukkan lima kategori penggunaan dan kepuasan, termasuk hasil, kandungan, proses, sosial dan kepuasan teknologi mempunyai pengaruh terhadap niat pelajar untuk menggunakan e-Portfolio secara berterusan. Berdasarkan hasil dapatan, kajian menyarankan beberapa cadangan praktikal untuk pihak pengajian tinggi dalam meningkatkan penggunaan berterusan e-Portfolio di kalangan pelajar dan cadangan dikategorikan mengikut peranan sebagai pengurus dan pentadbir, penasihat akademik dan pensyarah, pereka dan pembangun sistem, serta pelajar.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiii
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xvi
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xvii
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xix
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem Background	3
	1.3 Problem Statement	6
	1.4 Research Questions	7
	1.5 Research Objectives	8
	1.6 Scope of the Research	8
	1.7 Significance of the Study	9
	1.8 Structure of the Thesis	10
	1.9 Chapter Summary	11
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>12</b>
	2.1 Introduction	12
	2.2 Electronic Portfolio	13

2.2.1	Different Purposes of e-Portfolio	15
2.2.2	E-Portfolio Development Procedure	16
2.2.3	Students' E-Portfolio Users	17
2.2.4	Importance of E-portfolio in Students' Educations	18
	2.2.4.1 Educational and Learning Perspective	20
	2.2.4.2 Employment Perspective	22
	2.2.4.3 Individual Perspective	22
2.3	Continuous Use	23
	2.3.1 The Role of Satisfaction in IS Continuance Intention to Use	24
	2.3.2 Continuance Intention to Use Models and Theories	24
	2.3.2.1 Expectation Confirmation Theory	25
	2.3.2.2 IS Continuance Model	27
2.4	Motivation	28
	2.4.1 Motivational Models and Theories	30
	2.4.1.1 Self-Determination Theory	30
	2.4.1.2 Use and Gratification Theory	32
2.5	Variable Used in Prior Researches	37
	2.6.1 Social Influences	40
	2.6.2 Perceived Enjoyment	40
	2.6.3 Effort Expectancy	41
2.6	Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology	42
2.7	Chapter Summary	45
<b>3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>46</b>
3.1	Introduction	46
3.2	Research Paradigm	46
	3.2.1 The Selected Research Paradigm	48
3.3	Research Approaches	49
	3.3.1 The Selected Research Approach	51
3.4	Case Study Strategy	52

3.5	Research Design	52
3.5.1	Stage 1: Problem Formulation	54
3.5.2	Stage 2: Literature Review	54
3.5.3	Stage 3: Preliminary Investigation	55
3.5.3.1	Interview Questions Development	56
3.5.3.2	Sampling strategy	57
3.5.3.3	Interviews Sample Size	59
3.5.3.4	Interview Session	59
3.5.3.5	Interview Analysis	60
3.5.4	Stage 4: Survey Development	62
3.5.4.1	Instrumentation	62
3.5.4.2	Content Validity	71
3.5.4.3	Pretest	73
3.5.4.4	Pilot Survey	73
3.5.5	Stage 5: Survey Findings	75
3.5.5.1	Final Data Sample Size	76
3.5.5.2	Questionnaire Distribution	77
3.6	Data Analysis Method	78
3.7	Data Analysis Procedure	80
3.7.1	Data Screening	81
3.7.1.1	Missing Data	82
3.7.1.2	Suspicious Response Patterns	82
3.7.1.3	Outliers	82
3.7.1.4	Data Distribution	83
3.7.2	Measurement Model Specification	83
3.7.3	Reflective Measurement Model Assessment	85
3.7.3.1	Reliability	86
3.7.3.2	Validity	87
3.7.4	Formative Measurement Model Assessment	88
3.7.4.1	Assessing Convergent Validity	89
3.7.4.2	Assessing Collinearity Issues	90



	3.7.4.3	Assessing the Relevance and Significance of the Formative Indicators	90
	3.7.5	Structural Model Assessment	92
	3.7.5.1	Assessing Collinearity Issues	94
	3.7.5.2	Determining Path Coefficients	94
	3.7.5.3	Determining R <sup>2</sup> Value	95
	3.7.5.4	Determining Effect Size f <sup>2</sup>	95
	3.7.5.5	Determining Predictive Relevance of Q <sup>2</sup>	96
	3.8	Chapter Summary	96
<b>4</b>		<b>RESEARCH MODEL AND HYPOTHESES DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>97</b>
	4.1	Introduction	97
	4.2	Initial Research Model	98
	4.2.1	Expected Outcome Gratification	99
	4.2.1.1	Perceived Usefulness	100
	4.2.2	Content Gratification	101
	4.2.2.1	Informativeness	101
	4.2.2.2	Information Sharing	102
	4.2.2.3	Self-Documentation	103
	4.2.3	Process Gratification	103
	4.2.3.1	Perceived Autonomy	104
	4.2.3.2	Perceived Competence	104
	4.2.3.3	Perceived Enjoyment	105
	4.2.4	Social Gratification	106
	4.2.4.1	Perceived Relatedness	106
	4.2.4.2	Social Interactivity	107
	4.2.4.3	Social Influences	107
	4.2.5	Technology Gratification	108
	4.2.5.1	Effort Expectancy	109
	4.2.6	Confirmation	109
	4.2.7	Satisfaction and Continuous Intention to Use e-Portfolio	110

4.3	Preliminary Study	111
4.3.1	Background of UTM e-Portfolio	112
4.3.2	Preliminary Findings	113
4.4	Proposed Research Model	117
4.4.1	Long-Term Consequences of Use	117
4.4.2	Feedback	119
4.4.3	Facilitating Conditions	120
4.5	Chapter Summary	124
<b>5</b>	<b>DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS</b>	<b>125</b>
5.1	Introduction	125
5.2	Pilot Survey Analysis	125
5.2.1	Measurement Model Specification	126
5.2.2	Reflective Measurement Model Analysis	131
5.2.3	Formative Measurement Model Analysis	136
5.3	Final Data Analysis	137
5.3.1	Respondents' Profiles	138
5.3.2	Data Analysis Procedure	139
5.3.2.1	Data Screening	140
5.3.2.2	Measurement Model Analysis	140
5.3.2.3	Structural Model Analysis	146
5.4	Final Research Model	153
5.5	Additional Findings	158
5.5.1	Heterogeneity of Observations	159
5.5.1.1	University as a Moderator	159
5.5.1.2	Gender as a Moderator	162
5.5.2	Hierarchical Component Model Analysis	164
5.6	Recommendations	167
5.6.1	Managers and Administrators	174
5.6.2	Lecturers and Academic Advisors	175
5.6.3	Designers and Developers	176
5.6.4	Students	177
5.6.5	Evaluation Process	178

5.7	Chapter Summary	180
<b>6</b>	<b>DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION</b>	<b>181</b>
6.1	Introduction	181
6.2	Discussion	181
6.3	Summary of Major Findings	189
6.3.1	First Objective: Motivational Factors	189
6.3.2	Second Objective: Research Model	190
6.3.3	Third Objective: Recommendations	190
6.4	Research Contributions	191
6.4.1	Theoretical Contributions	191
6.4.2	Practical Contributions	192
6.5	Research Limitations	194
6.6	Future Research Avenues	195
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>197</b>
	Appendices A - I	227-246

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Existing motivational factors, based on Use and Gratification Theory	33
2.2	Summary of Previous Researches on Users' Continuance Intention	38
2.3	Conceptual definition of the proposed constructs of the research model	44
3.1	Details of problem formulation stage	54
3.2	Details of literature review stage	55
3.3	Details of preliminary investigation stage	56
3.4	Different content analysis approaches	61
3.5	Details of survey development stage	62
3.6	Measurement items for each construct	65
3.7	Experts' background	72
3.8	Modified items based on the content validity	72
3.9	Details of survey findings stage	76
3.10	Role of thumb for selecting PLS-SEM or CB-SEM	79
3.11	Reflective vs. Formative measurement model	84
3.12	Summary of analysis tests for reflective measurement model	86
3.13	Summary of analysis tests for formative measurement model	89
3.14	Structural model analysis tests	93
4.1	Interviewees' background	113
4.2	Coding of the interview data into themes	115
4.3	Research constructs and their operational definitions	122
4.4	Summary of research hypotheses	123

5.1	Respondents' demographic information (pilot survey)	126
5.2	Reflective or formative measurement model specification	128
5.3	Summary of reliability tests (pilot survey)	132
5.4	Convergent validity test ( pilot survey)	134
5.5	Fornell-Larcker criterion (pilot survey)	135
5.6	Collinearity statistics (pilot survey)	137
5.7	Significance and relevance of FC indicators (pilot survey)	137
5.8	Number of questionnaires	138
5.9	Respondents' demographic information (final survey)	139
5.10	Summary of data screening results	140
5.11	Summary of reliability tests (final survey)	141
5.12	Convergent validity tests (final survey)	143
5.13	Fornell-Larcker criterion (final survey)	144
5.14	Collinearity statistics (final survey)	145
5.15	Significance and relevance of FC indicators (final survey)	146
5.16	Collinearity assessment among the sets of independent variables	147
5.17	Summary of $R^2$ value	147
5.18	Summary of Structural Model Path Coefficients	149
5.19	Summary of the $f^2$ effect sizes	150
5.20	Summary of the predictive relevance $Q^2$	151
5.21	Summary of the predictive relevance $q^2$	152
5.22	Summary of hypotheses testing	153
5.23	Summary of $R^2$ values among UTM and UKM students	160
5.24	Summary of path significances among UKM and UTM students	161
5.25	Summary of $R^2$ values among male and female students	162
5.26	Summary of path significances among male and female students	163
5.27	Summary of path significances of the HOCs	166
5.28	List of Recommendations	167

5.29	Summary of recommendation evaluation results	179
------	--	-----

**LIST OF FIGURES**

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Structure of Chapter 2	13
2.2	Expectation confirmation theory	26
2.3	IS continuance model	27
2.4	UTAUT model	43
3.1	Research design	53
3.2	Outer model vs. inner model	80
3.3	Final data analysis procedure	81
3.4	Reflective vs. formative indicators	85
3.5	Convergent validity assessment for formative construct	90
3.6	Assessing the significance and relevance of formative indicators	91
3.7	Structural model assessment steps	92
4.1	Initial research model	99
4.2	Proposed research model	121
5.1	Convergent validity test for FC (pilot data)	136
5.2	Convergent validity test for FC (final survey)	145
5.3	Students' motivational model for the continuous use of e-Portfolio	158
5.4	Research Model considering HOCs	165

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AVE	-	Average Variance Extracted
CA	-	Cronbach's Alpha
CB	-	Covariance Based
CIN	-	Continuance Intention
CONF	-	Confirmation
CR	-	Composite Reliability
ECM	-	Expectation Confirmation Model
ECT	-	Expectation Confirmation Theory
EE	-	Effort Expectancy
FC	-	Facilitating Conditions
FEED	-	Feedback
ICT	-	Information and Communication Technology
IDT	-	Innovation Diffusion Theory
INFO	-	Informativeness
INSH	-	Information Sharing
IS	-	Information Systems
ISCM	-	Information Systems Continuance Model
IT	-	Information Technology
LC	-	Long-term Consequences
MGA	-	Multi Group Analysis
PA	-	Perceived Autonomy
PC	-	Perceived Competence
PE	-	Perceived Enjoyment
PLS	-	Partial Least Squares
PR	-	Perceived Relatedness
PU	-	Perceived Usefulness



SAT	-	Satisfaction
SCT	-	Social Cognitive Theory
SD	-	Self-Documentation
SDT	-	Self-Determination Theory
SEM	-	Structural Equation Modeling
SI	-	Social Interactivity
SIN	-	Social Influences
SIN	-	Social Influences
TAM	-	Technology Acceptance Model
TPB	-	Theory of Planned Behavior
TRA	-	Theory of Reasoned Action
UGT	-	Uses and Gratification Theory
UKM	-	Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
UTAUT	-	Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
VIF	-	Variance Inflation Factor

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	E-Portfolio Different Definitions	227
B	Classification of the e-Portfolio Definitions into the Themes	228
C	Survey Questionnaire	229
D	G*Power Setting	234
E	The Frequencies of the Interviews' Themes	235
F	Cross-Loading Table (Pilot Survey)	236
G	Means, Standard Deviation, Skewness and Kurtosis Values	239
H	Cross-Loading Table (Final Survey)	241
I	Recommendations' Evaluation Form	244

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Introduction**

The development of information technologies has become an inseparable part of any organization in recent years. New technology has enabled universities to serve students in new and creative ways, such as delivering the learning material and administrative services via electronic tools to make the services easier, cheaper, faster and more efficient and effective than manual tools (Sutarso and Suharmadi, 2011). Following the introduction of the internet to the educational context, some pedagogical tools have been transformed to electronic versions. The internet and its supplementary instructive tools have provided numerous benefits such as increased accessibility, time savings and the enhanced ability to share experience and information (Baris and Tosun, 2011).

Universities also faced the demand to reduce the university workload and increase engagement, and it was seen that this could be achieved through sharing the procedures with students to enable them to easily perform their tasks. Electronic-based services were seen as the best solution for both students and universities (Sutarso and Suharmadi, 2011). Therefore, a global effort has been made to incorporate electronic services into the learning environment, with the focus of integrating IT with teaching. Based on the results of this effort, electronic portfolios (e-Portfolios) have emerged as one of the latest educational tools. The e-Portfolio is a student-centered online system that collects learners' output in order to demonstrate

their progress and to enable the learners to watch, assess and share their achievements and experiences and to reflect on their educational accomplishments (Chen et al., 2012b).

The utilization of the e-Portfolio in the educational context has increased over recent decades (Baris and Tosun, 2013; Kimball, 2005 ; Lorenzo and Ittelson, 2005; Shroff et al., 2013; Yu, 2011). Recently, they have become an essential tool in higher education institutions (Huang et al., 2011b). The e-Portfolio system is capable of promoting the students' educational performance through various styles of learning and even changing the nature of learning environments (Ayala, 2006). E-Portfolio provides students with the opportunity to create, develop and share their reflections and ideas (Baris and Tosun, 2013). According to the literature, when students are using e-Portfolio, they feel more positive and confident regarding their learning (Hussein, 2009; Shroff et al., 2011; Wright et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2007).

E-Portfolios can play a fundamental role in supporting students as a navigational strategy for 21st century learning outcomes. Undoubtedly, the new technologies will move on and the e-Portfolio functionality will change in the future. However, lifelong learning is an important concept that has been prioritized in the e-Portfolio as it records the learning process and exchanges information (Stefani et al., 2007). According to Dorninger and Schrack (2008), the e-Portfolio can promote the idea of lifelong learning and support individuals throughout their studies, training and career-related experiences.

This chapter provides an overview of the study. Firstly, it looks into the background of the research problem (Section 1.2). Then, it presents the problem statement (Section 1.3). It next reviews the main research questions (Section 1.4), followed by the research objectives (Section 1.5). The scope of this research is also outlined (Section 1.6). The chapter then reviews the significance of the study in terms of its theoretical and practical contributions (Section 1.7). Finally, the chapter is summarized in the last section.

## 1.2 Problem Background

Although the use of new educational technology has recently increased, technology acceptance and usage has remained a challenging topic for educational institutes (Baylor and Ritchie, 2002; Gong et al., 2004; Saunders and Klemming, 2003). Researchers have found that, despite the huge investments made by higher education institutions in pedagogical technology, most systems have been totally abandoned due to limited usage (Liu et al., 2009; Park, 2009; Teo, 2009). Prior studies indicate that the long-term feasibility and success of an information system depends more on the users' continuous use rather than on the initial use (Bhattacharjee, 2001; Davis et al., 1989; Hung et al., 2007; Zheng et al., 2013) (a detailed elaboration of continuous use is presented in Chapter 2 (Section 2.3)). Therefore, the students' continuous intention to use e-Portfolio is a critical challenge in higher education in order to ensure the long-term use of the system and ensure the return on the investment.

Some researchers suggest that the continuous use of an IT system or tool may be habitual (Limayem et al., 2007), while some others indicate that continuance usage behavior may rely on emotional or affective reactions (Agarwal and Karahanna, 2000) (discussed in Chapter 2 (Section 2.3)). However, Bhattacharjee and Lin (2014) reported that there was no empirical research exploring the role of these kinds of influences on the users' intention to continue the use of the system. Consequently, the effect of these factors on students' continuous intention to use e-Portfolio should be examined.

A comprehensive literature review revealed that there are few studies looking into the e-Portfolio continuance intention. The existing studies were done by Hsieh (2014) and Hwang (2011). Hsieh et al. (2014) conducted a longitudinal study and explained the changes in students' beliefs about e-Portfolio use from adoption to the continued use stage. They treated expectation and confirmation as the key factors in users' attitudes and beliefs regarding the continuous use of the IS. Hwang et al. (2011) aimed to explain students' behavior and continuous use of e-Portfolio by integrating the technology acceptance model (TAM) and the information systems

continuance model (ISCM) to investigate the influential factors on e-Portfolio continuance intention. Their findings are discussed in more detail in Chapter 2 (Section 2.5, Table 2.2).

Since an e-Portfolio is a student-centered learning system, its effectiveness will be established by the students' long-term use of the system (Hsieh et al., 2014). According to the literature, students' behaviors and intentions towards e-Portfolio usage have not been clearly identified, in comparison to the understanding of users' behaviors and intentions towards other information systems (Shroff et al., 2011). Consequently, in order to increase the levels of usage, university administrators and educators should try to recognize the complicated and diverse range of students' needs, purposes and preferences regarding e-Portfolio usage. These aspects then need to be combined into the procedure of e-Portfolio development and implementation (Abrami and Barrett, 2005; Swan, 2009).

Furthermore, some of the research in the continuance intention area has looked at students' continuance intention by integrating the technology acceptance models. However, the individual's continuance intention is not understandable using traditional technology acceptance models, which leads to a theoretical gap between acceptance and the intention to continue to use the system (Kim and Malhotra, 2005; Mark and Vogel, 2009).

From another angle, it is generally understood by researchers that motivation is the main significant factor influencing users' behavior (as discussed in more detail in Chapter 2, Section 2.4). Student motivation is an ongoing issue and challenge. Similarly, the biggest challenge in the e-Portfolio context is to motivate students to spend their effort and time in using the e-Portfolio system (Heinrich et al., 2007). Moreover, a key requirement for effective learning from the use of e-Portfolios is that students are motivated (Al Kahtani, 1999; Tosh et al., 2005). If students are not sufficiently motivated, using the e-Portfolio becomes an unpleasant task, and students only perform the minimum work required to get grades, which decreases the e-Portfolio's advantages (Tuksinvarajarn and Todd, 2009).

Another major issue is enhancing the learners' intrinsic motivation to willingly engage in the e-Portfolio process (Barrett, 2005b). Students are usually known for performing an activity that offers direct rewards such as marks and grades. Although it is generally understood that students are less active in e-Portfolios if no grades are provided, it sometimes happens that students are inspired by individual pleasure in developing their portfolios (Struyven et al., 2003). It shows that the students' intrinsic motivation is significant for e-Portfolio continuance utilization, as well as extrinsic motivation. The students' understanding of the system and its advantages and values can thus be significant in motivating the student to use the system.

Based on a review of the previous studies, it is concluded that a key factor influencing students' behavior towards their learning process in the educational context is the students' motivation. Consequently, this study attempts to highlight the role of motivation in sustaining e-Portfolio utilization. Motivation to use often requires an answer to the question of "what is in it for me?". The added-value of e-Portfolio utilization needs to be identified in order to enhance the level of student engagement (Tosh et al., 2005). In spite of the advantages of the e-Portfolio for students, less attention has been paid to exploring the students' motivational reasons for using e-Portfolio. When developing an e-Portfolio system, the students' motivational factors must be taken into account, as the success of these kinds of systems relies on the students' intention to continue to use the system.

As an example, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) implemented an e-Portfolio system in 2005 (Wardah Zainal and Rose Alinda Alias, 2013). The main objective was to assess and monitor the students' achievements within semesters. To achieve this aim, the rule was set making e-Portfolio use mandatory for first year students (the background of the UTM e-Portfolio is presented in Chapter 4 (Section 4.3.1)). It was expected that, within the first year, students would get to know the system and would then continue its usage in the following years. However, according to the UTM e-Portfolio administrators and Zainal-Abidin (2011), the results showed the contrary outcome. The number of active users throughout these years did not reflect any incline. This means that students only used the system in the

first year because it was compulsory and then they abandoned it for the remainder of their academic career. This problem has become a critical issue for UTM and for the e-Portfolio owners and managers.

### **1.3 Problem Statement**

Contemporary teaching has become more flexible through the use of new technologies to organize online learning and overcome the restrictions of traditional teaching approaches. In recent years, many universities have begun using e-Portfolio systems as a new technology for evaluation and education (Barrett, 2004a; Chang et al., 2011; Lopez-Fernandez and Rodriguez-Illera, 2009). Universities are starting to set up e-Portfolio systems to get the benefits of supporting student accomplishments, both for peer and self-reflection, and showcasing student performance, in addition to providing a tool for making learning assessment more reliable (Kong et al., 2009; Orland-Barak, 2005; Pelliccione and Raison, 2009). However, there is a need to understand how to increase the long-term use of an e-Portfolio system. In this regard, two main emphases need to be contemplated.

The first emphasis is on e-Portfolio continuance intention. The e-Portfolio system is considered to be an important self-learning platform, which helps share experiences and knowledge and supports self-reflection. E-Portfolio is a useful tool for recording the students' educational work and presenting their attempts, accomplishments and self-reflection (Huang et al., 2011a). Moreover, it is a student-centered tool and its success and effectiveness relies on the students' long-term use of the system (Hsieh et al., 2014). Stefani (2007: 135) identified some questions that need to be addressed in future research, including: "Will students want to engage with e-Portfolio over an extended period, perhaps their entire life?". This question indicates the importance of the continuous use of e-Portfolio. It points out that, if students are provided with sufficient knowledge to understand the e-Portfolio benefits for their career and learning development, they will be more encouraged to continue the use of e-Portfolio (Chen et al., 2012b). However, the students' intentions towards the continued use of e-Portfolio should be investigated. Based on



the current studies on e-Portfolio usage, it is not easy to understand the students' reasons to change their beliefs regarding the long-term use of e-Portfolio (Hsieh et al., 2014). Moreover, the review of the literature revealed that researchers have paid less attention to the continuous usage stage of e-Portfolio. Therefore, this research focuses on the continuance intention of e-Portfolio usage.

The second emphasis is on student motivation in order to increase students' continuous intention to use e-Portfolio. Researchers have explained that the students' motivation when using their portfolios is a significant issue (Al Kahtani, 1999; Chang, 2001; Tosh et al., 2005). As the previous section explained, despite the importance of e-Portfolio in the students' learning process, there still exist some issues and challenges regarding the students' intention to continue to use the system. The problem is that the role of motivation has almost been ignored in the literature. In order to encourage the students, their needs and motives must be taken into consideration. In contrast with earlier studies, this research highlights the particular question of "How can universities motivate students to use e-Portfolio continuously, even after their graduation?".

Consequently, this research is designed to address these gaps by investigating e-Portfolio motivational factors from the students' perspective. It is expected that the findings will help to increase students' continuous intention to use the system, as it is stated that the significant factor influencing both behavioral intention and attitudes towards the use of e-Portfolios is "user motivation".

#### **1.4 Research Questions**

The main concern of this research is: "How can students be motivated to continue the use of e-Portfolio in higher education institutions?". To address this problem, the following sub-research questions have been drawn up:

1. What are the key motivational factors that contribute to increase the students' continuous intention to use the e-Portfolio system?

2. How can a motivational model that describes e-Portfolio continuous intention to use among students be designed?

3. How can the identified motivational factors be considered in e-Portfolio system implementation?

## **1.5 Research Objectives**

This study emphasizes three main objectives as follows:

1. To identify the motivational factors that would help to increase the students' continuous intention to use the e-Portfolio system.

2. To propose a motivational model that describes e-Portfolio continuous intention to use among students.

3. To make recommendations on e-Portfolio implementation based on the identified motivational factors.

## **1.6 Scope of the Research**

This study aims to solve the problem of e-Portfolio continuous intention to use by considering the role of motivation in the proposed model. The target organizations are the higher education institutions that have been using e-Portfolio systems. The focus of this study is on Malaysian universities, specifically those that have implemented an e-Portfolio system and have been using the system for several semesters. There are different users of the e-Portfolio system, including students, academic advisors, lecturers and administrators. The students are the most common users of e-Portfolio in the university context. This study is only targeted at the students who have used and were engaged with an e-Portfolio system. Therefore,

only the students' e-Portfolio usage comes within the scope of the study. A literature review and interviews were conducted to understand the motivational factors from students' point of view. This was followed by a survey-based method using a questionnaire. The collected data were analyzed using the structural equation modeling (SEM) technique and SmartPLS 2.0 software.

## **1.7 Significance of the Research**

The use of an e-Portfolio system offers numerous advantages for universities, educators and learners. Thus, sustaining the e-Portfolio usage requires a significant effort on the part of universities. Students are the most important users of the e-Portfolio system. The e-Portfolio is a learner-centered system, which allows students to manage their own learning environment. It increases the students' control and responsibilities over how they want achieve their learning objectives. The continuous use of the e-Portfolio can help students to understand their weaknesses and strengths, which leads to increases in the learning outcome and performance. Moreover, it is helpful in job-seeking processes in the future as it helps to record information that students can use in their resume. Therefore, they can work more efficiently by using the e-Portfolio. Furthermore, universities can take the benefits of e-Portfolio usage through the ability to assess the students' progress over the semesters and provide advice to improve their achievements. Therefore, any research that can assist to improve the continuous intention to use e-Portfolio systems is valuable. The results of the study will make a contribution to the body of knowledge through both theoretical and practical implications and through suggestions for future research. The results from this study can be used to enhance the current e-Portfolio usage and as a guide for ensuring the success of future e-Portfolio project implementation.

In terms of theoretical contributions, this research enhances the literature on e-Portfolio systems and the relevant theories of motivation and IS continuous intention to use. As part of that, the intention of this research is to support the motivational processes of e-Portfolio usage in higher education institutions. This study extends the ISCM by integrating it with the self-determination theory (SDT),

the use and gratification theory (UGT) and the unified theory of acceptance and use of technology (UTAUT). This integration helps to understand e-Portfolio continuous intention to use from the motivational perspective. Therefore, this research is among the first to empirically examine the impact of students' motivation on e-Portfolio continuance intention. Regarding the methodology, the study provides comprehensive information on how to develop and analyze a survey with the specific tests and methods that are relevant to this domain of enquiry.

In terms of practical contributions, the proposed research model provides a better understanding of how students perceive and evaluate e-Portfolio systems and how they can be encouraged to continue their use of the system. Universities must take into account the motivational factors identified in the model to enhance students' intention to use e-Portfolio continuously. The research offers practical recommendations for universities on how to enhance students' motivation to use the system. These instructions relate to different e-Portfolio users in a university, including managers and administrators, designers and developers, lecturers and academic advisors, and students. Furthermore, the findings can be useful for universities that are planning to implement an e-Portfolio system to consider these factors in the first steps of development and implementation.

## **1.8 Structure of the Thesis**

This thesis consists of six chapters, with the structure of the thesis organized as follows:

Chapter 1 presented the introduction of the research context and problem background. It also highlighted the research objective, significance and scope.

Chapter 2 provides comprehensive information regarding the literature on e-Portfolio systems, continuous usage, motivation and the relevant theories and models of motivation and continuous use.

Chapter 3 presents the methodology and research design. This chapter reviews the research paradigm and research approaches of the study. The research design is also developed with the details of each step presented.

Chapter 4 provides the information about the constructs of the model and the preliminary findings from the interviews. Then it discusses how the research model was developed.

Chapter 5 provides detailed information on the data analysis results. It first presents the results of the pilot data for finalizing the questionnaire and then shows the data analysis of the proposed model and hypotheses using the SEM method with SmartPLS 2.0 and discusses the recommendations on how to implement the identified motivational factors.

Chapter 6 emphasizes the key findings of the study and draws the conclusions along with highlighting the contributions and implications, limitations and future research directions.

## **1.9 Chapter Summary**

This chapter introduced the research topic and discussed the issues and problems within the topic of e-Portfolio continuous intention to use. It then highlighted the problem statement, research questions and research objectives. The scope and significance of the research were also explained. Finally, it presented the structure of the thesis.

## REFERENCES

- Abrami, P. C. and Barrett, H. C. (2005). Directions for research and development on electronic portfolios. *Canadian Journal of Learning and Technology* 31(3): 1-15.
- Agarwal, R. and Karahanna, E. (2000). Time flies when you're having fun: Cognitive absorption and beliefs about information technology usage. *MIS quarterly* 24(4): 665-694.
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational behavior and human decision processes* 50(2): 179-211.
- Ajzen, I. (2005). *Attitudes, personality, and behavior* 2nd ed. England: McGraw-Hill International.
- Al-Maghrabi, T., Dennis, C. and Halliday, S. V. (2011). Antecedents of continuance intentions towards e-shopping: The case of Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management* 24(1): 85-111.
- Al Kahtani, S. (1999). Electronic portfolios in ESL writing: An alternative approach. *Computer Assisted Language Learning* 12(3): 261-268.
- Alam Napitupulu, T., Patria, J. and Hasoloan, S. (2013). Factors that determine electronic medical records users satisfaction: A case of Indonesia. *Journal of Theoretical & Applied Information Technology* 58(3): 499-505.
- Almahamid, S. and Rub, F. A. (2011). *Factors that determine continuance intention to use e-learning system: An empirical investigation*. Paper presented at the International Conference on Telecommunication Technology and Applications, Singapore.
- Alraimi, K. M., Zo, H. and Ciganek, A. P. (2015). Understanding the MOOCs continuance: The role of openness and reputation. *Computers & Education* 80: 28-38.
- Ayala, J. (2006). Electronic portfolios for whom? *Educause Quarterly* 29(1): 12-13.
- Babbie, E. (1990). *Survey research methods* 2nd ed.: Cengage Learning.

- Babbie, E. (1992). *The practice of social research* 6th ed.: Wadsworth Publishing Company.
- Babbie, E. (2012). *The practice of social research* 13th ed.: Cengage Learning.
- Balaban, I., Mu, E. and Divjak, B. (2013). Development of an electronic portfolio system success model : An information systems approach. *Computers & Education* 60: 396-411.
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological review* 84(2): 191-215.
- Barclay, D., Higgins, C. and Thompson, R. (1995). The partial least squares (pls) approach to causal modeling: Personal computer adoption and use as an illustration. *Technology studies* 2(2): 285-309.
- Baris, M. F. and Tosun, N. (2011). E-portfolio in lifelong learning applications. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences* 28: 522-525.
- Baris, M. F. and Tosun, N. (2013). Can social networks and e-portfolio be used together for enhancing learning effects and attitudes? *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology* 12(2): 51-62.
- Barker, K. C. (2003). Eportfolio quality standards: An international development project Discussion paper, Retrieved 8/3/2015 1-12. FuturEd website: <http://www.futured.com/ePortfolioQualityStandardsProject.pdf.pdf>
- Barnes, S. J. (2011). Understanding use continuance in virtual worlds: Empirical test of a research model. *Information & Management* 48(8): 313-319.
- Barnes, S. J. and Böhringer, M. (2011). Modeling use continuance behavior in microblogging services: The case of twitter. *Journal of Computer Information Systems* 51(4): 1-10.
- Barrett, H. C. (2000). Electronic teaching portfolios: Multimedia skills+ portfolio development= powerful professional development. Electronic Portfolio. San Diego, California, American Association for Higher Education. 1-3: 1110-1116.
- Barrett, H. C. (2004a). *Differentiating electronic portfolios and online assessment management systems*. Paper presented at the Society for Information Technology & Teacher Education International Conference Chesapeake, VA.
- Barrett, H. C. (2004b). Setting an agenda for research on electronic portfolios: Past practices and new directions. *The Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association Conference*. Retrieved 8/3/2015, from <http://electronicportfolios.org/aera/AERA2004slides.pdf>

- Barrett, H. C. (2005a). Digital storytelling research design. Retrieved 8/3/2015, from <http://electronicportfolios.com/digistory/ResearchDesign.pdf>
- Barrett, H. C. (2005b). White paper: Researching electronic portfolios and learner engagement. *Researching Electronic PortFolios: Learning, Engagement, Collaboration, through Technology*. Retrieved 8/3/2015, from <http://electronicportfolios.com/reflect/whitepaper.pdf>
- Barrett, H. C. (2010). Balancing the two faces of eportfolios. *Educação, Formação & Tecnologias* 3(1): 6-14.
- Bartlett, J. E., Kotrlik, J. W. K. J. W. and Higgins, C. (2001). Organizational research: Determining appropriate sample size in survey research appropriate sample size in survey research. *Information technology, learning, and performance journal* 19(1): 43-43.
- Batson, T. (2002). Electronic portfolio boom: What's it all about? *Campus Technology*, 11.
- Baylor, A. L. and Ritchie, D. (2002). What factors facilitate teacher skill, teacher morale, and perceived student learning in technology-using classrooms? *Computers & Education* 39(4): 395-414.
- Benbasat, I., Goldstein, D. K. and Mead, M. (1987). The case research strategy in studies of information systems. *MIS quarterly* 11(3): 369-386.
- Berg, B. L. (2001). *Qualitative research methods for the social sciences* 7th ed.: Allyn and Bacon.
- Bertaux, D. (1981). From the life-history approach to the transformation of sociological practice. *Biography and society: The life history approach in the social sciences*: 29-45.
- Bhattacharya, M. and Hartnett, M. (2007). *E-portfolio assessment in higher education*. Paper presented at the 37th ASEE/IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference, Milwaukee, WI
- Bhattacharjee, A. (2001). Understanding information systems continuance: An expectation confirmation model. *MIS Quarterly* 25(3): 351-370.
- Bhattacharjee, A. and Lin, C.-P. (2014). A unified model of it continuance: Three complementary perspectives and crossover effects. *European Journal of Information Systems*.



- Bhattacharjee, A., Perols, J. and Sanford, C. (2008). Information technology continuance: A theoretic extension and empirical test. *Journal of Computer Information Systems* 49(1): 17-26.
- Bilodeau, R. (2006). *The motivational strength of intentions*. Paper presented at the The Twenty-First World Congress of Philosophy.
- Blumler, J. G. and Katz, E. (1974). *The uses of mass communications: Current perspectives on gratifications research*. CA: SAGE Publications.
- Bollen, K. A. (2007). Interpretational confounding is due to misspecification, not to type of indicator: Comment on howell, breivik, and wilcox (2007). *Psychological Methods* 12(2): 219-228.
- Bolton, R. N. and Lemon, K. N. (1999). A dynamic model of customers' usage of services: Usage as an antecedent and consequence of satisfaction. *Journal of marketing research* 36(2): 171-186.
- Bousslama, F., Lansari, A., Al-Rawi, A. and Abonamah, A. (2003). A novel outcome-based educational model and its effect on student learning, curriculum development, and assessment. *Journal of Information Technology Education: Research* 2(1): 203-214.
- Brick, J. M. and Kalton, G. (1996). Handling missing data in survey research. *Statistical methods in medical research* 5(3): 215-238.
- Brooks, J. M. (1997). Beyond teaching and learning paradigms: Trekking into the virtual university. *Teaching Sociology* 25(1): 1-14.
- Broussard, S. C. and Garrison, M. E. B. (2004). The relationship between classroom motivation and academic achievement in elementary school-aged children. *Family and Consumer Sciences Research Journal* 33(2): 106-120.
- Browne, R. H. (1995). On the use of a pilot sample for sample size determination. *Statistics in Medicine* 14(17): 1933-1940.
- Bryman, A. (2008). *Social research methods* 3rd ed. ed. New York: Oxford university press.
- Burke, D. (2009). Strategies for using feedback students bring to higher education. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education* 34(1): 41-50.
- Burns, K. E. A., Duffett, M., Kho, M. E., Meade, M. O., Adhikari, N. K. J., Sinuff, T. and Cook, D. J. (2008). A guide for the design and conduct of self-administered surveys of clinicians. *Canadian Medical Association Journal* 179(3): 245-252.

- Butler, P. (2006). A review of the literature on portfolios and electronic portfolios (ecdf eportfolio project). New Zealand, Massey University College of Education: 1-23.
- Buzzetto-More, N. and Alade, A. (2008). The pentagonal e-portfolio model for selecting, adopting, building, and implementing an e-portfolio. *Journal of Information Technology Education* 7: 184-208.
- Cambridge, D. (2008). Audience, integrity, and the living document: Efolio minnesota and lifelong and lifewide learning with eportfolios. *Computers & Educational Leadership* 51(3): 1227-1246.
- Cambridge, D. (2010). *Eportfolios for lifelong learning and assessment* 1st ed.: Jossey-Bass.
- Cambridge, D., Cambridge, B. and Yancey, K. B. (2009). *Electronic portfolios 2.0: Emergent research on implementation and impact*. Virginia, USA: Stylus Publishing.
- Canrinus, E. T., Helms-Lorenz, M., Beijaard, D., Buitink, J. and Hofman, A. (2012). Self-efficacy, job satisfaction, motivation and commitment: Exploring the relationships between indicators of teachers' professional identity. *European journal of psychology of education* 27(1): 115-132.
- Carmines, E. G. and Zeller, R. A. (1979). *Reliability and validity assessment* 1st ed.: SAGE Publications.
- Cassell, C. and Symon, G. (2004). *Essential guide to qualitative methods in organizational research* 1st ed.: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Catanzaro, M. (1988). Using qualitative analytical techniques. *Nursing research: Theory and practice*: 437-456.
- Chakraborty, G., Srivastava, P. and Warren, D. L. (2005). Understanding corporate b2b web sites' effectiveness from north american and european perspective. *Industrial Marketing Management* 34(5): 420-429.
- Challis, D. (2005). Towards the mature eportfolio: Some implications for higher education. *Canadian Journal of Learning and Technology* 31(3): online version.
- Chang, C. C. (2001). Construction and evaluation of a web-based learning portfolio system: An electronic assessment tool. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International* 38(2): 144-155.

- Chang, C. C. (2013). Examining users' intention to continue using social network games: A flow experience perspective. *Telematics and Informatics* 30(4): 311-321.
- Chang, C. C., Tseng, K.-H., Yueh, H.-P. and Lin, W.-C. (2011). Consideration factors and adoption of type, tabulation and framework for creating e-portfolios. *Computers & Education* 56(2): 452-465.
- Chau, J. and Cheng, G. (2010). Towards understanding the potential of e- portfolios for independent learning : A qualitative study. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology* 26(7): 932-950.
- Chen, L., Meservy, T. O. and Gillenson, M. (2012a). Understanding information systems continuance for information-oriented mobile applications. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems* 30: 127-146.
- Chen, M.-y., Chang, F. M.-t., Chen, C.-c., Huang, M.-j. and Chen, J.-W. (2012b). Why do individuals use e-portfolios? *Educational Technology & Society* 15(4): 114-125.
- Chen, S.-C., Chen, H.-H. and Chen, M.-F. (2009). Determinants of satisfaction and continuance intention towards self-service technologies. *Industrial Management & Data Systems* 109(9): 1248-1263.
- Cheng, G. and Chau, J. (2013). Exploring the relationship between students' self-regulated learning ability and their eportfolio achievement. *The Internet and Higher Education* 17: 9-15.
- Chiang, H.-S. (2013). Continuous usage of social networking sites: The effect of innovation and gratification attributes. *Online Information Review* 37(6): 851-871.
- Chin, W. W. (1998a). Commentary: Issues and opinion on structural equation modeling. *MIS Quarterly* 22(1): vii-xvi.
- Chin, W. W. (1998b). The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling. *Modern methods for business research*. G. A. Marcoulides, Psychology Press: 295-336.
- Chin, W. W. and Newsted, P. R. (1999). Structural equation modeling analysis with small samples using partial least squares. *Statistical strategies for small sample research*. R. Hoyle, SAGE Publications: 307-341.

- Chiu, C.-M., Hsu, M.-H., Sun, S.-Y., Lin, T.-C. and Sun, P.-C. (2005). Usability, quality, value and e-learning continuance decisions. *Computers & Education* 45(4): 399-416.
- Chiu, C.-M., Sun, S.-Y., Sun, P.-C. and Ju, T. L. (2007). An empirical analysis of the antecedents of web-based learning continuance. *Computers & Education* 49(4): 1224-1245.
- Chiu, C.-M. and Wang, E. T. G. (2008). Understanding web-based learning continuance intention: The role of subjective task value. *Information & Management* 45(3): 194-201.
- Cho, V., Cheng, T. C. and Lai, W. M. (2009). The role of perceived user-interface design in continued usage intention of self-paced e-learning tools. *Computers & Education* 53(2): 216-227.
- Chye, S. Y., Liao, A. K. and Liu, W. C. (2013). Student teachers' motivation and perceptions of e-portfolio in the context of problem-based learning. *The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher* 22(4): 367-375.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* 2nd ed.: Routledge.
- Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. *Psychological bulletin* 112(1): 155-159.
- Cohn, E. R. and Hibbitts, B. J. (2004). Beyond the electronic portfolio: A lifetime personal web space. *Educause Quarterly* 27(4): 7-11.
- Collins, D. (2003). Pretesting survey instruments: An overview of cognitive methods. *Quality of Life Research* 12(3): 229-238.
- Collins, H. (2010). *Creative research: The theory and practice of research for the creative industries* 1st ed.: Fairchild Books.
- Compeau, D., Higgins, C. A. and Huff, S. (1999). Social cognitive theory and individual reactions to computing technology: A longitudinal study. *MIS quarterly* 23(2): 145-158.
- Connell, J. P. (1990). Context, self, and action: A motivational analysis of self-system processes across the life span. *The self in transition: Infancy to childhood* University Of Chicago Press: 61-97.
- Connell, J. P. and Wellborn, J. G. (1991). Competence, autonomy, and relatedness: A motivational analysis of self-system processes. M. R. Gunnar, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc. 23: 43-77.

- Cooper, D. R. and Schindler, P. S. (2013). *Business research methods* 12th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
- Coşkunçay, D. F. (2013). Identifying the factors affecting users' adoption of social networking. *International Journal of Human Computer Interaction* 4(1): 1-18.
- Creswell, J. W. (2002). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* 2nd ed.: SAGE Publications.
- Creswell, J. W. and Miller, D. L. (2000). Determining validity in qualitative inquiry. *Theory into practice* 39(3): 124-130.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. *Psychometrika* 16(3): 297-334.
- Crowley, D. and Mitchell, D. (1994). *Communication theory today* 1st ed.: Stanford University Press.
- Cutler, N. E. and Danowski, J. A. (1980). Process gratification in aging cohorts. *Journalism Quarterly* 57(2): 269-276.
- Danielson, C. and Abrutyn, L. (1997). *An introduction to using portfolios in the classroom* 1st ed.: Association for Supervision & Curriculum Deve.
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS quarterly* 13(3): 319-340.
- Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P. and Warshaw, P. R. (1989). User acceptance of computer technology: A comparison of two theoretical models. *Management Science* 35(8): 982-1002.
- Davis, F. D., Bagozzi, R. P. and Warshaw, P. R. (1992). Extrinsic and intrinsic motivation to use computers in the workplace. *Journal of applied social psychology* 22(14): 1111-1132.
- De Guinea, A. O. and Markus, M. L. (2009). Why break the habit of a lifetime? Rethinking the roles of intention, habit, and emotion in continuing information technology use. *MIS Quarterly* 33(3): 433-444.
- Deci, E., Vallerand, R., Pelletier, L. and Ryan, R. (1991). Motivation and education: The self-determination perspective. *Educational Psychologist* 26(3): 325-346.
- Deci, E. L. and Ryan, R. M. (1985). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior* 1st ed. New York: plenum press.
- Deci, E. L. and Ryan, R. M. (1999). A meta-analytic review of experiments examining the effects of extrinsic rewards on intrinsic motivation. *Psychological Bulletin* 125(6): 627-668.

- Deci, E. L. and Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological inquiry* 11(4): 227-268.
- DeLone, W. H. and McLean, E. R. (1992). Information systems success: The quest for the dependent variable. *Information systems research* 3(1): 60-95.
- Denzin, N. K. and Lincoln, Y. S. (2011). *The sage handbook of qualitative research* 4th ed.: SAGE Publications.
- Devaraj, S., Fan, M. and Kohli, R. (2002). Antecedents of b2c channel satisfaction and preference: Validating e-commerce metrics. *Information systems research* 13(3): 316-333.
- Devers, K. J. (1999). How will we know "good" qualitative research when we see it? Beginning the dialogue in health services research. *Health services research* 34(5 Pt 2): 1153-1188.
- Doll, W. J. and Torkzadeh, G. (1988). The measurement of end-user computing satisfaction. *MIS Quarterly* 12(2): 259-274.
- Donalek, J. G. (2005). The interview in qualitative research. *Urologic nursing* 25(2): 124-125.
- Dorning, C. and Schrack, C. (2008). Future learning strategy and eportfolios in education. Learning to live in the knowledge society. Springer: 227-230.
- Dowling, D. G. (2006). Designing a competency based program to facilitate the progression of experienced engineering technologists to professional engineer status. *European journal of engineering education* 31(1): 95-107.
- Downe-Wamboldt, B. (1992). Content analysis: Method, applications, and issues. *Health care for women international* 13(3): 313-321.
- Drury, M. (2006). E-portfolios-an effective tool? *Universitas* 2(2): 1-7.
- Ducoffe, R. H. (1995). How consumers assess the value of advertising. *Journal of Current Issues & Research in Advertising* 17(1): 1-18.
- Earley, P. C., Northcraft, G. B., Lee, C. and Lituchy, T. R. (1990). Impact of process and outcome feedback on the relation of goal setting to task performance. *Academy of Management Journal* 33(1): 87-105.
- EIFEL, E. I. f. E.-L. (2009). Why do we need an eportfolio? , from <http://www.eifel.org>

- Esposito Vinzi, V., Chin, W. W., Henseler, J. and Wang, H. (2010). *Handbook of partial least squares: Concepts, methods and applications* 2010 ed. Heidelberg, Dordrecht, London, New York: Springer.
- Flanagin, A. J. and Metzger, M. J. (2001). Internet use in the contemporary media environment. *Human communication research* 27(1): 153-181.
- Freeze, R. D., Alshare, K. A., Lane, P. L. and Joseph Wen, H. (2010). Is success model in e-learning context based on students' perceptions. *Journal of Information Systems Education* 21(2): 173-173.
- Gable, R. K. and Wolf, M. B. (1993). *Instrument development in the affective domain: Measuring attitudes and values in corporate and school setting* 2nd ed.: Springer.
- Gagne', M. n. and Deci, E. L. (2005). Self-determination theory and work motivation. *Journal of Organizational Behavior* 26(4): 331-362.
- Gao, L. and Bai, X. (2014). An empirical study on continuance intention of mobile social networking services: Integrating the is success model, network externalities and flow theory. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics* 26(2): 168-189.
- Gefen, D., Straub, D. and Boudreau, M.-C. (2000). Structural equation modeling and regression: Guidelines for research practice. *Communications of the AIS* 4(7): 1-79.
- Gefen, D., Straub, D. W. and Rigdon, E. E. (2011). An update and extension to sem guidelines for administrative and social science research. *Management Information Systems Quarterly* 35(2): iii-xiv.
- Geister, S., Konradt, U. and Hertel, G. (2006). Effects of process feedback on motivation, satisfaction, and performance in virtual teams. *Small group research* 37(5): 459-489.
- Gong, M., Xu, Y. and Yu, Y. (2004). An enhanced technology acceptance model for web-based learning. *Journal of Information Systems Education* 15(4): 365-373.
- Grant, J. S. and Davis, L. L. (1997). Selection and use of content experts for instrument development. *Research in nursing & health* 20(3): 269-274.
- Grant, J. S. and Kinney, M. R. (1992). Using the delphi technique to examine the content validity of nursing diagnoses. *International Journal of Nursing Terminologies and Classifications* 3(1): 12-22.

- Greenberg, G. (2004). The digital convergence: Extending the portfolio model. *EDUCAUSE review* 39(4): 28-37.
- Grix, J. (2010). *The foundations of research* 2nd ed.: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Guba, E. G. and Lincoln, Y. S. (1994). Competing paradigms in qualitative research. *Handbook of qualitative research 2*: 163-194.
- Guest, G., Bunce, A. and Johnson, L. (2006). How many interviews are enough? An experiment with data saturation and variability. *Field methods* 18(1): 59-82.
- Hair, J. F. J., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J. and Anderson, R. (2009). *Multivariate data analysis* 7th ed.: Prentice Hall.
- Hair, J. F. J., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M. and Sarstedt, M. (2013a). *A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (pls-sem)* 1st ed.: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Hair, J. F. J., Ringle, C. M. and Sarstedt, M. (2011). Pls-sem: Indeed a silver bullet. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice* 19(2): 139-151.
- Hair, J. F. J., Sarstedt, M., Hopkins, L. and Kuppelwieser, V. G. (2013b). Partial least squares structural equation modeling (pls-sem): An emerging tool in business research. *European Business Review* 26(2): 106-121.
- Hallam, G. and Creagh, T. (2010). Eportfolio use by university students in australia: A review of the australian eportfolio project. *Higher Education Research & Development* 29(2): 179-193.
- Haridakis, P. and Hanson, G. (2009). Social interaction and co-viewing with youtube: Blending mass communication reception and social connection. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media* 53(2): 317-335.
- Harlen, W. and Crick, R. D. (2002). A systematic review of the impact of summative assessment and tests on students' motivation for learning (eppi-centre review). Research evidence in education library. London: Institute of Education.
- Harper, D. (2001). Online etymology dictionary. from <http://www.etymonline.com/index.php>
- He, W. and Wei, K.-K. (2009). What drives continued knowledge sharing? An investigation of knowledge-contribution and-seeking beliefs. *Decision Support Systems* 46(4): 826-838.
- Heinrich, E., Bhattacharya, M. and Rayudu, R. (2007). Preparation for lifelong learning using eportfolios. *European Journal of Engineering Education* 32(6): 653-663.



- Henderson, K. A. (2011). Post-positivism and the pragmatics of leisure research. *Leisure Sciences* 33(4): 341-346.
- Henning, E., Van Rensburg, W. and Smit, B. (2004). *Finding your way in qualitative research* 1st ed. Pretoria: Van Schaik.
- Henry, J. W. and Stone, R. W. (1995). Computer self-efficacy and outcome expectancy: The effects on the end-user's job satisfaction. *ACM SIGCPR computer personnel* 16(4): 15-34.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M. and Sinkovics, R. R. (2009). The use of partial least squares path modeling in international marketing. *Advances in international marketing* 20(1): 277-319.
- Hirschheim, R. and Klein, H. K. (1989). Four paradigms of information systems development. *Communications of the ACM* 32(10): 1199-1216.
- Ho, C.-h. (2010). Continuance intention of e-learning platform: Toward an integrated model. *International Journal of Electronic Business Management* 8(3): 206-215.
- Hodge, D. R. and Gillespie, D. (2003). Phrase completions: An alternative to likert scales. *Social Work Research* 27(1): 45-54.
- Hong, S., Thong, J. Y. L. and Tam, K. Y. (2006). Understanding continued information technology usage behavior: A comparison of three models in the context of mobile internet. *Decision Support Systems* 42(3): 1819-1834.
- Howell, R. D., Breivik, E. and Wilcox, J. B. (2007). Is formative measurement really measurement? Reply to bollen (2007) and bagozzi (2007). *Psychological Methods* 12: 238-245.
- Hsieh, H.-F. and Shannon, S. E. (2005). Three approaches to qualitative content analysis. *Qualitative health research* 15(9): 1277-1288.
- Hsieh, T.-C., Chen, S.-L. and Hung, M.-C. (2014). Longitudinal test of eportfolio continuous use: An empirical study on the change of students' beliefs. *Behaviour & Information Technology* ahead-of-print: 1-16.
- Hsu, C.-L., Yu, C.-C. and Wu, C.-C. (2014). Exploring the continuance intention of social networking websites: An empirical research. *Information Systems and e-Business Management* 12(2): 139-163.
- Hsu, M. H., Chiu, C. M. and Ju, T. L. (2004). Determinants of continued use of the www: An integration of two theoretical models. *Industrial management & data systems* 104(9): 766-775.

- Huang, A. F. M., Wu, J. T. H., Yang, S. J. H. and Hwang, W.-Y. (2012a). The success of eportfolio-based programming learning style diagnosis: Exploring the role of a heuristic fuzzy knowledge fusion. *Expert Systems with Applications* 39(10): 8698-8706.
- Huang, J., Yang, S. and Chang, M. (2011a). The effect of eportfolio satisfaction on students' learning motivation and internet self-efficacy. *Journal of Educational Technology Development and Exchange* 4(103-118).
- Huang, J. J. S., Yang, S. J. H. and Chang, M. C. W. (2011b). The effect of eportfolio satisfaction on students' learning motivation and internet self-efficacy. *Journal of Educational Technology Development & Exchange* 4(1): 103-118.
- Huang, J. J. S., Yang, S. J. H., Chiang, P. Y. F. and Tzeng, L. S. Y. (2012b). Building an e-portfolio learning model: Goal orientation and metacognitive strategies. *Knowledge Management & E-Learning: An International Journal (KM&EL)* 4(1): 16-36.
- Hung, M.-C., Chang, I. and Hwang, H.-G. (2011). Exploring academic teachers' continuance toward the web-based learning system: The role of causal attributions. *Computers & Education* 57(2): 1530-1543.
- Hung, M.-C., Hwang, H.-G. and Hsieh, T.-C. (2007). An exploratory study on the continuance of mobile commerce: An extended expectation-confirmation model of information system use. *International Journal of Mobile Communications* 5(4): 409-422.
- Hussain, M. A., Elyas, T. and Nasseef, O. A. (2013). Research paradigms: A slippery slope for fresh researchers. *Life Science Journal* 10(4): 2374-2381.
- Hussein, N. H. N. (2009). Students' perspectives on the engagement of electronic portfolio as a tool in classroom instruction. *Journal of Human Capital Development* 2(1): 53-64.
- Hwang, I. H., Tsai, S.-J., Yu, C.-C. and Lin, C.-H. (2011). *An empirical study on the factors affecting continuous usage intention of double reinforcement interactive e-portfolio learning system*. Paper presented at the 6th IEEE Joint International Information Technology and Artificial Intelligence Conference, Chongqing. <http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/lpdocs/epic03/wrapper.htm?arnumber=6030196>
- Iahad, N. (2007). *Task & collaborative design guidelines towards effective group-based threaded discussions*. Manchester Business School

- Ishida, C. (2007). *Bad apples, bad barrels, and the structure of marketing channel relationships: Analyses of the propensity for opportunism and opportunistic behaviors*. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
- Ives, B., Olson, M. H. and Baroudi, J. J. (1983). The measurement of user information satisfaction. *Communication of the ACM* 26(10): 785-793.
- Jafari, A. (2004). The sticky e-portfolio system: Tackling challenges and identifying attributes. *Educause Review* 39(4): 38-49.
- Jarvis, C. B., MacKenzie, S. B. and Podsakoff, P. M. (2003). A critical review of construct indicators and measurement model misspecification in marketing and consumer research. *Journal of consumer research* 30(2): 199-218.
- Jaspersen, J. S., Carter, P. E. and Zmud, R. W. (2005). A comprehensive conceptualization of post-adoptive behaviors associated with information technology enabled work systems. *MIS Quarterly* 29(3): 525-557.
- Jenson, J. D. and Treuer, P. (2014). Defining the e-portfolio: What it is and why it matters. *Change: The Magazine of Higher Learning* 46(2): 50-57.
- Jimoyiannis, A. (2012). Developing a pedagogical framework for the design and the implementation of e-portfolios in educational practice. *Themes in Science and Technology Education* 5(1/2): 107-132.
- Jin, X.-L., Lee, M. K. O. and Cheung, C. M. K. (2010). Predicting continuance in online communities: Model development and empirical test. *Behaviour & Information Technology* 29(4): 383-394.
- Johnson, R. B. and Onwuegbuzie, A. J. (2004). Mixed methods research: A research paradigm whose time has come. *Educational researcher* 33(7): 14-26.
- Joyce, E. and Kraut, R. E. (2006). Predicting continued participation in newsgroups. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication* 11(3): 723-747.
- Jun, J. W. and Lee, S. (2007). Mobile media use and its impact on consumer attitudes toward mobile advertising. *International Journal of Mobile Marketing* 2(1): 50-58.
- KamalZadeh Takhti, H. (2013). *Technology acceptance model for nursing process in hospital information system*. (Doctor of Philosophy (Information Systems)), Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
- Kang, Y. S., Hong, S. and Lee, H. (2009). Exploring continued online service usage behavior: The roles of self-image congruity and regret. *Computers in Human Behavior* 25(1): 111-122.

- Kaplan, R. M., Bush, J. W. and Berry, C. C. (1976). Health status: Types of validity and the index of well-being. *Health services research* 11(4): 478-478.
- Kasunic, M. (2005). Designing an effective survey. DTIC.
- Katz, E. (1959). Mass communications research and the study of popular culture: An editorial note on a possible future for this journal. *Studies in Public Communication* 2: 1-6.
- Katz, E., Blumler, J. G. and Gurevitch, M. (1973). Uses and gratifications research. *The Public Opinion Quarterly* 37(4): 509-523.
- Katz, E., Blumler, J. G. and Gurevitch, M. (1974). Utilization of mass communication by the individual. The uses of mass communications: Current perspectives on gratifications research. SAGE Publications, Inc: 19-32.
- Kim, B. (2010). An empirical investigation of mobile data service continuance: Incorporating the theory of planned behavior into the expectation–confirmation model. *Expert Systems with Applications* 37(10): 7033-7039.
- Kim, H.-W., Chan, H. C. and Chan, Y. P. (2007). A balanced thinking–feelings model of information systems continuance. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies* 65(6): 511-525.
- Kim, K. K., Shin, H. K. and Kim, B. (2011). The role of psychological traits and social factors in using new mobile communication services. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications* 10(4): 408-417.
- Kim, S. S. and Malhotra, N. K. (2005). A longitudinal model of continued is use: An integrative view of four mechanisms underlying postadoption phenomena. *Management science* 51(5): 741-755.
- Kimball, M. (2005). Database e-portfolio systems: A critical appraisal. *Computers and Composition* 22(4): 434-458.
- Klapper, J. T. (1963). Mass communication research: An old road resurveyed. *Public Opinion Quarterly* 27(4): 515-527.
- Kluger, A. N. and DeNisi, A. (1996). The effects of feedback interventions on performance: A historical review, a meta-analysis, and a preliminary feedback intervention theory. *Psychological bulletin* 119(2): 254-284.
- Ko, H., Cho, C.-H. and Roberts, M. S. (2005). Internet uses and gratifications: A structural equation model of interactive advertising. *Journal of advertising* 34(2): 57-70.

- Kondracki, N. L., Wellman, N. S. and Amundson, D. R. (2002). Content analysis: Review of methods and their applications in nutrition education. *Journal of nutrition education and behavior* 34(4): 224-230.
- Kong, S. C., Shroff, R. H. and Hung, H. K. (2009). Web enabled video system for self reflection by student teachers using a guiding framework. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology* 25(4): 544-588.
- Korgaonkar, P. K. and Wolin, L. D. (1999). A multivariate analysis of web usage. *Journal of advertising research* 39(2): 53-68.
- Ku, Y.-C., Chen, R. and Zhang, H. (2013). Why do users continue using social networking sites? An exploratory study of members in the united states and taiwan. *Information & Management* 50(7): 571-581.
- Kumar, R. (2005). *Research methodologies: A step-by-step guide for beginners* 14th ed.: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Kwok, L. (2011). College students' participation in e-portfolio learning in relation to academic ability and motivation. *Electronic Journal of Foreign Language Teaching* 8(2): 246-267.
- LaCour, S. (2005). The future of integration, personalization, and eportfolio technologies. *Innovate Journal of Online Education* 1(4): 1-5.
- Laudon, K. C. and Traver, C. G. (2003). *E-commerce: Business, technology, society* 2nd ed.: Addison Wesley.
- Lawrence, M. and Low, G. (1993). Exploring individual user satisfaction within user-led development. *MIS Quarterly* 17(2): 195-208.
- Le, Q. (2012). E-portfolio for enhancing graduate research supervision. *Quality Assurance in Education* 20(1): 54-65.
- Lee, J.-W. and Mendlinger, S. (2011). Perceived self-efficacy and its effect on online learning acceptance and student satisfaction. *Journal of Service Science and Management* 4(3): 243-252.
- Lee, M.-C. (2010). Explaining and predicting users' continuance intention toward e-learning: An extension of the expectation–confirmation model. *Computers & Education* 54(2): 506-516.
- Lee, M.-C. and Tsai, T.-R. (2010). What drives people to continue to play online games? An extension of technology model and theory of planned behavior. *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction* 26(6): 601-620.

- Lewis, B. R., Templeton, G. F. and Byrd, T. A. (2005). A methodology for construct development in mis research. *European Journal of Information Systems* 14(4): 388-400.
- Li, H., Liu, Y., Xu, X. and Heikkila, J. (2013). *Please stay with me! An empirical investigation on hedonic is continuance model for social network games*. Paper presented at the International Conference on Information Systems, Milan.
- Liao, C., Chen, J.-L. and Yen, D. C. (2007). Theory of planning behavior (tpb) and customer satisfaction in the continued use of e-service: An integrated model. *Computers in Human Behavior* 23(6): 2804-2822.
- Liaw, S.-S. (2008). Investigating students' perceived satisfaction, behavioral intention, and effectiveness of e-learning: A case study of the blackboard system. *Computers & Education* 51(2): 864-873.
- Likert, R. (1932). A technique for the measurement of attitudes. *Archives of psychology* 22(140): 1932-1955.
- Limayem, M. and Cheung, C. M. K. (2008). Understanding information systems continuance: The case of internet-based learning technologies. *Information & Management* 45(4): 227-232.
- Limayem, M. and Cheung, C. M. K. (2011). Predicting the continued use of internet-based learning technologies: The role of habit. *Behaviour & Information Technology* 30(1): 91-99.
- Limayem, M., Hirt, S. G. and Cheung, C. M. K. (2007). How habit limits the predictive power of intention: The case of information systems continuance. *MIS Quarterly* 31(4): 705-737.
- Limayem, M., Khalifa, M. and Chin, W. W. (2004). *Factors motivating software piracy: A longitudinal study*. Paper presented at the Engineering Management, IEEE Transactions on
- Lin, C. A. (1996). Standpoint: Looking back: The contribution of blumler and katz's uses of mass communication to communication research. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media* 40(4): 574-581.
- Lin, C. S., Wu, S. and Tsai, R. J. (2005). Integrating perceived playfulness into expectation-confirmation model for web portal context. *Information & Management* 42(5): 683-693.
- Lin, H.-F. (2007). Measuring online learning systems success: Applying the updated delone and mclean model. *Cyberpsychology & behavior* 10(6): 817-820.

- Lin, K.-M., Chen, N.-S. and Fang, K. (2011). Understanding e-learning continuance intention: A negative critical incidents perspective. *Behaviour & Information Technology* 30(1): 77-89.
- Lindkvist, K. (1981). Approaches to textual analysis. Advances in content analysis. Beverly Hills, CA, SAGE Publications, Inc: 23-42.
- Linnakyla, P. (2001). Portfolio: Integrative writing, learning and assessment. *Springer Netherlands* 7: 145-160.
- Liu, I. L. B., Cheung, C. M. K. and Lee, M. K. O. (2010). *Understanding twitter usage: What drive people continue to tweet*. Paper presented at the Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems (PACIS).
- Liu, W. C., Wang, C. K., Tan, O. S., Koh, C. and Ee, J. (2009). A self-determination approach to understanding students' motivation in project work. *Learning and Individual Differences* 19(1): 139-145.
- Lopez-Fernandez, O. and Rodriguez-Illera, J. L. (2009). Investigating university students' adaptation to a digital learner course portfolio. *Computers & Education* 52(3): 608-616.
- Lorenzo, G. and Ittelson, J. (2005). An overview of e-portfolios. *Educause Learning Initiative* 1: 1-28.
- Losby, J. and Wetmore, A. (2012). Using likert scales in evaluation survey work.
- Lu, H.-P. and Hsiao, K.-L. (2007). Understanding intention to continuously share information on weblogs. *Internet Research* 17(4): 345-361.
- Luo, X. (2002). Uses and gratifications theory and e-consumer behaviors: A structural equation modeling study. *Journal of Interactive Advertising* 2(2): 44-54.
- Lynn, M. R. (1986). Determination and quantification of content validity. *Nursing research* 35(6): 382-386.
- MacCallum, R. C., Widaman, K. F., Zhang, S. and Hong, S. (1999). Sample size in factor analysis. *Psychological methods* 4(1): 84-84.
- MacKenzie, S. B., Podsakoff, P. M. and Podsakoff, N. P. (2011). Construct measurement and validation procedures in mis and behavioral research: Integrating new and existing techniques. *MIS quarterly* 35(2): 293-334.
- March, S. T. and Smith, G. F. (1995). Design and natural science research on information technology. *Decision support systems* 15(4): 251-266.

- Mark, K.-P. and Vogel, D. R. (2009). *An exploratory study of personalization and learning systems continuance*. Paper presented at the Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems (PACIS).
- Marshall, M. N. (1996). Sampling for qualitative research. *Family practice* 13(6): 522-526.
- Mason, M. M. (2012). Motivation, satisfaction, and innate psychological needs. *International Journal of Doctoral Studies* 7: 259-277.
- McCowan, C., Harper, W. and Hauville, K. (2005). Student e-portfolio: The successful implementation of an e-portfolio across a major Australian university. *Australian Journal of Career Development* 14(2): 40-51.
- Mele, A. R. (1996). Motivation and intention. *Journal of Philosophical Research* 21: 51-67.
- Mele, A. R. (1997). Strength of motivation and being in control: Learning from libet. *American Philosophical Quarterly* 34(3): 319-332.
- Mele, A. R. (2012). *Backsliding: Understanding weakness of will*: Oxford University Press.
- Mendes-Filho, L. and Tan, F. B. (2009). *User-generated content and consumer empowerment in the travel industry: A uses & gratifications and dual-process conceptualization*. Paper presented at the Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems (PACIS).
- Milman, N. B. and Kilbane, C. R. (2005). Digital teaching portfolios: Catalysts for fostering authentic professional development. *Canadian Journal of Learning and Technology* 31(3).
- Min, Q. and Shenghua, X. (2007). *An extended expectation confirmation model for information systems continuance*. Paper presented at the International Conference on Wireless Communications, Networking and Mobile Computing.
- Miura, A. (2007). Can weblogs cause the emergence of social intelligence? Causal model of intention to continue publishing weblog in Japan. *Ai & Society* 22(2): 237-251.
- Miura, A. and Yamashita, K. (2007). Psychological and social influences on blog writing: An online survey of blog authors in Japan. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication* 12(4): 1452-1471.



- Mondi, M., Woods, P. and Rafi, A. (2007). Students' uses and gratification expectancy conceptual framework in relation to e-learning resources. *Asia Pacific Education Review* 8(3): 435-449.
- Mondi, M., Woods, P. and Rafi, A. (2008). A 'uses and gratification expectancy model' to predict students' 'perceived e-learning experience'. *Educational Technology & Society* 11(2): 241-261.
- Moon, J.-W. and Kim, Y.-G. (2001). Extending the tam for a world-wide-web context. *Information & Management* 38(4): 217-230.
- Myers, M. D. (1997). Qualitative research in information systems. *MIS Quarterly* 21(2): 241-242.
- Myers, M. D. (2013). *Qualitative research in business and management* 2nd ed.: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Myers, M. D. and Avison, D. (2002). An introduction to qualitative research in information systems. *Qualitative research in information systems*. SAGE Publications Ltd: 3-12.
- Najmul Islam, A. K. M. (2011a). The determinants of the post-adoption satisfaction of educators with an elearning system. *Journal of Information Systems Education* 22(4): 319-331.
- Najmul Islam, A. K. M. (2011b). *Understanding continued usage intention in e-learning context*. Paper presented at the 24th Bled eConference AIS e-library, Slovenia.
- Najmul Islam, A. K. M. and Mäntymäki, M. (2012). *Continuance of professional social networking sites: A decomposed expectation-confirmation approach*. Paper presented at the Thirty Second International Conference on Information Systems (ICIS).
- Nambisan, S. and Baron, R. A. (2009). Virtual customer environments: Testing a model of voluntary participation in value co-creation activities. *Journal of Product Innovation Management* 26(4): 388-406.
- Niguidula, D. (1993). The digital portfolio: A richer picture of student performance. *Studies on exhibitions* (no. 13), Coalition of Essential Schools, Providence, RI.
- NLII, N. L. I. I. (2003). The digital repository comes of age: How nlII members are turning learning objects into knowledge agents. *NLII Annual Review, The New Academy*. Retrieved 27 April 2015, from <https://net.educause.edu/ir/library/pdf/NLI0358.pdf>

- Ntoumanis, N. (2005). A prospective study of participation in optional school physical education using a self-determination theory framework. *Journal of educational psychology* 97(3): 444-453.
- Nunnally, J. C. (1967). *Psychometric theory* 1st ed. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Oliver, R. L. (1977). Effect of expectation and disconfirmation on postexposure product evaluations: An alternative interpretation. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 62(4): 480-486.
- Oliver, R. L. (1980). A cognitive model of the antecedents and consequences of satisfaction decisions. *Journal of marketing research* 17(4): 460-469.
- Oliver, R. L. (1981). Measurement and evaluation of satisfaction processes in retail settings. *Journal of retailing* 57(3): 25-48.
- Onweugbuze, A. J. (2002). Why can't we all get along? Towards a framework for unifying research paradigms. *Education* 122(3): 518-530.
- Orland-Barak, L. (2005). Portfolios as evidence of reflective practice: What remains 'untold'. *Educational Research* 47(1): 25-44.
- Orlikowski, W. J. and Baroudi, J. J. (1991). Studying information technology in organizations: Research approaches and assumptions. *Information systems research* 2(1): 1-28.
- Osbourne, J. A. and Clarke, M. (2006). Factors motivating the acceptance of new information and communication technologies in uk healthcare: A test of three models. *International Journal of Healthcare Information Systems and Informatics* 1(4): 29-39.
- Palmgreen, P. and Rayburn, J. D. (1979). Uses and gratifications and exposure to public television a discrepancy approach. *Communication Research* 6(2): 155-179.
- Papacharissi, Z. and Rubin, A. M. (2000). Predictors of internet use. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media* 44(2): 175-196.
- Park, S. Y. (2009). An analysis of the technology acceptance model in understanding university students' behavioral intention to use e-learning. *Educational Technology & Society* 12(3): 150-162.
- Pavlou, P. A., Liang, H. and Xue, Y. (2007). Understanding and mitigating uncertainty in online exchange relationships: A principal-agent perspective. *MIS Quarterly* 31(1): 105-136.

- Pelliccione, L. and Raison, G. (2009). Promoting the scholarship of teaching through reflective eportfolios in teacher education. *Journal of Education for Teaching* 35(3): 271-281.
- Peng, D. X. and Lai, F. (2012). Using partial least squares in operations management research: A practical guideline and summary of past research. *Journal of Operations Management* 30(6): 467-480.
- Peters, C., Amato, C. H. and Hollenbeck, C. R. (2007). An exploratory investigation of consumers' perceptions of wireless advertising. *Journal of Advertising* 36(4): 129-145.
- Petri, H. L. (1996). *Motivation: Theory, research and applications* 4th ed.: Broks/Cole Publishing Co.
- Petter, S., DeLone, W. and McLean, E. (2008). Measuring information systems success: Models, dimensions, measures, and interrelationships. *European Journal of Information Systems* 17(3): 236-263.
- Philliber, S. G., Schwab, M. R. and Sloss, G. S. (1980). *Social research* 1st ed.: F E Peacock Publisher, Inc.
- Punch, K. F. (1998). *Introduction to social research: Quantitative and qualitative approaches* 1st ed.: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Punch, K. F. (2005). *Introduction to social research: Quantitative and qualitative approaches* 2nd ed.: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Qu, S. Q. and Dumay, J. (2011). The qualitative research interview. *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management* 8(3): 238-264.
- Rai, A., Lang, S. S. and Welker, R. B. (2002). Assessing the validity of is success models: An empirical test and theoretical analysis. *Information systems research* 13(1): 50-69.
- Reese, M. and Levy, R. (2009). Assessing the future: E-portfolio trends, uses, and options in higher education. *Research Bulletin* 4: 1-12.
- Rennie, F. and Mason, R. (2004). *The connection: Learning for the connected generation* 1st ed.: Information Age Publishing
- Rigdon, E. E., Ringle, C. M. and Sarstedt, M. (2010). Structural modeling of heterogeneous data with partial least squares. *Review of marketing research* 7: 255-296.

- Robinson, J. (2010). *Triandis' theory of interpersonal behaviour in understanding software piracy behaviour in the south african context*. (Doctoral dissertation), University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg
- Roca, J. C., Chiu, C.-M. and Martínez, F. J. (2006). Understanding e-learning continuance intention: An extension of the technology acceptance model. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies* 64(8): 683-696.
- Roca, J. C. and Gagné, M. (2008). Understanding e-learning continuance intention in the workplace: A self-determination theory perspective. *Computers in Human Behavior* 24(4): 1585-1604.
- Rodriguez-Donaire, S., García, B. A. and Del Olmo, S. O. (2010). *E-portfolio: A tool to assess university students' skills*. Paper presented at the 9th International Conference on Information Technology Based Higher Education and Training (ITHET), Cappadocia.
- Rogers, E. M. (1995). *Diffusion of innovation* 4th ed. New York: Free Press.
- Roller, R. H. and Feezell, J. (2003). *E-portfolios: A tool for encouraging and assessing the integration of faith, learning, living, and christian leadership*. Virginia Beach, VA, Christian Business Faculty Association
- Roscoe, J. T. (1969). *Fundamental research statistics for the behavioral sciences* 1st ed.: Holt Rinehart and Winston.
- Rubin, A. M. (2009). The uses-and-gratifications perspective on media effect. *Media effects: Advances in theory and research*. Bryant and M. B. Oliver, Routledge: 165-184.
- Rubio, D. M., Berg-Weger, M., Tebb, S. S., Lee, E. S. and Rauch, S. (2003). Objectifying content validity: Conducting a content validity study in social work research. *Social Work Research* 27(2): 94-104.
- Ruggiero, T. E. (2000). Uses and gratifications theory in the 21st century. *Mass communication & society* 3(1): 3-37.
- Ryan, R. (2009). Self-determination theory and wellbeing. *Wellbeing in Developing Countries (WeD) Research Review* University of Bath. 1: 1-2.
- Ryan, R. M. and Stiller, J. (1991). The social contexts of internalization: Parent and teacher influences on autonomy, motivation and learning. *Advances in motivation and achievement*. M. L. M. P.R. Pintrich, JAI Press. 7: 115-149.

- Sahin, I. (2007). Predicting student satisfaction in distance education and learning environments. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education* 8(2): 113-119.
- Saleem-ur-Rahman, H. C., Salo, J., Hussain, R. I. and Zaheer, A. (2013). Determinants of satisfaction with e-retailing: The role of usability factors. *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research* 17(11): 1537-1545.
- Salkind, N. J. (2000). *Exploring research* 4th ed.: Prentice Hall.
- Saunders, G. and Klemming, F. (2003). Integrating technology into a traditional learning environment reasons for and risks of success. *Active learning in higher education* 4(1): 74-86.
- Seale, C. (2012). *Researching society and culture* 3rd Edition ed.: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Sekaran, U. (2003). *Research methods for business: A skill building approach* 4th ed.: John Wiley and Sons Ltd.
- Shawn, M., Aultman, L. P. and Ashley, M. O. (2005). Motivation to learn in general education programs. *The Journal of General Education* 54(2): 150-170.
- Shen, A. X. L., Cheung, C. M. K., Lee, M. K. O. and Chen, H. (2011). How social influence affects we-intention to use instant messaging: The moderating effect of usage experience. *Information Systems Frontiers* 13(2): 157-169.
- Sherry, A. C. and Bartlett, A. (2005). Worth of electronic portfolios to education majors: A 'two by four' perspective. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems* 33(4): 399-419.
- Shih, H.-P. (2006). Assessing the effects of self-efficacy and competence on individual satisfaction with computer use: An it student perspective. *Computers in Human Behavior* 22(6): 1012-1026.
- Shin, D. H. (2009). A cross-national study of mobile internet services: A comparison of us and korean mobile internet users. *Journal of Global Information Management* 17(4): 29-54.
- Shroff, R. H., Ng, E. M. W. and Deneen, C. C. (2011). Analysis of the technology acceptance model in examining students ' behavioural intention to use an e-portfolio system. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology* 27(4): 600-618.
- Shroff, R. H., Trent, J. and Ng, E. M. W. (2013). Using e-portfolios in a field experience placement: Examining student-teachers' attitudes towards learning in

- relationship to personal value, control and responsibility. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology* 29(2): 143-160.
- Smits, H., Wang, H., Towers, J., Crichton, S., Field, J. and Tarr, P. (2005). Deepening understanding of inquiry teaching and learning with e-portfolios in a teacher preparation program. *Canadian Journal of Learning and Technology* 31(3).
- Smock, A. D., Ellison, N. B., Lampe, C. and Wohn, D. Y. (2011). Facebook as a toolkit: A uses and gratification approach to unbundling feature use. *Computers in Human Behavior* 27(6): 2322-2329.
- Song, I., Larose, R., Eastin, M. S. and Lin, C. A. (2004). Internet gratifications and internet addiction: On the uses and abuses of new media. *CyberPsychology & Behavior* 7(4): 384-394.
- Sørenbø, Ø. and Eikebrokk, T. R. (2008). Explaining is continuance in environments where usage is mandatory. *Computers in Human Behavior* 24(5): 2357-2371.
- Sørenbø, Ø., Halvari, H., Gulli, V. F. and Kristiansen, R. (2009). The role of self-determination theory in explaining teachers' motivation to continue to use e-learning technology. *Computers & Education* 53(4): 1177-1187.
- Sorgenfrei, C., Borschbach, A. and Smolnik, S. (2013). *Understanding e-learning continuance intention: Towards a conceptual model*. Paper presented at the 21st European Conference on Information Systems (ECIS).
- Spreng, R. A., MacKenzie, S. B. and Olshavsky, R. W. (1996). A reexamination of the determinants of consumer satisfaction. *The Journal of Marketing* 60(3): 15-32.
- Stafford, T. F., Stafford, M. R. and Schkade, L. L. (2004). Determining uses and gratifications for the internet. *Decision Sciences* 35(2): 259-288.
- Steckler, A., McLeroy, K. R., Goodman, R. M., Bird, S. T. and McCormick, L. (1992). Toward integrating qualitative and quantitative methods: An introduction. *Health Education Quarterly* 19(1): 1-8.
- Stefani, L., Mason, R. and Pegler, C. (2007). *The educational potential of e-portfolios: Supporting personal development and reflective learning* 1st ed.: Routledge.
- Straub, D., Boudreau, M.-C. and Gefen, D. (2004). Validation guidelines for is positivist research. *The Communications of the AIS* 13(1): 380-427.

- Straus, M. A., Hamby, S. L., Boney-McCoy, S. and Sugarman, D. B. (1996). The revised conflict tactics scales (cts2) development and preliminary psychometric data. *Journal of family issues* 17(3): 283-316.
- Strudler, N. and Wetze, K. (2005). The diffusion of electronic portfolios in teacher education: Issues of initiation and implementation. *Journal of Research on Technology in Educational* 37(4): 411-433.
- Struyven, K., Dochy, F. and Janssens, S. (2003). Students' perceptions about new modes of assessment in higher education: A review. Optimising new modes of assessment: In search of qualities and standards. Kluwer Academic Publishers: 171-223.
- Sun, P.-C., Tsai, R. J., Finger, G., Chen, Y.-Y. and Yeh, D. (2008). What drives a successful e-learning? An empirical investigation of the critical factors influencing learner satisfaction. *Computers & Education* 50(4): 1183-1202.
- Sun, Y., Liu, L., Peng, X., Dong, Y. and Barnes, S. J. (2014). Understanding chinese users' continuance intention toward online social networks: An integrative theoretical model. *Electronic Markets* 24(1): 57-66.
- Sutarso, Y. and Suharmadi, A. (2011). Promotion of e-technology-based services: A case study of e-service quality at a university in indonesia. *International Journal of Business and Information* 6(1): 112-133.
- Swan, G. (2009). Examining barriers in faculty adoption of an e-portfolio system. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology* 25(5): 627-644.
- Sweat-Guy, R. (2006). *Investigating the effects of instructional strategies and communication modes on interaction in an online environment: Findings from a pilot study*. Las Vegas, NV.
- Szajna, B. and Scamell, R. W. (1993). The effects of information system user expectations on their performance and perceptions. *MIS Quarterly* 17(4): 493-516.
- Taylor, P. C. and Medina, M. N. D. (2013). Educational research paradigms: From positivism to multiparadigmatic. *The Journal of the Institute for Meaning-Centred Learning* 1(2).
- Teddlie, C. and Tashakkori, A. (2003). Major issues and controversies in the use of mixed methods in the social and behavioral sciences. Handbook of mixed methods in social & behavioral research A. Tashakkori and C. Teddlie, SAGE Publications, Inc: 3-50.

- Teddlie, C. and Tashakkori, A. (2009). *Foundations of mixed methods research: Integrating quantitative and qualitative approaches in the social and behavioral sciences* 1st ed.: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Teo, T. (2009). Modelling technology acceptance in education: A study of pre-service teachers. *Computers & Education* 52(2): 302-312.
- Teo, T. S. H., Lim, V. K. G. and Lai, R. Y. C. (1999). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivation in internet usage. *Omega* 27(1): 25-37.
- Thompson, R. L., Higgins, C. A. and Howell, J. M. (1991). Personal computing: Toward a conceptual model of utilization. *MIS quarterly* 15(1): 125-143.
- Thong, J. Y. L., Hong, S.-J. and Tam, K. Y. (2006). The effects of post-adoption beliefs on the expectation-confirmation model for information technology continuance. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies* 64(9): 799-810.
- Thurmond, V. A. (2001). The point of triangulation. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship* 33(3): 253-258.
- Tojib, D. R. and Sugianto, L.-F. (2006). Content validity of instruments in is research. *Journal of Information Technology Theory and Application (JITTA)* 8(3): 5-5.
- Toscano, J. A. (2014). Fostering collaborative community-university partnerships, Partnership Institute. 2.
- Tosh, D., Light, T. P., Fleming, K. and Haywood, J. (2005). Engagement with electronic portfolios: Challenges from the student perspective. *Canadian Journal of Learning and Technology* 31(3): 17.
- Tosun, N. and Bariş, M. F. (2011). E-portfolio applications in education. *The Online Journal of New Horizons in Education* 1(4): 42-52.
- Triandis, H. C. (1977). *Interpersonal behavior* 1st ed. Monterey, CA: Brooks/Cole
- Triandis, H. C. (1979). Values, attitudes, and interpersonal behavior. Nebraska symposium on motivation. University of Nebraska Press. 27: 195-259.
- Trochim, W. M. K. (2006). Units of analysis. Retrieved 30 April 2015, from <http://www.socialresearchmethods.net/kb/unitanal.php>
- Tuksinvarajarn, A. and Todd, R. W. (2009). The e-pet: Enhancing motivation in e-portfolios. *English Teaching Forum* 47(1): 22-25.
- Urbach, N. and Ahlemann, F. (2010). Structural equation modeling in information systems research using partial least squares. *Journal of Information Technology Theory and Application* 11(2): 5-40.



- Van der Heijden, H. (2003). Factors influencing the usage of websites: The case of a generic portal in the netherlands. *Information & Management* 40(6): 541-549.
- Vansteenkiste, M., Lens, W. and Deci, E. L. (2006). Intrinsic versus extrinsic goal contents in self-determination theory: Another look at the quality of academic motivation. *Educational psychologist* 41(1): 19-31.
- Vavrus, L. (1990). Put portfolios to the test. *Instructor* 100(1): 48-53.
- Venkatesh, V. (2000). Determinants of perceived ease of use: Integrating control, intrinsic motivation, and emotion into the technology acceptance model. *Information systems research* 11(4): 342-365.
- Venkatesh, V., Brown, S. A. and Bala, H. (2013). Bridging the qualitative-quantitative divide: Guidelines for conducting mixed methods research in information systems. *MIS Quarterly* 37(1): 21-54.
- Venkatesh, V., Brown, S. A., Maruping, L. M. and Bala, H. (2008). Predicting different conceptualizations of system use: The competing roles of behavioral intention, facilitating conditions, and behavioral expectation. *MIS Quarterly* 32(3): 483-502.
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B. and Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly* 27(3): 425-478.
- Venkatesh, V., Thong, J. Y. L., Chan, F. K. Y., Hu, P. J. H. and Brown, S. A. (2011). Extending the two stage information systems continuance model: Incorporating utaut predictors and the role of context. *Information Systems Journal* 21(6): 527-555.
- Wade, A., Abrami, P. C. and Sclater, J. (2005). An electronic portfolio to support learning. *Canadian Journal of Learning and Technology* 31(3).
- Walsham, G. (2006). Doing interpretive research. *European journal of information systems* 15(3): 320-330.
- Waltz, C. F., Strickland, O. and Lenz, E. R. (1991). *Measurement in nursing research* 2nd ed. Philadelphia: F A Davis Company
- Ward, C. and Moser, C. (2008). E-portfolios as a hiring tool: Do employers really care? *Educause Quarterly* 31(4): 13-14.
- Wardah Zainal, A. and Rose Alinda Alias, L. U. (2013). *Investigation into a university electronic portfolio system using activity theory*. Paper presented at

- the 7th International Conference on Knowledge Management in Organizations: Service and Cloud Computing, Berlin Heidelberg.
- Watson, W. S. (2001). *Personal development portfolio at bridgewater college*. Paper presented at the 2001 Noel-Levitz conference.
- Weber, R. P. (1990). *Basic content analysis* 2nd ed.: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Wheeler, B. (2003). Eportfolio project, open source eportfolio release. Retrieved 11 July 2011, from [http://juicy.mellon.org/RIT/MellonOSProjects/%20ePortfolio/Portfolio\\_Proposal\\_Public.doc](http://juicy.mellon.org/RIT/MellonOSProjects/%20ePortfolio/Portfolio_Proposal_Public.doc)
- Whiting, A. and Williams, D. (2013). Why people use social media: A uses and gratifications approach. *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal* 16(4): 362-369.
- Willis, J. W. (2007). *Foundations of qualitative research: Interpretive and critical approaches* 1st ed.: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Wong, K. K.-K. (2013). Partial least squares structural equation modeling (pls-sem) techniques using smartpls. *Marketing Bulletin* 24(1): 1-32.
- Wright, V. H., Stallworth, B. J. and Ray, B. (2002). Challenges of electronic portfolios: Student perceptions and experiences. *Journal of Technology and Teacher Education* 10(1): 49-61.
- Wu, J.-H., Wang, S.-C. and Tsai, H.-H. (2010). Falling in love with online games: The uses and gratifications perspective. *Computers in Human Behavior* 26(6): 1862-1871.
- Wu, J., Tsai, R. J., Chen, C. C. and Wu, Y. (2006). An integrative model to predict the continuance use of electronic learning systems: Hints for teaching. *International Journal on E-Learning* 5(2): 287-302.
- Yancey, K. B. (2001). Digitized student portfolios. *Electronic portfolios: Emerging practices in student, faculty, and institutional learning*. Stylus Publishing: 15-30.
- Yin, R. K. (2009). *Case study research: Design and methods* 4th ed.: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Young, J. R. (2002). 'E-portfolios' could give students a new sense of their accomplishments. *Chronicle of Higher Education* 48(26): A31-A32.
- Yu, J., Zo, H., Choi, M. K. and Ciganek, A. P. (2013). User acceptance of location-based social networking services: An extended perspective of perceived value. *Online Information Review* 37(5): 711-730.

- Yu, T. (2011). E-portfolio, a valuable job search tool for college students. *Campus-Wide Information Systems* 29(1): 70-76.
- Zainal-Abidin, W., Uisimbekova, A. and Alias, R. A. (2011). Post-implementation strategy for the adoption of e-portfolio among students in a malaysian public university. *2011 International Conference on Research and Innovation in Information Systems*: 1-5.
- Zhang, S. X., Olfman, L. and Reetham, P. (2007). Designing eportfolio 2.0: Integrating and coordinating web 2.0 services with eportfolio systems for enhancing users' learning. *Journal of Information Systems Education* 18(2): 203-214.
- Zheng, Y., Zhao, K. and Stylianou, A. (2013). The impacts of information quality and system quality on users' continuance intention in information-exchange virtual communities: An empirical investigation. *Decision Support Systems* 56: 513-524.
- Zhou, T. (2011a). Understanding mobile internet continuance usage from the perspectives of utaut and flow. *Information Development* 27(3): 207-218.
- Zhou, T. (2011b). Understanding online community user participation: A social influence perspective. *Internet Research* 21(1): 67-81.
- Zhu, J. (2005). Explaining synchronic self-control. *The Southern journal of philosophy* 43(3): 475-492.
- Zijlstra, W. P., van der Ark, L. A. and Sijtsma, K. (2011). Outliers in questionnaire data can they be detected and should they be removed? *Journal of Educational and Behavioral Statistics* 36(2): 186-212.
- Zikmund, W. G., Babin, B. J., Carr, J. C. and Griffin, M. (2012). *Business research methods* 9th ed.: Cengage Learning.