CAUSES OF GOVERNMENT BUILDING DEFECTS AND FAILURES PERCEIVED BY JKR STAKEHOLDERS

ANWAR IBRAHIM BIN THOLPAKAR

A capstone project report submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Project Management

> Faculty of Civil Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JANUARY 2016

Thank you Allah.

To my beloved mother, Junaidah Zainal; my wife, Annajiha Silim and my lovely children Khir Iman, Khaira Nuha and Khidr Imran.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In preparing this project, I was in contact with many people, office colleagues, contractor staffs and practitioners. They have contributed towards my study. In particular, I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my project supervisor, Dr Samira Albati Kamaruddin, for encouragement, guidance, kindness and patient.

I would also like to express my appreciation to Ir. Mohd Shaifuddin Bin Abd. Razak and staffs from Cawangan Kejuruteraan Awam dan Struktur (Forensik Bangunan) who participated in the questionnaire survey, providing information and data.

Lastly, I would like to thank all of my lecturers, UTM staffs, JKR Putrajaya and JKR Malaysia for opportunity to further my study.

ABSTRACT

There are an immense number of government buildings, which include the hospitals, schools, quarters, offices, and etc. These buildings range from single storey to several storeys and vary in ages. Those buildings are owned by various government departments. Problems in government buildings are often referred to JKR regardless of whether JKR was involved in the planning, design, award of tenders or supervision of these buildings in the first place. Causes of the building defects and failures must be identified as soon as possible before higher public funds are required for remedies and prevent any fatality. The project has been carried out with the aim to determine frequency and severity of the causes that help government to take immediate or preventive actions. Questionnaire was distributed to selected stakeholders from JKR Putrajaya, JKR Forensic and contractors. Analysis on frequency of occurrence and severity effect was performed and the result shows the causes 'inadequate and inaccurate data and information available (as-built drawing, use of the building layout plan and renovation by client)' is the main cause of government building defects and failures. Further analysis on severity effect and cross tabulation shows that most of the respondents from JKR Putrajaya, JKR Forensic and contractors have the same perception on the causes of government building defects and failures.

ABSTRAK

Terdapat pelbagai kategori bangunan kerajaan antaranya ialah hospital, sekolah, kuarters, pejabat dan lain-lain. Bangunan-bangunan tersebut juga terdiri daripada jenis setingkat sehinggalah bangunan tinggi yang bertingkat-tingkat dan berbeza dari segi usia. Bangunan-bangunan tersebut dimiliki oleh jabatan kerajaan yang tertentu. Seringkali apabila timbul masalah pada bangunan kerajaan maka ianya akan dirujuk kepada JKR tanpa mengambil kira samada JKR terlibat atau tidak sewaktu proses perancangan, rekabentuk, urusan kontrak atau pengawasan pembinaan bangunan tersebut. Punca-punca kecacatan dan kegagalan bangunan kerajaan tersebut perlu dikenalpasti dengan segera bagi mengelakan berlakunya kemalangan dan seterusnya kerajaan perlu berbelanja besar untuk tujuan baikpulih. Tujuan kajian ini dijalankan adalah untuk mengenalpasti kekerapan dan kesan yang berlaku daripada punca-punca yang menyebabkan kecacatan atau kegagalan bangunan kerajaan dan seterusnya dapat membantu kerajaan mengambil tindakan segera dan langkah-langkah pencegahan. Borang kaji selidik telah diedarkan kepada responden daripada JKR Putrajaya, JKR Forensik dan kontraktor. Analisis terhadap kekerapan dan kesan telah dijalankan dan keputusan analisis menunjukkan bahawa 'data dan maklumat yang sediaada tidak cukup dan tidak tepat (lukisan terbina, susun atur kegunaan bangunan dan pengubahsuaian oleh pelanggan)' merupakan punca utama kecacatan dan kegagalan bangunan kerajaan. Analisis lanjut ke atas kesan dan jadual silang menunjukkan bahawa kebanyakkan responden daripada JKR Putrajaya, JKR Forensik dan kontraktor mempunyai persepsi yang sama terhadap punca-punca berlakunya kegagalan dan kecacatan ke atas bangunan kerajaan.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTE	R	TITLE	PAGE
	DEC	CLARATION	ii
	ACK	KNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT		
	ABS	TRAK	vi
	TAB	BLE OF CONTENT	vii
	LIST	Г OF TABLE	xii
	LIST	T OF FIGURES	xiv
1	INT	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Background of the Problem	1
	1.2	Statement of the Problem	2
	1.3	Research Questions	3
	1.4	Objectives of the Study	4
	1.5	Scope and Limitation of the study	4
	1.6	Significance of the Study	4
2	LIT	ERATURE REVIEW	6
	2.1	Introduction	6
	2.2	Government Buildings	6
	2.3	Government Buildings Life Span	7
	2.4	Building Components	8
	2.5	Types of Buildings Defects and Failures	8
		2.5.1 Blemishes (Scaling, Honeycomb)	9
		2.5.2 Corrosion of Reinforced Steel	10

vii

				viii
		2.5.3	Damage of Exterior Surface	12
		2.5.4	Dampness	14
		2.5.5	Peeling Paint	15
		2.5.6	Roof Defects	16
		2.5.7	Cracking (floor, beam, etc)	19
		2.5.8	Spalling or Chipping	20
		2.5.9	Foundation Failure	22
		2.5.10	Structure Instability	24
	2.6	Building Defects		26
	2.7	Buildi	ng Failures	27
	2.8	Cause	s of Building Defects and Failures	27
		2.8.1	Structural Deterioration	28
		2.8.2	Design Faulty/Poor Design	29
		2.8.3	Poor Construction/Workmanship	31
		2.8.4	Insufficient Maintenance	34
	2.9	Perce	ption on Building Defects and Failures	36
3	RES	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY		
	3.1	Introduction		
	3.2	Resea	rch Design and Procedure	38
	3.3	Operational Framework		
	3.4	Target Organization		41
	3.5	Research Population and Sampling		
	3.6	Data	Collection	43
	3.7	Resea	rch Instrument	43
		3.7.1	Research Questionnaire	43
		3.7.2	Measurement	44
	3.8	Data	Analysis	45
		3.8.1	Relative Important Index	45
		3.8.2	Cross Tabulation Table Analysis	46
	3.9	Pilot	Test	46
		3.9.1	Reliability Analysis for Pilot Test Using Cronbach's Coefficient Alpha	47
		3.9.2	Result for Pilot Test	48

4		NIFICA LURES	ANT CAUSES OF BUILDING DEFECTS AND	49	
	4.1	Introd	uction	49	
	4.2	Distrib	oution of Questionnaire	49	
	4.3	Respo	ndent's Profile	50	
		4.3.1	Respondent's Organization	51	
		4.3.2	Respondent's Position	51	
		4.3.3	Respondent's Highest Education Level	51	
		4.3.4	Respondent's Working Experience	52	
		4.3.5	Average of Age of Building Related To Respondent	52	
	4.4	Ranking of the Causes of Government Building Defects and Failures			
		4.4.1	Frequency Index - Causes of Government Building Defects and Failures	54	
		4.4.2	Severity Index - Causes of Government Building Defects and Failures	56	
	4.5		ng of Significant Causes of Government Building ts and Failures	59	
		4.5.1	Significant Causes of Government Building Defects and Failures Based on Important Index	60	
	4.6	Comp	arison of Top Five Findings of Overall Causes Ranking	62	
	4.7	Comp Cause	parison of Top Five Findings of Related Category of es	65	
5	PEI	RCEPT	ION OF THE KEY STAKEHOLDERS	67	
	5.1	Introd	Introduction		
	5.2	Ranki	ing of Causes between JKR Putrajaya and Contractor	67	
		5.2.1	Causes Related to Structural Deterioration	68	
		5.2.2	Causes Related to Design Faulty/Poor Design	68	
		5.2.3	Causes Related to Poor Construction/Workmanship	69	
		5.2.4	Causes Related to Insufficient Maintenance	69	
	5.3	Ranking of Causes between JKR Putrajaya and JKR Forensic			
		5.3.1	Causes Related to Structural Deterioration	72	
		5.3.2	Causes Related to Design Faulty/Poor Design	73	
		5.3.3	Causes Related to Poor Construction/Workmanship	74	
		5.3.4	Causes Related to Insufficient Maintenance	74	
	5.4	Ranki	ing of Causes between JKR Putrajaya and JKR Forensic	77	
		5.4.1	Causes Related to Structural Deterioration	77	
		5.4.2	Causes Related to Design Faulty/Poor Design	78	

ix

	5.4.3	Causes Related to Poor Construction/Workmanship	79
	5.4.4	Causes Related to Insufficient Maintenance	79
5.5	Top Fir Stakeh	ve Causes in Agreement between the Three Key olders	80
5.6		Tabulation between the Three Stakeholders Based on by Index	82
	5.6.1	Stakeholder Perception on Category 'Structural Deterioration'	82
	5.6.2	Stakeholder Perception on Category 'Design Faulty/Poor Design'	86
	5.6.3	Stakeholder Perception on Category 'Poor Construction/Workmanship'	90
	5.6.4	Stakeholder Perception on Category 'Insufficient Maintenance'	94
CON	ICLUS	ION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	98
6.1	Introdu	uction	98
6.2	The Ca	auses of Government Building Defects and Failures	99
	6.2.1	Existing Building Condition and Age of the Building	100
	6.2.2	Inadequate and Inaccurate Data and Information Available (As-built Drawing, Use of the Building Layout Plan and Renovation by Client)	100
	6.2.3	Insufficient Allocation of Maintenance Cost	101
	6.2.4	Ignoring Maintenance Requirement during Design Stage	101
	6.2.5	Inadequate Routine Inspection Conducted on the Building to Facilitate Defect Identification in Early Stage of Occurrence	102
	6.2.6	Inadequate and Inaccurate Data and Information Available (As-built Drawing, Use of the Building Layout Plan and Renovation by Client)	102
	6.2.7	Used Lower Grades of Materials, Concretes and Reinforcement	102
	6.2.8	Ignoring Maintenance Requirement during Design Stage	103
	6.2.9	Insufficient Allocation of Maintenance Cost	103
	6.2.10	Lack of Supervision	103
6.3	Rankin	ng of Significant Causes of Building Defects and Failure	s 104
	6.3.1	Inadequate and Inaccurate Data and Information Available (As-built Drawing, Use of the Building Layout Plan and Renovation by Client)	105
	6.3.2	Insufficient Allocation of Maintenance Cost	105
	0.0.2	instantional antionation of maintenance cost	105

6

х

	6.3.3	Ignoring Maintenance Requirement during Design Stage	105
	6.3.4		106
	6.3.5	Used Lower Grades of Materials, Concretes and Reinforcement	106
	6.4	Perception of the Three Key Stakeholders	106
	6.4.1	Time Constraint	107
	6.4.2	Inadequate Equipment During Construction	107
	6.4.3	Ignoring Maintenance Requirement During Design Stage	108
	6.4.4	Misjudgment of User and Climatic Condition Under Which Materials Have to Perform	108
	6.4.5	Excessive Load or Overloading Due to Changes in Use	108
6.5	Recor	nmendations	109
REFERE	NCES		110
APPEND	IX A		114
APPEND	IX B		115
APPEND	APPENDIX C 11		116

xi

LIST OF TABLE

TABLE NO. TITLE

PAGE

2.1	Existing studies of building defects and failures in Malaysia	37
3.1	Operational framework	39
3.2	Size of population	42
3.3	Likert scale for frequency of occurrence and severity of effect	44
3.4	Scale of frequency index, occurrence level, severity index and effect level	46
3.5	Distribution of questionnaire for the pilot test	47
3.6	Reliability statistics and organization	48
5.1	Ranking of causes of government building defects and failures between JKR Putrajaya and contractor	71
5.2	Ranking of causes of government building defects and failures between JKR Putrajaya and JKR Forensic	76
5.3	Ranking of causes of government building defects and failures between JKR Forensic and contractor	81
5.4	Top five delay causes in agreement between three key stakeholders based on severity of effect	82
5.5	Stakeholders perception on existing building condition and age of the building	83
5.6	Stakeholders perception on temperature variations (alternate wetting and drying, direct heating from the sun and cooling especially at dawn)	83
5.7	Stakeholders perception on unforeseen accident and/or natural disaster (explosion, impact, fire, abnormal floods, storm etc)	84
5.8	Stakeholders perception on chemical reaction due to sea water, acid rain and industrial pollution	85
5.9	Stakeholders perception on excessive load or overloading due to changes in use	86

5.10	Stakeholders perception on failure to follow well-establish design criteria in the choice of structural system and selection of materials	87
5.11	Stakeholders perception on ignoring maintenance requirement during design stage	87
5.12	Stakeholders perception on misjudgment of user and climatic condition under which materials have to perform	88
5.13	Stakeholders perception on ignoring variation in soil condition in order to save cost on geotechnical test	89
5.14	Stakeholders Perception on design errors (misconception of structural action, inadequate reinforcement detailing, errors in designing calculation)	90
5.15	Stakeholders perception on used lower grades of materials, concretes and reinforcement	91
5.16	Stakeholders perception on lack experience and competency of labours	91
5.17	Stakeholders perception on lack of supervision	92
5.18	Stakeholders perception on inadequate equipment during construction	93
5.19	Stakeholders perception on time constraint	93
5.20	Stakeholders perception on inadequate and inaccurate data and information available (as-built drawing, use of the building layout plan and renovation by client)	94
5.21	Stakeholders perception on insufficient allocation of maintenance cost	95
5.22	Stakeholders perception on inadequate routine inspection conducted on the building to facilitate defect identification in early stage of occurrence	96
5.23	Stakeholders perception on available allocation is not effectively or efficiently managed due to lack of maintenance knowledge and lack of knowledge about the deterioration of the structures	97
5.24	Stakeholders perception on inexperience and incapable maintenance contractor	97

xiii

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.

TITLE

PAGE

3.1 Operational flow chart

40

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Problem

Public Work Department of Malaysia (JKR) is the oldest and the biggest technical department in Malaysia. Since 1872 till now, JKR is the main project implementer for Government of Malaysia. JKR has been entrusted by Government of Malaysia to implement all the five-year Malaysia Plans and has being delivering various building, infrastructures and civil engineering project throughout the nation.

In 2015, Government of Malaysia once again appointed JKR as a trusted agency to be responsible in the asset management for infrastructures and buildings own by government (General Circular No.1, 2009). There are an immense number of government buildings, which include the hospitals, government schools and colleges, quarters for government workers, etc. These buildings range from single storey houses to apartments of several storeys, and vary in ages. They are owned and maintained by various government departments (JKR, 2006)

JKR directly involved in maintenance of government building in Putrajaya whilst others government buildings outside Putrajaya are maintain by owner of the building which is the related Ministry or Department etc. Types of maintenance also varies whether by concessionaire, departmental or one-of project.

In the meanwhile, if any defects or failures occur to the government building, the JKR Forensic Division under Civil Engineering and Structural Branch is responsible to carry out structural inspection and assessment of structural defects and failures in Malaysian government buildings. Very often, the Division has been assigned to investigate causes of structural defects in government buildings within limited time, resources and cost under great political influences. The Division needs to completely provide the report within a shorter time and send report to the respective clients. In order to deliver the task given to JKR and to ensure all the government buildings in Malaysia are safe and sustainable, causes of defects and failures need to be study.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

Putrajaya is the new federal government's administrative center in Malaysia. Government buildings exist in Putrajaya has an attractive design and became one of the attractions for tourists to visit Malaysia. Every time when top leaders from other country around the world came to Malaysia, Putrajaya is a must place to visit because the Prime Minister's office was also located in Putrajaya. Indirectly, the country's reputation in the eyes of the world also depends on the image that is shown in every government buildings in Putrajaya. Therefore, these government buildings in Putrajaya need to be maintained properly to ensure good name of this country.

The Government of Malaysia through Prime Minister Office (JPM) has entrusted and delegated the responsibility for maintaining all government's buildings in Putrajaya to JKR (General Circular No.1, 2003). Roles and responsibilities for JKR have been stated in the circulars as the implementing agency responsible for planning, control and supervision of all matters of maintenance, the appointment of contractors, budget preparation and control. In 2015, JKR has managed a total of twenty seven numbers of contracts at a total cost of RM900 million. From March 2015 until May 2015, an audit was carried out by Auditor General Office to monitor the maintenance of government buildings in Putrajaya under JKR's supervision. Sample of seven numbers of contracts at a total cost of RM220 million has been chosen. The result from the audit shows that 67% of the findings fall into physical and management of maintenance. Physical performance means the condition of the building whilst maintenance management performance is related to the management of maintenance contractors. From the overall summary, the percentages are related to defects in the buildings that have been identified by the auditor during the audit.

Among the defects identified during these audits is waterproof roof, wall cracks, corrosion, leaking and etc. Since the audit was conducted on the JKR performance, other factors as deterioration of the structure, design, poor workmanship and poor maintenance are not taken into consideration by the auditor. In understanding the real causes of disability and the failure of a building, this study will identify the causes of defects and failures of a building based on the views of the parties involved as clients, JKR Putrajaya, JKR Forensic and contractors. Sometimes, when defects or failures happen, finger-pointing between parties involved would occur. To avoid blames between stakeholders, this study also will compare the differences in perceptions of the parties involved to agree on solving problem.

1.3 Research Questions

The research questions of this study are as follows:

- i. What are the causes of government building defects and failures?
- ii. How to rank the causes of government building defects and failures in term of frequency of occurrence and severity effects?
- iii. What is the perception of the key stakeholders toward the issue of government building defects and failures?

1.4 Objectives of the Study

Followings are the objectives proposed for this study: -

- i. To identify the causes of government building defects and failures.
- ii. To establish the ranking of significant causes of building defects and failures.
- To compare the differences in perceptions of three stakeholders, namely the JKR Putrajaya, JKR Forensic and contractors.

1.5 Scope and Limitation of the Study

This study was limited to causes of government building defects and failures handle and involved directly with JKR. Other than that, most of the buildings located at Putrajaya which is the center of Federal Government offices and administration. The development of questionnaire will cover more on structural and non-structural defects and failures. Those respondents must have at least basic knowledge on technical part and situation and they are JKR Putrajaya, JKR Forensic and contractors. The perception did not consider the clients view because they are not responsible to defects and failures to the buildings.

1.6 Significance of the Study

This study will provide useful information's on causes of government building defects and failures so it can be used to resolved problem related to planning, designing, construction and maintenance of government building in the future. Finding

from this study will show how important project management for JKR during early stage of project and what is the effect to the buildings after constructions period.

REFERENCES

- Abdul-Rahman, H., Wang, C., Wood, L. C., & Khoo, Y. M. (2014). Defects in affordable housing projects in Klang Valley, Malaysia. Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities. 28(2), 272-285.
- Ahmad, R. (2004). Panduan Kerja-Kerja Pemeriksaan Kecacatan Bangunan (in Malay).
 Building & Urban Development Institute, Batu Caves.
- Ahzahar, N., Karim, N. A., Hassan, S. H., & Eman, J. (2011). A study of contribution factors to building failures and defects in construction industry. *Procedia Engineering*. 20, 249-255.
- Aldahdooh, M. A. A., Bunnori, N. M., & Johari, M. M. (2013). Damage evaluation of reinforced concrete beams with varying thickness using the acoustic emission technique. *Construction and Building Materials*. 44, 812-821.
- Ali, A. S., Keong, K. C., Zakaria, N., Zolkafli, U., & Akashah, F. (2013). The effect of design on maintenance for school buildings in Penang, Malaysia. *Structural Survey*. 31(3), 194-201.
- Ali, A. S., & Wen, K. H. (2011). Building defects: Possible solution for poor construction workmanship. *Journal of Building Performance*, 2(1).
- Archifacts (2012). Defective Construction Patent Defects or Latent Defects?. Park Drive, Huddersfield.: Archifacts Ltd.
- Atkinson A.R. (1999). The role of human error in construction defects. *Structural Survey*. 17(4), 231-236.
- Bowles, J. E. (1996). Foundation Analysis and Design. McGraw Hill Publications.
- Chohan, A. H., Che-Ani, A. I., Tahir, M. M., Abdullah, N. A. G., Tawil, N. M., & Kamaruzzaman, S. N. (2011). Housing and analysis of design defects: A post occupational evaluation of private housing in Malaysia. *International Journal* of *ThePhysical Sciences*. 6(2), 193-203.

- Dai J., Paul M.G., William F.M. (2009). Construction craft workers' perceptions of the factors affecting their productivity. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. 135 (3): 217-226.
- Gibson, E.J. (1979). *Developments in Building Maintenance*. (1st Ed). London. Applied Science Publishers.
- Greening N. R., Landgren R. (1966). Surface Discolouration of Concrete Flatwork. Journal of the PCA Research and Development Laboratories. 8: 34-50.
- Hashim, M. J., Azahari, N. B. M., & Malaysia, A. N. (2012). Analysis the evaluation of reinforces concrete structure Block 62 by Non Destructive Method. *Destructive Method and Esteem Computer Program.*
- Horner, R. M. W., El-Haram, M. A. and Munns, A. K. (1997). Building maintenance strategy: A new management approach. *Journal of Quality Maintenance Engineering*. 4: 273 – 280.
- Ismail, M., Muhammad, B., & Ismail, M. E. (2010). Compressive strength loss and reinforcement degradations of reinforced concrete structure due to long-term exposure. *Construction and Building Materials*. 24(6), 898-902.
- Jabatan Kerja Raya (2006). Handbook 1: Handbook for Building Conditions Inspection. Kuala Lumpur: Cawangan Pakar dan Kejuruteraan Awam, Jabatan Kerja Raya.
- Jabatan Kerja Raya (2006). Handbook 2: Handbook for Building Confirmatory Inspection. Kuala Lumpur: Cawangan Pakar dan Kejuruteraan Awam, Jabatan Kerja Raya.
- Jumaat, M. Z., Kabir, M. H., & Obaydullah, M. (2006). A review of the repair of reinforced concrete beams. *Journal of Applied Science Research*. 2(6), 317-326.
- Kabir S, Rivard P, He DC, Thivierge P. (2009). Damage assessment for concrete structure using image processing techniques on acoustic borehole imagery. *Construction Building Material*. 23(10):3166–74
- Kasun N.H., Janaka Y.R. (2006). Carpentry workers issues and efficiencies related to construction productivity in commercial construction projects in Alberta. *Canadian Journal of Civil Engineering*. 33 (2): 1075-1089.
- Lallemant I., Rougeau P., Gallias J.L., Cabrillac R. (2000). Study of Local Tint Defects on Concrete Surfaces – Influence of Superplasticizers and Other Parameters, Sixth CANMET/ACI International Conference on

Superplasticizers and Other Chemical Admixtures in Concrete. ACI Internationa. (32): 521-534.

- Lateef, O.A.A., Khamidi, M.F. and Idrus, A. (2010). Appraisal of the building maintenance management practices of Malaysian universities. Journal of Building Appraisal. 6(3): 261-275.
- Okba, S.H., El-Dieb, A.S., Reda, M.M. (1997). Evaluation of the corrosion resistance of latex modified concrete (LMC). *Cement Concrete Research*. 27(6): 861–880.
- Olanrewaju, A.L., Khamidi, M.F. and Idrus, A. (2010). Building maintenance management in a Malaysian university campus: a case study. *Australasian Journal of Construction Economics and Building*. 10(2): 76-89.
- Panchdhari, A.C. (2003). *Maintenance of Buildings*. New Delhi. New Age International (P) Limited.
- Mahamid, I., Bruland, A., and Dmaidi, N. (2012). Causes of Delay in Road Construction Projects. *Journal of Management in Engineering*. 28(3): 300-310.
- Malaysia (2003). Arahan Penyenggaraan Bangunan Kerajaan Di Putrajaya P(A)1/2003.
- Malaysia (2009). Manual Pengurusan Aset Menyeluruh Kerajaan. P(A)1/2009.
- Ratay R. T. (2005). Structural Condition Assessment, Survey and Assessment of Structural Conditions. *Construction and Building Materials*. 54(6): 127-495.
- Ramly, A., Ahmad, N.A. and Ishak, N.H. (2006). The effects of design on the maintenance of public housing buildings in Malaysia, building engineer. ABE International. 5: 34-36.
- Shittu A.A., Adamu A.D., Mohammed A., Suleiman B., Isa R.B., Ibrahim K., Shehu M.A. (2013). Appraisal of building defects due to poor workmanship in public building projects in Minna, Nigeria. *IOSR Journal of Engineering*. 3(9): 30-38.
- Suffian, A. (2013). Some common maintenance problems and building defects: Our experiences. *Procedia Engineering*. 54, 101-108.
- Syed Mohamad, S. B. H., Annuar, W., & Hizami, W. F. (2011). Engineering approach system to assess defect and deterioration of building structures. Construction and Building Materials. 72: 190-298.

- Tan, S. W., Mydin, M. O., Sani, N. M., & Sulieman, M. Z. (2014). Investigation into Common Decay of Educational Buildings in Malaysia. EDP Sciences.10: 50-58.
- Thanoon, W. A., Jaafar, M. S., Kadir, M. R. A., & Noorzaei, J. (2005). Repair and structural performance of initially cracked reinforced concrete slabs. *Construction and Building Materials*. 19(8): 595-603.
- Webster's New Explorer Encyclopedic Dictionary . (2006) (A division of Merriam Webster, Inc.). USA: Federal Street Press. 2093.
- Yoon D.J., Weiss W.J., Shah S.P. (2000). Assessing damage in corroded reinforced concrete using acoustic emission. *Journal of Engineering Mechanics*. 126(3):273-83
- Zaidi M.A., Davies H. (2012). A prospective study on building quality: enforcement of control in the Australian housing industry. *Construction and Building Materials*.40: 1-14.
- Zararis P.D. (2003). Shear strength and minimum shear reinforcement of reinforced concrete slender beams. *ACI Structural Journal*. 100(2).
- Zavadskas, E., Kaklauskas, A. and Bejder, E. (1998). Raising the efficiency of the building lifetime with special emphasis on maintenance. Facilities Management Journal. 16(11): 334-340.

Zunguzane N., Smallwood J., Emuze F. (2012). Perception of the quality of lowincome houses in South Africa: defects and their causes. *Acta Structilia*. 19(1): 19-38.