EFFECTIVENESS OF HYBRID SOLIWAVE TECHNIQUE IN MITIGATION OF MICROBIOLOGICALLY INFLUENCED CORROSION

MUHAMMAD KHAIROOL FAHMY BIN MOHD ALI

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Engineering (Structure and Material)

> Faculty of Civil Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > MAC 2016

This thesis is especially dedicated to, My beloved family members: Hamidah Bte Abu Samah, Syed Hamzah Bin Syed Abd. Rahman and, Syed Hamizan, Sharifah Shazwani and Anis Farzana Azmiluddin. My beloved Supervisors: Assoc. Prof. Dr. Norhazilan Md Noor and Prof. Dr. Nordin Yahaya RESA members and those who involved directly or indirectly towards accomplished of this thesis. Thank you for your guidance, support and encouragement all these years. Thank you for being patient and give me a lot of knowledge And lastly to all my dear friends Thank You for supporting me.

"THANK YOU"

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

All praise is to Allah the all Mighty and peace is upon the holy Prophet Muhammad S.A.W.

Alhamdulillah, all praise to Allah SWT for the strength and His blessing in completing this thesis. Special appreciation goes to my supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Norhazilan Md. Noor, who has given me guidance, invaluable advice and encouragement throughout the period of my research work. Furthermore, I would like to express my gratitude to my co-supervisor, Prof. Dr. Nordin Yahaya for his encouragement, knowledge and opinions regarding the topic. I am very grateful that they had generously shared their knowledge, experience, comments and suggestions which have contributed to the success of this research.

My sincere thanks to all my friends especially members of Reliability Engineering and Safety Assessment Research Group (RESA) for their kindness and support in completing my research. My acknowledgement also goes to all lecturer and staff of UTM's for their co-operations. Last but not least, my deepest appreciation to my beloved parents; Hamidah Bte Abu Samah, Syed Hamzah Syed Abd Rahman, Syed Hamizan, Sharifah Shazwani, Anis Farzana Azmiluddin and my family members for their support throughout my journey.

ABSTRACT

The applications of Ultraviolet (UV) radiation as an alternative approach to toxic chemical biocide for disinfecting bacteria such as Sulfate Reducing Bacteria (SRB) to mitigate Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion (MIC) in steel pipeline systems are already established. However, in the case of poor quality effluents, the UV radiation becomes less effective. Recently, Ultrasound technique (US) was observed to be attractive as pre-treatment for various types of physical disinfectant such as UV radiation. Hence, this research aims to investigate the performance of hybrid treatment known as Hybrid Soliwave Technique (HyST) to control MIC activity. The investigation was performed by utilizing two SRB strains namely pure strain ATCC 7757 and isolated SRB species from local site from BARAM, Sarawak. The study focused on three types of disinfection experiments which were individual UV radiation, individual US irradiation and HyST treatment, a combination of US and UV. Turbidity measurement and SRB cells were recorded to determine the most preferable pH and temperature for both SRB strain to proliferate actively. Corrosion rate was determined using weight loss method by exposing the steel coupons to SRB activity and abiotic sample. Both graphical and statistical analyses were performed using a statistical software (SPSS 20), to investigate the significance of SRB remaining cell number and SRB towards the corrosion rate of untreated and treated steel coupons. This study revealed that the preferable pH and temperature for ATCC 7757 and BARAM to grow actively in the Modified Baar's Media is at pH of 8.5 and temperature of 37°C. While, the corrosion rate of steel coupons in ATCC 7757 and BARAM strain was 0.5058 mm/year and 0.3209 mm/year respectively. These rates were at least 44% higher as compared to the corrosion rate in abiotic sample (0.1791 mm/year). The treatment results show that the HyST treatment succeeded to reduce the number of active bacteria, hence reducing the corrosion rate by 55%. The results proved that the presence of SRB increased the corrosion rate significantly compared to samples without SRB. The present study also revealed that the HyST treatment is an effective and a feasible approach in substituting toxic chemical biocides in controlling the MIC problems especially for the pipelines. Overall, the HyST treatment shows better efficiency against individual UV radiation treatment.

ABSTRAK

Penggunaan sinaran ultralembayung (UV) sebagai kaedah alternatif kepada bahan kimia bertoksik bagi membasmi bakteria seperti bakteria menurun sulfat (SRB) untuk kawalan kakisan pengaruh mikrob (MIC) telah lama digunakan. Walau bagaimanapun, kualiti efluen yang rendah mengurangkan keberkesanan sinaran UV. Sinaran ultrabunyi (US) sesuai digunakan sebagai pra-rawatan untuk pelbagai jenis pembasmi fizikal seperti rawatan sinaran UV. Oleh itu, kajian ini bertujuan mengkaji prestasi rawatan hibrid yang dikenali sebagai Hybrid Soliwave Technique (HyST) bagi mengawal aktiviti MIC. Kajian ini menggunakan dua baka SRB iaitu baka tulen ATCC 7757 dan baka SRB tempatan dari BARAM, Sarawak. Kajian bertumpu kepada tiga jenis ujikaji pembasmian SRB iaitu rawatan sinaran UV dan sinaran US individu serta dan rawatan HyST yang merupakan gabungan radiasi US dan sinaran UV. Ukuran kekeruhan dan bilangan sel SRB direkodkan bagi menentukan pH dan suhu yang paling sesuai bagi kedua-dua baka SRB berkembang dengan aktif. Kadar kakisan ditentukan melalui kaedah kehilangan berat dengan mendedahkan kupon keluli di dalam sampel SRB dan sampel abiotik. Analisis grafik dan statistik telah dijalankan menggunakan perisian statistik (SPSS 20) bagi mengkaji kepentingan SRB dan baki sel SRB terhadap kadar kakisan kupon keluli sebelum dan selepas rawatan. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa pH dan suhu yang paling sesuai bagi pertumbuhan aktif kedua-dua baka SRB di dalam media Modified Baar's ialah 8.5 dan 37°C. Manakala, kadar kakisan kupon keluli bagi ATCC 7757 dan BARAM, masing-masing adalah 0.5058 mm/tahun dan 0.3209 mm/tahun. Kadar ini adalah 44% lebih tinggi berbanding sampel abiotik (0.1791 mm/tahun). Keputusan rawatan menunjukkan bahawa rawatan HyST berjaya mengurangkan bilangan bakteria yang aktif dan dapat mengurangkan kadar kakisan sebanyak 55%. Hasil kajian juga membuktikan bahawa kehadiran SRB boleh meningkatkan kadar kakisan berbanding sampel tanpa kehadiran SRB. Kajian ini juga menunjukkan rawatan HyST adalah sesuai dan berkesan sebagai pendekatan alternatif menggantikan bahan kimia bagi membasmi SRB untuk kawalan MIC terutama bagi saluran paip. Secara amnya, rawatan HyST mempunyai kelebihan berbanding rawatan sinaran UV individu.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER | | TITLE | PAGE |
|---------|------|---------------------------------------|------|
| | DEC | CLARATION | ii |
| | DED | DICATION | iii |
| | ACK | NOWLEDGEMENTS | iv |
| | ABS | TRACT | v |
| | ABS | TRAK | vi |
| | TAB | SLE OF CONTENTS | vii |
| | LIST | Γ OF TABLES | xi |
| | LIST | Γ OF FIGURES | xiv |
| | LIST | F OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS | xix |
| | LIST | Γ OF APPENDICES | XX |
| 1 | INT | RODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 | Overview | 1 |
| | 1.2 | Problem Background | 2 |
| | 1.3 | Research Problem | 3 |
| | 1.4 | Research Aims and Objectives | 4 |
| | 1.5 | Research Scope | 5 |
| | 1.6 | Significance of Research | 6 |

| LIT | ERATU | RE REVIEW | 7 |
|------|--------|---|----|
| 2.1 | Introd | luction | 7 |
| 2.2 | Corro | sion Mechanisms and Types | 8 |
| 2.3 | Electr | ochemical Mechanism of Corrosion | 9 |
| 2.4 | Micro | biologically Influenced Corrosion | 11 |
| 2.5 | Bacter | ria Related to Microbiologically Influenced | |
| | Corro | sion | 12 |
| | 2.5.1 | Acid Producing Bacteria | 13 |
| | 2.5.2 | Iron Reducing Bacteria | 13 |
| | 2.5.3 | Sulfate Reducing Bacteria | 14 |
| 2.6 | Mech | anism of Microbiologically Influenced | |
| | Corro | sion | 18 |
| | 2.6.1 | Cathodic Depolarization by Hydrogenase | 19 |
| | 2.6.2 | Iron Sulfides | 21 |
| | 2.6.3 | Iron Binding Exopolymers | 22 |
| 2.7 | Biofil | m Formation | 23 |
| 2.8 | Disinf | fection of Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion | 26 |
| | 2.8.1 | Chemical Treatment | 26 |
| | 2.8.2 | Non-Hazardous Treatment | 28 |
| | | 2.8.2.1 Ultraviolet Radiation | 29 |
| | | 2.8.2.2 Ultrasound Sonication | 31 |
| 2.9 | Past R | Research in Disinfection of Microorganisms using | |
| | Non-H | Hazardous Treatment | 33 |
| | 2.9.1 | Ultraviolet Radiation | 33 |
| | 2.9.2 | Ultrasound Irradiation | 35 |
| | 2.9.3 | Combination of Non-Hazardous Techniques | 37 |
| 2.10 | Mech | anism of Hybrid Soliwave Technique Treatment | |
| | Effect | t towards Microorganisms | 38 |

2

| METI | HODOLOGY | 42 |
|------|---|----|
| 3.1 | Introduction | 42 |
| 3.2 | Overview of Research Methodology | 42 |
| 3.3 | Selection and Preparation of Steel Coupon | 44 |
| 3.4 | Bacteria Sample and Identification | 47 |
| 3.5 | Medium Preparation and Inoculation | 49 |
| 3.6 | Determination of Optimum Environment for SRB Growth | 53 |
| 3.7 | Corrosion Rate by Weight Loss Method | 56 |
| 3.8 | Mitigation Technique | 57 |
| | 3.8.1 Ultraviolet Radiation Treatment | 58 |
| | 3.8.2 Ultrasound Irradiation Treatment | 61 |
| | 3.8.3 Hybrid Soliwave Technique Treatment | 62 |
| 3.9 | Microscopy Examination | 63 |
| 3.10 | Preparation of Specimen for Surface Analysis | 65 |
| 3.11 | Limitations | 66 |
| 3.12 | Data Collection and Analysis | 67 |
| 3.13 | Summary | 71 |

ix

RESULTS AND ANALYSIS OF BACTERIA

| GROV | WTH A | ND METAL LOSS | 72 | |
|------|--------|---|----|--|
| 4.1 | Introd | Introduction | | |
| 4.2 | Outlie | rs Detection | 73 | |
| 4.3 | Sampl | ing and Identification of Sulfate Reducing Bacteria | 73 | |
| 4.4 | Experi | mental Results | 76 | |
| | 4.4.1 | Optimum Environment for Sulfate Reducing | | |
| | | Bacteria Growth | 76 | |
| | 4.4.2 | Corrosion Rate Using Weight Loss Method | 84 | |
| | 4.4.3 | Individual and Hybrid Mitigation Technique | 88 | |
| | | 4.4.3.1 Individual Treatment for ATCC 7757 | 88 | |
| | | 4.4.3.2 Individual Treatment for BARAM | 94 | |
| | | | | |

| | | 4.4.3.3 Hybrid Soliwave Technique Treatment | 99 |
|-----|-------|--|-----|
| | 4.4.4 | Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy | |
| | | Examination. | 108 |
| | | 4.4.4.1 API 5L X70 Carbon Steel | 109 |
| | | 4.4.4.2 API 5L X70 Exposed to ATCC 7757 with | |
| | | Treatment | 112 |
| | | 4.4.4.3 API 5L Exposed to ATCC 7757 with | |
| | | Treatment | 114 |
| 4.5 | Summ | ary | 118 |

| DISC | USSION | 119 |
|------|---|-----|
| 5.1 | Introduction | 119 |
| 5.2 | Growth Pattern of Bacteria Cell | 120 |
| 5.3 | Corrosion Rate | 121 |
| 5.4 | Cell Growth and Corrosion Rate Response after | |
| | Individual Treatment | 122 |
| 5.5 | Cell Growth and Corrosion Rate Response after | |
| | Hybrid Soliwave Technique Treatment | 125 |
| 5.6 | Hybrid Soliwave Technique Treatment Effect | 127 |
| 5.7 | Bacteria Identification | 130 |
| 5.8 | Surface Morphology of Mitigated Samples | 133 |
| | | |

5

| 6 | CON | CLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION | 135 |
|---|-----|----------------------------|-----|
| | 6.1 | Conclusion | 135 |
| | 6.2 | Recommendation | 138 |

| REFERENCES | 139 |
|--------------|---------|
| APPENDIX A-D | 151-189 |

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.

TITLE

PAGE

| 2.1 | Common properties in categorizing bacteria. | 12 |
|------|--|----|
| 2.2 | Important characteristic in the classification | |
| | of SRB. | 16 |
| 2.3 | Phases of corrosion model introduced by Melchers. | 18 |
| 2.4 | Composition of biofilm matrix. | 24 |
| 2.5 | List of important criteria in biocide selection. | 27 |
| 2.6 | Types of biocides used in oil and gas industry. | 27 |
| 2.7 | Advantages and Disadvantages of biocide. | 28 |
| 2.8 | List of advantages and disadvantages of ultraviolet | |
| | light treatment. | 30 |
| 2.9 | The effects of sonication towards microorganisms. | 31 |
| 2.10 | Advantages and disadvantages of individual US | |
| | irradiation treatment. | 32 |
| 2.11 | Properties of the disinfection techniques using single | |
| | UV irradiation and single US sonication applied to | |
| | disinfect bacteria suspended in circulating water | |
| | for 15 day. | 32 |
| 3.1 | Terms used in present study to differentiate | |
| | between samples. | 43 |
| 3.2 | Chemical composition of API 5L X70 carbon steel. | 46 |

| 3.3 | Chemical ingredients of Modified Baar's medium. | 52 |
|------|--|------|
| 3.4 | Types of exposure time for treatment process. | 62 |
| 3.5 | Basic operating principle of Scanning Electron | |
| | Microscopy. | 65 |
| 4.1 | Independent sample test for metal weight loss between | |
| | ATCC 7757 and Baar's media sample. | 87 |
| 4.2 | Independent sample test for metal weight loss between | |
| | BARAM and Baar's media sample. | 87 |
| 4.3 | Independent Sample Test for ATCC 7757 Cell Number | |
| | Between Untreated and UV radiation treated sample. | 92 |
| 4.4 | Independent Sample Test For ATCC 7757 Cell Number | |
| | Between Untreated and US irradiation treated sample. | 92 |
| 4.5 | Independent Sample Test For ATCC 7757 Metal Weight | |
| | Loss Between Untreated and UV radiation treated sample. | 93 |
| 4.6 | Independent Sample Test For ATCC 7757 Metal Weight | |
| | Loss Between Untreated and US irradiation treated sample | . 93 |
| 4.7 | Independent Sample Test For BARAM Cell Number | |
| | Between Untreated and UV radiation treated sample. | 97 |
| 4.8 | Independent Sample Test For BARAM Cell Number | |
| | Between Untreated and US irradiation treated sample. | 97 |
| 4.9 | Independent Sample Test For BARAM Metal Weight Loss | 5 |
| | Between UV radiation and Untreated sample. | 98 |
| 4.10 | Independent Sample Test For BARAM Metal Loss | |
| | Between US irradiation and Untreated sample. | 98 |
| 4.11 | ANOVA-test for remaining SRB cell number after | |
| | exposure to treatments. | 104 |
| 4.12 | ANOVA-test for metal weight loss after exposure to | |
| | treatments. | 105 |
| 4.13 | Tukeys' HSD result for remaining SRB cell number after | |
| | exposure to treatments. | 106 |
| | | |

| 4.14 | Tukeys' HSD result for metal weight loss after exposure | |
|------|--|-----|
| | to treatments. | 107 |
| 5.1 | Comparison of preferable pH value for SRB growth at | |
| | certain temperature. | 120 |
| 5.2 | Comparison of coupon metal weight loss and corrosion | |
| | rate between abiotic and biotic sample. | 121 |
| 5.3 | Significant value (p-value) for remaining cell number | |
| | and metal weight loss between untreated and treated | |
| | sample in terms of SRB types. | 123 |
| 5.4 | Significant values for cell number between untreated and | |
| | treated sample respective to SRB types. | 126 |
| 5.5 | Significant values for metal weight loss between | |
| | untreated and treated sample respective to SRB types. | 127 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO | . TITLE I | PAGE |
|-----------|--|-------|
| 2.1 | Schematics of common forms of corrosion. | 9 |
| 2.2 | Schematic diagram of steel corrosion process | |
| | (Source: Ebbing et.al, 1990) | 10 |
| 2.3 | Scanning electron micrograph of Shewanella | |
| | Putrefaciens cells. | 14 |
| 2.4 | A micrograph shows Desulfovibrio vulgaris, a species of SRB. | 15 |
| 2.5 | A schematic diagram of corrosion model showing changing pha | ses |
| | of corrosion process. | 17 |
| 2.6 | A deep corrosion pit in a sample from a pipe segment. | 17 |
| 2.7 | Schematic diagram of cathodic depolarization upon SRB activit | y. 20 |
| 2.8 | King and Miller proposed mechanism of corrosion by | |
| | Sulfate Reducing Bacteria. | 21 |
| 2.9 | Schematic diagram of steps of biofilm development. | 25 |
| 2.10 | Illustration of the impact of UV radiation on deoxyribonucleic | |
| | Acid of microorganisms. | 29 |
| 2.11 | Electromagnetic spectrum. | 30 |
| 2.12 | Effects of particles on UV disinfection. | 34 |
| 2.13 | Comparison between fouling on the lamps between | |
| | a) UV reactor versus time and b) US–UV reactor versus time. | 38 |
| 2.14 | Schematic diagram of US irradiation effects on microorganisms | |
| | and particles. | 40 |
| | | |

| 2.15 | Schematic diagram of UV radiation effects on microorganisms. | 40 |
|------|---|----|
| 3.1 | Overview of research methodology | 44 |
| 3.2 | Cutting process of actual pipe segment using hot cut method. | 45 |
| 3.3 | Cold cut applied to remove heat affected zone. | 45 |
| 3.4 | Flowchart of coupon sample preparation. | 46 |
| 3.5 | Steel coupons; (a) with coatings and (b) without coatings. | 47 |
| 3.6 | Cleaned coupon with mirror surface properties. | 47 |
| 3.7 | Method in identifying Sulfate Reducing Bacteria in the sample. | 48 |
| 3.8 | SRB test kit without the presence of SRB. | 48 |
| 3.9 | Sterilization process in an autoclave at 121°C for 15-30 minutes. | 49 |
| 3.10 | Sparging oxygen free nitrogen gas into medium. | 50 |
| 3.11 | Sparged oxygen free nitrogen gas into anaerobic vials and | |
| | clamping process. | 50 |
| 3.12 | Cultivation medium without presence of SRB. | 51 |
| 3.13 | Inoculation process of SRB seeds into medium. | 51 |
| 3.14 | Sample with presence of SRB at day 2 turns into black | |
| | color solution. | 52 |
| 3.15 | Flowchart of medium preparation and inoculation process. | 52 |
| 3.16 | DR 6000 spectrophotometer. | 54 |
| 3.17 | Hemocytometer (Neubauer Improved, Germany). | 55 |
| 3.18 | Nikon microscopic microscope,(Nikon Microphot FXL,Japan). | 55 |
| 3.19 | Dilution process of SRB sample. | 55 |
| 3.20 | Cleaning process of coupon sample using Clarke's solution. | 56 |
| 3.21 | Overview of design experimental work for treatment process. | 58 |
| 3.22 | Third hydrolytic clear glass vials used in all treatment processes. | 59 |
| 3.23 | Individual UV radiation treatment setup in laminar flow cabinet. | 60 |
| 3.24 | Individual UV radiation treatment inside the laminar flow cabinet. | 60 |
| 3.25 | Individual US treatment setup in laminar flow cabinet. | 61 |
| 3.26 | Schematic diagram of FESEM operational system. | 64 |
| 3.27 | Flowchart for preparation of steel coupon with biofilm attachment | |
| | for FESEM observation. | 66 |

| 3.28 | Flowchart for preparation of steel coupon without biofilm | |
|------|--|------|
| | attachment for FESEM observation. | 66 |
| 3.29 | Overview of data collection and analysis. | 68 |
| 3.30 | Overall flow of data analysis procedure. | 68 |
| 3.31 | Boxplot method. | 69 |
| 3.32 | An example of IBM SPSS Statistic 20 result interface for | |
| | T-test analysis. | 70 |
| 3.33 | An example of IBM SPSS Statistic 20 result interface for | |
| | ANOVA analysis. | 71 |
| 4.1 | a) SRB test kit without presence of SRB. b) SRB test kit with | |
| | presence of SRB. | 74 |
| 4.2 | a) Modified Baar's media without presence of SRB b) Modified | |
| | Baar's media with presence of SRB after 2 days of incubation. | 74 |
| 4.3 | FESEM image of ATCC 7757 cell attached with biofilm at | |
| | magnification 2500 (ATCC 7757 cells are indicates by the arrows) |).75 |
| 4.4 | FESEM image of BARAM cell attached with biofilm at | |
| | magnification 5000 (BARAM cells are indicates by the arrows). | 76 |
| 4.5 | Turbidity against day at 20°C for ATCC 7757. | 77 |
| 4.6 | Turbidity against day at 37°C for ATCC 7757. | 78 |
| 4.7 | Turbidity against day at 60°C for ATCC 7757. | 78 |
| 4.8 | Graph of turbidity against day at 20°C for BARAM. | 79 |
| 4.9 | Turbidity against day at 37°C for BARAM. | 80 |
| 4.10 | Turbidity against day at 60°C for BARAM. | 80 |
| 4.11 | Cell number against day at 20°C for ATCC 7757. | 81 |
| 4.12 | Cell number against day at 37°C for ATCC 7757. | 82 |
| 4.13 | Cell number against day at 60°C for ATCC 7757. | 82 |
| 4.14 | Cell number against day at 20°C for BARAM. | 83 |
| 4.15 | Cell number against day at 37°C for BARAM. | 83 |
| 4.16 | Cell number against day at 60°C for BARAM. | 84 |
| 4.17 | Corrosion rate of steel coupon exposed to abiotic and biotic | |
| | Sample. | 85 |
| | | |

| 4.18 | Cell number against time of exposure for ATCC 7757 | |
|------|---|-----|
| 4.19 | with and without individual treatment. Corrosion rate for untreated and treated | 89 |
| 4.19 | | 90 |
| 4.20 | (UV radiation and US irradiation) ATCC 7757 sample. | 90 |
| 4.20 | Cell number against time of exposure for BARAM with and without individual treatment. | 0.4 |
| 4.01 | | 94 |
| 4.21 | Corrosion rate for untreated and treated | ~ - |
| | (UV radiation and US irradiation) BARAM sample. | 95 |
| 4.22 | Remaining ATCC 7757 cell number after individual and | |
| | HyST treatment. | |
| | 100 | |
| 4.23 | Corrosion rate in ATCC 7757 sample after individual and | |
| | HyST treatment. | 101 |
| 4.24 | Remaining BARAM cell number after individual and | |
| | HyST treatment. | 102 |
| 4.25 | Corrosion rate in BARAM sample after individual and | |
| | HyST treatment. | 103 |
| 4.26 | FESEM image of API 5L X70 carbon steel surface at 1000 | |
| | magnification. | 109 |
| 4.27 | EDS spectrum for API 5L X70 carbon steel surfaces. | 109 |
| 4.28 | FESEM image of biofilm formation for ATCC 7757 at | |
| | magnification 2500x. | 110 |
| 4.29 | EDS spectrum on the API 5L X70 carbon steel surfaces exposed | |
| | to ATCC 7757. | 110 |
| 4.30 | FESEM image of biofilm formation for BARAM at | |
| | magnification 2500x. | 111 |
| 4.31 | EDS spectrum on the API 5L X70 carbon steel surfaces exposed | |
| | to BARAM. | 111 |
| 4.32 | FESEM image for API 5L X70 after exposure to 30 minutes of | |
| | individual UV radiation treatment at magnification 2500x | |
| | (ATCC 7757). | 113 |
| 4.33 | EDS spectrum for API 5L X70 after exposure to 30 minutes of | |
| | individual UV radiation treatment (ATCC 7757). | 113 |
| | | |

| 4.34 | FESEM image for API 5L X70 after exposure to 15US + 15UV | 1 |
|------|---|-----|
| | treatment at magnification 2500x (ATCC 7757). | 114 |
| 4.35 | EDS spectrum on the API 5L X70 after exposure to | |
| | 15US + 15UV treatment (ATCC 7757). | 114 |
| 4.36 | FESEM image of API 5L X70 after exposure to 30 minutes of | |
| | individual UV radiation treatment at magnification 2500x | |
| | (BARAM). | 115 |
| 4.37 | EDS spectrum on the API 5L X70 exposued to 30 minutes of | |
| | individual UV radiation treatment (BARAM). | 116 |
| 4.38 | FESEM image of biofilm with BARAM dead cell attached | |
| | onto API 5L X70 after exposure to individual UV radiation | |
| | treatment at magnification 5000. | 116 |
| 4.39 | FESEM image of API 5L X70 after exposure to 15US + 15UV | |
| | treatment at magnification 2500x (BARAM). | 117 |
| 4.40 | EDS spectrum on the API 5L X70 exposed to $15US + 15UV$ | |
| | treatment (BARAM). | 117 |
| 5.1 | FESEM image of pitting corrosion formation after exposure | |
| | to SRB activity at magnification 1000x. | 124 |
| 5.2 | Schematic of HyST treatment effect towards the | |
| | Microorganisms. | 129 |
| 5.3 | FESEM image of biofilm structure onto steel coupon | |
| | exposed to ATCC 7757 at magnification 2500x. | 131 |
| 5.4 | FESEM image of biofilm structure onto steel coupon | |
| | exposed to BARAM at magnification 2500x. | 131 |
| 5.5 | FESEM image of ATCC 7757 cell attached with biofilm at | |
| | magnification 5000x (SRB cell indicated by the arrow). | 132 |
| 5.6 | FESEM image of BARAM cell attached with biofilm at | |
| | magnification 5000x (SRB cell indicated by the arrow). | 132 |
| 5.7 | FESEM image of dead BARAM cell after exposure to | |
| | 30 minutes individual UV radiation treatment at | |
| | magnification 2500x. | 134 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND SYMBOLS

| API | American Petroleum Institute |
|---------------------|---|
| APB | Acid Producing Bacteria |
| ATCC | American Type Culture Collection |
| Fe | Iron |
| Fe(OH) ₂ | Iron (II) Hydroxide |
| H_2S | Hydrogen sulfide |
| kHz | Kilo Hertz |
| DNA | Deoxyribonucleic acid |
| EDS | Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy |
| EPS | Extracellular Polymer Substance |
| FESEM | Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscopy |
| IRB | Iron Reducing Bacteria |
| MIC | Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion |
| RNA | Ribonucleic acid |
| SiC | Silicon Carbide |
| SRB | Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria |
| SPSS | Statistical Package for Social Science |
| UV | Ultraviolet |
| US | Ultrasound |
| THPS | Tetrakis-hydroxymethyl Phosphonium Sulfate |
| HyST | Hybrid Soliwave Technique |
| °C | degree Celcius |
| А | Area in cm ² |
| D | Density in g/cm ³ |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|----------|--|------|
| | | |
| Α | Box plot of Metal Loss for Untreated Sample | 148 |
| В | Box plot of Metal Loss for treated Sample | 155 |
| С | Optimum Environment for SRB Growth According to turbidity and Cell Number | 177 |
| D | Metal Weight Loss and Corrosion Rate Data For Untreated and Treated Sample. | 181 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

Pipeline systems are commonly made from carbon steel and have an excellent safety record for facilitating bulk shipments of crude oil and natural gas to consumers all around the world. The properties of pipeline networks structures are susceptible to failure. Both underground and aboveground pipelines are affected by corrosion throughout their service life. Corrosion can lead to structural failure or loss of containment, costly repairs, lost or contaminated products, environmental damage, risk to personnel, and loss of public confidence. Corrosion is one of the leading causes of failures in onshore and offshore transmission pipelines, threatening pipeline integrity internally and externally.

Deterioration of onshore and offshore pipelines can lead to catastrophic failure such as pipeline leakage and explosion if wrongly managed. Of all the different origins of corrosion, microbial corrosion, also known as Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion (MIC), has been identified as one of the major causes of corrosion failures. MIC is used to designate corrosion due to the presence and activities of microorganism (Javaherdashti, 2008). This type of corrosion might

occur in environments that promote the growth of microorganisms, including the environments where corrosion would not be predicted and the rates of corrosion can be extremely high. Therefore, researchers and engineers' needs to know how to recognize and deal with MIC as it can have serious detrimental effects on maintenance costs and the integrity of pipeline structure.

1.2 Problem Background

Generally, onshore and offshore pipeline are susceptible to internal and external corrosion. Most of the offshore pipeline failures are attributed to internal corrosion which is more than 50% of the time compared to external corrosion (Chalker *et al.*, 2011). External corrosion is still an issue but is generally quite well addressed using good cathodic protection and coatings. The issues are more important and critical for deep water pipelines where designs are more complex, and inspection, monitoring, and repair are very difficult and costly. Deterioration of steel pipelines due to MIC is a major and serious problem, involving considerable cost and inconvenience to industry and to the public. Therefore, microorganism activity which influenced the corrosion process in pipeline should be controlled since corrosion is one of the major factors that can affect transmission pipeline structural integrity and reliability. In oil and gas industry, wide spectrum of bacteria has been studied but Sulfate Reducing Bacteria (SRB) are the most common enabler related to MIC that cause major problems in oil and gas industry (Jhobalia *et al.*, 2005; Little and Lee, 2007; Al-Abbas *et al.*, 2013).

Conventional technique in disinfecting microorganisms in oil and gas industry is through application of chemical biocides such as glutaraldehyde. Unfortunately, they have a negative impact on the environment and aquatic life as the toxicity properties of biocides tend to disturb aquatic life and pollute the environment. Additionally, after prolonged application, microbials may become resistant towards the biocides or inhibitors thus creating a corrosive environment (Jhobalia *et al.*, 2005). From an economic view, application of biocides requires huge cost to disinfect microorganisms and also affect the health of consumers and professional users. Driven by these challenges, engineers and researchers have discovered and proposed non-hazardous techniques such as application of Infrared radiation, Ultraviolet (UV) radiation and Ultrasound (US) irradiation treatment as an alternative to replace biocides in disinfecting microorganisms. Past studies showed that UV radiation alone effectively disinfects microorganisms, however the efficacy of UV radiation is highly affected by the concentrations of the sample (Darby *et al.*, 1993; Narkis *et al.*, 1995).

In addition to UV radiation, Ultrasound irradiation treatments also have detrimental effect to inactivate wide spectrum of microorganisms. Unfortunately the US irradiation system alone requires high energy usage and the efficacy is affected when volume of treated sample is high (Hulsmans *et al.*, 2009). Both US irradiation and UV radiation have their own benefits and limitations in disinfecting microorganisms. The current trend indicates that researchers have put more effort into investigating non-hazardous technique on disinfecting SRB, as they agreed that MIC is a major and serious problem in oil and gas industry and the application of hazardous chemical biocides should be reduced or replaced with alternative nonhazardous techniques.

1.3 Research Problem

Reports around the world have confirmed that, some oil companies had their pipeline ruptured due to MIC. Sulfate Reducing Bacteria (SRB) is the predominant bacteria that promotes corrosion on steel structures under anaerobic environment. Melchers (2006) stated that the second phase of corrosion is more concerning whereby SRB is able to speed up the corrosion after anaerobic condition had been established. Therefore, even if maintenance is done regularly, pipelines are still facing corrosion attacks due to corrosive environments that surround the structure (Peabody, 2001; Wang et al., 2011). Throughout the world, up to the present time, the application of hazardous chemical biocides is the preferred techniques for controlling MIC activity in oil and gas industry. Unfortunately, the usage of hazardous chemical biocides gives various negative impacts. To date, considerable study on non-hazardous technique in disinfecting microorganisms (e.g. Sulfate Reducing Bacteria) as an alternative to hazardous chemical biocides had been conducted by many researchers. Existing techniques, for example by using UV radiation or US irradiation alone, has limitations that affect its efficacy in disinfecting microorganisms. Many researchers have suggested that combination of US irradiation treatment with other types of treatment (such as UV radiation) will give a synergistic effect in disinfecting microorganisms. However, the non-hazardous technique by combining the US irradiation with UV radiation in disinfecting SRB has not yet received sufficient analysis and study. Therefore, research related to investigating the performance and synergistic effect of combination treatment of US irradiation with UV radiation based on variation of time of exposure in disinfecting SRB from pure strain and local strain is significantly needed.

1.4 Research Aim and Objectives

The aim of the present research is to investigate the performance of hybrid treatment, also known as Hybrid Soliwave Technique (HyST), which is a combination of US irradiation with UV radiation for the inactivation of SRB to control MIC activity. To achieve the mentioned research aim, this study embarks on the following objectives:

- 1. To calculate the corrosion rate of API 5L X70 steel coupon due to SRB;
- 2. To determine the remaining SRB cell number due to synergistic effect of Hybrid Soliwave Technique treatment as a function of time;
- To identify the relationship between rate of bacteria extermination by Hybrid Soliwave Technique Treatment and corrosion rate of API 5L X70 steel coupon.

1.5 Research Scope

This research consists of experimental work in laboratory to investigate the performance of HyST treatment to disinfect SRB in corroding pipeline at pilot scale. In terms of bacteria inactivation, it includes two types of SRB strain which are obtained from American Type Culture Collection with culture number ATCC 7757 (known as ATCC 7757) and SRB strain which were extracted from crude oil sample originated from offshore Baram oil and gas field situated in East Malaysia (known as BARAM). Experimental work for the determination of optimum environment for both types of SRB to grow actively was performed based on various pH and temperature in specific media. Corrosion study upon SRB activity was tested on actual carbon steel API 5L X70. The HyST simulation reactor consists of US probe system and UV lamp for disinfection purposes. HyST simulation reactor consists of US probe with frequency of 24 kHz and power output at 200 watt, while UV lamp with wavelength of 254 nm with power output at 10 watt was used in present study. The HyST treatment was performed in order to determine the remaining SRB cell number and corrosion rate reduction of carbon steel API 5L X70 due to synergistic effect of HyST treatment. Parameters such as wavelength of UV lamp and frequency of US probe were set to constant and the treatment experiment is based on various time of exposures. Finally, both graphical and statistical analysis were utilized to observe the difference in disinfecting performance between HyST and individual UV treatment upon SRB (ATCC 7757 and BARAM) inactivation and corrosion rate reduction.

1.6 Significance of Research

Recently, the use of certain hazardous chemical biocides to kill bacteria, for example in oil and gas industry, must be carried out with permission from the authorities concerned. This is because these chemicals are harmful to the environment and human health. Stringent regulations in using hazardous chemical biocides for disinfection purposes prompt researchers and engineers to invent and promote a benign or non-hazardous technique such as Ultraviolet (UV) treatment in mitigating microorganisms, specifically Sulfate Reducing Bacteria (SRB). However, UV treatment alone has its limitation in mitigating microorganisms. Past researches have proven that a combination of Ultrasound (US) irradiation treatment with other types of physical treatment such as UV radiation has synergistic effect in killing microorganisms when combined. The combination treatment of US irradiation with UV radiation is rarely found in mitigating SRB due to limited researches. If the combination treatment could mitigate SRB effectively, the outcomes of this research will illustrate the synergistic effects of US irradiation as a pre-treatment measure in terms of performance in killing SRB. Future works on the development of combination treatment related to financial and corrosion mitigation scheme can be properly designed, following the outcome of this research. In addition, the outcome of this research could assist engineers and pipeline operators in reducing or eliminating the usage of hazardous chemical biocides and improve the efficiency of individual UV radiation treatment.

REFERENCES

- Abdullah, A., Yahaya, N., Noor, N., and Rasol, R. M. (2014). Microbial Corrosion of API 5L X-70 Carbon Steel by ATCC 7757 and Consortium of Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria. *Journal of Chemistry*, 1–7.
- Al-Abbas, F. M., Williamson, C., Bhola, S. M., Spear, J. R., Olson, D. L., Mishra, B., and Kakpovbia, A. E. (2013). Influence of Sulfate Reducing Bacterial Biofilm on Corrosion Behavior of Low-Alloy, High-Strength Steel (API-5L X80). *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 78, 34–42.
- Al-Hashem A., Carew J., and Al-Borno A. (2004). Screening Test for Six Dual Biocide Regimes Against Planktonic and Sessile Populations of Bacteria. Paper No. 04748, CORROSION 2004, NACE International.
- Al-Majnouni, A.D., and Jaffer, A.E. (2003). Monitoring Microbiological Activity in a Wastewater System Using Ultraviolet Radiation as an Alternative to Chlorine Gas. Paper No. 03067, CORROSION 2003, NACE International.
- Al-Sulaiman S., Al-Mithin A., Al-Shamari A., Islam M., and Prakash S.S (2010). *Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion of a Crude Oil Pipeline*, NACE International Conference & Expo, Paper No. 10210.
- An, Y.H. and Friedman, R.J. (1997). Laboratory Methods for Studies of Bacterial Adhesion. J. Microbiol Methods 30, 141.
- ASM International (2000). #06691G. Corrosion: Understanding The Basics. 1-20, Ohio, USA: ASM international.
- ASTM International. (2011). *Standard Practice for Preparing*, *Cleaning*, *and Evaluating Corrosion Test Specimen* (Vol. 3, 1–9). West Conshohocken: ASTM International.
- Barton. L.L., and Tomei, F.A. (1995). Characteristics and Activities of Sulfate Reducing Bacteria. In: Barton LL (ed) Biotechnology handbooks, Vol 8. Plenum Press, New York.
- Beavers, J.A. (2001). Introduction to Corrosion. In *Peabody's Control of Pipeline Corrosion* Edited by Ronald L. Bianchetti. (2nd Ed), 1-6. Houston, Texas: NACE Press.
- Beech, I., Bergel, A., Mollica, A., Flemming, H., Scotto, V., and Sand, W. (2000). Simple Methods For The Investigation of The Role of Biofilms In Corrosion, 1–27.

- Beech I., Bergel A., Mollica A., Flemming H. C. (Task Leader), Scotto V., Sand, W. (2000). Simple Methods for The Investigation of the Role of Biofilms in Corrosion, *Brite Euram Thematic Network on MIC of Industrial Materials, Task Group 1, Biofilm Fundamentals*, Brite Euram Thematic Network No. ERB BRRT-CT98-5084, September 2000.
- Beech, I. B. (2004). Corrosion of Technical Materials in the Presence of Biofilms -Current Understanding and State of the Art Methods of Study. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*, 53(3): 177-183.
- Blume, T., Martinez, I., and Neis, U. (2002). *Waste Water Disinfection Using UV light and Ultrasound*, 117–128. Hamburg.
- Blume, T., and Neis, U. (2004). Improved Wastewater Disinfection by Ultrasonic Pre-treatment. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*, *11*, 333–336.
- Booth, G.H. and Tiller, A.K., (1968). Cathodic Characteristic of Mild Steel in Suspension of Sulfate Reducing Bacteria. Corrosion Science, 8: 583–600.
- Bosich, J. F. (1970). *Corrosion Prevention for Practicing Engineers*. USA: Barnes and Noble Inc.
- Bott, T. R. (2000). Biofouling Control with Ultrasound. *Heat Transfer Engineering*, 21(3), 43–49.
- Bryant, R. D. (1991). Effect of hydrogenase and mixed Sulfate Reducing Bacterial populations on the corrosion of steel. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 57(10): 2804.
- Budiea, A. M. A., Yahaya, N., and Nor, N. M. (2012). Corrosion of API X70 Steel Due to Near Shore Sediment. *International Journal of Civil and Environmental Engineering*, 12(3), 84–88.
- Campbell, L and Postgate, J. R. (1965). Classification of The Spore Forming Sulfate Reducing Bacteria. *Bacteriological Reviews*. 29, 359-363.
- Campbell, L., Kasprzyckmi, A. and Postgate., J. R. (1966). Desulfovibrio Africanus sp.. A New Dissimilatory Sulfate Reducing Bacterium. *Journal of Bacteriology*. 92, 1122-1127.
- Chan, K. Y., Xu. L. C. and Fang. H. H. P. (2002). Anaerobic Electrochemical Corrosion of Mild Steel in the Presence of Extracellular Polymeric Substances Produced by a Culture Enriched in Sulfate Reducing Bacteria. *Environmental Science and Technology*. 36(8): 1720-1727.
- Chalker, B. and Singh, B. (2011). *NACE Interview: Integrity management Solutions For Offshore Corrosion.* CORROSION 2011, Vol. 238 (3), 1-5.
- Clark, J. B., Luppens, J. C., Co, P., Tucker, P. T., and Petru, P. (1984). Using Ultraviolet Radiation for Controlling Sulfate Reducing Bacteria in Injection Water. In *59th Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition*, 1–6, Houston: Society of Petroleum Engineers.
- Clint, B., Geoff, L., Jim, M. and Charles, W. (2014). *Imaging and Material Analysis*. Bellingham, Washington. Scientific Technical Service (SciTech) Western Washington University.
- Costerton, J. W. (2007). The Biofilm Primer, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1st edition.

- Cord Ruwisch, R., Kleinitz, W., and Widdel, F. (1987). Sulfate Reducing Bacteria and Their Activity in Oil Production. *Journal of Petroleum Technology*, *SPE* 13554, 97–106.
- Cord-Ruwisch, R., Kleinita, W., and Widdel, F. (2010). *Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria* and Their Activities in Oil Production, 1–19, Konstaz.
- Darby J. L., Snider K. E., and Tchobanoglous G. (1993). Ultraviolet Disinfections for Wastewater Reclamation and Reuse Subjects to Restrictive Standards. *Water Environment Research* 65(2), 169-180.
- Deymier, P. A., Vasseur, J. O., and Khelif, A. (2004). Second Order Sound Field During Megasonic Cleaning of Patterned Silicon Wafers: Application to Ridges and Trenches. Journal of Applied Physics 90, 4211-4218.
- Dubiel. M., Hsu C. H., and Chien C. C. (2002). Microbial Iron Respiration Can Protect Steel from Corrosion. *Appl. Environ Microbiol* 68(3), 1440–1445.
- Doyle, R. J. (2001). Microbial Growth in Biofilms Part A: Developmental and Molecular Biological Aspects (Academic Press, San Diego, CA).
- Doosti, M. R., Kargar, R., & Sayadi, M. H. (2012). Water Treatment Using Ultrasonic Assistance: A review. *Proceedings of the International Academy of Ecology and Environmental Sciences*, 2(2), 96–110.
- Earnshaw., R. G., Appleyard, J. and Hurst, R. (1995). Understanding Physical Inactivation Processes: Combined Preservation Opportunities using Heat, Ultrasound and Pressure. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 28(2), 197–219.
- Ebbing., and Darrel D. (1990). General Chemistry (3rd Ed). Houghton Miffin.
- Edyvean, R. G. J. (1991). Hydrogen Sulphide A Corrosive Metabolite. International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation, 27, 109–120.
- Fang, H. H. P., Xu, L. C. and Chan, K. Y. (2002). Effects of Toxic Metals and Chemicals on Biofilm and Biocorrosion. *Water Research*, 36(19): 4709-4716.
- Flemming, H. C. (1990). Biofouling in Water Treatment in Biofouling and Biodeterioration in Industrial Water Systems. Proceedings of The International Workshop on Industrial Biofouling and Biocorrosion. Stuttgart, September 13–14, 1990 (Flemming H-C, Geesey GG, eds) Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Flemming, H. C., and Schaule, G. (1996). Measures Against Biofouling In: Microbially Influenced Corrosion of Materials – Scientific and Engineering Aspects (Heitz, E., Flemming, H. C., Sand, W. eds) Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Gaudy A. F. and Gaudy, E. T., (1980). *Microbiology for Environmental Scientists* and Engineers. Mc Graw Hill Book Co.: New York, 73.
- Geesey, G .G. (1993). Biofilm Formation, In: A Practical Manual on Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion Kobrin G. (ed), NACE, Houston, Texas, USA.

- Grondin, E., Lefebvre Y., Perreault N., and Given, K. (1996). Strategies for the Effective Application of Microbiological Control to Aluminum Casting Cooling Systems. Presented at ET 96, Chicago, Illinois USA; 14–17 May 1996.
- Groysman, A. (2010). *Corrosion for Everybody* (pp. 1–377). London, New York: Springer.
- Hamiltion, A. W. (1994). Biochemistry of Microbial degradation. (Ed. C. Ratledge), 555-570, Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Haggstrom, J. A., Weaver, J. D., Gloe, L. M., Holtsclaw, J., and Lawton, O. (2011). UV Light Treatment Methods and System. United States: United States Patent Application Publication.
- Hammerschimidt, J. A., Goglia, J. J., and Carmody, C. J. (2003). *Natural Gas Pipeline Rupture and Fire Near Carlsbad*, *New Mexico*, 1–57, Washington.
- Herring, D. (2006). Illustration of the Impact of UV Radiation on DNA Structure. Available at: http://www.nasa.gov.features/UVB/Images/dna_mutation.gif.NASAEarthObs ervatory.
- Hilbert, L. R., Hemmingsen, T., Nielsen, L. V. and Richer, S. (2005). When Can Electrochemical Techniques Give Reliable Corrosion Rates on Carbon Steel in Sulfide Media. CORROSION 2005, NACE International.
- Hoaglin, D. C. (1983). Letter Values: A Set of Selected Order Statistics. In Hoaglin D.C., Mosteller, F. and Tukey, J.W. (Ed.) Understanding Robust and Exploratory Data Analysis, 33-57, New York: John Wiley.
- Hopkins, P. (2000). Pipeline Integrity Reviews. In *International Pipeline Conference* Vol. 44, 1–15). Morelia, Mexico: Pespen Integrity.
- Hulsmans, A., Joris, K., Lambert, N., Rediers, H., Declerck, P., Delaedt, Y., Ollevier, F. and Liers, S. (2010). Evaluation of process parameters of ultrasonic treatment of bacterial suspensions in a pilot scale water disinfection system. Ultrasonics Sonochemistry, 17, 1004-1009.
- Jack, T. R., Rogoz, B., Bramhill, B. and Roberge, P. R. (1994). The Characterization of Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria in Heavy Oil Waterflood Operation, in Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion Testing, eds. Kearns, K.R. and Little, B.J. (West Conshohocken, PA: ASTM, 1994), 108.
- Javaherdashti R. (1999). A Review of Some Characteristics of MIC caused by Sulphate Reducing Bacteria: Past, present and future. *Anti-Corrosion Methods & Materials*, Vol. 46 (3), 173–180.
- Javaherdashti, R. (2008). *Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion An Engineering Insight*, 1–172, London: Springer-Verlag.
- Javaherdashti, R. (2011). Impact of Sulphate-Reducing Bacteria on the Performance of Engineering Materials. Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology, (91), 1507–1517.

- Javaherdashti R. (2012). The Basic of Microbial Corrosion: An Industrial Approach, 8th International Materials Technology Conference and Exhibition (IMTCE 2012), 1-20.
- Jeffrey, R. and Melchers R. E. (2003), Bacteriological Influence in the Development of Iron Sulphide Species in Marine Immersion Environments, *Corrosion Science*, 45(4), 693–714.
- Jeroen, V. B., Geert, J. J., Rob, F., Remco, A., Jan, D., Elisabeth, P. and Gerard Dekkers. (2007). *Information on the FESEM (Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope)*. Netherland. Radboud University Nijmegen.
- Jin, X., Li, Z. F., Zhao, X., Xie, L. L., and Wang, T. T. (2011). Effect of Ultrasound Pre-Treatment on Ultraviolet Disinfection in Controlling Bacterial Photoreactivation. Advanced Materials Research, 347-353, 2369–2374.
- Joyce, E., Mason, T. J., Phull, S. S. and Lorimer, J. P. (2003). The development and evaluation of electrolysis in conjunction with power ultrasound for the disinfection of bacterial suspensions. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*, 10, 231-234.
- Jhobalia, C. M., Hu, A., Gu, T., and Nesic, S. (2005). Biochemical Engineering Approaches to MIC. *Corrosion 2005*, 1–12.
- Johnson, K. M., Kumar, M. R. A., Ponmurugan, P., and Gananamangai, B. M. (2010). Ultraviolet Radiation and Its Germicidal Effect In Drinking Water Purification. *Journal of Phytology 2010*, 2(5), 12–19.
- King, R. A. and Miller, J. D. A. (1971). Corrosion by Sulphate Reducing Bacteria. Nature, 233: 491-492.
- King, R. A., Miller, J. D. A and Smith, J. S. (1973). Corrosion of Mild Steel by Iron Sulfides. British Corrosion Journal, 8: 137-142.
- King, R. A. (2007). Microbiologically Induced Corrosion and Biofilm Interactions. MIC-An International Perspective Symposium, Extrin Corrosion Consultants, Curtin University, Perth, Australia 14–15 February 2007
- Koch, G. H., Brongers, M. P. H., Thompson, N. G., Virmani, Y. P., and Payer, J. (2007). Corrosion Costs And Preventive Strategies In The United States. *NACE, International*, 1–12.
- Kumar, C. and Anand, S. (1998). Significance of Microbial Biofilms in Food Industry: A Review. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*. 42(9): 27.
- Lawal, O., Shannon, K., Gloe, L., King, K., Warren, W., Hargy, T., and Fong, F. (2010). Ultraviolet Disinfection Effectively Controls Oilfield Sulfate Reducing Bacteria. *IUVA News*, 12(3), 17–20.
- Lambert, N., Rediers, H., Hulsmans, A., Joris, K., Declerck, P., De Laedt, Y. and Liers, S. (2010). Evaluation of Ultrasound Technology for the Disinfection of Process Water and the Prevention of Biofilm Formation in a Pilot Plant. *Water Science and Technology*. 61(5), 1089-1096.
- Lewandowski, Z., Dickinsin, W. H. and Lee W. C. (1997). Electrochemical Interactions of Biofilms with Metal Surface. *Water Science and Technology*, 36, 295-302.

- Lewandowski, Z. and Beyenal, H. (2013). *Fundamentals of biofilm research* (2nd Ed.) Boca Raton, F.L.: CRC Press.
- Liu H., Xu L., Zeng J. (2000). Role of Corrosion Products in Biofilms in Microbiologically Induced Corrosion of Carbon Steel. Brit Corrosion J. 35 (2):131–135 DIRECTIVE 98/8/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 16 February 1998, Official Journal of the European Communities.
- Liu, H., Shah, S., Jiang, W. (2004). On-line Outlier Detection and Data Cleaning. *Computers and Chemical Engineering*. 28, 1635–1647.
- Little, B. J., Rav, R. I. and Pope, K. (2000). CORROSION 2000, 56(4), 433-443.
- Little, B. J., and Wagner, P. (1997). Myths Related to Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion. Mater Perform 36(6):40–44.
- Little, B. J., and Lee, J. S. (2007). Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion. John Wiley & Sons Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA. Chapter 1-4.
- Lutey, R. W. (1995). Process Cooling Water. Section 3.3.6 and Section 3.4. In: Handbook of Biocide and Preservative use. Rossmore HW (ed) Blackie Academic & Professional (Chapman & Hall) Glasgow UK.
- Magot, M., Ollivier, B., and Petel B. K. C. (2002). Microbiology of Petroleum reservoirs. Antonie van Leeuwenhoek, 77, 103-16.
- Manning, J., and Seeley, R. M. (2011). Failure Investigation Report Williams (Transco) Corrosion Failure April 26, 2010. 1–39. Kleberg County, TX.
- Marcus, P. (2002). *Corrosion mechanisms in theory and practice* (2nd ed.). Boca Raton, FL. CRC Press Inc.
- Mason, T. J., Joyce, E., Phull, S.S. and Lorimer, J.P. (2003). Potential Uses of Ultrasound in The Biological Decontamination of Water. *Ultrasonics Sonochemistry*, 10(6), 319-323.
- Mason, T. J. (2006). Developments in Ultrasound Non-medical. *Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology*, 93, 166–175.
- Melchers, R. E. (2003). Corrosion NACE 2003. 59(4), 319-334.
- Melchers, R. E. and Wells, T. (2006). Models for the anaerobic phases of marine immersion corrosion. Corrosion Sci, 48, 1791-1811.
- Mittelman, M. W. (1990). Bacterial Growth and Biofouling Control in Purified Water Systems in Biofouling and Biodeterioration in Industrial Water Systems. Proceedings of the International Workshop on Industrial Biofouling and Biocorrosion. Stuttgart, September 13–14 1990 (Flemming H-C, Geesey GG, eds) Springer-Verlag Berlin, Heidelberg 1991.
- Mori, K., Tsurumaru H. and Harayama S. (2010). Iron corrosion activity of anaerobic hydrogen consuming microorganisms isolated from oil facilities. Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering, 110(4): 426-430.
- Muthukumar, N., Rajasekar, A., Ponmariappan, S., Mohanan, S., Maruthamuthu, S., Muralidharan, S., Subramanian, P., Palaniswamy, N. and Raghavan, M. (2003). Microbiologically influenced corrosion in petroleum product pipelines: A review. *Indian J. Expert Biology*, 41 (9), 1012-1022.

- Naddeo, V., Landi, M., Belgiorno, V., and Napoli, R. M. A. (2009). Wastewater Disinfection by Combination of Ultrasound and Ultraviolet Irradiation. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 168 (2-3), 925–929.
- Naddeo, V., Cesaro, A., Mantzavinos, D., Fatta-kassinos, D., and Belgiorno, V. (2014). Water and Wastewater Disinfection by Ultrasound Irradiation – A Critical Review. *Global NEST Journal*. 16(3), 561-577.
- Narkis N., Armon R., Offer R., Orshansky F., and Friedland E. (1995). Effect Suspended Solid on Wastewater Disinfection Efficiency by Chlorine Dioxide. Wat. Res. 29 (1), 227-236.
- Neideen, T., and Brasel, K. (2007). Understanding statistical tests. *Journal of Surgical Education*, 64(2), 93–96.
- Oliver, B. G., and Cosgrove, E. G. (1975). The disinfection of sewage treatment plant effl uents using ultraviolet light. *Canadian Journal Chemical Engineering*. 53(2):170–174.
- Olivares G. Z., Esquivel, R. G., Mejia, G. M., Caloca, G., Garcia, L., and Guzman, I. (2003), Sulfate Reducing Bacteria Influence on the Cathodic Protection of Pipelines That Transport Hydrocarbons, *NACE International Conference and Expo, Paper No. 03087*.
- Obuekwe, C. O., Westlake, D. W., Plambeck, J. A., and Cook, F. D. (1981). Corrosion of Mild Steel in Cultures of Ferric Iron Reducing Bacterium Isolated from Crude oil, Polarisation characteristics. CORROSION 37(8):461–467.
- O'Toole G. A., Kaplan, H. B. and Kolter, R. (2002). Biofilm Formation as Microbial Development. Annu Rev Microbiol 54.
- Pagan, R., P. Manas, Raso, J., and Condon, S. (1999). Bacterial Resistance to Ultrasonic Waves Under Pressure at Non-lethal and Lethal Temperatures. *Applied And Environment Microbiology* 65, 297-300.
- Peabody, A. W. (2001). Peabody's Control of Pipeline Corrosion, Edited by R.L. Bianchetti.
- Peng, C. G. and Park, J. K. (1994). Principal Factors Affecting Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion of Carbon Steel, *Corrosion*, 50(9), pp. 669–675.
- Piyasena, P., Mohareb, E. & McKellar, R. C. (2003). Inactivation of microbes using ultrasound: a review. *International Journal Food Microbiology*. 87, 207–216.
- Pound, B. G., Gorfu, Y., Schattner, P., and Mortelmans, K. E. (2005). Ultrasonic Mitigation of Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion. *Corrosion*, 61(5), 452–463.
- Rafael, V. D., and Rodolfo, Q. R. (2004). Petroleum Biotechnology Developments and Perspectives. 151, 332-336. Amsterdam, *Elsevier*.
- Rasol, R. M., Norhazilan M. N., Nordin Y. N., Arman Abdullah, Akrima Abu Bakar, and Ahmad Safuan Rashid. (2013). Combination Effects of Ultrasound Wave and Biocide Treatment on the Growth of Sulfate Reducing Bacteria (SRB). *Desalination and Water Treatment*, 1–10.
- Reed, N. G. (2010). The History of Ultraviolet Germicidal Irradiation for Air Disinfection. *Public Health Reports*, 125 (February 2010), 15–27.

- Reisz, P. Free Radical Generation by Ultrasound in Aqueous Solutions of Volatile and Non- volatile Solutes. In: Mason, T.J. (Ed.). *Advances in Sonochemistry*, 2, JAI Press, 23–64, (1991).
- Rice, K. C., and Bayles, K. W. (2008). Molecular Control of Bacterial Death and Lysis. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev., 72: 85–109.
- Rusli. V. (2010). Peluang Malaysia jadi hab minyak. [online] 23 July 2013. Available:at:http://www.bharian.com.my/articles/PeluangMalaysiajadihabmi nyak/Article/ [Accessed: 20 September 2013].
- Sala, F. J., Burgos, J., Condon, S., Lopez, P., and Raso, J., (1995). Effect of Heat and Ultrasound on Microorganisms and Enzymes. In: Gould, G.W. (Ed.), New Methods of Food Preservation. Blackie Academic & Professional, London, 176–204.
- Saiz-Jimenez., C. (2001). The Biodeterioration of Building Materials. In: A Practical Manual on Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion (Stoecket II JG, ed) 2nd edn, NACE International 2001.
- Shaw B. A. and Robert G. Kelly. (2006). What is Corrosion? *Electrochemical Society Interface*: 24-26.
- Shaw, R., Pritchard, R., and Shaw, P. (2010). Sulphate Reducing Bacteria on Steel Structures, 1–5, Brisbane.
- Singleton, R. (1993) The sulphate-reducing bacteria: An overview. In: The sulfatereducing bacteria: Contemporary perspectives, New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Southwell, C. R., Bultman, J. D. and Hummer, C. W. (1979). In sea-water Corrosion Handbook. (Ed. M. Schumacher), 374-387, Park Ridge, New Jersey, Noyes Data Corporation.
- Sutherland, I. W. (2001). The Biofilm Matrix An Immobilized but Dynamic Microbial Environment. *Trends in Microbiology* 9:222-227.
- Tolker-Nielsen, T., and Molin, S.(2000) Spatial organization of microbial biofilm communities.

Microbial Ecology, 40:75–84.

- USDA (2000) Kinetics of microbial inactivation for alternative food processing technologies: Ultrasound. US Food and Drug Administration Report. http://www.fda.gov/Food/FoodScienceResearch/SafePracticesforFoodProcess es/ucm103342.htm.
- Van Loosdrecht, M. C. M., Eikelbook, D. and Gjaltema, A. (1995). Biofilm Structures. *Water Sci Technol* 32, 35.
- Videla, H.A. (1996) Manual of Biocorrosion. Ch. 4. CRC Press, London.
- Videla, H. A. (2000). An Overview of Mechanisms by which Sulphate-Reducing Bacteria Influence Corrosion of Steel in Marine Environments Biofouling, 15(1-3): 37-47.
- Videla, H. A. (2007). Mechanisms of MIC: Yesterday, Today and Tomorrow. MIC An International Perspective Symposium, Extrin Corrosion Consultants, Curtin University, Perth, Australia, 14–15 February 2007.

- Wang J., Yang F., Yuan X., Liu B., Wu H., Sui X. (2005) ,Successfully Sterilizing the Sulfate Bacteria with Ultraviolet Radiation in Produced-Water Treatment in Daqing Oilfield, SPE International Asia Pasific Oil-Gas Conference and Exhibition, Jakarta, Indonesia, Paper No. SPE93148.
- Wang, H., Hu, C., Hu, X., Yang, M. and Qiu, J. (2011). Effects of disinfectant and biofilm on the corrosion of cast iron pipes in a reclaimed water distribution system. Water Research, 46 (4), 1070-1078.
- Washington State Department of Ecology. (2006). Frequently Asked Questions about Ultraviolet Disinfecion. Tech. Rep., Water Quality Program.
- Williams, G. J., Baxter, R. A., He, H. X., Hawkins, S., Gu, L. (2002). A Comparative Study of RNN for Outlier Detection in Data Mining. *IEEE International Conference on Data-mining (ICDM'02)*. 9-12 December. Maebashi City, Japan: IEEE, 709.
- Wong, K. Y. K. (2002). Ultrasound As a role or Synergistic Disinfectant in Drinking Water. Degree of Master Science. Worcester Polytechnic Institute.
- Yuzwa, G. F. (1991). Corrosion by the sulphate-reducing bacteria. (pp. 1–6). Calgary.
- Yu, L. Li, B. G. Sun, Q., Han, S. Q., and Wang, Z. D. (2009). Progress in Microbial Induced Corrosion of Sulfate Reducing Bacteria and its Prevention and Control. Liaoning Chemical Industry, 38, 389-393.
- Zhang, C., Wen, F., and Cao, Y. (2011). Progress in Research of Corrosion and Protection by Sulfate Reducing Bacteria. *Proc. Environ. Sci.* 10: 1177-1182.
- Zuo, R., and Wood, T. K. (2004). Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 65,747.