

IMPROVEMENT OF GROUND IMPEDANCE MEASUREMENT USING SINGLE  
ROD METHOD

MOHAMMAD REZA BARAHOUEI

A project report submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Master of Engineering (Electrical Power)

Faculty of Electrical Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JUNE 2016

**DEDICATION**

To the dearest figures of my life, my parents Mohammad and Farzaneh. Without whom none of my success would be possible.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

First, I would like to express my gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Zolkafle Buntat for the guidance, understanding, comments and advices during my research. I am also grateful to my friends specially Dr. Mehrdad Mokhtari whom have help me one way or another to achieve this objective.

## ABSTRACT

In city centers, measurement of ground impedance is found to be crucial to prevent any hazard to the city citizens and equipment due to lightning and short circuits. Although various methods introduced to measure ground impedance but they mostly require a large site area or adoptable only for low frequencies. Therefore, a new approach is needed to accurately measure the ground impedance value. In this study, an innovative method is developed based on one-rod measurement technique to overcome the limitation of site area measurement as well as to consider the frequency influence on ground electrode. In this method, a 5V-AC is injected by a signal generator with a variable frequency (from 5 Hz to 300 kHz) into the driven rod. The measured current is recorded accordingly. The ground resistance is then determined as the ratio of voltage and current. The corresponding resistivity is obtained based on Dwight formula. For the validation of the method in low frequency range, the obtained ground resistance from one-rod method was compared to that value obtained from fall-of-potential (FOP) method. In addition, for the validation of the method in high frequency range, the obtained ground resistances from one-rod method were compared to those values obtained from Visacro-Alipio theoretical model. The results show that the resistivity value obtained from the developed method and FOP were 113.28  $\Omega$ .m and 117.36 $\Omega$ .m, respectively, which shows 3.5% difference. In addition, the resistivity value obtained from the developed method and Visacro-Alipio for the frequency range of 100 Hz to 300 kHz showed a minimum difference of 1.33% only. The simulation results of Single Rod method using CDEGS and EMTP showed the maximum of 1.09 % and 0.08% difference between simulation and experimental results respectively. These results validated the reliability of using one-rod method as an alternative method for measuring ground resistance especially in the congested area.

## ABSTRAK

Di kawasan pusat bandar, pengukuran galangan tanah didapati penting untuk mengelakkan sebarang bahaya kepada penduduk bandar dan peralatan disebabkan oleh kilat dan litar pintas. Walaupun pelbagai kaedah diperkenalkan untuk mengukur galangan tanah namun kebanyakannya memerlukan kawasan tapak yang besar atau dilaraskan hanya untuk frekuensi rendah. Oleh itu, pendekatan baru diperlukan untuk mengukur dengan tepat nilai impedans tanah. Dalam kajian ini, kaedah inovatif dibangunkan berdasarkan kepada teknik pengukuran satu rod untuk mengatasi had pengukuran kawasan tapak dan juga mengambil kira pengaruh frekuensi pada elektrod bumi. Dalam kaedah ini, 5V-AC disuntik oleh penjana isyarat dengan frekuensi bolehubah (dari 5 Hz hingga 300 kHz) ke dalam rod yang dipandu. Arus yang diukur direkodkan dengan sewajarnya. Rintangan tanah kemudian ditentukan sebagai nisbah voltan dan arus. Kerintangan sepadan diperolehi berdasarkan formula Dwight. Untuk mengesahkan kaedah dalam julat frekuensi yang rendah, rintangan tanah yang diperolehi dari kaedah satu-rod dibandingkan dengan nilai yang diperolehi daripada kaedah “fall-of-potential” (FOP). Manakala, untuk mengesahkan kaedah dalam julat frekuensi tinggi, ianya dibandingkan dengan nilai yang diperolehi daripada teori model Visacro-Alípio. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa nilai kerintangan yang diperolehi dari kaedah yang dibangunkan dan FOP adalah 113,28  $\Omega$ .m dan 117.36 $\Omega$ .m, masing-masing, menunjukkan perbezaan 3.5%. Di samping itu, nilai kerintangan yang diperolehi dari kaedah yang dibangunkan dan Visacro-Alípio untuk julat frekuensi 100 Hz hingga 300 kHz menunjukkan perbezaan minimum 1.33% sahaja. Keputusan simulasi kaedah Single Rod menggunakan CDEGS dan EMTP masing-masing menunjukkan perbezaan maksimum 1.09% dan 0.08% antara simulasi dan keputusan eksperimen. Keputusan ini mengesahkan kebolehpercayaan menggunakan kaedah satu-rod sebagai kaedah alternatif untuk mengukur rintangan tanah terutama di kawasan yang sesak.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iii</b>
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	<b>iv</b>
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>v</b>
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	<b>vi</b>
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>vii</b>
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>x</b>
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xi</b>
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	<b>xiii</b>
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xv</b>
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Research Background	1
	1.2 Research Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Research Objectives	3
	1.4 Research Scope	4
	1.5 Research Significance	4
	1.6 Thesis Outline	4
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>6</b>
	2.1 Introduction	6
	2.2 Electrical Properties of Soil	7
	2.2.1 Soil Resistivity	8

2.2.2	Impact of Diverse Factors on Soil Resistivity	10
2.2.3	Permittivity of Soil	14
2.3	Grounding Design Principles	16
2.3.1	Basics of Grounding System	16
2.3.2	Current Characteristics	17
2.3.3	Ground Electrode/Rod	18
2.3.4	The Circuit Model	22
2.4	Ground Measurements Techniques	23
2.4.1	Common Techniques	23
2.4.2	Comparison of Other Available Techniques with FOP	27
2.5	Frequency-Dependent Ground Models	30
2.5.1	Complex Conductivity	31
2.5.2	Scott Model	33
2.5.3	Smith-Longmire Model	33
2.5.4	Visacro-Alipio (VA) Model	34
2.5.5	Single Rod Model	35
2.6	Frequency Range Requirement	36
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	37
3.1	Introduction	37
3.2	Application of Single Rod Method	40
3.2.1	List of Equipment	40
3.2.2	Experimental Setup	41
3.3	Validation	43
3.3.1	FOP (Low Frequency Condition)	43
3.3.2	Visacro-Allipio Model (High Frequency Condition)	46
3.4	Simulations	47
3.4.1	Modelling of the Single Rod in EMTP Software	47
3.4.2	Modelling of the Single Rod in CDEGS Software	50
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>	54
4.1	Introduction	54
4.2	Single Rod Experimental Results	55

4.3	Validation of Single Rod Model	57
4.3.1	Validation and Comparison of Single Rod Model with FOP Model	58
4.3.2	Validation and Comparison of Single Rod Model with Visacro-Alipio Model	59
4.4	Simulation	62
4.4.1	Simulation Results of Single Rod in EMTP	62
4.4.2	Simulation of Single Rod in CDEGS	66
4.4.3	Accuracy Assessment of Measured Ground Impedance by Single Rod	70
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER WORK</b>	<b>72</b>
5.1	Conclusions	72
5.2	Recommendations for Future Work	73
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>74</b>
	Appendices A–B	80-92



**LIST OF TABLES**

<b>TABLE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Typical and usual reference resistivity values of some materials [21]	9
2.2	Typical rock-soil permittivity values [19]	16
2.3	Comparison of FOP with common measurement techniques	26
2.4	Coefficient $a_i$ [8]	34
4.1	Single Rod field test results summary	56
4.2	Comparison of Single rod with Visacro-Alipio	61
4.3	EMTP Results summary	66
4.4	CDEGS Results summary	69
4.5	Comparison of ground impedance measurement accuracy between Developed Single Rod model, EMTP, and CDEGS	70

## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>FIGURE NO.</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
2.1	Principle of resistivity measurement [19]	8
2.2	Resistivity and conductivity of some important soil groups [23]	10
2.3	Temperature versus sand resistivity with 5% water content [24]	11
2.4	Water content versus resistivity for sand [24]	12
2.5	Relation between resistivity and moisture [25]	13
2.6	Different solutions resistivity [25]	14
2.7	Vertical rod in a homogeneous single layered soil [33]	20
2.8	Horizontal rod in a homogeneous single layered soil [15]	21
2.9	Typical grounding electrode [36]	22
2.10	Two-Point method [9]	24
2.11	FOP electrodes arrangement [37]	26
2.12	Schematic diagram of Single Rod grounding resistance [58]	36
3.1	The flow of single rod model in ground application and validation through conventional and empirical methods	39
3.2	Schematic of the Developed Single Rod method setup	41
3.3	Actual setup of the developed method in UTM's IVAT Lab parking area	42
3.4	Schematic of the FOP method setup	45
3.5	Actual setup of FOP method in UTM's IVAT Lab	

	parking area	45
3.6	Port configuration of FOP method	46
3.7	Single rod design in EMTP	49
3.8	Voltage and Current waveform simulation panel for each frequency	49
3.9	High Frequency module of CDEGS	50
3.10	Energization panel for voltage injection	51
3.11	Soil setting in High frequency module	52
3.12	Coordinates setting of the rod and injection point	52
3.13	Single rod's current dissipation concept in CDEGS	53
4.1	Field test result of FOP resistance	58
4.2	Resistivity comparison between Single rod and Visacro-Alipio	61
4.3	EMTP simulation of Single Rod model for ground impedance measurement (a) Current waveform at 100 Hz (b) Voltage waveform at 100 Hz	64
4.4	EMTP simulation of Single Rod model for ground impedance measurement (a) Current waveform at 300 KHz (b) Voltage waveform at 300 KHz	65
4.5	CDEGS simulation of Single Rod model for ground impedance measurement at 100 Hz (a) Longitudinal current (b) Leakage Current	67
4.6	CDEGS simulation of Single Rod model for ground impedance measurement at 100 Hz (a) Longitudinal current (b) Leakage Current	67
4.7	Ground impedance comparison between Single Rod model, EMTP, and CDEGS	71

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$a$	–	Physical electrode radius
$a_{eq}$	–	Equivalent electrode radius
$L$	–	Electrode inductance
$q$	–	Electric charge
$Q$	–	Total electric charge
$R$	–	Low current and low frequency grounding electrode resistance
$C_g$	–	Soil capacitance of non-ionized region
$E_a$	–	Electric field in air void
$E_s$	–	Electric field in soil particle
$J_a$	–	Current density in air void
$J_s$	–	Current density in soil particle
$R_g$	–	Soil resistance of non-ionized region
$R_G(t)$	–	Dynamic grounding electrode resistance
$T_f$	–	Current front time
$U_a$	–	Voltage across the air void
$U_s$	–	Voltage across the soil particle
$v(t)$	–	Grounding electrode voltage at current injection point
$Z_g$	–	Equivalent impedance of the parallel elements $R_g$ and $C_g$
$\varepsilon$	–	Permittivity
$\sigma$	–	Conductivity
$\rho$	–	Soil resistivity
$\tau$	–	Time constant
$\varepsilon_s$	–	Soil permittivity

$\epsilon_a$	–	Air permittivity
$\sigma_s$	–	Soil particle conductivity
$\sigma_a$	–	Air conductivity
$v_d$	–	Electron drift velocity

**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

<i>CDEGS</i>	–	Current Distribution, Electromagnetic Fields, Grounding, and Soil Structure Analysis
<i>EM</i>	–	Electromagnetic Approach
<i>EM–MoM</i>	–	Electromagnetic Approach with Method of Moment
<i>EMTP</i>	–	Electromagnetic Transient Program
<i>FFT</i>	–	Fast Fourier Transform
<i>IEC</i>	–	The International Electrotechnical Commission
<i>IEEE</i>	–	The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
<i>IFFT</i>	–	Inverse Fast Fourier Transform

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Calculations	80
B	Simulation Figures for All Frequency Steps	85

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Research Background**

Grounding basically began as the safety measure to help prevent people from accidentally coming in contact with electrical Hazards. In the electrical system the grounding system or earthing system are the circuits used to connect electrical devices to the ground. Grounding of electrical installation is primarily concerned when safety aspect of equipment and user are concern. In the electrical system grounding is important to provide a reference voltage (zero potential ground potential) against which all other voltages in a system. An effective ground connection also minimize the susceptibility of equipment to interface and to reduce the risk of equipment damage due to lightning.

Grounding electrodes are important components in lightning protection systems (LPS). The main goal of any grounding system is to provide low impedance path for fault and/or transient currents to the ground in order to avoid any hazard or danger cussed to human or equipment nearby. The performance of this insulation scaled by the ground impedance of the system that leads to improvement of safety and optimization of the system [1], [2].



Usually, in the analysis of grounding systems subject to lightning current, the electrical parameters (permittivity and conductivity) of the soil are considered to be constant as a function of frequency. Only very recently, the frequency dependence of the soil electrical parameters was taken into account in the analysis of grounding systems [3-6]. Extensive experimental characterization of the frequency dependence of soil electric conductivity and permittivity are available in the literature. There are six different models which have been proposed for the representation of soil electrical parameters, such as Scott (S) [7], Smith and Longmire (SL) [8], and Visacro and Alipio (VA) [3].

According to IEEE Standard [9], ground impedance can be resistive, inductive, and capacitive and is highly dependent on the frequency. This characterization is a significant factor in determining the overall ground impedance behavior. Solutions based on either circuit theory [10]–[12] or electromagnetic theory [13], [14] can be used to model the ground impedance characteristic under transient conditions. In the circuit-based model, the ground impedance is represented either as a lumped or as a distributed circuit [14]. The elements of the circuits and their values are computed by using relevant formulas proposed by Sunde [15]. In the lumped circuit model, the elements are combined together into one section to give only a single resistance, inductance, and capacitance to represent the whole electrode. On the other hand, in the distributed model, the elements are uniformly distributed.

In this project for frequency dependent models Visacro-Alipio (VA) have been adopted and the effects are simulated using CDEGS. For Electromagnetic point of view, lumped circuit based model has been used and the effects are simulated using EMTP software.

## 1.2 Research Problem Statement

Most of the conventional methods such as Fall of Potential (FOP) [16] as an accurate reference in ground measurement are despicable of measuring impedances for high frequency. Even though if the method is accurate or is not bounded to low frequency measurement, it requires a large site area for measurement. Other conventional methods that might measure high frequencies do not have adequate accuracy. Therefore, another approach is required to fulfill all three factors at the same time.

## 1.3 Research Objectives

The objectives of the study are as follows:

- (i) To improve the drawbacks of conventional methods (Fall of Potential) using a new application of Single Rod method.
- (ii) To conduct a study on the performance of single rod method in ground impedance measurement.
- (iii) To validate the new application of the method for both low frequency and high frequency cases in CDEGS and EMTP software meanwhile maintain accuracy of measurements.
- (iv) To compare the performance of the improved measurement using Single rod method with fall-of-potential method to verify lack of space issue.

## **1.4 Research Scope**

The soil in this project assumed as uniform and ionization of ground neglected due to low amplitude of current and voltage. For simulation and validity of the results CDEGS and EMTP software has been adopted. The methodology carried out by lab experiments and validated further by comparison with conventional methods.

## **1.5 Research Significance**

The main superiority of the proposed application of single rod method is the implementation of the method for the grounding impedance measurement is capable of removing limitation of the test site area as an obstacle since it uses only one rod for ground impedance measurements. Moreover, it provides the measurement with higher accuracy compared to conventional FOP method. Using proposed application of the method, facilitates the ground impedance measurement for a wide range of frequency.

## **1.6 Thesis Outline**

Chapter 1 mainly emphasis to the objectives of the study and the methodology used to solve the stated problems. Chapter 2 presents a critical review on related works conducted to measure ground impedance. Chapter 3 presents a methodology used to develop a new application of single rod and Fall of Potential

method. Chapter 4 is assigned to validate and evaluate the accuracy and the performance of the single rod method by comparing the results obtained from the Single Rod model with those obtained from the FOP and Visacro-Alipio model. Finally, Chapter 5 presents the conclusions and future recommendations.

## REFERENCES

1. V. Kostic and N. Raicevic. Grounding system impedance measurement using shifted frequency method. *Power Engineering, Energy And Electrical Drives (POWERENG), 2015. IEEE 5th International Conference*, pp. 197-200.
2. Grcsev L. Time- and Frequency-Dependent Lightning Surge Characteristics of Grounding Electrodes. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 2009; 24(4): 2186–2196.
3. S. Visacro and R. Alipio. Frequency Dependence of Soil Parameters: Experimental Results, Predicting Formula and Influence on the Lightning Response of Grounding Electrodes. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, Apr. 2012, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 927–935.
4. R. Alipio and S. Visacro. Frequency Dependence of Soil Parameters: Effect on the Lightning Response of Grounding Electrodes. *IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility*, 2013.
5. M. Akbari, K. Sheshyekani and M. R. Alemi. The Effect of Frequency Dependence of Soil Electrical Parameters on the Lightning Performance of Grounding Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility*, Aug. 2013, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 739-746.
6. D. Cavka, N. Mora and F. Rachidi. A Comparison of Frequency-Dependent Soil Models: Application to the Analysis of Grounding Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility*, Feb. 2014, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 177-187.
7. Electrical and Magnetic Properties of Rock and Soil. Theoretical Notes18, U.S. Geological Survey;1986.  
[Cited 30 November 2015]. Available from:  
<https://www.ece.unm.edu/summa/notes/Theoretical.html>1983.

8. K. S. Smith and C. L. Longmire. A universal impedance for soils. *Defense Nuclear Agency*, 1975, Topical Report for Period 1 July 1975-30 September 1975.
9. IEEE. *Guide for Measuring Earth Resistivity, Ground Impedance, and Earth Surface Potentials of a Grounding System*. IEEE Std 81-2012 (Revision of IEEE Std 81- 1983), pp. 1-86.
10. Zhang B., Wu J., He J., Zeng R. Analysis of Transient Performance of Grounding System Considering Soil Ionization by Time Domain Method. *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics*, 2013; 49(5): 1837–1840.
11. Theethayi N., Thottappillil R., Paolone M., Nucci C. A., Rachidi F. External impedance and admittance of buried horizontal wires for transient studies using transmission line analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Dielectrics and Electrical Insulation*, 2007; 14(3): 751–761.
12. Velazquez R., Mukhedkar D. Analytical Modelling of Grounding Electrodes Transient Behavior. *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, 1984; 103(6): 1314–1322.
13. Grcev L. Impulse efficiency of ground electrodes. *IEEE Trans. Power Del.* , Jan.2009,vol.24,no.1,pp.441–451.
14. Grcev L., Popov M. On high–frequency circuit equivalents of a vertical ground rod. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 2005; 20(2): 1598–1603.
15. Sunde E. D. *Earth Conduction Effects in Transmission Systems*. 2<sup>nd</sup>. ed. New York: Dover. 1968.
16. P. J. Higgs. Corrigendum: An investigation of earthing resistances. *Electrical Engineers, Journal of the Institution*,1930, vol. 68, p. 1539.
17. L. Grcev. Transient Electromagnetic Fields Near Large Earthing Systems, *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics*, May.1996, Vol 32. No. 3 , pp. 1525-1528.
18. Mokhtari M., Abdul–Malek Z., Khosravifard M., Ahmadi H., Omidi H. The Effects of Lightning Current Parameters on the Residual Voltage of ZnO Lightning Arrester with High Frequency Ground Model. *4th International Graduates Conference on Engineering, Science, and Humanities*. 16–17 April, 2013. Johor, Malaysia: Universiti Teknologi Malaysia. 2013. 156–159.
19. He J., Zeng R., Zhang B. *Methodology and Technology for Power System Grounding*. Singapore: John Wiley & Sons; 2013.

20. S. Visacro, R. Alipio, M. H. Murta Vale, and C. Pereira. The Response of Grounding Electrodes to Lightning Currents: The Effect of Frequency-Dependent Soil Resistivity and Permittivity. *Electromagnetic Compatibility, IEEE Transactions on*, 2011, vol. 53, pp. 401-406.
21. F. Costa, C. Amabile, A. Monorchio, and E. Prati, Waveguide Dielectric Permittivity Measurement Technique Based on Resonant FSS Filters, *Microwave and Wireless Components Letters, IEEE*, 2011, vol. 21, pp. 273-275.
22. AS. *Australian-new Zealand standards lightning protection*, AS 1768, 2007, page 148
23. Palacky, G.V. Resistivity characteristics of geologic targets, in *Electromagnetic Methods in Applied Geophysics*, 1987, Vol 1, Theory, 1351.
24. J. L. He, B. P. Zhang, and P. Kang. Lightning impulse breakdown characteristics of frozen soil, *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, Apr.2008, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 2216–2223.
25. Khalifa, M. High-Voltage Engineering. Marcel Dekker, Inc, 1990, New York, USA.
26. Snowden D. P., Morris G. C, Van Lint V. A. J. Measurement of the Dielectric Constant of Soil. *IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science*, 1985; 32(6): 4312–4314.
27. Gadani D. H., Vyas A. D. Measurement of complex dielectric constant of soils of Gujarat at X- and C-band microwave frequencies. *Indian Journal of Radio and Space Physics*. 2008; 37: 221–229.
28. Mokhtari M., Abdul-Malek Z, Wooi C. L. Integration of Frequency Dependent Soil Electrical Properties in Grounding Electrode Circuit Model. *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering*, 2016; 6(2), forthcoming.
29. Visacro S., Soares A. HEM: a model for simulation of lightning-related engineering problems. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 2005; 20(2): 1206–1208.
30. Mohamad Nor N., Trlep M., Abdullah S., Rajab R., Ramar R. Determination of Threshold Electric Field of Practical Earthing Systems by FEM and Experimental Work. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 2013; 28(4): 2180–2184.

31. Bourg, S. and Debu, T. Deep Earth Electrodes in High Resistive Ground: Frequency Behavior. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 1995, 25 (6): 331-336.
32. Ametan, A., Chikaraaa, T., Moriiib, H. and Kubob, T. Impedance Characteristics of Grounding Electrodes on Earth Surface. *Elsevier on Electric Power Systems Research*, 2012, 25 (3): 342-348.
33. Dwight H. B. Calculation of the resistances to ground. *Electral Engineering*, 1936; 55: 1319–1328.
34. Meliopoulos A. P., Moharam M. G. Transient Analysis of Grounding Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Power Apparatus and Systems*, 1983; 102(2): 389–399.
35. Papalexopoulos A. D., Meliopoulos A. P. Frequency Dependent Characteristics of Grounding Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery*, 1987; 2(4): 1073–1081.
36. Rudenberg R. *Electrical Shock Waves in Power Systems*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press. 1986.
37. Sverak, T.G. and D.N. Laird. *IEEE Guide for Safety in AC Substation Grounding*. The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, 1986.
38. Curdts E B. Some of the fundamental aspects of ground resistance measurements, *AIEE Transactions*, 1958, Vol. 77, No.1, pp 760.
39. B. Anggoro and R. E. Yutadhia. The Grounding Impedance Characteristics of Grid Configuration, *Procedia Technology*, 2013, vol. 11, pp. 1156-1162.
40. I. Yamaura, M. Yajima, K. Tanaka, and H. Fukuma. A measurement method of grounding impedance of a tree, in *Instrumentation and Measurement Technology Conference*, 2000. IMTC 2000. Proceedings of the 17th IEEE, pp. 1163-1166 vol.3.
41. J. Ma, F. P. Dawalibi, and W. Ruan. Ground impedance measurement and interpretation in various soil structures, in *Power Engineering Society Winter Meeting*, 2000. IEEE, pp. 2029-2034 vol.3.
42. Z. Rong, H. Jinliang, L. Jaebok, C. Sughun, T. Youping, G. Yanqing, et al., Influence of overhead transmission line on grounding impedance measurement of substation, *Power Delivery, IEEE Transactions on*, 2005, vol. 20, pp. 1219-1225.
43. A. Smorgonskiy, N. Mora, F. Raclndi, M. Rubinstein, K. Sheshyekani, and N. Korovkin. Measurements of transient grounding impedance of a wind turbine



- at the Mont-Crosin wind park, in *Electromagnetic Compatibility (APEMC)*, 2015 Asia-Pacific Symposium on, 2015, pp. 424-427.
44. Cavka D., Rachidi F., Poljak D. On the Concept of Grounding Impedance of Multipoint Grounding Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility*, 2014; 56(6): 1540–1544.
  45. A. B. Lima, J. O. S. Paulino, W. C. Boaventura, I. J. S. Lopes, M. F. Guimarães, W. A. Chisholm, et al., Transient grounding impedance and transient resistivity measurements using a very short current lead, *Electric Power Systems Research*, 2015, vol. 118, pp. 69-75.
  46. J.-H. Choi and B.-H. Lee. An analysis on the Frequency-dependent grounding impedance based on the ground current dissipation of counterpoises in the two-layered soils, *Journal of Electrostatics*, 4// 2012, vol. 70, pp. 184-191.
  47. J.-H. Choi and B.-H. Lee. An analysis of conventional grounding impedance based on the impulsive current distribution of a horizontal electrode, *Electric Power Systems Research*, 4// 2012, vol. 85, pp. 30-37.
  48. B. Dias Rodrigues and S. Visacro. Portable Grounding Impedance Meter Based on DSP, *Instrumentation and Measurement, IEEE Transactions on*, 2014, vol. 63, pp. 1916-1925.
  50. R. Kruse and V. Mellert. Effect and minimization of errors in in situ ground impedance measurements, *Applied Acoustics*, 10// 2008, vol. 69, pp. 884-890.
  51. S. Visacro, “A comprehensive approach to the grounding response to lightning currents,” *IEEE Trans. Power Del.*, Jan. 2007, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 381–386.
  52. Scott J. H. *Electrical and Magnetic Properties of Rock and Soil*. Theoretical Note 18. 1966. Available from:  
<https://www.ece.unm.edu/summa/notes/Theoretical.html>1983.
  53. Olhoeft, G. 1985. Low Frequency Electrical Properties. *Geophysics*. 50: 2492-2503.
  54. British Standards Institution (2011). *IEC 62305–1*. London: British Standards Institution.
  55. P. L. Bellaschi, R. E. Armington, and A. E. Snowden, Impulse and 60-Cycle Characteristics of Driven Grounds - II, *American Institute of Electrical Engineers, Transactions of the*, 1942, vol. 61, pp. 349-363.

56. Stoll R. L, Chen G., Pilling N. Comparison of two simple high-frequency earthing electrodes. *IEE Proceedings on Generation, Transmission and Distribution*, 2004; 151(2): 219–224.
57. Sheshyekani K, Paknahad J. Lightning electromagnetic fields and their induced voltages on overhead lines: The effect of a horizontally stratified ground. *Power Delivery, IEEE Transactions on*. 2015 Feb;30(1):290-8.
58. Grcev L. Modeling of Grounding Electrodes Under Lightning Currents. *IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility*, 2009; 51(3): 559–571.
59. Gianni Celli, Emilio Ghiani, Fabrizio Pilo, Behaviour of grounding systems: A quasi-static EMTP model and its validation, *Electric Power Systems Research*, Volume 85, April 2012, Pages 24-29.