APPLICATIONS OF CARBON DIOXIDE FOR HEAVY OIL ENHANCED OIL RECOVERY VIA VAPOUR EXTRACTION PROCESS

Mehrdad Hokmabadi

A thesis submitted in fulfillment of the Requirements for the award of the degree of Master of Science (Petroleum Engineering)

Faculty of Petroleum and Renewable Energy Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

June 2013

To My beloved wife and daughter

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks God that gave me this string to pull myself together and finish my master as I wished. I would like to sincerely thank my dear supervisor Assoc Prof Dr Radzuan Junin for his unlimited support from first till end of this research project. I wanted to thank in advance from my dear Friend Ali Esfandiari Bayat which his advice and consult lead me to fulfill this project.

I would also like to express my sincere thank you to Mr Roslan bin Jas the technician of Reservoir Engineering Laboratory and workshop team for their help during fabricating the model. My exclusive appreciation is also extended to the Faculty of Petroleum and Renewable Energy Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for creating a platform of education opportunity.

Thanks to my parents for raising me to pursue my dreams. My respectful appreciate to my in laws which in this journey the encourage of my parents- in laws gave me power to go forward and my brothers and sisters in laws Amir nader, Nadia, Nader and Pegha which never let us think that we are alone and however the distance is between us but all their hearts were with us.

Last but not least my lovely wife which none of this could happen without her support and help and my beautiful daughter which her smile keeps me going.

ABSTRACT

Recently production of heavy oil and bitumen is become an interesting subject for petroleum engineers to enhance oil recovery from these reservoirs. Vapor extraction (VAPEX) is a promising enhanced oil recovery (EOR) technique that has not been performed in an oil field. Infeasibility of VAPEX process in large scale applications is due mainly to economic issues. Conventional used solvents such as propane and butane in this process are priceless components and utilizing them on a large scale is not considered economical. One attractive option to reduce the process cost is utilizing carbon dioxide (CO_2) with the solvents. The high solubility of CO_2 in heavy oil provides a higher reduction in oil viscosity. Methodology which has been used in this project is experimental and simulation. By making sandpack and using, different solvent mixtures including propane, methane, and CO₂ is tried to investigate the behavior of CO₂ as non-condensable carrier gas, co-solvent, and solvent in laboratory condition (ambient temperature and 7 bar). Also all same situation is assumed for simulation by CMG (Computer Modeling Group) In contrast of previous studies, it was found that CO2 is not a good candidate as non-condensable carrier gas for the VAPEX. However, CO_2 behavior is similar to propane. Hence, CO_2 can be considered as a good alternative for the priceless solvents to extract heavy oil and also the use of CO₂ provides substantial environmental benefits.

ABSTRAK

Pada masa ini, pengeluaran minyak berat dan bitumen dari reservoir minyak melalui proses perolehan minyak tertingkat (EOR) menjadi subjek yang menarik kepada Jurutera Petroleum. Ekstraksi wap (VAPEX) adalah teknik EOR yang mempunyai harapan tetapi masih belum dilaksana di lapangan minyak. Ketidaklaksanaan proses VAPEX dalam penggunaan berskala besar adalah terutamanya disebabkan isu ekonomi. Penggunaan pelarut konvensional seperti propana dan butana, yang merupakan komponen berharga, dalam proses ini dan penggunaanya dalam skala besar adalah dianggap tidak ekonomi. Salah satu pilihan menarik bagi mengurangkan kos proses adalah melalui penggunaan karbon dioksida (CO2) yang dicampur bersama pelarut. Kebolehlarutan yang tinggi CO2 dalam minyak berat menyebabkan pengurangan yang banyak pada kelikatan minyak. Kaedah yang digunakan dalam projek ini adalah terdiri daripada kajian eksperimen dan simulasi. Dengan menggunakan padatan pasir dan campuran pelarut berbeza, termasuk propana, metana dan CO2, telah digunakan untuk mengkaji sifat CO2 sebagai gas pembawa tak boleh mampat, co-pelarut dan pelarut yang dijalankan dalam sekitaran makmal (suhu bilik dan tekanan 7 bar). Dengan menggunakan situasi yang sama, kajian simulasi juga digunakan dengan menggunakan CMG. Terdapat perbezaan berbanding kajian terdahulu iaitu didapati CO2 bukanlah pilihan yang baik sebagai gas pembawa tak mampat untuk VAPEX. Namun begitu, didapati sifat CO2 adalah mempunyai kesamaan dengan propana. Dengan demikian, CO2 boleh dianggap sebagai pilihan yang baik kepada pelarut berharga untuk menekstraksi minyak berat. Disamping itu juga, penggunaan CO2 akan memberi faedah kepada alam sekitar.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER		TITLE	PAGE
	DEC	LARATION	ii
	DED	ICATION	iii
	ACK	NOWLEGMENTS	iv
	ABS	ГКАСТ	v
	ABS	ГКАК	vi
	TAB	LE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST	T OF TABLES	xi
	LIST	OF FIGURES	xii
	LIST	OF SYMBOLS/ ABBREVIATIONS	XV
1	INTE	RODUCTION	
	1.1	Research Background	1
	1.2	Problem statement	4
	1.3	Objectives	5
	1.4	Scope	5
	1.5	Significance of study	6

2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Introduction		
2.2	Solven	ıt	12
	2.2.1	Solvent diffusion in VAPEX process	19
	2.2.2	Effect of solvent heating on the VAPEX performance	21
	2.2.3	Possibility of solvent recycling	22
2.3	Operat	tion Conditions	22
	2.3.1	Temperature and Pressure	22
2.4	Fluid a	and reservoir properties effects	24
	2.4.1	Permeability and reservoir heterogeneity	24
	2.4.2	Well Configuration	24
	2.4.3	Drainage height and grain size	27
	2.4.4	Interfacial tension and capillary pressure	27
	2.4.5	Connate water saturation	28
2.5	Possib	le improvements to VAPEX	28
	2.5.1	Incorporation of heat in VAPEX	28
	2.5.2	Steam alternating solvent processes (SAS)	29
2.6	Injecti	on and Production rate	29
2.7	Aspha	ltene precipitation	30
2.8	Effect	of Capillary Pressure and Surface Tension	33
2.9	Diffus	ion and Dispersion	34
2.10	VAPE	X process in Lab	34

2.10.1	Hele-Shaw Cell	36
2.10.2	Sand Pack Cell	38

3 METHODOLOGY

3.1	Introduction		38	
3.2	Heavy oil used			40
3.3	Setup	componen	ts	40
	3.3.1	Rectang	ular model	40
	3.3.2	Gas coll	lection system	42
		3.3.2.1	Free and solution gas cylinders	42
	3.3.3	Injection	n facilities	43
		3.3.3.1	Propane, methane, and CO2 tanks	43
		3.3.3.2	Pressure regulators	43
		3.3.3.3	Regulating valves	43
3.4	Experi	mental pro	ocedures	44
	3.4.1	Preparat	tion	44
3.5	Injecti	on process	3	46
3.6	Simula	tion Mode	el	47

4 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

4.1	Introduction	53
4.2	Using CO2 as non-condensable carrier gases	53

4.3	Using CO2 as co-solvent in VAPEX	55
4.4	Using CO2 as solvent in VAPEX	60

5 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

APPENDICES A-B		72-76
REFERENCES		65
5.2	Recommendations	64
5.1	Conclusions	63

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.

TITLE

PAGE

2.1	Categories of heavy oil	7
2.2	lists the solvents and the properties that have been used in the literature	15
2.3	Operational pressures and temperatures in the lab studies in the literature	18
2.4	Characteristics types of porous media that have been used in lab	37
3.1	Physical model dimensions	40
3.3	Initial reservoir conditions component	48
3.4	Composition and of used oil	48
3.5	Numbers and dimensions of the sector model	48
3.6	List of solvents mixtures selected in this study (based on mole fraction)	52
B .1	Test solvent $C_1(0.5)+CO_2(0.5)$	74
B.2	Test solvent C3(0.5)+C1(0.5)	74
B.3	Test solvent C3(0.6)+C1(0.4)	75
B .4	Test solvent C3(0.2)+C1(0.4)+CO2(0.4)	75

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE

1.1a	Schematic of the layout of the production and injection wells in the	3
	VAPEX process	
1.1b	Schematic of the layout of the production and injection wells in the	3
	VAPEX process	
2.1	Two kinds of heavy oil	8
2.2	Enhanced oil recovery techniques	10
2.3	Well configurations	25
2.4	Schematic of VAPEX equipment in Laboratory	35
2.5	A typically Hele- Shaw cell	36
2.6	A schematic of Sand Pack cell	36
3.1a	The experimental set-up for VAPEX process in the lab	39
3.1b	The experimental set-up for VAPEX process in the lab	39
3.2a	main part of sandpack	41
3.2b	main part of sandpack	41
3.3	sandpack which is filled with fine sand	41
3.4	Gas collection Cylinders	42
3.5a	after one day	45
3.5b	after two days	45
3.5c	after three days	45
3.5d	after four days	45
3.5e	after five days	45
3.5f	after six days	45
3.5g	after six days	46

3.6	Capillary drainage and imbibition curves	49	
3.7	Liquid-gas relative permeability curves	49	
3.8	Water-oil relative permeability curves		
3.9a	Phase envelop diagram CO2 $(50\%) - C1(50\%)$	50	
3.9b	Phase envelop diagram C3 (20%) C1 (40%) CO2 (40%)	51	
3.9c	Phase envelop diagram C3 (50%) C1 (50%)	51	
3.9d	Phase envelop diagram C3 (40%) C1 (60%)	51	
4.1	Comparison between cumulative oil production for different amounts of	54	
	C3(0.5)+CO2(0.5) and C3(0.5)+C1(0.5)		
4.2	Comparison between cumulative oil production for different amounts of	54	
	C3(0.2)+CO2(0.8) and C3(0.2)+C1(0.8)		
4.3	Comparison between cumulative oil production for different amounts of	56	
	C3(0.6)+C1(0.4) and $C3(0.2)+C1(0.4)+CO2(0.4)$ in laboratory		
	condition		
4.4	Comparison between cumulative oil production for different amounts of	57	
	C3(0.6)+C1(0.4) and C3(0.2)+C1(0.4)+CO2(0.4) in simulation		
4.5	Comparison between oil production rates for $C3(0.6)+C1(0.4)$ in lab and	57	
	simulation		
4.6	Comparison between oil production rates for	58	
	C3(0.2)+C1(0.4)+CO2(0.4) in lab and simulation		
4.7	Comparison between simulation model and sandpack before solvent	58	
	injection		
4.8	Comparison between simulation model and sandpack after 6 hrs solvent	59	
	injection		
4.9	Comparison between simulation model and sandpack after 12 hrs	59	
	solvent injection		
4.10	Comparison between simulation model and sandpack after 24 hrs	59	
	solvent injection		
4.11	Comparison between simulation model and sandpack after 48 hrs	60	
	solvent injection		
4.12a	Oil viscosity reduction due to injection of $C_3(0.6)+C_1(0.4)$ in 28 hours	60	
4.12b	Oil viscosity reduction due to injection of $C_3(0.2)+CO_2(0.4)+C_1(0.4)$ in	60	
	28 hours		

4.12c	Oil viscosity reduction due to injection of $C_3(0.5)+C_1(0.5)$ in 28 hours	61
4.12d	Oil viscosity reduction due to injection of $CO_2(0.5)+C_1(0.5)$ in 28 hours	61
4.13	Comparison between cumulative oil production for different amounts of	61
	C1(0.5)+CO2(0.5) and C3(0.5)+C1(0.5) in simulation	
4.14	Comparison between oil production rates for C1(0.5)+CO2(0.5) in lab	62
	and simulation	
4.15	Comparison between oil production rates for $C3(0.5)+C1(0.5)$ in lab and	62
	simulation	
A.1	Sever the find sand with sever machine	72
A.2	Used fine sand	72
A. 3	Oil Injection apparatus	72
A.4	Heat heavy oil with heater	72
A.5	Chamber of solvent	73
A.6	Fill the sandpack with heavy oil	73
A.7	Oil Injection pressure	73
A.8	Pure propane cylinder	73
A.9	Methane cylinder	73
A.10	CO ₂ cylinder	73
B .1	Comparison between oil production rate for different amounts of C_1	76
	$(0.5) + CO_2 (0.5)$ and $C_3 (0.5) + C_1 (0.5)$ in laboratory	
B.2	Comparison between oil production. rate for different amounts of C_3	76

 $(0.6) + C_1 (0.4)$ and $C_3(0.2) + CO_2(0.4) + C_1(0.4)$ in laboratory

LIST OF SYMBOLS/ ABBREVIATIONS

- SOR A function of the ratio of the net injected volume of the liquid solvent
- V volume of the displaced oil
- v The vaporized solvent fraction in the reservoir
 The net amount of cumulative SOR depends on rock and fluid
- α Properties
- Q Drainage rate
- K function of the permeability
- g Acceleration due to gravity
- H Drainage height
- φ Porosity
- ΔS_O The oil saturation change
- N_S VAPEX dimensionless number
- $\Delta \rho$ Density difference between the pure solvent and the mixture
- μ Mixture viscosity
- Ds Solvent diffusion coefficient in the mixture

C_S Solvent concentration

- Cmax Maximum solvent concentration, volume fraction
- Cmin Minimum solvent concentration, volume fraction
- Csf Concentration of suspended solid in the oil phase
- Pop Approach pressure

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

With increasing energy demand and declining oil production from conventional oil reservoirs, attention has turned to production from heavy oil and bitumen resources and to enhanced oil recovery (EOR) techniques that are suitable for these types of reservoirs. Heavy oil and bitumen comprise approximately 70% of the hydrocarbon resources in the world (Motahhari *et al.*, 2011). These resources are globally distributed. However, most resources have been reported in Canada, 2.5 trillion barrels (bbls), Venezuela (1.5 trillion bbls), Russia (1.0 trillion bbls), and the U.S. (180 billion bbls) (Foo *et al.*, 2011). Natural oil recovery from these resources does not exceed 6 to 8% of initial oil inplace because of high oil viscosity and low oil mobility (Butler and Mokrys, 1991; Yazdani and Maini, 2005; Roopa and Dowe, 2007).

Oil production techniques from heavy oil reservoirs are divided into two main groups: thermal and non-thermal processes. Thermal processes are the first option to enhance heavy oil production because the viscosity of oil is very temperaturesensitive. In-situ combustion (ISC), hot water injection, steam-assisted gravity drainage (SAGD), and cyclic steam stimulation (CSS) are famous processes used to extract heavy oil and bitumen resources. However, these techniques are not sufficiently feasible for many heavy oil reservoirs from economic or operational perspectives. For example, steam injection is not suitable for deep reservoirs or reservoirs with a very thin pay zone, a low thermal conductivity of the rock matrix, a bottom water zone, an overlying gas zone, and high water saturation. Furthermore, converting water to steam and using special pumps and casings incurs operational expenditures that are not economically practical anymore. Therefore, the development of new extraction techniques has become essential.

Over the past twenty years, many researchers have attempted to develop novel techniques with greater applicability and lower cost investments. The vapor extraction (VAPEX) process, which was developed by Butler and Mokrys (1989), is such a technique. VAPEX is a non-thermal process that has become an attractive alternative for the recovery of heavy oils because of lower capital costs, low environmental pollution, low energy consumption, applicability to thin reservoirs or reservoirs with bottom water, and in situ upgrading compared to thermal processes (Das, 1995; Luhning et al., 2003; Karmaker and Maini, 2003; Azin et al., 2005; Vargas-Vasquez; Romero-Zeron, 2007). The process is analogous to the SAGD process. However, VAPEX reduces the heavy oil viscosity by mass transfer phenomena via a light hydrocarbon solvent, such as propane (C_3) or butane (C_4) , instead of heat transfer phenomena via steam. The VAPEX process consists of a pair of production and injection wells that are horizontally drilled into the heavy oil zone. The selected solvent is injected through the injection well into the reservoir and creates a chamber above the injection well. This chamber continues to expand until the cap rock (or a barrier bed) is reached, after which the chamber continues to spread along the cap rock (see Figure 1.1a). When the solvent chamber along the cap rock is sufficiently large in size, the solvent is driven downward into the production well by the gravity force. The expansion of the solvent chamber during the VAPEX process is shown schematically in Figure 1.1b.



Figure 1.1 (a) Schematic of the layout of the production and injection wells in the VAPEX process; (b) Vertical cross section of the VAPEX process showing solvent chamber, horizontal producer and injector

The VAPEX process has not yet been applied in an oil field and all VAPEX studies were performed in small cells under laboratory conditions. It is believed that the unfeasibility of the VAPEX process in large scale applications is due mainly to economic issues followed by operational issues. Both problems are caused by the use of pure solvents such as propane and butane which are priceless components and utilizing them on a large scale is not considered economical. Furthermore, the vapor pressure of propane and butane, 930 and 642.7 kpa at 23.9° c, respectively, is much lower than the average pressure and temperature of many oil reservoirs. As a result, these solvents condense at pressures greater than their vapour pressures. Solvent condensation during the process results in increasing the amount of solvent required and decreasing the process efficiency to extract heavy oil (Azin *et al.*, 2008; Derakhshanfar *et al.*, 2009).

Butler *et al.* (1995) recommended the use of non-condensable gases, such as methane, nitrogen or carbon dioxide (CO₂), in combination with pure solvents to solve both economic and operational problems. According to Butler *et al.*, there are three advantages to applying a non-condensable gas. First, the process would become more economically feasible by minimizing the solvent requirements. Second, solvent diffusion rate would be promoted, which could lead to oil upgrading during the process. Third, the higher the density difference between the solvent mixture and the heavy oil would improve gravity drainage during the VAPEX process.

The CO₂ is considered to be the major greenhouse gas (GHG) contributing to global warming (Lei *et al.*, 2011) and increasing in the atmospheric concentration since the industrial revolution. There seems to be general agreement to reduce the GHG emissions and alternative solutions for coping with the increased GHG emissions must be sought (Talbi and Maini, 2003). The use of greenhouse gases (GHGs) for EOR has significant impact in reduction of these emissions. CO₂ has the greatest contribution to global warming over other GHGs. The use of CO₂ based VAPEX for the EOR is one of the EOR applications. CO₂ is considerably more soluble in heavy oil than methane and is known to provide greater viscosity reduction on its own (Talbi and Maini, 2003).

In some research studies CO_2 was used as a non-condensable carrier gas (Talbi and Maini, 2003; Badamchi-Zadeh *et al.*, 2008; Derakhshanfar *et al.*, 2009; Javaheri and Abedi, 2011). However, operational pressures and temperatures as well as porous media features applied in these studies could not represent the conditions of most of heavy oil reservoirs. In addition, no attempts have been made to apply CO_2 as a co-solvent or even as a solvent during the VAPEX tests. In all previous VAPEX studies in which CO_2 was used, it is assumed that CO_2 considers as a non-condensable carrier gas. In this study, VAPEX process is run in an unconsolidated sandpack to determine the CO_2 behavior as non-condensable carrier of gas, co-solvent, and solvent.

1.2 Problem statement

VAPEX is a promising enhanced oil recovery (EOR) technique that has not been performed in an oil field. Infeasibility of VAPEX process in large scale applications is due mainly to economic issues. Conventional used solvents such as propane and butane in this process are priceless components and utilizing them on a large scale is not considered economical. One attractive option to reduce the process cost is utilizing carbon dioxide (CO₂) with the pure solvents. In some research studies CO₂ was used as a non-condensable carrier gas (Talbi and Maini, 2003; Badamchi-Zadeh *et al.*, 2008; Derakhshanfar *et al.*, 2009; Javaheri and Abedi, 2011). However, no attempts have been made to apply CO_2 as a co-solvent or even as a solvent during the VAPEX tests.

1.3 Objectives

In this study, two objectives have been considered:

- 1. To evaluate CO₂ behavior as non-condensable carrier gas for extraction of heavy oil compared to methane.
- 2. To determine the CO₂ behavior as co-solvent and solvent in the VAPEX process.

1.4 Scopes

For this study the following scopes are considered:

- 1- Preparation of a cubic sandpack with 38.1*2.54*15.24 cm dimensions in terms of length, width, and height.
- 2- Sandpack characterization (determination of porosity and permeability).
- 3- Using propane and methane as solvent and carrier gas, respectively.
- 4- Applying Heavy crude oil from the Soroosh oil field in tests.
- 5- Using CO₂ as a co-solvent and solvent.
- 6- Running VAPEX tests at the ambient temperature.
- 7- Increasing pressure up to 7 atm.
- 8- To evaluate the obtained experimental data using a commercially available software, Computer Modeling Group (CMG).
- 9- Finding an optimum solvent mixture for extraction of heavy oil via VAPEX.

1.5 Significance of study

Heavy oil and bitumen reserves represent a considerable portion of worldwide energy resources. It is estimated that these reservoirs contains six trillion barrels of original oil inplace (OOIP) which is much further than the total conventional oil reservoirs. Highly energy consumption and demands cause the attention turn to exploration from heavy oils and bitumens. In optimum conditions, the primary recovery of these reservoirs would not exceed 10 percent of the OOIP. Thus, utilizing some new techniques to produce oil from heavy oil reservoirs are essential. VAPEX as good technique can help to produce heavy oils with higher quality. However, due to economic problems this technique has not been performed in an oil field. Using CO_2 along the conventional solvents such as propane and butane cause the process would become more economically feasible by minimizing the solvent requirements. Furthermore, presence of CO_2 in the solvent mixture can produce heavy oils with higher qualities and provide substantial environmental benefits.

REFERENCES:

- Ahmadloo, F., Asghari, K., Henni, A., and Freitag, N. P. (2011a), Interplay of capillarity, drainage height, and aqueous phase saturation on mass transfer rate of solvent vapor into heavy oil, *Canadian Unconventional Resources Conference*, Alberta, Canada, 15-17 November, SPE 148682.
- Ahmadloo, F., Asghari, K., Henni, A., and Freitag, N. P. (2011b), Experimental results and analytical modeling of solvent- leaching gravity drainage phenomenon in heavy oil reservoirs, SPE Annual Conference and Exhibition, Colorado, USA, 30 October-2 November, SPE 144542.
- Ahmed Tarek (2001), Reservoir Engineering Hand Book, Second Edition, Gulf Professional Publishing, Houston, Texas, U.S.
- Alkindi, A. S., Muggeridge, A., and Al-Wahaibi, Y. M. (2008), The influence of diffusion and dispersion on heavy oil recovery by VAPEX, International Thermal Operations and Heavy Oil Symposium, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, 20-23 October, SPE 117555.
- Ayub, M., and Tuhinuzzaman, M. (2007), The role of capillarity in the VAPEX process, Canadian International Petroleum Conference, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, 12 - 14 June, PETSOC-2007-075.
- Azin, R., Kharrat, R., Ghotbi, C., and Vossoghi, Sh. (2007), Improved heavy oil recovery by VAPEX process in the presence of vertical and horizontal fractures, Journal of Japan Petroleum Institute, 50 (6), 340-348.
- Azin, R., Kharrat, R., Ghotbi, C., and Vossoughi, Sh. (2005), Applicability of the VAPEX process to Iranian heavy oil reservoirs, SPE Middle East Oil and Gas Show and Conference, Kingdom of Bahrain, 12-15 March, SPE 92720.
- Badamchi-Zadeh, A., Maini, B.B., Yarranton, H.W. (2008), Applicability of CO2based VAPEX process to recover athabasca bitumen, SPE International Thermal Operations and Heavy Oil Symposium, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, 20-23 October, SPE 117855.
- Boustani, A. and Maini, B.B. (2001), The role of diffusion and convective dispersion in Vapour extraction process. Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology, 40 (4), 68-77.

- Butler, R. M., Mokrys, I. J. (1991), A new process (VAPEX) for recovering heavy oils using hot water and hydrocarbon vapor, Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology, 30 (1), 97.
- Butler, R. M., Mokrys, I. J., Das, S. K. (1995), The solvent requirements for VAPEX recovery, SPE International Heavy Oil Symposium, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, 19-21 June, SPE 30293.
- Butler, R.M. and Jiang, Q. (2000), Improved recovery of heavy oil by VAPEX with widely spaced horizontal injectors and producers, Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology, 39 (1), 48-56.
- Butler, R.M., Mokrys, I.J. (1989) Solvent analogue model of steam-assisted gravity drainage, AOSTRA J. Res., 5, 17–32.
- Cavallaro, A. N., Galliano, G. R., Sim, S., Singhal, A., and Fisher, D. (2005), Laboratory investigation of an innovative solvent based enhanced recovery and in situ upgrading technique, Canadian International Petroleum Conference, Calgary, Alberta, 7-9 June, PETSOC-2005-016.
- Das, S. (2005), Diffusion and dispersion in the simulation of VAPEX process, SPE/PS-CIM/CHOA International Thermal Operations and Heavy Oil Symposium, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, 1-3 November, SPE 97924.
- Das, S. K. (1995), Insitu Recovery of Heavy Oil and Bitumen using Vaporized Hydrocarbon Solvent, PhD Thesis, University of Calgary, Canada.
- Das, S. K. (1998), VAPEX: An efficient process for the recovery of heavy oil and bitumen, SPE Journal, 3, 232-237.
- Das, S. K. (2008), Distribution of multi-component solvents in solvent vapor extraction chamber, International Thermal Operations and Heavy Oil Symposium, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, 20-23 October, SPE 117694.
- Das, S. K. and Butler. R.M. (1994), Effect of asphaltene deposition on the VAPEX process: a preliminary investigation using a Hele-shaw cell, Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology, 33 (6), 39-45.
- Das, S. K. and Butler, R.M. (1998), Mechanism of the vapor extraction process for heavy oil and bitumen, Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 21 (1), 43-59.
- Derakhshanfar, M., Kharrat, R., Rostami, B. (2009), Effect of mixed gas solvent injection on performance of the VAPEX process in an Iranian heavy oil sample, Canadian International Petroleum Conference (CIPC), Calgary, Alberta, Canada, 16-18 June.

- Etminan, S. R., Haghighat, P., Maini, B. B., and Chen, Z. J. (2011), Molecular diffusion and dispersion coefficient in a propane-bitumen system: Case of vapour extraction (VAPEX) process, SPE EUROPEC/EAGE Annual Conference and Exhibition, Vienna, Austria, 23-26 May, SPE 143633.
- Etminan, S. R., Maini, B. B., and Kharrat, R. (2008), The role of connate water saturation in VAPEX process, Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology, 47 (2), 8-12
- Etminan, S.R., Maini, B.B, and Kharrat, R. (2007): "The Role of Connate Water Saturation in Vapex Process", CIPC 2007-005 presented at the Petroleum Society's 8th Canadian International Petroleum conference, Calgary, June.
- Fatemi, S.M and Kharrat, R. (2011), Assessment of vapor extraction (VAPEX) process performance in naturally fractured reservoirs, Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 75, 260-273.
- Firoozabadi, A. (1999), Thermodynamic of Hydrocarbon Reservoirs, McGraw-Hill, New York, 298-299.
- Fisher, D.B., Singhal, A.K., Das, S.K., Goldman, J. and Jackson, C. (2000), Use of magnetic resonance imaging and advanced image analysis as a tool to extract mass transfer information from a 2D physical model of the VAPEX process, SPE/DOE Improved Oil Recovery Symposium, Tulsa, United State, 3-5 April, SPE 59330.
- Fisher, D.B., Singhal, A.K., Goldman, J., Jackson, C. and Randall, L. (2002), Insight from MRI and micro-model studies of transport of solvent into heavy oil during VAPEX, SPE International Thermal Operations and Heavy Oil Symposium and International Horizontal Well Technology Conference, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, 4-7 November, SPE 79024.
- Foo, Y.Y., Chee, S.C., Zain, Z.M., Mamora, D.D. (2011), Recovery processes of extra heavy oil- mechanistic modeling and simulation approach, SPE Enhanced Oil Recovery Conference, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 19-21 July, SPE 143390.
- Gul, A., Tarivedi, J.J. (2010), CO2 based VAPEX for heavy oil recovery in naturally fractured carbonate reservoir, SPE EOR Conference at Oil and Gas West Asia, Muscat, Oman, 11-13 April, SPE 129594.
- Haghighat, P., and Maini, B. B. (2010), Role of asphaltene precipitation in VAPEX process, Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology, 49 (3), 14-21.
- Haghighat, P., and Maini, B. B. (2012), Experimental evaluation of heated VAPEX process, SPE Heavy Oil Conference, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, 12-14 June, SPE 157799.
- James, L.A., Rezaei, N., Chatzis, I. (2008), VAPEX, warm VAPEX and hybrid VAPEX the state of enhanced oil recovery for in situ heavy oils in Canada,

Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology, 47 (4), 12-18.

- Jiang, Q., and Butler, R.M.(1996): "Experimental Studies on Effects of Reservoir Heterogeneity on the Vapex process", JCPT, Vol.35, No.10, pp.46-54.
- Jiang, Q., and Butler, R.M. (1996), Selection of well configurations in VAPEX process. International Conference on Horizontal Well Technology, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, 18-20 November, SPE 37145.
- Karmaker, K., and Maini, B.B. (2003), Applicability of vapor extraction process to problematic viscous oil reservoirs, SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition, Denver, Colorado, USA, 5-8 October, SPE 84034.
- Kariznovi, M., Nourozieh, H., Abedi, J. (2011), Experimental and modeling study of vapor-liquid equilibrium for propane-heavy crude systems at high temperature conditions, SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition, Colorado, USA, 30 October-2 November, SPE 146796.
- Kohse, B.F, and Nghiem, L.X.: "Modelling Asphaltene Precipitation and Deposition in a Compositional Simulator", SPE 89437, Presented at the SPE Symposium in Improved Oil Recovery, Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA, 2004.
- Kok, M. V., Yildirim, Y., and Akin, S. (2007), Application of VAPEX process for light crude oil, Journal of Energy Sources Part A: Recovery, Utilization, and Environmental Effects, 30 (1), 20-26.
- Lim, G. B.; Kry, R. P.; Harker, B. C.; Jha, K. N. (1995), Cyclic stimulation of cold lake oil sand with supercritical ethane, SPE International Heavy Oil Symposium, Alberta, Canada, 19-21 June, SPE 30298.
- Luhning, R.W., Das, S.K., Bakker, J., Engleman, J.R., Wong, S., Fisher, L.J., Grabowski, J., Sullivan, L.A., Boyle, H.E. (2003), Full scale VAPEX processclimate change advantage and economic consequences, Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology, 49 (2), 29-34.
- Luo, P., Wang, X., Gu, Y., Zhang, H., and Moghadam, S. (2008), Asphaltene precipitation and its effects on the vapour extraction (VAPEX) heavy oil recovery process, International Thermal Operations and Heavy Oil Symposium, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, 20-23 October, SPE 117527.
- Moghadam,S., Nobakht, M., Gu, Y. (2009), Theoretical and physical modeling of a solvent vapour extraction (VAPEX) process for heavy oil recovery, Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 65, 93-104.
- Moghadam,S., Nobakht, M., Gu, Y. (2007), Permeability effects in vapor extraction (VAPEX) heavy oil recovery process, Petroleum Society's 8th Canadian International Petroleum Conference, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, 12-14 June, Paper No.2007-095.

- Mokrys, I.J, and Butler, R. M. (1993), In-situ upgrading of heavy oils and bitumen by propane deasphalting: The VAPEX process, SPE Production Operations Symposium, Oklahoma, USA, 21-23 March, SPE 25452.
- Motahhari, H. R., Schoeggl, F., Satyro, M. A., and Yarranton, H. W. (2011), Prediction of the viscosity of solvent diluted live bitumen at temperatures up to 175 oC, Canadian Unconventional Resources Conference, Alberta, Canada, 15-17 November, SPE 149405.
- Nghiem, L.X., Kohse B.F., Farouq Ali, S.M., and Doan, Q.(2000): "Asphaltene precipitation: Phase Behavior Modeling and Compositional Simulation", SPE 59432presented at the SPE Asia Pacific Conference on Integrated Modeling for Asset Management held in Yokohoma, Japan, April.
- Nghiem, L.X., Sammon, P.H., and Kohse B.F.(2001): "Modelling Asphaltene Precipitation and Dispersive Mixing in the Vapex Process", SPE 66361 presented at the SPE Reservoir Simulation Symposium held in Houston, Texas, February.
- Nourozieh, H., Kariznovi, M., Abedi, J., Chen, Z. (2011), A new approach to simulate the boundary layer in the vapor extraction process, Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology, 50 (11), 11-18.
- Oduntan, A.R., Chatzis, I., Smith, J. and Lohi, A. (2001): "Heavy Oil Recovery Using the Vapex Process: Scale-up Issues", paper 2001-127 presented at the Petroleum Society's Canadian International Petroleum Conference, Calgary, AB, Canada June.
- Pathak, V., Babadagli, T., and Edmunds, N. (2010), Hot solvent injection for heavy oil/bitumen recovery: an experimental investigation, Canadian Unconventional Resources & International Petroleum Conference, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, 19-21 October, SPE 137440.
- Pathak, V., (2011a), Heavy oil and bitumen recovery by hot solvent injection: An experimental and computational investigation, SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition, Denver, Colorado, USA, 30 October-2 November, SPE 152374.
- Pathak, V., Babadagli, T., and Edmunds, N. (2011b), Mechanics of heavy-oil and bitumen recovery by hot solvent injection, SPE Western North American Regional Meeting, Alaska, USA, 7-11 May, SPE 144546.
- Pathak, V., Babadagli, T., and Edmunds, N. (2012), Mechanics of heavy-oil and bitumen recovery by hot solvent injection. Journal of SPE Reservoir Evaluation and Engineering 2, 182-194.
- Rahnema, H., Ghaderi, S. M., and Farahani, S. (2007), Simulation of VAPEX process in problematic reservoirs: A promising tool Along with experimental study, SPE/EAGE Reservoir Characterization and Simulation Conference, Abu Dhabi, UAE, 28-31 October, SPE 111367.

- Rahnema, H., Kharrat, R., and Rostami, B. (2008), Experimental and numerical study of vapor extraction process (VAPEX) in heavy oil fractured reservoir, Canadian International Petroleum Conference, Calgary, Alberta, 17-19 June, PETSOC-2008-116.
- Rezaei, N., Mohammadzadeh, O., and Chatzis, I. (2010b), Experimental investigation of vapor extraction process for the recovery of bitumen from vuggy porous media, Canadian Unconventional Resources and International Petroleum Conference, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, 19-21 October, SPE 137829.
- Rezaei, N., Mohammadzadeh, O., and Chatzis, I. (2010a), Improving the performance of vapor extraction of heavy oil and bitumen using the warm VAPEX process, Canadian Unconventional Resources and International Petroleum Conference, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, 19-21 October, SPE 137824.
- Rezaei, N., Mohammadzadeh, O., Parsaei, R., and Chatzis, I. (2011), The effect of reservoir wettability on the Production characteristics of the VAPEX process: An experimental study, Journal of Transport in Porous Media, 90 (3), 847-867.
- Rezaei, N., and Chatzis, I.(2007): "Incorporation of Heat in the Vapex Process: Warm Vapex", CIPC 2007-133 presented at the Petroleum Society's 8th Canadian International Petroleum conference, Calgary, June.
- Roopa, I., and Dowe, R. (2007), VAPEX-gravity assisted film drainage for heavy oil recovery, Journal of Petroleum Science and Technology, 25, 645-658.
- Rostami, B., Etminan, S. R., Soleimani, A., and Kharrat, R. (2007), Effect of capillarity and surface tension on the performance of VAPEX process, Canadian International Petroleum Conference, Calgary, Alberta, 12-14 June, PETSOC-2007-039.
- Singhal, A.K., Das, S.K., Leggitt, S.M., Kasraie, M. & Ito, Y. (1996). Screening of reservoirs for exploitation by application of steam assisted gravity drainage/VAPEX processes, SPE 37144.
- Sirota, E.B. (2005), Physical structure of asphaltene, Journal of Energy & Fuels, 19, 1290-1296.
- Talbi, K., Maini, B.B. (2003), Evaluation of CO2 based VAPEX process for the recovery of bitumen from tar sand reservoirs, SPE International Improved Oil Recovery Conference, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, 20-21 October, SPE 84868.
- Thimm, H. F. (2007), Permeability effects in a vapour extraction (VAPEX) heavy oil recovery process, Canadian International Petroleum Conference, Calgary, Alberta, 12-14 June, PETSOC-2007-095.

- Upreti, S.R., Lohi, A., Kapadia, R.A., and El-Haj, R.: "Vapor Extraction of Heavy Oil and Bitumen: A Review", Energy and Fuels 2007, Vol.21, PP 1562-1574.
- Vargas-Vasquez, S. M., and Romero-Zerón, L. B. (2007), The vapor extraction process: review, Journal of Petroleum Science and Technology, 25 (11), 1447-1463.
- Wu, X., (2005), Numerical and physical simulation of the VAPEX process, M.Sc. Thesis, University of Alberta, Canada.
- Yang, C., and Gu, Y. (2005), Effects of heavy-oil/solvent interfacial tension on gravity drainage in the vapor extraction (VAPEX) process, SPE/PS-CIM/CHOA International Thermal Operations and Heavy Oil Symposium, Calgary, Alberta, Canada, 1-3 November, SPE 97906.
- Yazdani, A., and Maini, B. (2010), Measurement and modeling of phase behavior and viscosity of heavy oil/butane system, Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology, 49 (2), 9-14.
- Yazdani, A., and Maini, B. (2009), The effective diffusion/dispersion coefficient in vapor extraction of heavy oil. Journal of Petroleum Science and Technology 27, 8, 817-835.
- Zhang, H., Luo, G., Gu, Y. (2006). Physical Modeling of Heavy Oil Production Rate in a Vapour Extraction Process. Proceedings: Canadian International Petroleum Conference, Calgary, AB, June 13-15, 2006, Paper 2006-142.
- Zhao, L., Nasr, T. N., Huang, H., Beaulieu, G., Heck, G. and Golbeck, H. (2004): " Steam Alternating Solvent Process: Lab Test and Simulation", CIPC 2004-044 presented at the Petroleum Society's Canadian International Petroleum conference 2004, Calgary, June.