

FACTORS EFFECTING THE ACOUSTIC PROPERTIES OF DATE PALM
FIBER

LAMYAA ABD ALRAHMAN JAWAD

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Mechanical Engineering)

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

JANUARY 2015

*To my family, my beloved Mom and Uncle Ahmad,
My lovely sisters Damyaa and Alyaa*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I would like to express my deepest and most sincere thanks and gratitude to Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful, for giving me the strength, perseverance and endurance to complete this research work. It is through His Special Grace and Mercy that the thesis could be completed.

I would like to thank my supervisors Dr. Raja Ishak, and, and Prof. Dr. Roslan.Abdul Rahman. Their guidance and mentorship have been like a lighthouse during whole time at UTM. I have learned much from their experience and knowledge about the noise and acoustics. Their suggestions and guidance's helped me to maintain on the correct path., also whose throughout the endeavor, never left me by myself. I owe my deepest respect to them.

My deepest gratitude goes to my family for their unflagging love and support throughout my life. This dissertation is simply impossible without them. Also special thanks to my Mom who gave me opportunity to study abroad and let me experience all the things I would have never done before. Last but not the least, my Uncle Ahmad, and my sisters (Damyaa and Alyaa) always encourage me and give lots of supports in life, for their endless understanding and support. All the happiness moments shared with my family has kept me going forward.

I want to express my sincere respect and grateful to engineer, technician and staff in Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM), Malaysian Palm Oil Board MPOB, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia (UTHM), and Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (UKM), for their assistance of this work, especially to Mr._Md Kasron, Mr. Helmifairuz., and Mr. Fadli. I would also like to thank all other people who have provided help of various kinds during my doctoral study, including my colleagues. Over this time I have found myself indebted to many colleagues and friends for sharing of their knowledge, guidance, patience and good humour.

Finally, I hope this work is a humble reflection of the values and opportunities you have provided. If we could only bloom so bright.

ABSTRACT

This research focuses on factors that affect the acoustic performance of a porous material, namely date palm fiber (DPF). Natural DPF is chosen as a potential replacement to synthetic fibers which raise a lot of health concerns. DPF is considered as abundant material which can be obtained cheaply and easily, particularly in the Middle East region. Analytical approaches, namely Delany-Bazley, Biot-Allard and Johnson-Allard were used to estimate the acoustical performance of DPF with previously tested natural fiber. All of the analytical values were then validated with the experimental results. Two types of tests, namely acoustic absorption coefficient (AAC) and sound transmission loss (STL) were undertaken to investigate the acoustical performance of the DPF. A series of tests were undertaken to evaluate the parameters that influence the acoustical performance of the samples. These include tests on the sample of DPF panel treated with latex; sample treated with Urea Formaldehyde as well as samples backed with porous material such as woven cotton cloth and polyester. The findings showed that the AAC of DPF improved with the increase in thickness and density. It was also found that there were improvements on the AAC for sample panels backed with porous materials, particularly at low frequency ranging from 90 Hz to 1.6 kHz. In addition, the STL of DPF panels treated with Urea Formaldehyde produced higher acoustic insulation (in the range of 30 dB) due to the increase in panel stiffness. In short, the results showed that acoustical performance of the DPF is slightly less than synthetic fibers, but better than other previously tested natural fibers. This is mainly due to the smaller diameter of DPF as compared to other natural fiber counterparts. The good acoustical performance of DPF suggests that it has a very good potential to replace the currently used synthetic fibers for acoustical treatment application.

ABSTRAK

Kajian ini memfokus kepada faktor yang memberi kesan kepada prestasi akustik satu bahan porous tulen baru iaitu fiber pelepah tamar (DPF). DPF semula jadi dipilih sebagai satu bahan berpotensi untuk menggantikan fiber sintetik yang menyebabkan banyak masalah kesihatan. DPF dianggap sebagai bahan buangan yang boleh didapati dengan murah dan mudah, terutamanya di rantau Timur Tengah. Pendekatan analitikal, iaitu Delany-Bazley, Biot-Allard dan Johnson-Allard telah digunakan untuk menganggarkan prestasi akustik daripada DPF berbanding serat semula jadi yang telah diuji sebelum ini. Kesemua nilai-nilai analisis kemudiannya disahkan dengan keputusan eksperimen. Dua jenis ujian, iaitu pekali penyerapan akustik (AAC) dan kehilangan transmisi bunyi (STL) telah dijalankan untuk menyiasat prestasi akustik daripada DPF. Beberapa siri ujian telah dijalankan untuk menilai parameter yang mempengaruhi sampel prestasi akustik. Ini termasuklah ujian ke atas sampel panel DPF yang dirawat dengan latex; sampel yang dirawat dengan Urea Formaldehyde dan juga sampel yang dilapik dengan bahan porous seperti kain kapas tenunan dan poliester. Dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa AAC daripada DPF bertambah baik dengan peningkatan ketebalan dan kepadatan. Selain daripada itu terdapat juga peningkatan pada AAC untuk sampel panel yang dilapik dengan bahan porous, terutamanya pada frekuensi rendah dalam lingkungan 90 Hz hingga 1.6 kHz. Di samping itu, STL bagi panel DPF dirawat dengan Urea Formaldehyde menghasilkan penebat akustik yang baik (dalam lingkungan 30 dB) hasil daripada peningkatan kekerasan panel. Secara ringkas, keputusan menunjukkan bahawa prestasi akustik daripada DPF adalah sedikit kurang berbanding serat sintetik, tetapi lebih baik berbanding gentian asli lain yang telah diuji sebelum ini. Ini adalah disebabkan oleh diameter DPF yang lebih kecil berbanding gentian semula jadi yang lain. Prestasi akustik DPF yang baik menunjukkan bahawa ia mempunyai potensi yang sangat baik untuk menggantikan gentian sintetik yang masih banyak digunakan bagi aplikasi rawatan akustik.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST OF TABLES	xiii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxiii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xxvi
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Background	2
	1.3 Porous Materials	4
	1.4 Problem Statement	5
	1.5 Objectives of the Study	6
	1.6 Scope of the Research	6
	1.7 Significance of the Study	8
	1.8 Contribution of the Research	8
	1.9 Organization of the Thesis	9

2	LITERATURE REVIEW	12
2.1	Introduction	12
2.2	Background	13
2.3	Synthetic Absorption Materials	13
2.4	Natural Fiber Absorption Materials	24
2.5	Theoretical Applications	34
2.5.1	The Main Parameters of Porous Medium	34
2.5.1.1	Flow resistivity	34
2.5.1.2	Porosity	36
2.5.1.3	Tortuosity	37
2.5.1.4	Viscous and Thermal Characteristic Lengths	37
2.5.2	The Basic Formulation of the Impedance Function	39
2.5.2.1	Acoustic Impedance	40
2.5.2.2	Characteristic Impedance	41
2.5.2.3	Propagation Constant	42
2.5.3	Measuring Absorption Performance	43
2.5.3.1	Absorption Coefficient	43
2.5.3.2	Empirical Technique	44
2.5.4	Theoretical Predictions for Acoustic Properties	46
2.5.5	Theories Using Perforated Plate	49
2.7	Research Gaps	51
2.8	Summary	52
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	54
3.1	Introduction	54
3.2	Background	55
3.3	Research Methodology	57
3.4	Microscopic Properties of Fibers	62
3.5	Measuring Flow Resistivity	67

3.6	Experimental Set Up	70
3.6.1	Acoustic Absorption Coefficient Testing	70
3.6.2	Sound Transmission Loss Testing	73
3.7	Summary	76
4	ANALYTICAL ESTIMATION	78
4.1	Introduction	78
4.2	Analyzing Acoustical Properties of Date Palm Fiber	79
4.2.1	Delany-Bazley Approach	79
4.2.2	Biot-Allard Approach	81
4.2.3	Calculation of Absorption Coefficient	86
4.3	Analysis of Compression Effectiveness on Acoustical Properties	87
4.3.1	Johnson-Allard Approach	88
4.3.2	Simulation Procedure for the Compression Ratio (n)	89
4.3.3	Calculation of Absorption Coefficient	92
4.4	Effect of Perforated Plates on Acoustic Properties via Various Perforation Ratios and Aperture Diameters	92
4.4.1	Allard Approach	94
4.4.2	Beranek and Ver Approach	97
4.4.3	Atalla and Sgard Approach	97
4.4.4	Allard Transfer Function Approach	99
4.4.5	Estimation of the Acoustic Absorption Coefficient	102
4.5	Results and Discussion	103
4.5.1	Estimation of AAC by Delany- Bazley and Biot- Allard Approaches	103
4.5.2	Simulation of Impacts from the Compression Ratio to Improve	110

	Acoustical Performance	
	4.5.3 Absorption Behaviour of Perforated Plate	114
	4.5.3.1 Comparison of Absorption Behaviour between all Analytical Approaches	116
4.6	Summary	121
5	EXPERIMENTAL TESTING	123
5.1	Introduction	123
5.2	Experimental Testing	124
	5.2.1 Acoustic Absorption Coefficient Test	124
	5.2.2 Sound Transmission Loss Test	125
5.3	Results and Discussion	127
	5.3.1 Effect of Latex Application	127
	5.3.2 Effects of Porous Material Backing	130
	5.3.2.1 Experimental Effects of a Woven Cotton Cloth Backing	130
	5.3.2.2 Experimental Effect of Polyester Backing	131
	5.3.3 Influence of Thickness on Sound Transmission Properties	133
	5.3.3.1 Panels Treated with Latex	133
	5.3.3.2 Panels Treated with Urea Formaldehyde	135
	5.3.4 The Effect of Density on DPF Panels Treated with Urea Formaldehyde	136
	5.3.5 Sound Transmission Class	141
5.6	Summary	147
6	COMPARASION BETWEEN ANALYTICAL AND EXPERIMENTAL MEASUREMENT	149

6.1	Introduction	149
6.2	Result and Discussion	150
6.2.1	Influence of Thickness in AAC	150
6.2.2	Effect of Bulk Density	153
6.2.3	The Effect of Bulk Density on a Compressed Panel	155
6.2.4	Influence of Perforated Plates on Absorption Behaviour Using:	160
6.2.4.1	Beranek and Ver Approach	160
6.2.4.2	Allard Approach	162
6.2.4.3	Atalla and Sgard Approach	163
6.2.4.4	Allard Transfer Function Approach	165
6.2.5	Effect of Fiber Diameter	168
6.2.6	Physical Effects	177
6.3	Comparative between Synthetic Material and Natural Fiber	179
6.4	Summary	181
7	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	184
7.1	Conclusion	184
7.2	Recommendation for Future Work	187
	REFERENCES	189
	Appendices A-B	200-214

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Properties of each type of natural fiber latex panels	61
3.2	Properties of DPF Formaldehyde panels	62
3.3	Physical properties of date palm fiber DPF	66
3.4	Physical properties of oil palm fiber OPF	66
3.5	Physical properties of coconut coir fiber CCF	67
3.6	Flow resistivity: theoretical and measurement for four samples of date palm fiber DPF	68
3.7	Flow resistivity: theoretical and measurement for two samples of oil palm fiber OPF	69
3.8	Flow resistivity: theoretical and measurement for two samples of coconut coir fiber CCF	69
4.1	Illustrates values for fixed regression	80
4.2	Illustrates the perforation ratio; aperture diameter; distance between apertures of the perforated plates	93
4.3	The values for physical parameters of DPF samples	108
4.4	Analytical result on the maximum value of AAC for different sample thicknesses	109
4.5	Variation of physical parameters for compression ratios for panel thickness of 30 mm: $\rho_{bulk}= 130 \text{ kg/m}^3$, $\rho_{avg.fiber}= 4011.31 \text{ kg/ m}^3$, $d_{avg.fiber}= 123\mu\text{m}$, $d_{mix}= 242 \mu\text{m}$	113

4.6	Variation of physical parameters for compression ratios from panel thickness of 50 mm: $\rho_{bulk}= 150 \text{ kg.m}^{-3}$, $\rho_{avg.fiber}= 4011.31 \text{ kg. m}^{-3}$, $d_{avg.fiber} = 123 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$, $d_{mix}= 241 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$	114
4.7	Physical properties of DPF	115
4.8	The value of flow resistivity depends on perforation ratio; for each perforated plates	116
5.1	Maximum acoustic absorption coefficients at different sample thicknesses (experimental results)	128
5.2	Preferred frequency band	140
6.1	Illustrates values for physical parameters and compression time of heat compression during manufacturing (5 min)	156
6.2	Illustrates values for physical parameters and compression time during manufacturing of heat compression (7 min)	158
6.3	Results of CCF and DPF absorption tests	173
6.4	Physical properties of DPF and CCF fibers	173
6.5	Maximum acoustic absorption coefficient (AAC) values for each thickness and frequency range	174
6.6	Physical properties of OPF and DPF fibers	174
6.7	Acoustic absorption coefficient of synthetic material in octave bands	180
6.8	Acoustic absorption coefficient of three natural fibers in octave bands	180

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	The modified Ingard and Dear impedance tube system	16
2.2	Configurations of the problem	18
2.3	Schematic of double-layer micro-perforated membrane (MPM) of oblique incidence	19
2.4	Typical structure of a perforated panel	20
2.5	Generalization of an acoustic transmission analysis diagram for a composite absorber	21
2.6	Electron microscopy of melamine foam. The solid phase or skeleton of the foam appears in white	22
2.7	Validation of proposed model by comparing model prediction to external test results on three different constructions (1, 2 and 3) for each type of Shoddy absorber studied: (a) mechanically bonded; (b) thermally bonded; (c) resin bonded. experimental result (—), proposed model (—) and Delany–Bazley model (····)	23
2.8	Experimental data compared to numerical simulations of the absorption coefficient for coconut coir fiber having layer thickness 20 mm, 35 mm and 50 mm with and without air gap. (i) 20 mm coir fiber layer thickness, (ii) 35 mm coir fiber	

	layer thickness, and (iii) 50 mm coir fiber layer thickness	26
2.9	Effect of perforation size (opening area) and air gap thickness on the acoustic properties of coir fiber sound absorption panels	27
2.10	The effect of the perforation ratio. Is (1) 0% (unperforated), (2) 0.1%, (3) 0.2%, (4) 0.4% and (5) 0.8%	28
2.11	Simulations of the absorption coefficient of 50 mm (original thickness) coir fiber for varying compression rate	30
2.12	The three main types of porous absorbing material	31
2.13	A Typical Green Wall	32
2.14	The dependence of reduction in sound level on the thickness of the specimens of chopped reeds within frequency bands	33
2.15	The bi-microphone impedance tube system	45
3.1	Components of the date palm and raw Fibers	56
3.2	Fibers covering petiole and rachis	56
3.3	Research procedure flowchart	58
3.4	Flow charts of Preparation Samples	59
3.5	(a) DPF: same thickness, three densities; (b) DPF: different thickness and densities; (c) OPF: different thickness and densities; (d) CCF: different thickness and densities	60
3.6	Six samples of different thickness and density	62
3.7	DPF microstructure of four randomly fiber diameters	64
3.8	OPF microstructure of four randomly fiber diameters	67
3.9	CCF microstructure of four randomly fiber diameters	68

3.10	Flow resistivity device (a) Vacuum pump connected with PC (b) Sample holder	68
3.11	Schematic diagrams of microphone positions	70
3.12	Impedance tube instrument and set-up for acoustic characteristic measurement	72
3.13	Schematic diagram of impedance tube	72
3.14	Photograph of impedance tube of STL test	73
3.15	Schematic diagram of impedance tube for the measurement of STL	74
3.16	Schematic diagram identifying microphone positions	75
3.17	Illustration of incidence and reflected waves inside the tube	76
4.1	Block diagram of process flow in developing the theoretical absorption plot for DPF	87
4.2	The thickness of porous fibers after compression	90
4.3	Sketch diagram for each perforated plate illustrates the distance between apertures (D), diameter of aperture (d), and perforation ratio(Φ)	93
4.4	(a) The impinging normal incidence of acoustic on porous layer; (b) porous layers media 1, 2	99
4.5	Illustrated diagrams of required parameters for calculations as per both analytical approaches: (a) Delany-Bazley, (b) Biot- Allard	104
4.6	Illustrates the Biot-Allard and Delany approaches as well as experimental results for a DPF panel of 20 mm thickness	105
4.7	Illustrates the Biot-Allard and Delany approaches as well as experimental results for a DPF panel of 30 mm thickness	106
4.8	Illustrates the Biot-Allard and Delany approaches as well as experimental results for a DPF panel of 40 mm thickness	106

4.9	Illustrates the Biot- Allard and Delany approaches as well as experimental results for a DPF panel of 50 mm thickness	107
4.10	Simulation of a compression ratio for 30 mm thickness	111
4.11	Simulation of a compression ratio for 50 mm thickness	112
4.12	Comparison of all approaches: perforation ratio $\Phi = 22\%$, $D = 4$ mm, and $d = 2$ mm (a) 30 mm, and (b) 50 mm panel	118
4.13	Comparison of all approaches: perforation ratio $\Phi = 10\%$, $D = 8$ mm, and $d = 3$ mm (a) 30 mm, and (b) 50 mm panel	119
4.14	Comparison of all approaches: perforation ratio $\Phi = 10\%$, $D = 11$ mm, and $d = 4$ mm (a) 30 mm, and (b) 50 mm panel	120
5.1	The arrangement of a DPF panel backed by a porous material and rigid wall	124
5.2	Photos of PDF Sample treated with Latex	125
5.3	Photos of DPF sample treated with Urea Formaldehyde	126
5.4	Experimental results: acoustic absorption coefficient treated without latex	129
5.5	Experimental results: acoustic absorption coefficient treated with Latex	129
5.6	Photograph of samples: (a) polyester, (b) woven cotton cloth	130
5.7	Comparison of the acoustic absorption coefficient of date palm fiber (30 mm) with woven cotton cloth and polyester	132
5.8	Comparison of the acoustic absorption coefficient of date palm fiber (50 mm) with woven cotton cloth and polyester	132

5.9	Comparison of STL for three DPF panels treated with Latex	134
5.10	Comparison of STL for three PDF panels treated with Urea Formaldehyde Panels	136
5.11	Comparison of PDF panels treated with Urea Formaldehyde of three densities with the same thickness (10 mm)	137
5.12	Comparison of PDF panels treated with Urea Formaldehyde panels of two densities with same thickness (20 mm)	138
5.13	STC & STL for 20 mm panel treated with latex	142
5.14	STC& STL for 30 mm panel treated with latex	143
5.15	STC & STL for 50 mm panel treated with latex	143
5.16	STC & STL for 50 mm panel treated with Urea Formaldehyde	144
5.17	STC & STL for 20 mm panel treated with Urea Formaldehyde	144
5.18	STC & STL for 20 mm panel treated with Urea Formaldehyde	145
5.19	STC & STL for 10 mm panel treated with Urea Formaldehyde	145
5.20	STC & STL for 10 mm panel treated with Urea Formaldehyde	147
5.21	STC & STL for 10 mm panel treated with Urea Formaldehyde	147
6.1	AAC comparison between experimental and theoretical using Delany – Bazley approaches for a DPF panel of 20 mm thickness	150
6.2	AAC comparison between experimental and theoretical using Delany – Bazley approaches for a DPF panel of 30 mm thickness	151

6.3	AAC comparison between experimental and theoretical using Delany – Bazley approaches for a DPF panel of 40 mm thickness	151
6.4	AAC comparison between experimental and theoretical using Delany – Bazley approaches for a DPF panel of 50 mm thickness	152
6.5	The effect of bulk density of DPF panel on AAC	154
6.6	Comparison between Johnson-Allard theoretical values and experimental results of 10 kg.m ⁻³ and 11 kg.m ⁻³ panel densities	156
6.7	Johnson-Allard vs. experimental results for 4.76 kg.m ⁻³ , 7.15 kg.m ⁻³ , and 9.20 kg.m ⁻³ panel densities	159
6.8	Comparison of experimental results with the theoretical approach of Beranek & Ver at 30 mm	161
6.9	Comparison of experimental results with the theoretical approach of Beranek & Ver at 50 mm	161
6.10	Comparison of experimental results with the Allard approach (30 mm)	162
6.11	Comparison of experimental results with the Allard approach (50 mm)	163
6.12	Comparison of experimental results with Atalla and Sgard (30 mm)	164
6.13	Comparison of experimental results with Atalla and Sgard (50 mm)	165
6.14	Comparison of experimental results with the Transfer Function approach (30 mm)	166
6.15	Comparison of experimental results with the Transfer Function approach (50 mm)	167
6.16	Analytical and experimental comparison of CCF (20 mm, 40 mm) (b) 50 mm panel	169
6.17	Analytical and experimental comparison of DPF (20 mm, 40 mm)	170

6.18	Analytical and experimental comparison of OPF (30 mm, 50 mm)	170
6.19	Analytical and experimental comparison of DPF (30 mm, 50 mm)	171
6.20	Comparison of analytical and experimental results of two fibers (a) 20 mm thick panels: CCF vs. DPF (b) 40 mm thick panels: CCF vs. DPF	175
6.21	Comparison of analytical and experimental results of two fibers. (a) 30 mm thick panels: OPF vs. DPF (b) 50 mm thick panels: OPF vs. DPF	176
6.22	Comparison between fiber glass boards, date palm fiber and oil palm fiber at same thickness panels 50 mm in octave band	181

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

A	-	Dimensionless Parameter in Delany-Bazley Approach
\bar{A}	-	Complex Steady State Pressure or Force
\bar{B}	-	Complex Steady State Velocity or Volume Velocity
C	-	Speed of Sound
C_0	-	Sound Speed in the Air
D	-	Distance between Apertures
d	-	Hole Diameter
d_{mix}	-	Diameter of Fibers Mixed with Latex
f	-	Frequency
$G(\omega)$	-	Viscous Correction Factor
k	-	Wave Number in Free Air
K_b	-	Bulk Modulus of the Frame
$K(\omega)$	-	Bulk Modulus of Rigid Framed Porous Material
$K_{m,n}$	-	Wave Number in a Cylinder
l	-	Total Length of Fiber per Unit Volume of Material
l_{mix}	-	Total Length per Unit Volume of Fibers Mixed with Latex
L	-	Thickness of Perforated Plate
I_i	-	Incident Sound Intensity
I_r	-	Incident Sound Intensity
n	-	Compression Ratio
N	-	Shear Modulus of Frame
P	-	Pressure (Pa)
$P, Q, \text{ and } R$	-	Biot's Experiments Elasticity Coefficients
P_0	-	Atmospheric Pressure
Pr	-	Prandtl Number of air

r	-	Fiber Radius
R	-	Part-Resistance from Impedance (real)
R_s	-	Surface Resistance
$Re(\alpha')$	-	Real Dynamic Tortuosity
S	-	Surface Area of the Sample
$S = \pi R^2$	-	Cross Section Area of the Perforated Plate
S_p	-	Surface Area of the Pores
T	-	Time Period
$[T]$	-	Transfer matrix
$[T_1]$ and $[T_2]$	-	Transfer Matrices of Layer 1 and 2
$[T_{12}]$	-	Interface Matrix Relating Stresses and Velocities for the two Adjacent Porous Layers
ν	-	Poisson's coefficient
V	-	Volume of Air
$V(A)$	-	Acoustic Field Vector at Point A
$V(B)$	-	Acoustic Field Vectors at Point B
X	-	Imaginary Part of Acoustic Impedance
Z	-	Acoustic Impedance
Z_a	-	Characteristic Impedance of Air
Z_B	-	Normal Surface Impedance of the Backing Layer
Z_f	-	Characteristics Impedance of Fibrous Material
Z_j	-	Characteristics Impedance of jth Layer
Z_0	-	Characteristics Impedance
\bar{Z}	-	Complicated impedance
$ Z $	-	Amount of complicated impedance
Z_i^a	-	Characteristic Impedance Corresponding to Transmission in Air
Z_i^f	-	Characteristic Impedance Corresponding to Transmission in Frame
α	-	Acoustic Absorption Coefficient
α_∞	-	Tortuosity
β	-	Phase Change Constant (Coefficient)
π	-	Pi parameter
ρ	-	Density

ρ_a	-	Inertial Coupling Term
ρ_0	-	Air Density
ρ_{bulk}	-	Bulk Density of the Porous Media
ρ_f	-	Density of Fiber
σ	-	Flow Resistivity
ζ_e	-	Correction Length
ρ_{11}^* , ρ_{12}^* , and ρ_{22}^*	} }	Inertial Coupling Parameters between the Frame and Fluid
η	-	Air Viscosity
φ	-	Porosity
Φ	-	Perforation Ratio
Λ	-	Viscous Characteristic Length
Λ'	-	Thermal Characteristic Length
γ	-	Ratio of Specific Heat at Constant Pressure to Specific Heat at Constant Volume of air
γ_j	-	Complex Wave Propagation Constant of jth Layer
Γ_f	-	Surface Acoustic Impedance of Material
Γ_j	-	Surface Acoustic Impedance of the jth Layer
ω	-	Angular Frequency
δ_1^2 , and δ_2^2		Squared Wave Numbers of Two Compressional Waves
μ_i (i=1, 2)		Ratio of Velocities for Two Compressional Waves

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Preparation of the Raw Fibers	200
B	Publications	214

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

A comfortable environment free from unwanted noise is the dream of every person. Unwanted noise can be detrimental to those exposed: negative effects may include hearing loss, sleep loss, and increased stress. Additionally, acoustic radiation may be damaging to sensitive mechanical and electrical systems because excess vibration and fatigue may be induced. For these reasons, noise reduction is of great interest to engineers. Approaches to noise control may be classified as active or passive. Passive noise control widely employs acoustical treatments with porous materials, known to be effective sound absorbers. The sound absorption coefficient provides a quantitative measure of the acoustic energy absorption for rigidly backed porous materials.

Therefore, sound absorbing materials are used to control the sound levels in these sources. It is a well-known subject on which much research has been done already, although much material and absorbing structure design remains empirical. The compromises between the thickness of the materials, their cost, their practicality and their performances are often important. In most cases, rooms, acoustical walls

and others have different absorption qualities which depend on the range of frequencies that are produced in their surroundings. A common constraint in design settings is the space (thickness) available for the sound absorbing materials. The sound absorbers must generally be placed adjacent to more rigid walls and surfaces. Good natural material absorption can be the new to obtain.

Furthermore, utilization of agricultural waste materials enhances economics by booming retrieve industry in one hand and reducing the need for non-degradable synthetic matters on the other hand. Studying the acoustical behavior of date palm fiber and analytical method for predicting its characteristics will abate to optimize the performance of absorber panel in various practical usages. Only then can the development of relevant performance be achieved under conditions that are accepted by the market. Additionally, products form date palm fiber will have the benefit of low raw materials and manufacturing cost, at the same time providing a suitable end use for fibers.

1.2 Background

The traditional way for diminishing the undesirable or harmful noise in the noisy places is by using porous materials to block the sound transmission path [1, 2]. These porous materials that reduce the acoustic energy of sound wave passes through it by the phenomenon of absorption are called acoustic absorption materials. Absorption materials consist of various synthetic materials like glass wool minerals and agriculture-based foams and fibers [3-5]. These materials have been widely accepted as acoustic absorption materials for acoustical applications. The ability of these materials absorb at nearly all frequencies, but the performance increasing when increasing the thickness at low frequency [6].

In recent years the interesting investigation has been developed for a wide range of absorber for porous materials where the researchers seek for a new materials and ranking for preparing in order to strengthen noise attenuation techniques. Therefore, the products of sound absorption are mostly synthetic materials because of domination in the market. For this reason many researches has been working in developing a new materials which have a high potential in the acoustical applications [7-11]. The natural materials have interesting advantages such as renewable source and easily available. There is a limited study on alternative materials for acoustical absorber, but during recent years it has increased because of the side effect related to the potential health risks of the synthetic materials which are commonly used in industrial applications such as asbestos, rock wool, glass wool. In USA/ U.E these materials have been banned for nonessential uses especially in risky range due the risk of injury to the workers leading to many diseases especially for lungs and eyes [12]. It is also to give an opportunity for the natural materials to be developed as sound absorbers to be used in applications traditionally occupied by synthetic materials.

Furthermore, nowadays agricultural waste materials has been widely used to replace synthetic fiber for noise absorption purposes [13, 14]. This natural fiber is suitable as a substitute to synthetic fibers and wood-based materials for acoustic absorption purposes. The advantage of this fiber is cheaper, renewable, and non-abrasive which does not give rise to health and safety issues during processing and handling of the materials [15, 16]. The sound absorption attribute of previously natural fiber such as tea leaf fiber, rice straw fiber, coconut coir fiber, oil palm fiber and reed [17, 18] were investigated and the results showed high potential to be used as sound absorption panel. They can be very useful for various usages in many structural and non-structural applications.

In summary, the literature indicates that natural fibers have many advantages such as cheap, non-hazardous, environmentally friendly, and renewable. Moreover, the exploitation of waste materials (natural wealth) boosts the economy by booming the industry through re-cycling technology and diminishes the environmental

pollution due waste deposition. Moreover, the synthetic materials are replaced to the natural fibers because less healthy and safety issue during processing.

1.3 Porous Materials

Porous materials are extensively used as sound absorption materials in noise control engineering. There are different kinds of porous materials which are generally categorized as fibrous medium and porous foam. Many researchers have studied the absorption properties of different materials to reduce the influence of noise via sound absorption [19-22]. Most researchers attempted to improve the absorption factor. This research demonstrates that the thickness of a substances' porosity and the depth of the air gap have significant relationships to acoustic attenuation, hereinafter referred to as the 'acoustic absorption factor' (AAF).

The researchers [23-25] improved the acoustic absorption properties of the materials via multi-layer different forms of flow porosity has been good factors in determining absorbent factor. Gardner [26] studied polyurethane foam to predict acoustic characteristics and suggested a neural network model for polyurethane by gauging multiple parameters such as frequency, the flow of air resistance, and acoustic density from which a model of acoustic characteristics such as an absorption coefficient and surface resistance could be predicted. This model is very accurate and readily expands for the study of different types of materials with different sets of parameters. Hence, the model used for testing foam is also used to derive numerical and other analytical results for other materials.

The problem of noise becomes even more critical the predominant frequencies (low and high) lie within the crucial frequency range of the human ear which is most sensitive to 4 kHz [27]. There is great need for noise reduction by

using absorption materials (synthetic materials) such as glass wool, rock wool etc. of various densities. However, glass wool was banned in the USA/E.U. for non-essential uses, especially in this risk range [12]. Furthermore, there is reduction in the transmission of frequencies in nonessential spaces with a double wall. Such hardened building materials have higher absorption coefficients for incidental sound.

1.4 Problem Statement

From the review of reported investigations, it is clear that a synthetic material is harmful to workers. Utilization of agricultural waste materials enhances economics by booming retrieve industry in one hand and reducing the need for non-degradable synthetic materials on the other hand. Two distinct kinds of problem arise of waste natural materials. The first is illustrated the deposition of waste materials (natural fiber) which are renewable annually, due to inevitable imperfections in the accumulation of waste and due to the periodic character of the removal wastes by burning. Therefore, the pollutants are increasing significantly in the environmental directly through the air. Environmental pollution resulting from waste materials deposition and removal of them by burning is one of the biggest problems faced by the human race and a lot of efforts are being put into solving this problem. The second problem is the reduction of acoustic energy by using synthetic materials, namely, asbestos, rock wool, glass wool which have been banned due to potential of injury and risk especially for lungs and eyes.

Furthermore, the use of synthetic materials has always been prohibitive. Therefore, appropriate solutions may offer simpler means for noise reduction. By having absorption natural material with suitable characteristic, engineers can have safer option in tackling acoustical related issue.

1.5 Objectives of the Study

This research focuses on the acoustical properties and development of a new natural material, specifically, date palm fiber (DPF) as acoustic panels. Hence, the three major objectives of this research are as follow:

- To study the capability of date palm fiber (DPF) as a replacement to the synthetic materials for acoustic application.
- To identify major contributor that affect the acoustic properties of date palm fiber (DPF).
- To determine the physical properties that affecting on compression of date palm fiber (DPF).
- To improve the acoustical properties of date palm fiber (DPF).

1.6 Scope of the Research

The scope of this research comprises the following areas:

- Scanning microscopy of the network structure of three types of fiber diameter:
 - Date palm fiber
 - Oil palm fiber
 - Coconut coir fiber
- Develop samples of DPF with different densities and thickness as well as different material combinations, to include:
 - Four thicknesses and densities
 - Three densities of the same thickness
- Develop samples of OPF and CCF with different densities and

thickness as well as material combinations, to include:

- Two various thicknesses and densities
- Measuring flow resistivity of three types of fiber panels.
- An analytical approach utilized to estimate AAC for three types of investigation:
 - Acoustical properties:
 - i) Delany-Bazley
 - ii) Biot -Allard
 - Compression effects:
 - i) Johnson-Allard
 - Effects of perforated plate:
 - i) Allard
 - ii) Beranek and Ver
 - iii) Atalla and Sgard
 - iv) Allard Transfer Function
- An experimental test utilize to measure AAC for two types of investigation:
 - The effect of latex application
 - The effect of porous materials
- An experimental test utilize to measure sound transmission loss (STL) on two types of samples at various densities and thicknesses:
 - i) Latex panel
 - ii) Urea Formaldehyde panels
 - iii) Identify the sound transmission class (STC)
- Comparative study between experimental and analytical.
- Compare results of three types of fibers according to fiber diameter.
- Compare results of DPF with synthetic material fiber glass board.

1.7 Significance of the Study

Natural fiber materials are increasingly being used for different purposes in many specialized applications. Date palm fiber is one of the most important agricultural products harvested in Iraq. The purpose of this study is to make a contribution towards solving the problem of deposition waste material in one hand, reduce pollution and hazards health on the other hand by using natural fibers that are perhaps more suitable as an alternative to synthetic materials such as glass wool (fiberglass) and asbestos which are now widely been used in industrial applications. Secondly, the acoustical performance of natural fiber such as date palm fiber is important in order to be used efficiently in wide range of applications such as transportation sector, building etc. Market demands increases for porous absorbers with the aspirations like lower frequency absorption ability, wide band frequency absorption capability, and thin structure for limited space absorber, specific acoustic absorption spectrum and low cost materials. Another advantage is to be of benefit to the natural wealth of acoustics absorption applications, especially in barrier application because natural fibers are cheaper, non-hazardous and environmentally friendly. To help the greening of technology, wide use is made of manufactured substances derived from agricultural products as materials for industrial fiber and wood based products for the acoustical application. The application of natural fiber may also assist in the preservation of health. Studies conducted on date palm fiber have demonstrated its potential for use in the manufacture of acoustical related application.

1.8 Contribution of the Research

A brief outline of the main contributions of this research is given in this subsection as follows:

- Agricultural waste material is used instead of synthetic materials for acoustical applications to diminish health hazards.
- Date palm fiber has a high potential of acoustical performance to encourage the acoustics engineers for using in various applications such as in buildings, for automotive interior noise reduction, in wall linings and room interior surfacing, transportation sector efficiently and effectively.
- This research is focusing on the use of waste materials (natural fiber) for the purpose of environmental protection against pollution.
- Another contribution of this research is compression ratio simulator approach that can be applied to all thicknesses panels to improve the desired performance as the main goal in the limited space structure.

1.9 Organization of the Thesis

This thesis is divided into seven chapters. A brief outline of the contents of the thesis is as follows:

Chapter 1 presents an introduction to the research problem. It involves the background and significance of the research as well as the problem statement and contributions. The logistical flow and structure of the thesis are also outlined in this chapter.

Chapter 2 is devoted to a literature study on absorption materials for acoustic applications. Firstly, prior works on synthetic materials and natural materials on absorption materials to improve the absorption factor are addressed. In addition, the theoretical background of the study is presented to assist the reader's comprehension of this thesis. Experimental testing is then reviewed and discussed wherein three

approaches are described and reviewed prior to the presentation of their application in subsequent chapters. Finally, natural absorption materials that have previously been applied for absorption purposes are reviewed.

Chapter 3 focuses on the three types of natural materials are utilized. The micro-structure of fibers is studied in order to measure physical parameters such as diameter, length and mass to estimate bulk density, flow resistance and so on. Flow resistance is measured to achieve validation via experimental equations. Consequently, average values for physical parameters are then applied in the equations for utilization in all analytical approaches. The experimental setup of the two types of test is clearly introduced.

Chapter 4 presents analytical approaches that were implemented to evaluate the absorption coefficient of date palm fiber. In the first section two approaches modifications of physical parameters using latex are introduced to the equation. In the second section the proposed validated method was implemented within the compression ratio simulation analysis by Johnson-Allard approach. Proposed optimal compression ratio and appropriate thicknesses for improved AAC were based on the simulation which revealed that the compressed DPF considerably improved absorption. Afterwards, presents the effectiveness of perforated plate methodology based on perforation ratio and aperture diameters. This section concentrates on utilizing three types that enhance performance absorbers. The proposed analytical approaches were used as objective functions to improve acoustical properties.

Chapter 5 presents the experimental evaluation of rigid walls for application two type of testing with latex and without. The performances of the two porous materials were selected for enhanced absorption was experimentally investigated. In this chapter also focuses on sound transmission loss (STL) and experimental evaluations. Latex panels with Urea Formaldehyde panels were tested in the impedance tube device. A Urea Formaldehyde panel was employed in the transmission loss studies of rigid panels. The greatest thickness and effectiveness of

high density panels were found for optimal insertion losses. Afterwards, sound transmission class (STC) was identified for two types of panels according to STL measure. Finally, the performance of the Urea Formaldehyde panel is suggested for barrier use due to its high potential for transmission.

Chapter 6 is devoted to a comparative between approaches and an experimental result is discussed. Firstly presents the application of the Johnson-Allard approach for the effect of bulk density on the acoustical properties of same thickness panels at various heat compression times during manufacturing. This procedure was utilized for different times of heat compression during manufacturing and the analysis was employed as a valid method to estimate the bulk density required to improve the AAC. Secondly, a suitable perforated plate was selected by the analytical study and the performance of the proposed perforated plates for enhanced absorption was experimentally investigated. In the last section, the effectiveness of each fiber is described and the superiority of DPF compared to OPF, CCF according to fiber diameter and then comparative with synthetic materials discussed.

Chapter 7 sums up the research project and directions for future research works are outlined.

REFERENCES

1. Ravindran, A. *Investigation of Inverse Acoustical Characterization of Porous Materials Used in Aircraft Noise Control Application*. 2007, Wichita State University.
2. Cox, T.J. and D'antonio P. *Acoustic Absorbers and Diffusers: Theory, Design and Application*. 2009: CRC Press.
3. Wang, C. N. and Torng J.-H. Experimental Study of the Absorption Characteristics of Some Porous Fibrous Materials. *Applied Acoustics*, 2001. 62(4): p. 447-459.
4. Parkinson, J., Pearse J., and Latimer, M. Sound Absorption of Elastic Framed Porous Materials in Combination with Impervious Films: Effect of Bonding. *Applied Acoustics*, 2002. 63(8): p. 819-828.
5. Qunli, W. Empirical Relations between Acoustical Properties and Flow Resistivity of Porous Plastic Open-Cell Foam. *Applied Acoustics*, 1988. 25(3): p. 141-148.
6. Lu, Y. Z. *Industrial Intelligent Control: Fundamentals and Applications*. 1996. John Wiley & Sons.
7. Wassilieff, C. Sound Absorption of Wood-Based Materials. *Applied Acoustics*, 1996. 48(4): p. 339-356.
8. Ersoy, S. and Küçük H. Investigation of Industrial Tea-Leaf-Fibre Waste Material for Its Sound Absorption Properties. *Applied Acoustics*, 2009. 70(1): p. 215-220.
9. Dias, T. and Monaragala, R. Sound Absorbtion in Knitted Structures for Interior Noise Reduction in Automobiles. *Measurement Science and Technology*, 2006. 17(9): p. 2499.

10. Dias, T., Monaragala, R., and Lay, E. Analysis of Thick Spacer Fabrics to Reduce Automobile Interior Noise. *Measurement Science and Technology*, 2007. 18(7): p. 1979.
11. Dias, T., et al., Analysis of Sound Absorption of Tuck Spacer Fabrics to Reduce Automotive Noise. *Measurement Science and Technology*, 2007. 18(8): p. 2657.
12. Kisku, G. and Bhargava, S. Assessment of Noise Level of a Medium Scale Thermal Power Plant. *Indian Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 2006. 10(3): p. 133.
13. Yang, H.-S., Kim ,D.-J., and Kim, H.-J. Rice Straw–Wood Particle Composite for Sound Absorbing Wooden Construction Materials. *Bioresource Technology*, 2003. 86(2): p. 117-121.
14. Khedari, J., et al., New Low-Cost Insulation Particleboards From Mixture Of Durian Peel And Coconut Coir. *Building and Environment*, 2004. 39(1): p. 59-65.
15. Jinkyoo, L., George, W., and Swenson, J. Compact Sound Absorbers for Low Frequencies. *Noise Control Engineering Journal*, 1992. 38(5): p. 109-117.
16. Joshi, S.V., et al., Are Natural Fiber Composites Environmentally Superior to Glass Fiber Reinforced Composites? Composites Part A: *Applied Science And Manufacturing*, 2004. 35(3): p. 371-376.
17. Espada, J., Sanchdrian, D., and Saturio, N. *Noise Absorbers Properties of Reed for Using in Fitting Acoustic Enclosures*. Universidad Politecnica de Madrid, ETS de Arquitectura, Spain, 2006.
18. Jiménez-Espada, M. The Acoustical Potential of Reed Panels for Partitions in Sustainable Construction. in *19th International Congress on Acoustics, Madrid, Spain, 2007*.
19. Utsuno, H., et al., Transfer Function Method for Measuring Characteristic Impedance and Propagation Constant of Porous Materials. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 1989. 86(2): p. 637-643.
20. Takahashi, D. A New Method for Predicting the Sound Absorption of Perforated Absorber Systems. *Applied Acoustics*, 1997. 51(1): p. 71-84.
21. Kang, J. and Fuchs, H. Predicting the Absorption of Open Weave Textiles and Micro-Perforated Membranes Backed by an Air Space. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 1999. 220(5): p. 905-920.

22. Braccesi, C. and Bracciali, A. Least Squares Estimation of Main Properties of Sound Absorbing Materials Through Acoustical Measurements. *Applied Acoustics*, 1998. **54**(1): p. 59-70.
23. Chen, W. H., Lee, F. C. and Chiang, D. M. On the Acoustic Absorption of Porous Materials with Different Surface Shapes and Perforated Plates. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 2000. **237**(2): p. 337-355.
24. Yang, T.L., Chiang, D. M. and Chen, R. Development of a Novel Porous Laminated Composite Material for High Sound Absorption. *Journal of Vibration and Control*, 2001. **7**(5): p. 675-698.
25. Lee, F. C. and Chen, W. H. Acoustic Transmission Analysis of Multi-Layer Absorbers. *Journal of Sound and vibration*, 2001. **248**(4): p. 621-634.
26. Gardner, G.C., et al., Neural Networks for Prediction of Acoustical Properties of Polyurethane Foams. *Applied Acoustics*, 2003. **64**(2): p. 229-242.
27. Singh, L.P., et al., Occupational Noise Exposure in Small Scale Hand Tools Manufacturing (Forging) Industry (SSI) in Northern India. *Industrial Health*, 2009. **47**(4): p. 423-430.
28. Kinsler, L.E., et al., Fundamentals of Acoustics. Fundamentals of Acoustics, 4th Edition, by Lawrence E. Kinsler, Austin R. Frey, Alan B. Coppens, James V. Sanders, pp. 560. ISBN 0-471-84789-5. Wiley-VCH, December 1999., **1**.
29. Newell, P.R. *Recording studio design*. 2008: Taylor & Francis.
30. Atalla, N., et al., Acoustic Absorption of Macro-Perforated Porous Materials. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 2001. **243**(4): p. 659-678.
31. Kosuge, K., Takayasu, A. and Hori, T. Recyclable Flame Retardant Nonwoven for Sound Absorption; RUBA®. *Journal of Materials Science*, 2005. **40**(20): p. 5399-5405.
32. Lee, D. and won, Y. K. Estimation of the Absorption Performance of Multiple Layer Perforated Panel Systems by Transfer Matrix Method. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 2004. **278**(4): p. 847-860.
33. Wang, C., et al., Sound Absorption of a Micro-Perforated Panel Backed by an Irregular-Shaped Cavity. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 2010. **127**(1): p. 238-246.
34. Congyun, Z. and Qibai, H. A Method for Calculating the Absorption Coefficient of a Multi-Layer Absorbent Using the Electro-Acoustic Analogy. *Applied acoustics*, 2005. **66**(7): p. 879-887.

35. Lee, Y., Lee, E. and Ng, C. Sound Absorption of a Finite Flexible Micro-Perforated Panel Backed by an Air Cavity. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 2005. **287**(1): p. 227-243.
36. Wang, C. N. and Cho, H. M. Sound Field of a Baffled Sound Source Covered by an Anisotropic Rigid-Porous Material. *Applied Acoustics*, 2005. **66**(7): p. 866-878.
37. Amédin, C.K., et al., Sound Field of a Baffled Piston Source Covered by a Porous Medium Layer. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 1995. **98**(3): p. 1757-1766.
38. Sgard, F.C., et al., On the Use of Perforations to Improve the Sound Absorption of Porous Materials. *Applied Acoustics*, 2005. **66**(6): p. 625-651.
39. Murugan, D., Varughese, S and Swaminathan, T. Recycled polyolefin-Based Plastic Wastes for Sound Absorption. *Polymer-Plastics Technology and Engineering*, 2006. **45**(7): p. 885-888.
40. Pfretzschner, J., et al., Microperforated Insertion Units: An Alternative Strategy to Design Microperforated Panels. *Applied Acoustics*, 2006. **67**(1): p. 62-73.
41. Zou, J., et al., A Note on the Prediction Method of Reverberation Absorption Coefficient of Double Layer Micro-Perforated Membrane. *Applied Acoustics*, 2006. **67**(2): p. 106-111.
42. Kino, N. and Ueno, T. Improvements to the Johnson–Allard Model for Rigid-Framed Fibrous Materials. *Applied Acoustics*, 2007. **68**(11): p. 1468-1484.
43. Panteghini, A., Genna, F. and Piana, E. Analysis of a Perforated Panel for the Correction of Low Frequency Resonances in Medium Size Rooms. *Applied Acoustics*, 2007. **68**(10): p. 1086-1103.
44. Hong, Z., et al., A Novel Composite Sound Absorber with Recycled Rubber Particles. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 2007. **304**(1): p. 400-406.
45. Jaouen, L., Renault, A. and Deverge, M. Elastic and Damping Characterizations of Acoustical Porous Materials: Available Experimental Methods and Applications to a Melamine Foam. *Applied Acoustics*, 2008. **69**(12): p. 1129-1140.
46. Manning, J. and Panneton, R. Acoustical Model for Shoddy-Based Fiber Sound Absorbers. *Textile Research Journal*, 2013: p. 0040517512470196.

47. Saferi, S.K.A. and Yusof, Y. A Review: Natural Fiber as Reinforcement in Waste Paper Recycling and its Processing Methods. *Applied Mechanics and Materials*, 2013. **315**: p. 443-447.
48. Suter, A.H. Construction Noise: Exposure, Effects, and the Potential for Remediation; a Review and Analysis. *AIHA Journal*, 2002. **63**(6): p. 768-789.
49. Koizumi, T., Tsujiuchi, N. and Adachi, A. The Development of Sound Absorbing Materials Using Natural Bamboo Fibers. *High Performance Structures and Composites*, 2002. **4**: p. 157-166.
50. Yang, S., Yu, W. and Pan, N. Investigation of the Sound-Absorbing Behavior of Fiber Assemblies. *Textile Research Journal*, 2011. **81**(7): p. 673-682.
51. Zulkifi, R., et al., Acoustic Properties of Multi-Layer Coir Fibres Sound Absorption Panel. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 2008. **8**(20): p. 3709-3714.
52. Ayub, M., et al., A Preliminary Study of Effect of Air Gap on Sound Absorption of Natural Coir Fiber. in *Proceeding of the Regional Engineering Postgraduate Conference* Oct. 2009.
53. Zulkifli, R., et al., Comparison of Acoustic Properties Between Coir Fibre and Oil Palm Fibre. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 2009. **33**(1): p. 144-152.
54. Zulkifli, R., et al., Effect of Perforated Size and Air Gap Thickness on Acoustic Properties of Coir Fibre Sound Absorption Panels. *European Journal of Scientific Research*, 2009. **28**(2): p. 242-252.
55. Sakagami, K., Morimoto, M. and Yairi, M. A Note on the Relationship Between the Sound Absorption by Microperforated Panels and Panel/Membrane-Type Absorbers. *Applied Acoustics*, 2009. **70**(8): p. 1131-1136.
56. Boonen, R., et al., Calibration of the Two Microphone Transfer Function Method with Hard Wall Impedance Measurements at Different Reference Sections. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 2009. **23**(5): p. 1662-1671.
57. Ayub, M., et al., Analysis on Sound Absorption of Natural Coir Fiber Using Delany-Bazley Model. in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Mechanical Engineering*, Dec. 2009.

58. Ayub, M., et al., A study on the Acoustical Absorption Behavior of Coir Fiber Using Miki model. *International Journal of Mechanical and Materials Engineering*, 2012. **6**(3).
59. Delany, M. and Bazley, E. Acoustical Properties of Fibrous Absorbent Materials. *Applied Acoustics*, 1970. **3**(2): p. 105-116.
60. Miki, Y. Acoustical Properties of Porous Materials-Modifications of Delany-Bazley Models. *J. Acoust. Soc. Jpn.(E)*, 1990. **11**(1): p. 19-24.
61. Ayub, M., et al., A Practical Acoustical Absorption Analysis of Coir Fiber Based on Rigid Frame Modeling. *Acoustical Physics*, 2012. **58**(2): p. 246-255.
62. Hosseini Fouladi, M., et al., Utilization of Coir Fiber in Multilayer Acoustic Absorption Panel. *Applied Acoustics*, 2010. **71**(3): p. 241-249.
63. Nor, M.J., et al., Effect of Compression on the Acoustic Absorption of Coir Fiber. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, 2010. **7**(9): p. 1285.
64. Nor, M.J.M., et al., Effect of Different Factors on the Acoustic Absorption of Coir Fiber. *Journal of Applied Sciences*, 2010. **10**: p. 2887-2892.
65. Mahzan, S., et al., Study on Sound Absorption Properties of Coconut Coir Fibre Reinforced Composite with Added Recycled Rubber. *International Journal of Integrated Engineering*, 2010. **2**(1): p. 29-34.
66. Arenas, J.P. and Crocker, M.J. Recent Trends in Porous Sound-Absorbing Materials. *Sound & Vibration*, 2010. **44**(7): p. 12-18.
67. Zulkifli, R., Nor, M.J.M. and Abdullah, S. Perforated Plate Backing with Coconut Fiber as Sound Absorber for Low and Mid Range Frequencies. *Key Engineering Materials*, 2011. **462**: p. 1284-1289.
68. Hosseini Fouladi, M., Ayub, M. and Nor, M. J. M. Analysis of Coir Fiber Acoustical Characteristics. *Applied Acoustics*, 2011. **72**(1): p. 35-42.
69. Benkreira, H., et al., The Effect of Drying on the Acoustic Absorption of Novel Green Noise Insulation. in *European Drying Conference-EuroDrying*. 2011.
70. Hosseini Fouladi, M., et al., Enhancement of Coir Fiber Normal Incidence Sound Absorption Coefficient. *Journal of Computational Acoustics*, 2012. **20**(01).
71. Khair, F.A., et al., Preliminary Study on Bamboo as Sound Absorber. in *Applied Mechanics and Materials*. 2014. Trans Tech Publ.

72. Deveikytė, S., Mažuolis, J. and Vaitiekūnas, P. Experimental Investigation into Noise Insulation of Straw and Reeds. *Science–Future of Lithuania/Mokslas–Lietuvos Ateitis*, 2012. **4**(5): p. 415-422.
73. Beranek, L.L. and Vér, I.L. *Noise and Vibration Control Engineering*. 1992: John Wiley & Sons.
74. Bies, D. and Hansen, C. Flow Resistance Information for Acoustical Design. *Applied Acoustics*, 1980. **13**(5): p. 357-391.
75. Bies, D.H., Hansen, C.H. and Campbell, R.H. Engineering noise control. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 1996. **100**(3): p. 1279-1279.
76. Kuttruff, H. and Mommertz, E. *Room Acoustics*, in Handbook of Engineering Acoustics. 2013, Springer. p. 239-267.
77. Amadasi, G., Cerniglia, A. and Duperray, B. *Experimental Determination of Poro-Elastic Properties of Materials Commonly Used For Noise And Vibration Control*. 2008: p. 2457-2464.
78. Kino, N., et al., Investigation of non-acoustical parameters of compressed melamine foam materials. *Applied Acoustics*, 2009. **70**(4): p. 595-604.
79. Allard, J.F. *Porous Materials with Perforated Facings, in Propagation of Sound in Porous Media*. 1993, Springer. p. 220-253.
80. Johnson, D.L., Koplik, J. and Dashen, R. Theory of Dynamic Permeability and Tortuosity in Fluid-Saturated Porous Media. *Journal of fluid mechanics*, 1987. **176**: p. 379-402.
81. Champoux, Y. and Allard, J.F. Dynamic Tortuosity and Bulk Modulus in Air-Saturated Porous Media. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 1991. **70**(4): p. 1975-1979.
82. Cummings, A. and Beadle, S. Acoustic Properties of Reticulated Plastic Foams. *Journal of sound and vibration*, 1994. **175**(1): p. 115-133.
83. Bell, L.H. and Bell, D. *Industrial Noise Control, Fundamentals and Applications, Revised and Expanded*. Mechanical Engineering, Marcel Dekker, Inc, 1994. **13**.
84. Takahashi, Y., Otsuru, T. and Tomiku, R. In Situ Measurements of Surface Impedance and Absorption Coefficients of Porous Materials Using Two Microphones and Ambient Noise. *Applied Acoustics*, 2005. **66**(7): p. 845-865.

85. Kang, C., et al., A Comparison of the Standing Wave and Two Microphone Methods in Measuring the Sound Absorption Coefficient of Wood., 2006. **51**(1): p. 1-4.
86. Chung, J. and Blaser, D. Transfer Function Method of Measuring in-Duct Acoustic Properties. I. Theory. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 1980. **68**(3): p. 907-913.
87. Biot, M. General Solutions of the Equations of Elasticity and Consolidation for a Porous Material. *J. appl. Mech*, 1956. **23**(1): p. 91-96.
88. Biot, M.A. Theory of Propagation of Elastic Waves in a Fluid-Saturated Porous Solid. I. Low-Frequency Range. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 1956. **28**(2): p. 168-178.
89. Biot, M. Generalized Theory of Acoustic Propagation in Porous Dissipative Media. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 1962. **34**(9A): p. 1254-1264.
90. Attenborough, K. The Prediction of Oblique-Incidence Behaviour of Fibrous Absorbents. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 1971. **14**(2): p. 183-191.
91. Attenborough, K. The Influence of Microstructure on Propagation in Porous Fibrous Absorbents. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 1971. **16**(3): p. 419-442.
92. Attenborough, K. and Walker, L. Scattering Theory for Sound Absorption in Fibrous Media. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 1971. **49**(5A): p. 1331-1338.
93. Attenborough, K. Acoustical Characteristics of Rigid Fibrous Absorbents and Granular Materials. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 1983. **73**(3): p. 785-799.
94. Dunn, I. and Davern, W. Calculation of Acoustic Impedance of Multi-Layer Absorbers. *Applied acoustics*, 1986. **19**(5): p. 321-334.
95. Attenborough, K. and Buser, O. On the Application of Rigid-Porous Models to Impedance Data for Snow. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 1988. **124**(2): p. 315-327.
96. Allard, J.F., et al., Evaluation of Tortuosity in Acoustic Porous Materials Saturated by Air. *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 1994. **65**(3): p. 754-755.
97. Attenborough, K., Sabatier, J.M. and Frederickson, C. The Sound Field within a Rigid Porous Layer. *Applied Acoustics*, 1995. **45**(4): p. 283-296.

98. Marco, O., Buser, O. and Villemain, P. Analysis of a Rigid Frame Model of Porous Media for the Acoustic Properties of Dense Snow. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 1996. **196**(4): p. 439-451.
99. Göransson, P. A 3-D, Symmetric, Finite Element Formulation of the Biot Equations with Application to Acoustic Wave Propagation Through an Elastic Porous Medium. *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, 1998. **41**(1): p. 167-192.
100. Castagnede, B., et al., Effects of Compression on the Sound Absorption of Fibrous Materials. *Applied Acoustics*, 2000. **61**(2): p. 173-182.
101. Kidner, M.R. and Hansen, C.H. A Comparison and Review of Theories of the Acoustics of Porous Materials. *International Journal of Acoustics and Vibration*, 2008. **13**(3): p. 112-119.
102. Kino, N. and Ueno, T. Comparisons between Characteristic Lengths and Fibre Equivalent Diameters in Glass Fibre and Melamine Foam Materials of Similar Flow Resistivity. *Applied Acoustics*, 2008. **69**(4): p. 325-331.
103. Bo, Z. and Tianning, C. Calculation of Sound Absorption Characteristics of Porous Sintered Fiber Metal. *Applied Acoustics*, 2009. **70**(2): p. 337-346.
104. Bolt, R.H. On the Design of Perforated Facings for Acoustic Materials. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 1947. **19**(5): p. 917-921.
105. Maa, D. Y. Microperforated-Panel Wideband Absorbers. *Noise Control Eng. J.*, 1987. **29**: p. 77-84.
106. Ingard, K.U. *Notes on Sound Absorption Technology(Book)*. Poughkeepsie, NY: Noise Control Foundation, 1994.
107. Chen, K. T. Study on the Acoustic Transmission Loss of a Rigid Perforated Screen. *Applied Acoustics*, 1996. **47**(4): p. 303-318.
108. Zhou, H., Li, B. and Huang, G. Sound Absorption Characteristics of Polymer Microparticles. *Journal of Applied Polymer Science*, 2006. **101**(4): p. 2675-2679.
109. Atalla, N. and Sgard, F. Modeling of Perforated Plates and Screens Using Rigid Frame Porous Models. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, 2007. **303**(1): p. 195-208.
110. Rowell, R.M., Han, J.S. and Rowell, J.S. Characterization and factors effecting fiber properties. *Natural Polymers and Agrofibers Bases Composites.*, 2000: p. 115-134.

111. Stevens, E.S. *Green Plastics: an Introduction to the New Science of Biodegradable Plastics*. 2002: Princeton University Press.
112. Ballagh, K. Acoustical Properties of Wool. *Applied Acoustics*, 1996. **48**(2): p. 101-120.
113. Bolton, J.S., Olivieri, O. and Yoo, T. *Measurement of Transmission Loss of Materials Using a Standing Wave Tube*. 2006.
114. Barnard, A.R. and Rao, M.D. Measurement of Sound Transmission Loss Using a Modified Four Microphone Impedance Tube. *Proceedings of the ASME Noise Control and Acoustics Division (Noise-Con'04)*, 2004.
115. Collings, S. and Stewart, K. *Building Material Panel Transmission Loss Evaluation using an Impedance Tube*. 2011.
116. Attenborough, K. Models for the Acoustical Characteristics of Air Filled Granular Materials. *Acta Acust*, 1993. **1**: p. 213-226.
117. Wang, C. N., Kuo, Y. M. and Chen, S. K. Effects of Compression on the Sound Absorption of Porous Materials with an Elastic Frame. *Applied Acoustics*, 2008. **69**(1): p. 31-39.
118. Allard, J.F. and Daigle, G. Propagation of Sound in Porous Media: Modeling Sound Absorbing Materials. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 1994. **95**(5): p. 2785-2785.
119. Castagnède, B., et al., Parametric Study of the Influence of Compression on The Acoustical Absorption Coefficient of Automotive Felts. *Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences-Series IIB-Mechanics*, 2001. **329**(2): p. 125-130.
120. Zent, A. and Long, J.T. *Automotive Sound Absorbing Material Survey Results*. 2007, SAE Technical Paper.
121. Zulkifli, R. and Nor, M.J. Noise Control Using Coconut Coir Fiber Sound Absorber with Porous Layer Backing and Perforated Panel. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, 2010. **7**(2): p. 260.
122. Bradley, J. *Acoustical measurements in some Canadian Homes*. 1986: National Research Council Canada, Institute for Research in Construction.
123. Saif, M.A.E.G. and Seddek, H. *The Sound Transmission Class For Different Constructions of Gypsum Board*. 2010.
124. Komatsu, T. Improvement of the Delany-Bazley and Miki Models for Fibrous Sound-Absorbing Materials. *Acoustical Science and Technology*, 2008. **29**(2): p. 121-129.

125. Kino, N. and Ueno, T. Investigation of Sample Size Effects in Impedance Tube Measurements. *Applied Acoustics*, 2007. **68**(11): p. 1485-1493.
126. Lee, Y. and Joo, C. Sound Absorption Properties of Recycled Polyester Fibrous Assembly Absorbers. *AUTEX Research Journal*, 2003. **3**(2): p. 78-84.
127. Dias, T., Monaragala, R. and Soleimani, M. Acoustic Response of a Curved Active PVDF-Paper/Fabric Speaker for Active Noise Control of Automotive Interior Noise. *Measurement Science and Technology*, 2007. **18**(5): p. 1521.
128. Sun, F., Banks-Lee, P. and Peng, H. Sound Absorption in an Anisotropic Periodically Layered Fluid-Saturated Porous Medium. *Applied Acoustics*, 1993. **39**(1): p. 65-76.
129. Nor, M.J.M., Jamaludin, N. and Tamiri, F.M. A Preliminary Study of Sound Absorption Using Multi-Layer Coconut Coir Fibers. *Electronic Journal Technical Acoustics*, 2004. **3**: p. 1-8.
130. Li, B., Zhou, H. and Huang, G. A Novel Impedance Matching Material Derived From Polymer Micro-Particles. *Journal of Materials Science*, 2007. **42**(1): p. 199-206.
131. Asdrubali, F. *Survey on the Acoustical Properties of New Sustainable Materials for Noise Control*. Euronoise, 2006. **30**.
132. Stanciu, M.D., et al., Evaluation of Absorption Coefficient of Biodegradable Composite Materials with Textile Inserts. *Romanian Journal of Acoustics & Vibration*, 2011. **8**(2).