

FOAMS STABILIZED BY IN-SITU SURFACE
ACTIVATION OF SILICA MICRO-PARTICLES WITH
SURFACTANT

LAM KOK KEONG

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

FOAMS STABILIZED BY IN-SITU SURFACE ACTIVATION OF SILICA MICRO-
PARTICLES WITH SURFACTANT

LAM KOK KEONG

A report submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
M.Sc. Petroleum Engineering

Faculty of Petroleum and Renewable Energy Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

FEBRUARY 2013

To my beloved Mother and Father

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to take this opportunity to express my gratitude to everyone who has been assisting me in preparing this master project report during this semester. First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Ahmad Kamal Bin Idris for his continuous support, advises, motivation, encouragement and invaluable guidance. Not to forget, I also would like to highly express my gratitude to Prof Hadi, researcher from Ibnu Sina, for providing one of the main raw chemicals that is CAB-O-SIL M5 Fumed Silica to support this master project research. Besides that, I would like to appreciate the help from Dr. Salasiah, Nur Izzatie Hannah and Cassy from the Applied Chemistry Department for the sharing of knowledge on the surface properties of the silica molecules with the fluids. I would also like to convey my special appreciation to my parents for all the mental support and motivation to help me get through the challenges I faced throughout this master project. My exclusive appreciation is also extended to the Faculty of Petroleum and Renewable Energy Engineering, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for creating a platform of education opportunity. Last but not least, I am very appreciative for all my friends who provide support directly and indirectly in assisting me to complete this project.

ABSTRACT

Concept of applying gas foam in EOR was first proposed for gas mobility control to alleviate the challenges of gas flooding and WAG process due to its high viscosity and low mobility. Like surfactant, colloidal particles can be employed as surface-active agent to stabilize the foams and can provide better stability due to its irreversible adsorption to the interface. To get ultra-stable particle stabilized foams/emulsions, the surface wettability of commercial raw colloidal particles should be modified (surface activation) from hydrophilic to partially hydrophobic. However, the studies on the in-situ surface activation for the micro-particles are yet to be widely performed. Therefore, the in-situ surface activation of unmodified CAB-O-SIL fumed silica micro-particles by using anionic surfactant such as Sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate (SDBS) and alpha olefin sulfonate (AOS) has been studied. Its effects on the foamability and foam stability of dispersed solution were analyzed. The research methodology involves the characterization of aqueous foams, measurement of adsorption of particles at the air-water interface, and measurement of surface tensions. The independent variables are concentration of anionic surfactants, concentration of silica micro-particles whereas the dependent variables are foam volume, foam quality, surface tension, and percentage of particles adsorbed at interface. The results showed that hydrophilic silica micro-particles can be surface activated by interacting with anionic surfactants resulting to synergistic effect in foamability and foam stability. The positive charges on the silica micro-particles interact with negative charges of anionic surfactant to generate electrostatic interaction. This generates adsorption of surfactant at the particle-water interface making the particles to be surface active and bubble more stable. SDBS is more efficient anionic surfactant for the surface activation of silica micro-particles compared to that of AOS.

ABSTRAK

Konsep yang menggunakan buih gas dalam EOR diperkenalkan bagi kawalan pergerakan gas supaya dapat mengatasi masalah yang dihadapi dalam pembajiran gas dan proses WAG. Zarah koloid boleh digunakan sebagai ejen pengaktifan permukaan untuk menstabilkan buih dan boleh memberikan kestabilan buih yang lebih baik. Ini adalah disebabkan penjerapan zarah yang sehalal di antara permukaan air dan udara. Bagi mendapatkan ultra stabil buih/emulsi yang dihasilkan oleh zarah-zarah, kebolehasan permukaan zarah koloid yang didapati dalam pasaran mesti diubah daripada hidrofilik kepada separuh hidrofobik. Namun demikian, kajian tentang pengaktifan permukaan secara in-situ bagi mikro-zarah belum dijalankan secara luas. Oleh itu, kajian tentang permukaan “CAB-O-SIL fumed silica” yang diaktifkan secara in-situ dengan surfactant anionik seperti “Sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate” (SDBS) and “alpha olefin sulfonate” (AOS) dijalankan. Kesan-kesannya dalam keboleh-buihan dan kestabilan buih juga dikaji. The metodologi kajian sains melibatkan pencirian buih-buih, pengiraan peratusan penjerapan zarah di antara permukaan air-udara, pengiraan ketegangan permukaan. Pembolehubah bebas dalam kajian ini ialah kepekatan surfactant anionik, kepekatan silika mikro-zarah. Pembolehubah tak bebas ialah isipadu buih, kualiti buih, ketegangan permukaan, dan peratusan zarah yang terjerap antara permukaan air-udara. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa silika mikro-zarah yang hidrofilik boleh diaktifkan permukaannya dengan menginteraksikan dengan surfactant anionik dan menyebabkan kesan sinergistik dalam keboleh-buihan dan kestabilan buih. Caj positif di permukaan silika mikro-zarah berinteraksi dengan caj negatif surfactant anionik dan menjanakan elektostatic interaksi. Interaksi ini menyebabkan penjerapan surfactant di permukaan antara air-udara dan juga mengaktifkan permukaan zarah dan menghasilkan buih yang lebih stabil. SDBS

dibuktikan bahawa lebih efektif sebagai agen pengaktifan permukaan bagi silika surfactant mikro-zarah daripada AOS.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	DECLARATION	ii
	DEDICATION	iii
	ACKNOWLEDGMENT	iv
	ABSTRACT	v
	ABSTRAK	vi
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
	LIST OF TABLES	xii
	LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
	LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xvii
	LIST OF SYMBOLS	xviii
	LIST OF APPENDICES	xx
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background of Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	4
	1.3 Objectives	5
	1.4 Scope of Work	6
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1 Gas Flooding	7
	2.2 Mobility Control in Gas Flooding	8
	2.3 Foams	9
	2.3.1 Foam Classification	10

2.3.1.1	Bulk Foam	10
2.3.1.2	Foam in a Porous Media	12
2.3.2	Foam Properties	14
2.3.2.1	Foam Quality	15
2.3.2.2	Foam Texture	17
2.3.2.3	Foam Rheology	18
2.3.3	Mechanisms of Foam Generation	19
2.3.3.1	Snap-off	19
2.3.3.2	Lamella Division	21
2.3.3.3	Leave Behind	22
2.3.4	Mechanisms of Foam Destruction	24
2.3.4.1	In Absence of Oil	24
2.3.4.2	In Presence of Oil	25
2.3.5	Fluid Mobility with Foam	25
2.3.5.1	Gas Mobility with Foam	25
2.3.5.2	Liquid Mobility with Foam	26
2.3.6	Foam Stability	27
2.3.6.1	Film Drainage	27
2.3.6.2	Gas Diffusion	29
2.3.6.3	Disjoining Pressure	30
2.3.6.4	Oil Effect	31
2.3.6.5	Surfactant Concentration	32
2.3.6.6	Salinity	32
2.3.6.7	Temperature	33
2.4	Surfactant – Foaming Agent	33
2.5	Solid Particle-Stabilized Emulsions/Foams	34
2.6	Mechanism of Surface Activation	36
2.6.1	Homogeneous Surface Coating	37
2.6.2	Janus Particles	37
2.6.3	In-Situ Surface Activation	38
2.7	Anionic Surfactant and in-situ Surface Activation	38

2.8	Chapter Summary	39
3	METHODOLOGY	40
3.1	Equipment	40
3.1.1	KRÜSS: Tensiometer EasyDyne	41
3.1.2	Orbital Shaker	42
3.2	Material	43
3.2.1	CAB-O-SIL M-5 Fumed Silicas	43
3.2.2	Sodium Dodecyl Benzene Sulfonate (SDBS)	44
3.2.3	Alpha Olefin Sulfonate (AOS)	44
3.2.4	De-ionized Water (DI water)	45
3.3	Methods	45
3.3.1	Characteridfzation of Aqueous Foams	46
3.3.2	Measurement of Accumulation of Silica Micro- particles at the Air-Water Interface	48
3.3.3	Measurement of Surface Tension	48
3.4	Chapter Summary	49
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	50
4.1	Characterization of Aqueous Foams	50
4.1.1	Foamability and Foam Stability	50
4.1.1.1	SDBS & Silica Micro-particles	51
4.1.1.2	AOS & Silica Micro-particles	56
4.1.2	Synergistic Effects on Foamability and Foam Stability	60
4.1.3	Foam Quality	65
4.2	Measurement of Accumulation of Silica Micro-particles at the Air-Water Interface	67
4.3	Measurement of Surface Tension	69
4.4	Chapter Summary	71

5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	72
	5.1 Conclusions	72
	5.2 Recommendations	74
	REFERENCES	75
	APPENDIX A - E	82 - 103

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Foam Quality (Friedmann and Jensen, 1986)	17
4.1	Effects of concentration of silica micro-particles on the C_{opt} (S_f) and maximum S_f	65
4.2	Effects of concentration of silica micro-particles on the C_{opt} (S_{fs}) and maximum S_{fs}	65
4.3	Minimum concentration of surfactant SDBS and AOS to get stable foam at different concentration of silica micro-particles	67
A.1	Foam volume generated at various concentration of surfactant SDBS with 0 ppm and 5,000 ppm silica micro-particles.	83
A.2	Foam volume generated at various concentration of surfactant SDBS with 10,000 ppm and 20,000 ppm silica micro-particles.	84
A.3	Foam volume generated at various concentration of surfactant AOS with 0 ppm and 5,000 ppm silica micro-particles.	85
A.4	Foam volume generated at various concentration of surfactant AOS with 10,000 ppm and 20,000 ppm silica micro-particles.	86
B.1	Synergistic effect on foamability of surfactant SDBS and AOS at the presence of 5,000 ppm micro-particles.	88
B.2	Synergistic effect on foamability of surfactant SDBS and	89

	AOS at the presence of 10,000 ppm micro-particles.	
B.3	Synergistic effect on foamability of surfactant SDBS and AOS at the presence of 20,000 ppm micro-particles.	90
B.4	Synergistic effect on foam stability of surfactant SDBS and AOS at the presence of 5,000 ppm micro-particles.	91
B.5	Synergistic effect on foam stability of surfactant SDBS and AOS at the presence of 10,000 ppm micro-particles.	92
B.6	Synergistic effect on foam stability of surfactant SDBS and AOS at the presence of 20,000 ppm micro-particles.	93
C.1	Foam quality for SDBS at the presence of silica micro-particles with concentration of 0 ppm, 5,000 ppm, 10,000 ppm and 20,000 ppm	95
C.2	Foam quality for AOS at the presence of silica micro-particles with concentration of 0 ppm, 5,000 ppm, 10,000 ppm and 20,000 ppm	96
D.1	Calculation steps for the percentage of particles adsorbed at the air-water interface for surfactant SDBS	98
D.2	Calculation steps for the percentage of particles adsorbed at the air-water interface for surfactant AOS	99
E.1	Raw data obtained from the KRÜSS Tensiometer EasyDyne for surfactant SDBS without and with silica micro-particles.	101
E.2	Raw data obtained from the KRÜSS Tensiometer EasyDyne for surfactant AOS without and with silica micro-particles.	102

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	An Illustration of the Typical Foam System (Schramm, 1994)	10
2.2	A Schematic Illustration of the Surfactant Molecules Adsorbed on the Surface Creating the Lamella (Shen, 2006)	11
2.3	The Curve of Disjoining Pressure vs Lamella Thickness (Shen, 2006)	12
2.4	Schematic Illustration of Continuous and Discontinuous Gas Flow in Porous Media in the Presence of Foam (Tanzil, 2001)	14
2.5	A Curve of Relationship between the Foam Quality and Foam Viscosity (Bullen <i>et al.</i> , 1975)	16
2.6	Snap-off mechanism of bubble generation mechanically (Kovscek and Radke 1994). (a) Gas enters the pore throat that is filled with liquid. (b) liquid film swells at the pore throat. (c) the snap off repeated continually	20
2.7	Lamella division mechanisms (a) lamella stretches at a splitting point (b) two lamellae form (Kovscek and Radke 1994)	22
2.8	Schematic of lamellae creation by Leave-Behind Method. Rock grains are represented in lined circles, liquid in gray color, and gas in white color (Kovscek and Radke 1994)	23
2.9	Pressure difference between the foam lamella surface (Schramm, 1994)	29
2.10	(Upper) Schematic diagram of position of particles at fluid-water	36

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
	interface for a contact angle $\Theta < 90^\circ$ (left), $\Theta \sim 90^\circ$ (center), and $\Theta > 90^\circ$ (right). (Lower) Corresponding emulsion morphology o/w emulsions are favored ($\Theta < 90^\circ$; left), and w/o are expected ($\Theta > 90^\circ$; right) (Binks, 2002)	
3.1	KRÜSS Tensiometer EasyDyne	41
3.2	Orbital shaker	42
4.1	Foam volume of SDBS solutions at different concentration without silica micro-particles	52
4.2	Foam volume of SDBS solutions at different concentration with 5,000 ppm silica micro-particles	52
4.3	Foam volume of SDBS solutions at different concentration with 10,000 ppm silica micro-particles	53
4.4	Foam volume of SDBS solutions at different concentration with 20,000 ppm silica micro-particles	53
4.5	Comparisons of Foam volume of SDBS solutions at different concentration with and without 5,000 ppm silica micro-particles	54
4.6	Comparisons of Foam volume of SDBS solutions at different concentration with and without 10,000 ppm silica micro-particles	55
4.7	Comparisons of Foam volume of SDBS solutions at different concentration with and without 20,000 ppm silica micro-particles	55
4.8	Foam volume of AOS solutions at different concentration without silica micro-particles	56
4.9	Foam volume of AOS solutions at different concentration with 5,000 ppm silica micro-particles	57
4.10	Foam volume of AOS solutions at different concentration with 10,000 ppm silica micro-particles	57
4.11	Foam volume of AOS solutions at different concentration with 20,000 ppm silica micro-particles	58

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
4.12	Comparisons of Foam volume of AOS solutions at different concentration with and without 5,000 ppm silica micro-particles	59
4.13	Comparisons of Foam volume of AOS solutions at different concentration with and without 10,000 ppm silica micro-particles	59
4.14	Comparisons of Foam volume of AOS solutions at different concentration with and without 20,000 ppm silica micro-particles	60
4.15	Effects of Surfactant concentration with 5,000 ppm silica micro-particles on foamability (S_f)	61
4.16	. Effects of Surfactant concentration with 5,000 ppm silica micro-particles on foam stability (S_{fs})	62
4.17	Effects of Surfactant concentration with 10,000 ppm silica micro-particles on foamability (S_f)	62
4.18	Effects of Surfactant concentration with 10,000 ppm silica micro-particles on foam stability (S_{fs})	63
4.19	Effects of Surfactant concentration with 20,000 ppm silica micro-particles on foamability (S_f)	63
4.20	Effects of Surfactant concentration with 20,000 ppm silica micro-particles on foam stability (S_{fs})	64
4.21	Foam quality of foam generated at various surfactant concentration of SDBS at different concentration of silica micro-particles	66
4.22	Foam quality of foam generated at various surfactant concentration of AOS at different concentration of silica micro-particles	66
4.23	Fractions of Silica Micro-particles adsorbed at the air-water surface at different SDBS and AOS concentration	69
4.24	Surface tension of SDBS solutions with and without 20,000 ppm silica micro-particles	70
4.25	Surface tension of AOS solutions with and without 20,000 ppm silica micro-particles	71

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AOS	-	alpha olefin sulfonate
CMC	-	Critical Micelle Concentration
CO ₂	-	Carbon dioxide
EOR	-	Enhanced oil recovery
HLB	-	Hydrophile-lipophile
OPEC	-	Organization of petroleum exporting countries
ROS	-	Residual oil saturation
SDBS	-	Sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate
WAG	-	Water alternate gas flooding

LIST OF SYMBOLS

C_{\min}	-	Minimum concentration of surfactant needed to stabilize the foam
$C_{\min}(Q_b)$	-	Minimum surfactant concentration needed to get stable foam based on the foam quality
$C_{\text{opt}}(S_f)$	-	Optimum surfactant concentration that contributes to maximum synergistic effect on foamability
$C_{\text{opt}}(S_{fs})$	-	Optimum surfactant concentration that contributes to maximum synergistic effect on foam stability
Θ	-	contact angle at the fluid-water interface
P_A	-	Liquid pressure at center lamella
P_B	-	Liquid pressure at Plateau border
P_{CA}	-	Capillary pressure at center lamella
P_{CB}	-	Capillary pressure at Plateau border
P_G	-	Pressure in gas- phase
Q_b	-	Foam quality
R	-	Radius of curvature
R_{1A}	-	radius of curvature at the lamella center
R_{1B}	-	radius of curvature at the plateau border
S_f	-	Synergistic effect on foamability (%)
S_{fs}	-	Synergistic effect on foam stability (%)
T	-	Room Temperature
V_0	-	Foam volume generated immediately after shaken
V_0^{P+S}	-	Foam volume generated immediately using surfactant with particles only after shaken

V_0^S	-	Foam volume generated immediately using surfactant only after shaken
V_{30}	-	Foam volume after 30 minutes
V_{30}^{P+S}	-	Foam volume generated using surfactant with particles only after 30 minutes
V_{30}^S	-	Foam volume generated using surfactant only after 30 minutes
V_g	-	Gas foam volume
V_L	-	Liquid solution volume
w	-	Amount of silica micro-particles drained into the beaker
w_0	-	Amount of silica micro-particles initially added to the dispersion
x (%)	-	Percentage of silica particles adsorbed at the air-water interface
σ	-	Interfacial tension

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Results of Characterization of Aqueous Foam (Foamability and Foam Stability)	85
B	Results of Characterization of Aqueous Foam (Synergistic effects on Foamability and Foam Stability)	90
C	Results of Characterization of Aqueous Foam (foam quality)	97
D	Results of Measurement of Accumulation of Particles at Air-Water Interface	100
E	Results of Measurement of Surface Tensions	103

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Energy demand increased significantly from 1996 to 2012 reaching 89.6 million barrels per day. Oil and gas has been the global leading energy generating resources. By 2035, it is expected by OPEC that the energy demand figure is increased to 109.7 million barrels per day. It is due to the growing energy demand from developing countries. Nowadays, the global oil supply is gradually outpaced by the global oil demand and it imposes a threat of energy security in the world. On the other hand, it becomes harder to discover new oil well to substitute the produced reserves (Alvarado and Manrique, 2010).

Therefore, enhanced oil recovery (EOR) methods are the key techniques applied to increase the oil recovery from the existing oil reservoirs, and to increase the oil field production life cycle by mobilizing the remaining trapped oil. Enhanced oil recovery (EOR) involves the injection of fluids that are not present in the underground reservoir to mobilize the immobile remaining oil (Lake, 1989). There are several common types

of enhanced oil recovery techniques that are chemical flooding, thermal recovery processes, gas flooding, microbial enhanced oil recovery and vibro-seismic technology.

Gas flooding is one of the most widely applied EOR methods in field applications. Gas flooding is normally applied on the reservoir that has been water-flooded. The type of gas injected in this method can be hydrocarbon (light natural gas) and non-hydrocarbon. The non-hydrocarbon gas can be nitrogen, carbon dioxide, flue gas, hydrogen sulfide, and others. The fundamental mechanism of gas flooding method is to increase microscopic sweep efficiency of the oil displacement and reduce the residual oil saturation (Lake, 1989).

The main issues of the gas flooding are the viscous fingering and gravity segregation of displacing gas due to frontal instability. To mitigate these problems, water alternating gas injection (WAG) has been used in several field applications (Dicharry et al, 1973, Warner, 1977). One of the drawbacks is that the contact between the resident oil (displaced fluid) and injected gas (displacing fluid) is blocked by the large quantity of injected water leading to a larger ROS. This water-blocking phenomenon is more detrimental in water-wet reservoir or the reservoir that has been water-flooded previously as secondary recovery technique (Stalkup, 1970). Some of the injected gas (such as CO₂) has high solubility in water and it increases the consumption of that gas making the project less economically feasible.

Concept of applying gas foam for mobility control was first proposed by Bond and Holbrook (1958). To alleviate the challenges of gas flooding and WAG process, foam flooding using the injected gas can be the potential solution to it (Fried, 1961; Kovscek and Radke, 1994; Rossen, 1996; Du *et al.*, 2007; Farajzadeh *et al.*, 2009). It is very useful applying foams in EOR techniques to improve displacement efficiency because of its high viscosity and mobility during the displacement across a porous a

medium (Green *et al.*, 1998). Extensive experimental studies were performed on using surfactant as the foam generation agent to stabilize the foam to reduce the gas mobility in gas flooding (Kim *et al.*, 2005). However, there are several weaknesses on surfactant-stabilized foam that are high surfactant retention in porous media, relatively low foam stability, and degradation of surfactant at reservoir condition (Kim *et al.*, 2004; Ransohoff *et al.*, 1988; Wang, 1984).

Solid particles can be employed as surface-active agent to stabilize the foams besides surfactant. Like the surfactant, the solid particles can be adsorbed at the interface between aqueous and non-aqueous phases, which makes it surface active. The solid-stabilized foams have been applied in a variety of industries due to its higher adhesion energy for the particle adsorption at the foam interface. Surfactants and solid particles have been compared for their ability to stabilize foams/emulsions (Binks *et al.*, 2002, Horozov 2008). Furthermore, colloidal particles can provide better stability because when the colloidal particles are held at the interface, the adsorption can be irreversible and the particles are not easily desorbed from the interface. Bink and Horozov (2005) reported that a particle with size of larger than 100 nm could be irreversibly adsorbed on the interface. Furthermore, Wilson (1980), and Sun and Gao (2002) reported that solid micro-particles with size of several μm could be employed as the foam stabilizer to generate stable foam or emulsion dispersion.

However, the raw commercial particles are normally not surface active at most of the liquid interfaces. For silica particles, they are normally extremely hydrophilic. To get ultra-stable particle stabilized foams/emulsions, the particle surface must be activated by the wettability modification. The surface activation can be performed by several methods: homogeneous surface coating, Janus particles and in-situ surface activation. Homogeneous surface coating can be done on the silica by having surface silylation to modify the surface hydrophobicity to be more hydrophobic but it costs highly in commercial scales. Janus particles is the particles at which part of surface is coated to be

hydrophilic and part of the surface is treated to be hydrophobic but there is a limitation to have large commercial scale of production. In-situ surface activation is the well – known as an easy wettability modification method, which is to just interact the particles with amphiphilic compounds. In-situ surface activation can be relatively less complicated and much cheaper methods to produce the surface-active particles.

Cui *et al.* (2010) highlighted that the unmodified silica particle can be surface activated by the anionic surfactant. The anionic surfactant can provide the negative charges to have electrostatic interaction and form monolayer adsorption of the surfactant at the particle-water interface. The electrostatic interaction modifies the surface properties of the particle from hydrophilic to partially hydrophobic forming an ultra-stable foam or emulsion stabilizer.

1.2 Problem Statement

The implication of foam in mobility control was brought up by Bond and Holbrook (1958). One of the foaming agents commonly used is surfactant. There were many extensive research efforts carried out on surfactant-stabilized foams and they presented several weaknesses that are unstable foam properties, high surfactant retention in porous media and surfactant degradation at reservoir condition (Kim *et al.*, 2004; Ransohoff *et al.*, 1988; Wang, 1984). The surfactants of small molecular weight had been widely researched and applied in the industries; solid particulate stabilizers pique the interest of researchers in their applications.

Bink and Horozov (2005) reported that a particle with size of larger than 100 nm could be irreversibly adsorbed on the interface. Furthermore, Binks (2005) highlighted that there are only two examples in which solid micro-particles are used as foam stabilizers. The functions of micro-particles were reported by Wilson (1980), and Sun and Gao (2002) to be used as the effective foam stabilizer to generate stable foam or emulsion dispersion. However, the raw commercial micro-particles are not surface-active and highly hydrophilic. To obtain ultra-stable particle stabilized foam or emulsion, the surface properties of the particles must be modified to be partially hydrophobic. Cui *et al.* (2010) shows that in-situ surface activation with anionic surfactant can efficiently increase the foamability and foam stability of the particles. However, the studies on the in-situ surface activation for the micro-particles are not widely performed.

1.3 Objectives

In this research, anionic surfactants such as Sodium dodecyl benzene sulfonate (SDBS) and alpha olefin sulfonate (AOS) are used as the in-situ surface activation agent for unmodified CAB-O-SIL fumed silicas with size range of 0.1 – 0.3 μm . The main objectives of this study are:

- To investigate the impact of the treated micro-particles on the foamability and foam stability
- To compare anionic surfactants SDBS and AOS and identify which of it is more efficient.

1.4 Scope of Work

The focus of this study is to examine the behavior of anionic surfactants on the foamability and foam stability without and with the presence of micro-particles. It is also to study the in-situ surface activation of the untreated CAB-O-SIL fumed silicas (micro-particles) by interaction with anionic surfactant, and to assess the impact on the foamability and foam stability of the aqueous dispersions.

REFERENCES

- Alkan, H., Goktekin, A. and Satman, A. A Laboratory Study Of CO₂-Foam Process For Bati Raman Field, Turkey, SPE Middle East Oil Show. November 16 – 19, 1991. Middle East Oil Show, Bahrain: SPE. 1991. 618 – 628.
- Alvarado, V., and Manrique, E. Enhanced Oil Recovery: An Update Review. *Energies*. 2010. 3(9): 1529-1575.
- Alvarez, J. M. *Foam-Flow Behavior in Porous Media: Effects of Flow Regime and Porous-Medium Heterogeneity*. Ph. D Dissertation. The University of Texas at Austin. 1998.
- Bernard, G. G., Holm, L. W., and Jacobs, W. L. Effect of Foam on Trapped Gas Saturation and on Permeability of Porous Media to Water. *SPE Journal*. 1965. 5(4): 295-300.
- Bikerman, J. J. *Foams*. New York: Springer-Verlag. 1973.
- Binks, B. P. and Clint, J. H. Solid Wettability from Surface Energy Components: relevance to Pickering Emulsions. *Langmuir*. 2002. 18(4): 1270-1273.
- Binks, B. P. and Horozov, T. S. Aqueous Foams Stabilized Solely by Silica Nanoparticles. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2005. 44(24): 3722-3725.
- Binks, B. P. and Lumsdon, S. O. Catastrophic Phase Inversion of Water-in-Oil Emulsions Stabilized by Hydrophobic Silica. *Langmuir*. 2000. 16(6): 2539-2547.
- Blauer, R.E. and Kohlhaas, C. A. Formation Fracturing with Foam. *Fall Meeting of the SPE of AIME*. October 6 -9, 1974. Texas, USA: SPE. 1974: 1-18.

- Bond, D.C., and Holbrook, O.C. *Gas Drive Oil Recovery Process*. U.S. Patent 2866507. 1958.
- Casagrande, C., Fare, P, Raphael, E. and Veyssié, M. Janus Beads-Realization and 1st Observation of Interfacial Properties. *C. R. Acad. Sci.* 1988. 206: 1423-1425.
- Chambers, K. T. and Radke, C. J. *Capillary Phenomena in Foam Flow Through Porous Media*. Morrow, N. R. (Editor). *Interfacial Phenomena in Petroleum Recovery*. New York: Marcel Dekker. 1991. Pp 191-255.
- Cui, G. C., Cui, Y. Z., Cui, C. F., Chen, Z. and Binks, B. P. Aqueous Foams Stabilized by in Situ Surface Activation of CaCO₃ Nanoparticles via Adsorption of Anionic Surfactant, *Langmuir*. 2010, 26 (15), 12567-12574.
- Dicharry, R. M., Perryman, T. L. and Ronquille, J. D. Evaluation and Design of a CO₂ Miscible Flood Project. SACRO Unit – Kelly-Snyder Field. *Journal of Petroleum Technology*. 1973. 25(11): 1309-1318.
- Du, D. X., Zitha, P. L. J. and Uijttenhout, M. G. H. Carbon Dioxide Foam Rheology In Porous Media: A CT Scan Study. *SPE Journal*. 2007. 12(2), 245-252.
- Ettinger, R. A. and Radke, C. J. Influence of Texture on Steady Foam Flow in Berea Sandstone. *SPE Reservoir Engineering*. 1992. 7(1): 83-90.
- Falls, A. H., Hirasaki, G. J., Patzek, T. W., Gauglitz, D. A., Miller, D. D., and Ratulowski, T. Development of a Mechanistic Foam Simulator: The Population Balance and Generation by Snap-off. *SPE Reservoir Engineering*. 1988. 3(3): 884-892.
- Farajzadeh, R., Andrianov, A., Bruining, H., Zitha, P. L. J. Comparative Study of CO₂ and N₂ Foams in Porous Media at Low and High Pressure-temperatures, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2009. 48 (9): 4542- 4552.
- Fones, J. B. and Adamson, A. W. Temperature Dependence of Contact Angle and of Interfacial Free Energies in the Asphthalene-Water-Air System. *Journal of Physical Chemistry*. 1968. Feb. 72 (2): 646-650.

- Fried, A. N. (1961) *The Foam Drive Process For Increasing The Recovery Of Oil*, Report of investigations 5866, U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines, Washington, D.C.
- Friedmann, F. and Jensen, J. A. Some Parameters Influencing the Formation and Propagation of Foam in Porous Media. *SPE California Regional Meeting*. April 2 – 4, 1986. Oakland, California: SPE. 1986.
- Green D.W. and Willhite, G.P. *Enhanced Oil Recovery. SPE Textbook Series, Volume 6*. Richardson, Texas, USA: SPE. 1998.
- Hjelmeland, O. S. and Larrondo, L. E. Experimental Investigation of the Effects of Temperature, Pressure and Crude Oil Composition on Interfacial Properties. *SPE Reservoir Engineering*. 1986; 1(4): 321-328.
- Horozov, T.S., Foams and Foam Films Stabilized by Solid Particles, *Current Opinion in Colloid & Interface Science*. 2008. 13(3): 134-140.
- International Energy Agency (IEA) (2012). *Oil Market Report 2012*.
- Israelachvili, J. N. *Intermolecular and Surface Forces: With Applications to Colloidal and Biological Systems*. Elsevier Science & Technology Books. 1991.
- Jimenez, A. T. and Radke, C. J., Goggin, D. J. and Corbett, P.W. M. *Statistics for Petroleum Engineers and Geoscientists*. 2nd Edition. Amsterdam, New Yoke. 2000.
- Khatib, Z. I., Hirasaki, G. J., and Falls, A. H. Effects of Capillary Pressure on Coalescence and Phase Mobilities in Foams Flowing through Porous Media. *SPE Reservoir Engineering*. 1988. 3(3): 916-926.
- Kim, J. S., Dong, Y., and Rossen, W. R. Steady-state flow behavior of CO₂ Foam. *SPE/DPE Symposium on Improved Oil Recovery*. Tulsa, Oklahoma: SPE. 2004. 405-415.
- Kim, J.S., Dong, Y., and Roseen, W. R. Steady-State Flow Behavior of CO₂ Foam. *SPE Journals*. 2005. 10(4): 405-415.
- Kovscek, A.R., and Radke, C.J. Fundamentals of Foam Transport in Porous Media. *Advances in Chemistry*. 1994. 242: 115-163.

- Kovscek, A.R., Patzek, T.W. and Radke, C.J. Simulation of Foam Transport in Porous Media. *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition*. October 3-6. Houston, Texas: SPE. 1993. 309-321.
- Krupke, R., Hennrich, F., Kappes, M. M. and Hilbert, V. L. Surface Conductance Induced Dielectrophoresis of Semiconducting Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes, *American Chemical Society*. 2004. 4(8): 1395-1399.
- Lake, L. W. *Enhanced Oil Recovery*. Englewood Cliff, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall. 1989.
- Manev, E. D., Sazdanova, S. V., Rao, A. A. and Wasan, D. T. The Foam Stability – The Effect of A Liquid Crystalline Phase on the Drainage and Transition Behavior of Foam Films. *Journal of Dispersion Science and Technology*. 1982. 3(4): 435-463.
- Marsden, S. S., Eerligh, J., J. J. P., Albrecht R. A. and David, A. Use of Foam in Petroleum Operations. *7th World Petroleum Congress*. April 2-9, 1967. Mexico City, Mexico: World Petroleum Congress. 1967. 235-242.
- McCaffery, F. G. Measurement of Interfacial Tensions and Contact Angles at High Temperature and Pressure. *Journal of Canadian Petroleum Technology*. 1972. 11(3): 26-32.
- Mitchell, B. J. *Viscosity of Foam*. Ph. D. Dissertation, University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma. 1970.
- Nguyen, N. M. *Systematic Study of Foam for Improving Sweep efficiency in Chemical Enhanced Oil Recovery*. Master of Science in Engineering Thesis. University of Texas at Austin. 2010.
- Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries, OPEC (2011). *World Oil Outlook 2011*.
- Owete, S. and Brigham, W. E. Flow Behavior of Foam: A Porous Micromodel Study. *SPE Reservoir Engineering*. 1987. 2(3): 315-323.
- Pickering, S. U. Emulsions. *J. Chem. Soc., Trans*. 1907, 91, 2001-2021.

- Poston, S. W., Ysrael, S., Hossian, A. K. M. S., Montgomery, E. F., and Ramey, H. J. The Effect of Temperature on Irreducible Water Saturation and Relative Permeability of Unconsolidated Sands. *SPE Journal*. 1970. 10(2): 171-180.
- Quoc P. Nguyen. *Dynamics of Foam in Porous Media*, PhD Dissertation, Delft University, Netherlands: 2004.
- Ransohoff, T. C., and Radke, C. J. U. of California. Mechanisms of Foam Generation in Glass-Bead Packs. *SPE Reservoir Engineering*. 1988. 3(2): 573-585.
- Rateman, K. T. An Investigation of Oil Destabilization of Nitrogen Foams in Porous Media. *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition*. October 8-11, 1989. San Antonio, Texas: SPE. 1989. 645-655.
- Raza, S.H. Foam in Porous Media: Characteristics and Potential Applications. *SPE Journal*. 1970. 328-336.
- Roof, J. G., Shell Development Co. Snap-Off of Oil Droplets in Water- Wet Pores. *SPE Journal*. 1970. 10(1): 85-90.
- Rosen, M.J. *Surfactants and Interfacial Phenomena*. Third Edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. 2004.
- Rossen, W. R *Foams in Enhanced Oil Recovery, In: Foams: Theory, Measurements and Applications*, R. K. Prud'homme and S. Khan (eds.). New York: Marcel Dekker 1996.
- Rossen, W. R. and Wang, M. W. Modeling Foams for Acid Diversion. *SPE Journal*. 1999. 4(2): 92-100.
- Rossen, W.R., and Van Duijn, C.J. Gravity Segregation in Steady-State Horizontal Flow in Homogeneous Reservoirs. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*. 2004. 43(1-2): 99-111.
- Satish, B. and Ahluwalia, J. C. Mixed-Surfactant System of Dodecylbenzene Sulfonate and Alpha-Olefin Sulfonate: Micellar and Volumetric Studies. *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society*. 1996. 73 (1): 39-45.

- Schramm, L. L. *Foams: Fundamentals and Applications in the Petroleum Industry*. Washington, DC. American Chemical Society. 1994.
- Schramm, L. L., Fisher, D. B., Schurch, S., and Cameron, A. A Captive Drop Instrument for Surface or Interfacial Tension Measurement at Elevated Temperatures and Pressures. *Colloids and Surfaces A.: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*. 1995. 94(2): 145-159.
- Shen, C. *Experimental And Simulation Study of Foam in Porous Media*. Ph.D Dissertation. The University of Texas at Austin. 2006.
- Somasundaran, P. & Huang, L. Adsorption/aggregation of Surfactants and Their Mixtures at Solid–liquid Interfaces. *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science*. 2000. 88(1-2): 179-208.
- Strivastava, M. *Foam Assisted Low Interfacial Tension Enhanced Oil Recovery*. Ph. D Dissertation. The University of Texas at Austin. 2010.
- Stalkup Jr., F.I. Displacement of Oil by Solvent at High Water Saturation. *SPE Journals*. 1970. 10(4): 337-48.
- Sun, Y. Q., and Gao, T. The Optimum Wetting Angle for the Stabilization of Liquid-Metal Foams by Ceramic Particles: Experimental Simulations. *Metall. Mater. Trans.* 2002. 33A: 3285-3292.
- Tang, G. Q. and Kovscek, A. R. Measurement and Theory of Gas Trapping in Porous Media during Steady-State Foam Flow. *SPE Annual Technical Conference and Exhibition*. September 26 – 29, 2004. Houston, Texas: SPE. 2004. 1-12.
- Tanzil, D. *Foam Generation and Propagation in Heterogeneous Porous Media*. Ph D Dissertation, Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA: 2001.
- Wang, G.C., U. of Alabama. A laboratory Study of CO₂ Foam Properties and Displacement Mechanism, *SPE Enhanced Oil Recovery Symposium*. April 15-18, 1984. Tulsa, Oklahoma, USA: SPE. 1984. 169-175.

- Wang, W. and Gupta, A. Investigation of the Effect of Temperature and Pressure on Wettability Using Modified Pendant Drop Method. *SPE Annual Technical Conference & Exhibition*. October 22 – 25, 1995. Dallas, Texas: SPE. 1995. 117-126.
- Warner, H.R. An Evaluation of Miscible CO₂ Flooding in Waterflood Sandstone Reservoirs. *Journal of Petroleum Technology*. 1977. 29(10): 1339-1347.
- Wilson, J. C. *A Study of Particulate Foams*. Ph. D. thesis, University of Bristol, UK: 1980.
- Yan, W. *Foam for Mobility Control on Alkaline/Surfactant Enhanced Oil Recovery Process*. Ph. D Dissertation, Rice University, Houston, Texas, USA: 2006.
- Zhou, Z. H., and Rossen, W. R. Applying Fractional-Flow Theory to Foam Processes at the 'Limiting Capillary Pressure. *SPE Advanced Technology Series*. 1995. 3(1):154-162.