RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN STATISTICAL PROCESS CONTROL CRITICAL SUCCESS FACTORS AND ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE

JAFRI BIN MOHD ROHANI

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Mechanical Engineering)

> Faculty of Mechanical Engineering Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > JANUARY 2015

Dedicated to my loving family and friends, who make all things seem possible

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful. First and foremost I am truly grateful for the blessings of Allah that gives me the strength to complete this thesis.

I would like to convey my highest gratitude to all my supervisors; Prof. Dr. Sha'ri Mohd Yusof and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Ismail Mohamad for their excellent supervision, encouragement, understanding and patience throughout my study. May Allah bless and reward all of them. Without them, my Ph.D experience would be a very difficult one. Also, special thanks to Ministry of Higher Education Malaysia (MOHE) and Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for their sponsorship on this research (Vot No. 71817).

Finally, I am most thankful to my parents for their support and encouragement. My special thanks to my beloved wife, Hashazah Mohd Hashim and my daughter, Nurin Izzati Jafri and my son Muhammad Irfan Jafri for their love, great patience and understanding throughout this study.

ABSTRACT

Statistical Process Control (SPC) is a statistical based techniques and methods used within the improvement based philosophy such as Total Quality Management (TQM) and Six Sigma. SPC research can be divided into two major categories: technical and methodological aspects and the organizational and the implementation aspects. Between the two, the organizational and the implementation aspects of SPC is almost being neglected and lack of attention being given by the researcher. Based on the literature, the SPC implementation research focuses on identifying factors for successful implementation. What is missing from this SPC implementation literature is the relationship of how these so called SPC critical factors affects quality and firm performance statistically and empirically. Therefore, the objective of this research is to establish the relationships between statistical process control (SPC) critical success factors (CSF) and quality and firm performance. Empirical data were collected from 326 responses from Malaysian automotive related companies using industrial survey research methodology. In study 1, Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) was performed using Promax rotation with Principle Axis Factoring to determine the underlying dimension of SPC success factors and organizational performance. Preliminary findings from EFA (n = 122)provided evidence for six (6) success factor constructs and three (3) organizational performance constructs. The six (6) success factor constructs are training, role of quality department, deployment, top management commitment, process focus and teamwork. In study 2, results from confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) (n = 204) provided additional support for results obtained from study 1. The structural equation modeling (SEM) techniques was employed to examine the relationship between these six (6) SPC critical success factors (CSF) and performance. The results show that there is a positive and significant relationship between these CSF and organizational performance. This research has a practical value in which quality and operation manager would be able to identify and relate the success of his or her SPC implementation projects through managing of these associated factors.

ABSTRAK

Kawalan proses statistik atau "Statistical Process Control (SPC)" adalah satu kaedah untuk meningkatkan kualiti proses dan merupakan kaedah utama dalam kualiti menyeluruh dan enam sigma.Penyelidikan dalam falsafah pengurusan kawalan proses statistik terbahagi kepada dua kategori, aspek teknikal dan metodologi dan aspek pelaksanaan dan organisasi. Di antara dua kategori ini, aspek pelaksanaan dan organisasi yang kurang mendapat perhatian oleh para penyelidik akan tetapi kajian banyak ditumpukan untuk mengenalpasti faktor kejayaan pelaksanaan SPC program. Walau bagaimanapun,tiada kajian setakat ini yang dapat menghubungkaitkan faktor kejayaan SPC dengan kualiti dan prestasi organisasi. Objektif kajian ini dilakukan ialah untuk mengkaji hubungkait faktor kejayaan kritikal SPC dengan prestasi organisasi dan kualiti. Data empirikal telah diambil dari 326 responden daripada syarikat berkaitan automotif di Malaysia menggunakan kaedah kajian soal-selidik industri. Dalam kajian pertama, "Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)" dengan putaran Promax dan "Principle Axis Factoring" digunakan untuk menentukan faktor kejayaan SPC dan prestasi organisasi. Penemuan awal daripada EFA (n = 122) mengenalpasti enam (6) faktor kejayaan SPC dan tiga (3) faktor prestasi organisasi. Enam (6) faktor kejayaan SPC tersebut ialah latihan, peranan jabatan kualiti, pelaksanaan, sokongan pihak atasan, fokus kepada proses dan kerja berpasukan. Dalam kajian kedua, keputusan daripada "Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA)" (n = 204) telah menyokong keputusan dapatan kajian pertama. Teknik "Structural Equation Modelling (SEM)" telah digunapakai untuk menghubungkait enam (6) faktor kejayaan SPC dengan prestasi organisasi. Keputusan menunjukkan terdapat hubungkait positif diantara faktor kejayaan SPC dan prestasi organisasi. Kajian ini mempunyai nilai praktikal yang tinggi kepada pengurus operasi dan kualiti organisasi dalam mengenalpasti faktor kejayaan SPC dan dapat menjangkakan faktor-faktor yang dapat menyumbangkan kejayaan dalam pelaksanaan program SPC dalam organisasi.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER		TITLE	PAGE
	DEC	LARATION	ii
	DED	ICATION	iii
	ACK	NOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
	ABS'	ГКАСТ	v
	ABS'	ГКАК	vi
	ТАВ	LE OF CONTENTS	vii
	LIST	COF TABLES	xi
	LIST	COF FIGURES	xiii
	LIST	OF APPENDICES	xiv
1	INTI	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Research Background	1
	1.2	Statement of the Problem	5
	1.3	Research Questions	5
	1.4	Objectives of the Study	6
	1.5	Scope of the Study	7
	1.6	Importance and Expected Contribution of Research	7
	1.7	Thesis Structure	8
	1.8	Definition of Terms	8
	1.9	Summary	10

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1	Introduction	11
2.2	SPC Implementation Issues	12
2.3	Critical Success Factors for SPC Implementation	15
2.4	Organizational Performance Measures	30
2.5	Previous Research on SPC Empirical Studies	33
2.6	The Empirical Studies of Relationship between	
	Organizational Factors and Performance	38

3 METHODOLOGY

45

11

3.1	Resea	rch Design	45
	3.1.1	Research Model and Hypothesis	45
3.2	Stages	s in the Research	49
3.3	Descr	iption of Survey Instrument	
	Const	ruction Methodology	50
	3.3.1	Stage I: Items Generation for Measuring	
		SPC Success Factor Constructs	52
	3.3.2	Stage I: Items Generation for Measuring	
		Organizational Performance	57
	3.3.3	Stage II: Instrument Development	60
	3.3.4	Stage III: Large Scale Survey to Evaluate	
		The Measurement Properties	62
3.4	Unit c	of Analysis	63
3.5	Surve	y Instrument	63
3.6	Data A	Analysis	70
3.7	Explo	ratory Factor Analysis	71
3.8	Struct	ural Equation Modeling	73
3.9	Summ	nary	75

2

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

ix

76

4.1	Introd	luction	76				
	4.1.1	Sample Demographic: Two-Stage Research	76				
4.2	Explo	Exploratory Factor Analysis for Success Factor					
	Const	ruct and Firm Performance Construct	82				
	4.2.1	Exploratory Factor Analysis of SPC					
		Success Factors	82				
	4.2.2	Reliability of Success Factors	86				
	4.2.3	Exploratory Factor Analysis of					
		Organizational Performance Factors	86				
	4.2.4	Reliability of Organizational					
		Performance Factors Constructs	89				
	4.2.5	Factor Structure of SPC Critical					
		Success Factors	89				
	4.2.6	Factor Structure of Organizational					
		Performance	93				
4.3	Statist	Statistical Analysis Procedure for Performing					
	Confi	Confirmatory Factor Analysis					
	and St	tructural Equation Modelling	96				
	4.3.1	Testing the Assumption of					
		Multivariate Analysis	97				
	4.3.2	Confirmatory Factor Analysis for					
		Success Factors Construct	98				
	4.3.3	Convergent and Discriminant Validity					
		of Success Factor Construct	100				
	4.3.4	Assessment of the Construct Reliability	103				
	4.3.5	Confirmatory Factor Analysis for Success					
		Factor Construct: A Second-Order Model	104				
	4.3.6	Confirmatory Factor Analysis for					
		Organizational Performance Construct	106				
	4.3.7	Convergent and Discriminant					
		Validity of Organizational Performance					
		Construct	108				

4

	4.3.8	Assessment of Construct Reliability	110
	4.3.9	Confirmatory Factor Analysis for	
		Organizational Performance Construct:	
		A Second-order Model	110
4.4	Struct	ural Equation Modelling Analysis	112
	4.4.1	Structural Equation Modelling Analysis	
		Based On Initial Model	112
	4.4.2	Structural Equation Modelling Analysis	
		Based On Summated Scores	118
	4.4.3	Hypothesis Test Results	120
	4.4.4	Discussion	122
		4.4.4.1 SPC Critical Success Factors	123
		4.4.4.2 Organizational Performance	126
		4.4.4.3 Relationship between SPC Critical	
		Success Factors and Organizational	
		Performance	127
4.5	Manag	gerial and Research Implication of the Study	128
	4.5.1	Managerial Implication	129
	4.5.2	Research Implication	130
CON	CLUSI	ON AND FUTURE RESEARCH	132
5.1	Introd	uction	132
5.2	Summ	nary of Research Findings	132
5.3	Limita	ation of the Study	135

5.4 Recommendation for Future Research 137

REFERENCES	138
Appendices I-V	151-161

5

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	
2.1	Practical difficulties and barriers in the	13
	implementation of SPC	
2.2	SPC success factors or dimensions from literatures	26
2.3	Previous research on SPC empirical studies	35
3.1	Operationalized measures/items for 11 success factors dimension	54
3.2	Operationalized measures/items for three organizational performance	59
3.3	Summary of the items/indicators retain across study phases	61
3.4	Final 40 items for measuring SPC success factors dimension	65
3.5	Final 12 items for measuring quality and firm performance dimension	69
4.1	Profile of the respondents	78
4.2	Success factors and firm performance result	81
4.3	Pattern matrix for factor analysis of SPC success factors	84
4.4	Reliability analysis results for SPC success factors	86
4.5	Pattern matrix for factor analysis or organizational performance	88

4.6	Reliability analysis results for organizational performance factors	89
4.7	Success factor construct	90
4.8	Firm performance construct	95
4.9	Convergent validity of success factor construct	101
4.10	Results of discriminant validity tests	103
4.11	Average variance extracted and composite reliability for respective latent construct	104
4.12	Convergent validity of firm performance factor construct	109
4.13	Results of discriminant validity tests	109
4.14	Average variance extracted and composite reliability for organizational performance	110
4.15	Path analysis of relationship between SPC critical success factors and performance: an initial model	113
4.16	A comparison of goodness-of-fit indices between an initial model and improved model	116
4.17	Path analysis of relationship between SPC critical success factors and organizational performance: an improved model	117
4.18	Path analysis of relationship between SPC critical success factors and performance: A parsimonious model based on summated scores	120
5.1	Summary of hypothesis results found in this study	133

LIST OF FIGURES

TITLE

FIGURE NO

FIGURE NO	. TITLE	PAGE
3.1	Proposed model for relationship between SPC	
	success factors and organizational performance	46
3.2	Overall overview of how the research is being carried out	50
3.3	Survey instrument construction methodology	51
4.1	Hypothesized first-order SPC critical success	
	factors model	99
4.2	The relationship between the first-order latent	
	factors and one-second-order latent factor	105
4.3	Hypothesized first-order organizational	
	performance factors model	107
4.4	The relationship between the first-order latent	
	factors and one-second order latent factor	111
4.5	A relationship relating SPC critical success factors	
	and organizational performance: an initial model	114
4.6	A relationship relating SPC critical success factors	
	and organizational performance: an improved model	116
4.7	The structural model showing the relationship	
	between SPC critical factors and performance	119

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX		TITLE	PAGE
]	[List of Industrial Practitioners	151
]	Ι	List of Nine (9) Experts from Academics,	
		Governments and Industry	152
]	III	List of Ten (10) Manufacturing Companies	153
]	IV	Survey Instrument	154
	V	Assessment of Normality	158
1	VI	List of Publications	160

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Six Sigma, Lean Sigma and Total Quality Management are the current improvement philosophies many manufacturing companies and organizations are adopting in order to improve productivity and quality for corporate survival. Six Sigma quality improvement initiative focus on reducing variability in the processes which leads to reducing defects. Six Sigma is a method for improving business processes that goes beyond quality control and quality assurance. One of the very important aspect of the Six Sigma methodology is its strong statistical components starting from define, measure, analyze, improve and control (DMAIC) cycle (Naslund, 2008).

Total Quality Management (TQM) is a quality management approach that focuses on customer satisfactions and process improvements. TQM is developed over five main components: customer focus, employee involvement, continuous improvement, leadership and fact-based decision making (Gutierrez *et al.*, 2009). Lean Sigma is a new organization change and improvement method, particularly as a combination of waste reduction mechanism and variability reduction philosophy. Both Six Sigma and TQM have emphasized the importance to observe and analyze the data and use statistical methods to draw conclusion (Gutierrez *et al.*, 2009; Naslund, 2008). One of the techniques that are being applied for improvement in both Six Sigma and TQM is Statistical Process Control (SPC). SPC refers to a collection of statistical tools and techniques used to collect and analyze the data to reduce variability in manufacturing products and processes. These tools and techniques are applicable to any type of industries, including in small and medium size (SMI) industries and large organizations. The basic SPC tools are Pareto charts, cause-and-effect diagram, check sheets, histogram, scatter diagram, graphs and control charts. Some of these tools are not really statistical, but can be classified as graphical tools. All of these tools are very powerful and become accepted to determine if a process being analyzed is within the established parameters. With the rise of Six Sigma awareness and fierce international competition, the use of these SPC tools will certainly increase for the management to make decision based on facts.

Numerous benefits can be associated with a successful implementation of SPC such as reduced scrap and rework, improved knowledge of processes, improved customer satisfaction, improved corporate competitive advantage and provided business excellence model (Rungasamy et al., 2002; Lepojivic and Kalac, 2012). Although many companies reported success in their SPC implementation, there are also examples of companies which are less successful or failures in implementation (Antony and Taner, 2003). As reported by Dale *et al.* (1990), more than seventy five percent of suppliers of Ford had encountered difficulties in introducing SPC program. Rungasamy et al. (2002) identified the following reasons for unsuccessful implementation of SPC: a) No need for SPC as the business is already quite successful b) Lack of awareness of the benefits of SPC c) Lack of resource and budget d) Not culturally ready for SPC e) Time constraint f) Management decision g) Not priority for the business. Shamsudin and Masjuki (2003) stated the following reasons: a) Lack of resources and upper management support b) Lack of statistical knowledge c) Require training and education to understand the importance and approach of deployment of SPC d) Lack of modern measuring methods and equipment and data processing devices. Talapatra (2007) suggested that the principal barriers to effective implementation of SPC in Indian manufacturing companies are the following: a) Lack of commitment and involvement from top management b) Lack of training and education of SPC tools c) Failure to interpret control charts and take appropriate action d) Lack of knowledge about product and process parameters e) Invalid capable measurement systems f) lack of understanding of customer

requirement. Lim and Antony (2014) reviewed 41 journal articles on SPC implementation and summarized the following factors: resistance to change, lack of sufficient knowledge of SPC, lack of management commitment, lack of continuous training and lack of statistical thinking culture.

Deleryd *et al.* (1999a) has divided four categories of reasons on why it is sometimes hard to conduct process capability studies. The researcher has adopted similar categories used by Deleryd *et al.* (1999b) for SPC implementation study. The four categories of the difficulty of SPC implementation is briefly discussed below:

i. Management issues

Organization without complete understanding of real challenges of SPC implementation issues are likely to fail. SPC is not "flavor of the month" or "joint the band wagon" attitude. The management must set the direction, commit the budget and resources, provide continuous feedback and progress update, then, the smooth of progress of SPC implementation could be realized. If all these supports and commitments do not exist, the organization should probably do not adopt SPC.

ii. Conservative personal attitude

Although many organizations could commit to implement SPC, the management must deal with cultural issues and barriers. It should be recognized that organizational culture of putting quality into the forefront and planning of the process should be established. The understanding that continuous quality improvement is demanded at every level of employees should be emphasized.

iii. Practical problems

SPC is often considered as additional job to the quality control (QC) operator. The operator needs to collect the data, plot the data and sometimes the data collection sheet is too complicated. This activity of collecting and plotting data has caused additional paperwork to QC operator and additional job to his or her existing auditing or inspecting the process.

iv. Methodological aspects

The operator and engineer lack of process knowledge to relate between SPC charts and control action problem. There is a insignificant process improvement because of the wrong process parameter being selected for the process monitoring purposes. Because of the wrong process parameter being selected, no Plan Do Check Act can be implemented. Consequently, the results of implementing SPC are not realized.

Although SPC looks like a straight forward technique, its implementation issues in a organization is far more complex. Rungtusanatham *et al.* (1999) stated three main reasons why SPC implementation issues is being neglected by SPC academic researchers. The first reason is SPC's historical intellectual roots are in statistics. Much of earlier studies on SPC research is mainly conducted and focus on mathematical and statistical aspects of SPC. The second reason is lack of scientific aspect of conceptualizing what does it mean when the organization wants implement SPC. The third reason is the inability to measure the phenomenon itself, that is, lack of scientific study and empirical research of numerous claims that SPC practices will increase the quality performance of the organization.

1.2 Statement of the Problem

This research used an industrial survey research methodology to empirically identify critical success factors and to develop the relationship of how these critical success factors affect organizational performance in SPC implementation. This was achieved by measuring what are constitutes critical success factors and organizational performance constructs and empirically investigating how these critical factors related to organizational performance within the industrial sample studied. The fact that some organizations seems to succeed when implementing SPC, while, other similar organizations did not or having difficulty, led to the question, which factors are decisive in order to achieve a successful implementation. Most studies in SPC are based on case studies and anecdotal in nature to assess the impact of its implementation. Also, most of these studies and its investigations lack scientific rigorousness in its methodology in empirically identifying which factors significantly affect the organizational performance. Another shortcoming is that there is no generally accepted and unified theory or structural model regarding the associated success factors that will give an impact to organizational performance, thus, a rigorous statistics and empirical analysis is now required. A study is required to have better understanding on how SPC critical success factors affect organization performance in Malaysian manufacturing firms. Therefore, this study will attempt to build the structural model by finding relationship between the SPC success factors and organizational performance.

1.3 Research Questions

Based on this research background, an empirical study was designed to examine the relationship between success factors and organizational performance in SPC implementation. The following research questions were formulated to address the study: Research Question 1: What are the proper SPC factors (or constructs) that will constitute SPC success factors which are statistically valid and reliable?

Research Question 2: What are the proper SPC factors (or constructs) that will constitute organizational performance which are statistically valid and reliable?

Research Question 3: How is the nature of relationship between SPC success factors and organizational performance?

1.4 Objectives of the Study

Having identified the gap in the literature and in an attempt to answer the above research questions, the following objectives of the study have been formulated.

- 1. To identify and validate the success factors obtained from the theoretical literature for SPC implementation.
- 2. To identify and validate organizational performance multidimensional constructs.
- 3. To develop and verify the interrelationships among the success factors and organizational performance or outcomes.

1.5 Scope of the Study

The scopes of this study are:

- 1. Focused on certified TS16949 automotive related manufacturing company in Malaysia.
- Focused on cross sectional study based on the survey carried out between the periods of February - April 2008.
- 3. Focused on manufacturing organizations of some type and therefore, the study results will not be generalizable to organizations with markedly different characteristics to the organizations included in this study (eg. service sector, healthcare sector, etc.)

1.6 Importance and Expected Contribution of the Research

This research is expected to establish a more definitive and structural model to relate the SPC significant success factors and organizational performance. This research will extend the knowledge in SPC implementation field by:

- 1. Identifying and verifying statistically and valid SPC success factors or constructs.
- 2. Identifying and verifying statistically and valid organizational performance constructs.
- 3. Developing and verifying statistically and valid structural model of the relationship between SPC success factors and organizational performance.

Most empirical studies on SPC implementation aspects so far are focused mainly on identifying and exploring factors for effective implementation, which are called "success factors" (Antony *et al.*, 2000; Rungtusanatham *et al.*, 1999; Harris *et al.*, 1994; Donell and Singhal, 1996, Rungtusanatham *et al.*, 1997; Deleryd *et al.*, 1999a, Deleryd *et al.*, 1999b). By performing Exploring Factor Analysis (EFA), the number of SPC success factors and organizational performance can be identified. EFA is essential in helping the researcher to determine the number of latent constructs underlying a set of items (variables). Research results from EFA usually serve as stepping stones to verify the propose SPC success factors and organizational performance construct by using Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA). By setting up Structural Equation Modeling (SEM), the nature of the relationship between SPC success factors and organizational performance can be empirically and statistically tested.

1.7 Thesis Structure

This thesis will be organized in the following chapter. Chapter 1 discusses about the background of the research where the problem statement, main objectives and scope of the study are explained. Chapter 2 will discuss about literature review which is where the main source of this study was taken from. Chapter 3 will discuss the research methodology. Chapter 4 will discuss the result of the study and finally the last part, Chapter 5 will provide the conclusions and some recommendation for future study.

1.8 Definition of Terms

i. Six Sigma – quality management improvement methodology that focuses on variability reduction on processes

- ii. Total Quality Management (TQM) quality improvement methodology that focuses on satisfying customer needs
- iii. Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) a factor analysis used to explore the underlying structure of collection of observed variables
- iv. Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA) a factor analysis performed for the purpose of confirming a underlying hypothesized factor structure
- v. Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) a comprehensive statistical approach to testing hypothesis about relations among observed and latent variables (often referred to as construct which cannot be measured directly)
- vi. Items/Indicators/Measures a series of question in the survey instrument filled up by respondent
- vii. Rotation of factors a transformation of the principal factors or components in order to approximate simple structure (eg. varimax, promax, etc.)
- viii. Scree plot a graphical method of determining the number of factors extracted. The Eigen values are plotted versus sequence of principal factors. The number of factors is chosen where the plot levels off in a linear fashion of decreasing pattern
- ix. First-Order factor represents the structural coefficients linking the latent variable to their respective a priori hypothesized measurement indicator
- x. Second-Order factors represents the structural coefficients linking the first-order latent variable to overall higher order factor or explaining all variance and co-variance related to the first order factor. Such variation and covariation of first-order factor is presumed to be accounted for by the higher-order factors
- xi. Constrained Model the correlation between two constructs set to 1.0
- xii. Unconstrained Model the correlation between between two constructs are freely estimated

1.9 Summary

This chapter has discussed the research background, main objectives, and scopes of the study. Although SPC implementation works so far rely on case studies and anecdotal evidence, the present study attempts to propose a structural model of finding the relationship between SPC success factors and organizational performance through rigorous empirical and statistical analysis. Hence not only academic researchers will find this statistically valid model useful for future research but industrial practitioners can also use this statistically and empirically tested model to predict how their SPC success factors will affect organizational performance or outcome.

REFERENCES

- Aaker, D.A. and Jacobson, R. (1994). The financial information content of perceived Quality. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 31, 191-201.
- Adam, E. E. and Thomas, F. S. (2000). Quality improvement approach and performance: multisite analysis within a firm. *Journal of Quality Management*, 5, 143-158.
- Adam, E. E., Flores, B.E. and Macias, A.(2001). Quality improvement practices and the effect on manufacturing performance: evidence from Mexico and USA. *International Journal of Production Research*. 39, 43-63.
- Agus, A. (2005). The structural linkages between TQM, product quality performance, and business performance: preliminary empirical study in electronic companies. *Singapore Management Review*. 27 (1), 87-105.
- Ahire, S.L. and Dreyfus, P. 2000. The impact of design management and process management on quality: an empirical examination. *Journal of Operations Management*. 18, 549- 575.
- Anderson, J.C. and Gerbing, D.W. (1988). Structural Equation Modeling in practice: A review and recommended two-step approach. *Psychological Bulletin*. 103, 411-423.
- Ang, R.P. (2005). Development and validation of teacher-student relationship inventory using exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis. *The Journal of Experimental Education*. 74(1), 55-73.
- Antony, J. (2000). Ten key ingredients for making SPC successful in organizations measuring business excellence. *Measuring Business Excellence*. 4(4), 7-10.
- Antony, J., Balbontin, A. and Taner, T. (2000). Key ingredients for the effective implementation of Statistical Process Control. *Work Study.* 49(6), 242-247.
- Antony, J. and Taner, T. (2003). A conceptual framework for the effective implementation of statistical process control. *Business Process Management*. 9(4), 473-489.

- Azaranga, M.R., Gonzalez, G. and Reavill, L. (1998). An empirical investigation of the relationship between quality improvement techniques and performance: A Mexican case. *Journal of Quality Management*. 3(2), 265-292.
- Bagozzi, R. P., Youjae, Y. and Phillips, L. W. (1991). Assessing construct validity in organizational research. *Administrative Science Quarterly*. 36, 421-458.
- Bamford, D.R. and Greatbanks, R.W. (2003). The use of quality management tools and techniques: a study of application in everyday situation. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*. 22(4), 376-392.
- Bayraktar, E., Demirbag, M., Koh, S.C.L, Tatoglu, E. and Zaim, H. (2009). A causal analysis of the impact of information systems and supply chain management practices on operational performance: evidence from manufacturing SMEs in Turkey. *International Journal of Production Economics*. 122, 133-149.
- Bergquist, B. and Albing, M. (2006), "Statistical methods Does anyone really use them? *Total Quality Management*. 17(8), 961-972.
- Bird, D. and Dale, B. (1994). The misuse and abuse of SPC: a case study. *International Journal of Vehicle Design*. 15(1), 99-107.
- Black, S.A. and Porter, L.J. (1996). Identification of the critical factors of TQM. *Decision Science*. 27(1), 1-21.
- Boomsma A. and Hoogland, J. (1997). Robustness studies in covariance structure modeling: an overview and meta analysis. *Sociological Methods and Research*. 26, 329-367.
- Bounds, G.M. (1988). Success in implementing statistical process control as a function of contextual variables in 20 manufacturing organizations, unpublished Ph.D. thesis, The University of Tennessee, USA
- Brannstrom-Stenberg, A. and Deleryd, M. (1999). Implementation of Statistical Process Control and process capability studies: Requirements or free will. *Total Quality Management*. 10(4), 439-446.
- Bryan, A., Schmiege, S.J. and Broaddus, M.R. (2007). Mediational analysis in HIV/AIDS research: Estimating path analytic models in a structural equation modeling framework. *AIDS Behavior*. 11, 365-383.

- Bullington, S.F. Easley, J.Y. and Greenwood, A.G. (2002). Success factors in initiating versus maintaining a quality improvement process. *Engineering Management Journal*. 14(3), 8-14.
- Bunny, H.S. and Dale, B. (1997). The implementation of quality management tools and techniques: a study. *The TQM Magazine*. 9(3), 183-189.
- Byrne, B.M. (1998). Structural Equation Modelling with LISREL, PRELIS, and SIMPLIS: Basic concept, Applications, and Programming. Lawrence Erlbaum, Mahwah, NJ.,
- Cagwin, D. and Bouwman, M.J. (2002). The association between activity-based costing and improvement in financial performance. *Management Accounting Research.* 13, 1 – 39.
- Carmines, E.G. and Zeller, R.A. (1979). *Reliability and Validity assessment*. Sage. Beverly Hills, CA.
- Cattell, R.B (1966). The Scree Test for the Number of Factors, *Multivariate Behavioral Research.* 1, 245-276.
- Carr, A. S., Leong, G. K. and Sheu, C. (2000). A study of purchasing practices in Taiwan. International Journal of Operations and Production Management. 20(12), 1427-45.
- Carter, R.E., Lonial, S.C. and Raju, P.S. (2010). Impact of quality management on hospital performance: An empirical examination. *Quality Management Journal*. 17(4), 8-24.
- Chao, S.L. and Lin, P.S. (2009). Critical factors affecting the adoption of container security service: The shippers' perspective. *International Journal of Production Economics*. 122, 67-77.
- Cheng, E.W.L. (2001). SEM being more effective than multiple regression in parsimonious model testing for management development research. *Journal of Management Development*. 20(7), 650-667.
- Cheng, P.C. and Dawson, S.D. (1998). A study of statistical process control: practice, problems, and training needs. *Total Quality Management*. 9(1), 3-20.
- Cheng, T.C. and Choi, P. (2007). Measuring success factors of quality management in the shipping industry. *Maritime Economics and Logistics*. 9, 234-253.

- Chin, W.W. (1998). Issues and opinion on Structural Equation Modeling. *MIS Quarterly*.22(1), 7-16.
- Chiun, Y.C. and Chen, Z.T. (2010). Identifying key risk factors in air traffic control by exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis. *Journal of Advanced Transportation.* 44, 267-283.
- Chu, K.H. (2008). A factorial validation of work value structure: Second-order confirmatory factor analysis and its implication. *Tourism Management*. 29, 320-330.
- Churchill, G.A. (1991). A paradigm for developing better measures of marketing constructs. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 16, 64-73.
- Claver, J.J. and Claver, E. (2008). The individual effects of total quality management on customers, people and society results and quality performance in SMEs. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International*. 24, 199-211.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences*, 2nd. Edition, Hillsdale, N.J.: Laurence Erlbaum.
- Comrey, A. (1973). A First Course on Factor Analysis. London: Academic Press
- Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient Alpha and the Internal Consistency of Tests. *Psychometrica*, 16, 297-334.
- Cuenca, R.P., Olalla, P.G. and Setijono, D. (2012). Linking Six Sigma's critical success/hindering factors and organizational change (development). *International Journal of Lean Six Sigma*. 3(4), 284-298.
- Curkovic, S. (2003). Environmentally Responsible Manufacturing: The development and validation of a measurement model. *European Journal of Operational Research.* 146, 130-155.
- Curkovic, S., Melynk, S.A., Handfield, R.B. and Calatone, R. (2000). Investigating the linkage between total quality management and environmentally responsible manufacturing. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*. 47(4), 444-464.
- Dale, B.G., Shaw, P. and Owen, M. (1990). SPC in motor industry: An examination of implementation and use. *International Journal of Vehicle Design*. 11(2), 33-41

- Davis, P.J., Reeves, J.L., Hastle, B.A., Radford, S.B., Naliboff, B.D. (2000). Depression determines illness conviction and pain impact: A structural equation modeling analysis. *Pain Medicine*. 1(3), 238-246.
- Deleryd, M., Deltin J. and Klefsjo, B. (1999a). Factors for successful implementation of Process Capability Studies, *Quality Management Journal*. 17, 40-59.
- Deleryd, M., Garvare, R. and Klefsjo, B. (1999b). Experiences of implementing statistical methods in small enterprises. *The TQM Magazine*. 11(5), 341-350.
- Demirbag, M., Koh, S.C.L, Tatoglu, E. and Zaim, S. (2006). TQM and market orientation's impact on SMEs performance. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*. 106(8), 1206-1228.
- Dewhurst, F., Lorente, A.R.M. and Dale, B. (1999). Total quality management and information technologies: an exploration and issues. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*. 16(4), 392-405.
- Does, R., Shippers, W. and Trip A. (1997). A framework for implementing of statistical process control. *International Journal of Quality Science*. 2(3), 181-198.
- Donell, A. J. and Singhal, S. C. (1996). SPC implementation for improving product quality. *IEEE/CPMT International Electronics Manufacturing Technology Symposium*.
- Douglas, T.J. and Judge, W.Q. (2001). Total quality management implementation and competitive advantage: The role of structural control and exploration. *Academy of Management Journa*. 44(1), 158-169.
- Dow, D. Samson, D. and Ford, S. (1999).Exploding the myth; Do all quality management practices contribute to superior quality performance? *Productions and Operations Management*. 1, 1-27.
- Dyba, T. (2005), An empirical investigation of the key factors for success in software process improvement. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*. 31(5), 410-424.
- Elg, M., Olsson, J. and Dahlgaard, J.J. (2008). Implementing statistical process control: an organizational perspective. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*. 25(6), 545-560.
- Elliot, M.R. (2003). Causality and how to model it. *B.T. Technology Journal*. 21(2), 120-125.

- Escrig-Tena, A.B. (2004). TQM as a competitive factor: A theoretical and empirical analysis. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*. 21(6), 612-637.
- Fields, D.J. (1991). A study of work environment factors associated with the transfer of statistical process control training to shop floor application, unpublished PhD thesis, Michigan State University, USA.
- Flynn, B. B., Schroeder, R. C. and Sakakibara, S. (1994). "A framework for quality management research and an associated measurement instrument", *Journal of Operation Management*, vol. 11, pp. 339-366.
- Fornell, C. Johnson, M.D. Anderson, E.W. Cha, J. Bryant, B.E. (1996). The American customer satisfaction index: nature, purpose and findings. *Journal* of Marketing. 60(4), 7-18.
- Fotopoulos, C.V. and Psomas, E.L. (2010). The structural relationship between total quality management factors and organizational performance. *The TQM Journal*. 22(5), 539-552.
- Gaafar, L.K. and Keats, J.B. (1992). Statistical Process Control: A guide for implementation. International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management. 9(4), 9-20.
- Gerbing, D.W. and Anderson, J.C. (1988). An updated paradigm for scale development incorporating unidimensionality and its assessment. *Journal of Marketing Research*. 25, 186-192.
- Gordon, M. E., Philpot, J. W., Bounds, G. M. and Long, W.S. (1994). Factors associated with the success of the implementation of statistical process control. *The Journal of High Technology Management Research*. 5(1), 101-121.
- Grandzol, J.R. and Gershon, M. (1997). Which TQM practices really matter: an empirical investigation. *Quality Management Journal*. 4(4), 43-59.
- Grandzol, J.R. and Gershon, M. (1998). A survey instrument for standardizing TQM modeling research. *International Journal of Quality Science*. 3(1), 80-105.
- Gribbons, B. C. and Hocevar, D. (1988). Levels of aggregation in higher level confirmatory factor analysis: application for academic self-concept. *Structural Equation Modeling*. 5(4), 377-390.

- Grigg, N. P. (2004). An empirical investigation of the use of statistical process control and improvement methodologies within food and drinks manufacturing facilities in the UK, unpublished Ph.D thesis, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow.
- Grigg, N. and Walls, L. (2007). The role of control charts in promoting organizational learning. *The TQM Magazine*. 19(1), 37-49.
- Gruska, G. and Kymal, C. (2006). Use SPC for everyday work processes. *Quality Progress.* June, 25-32.
- Gutierrez, L.J., Llorens-Montes, F.S. and Sanchez, O.F. (2009). Six Sigma: From a goal-theoretic perspective to shared-vision development. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*. 29(2), 151-169.
- Hair, J. F., Anderson, R. E, Tatham, R. L. and Black, W. C. (2005). *Multivariate Data Analysis*, 6th Edition, Prentice-Hall: Englewood Cliffs, N.J.
- Harris, C. R. and Yit, W. (1994). Successfully implementing Statistical Process Control in integrated steel companies. *Interfaces*. 24, 49-58.
- Hasan, M. and Kerr, R.M. (2003). The relationship between TQM practices and organizational performance in service organization. *The TQM magazine*. 15(4), 286-291.
- Hemsworth, D. Rodriguez, C.S. and Bidgood, B. (2005). Determining the impact of quality management practices and purchasing-related information systems on purchasing performance: A structural model. *The Journal of Enterprise Information Management*. 18(2), 169-194.
- Hewson, C., O'Sullivan, P. and Stenning, K. (1996). Training needs associated with SPC. *Training for Quality*. 4(4), 32-36.
- Hoe, S.L. (2008). Issues and procedures in adopting structural equation modeling technique. *Journal of Applied Quantitative Methods*. 3(1), 76-83.
- Hsu, C.C., Kannan, V.R. Leong, G.K. and Tan, K.C. (2006). Supplier Selection Construct: Instrument development and validation.*The International Journal* of Logistics Management. 17(2), 213-239.
- Ismyrlis, V. and Moschidis, O. (2013). Six Sigma's critical success factors and toolbox. *International Journal of Lean Six Sigma*. 4(2), 108-117.
- Joreskog, K.G. and Sorbon, D. (1993). *LISREL 8: Structural Equation Modelling with SIMPLIS Command Language*, Lawrence Erlbaum, Hilldale, N.J.

Kaiser, H.F. 1970. A Second Generation Little Jiffy, Psychometrika. 35, 401-417.

- Kaynak, H. (2003). The relationship between total quality management practices and their effects on form performance. *Journal of Operations Management*. 21, 405-435.
- Kelley, H.W. and Drury, C.G. (2002). Sociotechnical reasons for the de-evolution of Statistical Process Control. *Quality Management Journal*. 9(1), 8-22.
- Khong, K.K. (2005). The perceived impacts of successful outsourcing on customer service management. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal. 10(5), 402-411.
- Kim, J. and Rhee, J. (2012). An empirical study on the impact of critical success factors on the balanced scorecard performance in Korean green supply chain management enterprises. *International Journal of Production Research*. 50(9), 2465-2483.
- Kline, R.B. (1998). *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling*, New York, Guilford Press.
- Koufteros, X.A. (1999). Testing a model of pull production: a paradigm for manufacturing research using structural equation modelling. *Journal of Operations Management.* 17, 467-488.
- Krumwiede, D. and Sheu, C. (1996). Implementing SPC in a small organization: a TQM approach. *Integrated Manufacturing Systems*. 7(1), 45-51.
- Kumar, A. and Motwani, J. (1996). Doing right the second time. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*. 96(6), 14-19.
- Lee, K.L. (2002). Critical success factors of Six Sigma implementation and impact on operation performance. unpublished Ph.D. thesis, Cleveland State University, Cleveland, Ohio.
- Lee, K.C. and Choi, B. (2006). Six sigma management activities and their influence on corporate competitiveness. *Total Quality Management*. 17(7), 893-911.
- Lepojevic, V. and Kalac, E. (2012). The role of statistical process for providing business excellence according to the EFQM model. *Economics and Organization*. 9, 111-121.
- Lewis, B.L., Templeton, G.F. and Byrd, T.A. (2005). A methodology for construct development in MIS research. *European Journal of Information Systems*. 14, 388-400

- Lim, S.A.H. and Antony, J. (2014). The implementation of statistical process control in the food industry: A systematic review. *Proceeding of the 2014 International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operation Management*. Bali, Indonesia, 1683-1699.
- Lim, S.A.H. and Antony, J. (2013). A conceptual readiness framework for Statistical Process Control Deployment. *Proceeding of Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management*, Bangkok, Thailand
- Lin, B.S. (1991). Building quality control systems for hospital food-service operations. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*. 8(2), 35-44.
- Lin, J.S.C. and Hsieh, P.L. (2011). Assessing the self-service technology encounters: development and validation of SSTQUAL Scale. *Journal of Retailing*. 87(2), 194-206.
- Lin, T.M.Y, Luarn, P. and Lo, P.K.Y. (2004). Internet market segmentation an exploratory study of critical success factors. *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*. 22(6), 601-622.
- Liu, C.H. (2009). Effect of ISO/TS 16949 on Six Sigma: The empirical case of Taiwanese automobile and related industries. *Total Quality Management*. 20(11), 1229-1245.
- Lonial, S.C., Tarim, M., Tatoglu, E., Zaim, S. and Zaim, H. (2008). The impact of market orientation on NSD and financial performance of hospital industry. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*. 108(6), 794-811.
- Lu, C.S., Lai, K.H. and Cheng, T.C.E. (2007). Application of structural equation modeling to evaluate the intention of shippers to use internet services in liner shipping. *European Journal of Operational Research*. 180, 845-867.
- Mann, R. and Kehoe, D. (1995). Factors affecting the implementation and success of TQM. International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management. 12(1), 11-23.
- Mason, B. and Antony, J. (2000). Statistical process control: an essential ingredient for improving service and manufacturing quality. *Managing Service Quality*. 10(4), 233-238.

- Mohanti, R. and Evans, J.R. (2012). Critical success factors for implementing statistical process control in software industry. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*. 19(3), 374-394.
- Naslund, D. (2008). Lean, Six Sigma and Lean Sigma: fads or real process improvement methods. *Business Process Management*. 14(3), 269-287.
- Ngai, EWT. Cheng, TCE. And Ho, SSM. (2004). Critical success factors of web based supply chain management systems: An exploratory study. *Production Planning and Control.* 15, 622-630.
- Norusis, M.J. (1999). SPSS 9.0 guide to data analysis, Prentice-Hall: Englewood Cliffs, N.J.
- Nunally, J.C. and Bernstein, I.A. (1994). *Psychometric Theory*. Third Edition: McGraw-Hill.
- Oakland, J. (1999). Statistical Process Control. Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford
- Oh, H., Fiore, A.M. and Jeoung, M. (2007). Measuring experience economy concepts: Tourism applications. *Journal of Travel Research*. 46, 119-132.
- Owen, M. (1989). SPC and Continuous Improvement. IFS Publication, Bedford
- Ozer, Z.C., Firat, M.Z. and Bektas, H.A. (2009). Confirmatory and exploratory factor analysis of the caregiver quality of life index-cancer with Turkish samples. *Quality Life Research*. 18, 913-921.
- Ozkan, C., Akman, G. and Ozcan, B. (2010). Effecting factors of customer satisfaction at supply chain context: an empirical investigation at Turkish manufacturing industry. *International Journal of Industrial Engineering*. 17(4), 287-299.
- Phynthamilkumaran and Zailani S. (2007). Factors influencing the success of Statistical Process Control Projects in the Malaysian Firms. *Proceedings the Fifth International Conference on Quality and Reliability*. 343-347.
- Prajogo, D. I. and Brown, A. (2004). The relationship between TQM practices and quality performance and the role of formal TQM programs: An Australian empirical study. *Quality Management Journal*. 11(4), 31 – 42.
- Raju, P.S., Lonial, S.C., Gupta, Y.P. and Ziegler, C. (2000). The relationship between market orientation and performance in hospital industry: A structural equations modeling approach. *Health Care Management Science*. 3, 237-247.

- Raju, R and Sakthivel, P.B. (2006). An instrument for measuring engineering education quality from students' perspective. *Quality Management Journal*. 13, 23-34.
- Reid, R.D. (2005). TS 16949 Where did it come from? *Quality Progress*. 38, 31 38.
- Robinson, T.L., Audibert, R.L. and Zenda, W. (2000). Statistical Process Control: It's a Tool, not a Cult. *Manufacturing Engineering*. 3, 104-117.
- Rochart, J. F. (1982). The changing role of the information system executive: a critical success factors perspective. *Sloan Management Review*. 24(1), 3-13.
- Rochart, J. F. (1979). Chief executives define their own data needs. *Harvard Business Review*. 57(2), 81-92.
- Rohani, J.M. Yusof, S.M. and Mohamad, I. (2006). Using structural equation modeling to establish critical success factors interdependence in statisticalprocess control implementation, 2nd. International Conference on Six Sigma, 5 – 7 June. Glasgow, U.K.
- Rohani, J. M., Yusof, S. M. and Mohamad I. (2008). Statistical process control and performance: An exploratory analysis, in *Advances in Manufacturing and Industrial Engineering*, A. Hassan, M.Tap, A.Z. Kadir, Ed., Penerbit UTM, Ch. 4, pp. 67-91.
- Rohani, J. M., Yusof, S. M. and Mohamad I. (2013). Statistical process control and performance: A Confirmatory Analysis, in *Advances in Quality Engineering and Management Research*, N. Zakuan, Ed. Penerbit UTM, Ch. 2, pp. 37-54
- Rungasamy, S., Antony J. and Ghosh, S. (2002). Critical success factors for SPC implementation in UK small and medium enterprises: some key findings from a survey. *The TQM Magazine*. 14(4), 217-224.
- Rungtusanatham, M., Anderson, J. and Dooley K. (1999). Towards Measuring the SPC implementation/practice construct. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*. 16(4), 301-329.
- Rungtusanatham, M. Anderson, J. and Dooley, K. (1997). Conceptualizing organizational implementation and practice of Statistical Process Control. *Journal of Quality Management*. 2(1), 113-137.
- Rungtusanatham, M. (2001). Beyond improved quality: the motivational effects of statistical process control. *Journal of Operations Management*. 19, 653-673.

- Sadikoglu, E. and Zehir, C. (2010). Investigating the effects of innovation and employee performance on the relationship between TQM practices and firm performance: an empirical study of Turkish firms. *International Journal of Production Economics.* 12(1), 13-26.
- Salaheldin, S.I. (2009). Critical success factors for total quality management implementation and their impact on performance of SMEs. *International Journal of Productivity and Quality Management*. 58(3), 215-237.
- Samson, D. and Terziovski, M. (1999). The relationship between total quality management practices and operational performance. *Journal of Operations Management*. 17, 393-409.
- Sarkar, A., Mukhopadhyay, A.R.. and Ghosh S.K (2014). An outline of the control phase for implementing Lean Six Sigma. *International Journal of Lean Six Sigma*. 5(3), 230-252.
- Selim, H.M. (2007). Critical success factors for e-learning acceptance: confirmatory factor models. *Computers and Education*. 49(2), 396-413.
- Shamsuddin, A. and Masjuki, H. (2003). Survey and case investigations on application of quality management tools and techniques. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*. 20(7),795-826.
- Sharma, S. and Chetiya, A.R. (2012). An analysis of critical success factors for Six Sigma implementation. *Asian Journal on Quality*. 13(3),294-308.
- Shrivastava, R.L., Mohanty, R.P. and Lakhas, R.R. (2006). Linkages between total quality management and organizational performance: an empirical study for Indian industry. *Production Planning and Control.* 17(1), 13-30.
- Sila, I. and Ebrahimpour, M. (2005). Critical linkages among TQM factors and business results. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*. 25(11), 1123-1155.
- Sousa R. and Voss, C. A. (2002). Quality management re-visited: a reflective review and agenda for future research. *Journal of Operations Management*. 20, 91-109.
- Spector, P. (1992). Summated Rating Scale Construction: An Introduction, Sage university Paper series on Quantitative Application in the Social Sciences, series no 07-082, Newbury Park, CA: Sage.

- Talapatra, P.K. (2007). *Studies on total quality management in Indian manufacturing firms*, Doctoral thesis, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur
- Talib, F., Rahman, Z. and Qureshi, M.N. (2013). An empirical investigation of relationship between total quality management practices and quality performance in Indian service companies. *International Journal of Quality* and Reliability Management. 30(3), 280-318.
- Tamimi, N. (1998). A second order factor analysis of critical TQM factors. International Journal of Quality Science. 3(1), 71-79.
- Tari, J.J. (2005). Components of successful total quality management. The TQM Magazine. 17(2), 182-194.
- Tari, J.J., Molina, J.F. and Castejon, J.L. (2007). The relationship between quality management practices and their effects on quality outcomes. *European Journal of Operational Research*. 183, 483-501.
- Tsai, P.F. and Jirovec, M.M., (2005). The relationship between depression and other outcomes of chronic illness caregiving. *BMC Nursing*. 4(3), 1-10.
- Wickramsinghe, G.L.D. and Wijebahu, W.M.S.K. (2012). Effect of statistical process control practices on quality performance of apparel firms. *The* 7th *International Conference on Management and Finance*.
- Worley, J.M., Dollen, T.L., Mitchell, R.M., Farris, J.A. and Aken, E.M.V. (2008). Assessing content validity in Kaizen event research surveys. *Proceeding of the 2008 Industrial Engineering and Research Conference, USA*, 1095-1100.
- Xie M. and Goh, T. N. (1999). Statistical technique for quality. *The TQM Magazine*. 11(4), 238-241.
- Young, T.M. and Winistorfer, P.M. (1999). Statistical Process Control and the Forest Product Industry. *Forest Product Journal*. 49(3), 10-16.
- Zhang, Z., Waszink, A. and Wijngaard, J. (2000). An instrument for measuring TQM implementation for Chinese manufacturing companies. *International Journal* of Quality and Reliability Management. 17(7), 730-755.
- Zu, X. (2009). Infrastructure and core quality management practices: how do they affect quality? International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management. 26(2), 129-149.