

RELIABLE MULTIMEDIA TRANSMISSION OVER WIRELESS SENSOR
NETWORK

FARIZAH BINTI YUNUS

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the
requirements for the award of the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Electrical Engineering)

Faculty of Electrical Engineering
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

OCTOBER 2015

*To my beloved father, Yunus Bin Othman, mother, Fatimah Binti Ngah and my
sibling.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the Name of Allah, the Most Gracious and the Most Merciful. Alhamdulillah, praise be to Allah the Almighty for His guidance and only by His strength that I have successfully completed my research works and the write up of this thesis.

In finishing this thesis, I owe an immense debt of gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Sharifah Hafizah Binti Syed Ariffin. She is a kind-hearted and patient supervisor. Her advice and guidance was invaluable to me. Without her continuous support, this thesis would not be completed well. I also want to thank my co-supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Sharifah Kamilah Binti Syed Yusof for constructive comments which she provided. I would also like to thank to Prof. Dr. Norsheila Binti Faisal for her advices and time spent on discussions throughout my PhD durations.

My thanks and appreciation for the fellow postgraduate students in UTM-MIMOS Center of Excellent for giving me their time and ideas for a better work. My special thanks to my colleague Nadia, Aimi, Ain, Nora, Nuurul, Wangi, Nazirah, Azida and all those who have contributed, review and give a good feedback in realizing this research works.

Finally, I would like to express my deepest appreciation to my dearest family; my parents, Yunus bin Othman and Fatimah binti Ngah, my brothers and sisters for their constant love, encouragement and support which has been my source of inspiration, motivation and strength. I am indebted to all these important peoples in my life.

ABSTRACT

Nowadays, video streaming application is widely used in wired as well as wireless environment. Extending this application into Wireless Sensor Networks (WSN) for IEEE 802.15.4 network has attracted lots of attention in the research community. Reliable data transmission is one of the most important requirements in WSN especially for multimedia application. Moreover, multimedia application requires high bandwidth and consumes large memory size in order to send video data that requires small end-to-end (ETE) delay. To overcome this problem, rate control serves as an important technique to control the bit rate of encoded video for transmission over a channel of limited bandwidth and low data rate which is 250kbps with small Maximum Transmission Unit (MTU) size of 127 bytes. Therefore, a rate control model called enhanced Video Motion Classification based (*e-ViMoC*) model using an optimal combination of parameter setting is proposed in this thesis. Another challenging task to maintain the video quality is the design of an enhanced transport protocol. Standard transport protocols cannot be directly applied in WSN specifically, but some modifications are required. Therefore, to achieve high reliability of video transmission, the advantages of User Datagram Protocol (UDP) features are applied to the proposed transport protocol called Lightweight Reliable Transport Protocol (LRTP) to tailor to the low data rate requirement of WSN. Besides, priority queue scheme is adopted to reduce the end-to-end delay while maintaining the reliability and energy efficiency. Evalvid simulation tool and exhaustive search method are used to determine optimal combination of quantization scale (q), frame rate (r) and Group of Picture (GOP) size (l) values to control the bit rate at the video encoder. The model of *e-ViMoC* is verified both with simulation and experimental work. The proposed transport protocol has been successfully studied and verified through simulation using Network Simulator 2 (NS-2). From the simulation results, the proposed *e-ViMoC* encoded video enhances the Packet Delivery Ratio (PDR) by 5.14%, reduces the energy consumed by 16.37%, improves the Peak Signal to Noise Ratio (PSNR) by 4.37% and reduces the ETE delay by 23.69% in average, compared to non-optimized encoded video. The tested experiment experiences slightly different result where the PDR is 6% lower than simulation results. Meanwhile, the combination of *e-ViMoC* and LRTP outperforms the standard transport protocol by average improvement of 142.9% for PDR, average reduction of 8.87% for energy consumption, average improvement of 4.1% for PSNR, and average reduction of 19.38% for ETE delay. Thus, the simulation results show that the combination of proposed *e-ViMoC* and LRTP provides better reliability performance in terms of the PDR while simultaneously improves the energy efficiency, the video quality and ETE delay.

ABSTRAK

Kini, aplikasi video digunakan secara meluas dalam persekitaran berwayar dan tanpa wayar. Meluaskan aplikasi ini ke Rangkaian Sensor tanpa Wayar (WSN) iaitu rangkaian IEEE 802.15.4 telah menarik banyak perhatian dalam komuniti penyelidikan. Penghantaran data yang boleh dipercayai adalah salah satu keperluan yang penting dalam WSN terutamanya untuk aplikasi multimedia. Lagipun, aplikasi multimedia memerlukan jalur lebar tinggi dan saiz memori yang besar untuk menghantar data video yang memerlukan kelewatan Hujung-ke-Hujung (ETE) yang kecil. Untuk mengatasi masalah ini, teknik kawalan kadar bit adalah penting untuk mengawal kadar bit penghantaran video melalui saluran jalur lebar yang terhad dan kadar data yang rendah iaitu 250kbps dengan Penghantaran Unit Maksimum (MTU) yang kecil iaitu 127 bit. Oleh itu, suatu kawalan kadar dinamakan model berdasarkan Klasifikasi Gerakan Video yang dibaikkan (*e-ViMoC*) menggunakan gabungan optimum tetapan parameter adalah dicadangkan di dalam tesis ini. Satu lagi tugas yang mencabar untuk mengekalkan kualiti video adalah menyediakan protokol penghantaran yang dipertingkatkan. Protokol standard tidak boleh diaplikasi terus secara spesifik di WSN tetapi memerlukan sedikit pegubahsuaian. Oleh itu, untuk mencapai kebolehpercayaan penghantaran data video yang tinggi, ciri kelebihan Protokol Datagram Pengguna (UDP) diaplikasikan untuk protokol pengangkutan yang dicadangkan iaitu Protokol Penghantaran Boleh-percaya Ringan (LRTP) untuk mematuhi kadar data WSN yang rendah. Selain itu, skim giliran keutamaan diguna pakai untuk mengurangkan kelewatan hujung-ke-hujung disamping mengekalkan kebolehpercayaan dan kecekapan tenaga. Penyelaku Evalvid dan kaedah carian terperinci digunakan untuk menentukan gabungan optimum nilai skala pengkuantuman (q), kadar kerangka (r) dan saiz kumpulan gambar (GOP) (l) untuk mengawal kadar bit semasa video dikodkan. Model *e-ViMoC* disahkan dengan penyelakuan dan eksperimen. Protokol penghantaran yang dicadangkan telah berjaya dikaji dan disahkan melalui simulasi menggunakan Penyelaku Rangkaian (NS-2). Daripada keputusan penyelakuan, video yang dikodkan dengan *e-ViMoC* meningkatkan Nisbah Penghantaran Paket (PDR) sebanyak 5.14%, mengurangkan tenaga yang digunakan sebanyak 16.37%, meningkatkan Nisbah Puncak Kuasa Hingar (PSNR) sebanyak 4.37% dan mengurangkan kelewatan ETE sebanyak 23.69% berbanding dengan video kod yang tidak dioptimumkan. Eksperimen tapak uji mengalami sedikit perbezaan keputusan di mana PDR adalah 6% lebih rendah daripada keputusan penyelakuan. Sementara itu, kombinasi *e-ViMoC* dan LRTP mengatasi protokol penghantaran standard dengan peningkatan purata sebanyak 142.9% untuk PDR, pengurangan sebanyak 8.87% bagi penggunaan tenaga, peningkatan sebanyak 4.1% bagi PSNR, dan pengurangan sebanyak 19.38% bagi kelewatan ETE. Maka, keputusan penyelakuan menunjukkan bahawa gabungan *e-ViMoC* dan LRTP menyediakan prestasi kebolehpercayaan yang lebih baik dari segi PDR, peningkatan kecekapan tenaga, kualiti video dan kelewatan ETE.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER | TITLE | PAGE |
|----------------|--|-------------|
| | DECLARATION | v |
| | DEDICATION | vi |
| | ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | vii |
| | ABSTRACT | viii |
| | ABSTRAK | viii |
| | TABLE OF CONTENTS | x |
| | LIST OF TABLES | xivi |
| | LIST OF FIGURES | xv |
| | LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xx |
| | LIST OF SYMBOLS | xxv |
| | LIST OF APPENDIX | xxvii |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 Background | 1 |
| | 1.2 Problem Statement | 5 |
| | 1.3 Objectives of the Research | 6 |
| | 1.4 Scope of the Research | 7 |
| | 1.5 Research Contribution | 9 |
| | 1.5.1 Bit rate control for video encoding process | 9 |
| | 1.5.2 Lightweight Reliable Transport Protocol (LRTP) | 9 |
| | 1.6 Significance of the Research | 10 |
| | 1.7 Thesis organization | 11 |
| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 13 |
| | 2.1 Introduction | 13 |
| | 2.2 Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) for Multimedia Support | 14 |
| | 2.2.1 Sensor Node for Multimedia Application | 15 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 2.2.2 | Multimedia Transmission over IEEE 802.15.4 Standard | 16 |
| 2.3 | Video Coding Standard | 21 |
| 2.3.1 | Video Frame Format | 24 |
| 2.3.2 | MPEG-4 Video Codec | 27 |
| 2.4 | Video Compression | 31 |
| 2.4.1 | Compression Ratio and Video Bit Rate | 33 |
| 2.5 | Rate Control in Video Encoding | 37 |
| 2.5.1 | Related Works for Rate Control in Video Encoding | 40 |
| 2.6 | The Concept of Video Transmission | 44 |
| 2.7 | Transport Protocol for Wireless Sensor Network | 47 |
| 2.7.1 | Issues and Challenges of Transport Protocol over Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) | 48 |
| 2.7.2 | The Structure of Transport Protocol | 49 |
| 2.7.3 | Reliability Module | 52 |
| 2.7.3.1 | Loss Detection and Notification | 53 |
| 2.7.3.2 | Retransmission Recovery | 57 |
| 2.7.4 | Congestion Control Module | 58 |
| 2.7.5 | Energy Efficiency | 60 |
| 2.8 | The Existing Transport Protocol | 61 |
| 2.8.1 | The Existing Standard Transport Protocol | 62 |
| 2.8.2 | The Existing Non-Standard Transport Protocol | 66 |
| 2.9 | Performance Metric | 71 |
| 2.9.1 | Model Accuracy | 71 |
| 2.9.2 | Network Performance Metrics | 72 |
| 2.9.2.1 | Packet Delivery Ratio | 72 |
| 2.9.2.2 | Normalized Energy Consumption | 73 |
| 2.9.2.3 | End-to-end Delay | 73 |
| 2.9.2.4 | Frame Loss | 74 |
| 2.9.2.5 | Video Quality Measurement | 74 |
| 2.10 | Summary | 76 |
| 3 | RELIABLE MULTIMEDIA TRANSMISSION OVER WIRELESS SENSOR NETWORK | 80 |
| 3.1 | Introduction | 80 |
| 3.2 | Reliable Multimedia Transmission over | |

| | |
|--|------------|
| Wireless Sensor Network | 81 |
| 3.2.1 Proposed Rate Control Model: Video Motion Classification Based (<i>ViMoC</i>) Model and Enhanced <i>ViMoC</i> (<i>e-ViMoC</i>) Model | 85 |
| 3.2.2 Proposed Lightweight Reliable Transport Protocol (LRTP) | 87 |
| 3.2.2.1 Design of Lightweight Reliable Transport Protocol (LRTP) | 88 |
| 3.3 Simulation Tools | 92 |
| 3.3.1 Pre-process Module in Evalvid | 94 |
| 3.3.2 Network Simulation 2 (NS-2) Module in Evalvid | 97 |
| 3.3.3 Post-process Module in Evalvid | 99 |
| 3.4 Network Model and Simulation Parameters | 100 |
| 3.5 Summary | 104 |
| 4 A RATE CONTROL MODEL OF MPEG-4 VIDEO CODING | 105 |
| 4.1 Introduction | 105 |
| 4.2 Type of Motion for Different Video Samples | 106 |
| 4.3 Bit Rate Control Using Exhaustive Search | 111 |
| 4.3.1 Effect of Quantization Scale (q) | 111 |
| 4.3.2 Effect of Quantization Scale (q) and Frame Rate (r) | 114 |
| 4.3.3 Effect of Quantization Scale (q) and Group of Picture (GOP) Size | 119 |
| 4.3.4 Selection of Three Parameter Settings | 123 |
| 4.4 Rate Control Model for Video Encoding | 128 |
| 4.4.1 Rate Control of Video Motion Classification Based (<i>ViMoC</i>) Model | 130 |
| 4.4.2 Rate Control of Enhanced <i>ViMoC</i> (<i>e-ViMoC</i>) Model | 135 |
| 4.4.3 Model Accuracy | 140 |
| 4.5 Performance Analysis | 142 |
| 4.5.1 Simulation Results | 143 |
| 4.5.2 Test Bed Implementation | 156 |
| 4.5.3 Validation between Simulation and Experimental Results | 163 |
| 4.6 Summary | 168 |

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| 5 | DEVELOPMENT OF TRANSPORT PROTOCOL FOR WSN | 171 |
| 5.1 | Introduction | 171 |
| 5.2 | Proposed Lightweight Reliable Transport Protocol (LRTP) | 173 |
| 5.2.1 | End-to-End Reliability | 177 |
| 5.2.1.1 | Loss Detection | 177 |
| 5.2.1.2 | Loss Recovery | 178 |
| 5.2.1.3 | Duplicate Acknowledgement | 179 |
| 5.2.1.4 | Retransmission | 181 |
| 5.2.2 | Congestion Control | 182 |
| 5.2.3 | Priority Queue | 184 |
| 5.3 | Simulation Analysis of Proposed LRTP Transport Protocol | 187 |
| 5.3.1 | Impact of Varying Network Load for Scalar Data Transmission | 187 |
| 5.3.2 | Impact of Priority Queue for Video Data Transmission | 190 |
| 5.4 | Performance Comparison | 194 |
| 5.4.1 | Performance Comparison for Scalar Data Transmission | 194 |
| 5.4.2 | Performance Comparison for Video Data Transmission | 200 |
| 5.5 | Summary | 208 |
| 6 | CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORKS | 209 |
| 6.1 | Conclusion | 209 |
| 6.2 | Future Works | 214 |
| | REFERENCES | 216 |
| | Appendix | 231 |

LIST OF TABLES

| TABLE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------|--|------|
| 2.1 | Video coding standard with different organizations | 23 |
| 2.2 | Video frame format for different range of resolution | 26 |
| 2.3 | Network environment for video transmission | 32 |
| 2.4 | Video applications and delay requirement | 45 |
| 2.5 | Comparison of the existing non-standard transport protocol | 69 |
| 2.6 | MOS conversion from PSNR | 76 |
| 2.7 | Rate control for MPEG-4 | 77 |
| 2.8 | Comparison between standard transport protocols | 78 |
| 2.9 | Modification of standard transport protocols | 79 |
| 3.1 | Description for LRTP state diagram | 89 |
| 3.2 | Tools that used during encoding and decoding process | 95 |
| 3.3 | Descriptions of video and trace files | 96 |
| 3.4 | Description for video sequences | 100 |
| 3.5 | Simulation parameters | 102 |
| 4.1 | Classification the type of motion based on frame size | 110 |
| 4.2 | Optimal value for three parameter settings | 126 |
| 4.3 | Parameter of <i>ViMoC</i> rate control model and model accuracy for two parameter settings | 141 |
| 4.4 | Parameter of <i>e-ViMoC</i> rate control model and model accuracy for three parameter settings | 141 |
| 4.5 | Video bit rate used in experimental test-bed | 159 |
| 4.6 | Network parameters for test bed experimental and simulation | 164 |
| 4.5 | Summary of performance comparison between test-bed implementation and simulation study | 168 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| FIGURE NO. | TITLE | PAGE |
|-------------------|--|-------------|
| 2.1 | Component of typical sensor node | 15 |
| 2.2 | Zigbee protocol stack | 17 |
| 2.3 | IEEE 802.15.4 operating modes in the MAC layer | 18 |
| 2.4 | Superframe structure for IEEE 802.15.4 in beacon enabled mode (a) without GTS and (b) with GTS | 19 |
| 2.5 | Operating frequency band in IEEE 802.15.4 | 20 |
| 2.6 | IEEE 802.15.4 standard frame format | 21 |
| 2.7 | Image samples in different range of resolution | 25 |
| 2.8 | MPEG-4 encoding structure and component (slices, macroblock and block) | 29 |
| 2.9 | The prediction dependencies between frames in MPEG4 for Group of Picture (GOP) | 29 |
| 2.10 | Basic block diagram of MPEG-4 coder | 30 |
| 2.11 | Coding concept of DCT | 31 |
| 2.12 | Relationship between video bit rate and quantization parameter | 38 |
| 2.13 | Types of bit rate control (a) Open-loop rate control (VBR) (b) Closed-loop rate control (CBR) | 39 |
| 2.14 | Transport protocol structure | 51 |
| 2.15 | Reliability direction classification | 53 |
| 2.16 | Explicit acknowledgment (eACK) operation; (a) eACK received successfully; (b) eACK is lost | 54 |
| 2.17 | iACK operation (a) Normal operation of iACK; (b) Premature timeout; (c) Large timeout | 55 |
| 2.18 | NACK operation; (a) Non-caching mode; (b) Caching mode | 56 |
| 2.19 | Timing diagram for retransmission mechanism | |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| | (a) Hop-by-hop mechanism; (b) End-to-end mechanism | 57 |
| 2.20 | Congestion control module | 59 |
| 2.21 | WSN Transport Protocol Classification for Multimedia Application | 62 |
| 2.22 | Phenomena of HOL blocking | 64 |
| 3.1 | The overall system design | 83 |
| 3.2 | Flow chart of research methodology | 84 |
| 3.3 | Pre-process module for proposed rate control model (a) <i>ViMoC</i> ; (b) <i>e-ViMoC</i> | 86 |
| 3.4 | Position of LRTP in protocol stack of WSN | 88 |
| 3.5 | LRTP source state transition diagram | 90 |
| 3.6 | LRTP destination state transition diagram | 91 |
| 3.7 | The overall Evalvid architecture | 93 |
| 3.8 | Cygwin platform | 94 |
| 3.9 | The Evalvid tool-set for video encoding and decoding process | 95 |
| 3.10 | Example generated trace file of <i>st_video.txt</i> | 96 |
| 3.11 | An overview of NS-2 simulation for video transmission | 97 |
| 3.12 | Trace file; (a) Sender and (b) Receiver | 99 |
| 3.13 | Video sequences (a) <i>akiyo</i> (b) <i>foreman</i> (c) <i>mobile</i> | 101 |
| 3.15 | Network simulation grid topology | 103 |
| 4.1 | Frame size for QCIF frame format for (a) <i>akiyo</i> ; (b) <i>foreman</i> and | 108 |
| 4.2 | Frame size of CIF frame format (a) <i>akiyo</i> ; (b) <i>foreman</i> and | 110 |
| 4.3 | Flow chart for a selection of q using exhaustive search | 112 |
| 4.4 | Effect of video bit rate for different setting of q | 113 |
| 4.5 | Effect of PSNR for different setting of q | 114 |
| 4.6 | Binary search (BS) algorithm to search the desired target bit rate | 115 |
| 4.7 | Flow chart for the selection and adjustment of frame rate (r) | 116 |
| 4.8 | Effect of video bit rate for different setting of frame rate (r) | 117 |
| 4.9 | Effect of PSNR for different setting of frame rate | 118 |
| 4.10 | Relationship between bit rate, quantization scale (q) and frame rate (r) | 119 |

| | | |
|------|---|-----|
| 4.11 | Flow chart for the selection and adjustment of the GOP size | 120 |
| 4.12 | Effect of video bit rate for different setting of GOP size | 121 |
| 4.13 | Effect of PSNR for different setting of GOP size | 122 |
| 4.14 | Relationship between bit rate, quantization scale (q) and GOP size (l) | 123 |
| 4.15 | Selection of three parameter settings for the video encoding process | 125 |
| 4.16 | Video bit rate with and without optimal value of parameter settings | 127 |
| 4.17 | PSNR with and without optimal value of parameter settings | 128 |
| 4.18 | Process to compute the equation of rate control model | 129 |
| 4.19 | Graph pattern for bit rate versus quantization scale (q) | 131 |
| 4.20 | Graph pattern for bit rate versus frame rate (r) | 132 |
| 4.21 | Graph pattern for bit rate versus group of picture (GOP) size (l) | 138 |
| 4.22 | RMSE comparison between <i>ViMoC</i> and <i>e-ViMoC</i> rate control model | 142 |
| 4.23 | Network grid topology; (a) Low network density (b) Medium network density | 144 |
| 4.24 | Performance comparison of video transmission in term of the delivery ratio for (a) <i>akiyo</i> ; (b) <i>foreman</i> and (c) <i>mobile</i> video sequences | 147 |
| 4.25 | Percentage of frame loss | 148 |
| 4.26 | Performance comparison of video transmission in term of energy consumption for (a) <i>akiyo</i> ; (b) <i>foreman</i> and (c) <i>mobile</i> video sequences | 150 |
| 4.27 | Performance comparison of video transmission in term of Peak Signal to Noise Ratio (PSNR) for (a) <i>akiyo</i> ; (b) <i>foreman</i> and (c) <i>mobile</i> video sequences | 152 |
| 4.28 | Performance comparison of video transmission in term of end-to-end delay for (a) <i>akiyo</i> ; (b) <i>foreman</i> and (c) <i>mobile</i> video sequences | 155 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 4.29 | Performance comparison of video transmission in term of jitter for (a) <i>akiyo</i> ; (b) <i>foreman</i> and (c) <i>mobile</i> video sequences | 156 |
| 4.30 | TelG Mote | 157 |
| 4.31 | TelG Mote System Architecture | 157 |
| 4.32 | Flowchart of MPEG-4 Video Transmission | 159 |
| 4.33 | TelG mote position for test-bed measurement | 160 |
| 4.34 | Test-bed performance comparison between <i>e-ViMoC</i> and non-optimized video encoded for transmission over WSN | 162 |
| 4.35 | Network topology in (a) test-bed experimental and (b) simulation | 163 |
| 4.36 | Performance comparison between <i>e-ViMOC</i> test bed and simulation at different distance for (a) <i>akiyo</i> ; (b) <i>foreman</i> ; (c) <i>mobile</i> video sequence | 167 |
| 5.1 | The integration between encoded video of <i>e-ViMoC</i> model and LRTP protocol over WSN | 172 |
| 5.2 | Development of LRTP scheme | 175 |
| 5.3 | LRTP packet format | 176 |
| 5.4 | Loss detection of LRTP | 178 |
| 5.5 | Several situations of data packet and acknowledgement lost in the network | 179 |
| 5.6 | Duplicate acknowledgement | 180 |
| 5.7 | Overcome the problem of duplicate acknowledgement | 181 |
| 5.8 | Retransmission design of LRTP transport protocol | 182 |
| 5.9 | State machine for congestion control action | 184 |
| 5.10 | Flowchart of the LRTP priority queue scheme | 186 |
| 5.11 | LRTP priority queue state machine | 186 |
| 5.12 | Performance of LRTP at different packet rate (a) Delivery ratio; (b) Energy consumption; (c) End-to-end delay | 190 |
| 5.13 | Performance of LRTP with priority queue (a) Delivery ratio; (b) Energy consumption; (c) End-to-end delay; (d) PSNR | 193 |

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 5.14 | Comparison between LRTP and standard protocol in term of (a) Delivery ratio; (b) Energy Consumption; (c) End-to-end delay | 197 |
| 5.15 | Comparison between LRTP and UDP at different packet rate | 199 |
| 5.16 | Comparison between LRTP and UDP for different video samples in term of delivery ratio (a) <i>akiyo</i> ; (b) <i>foreman</i> ; (c) <i>mobile</i> | 201 |
| 5.17 | Comparison between LRTP and UDP for different video samples in term of energy consumption (a) <i>akiyo</i> ; (b) <i>foreman</i> ; (c) <i>mobile</i> video sequeunces | 203 |
| 5.18 | Comparison between LRTP and UDP for different video samples in term of end-to-end delay (a) <i>akiyo</i> ; (b) <i>foreman</i> ; (c) <i>mobile</i> | 205 |
| 5.19 | Comparison between LRTP and UDP for different video samples in term of PSNR (a) <i>akiyo</i> ; (b) <i>foreman</i> ; (c) <i>mobile</i> | 207 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | | |
|---------|---|--|
| AdamRTP | - | Adaptive Multi-flows Real-time |
| ADC | - | Analog to Digital Converters |
| AFX | - | Animation Framework eXtension |
| AIMD | - | Additive Increase and Multiplicative Decrease |
| ART | - | Asymmetric and Reliable Transport |
| ART | - | Adaptive Retransmission Trigger |
| AVC | - | Advanced Video Coding |
| B frame | - | Bidirectional frame |
| BO | - | Beacon Order |
| BS | - | Binary Search |
| CAP | - | Contention Access Period |
| CBR | - | Constant Bit Rate |
| CCA | - | Clear Channel Assessment |
| CCIR | - | International Radio Consultative Committee |
| CCTV | - | Closed Circuit Television |
| CD-ROM | - | Compact Disk – Read Only Memory |
| CFP | - | Contention Free Period |
| CIF | - | Common Intermediate Format |
| CLD | - | Cross Layer Design |
| CL-MGTS | - | Cross Layer Multimedia Guaranteed Time Slot |
| CMOS | - | Complementary Metal-Oxide Semiconductor |
| CN | - | Congestion Notification |
| CONSEQ | - | CONtrol of SEnsor Queue |
| CQ | - | Constant Quality |
| CSMA | - | Carrier Sense Multiple Access |
| CSMA/CA | - | Carrier Sense Multiple Access/ Collision Avoidance |
| DCT | - | Discrete Cosine Transform |

| | | |
|---------|---|---|
| DMIF | - | Delivery Multimedia Integration Framework |
| DWT | - | Discrete Wavelet Transform |
| eACK | - | Explicit Acknowledgement |
| eCMT | - | Environment Aware Concurrent Multipath Transfer |
| ETE | - | End to End |
| e-ViMoC | - | Enhanced Video Motion Classification Based |
| FCF | - | Frame Control Field |
| FCS | - | Frame Check Sequence |
| FEC | - | Forward Error Correction |
| FIFO | - | First In First Out |
| FLCQ | - | Frame-level Laplacian Constant Quality |
| fps | - | Frame per second |
| FSN | - | Frame Sequence Number |
| GFX | - | Graphical Framework eXtension |
| GOP | - | Group of Picture |
| GTS | - | Guarantee Time Slot |
| HDTV | - | High Definition Television |
| HOL | - | Head of Line |
| HQ | - | High Priority Queue |
| HR-WPAN | - | High Rate Wireless Personal Area Network |
| I frame | - | Intra frame |
| iACK | - | Implicit Acknowledgement |
| ID | - | Identification number |
| IEC | - | International Electrotechnical Commission |
| IEEE | - | Institute of Electrical and Electronic |
| IETF | - | Internet Engineering Task Force |
| INIT | - | Initialize the LRTP Packet |
| ISDN | - | Integrated Services for Digital Network |
| ISO | - | International Standard Organization |
| ITU | - | International Telecommunications Union |
| JPEG | - | Joint Photographic Expert Group |
| JVT | - | Joint Venture Team |
| LDPC | - | Low Density Parity Check |

| | | |
|---------|---|--|
| LLC | - | Logical Link Layer |
| LQ | - | Low Priority Queue |
| LRCC | - | Load Repartition Congestion Control |
| LRTP | - | Lightweight Reliable Transport Protocol |
| LRTPAck | - | Lightweight Reliable Transport Protocol Acknowledgement |
| LR-WPAN | - | Low Rate Wireless Personal Area Network |
| LR-WPAN | - | Low Rate Wireless Personal Area Network |
| LSRP | - | Link State Routing Protocol |
| MAC | - | Medium Access Control |
| M-DTSN | - | Multimedia Distributed Transport for Sensor Network |
| MMDR | - | Multipath Multi-stream Distributed Reliability |
| MOS | - | Mean Opinion Score |
| MPEG | - | Moving Picture Expert Group |
| MPEG- | - | MPEG TCP-Friendly Rate Control Protocol |
| TFRCP | | |
| MPMPS | - | Multi-priority Multi-path Selection |
| MRTP | - | Multiflow Real-time Transport Protocol |
| MR-WPAN | - | Medium Rate Wireless Personal Area Network |
| MSE | - | Mean Square Error |
| MTU | - | Maximum Transmission Unit |
| NACK | - | Negative Acknowledgement |
| NEC | - | Normalized Energy Consumption |
| NS-2 | - | Network Simulator 2 |
| NTSC | - | National Television Standard Committee |
| OF | - | Optimal Forwarding |
| OSI | - | Open System Interconnection |
| P frame | - | Predicted frame |
| PAL | - | Phase Alternating Line |
| PAN | - | Personal Area Network |
| P-ARQ | - | Priority Automatic Request Queue |
| PC | - | Pearson's Correlation |
| PCC-PRG | - | Priority Based Congestion Control and Partial Reliability |

| | Guaranty |
|---------|---|
| PDR | - Packet Delivery Ratio |
| PQM | - Path Quality-aware Model |
| PR | - Partial Reliability |
| PR | - Packet Received |
| PRR | - Packet Reception Rate |
| PS | - Packet Sent |
| PSDU | - Physical Service Data Unit |
| PSNR | - Peak Signal to Noise Ratio |
| PSTN | - Public Switched Telephone Network |
| QCCP-PS | - Queue based Congestion Control Protocol with Priority Support |
| QCIF | - Quarter Common Intermediate Format |
| QM/BM | - Quality/ Bit Matching |
| QoS | - Quality Of Service |
| RAIT | - Reliable Asynchronous Image Transfer |
| RAM | - Random Access Memory |
| RCCP | - Receiver Congestion Control Protocol |
| RC-VBR | - Rate Control Variable Bit Rate |
| R-D | - Rate Distortion |
| R-Q | - Rate Quantization |
| RMSE | - Root Mean Squared Errors |
| RMST | - Reliable Multi-Segment Transport |
| ROI | - Region of Interest |
| RSTP | - Reliable Synchronous Transport Protocol |
| RTL D | - Real-time with Load Distribution |
| RTS/CTS | - Request to Transmit/Clear to Transmit |
| RTT | - Round Trip Time |
| SACK | - Selective Acknowledgement |
| SCAP | - Source Congestion Avoidance Protocol |
| SCTP | - Stream Control Transmission Protocol |
| SD | - Superframe Duration |
| SDTV | - Standard definition TV |

| | | |
|---------|---|---|
| SIF | - | Source Input Frame |
| SO | - | Superframe Order |
| SQCIF | - | Sub Quarter Common Intermediate Format |
| SSN | - | Stream Sequence Number |
| SUIT | - | Sensor fUzzy-based Image Transmission |
| TCP | - | Transmission Control Protocol |
| TDMA | - | Time Division Multiple Access |
| TEC | - | Total Energy Consumed |
| TES | - | Transform Expand Sample |
| TFCC | - | Trust Based Fuzzy Algorithm for Congestion Control |
| TM | - | Trust Metrics |
| TM5 | - | Test Model version 5 |
| TMN8 | - | Test Model Near-term version 8 |
| TX-HIGH | - | Transmit High Priority Data |
| TX-LOW | - | Transmit Low Priority Data |
| UDP | - | User Datagram Protocol |
| UWB | - | Ultra Wide Band |
| VBR | - | Variable Bit Rate |
| ViMoC | - | Video Motion Classification Based |
| VLC | - | Variable Length Coding |
| VOP | - | Video Object Plane |
| WCCP | - | Wireless Multimedia Sensor Network Congestion Control Protocol |
| WLAN | - | Wireless Local Area Network |
| WMSN | - | Wireless Multimedia Sensor Network |
| WPAN | - | Wireless Personal Area Network |
| WSN | - | Wireless Sensor Network |

LIST OF SYMBOLS

| | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| q | - | Quantization scale |
| r | - | Frame rate |
| l | - | Group of Picture (GOP) length |
| $B(q, r)$ | - | ViMoC model for parameter setting of quantization scale and frame rate |
| B_{max} | - | Maximum bit rate with the combination of minimum quantization scale and maximum frame rate |
| $B_q(q, r_{max})$ | - | Normalized bit rate versus quantization scale |
| $B_r(r, q)$ | - | Normalized bit rate versus frame rate |
| $B_q(q)$ | - | Normalized bit rate versus quantization scale represent the function of q only |
| $B_r(r)$ | - | Normalized bit rate versus frame rate represent the function of r only |
| $B'(q, r, l)$ | - | e-ViMoC model for parameter setting of quantization scale, frame rate and GOP size |
| B'_{max} | - | Maximum bit rate with the combination of minimum quantization scale, maximum frame rate and maximum GOP size |
| $B'_q(q; r_{max}, l_{max})$ | - | Normalized bit rate versus quantization scale, for the maximum frame rate, and maximum GOP size |
| $B'_r(r; q, l_{max})$ | - | Normalized bit rate versus frame rate, for the given quantization scale and maximum GOP size |
| $B'_l(l; q, r)$ | - | Normalized bit rate versus GOP size, for the given quantization scale and frame rate |

| | | |
|-------------|---|---|
| $B_l(l)$ | - | Normalized bit rate versus GOP size, for the given quantization scale and frame rate represent the function of l only |
| FL_T | - | Frame loss based on frame type |
| nT_{recv} | - | The number of type T frames received |
| nT_{sent} | - | The number of type T frames sent |
| n | - | Packet number |
| r_n | - | The time that data packet n was sent |
| s_n | - | The time that data packet n was received |
| $X(i,j)$ | - | The original or reference source frame |
| $Y(i,j)$ | - | Reconstructed frame |

LIST OF APPENDIX

| APPENDIX | TITLE | PAGE |
|-----------------|----------------------|-------------|
| A | List of Publications | 231 |

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Recent advances in wireless communication technology, digital electronics and micro-electro-mechanical systems have enabled the development of low-cost, low-power and small size of sensor nodes which can communicate within short distances [1]. These sensor nodes consist of sensing, data processing and wireless communicating component. The sensor nodes can be transmitted information or data collected wirelessly to the base station and are interconnected to form a network which is defined as Wireless Sensor Network (WSN). WSN is generally built up from hundreds or thousands of sensor nodes. During the last few years, WSN has significant importance in monitoring changes of environmental parameters such as temperature, sound, vibration, pressure and motion at different locations. In typical WSN, sensor nodes have constraints in energy consumption, limited memory resources and processing capability [2].

One of the most famous standards with possible deployment of WSN is IEEE 802.15.4. The IEEE 802.15.4 [3] defines a data communication protocol standard for the physical and Media Access Control (MAC) layers of low rate wireless personal area networks (LR-WPAN). The features of LR-WPAN are ease of installation and deployment, reliable data transfer, short-range communication, low cost, reasonable battery life while maintaining a simple and flexible protocol stack [4].

The main aim of any WSN deployment is to ensure reliable communication between source and destination which is the probability of packet being received at the destination [5]. Reliability is one of the important criteria for evaluating the quality of WSN which give significant impact on the network performance such as delivery ratio, energy consumption and end-to-end delay. Reliability will be affected by parameters such as channel quality, information congestion, and size of the packet transmission. Reliability in WSN is basically depends on various factors such as hardware constraint, deployment strategy, wireless link characteristics and environmental conditions. These factors are crucial in order to ensure that the network can support the application requirement.

As mentioned before, sensor nodes have constraints in energy consumption, limited memory resources and processing capability. Because of these hardware constraints, there is an effort to reduce the cost of the sensors node which results in allowing massive deployment [6] [7]. Thus, sensors are densely deployed to increase the sensing coverage, connectivity and to prolong the network lifetime [8]. However, energy constraint will limit the range of communication. Other challenge in achieving reliability in WSN is the nature of wireless communication link. The problem of achieving reliable communication between nodes in WSNs is further aggravated by the presence of wireless link with higher bit-error rate [9]. Since the WSN communication bandwidth is small, overhead for error correction cannot be added to the data packet. As a consequence, the characteristic of wireless communication links will degrade the reliability performance of the network. Environmental conditions are also one of the challenges to achieve the reliability in WSN. The environmental conditions such as physical, chemical and biological factors will directly affect the sensing unit and wireless transceiver of a sensor node. Even though the condition of the hardware is good, but the communication between nodes may be affected by environmental factors, which decreases the reliability performance of the network.

Recently, multimedia applications over WSNs are emerging rapidly. This is due to the advancement of wireless multimedia services and technologies such as wireless video services which are becoming ubiquitous in our daily life [10].

Therefore, there is an increasing interest in the research community to design and develop critical services that require video monitoring over WSNs. The WSNs with multimedia application are focusing from typical scalar data such as temperature, humidity, pressure and light to multimedia data. For the purpose of multimedia data transmission, the networks consist of multimedia devices that are capable to send video and images as well as scalar data. With the existing WSNs for multimedia transmission, many potential applications can be provided such as multimedia surveillance sensor network, traffic control system to avoid traffic congestion, law enforcement report, environmental monitoring for habitat monitoring, industrial process control to detect defective products automatically and advanced health care delivery [11]. All of these multimedia applications have the potential of enhancing the level of collective information, increasing the range of coverage and allowing many resolution views [12].

Enabling multimedia application requires additional characteristics and challenges due to the nature of multimedia data such as high bandwidth demand, multimedia coding technique, power consumption, application-specified Quality of Service (QoS) requirement, tolerable end-to-end delay and proper jitter [11]. However, these requirements contradict with the characteristic and challenges of sending multimedia data over WSNs mentioned earlier which are limited storage, limited processing ability and bandwidth limitations of sensor nodes. These factors are important as a guideline to design communication protocols for efficient multimedia transmission in sensor networks which comply to the IEEE 802.15.4 standards. Therefore, reliable data transmission in WSNs becomes very crucial for multimedia application with different application requirements.

Multimedia application requires reliable data transfer during encoding process, during transmission over WSN and also during decoding process. Particularly, the most important processes of video transmission are during the encoding process and transmission over WSN. This is because these processes will have significant effect on the results at the end of data transmission. Therefore, to provide reliable transmission, the research focuses on the video encoding process and designing efficient transport protocol in WSN.

The video encoding process is crucial in reducing the traffic volume for transmission as well as maintaining the quality of video. Rate control is one of the ways to reduce the size of bandwidth requirement for video transmission over sensor networks. The rate control is an important technique to control the bit rate of video transmission over a channel of limited bandwidth. This technique must be employed during the video compression process in order to adjust the encoding parameter settings and ensure that the video bit rate meets the requirement of WSN characteristic which is less than 250kbps.

Besides, to improve reliability, to meet the application QoS requirement, as well as to provide a fair and efficient technique to cater the resource constraints, other issues of reliability and characteristics of multimedia application have become a concern and need to be considered properly at different layer of communication protocols stack in WSN. Reliability can be provided at the network layer [13], [14], [15], [16] and transport layer. However, most of the researchers consider provision of reliability at the transport layer as discussed in [17]. It is understood that reliable data transmission in WSN is very crucial for multimedia application such as video transmission. Thus, implementation of transport protocol in WSN for multimedia application is a challenging task. A standard transport protocol such as User Datagram Protocol (UDP), Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) and Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP) are extensively used in Internet but can be applied for multimedia application over WSN with some modifications to tailor with the characteristics of multimedia and WSN. Therefore, multimedia transmission requires a enhanced transport protocol that meets all the requirements to run the application despite the limitations of sensor nodes and the unique characteristics of multimedia communication. The main goal of the enhanced transport protocol is to transmit video data over WSN in a promising way to achieve good data transmission reliability and energy efficiency to extend the network lifetime. It is also required to provide congestion control mechanism in order to ensure good video quality.

1.2 Problem Statement

Recently, advancement in wireless access network, especially for wireless multimedia services is becoming ubiquitous in our daily life. Therefore, reliable data transmission in wireless network is very crucial for multimedia application. This is because for multimedia application, large bandwidth and huge memory are required in order to send the video data and ensure that the video received is in good quality.

IEEE 802.15.4 standard [3] that has the characteristics of low data rate transmission, low energy consumption, ease of deployment and low cost has attracted lots of attention in the research community. However, due to the low transmission rate up to 250kbps [3] with small Maximum Transmission Unit (MTU) size of 127 kbytes [18] supported by IEEE 802.15.4 and the characteristic possessed by wireless networks (channel quality, traffic congestion) high traffic data such as video transmission over WSN imposes new research issues and challenges. Based on related literature, video transmission mainly focuses on high data rate standards such as WiFi, Bluetooth and other technologies which support data rate in Mbps with MTU up to 1500 bytes. Thus, video transmission over IEEE 802.15.4 network is more challenging and is given a special interest due to its nature of low complexity and low implementation cost, but is still capable of maintaining good received video quality.

In video transmission, the video bit rate are important criteria that need to be considered to ensure the video can be transmitted over WSN because high video bit rate will produce large video file size. Large video size requires a lot of fragmentation to generate an optimal packet size. In WSN, the number of packet transmission is an important parameter which will influence the reliability and energy consumption. Large number of packet transmission will result in high packet error rate [19][20] and increase the number of retransmission as well as energy consumption [19] [21]. Moreover, the video received will be corrupted due to packet loss and corruption of some of the important video frames during the transmission. Rate control [22] is one of the ways to reduce the traffic volume for transmission over limited bandwidth by controlling the video size and video bit rate as well as

maintaining the quality of video during the video encoding process. Most of the previous work on multimedia application focuses on network that support high data rate, thus large video size is not an issue. In order to achieve reliable video transmission, the rate control technique must be employed during the video compression process in order to adjust the encoding parameter settings and ensure that the video bit rate and video size meets the requirement of WSN characteristic.

Another challenging task to achieve reliable video transmission in WSN as well as to maintain the video quality is the consideration of communication protocols stack which is the transport protocols. Transport protocol works at transport layer that responsible to ensure end-to-end reliability, which is the probability of packets being received at the destination and to provide congestion control mechanism to reduce or alleviate any congestion happen. The standard transport protocols such as UDP, TCP and SCTP can be applied in WSNs with some modifications to tailor with the limitation of WSN [11]. Meanwhile, most of the existing non-standard transport protocols do not considered the problem of solving the high bandwidth demand with low power consumption because the protocols are applied at network that support high data rate [23]. Thus, to achieve high reliability for video transmission over the network of IEEE 802.15.4 standard, the modification of standard transport protocol will be adopted in the proposed enhanced transport protocol to provide lightweight protocol. Since energy is very crucial in WSN, the proposed enhanced transport protocol algorithm will also consider the problem of high power consumption in transmitting multimedia data. Thus, to prolong the lifetime of a wireless sensor node, an efficient transport protocol needs to support reliable message delivery and congestion control with energy efficiency. During data transmission, rate adjustment which is the sending rate from the sender will be reduced when congestion is detected.

1.3 Objectives of the Research

The primary goal of this research is to provide reliable multimedia data transmission over Wireless Sensor Network (WSN) as well as to satisfy the Quality

of Service (QoS) demand for multimedia communication. The QoS demand is in terms of packet delivery ratio (PDR), peak signal to noise ratio (PSNR), end-to-end (ETE) delay and throughput of the network with the constraints of WSN which are limited bandwidth, battery power and small memory size. The specific objectives of the proposed design are:

- To determine the optimum value for quantization scale, frame rate and Group of Picture (GOP) size for video encoding process
- To enhance variable rate control model for video encoding process
- To develop a suitable transport protocol in order to achieve end-to-end reliability for video transmission over WSN

1.4 Scope of the Research

This research work focuses on designing reliable data transmission that is highly subjected to minimize the number of packet loss for multimedia application. Therefore, reliable data transmission needs to be ensured during the video encoding process and during the video transmission in the wireless network.

During video encoding process, bit rate control technique is required to reduce the video bit rate in order to meet the requirement of WSN limited bandwidth. The video bit rate is controlled by determine the optimal and accurate combination of parameter settings. The parameters taken into consideration are quantization scale (q), frame rate (r) that measured in term of frame per second (fps) and the size of Group of Picture (GOP) (I). MPEG-4 video codec is one of the compression schemes that was identified to be suitable for WSN environment. In this work, a simulation study for MPEG-4 video encoding scheme based on an experimental model was carried out to determine conformance with IEEE 802.15.4 requirements. The video samples will be encoded and decoded in offline mode due to the complexity of encoding and decoding process where the results produced would be used for simulating the wireless scenario in the simulator.

In addition, to improve the reliability of video transmission over WSN, an enhanced transport protocol is proposed. In transport protocol, reliability for multimedia application can be achieved by preventing unnecessary retransmission and prioritize data depending on the importance of video data (I frame and P frame) in the network using priority queue. Besides, transport protocol also provides congestion control mechanism to avoid any congestion that may happen in the network. Reliable message delivery in an energy efficient manner is needed because the sensor node has limited operating lifetime. Due to the limited transmission capacity of sensor nodes, the frames are fragmented into 100 bytes for energy efficiency purposes.

The physical layer characteristic is based on IEEE 802.15.4 standard. This standard provides low data rate (limited bandwidth) which is 250kbps with small MTU size of 127 bytes. The unslotted Carrier Sense Multiple Access/ Collision Avoidance (CSMA/CA) is adopted in MAC layer and Real-Time Load Distribution (RTLTD) routing protocol is adopted as a routing protocol in the network layer. The standard transport protocols which are User Datagram Protocol (UDP), Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) and Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP) are used at transport layer. The network topology is set up with grid topology for multi-hop network to ensure that the coverage area between each node is same. The assumptions of this research are node is assumed to be static and the network is limited to small size network which the number of nodes is less than 100.

In this research, Evalvid simulation tool set and Cgywin window are used to encode the video during the encoding process. Then, the proposed bit rate control model is computes based on the video bit rate from the video encoding process in order to ensure the bit rate is less than 250kbps with the packet size of transmission is 127kbytes. Finally, the simulation of encoded video over WSN using Network Simulator-2 (NS-2) is verified with an experimental test bed data. In addition, the proposed enhanced transport protocol is developed and simulation study is carried out using Network Simulator-2 (NS-2). The simulation result is compared with the standard transport protocol in wireless sensor network for multimedia application.

1.5 Research Contribution

In this work, reliable data transmission needs to be assured during video encoding process and during data transmission. The proposed idea is to ensure quality of services (QoS) of video transmission in WSNs. The research contributions of this thesis are as follows:

1.5.1 Bit rate control for video encoding process

As mentioned earlier, the maximum data rate that is allowed in IEEE 802.15.4 standard is less than 250kbps. Therefore, if the video is not compressed with optimal parameter setting during video encoding, it will lead to the problem of buffer overflowing and will result in low video quality. The compressed video with optimal parameter setting during video encoding can reduce the packet loss while increasing the packet delivery ratio as well as enhancing the video quality. The simple procedures proposed for optimal parameter setting make it practical to be implemented in the video encoding process. The variable bit rate control model called Video Motion Classification Based (*ViMoC*) is proposed to predict and control the video bit rate at the encoder part. *ViMoC* model is derived from the simulated data which is based on the analysis of the effect of quantization scale (q) and frame rate (r) parameter setting variation towards video bit rate. Subsequently, enhanced *ViMoC* (*e-ViMoC*) model is proposed to further improve the previous model by incorporating the factor of GOP size, l . This is to ensure that the video bit rate meets the requirement of WSN characteristic without compromising the quality of the video during the encoding process.

1.5.2 Lightweight Reliable Transport Protocol (LRTP)

The LRTP is proposed with reliability and congestion control algorithm to achieve end-to-end reliable video transmission in WSN. The proposed LRTP protocol will adopted the some features of UDP with consideration for both

requirements of sensor node characteristic and multimedia data communication. Besides, the LRTP will also adopt the priority queue which is giving high priority to I frame compared to others data. This priority scheme is crucial in order to enhance the energy efficiency by decreasing the number of retransmissions and end-to-end delay as well as packet lost.

1.6 Significance of the Research

In practical, video transmission over WSN is used to enhance and complement the existing sensor network application. As such, it is crucial to keep the cost of the sensor node and its power profile low by only transmitting a highly compressed video. Due to the low rate and small MTU size of IEEE 802.15.4 standard, video encoding process is essential as to maintain the quality of video as well as to reduce the traffic volume for transmission. By using MPEG-4 video compression technique, the cost for video transmission and energy consumption will be reduced. This will result in increasing the reliability performance of the WSN. Flood monitoring in remote area is an example of video transmission over WSN that requires low resolution video which is delay tolerant.

Besides, in wireless communication, the common factors that contribute to the packet loss in the network are unreliable wireless link, congestion in the network due to high number of packet transmission and channel bit error during data transmission. Therefore, the proposed transport protocol that provides reliable data transfer and congestion control mechanism can be used for multimedia application that requires reliability assurance. For example, the system that monitors different environment such as video surveillance in difficult access zone or dangerous places requires high reliability and less power consumption for longer lifetime. On the other hand, health monitoring for critical patient requires high reliability to ensure that fast action can be taken during the critical condition to safe the patient in short period of time.

1.7 Thesis organization

This thesis consists of six chapters which includes the three main contributions of the research. The background, problem statement, objectives, scope and contributions of the research are presented in Chapter 1.

Chapter 2 highlights the wireless sensor network for multimedia support, the video coding standard, compression techniques, rate control in video encoding, the literature review of video transmission over WSN and the transport protocol in WSNs. The review of transport protocols is also included the standard and non-standard transport protocol.

Chapter 3 primarily focuses on the design and architecture of the enhance variable rate control model for video encoding process and proposed transport protocol framework. The proposed rate control model and transport protocol are described in detailed using flowcharts and block diagrams. In addition, this chapter also includes the processes involved in network simulations, the parameter configurations and also the performance metrics used.

Chapter 4 presents the details on the first contribution which is the proposed rate control model for the video encoding process. This chapter includes description of process to choose the optimal setting of three parameters namely quantization scale, frame rate and Group of Picture (GOP) size followed by simulation study of video transmission over WSNs. Then, the results of the analysis and simulation are presented and discussed.

The second contribution which is LRTP is presented in chapter 5. The proposed transport protocol is explained, including reliability and congestion control. Reliability of video transmission in WSNs is further enhanced with priority queue. The performance of transport protocol is determined and discussed comprehensively.

The thesis concludes in Chapter 6. This chapter also provides the recommendations for the future work as directions for extension and enhancement of the contributions of this thesis.

REFERENCES

1. Özcan, Ö. and Gündüz, M. Investigation and Implementation of a PIC-Based Sensor Node for Wireless Sensor Networks. *Journal of Computer and Communications*. 2014. 2(4): 90–98.
2. Misra, S., Reisslein, M. and Xue, G. A Survey of Multimedia Streaming in Wireless Sensor Networks. *IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials*. 2008. 10(4): 18–39.
3. NXP Laboratories UK. *IEEE 802.15.4 Stack User Guide*. UK. JN-UG-3024 V2.2. 2014.
4. Baronti, P., Pillai, P., Chook, V. W.C., Chessa, S., Gotta, A. and Hu, Y. F. Wireless Sensor Networks: A Survey on the State of the Art and the 802.15.4 and ZigBee Standards. *Computer Communications*. 2007. 30(7): 1655–1695.
5. Dâmaso, A., Rosa, N. and Maciel, P. Reliability of Wireless Sensor Networks. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*. 2014. 14(9): 15760–15785.
6. Costa, D. G., Silva, I., Guedes, L. A., Vasques, F. and Portugal, P. Availability Issues in Wireless Visual Sensor Networks. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*. 2014. 14(2): 2795–2821.
7. Ai, J. and Abouzeid, A. Coverage by Directional Sensors in Randomly Deployed Wireless Sensor Networks. *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization*. 2006. 11(1): 21–41.
8. Costa, D. G., and Guedes, L. A. The Coverage Problem in Video-Based Wireless Sensor Networks: A Survey. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*. 2010. 10(9): 8215–8247.
9. Willig, A., and Karl, H. Data Transport Reliability in Wireless Sensor Networks: A Survey of Issues and Solutions. *Praxis der Informationsverarbeitung und Kommunikation*. 2005. 28(2): 86–92.
10. Rodrigues, J. J. P. C., Oliveira, M. and Vaidya, B. New Trends on Ubiquitous Mobile Multimedia Applications. *EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking*. 2010. 2010: 1–11.

11. Almalkawi, I. T., Zapata, . G., Al-Karaki, J. N. and Morillo-Pozo, J. Wireless Multimedia Sensor Network: Current Trends and Future Directions. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*. 2010. 10(7): 6662–6717.
12. Cucchiara, R. Multimedia Surveillance Systems. *Proceedings of the 3rd ACM International Workshop on Video Surveillance & Sensor Networks (VSSN '05)*. August 1-2, 2005. New York, USA: ACM New York. 2005. 3–10.
13. Villas, L. A., Boukerche, A., Araujo, R. B. and Loureiro, A. A. F. Reliable and Data Aggregation Aware Routing Protocol for Wireless Sensor Network. *Proceeding of the 12th ACM International Conference on Modeling, Analysis and Simulation of Wireless and Mobile Systems*. October 27-30, 2009. Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain: ACM New York. 2009. 245–252.
14. Loh, P. K. K., Long, S. H. and Pan, Y. An Efficient and Reliable Routing Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks. *Proceeding of the Sixth IEEE International Symposium on a World of Wireless Mobile and Multimedia Networks*. June 13-16, 2005. Taormina- Giardini Naxos: IEEE. 2005. 512–516.
15. Zhixin, L. I. U., Lili, D. A. I., Liang, X. U. E., Xinping, G. and Changchun, H. U. A. Reliability Considered Routing Protocol in Wireless Sensor Networks. *Proceeding of the 30th Chinese Control Conference*. July 22-24, 2011. Yantai, China: IEEE. 2011.5011–5016.
16. Rusheng, Z. and Fang, L. Reliable Energy Aware Routing Protocol in Wireless Sensor Networks. *Proceeding of the International Conference on Management Science and Industrial Engineering (MSIE)*. January 8-11, 2011. Harbin, China: IEEE. 2011. 1096–1099.
17. Yunus, F., Ismail, N. -S. N., Ariffin, S. H. S., Shahidan, A. A., Faisal, N. and Syed-Yusof, S. K. Proposed Transport Protocol for Reliable Data Transfer in Wireless Sensor Network (WSN). *Proceeding of the 2011 Fourth International Conference on Modeling, Simulation and Applied Optimization*. April 19-21, 2011. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: IEEE. 2011. 1–7.
18. Freescale Semiconductor. *Freescale IEEE 802.15.4 / ZigBee Node RF Evaluation and Test Guidelines*. 2010.

19. Dener, M. Optimum Packet Length Over Data Transmission for Wireless Sensor Networks. *Proceedings of the 8th International Conference on Sensing Technology*. September 2-4, 2014. Liverpool, UK. 2014. 52-56.
20. Leghari, M. Abbasi, S. and Das Dhomeja, L. Survey on Packet Size Optimization Techniques in Wireless Sensor Networks. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Wireless Sensor Networks for Developing Countries (WSN4DC)*. April 24-26, 2013. Jamshoro, Pakistan: Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. 2013. 1–8.
21. Akbas, A., Yildiz, H. U. and Tavli, B. Data Packet Length Optimization for Wireless Sensor Network Lifetime Maximization. *Proceeding of 10th International Conference on Communications (COMM)*. May 29-31, 2014. Bucharest, Ramonia: IEEE. 2014. 1–6.
22. Foh, C. H., Zhang, Y., Ni, Z. and Cai, J. “Scalable Video Transmission over the IEEE 802 . 11e Networks Using Cross-Layer Rate Control,” in *IEEE International Conference on Communications, 2007*. June 24-28, 2007. Glasgow, Scotland: IEEE. 2007. 1760–1765.
23. Costa, D. G. and Affonso Guedes, L. A Survey on Transport Protocols for Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks. *KSII Transactions on Internet and Information Systems*. 2012. 6(1): 241–269.
24. Akyildiz, I. F., Melodia, T. and Chowdury, K. R. Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks: A Survey. *IEEE Wireless Communications*. 2007. 14(6): 32–39.
25. Harjito, B. and Han, S. Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks Applications and Security Challenges. *Proceeding of the 2010 International Conference on Broadband, Wireless Computing, Communication and Applications*. November 4-6, 2010. Fukuoka, Japan: IEEE. 2010. 842–846.
26. Akyildiz, I. F., Melodia, T. and Chowdhury, K.R. Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks : Applications and Testbeds. *Proceeding of the IEEE*. 2008. 96(10): 1588–1605.
27. Makableh, A. H. and Samara, G. Impact of Node Clustering on Power Consumption in WSN. *Proceeding of the 7th International Conference on Information Technology*. May 12-15, 2015. Amman, Jordan. 2015. 266–269.
28. Abozahhad, M., Farrag, M. and Ali, A. A Comparative Study of Energy Consumption Sources for Wireless Sensor Networks. *International Journal of Grid Distribution Computing*. 2015. 8(3): 65–76.

29. Shilpa, K.S., Narayan, D. G., Kotabagi, S. and Uma, M. Suitability Analysis of IEEE 802.15.4 Networks for Video Surveillance. *Proceeding of the 2011 International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Communication Networks*. October 7-9, 2011. Gwalior, India: IEEE. 2011. 702–706.
30. Garcia-Sanchez, F., Losilla, F. and Garcia-Haro, J. A Nomadic Access Mechanism for Enabling Dynamic Video Surveillance over IEEE 802.15.4 Networks. *Measurement Science and Technology*. 2010. 21(12): 1–10.
31. Mihajlov, B. and Bogdanoski, M. Overview and Analysis of the Performances of ZigBee- based Wireless Sensor Networks. *International Journal of Computer Applications*. 2011. 29(12): 28–35.
32. Ahmed, A. and Fisal, N. Secure Real-time Routing Protocol with Load Distribution in Wireless Sensor Networks. *Security and Communication Networks*. 2010. 4(8): 839–859.
33. Ali, A., Rashid, R. A., Arriffin, S. H. S. and Fisal, N. Optimal Forwarding Probability for Real-time Routing in Wireless Sensor Network. *Proceedings of the 2007 IEEE International Conference on Telecommunications and Malaysia International Conference on Communications*. May 14-17, 2007. Malaysia: IEEE. 2007. 14–17.
34. Suh, C., Hameed, Z. and Ko, Y. Design and Implementation of Enhanced IEEE 802.15.4 for Supporting Multimedia Service in Wireless Sensor Networks. *Computer Networks*. 2008. 52(13): 2568–2581.
35. Adams, J. An introduction to IEEE STD 802.15. 4. *Proceeding of the 2006 IEEE Aerospace Conference*. March 4-11, 2006. Big Sky, MT, USA: IEEE. 2006. 1–8.
36. Vishwakarma, D. D. IEEE 802.15.4 and ZigBee: A Conceptual Study. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Computer and Communication Engineering*. 2012. 1(7): 477–480.
37. Zacharias, S. and Newe, T. Technologies and Architectures for Multimedia-Support in Wireless Sensor Networks. In: Zacharias, S. and Newe, T. *Smart Wireless Sensor Network*. Ireland: Intech. 373-394; 2010.
38. Nandi, A., Bepari, D., Jose, J. and Kundu, S. Optimal Transmit Power and Packet Size in Wireless Sensor Networks in Shadowed Channel. *ACEEE International Journal on Communication*. 2010.1(2): 39–44.

39. Xia, N., Feng, R. and Xu, L. SPSA Based Packet Size Optimization Algorithm in Wireless Sensor Networks. *Proceeding of the 7th International Conference Wireless Algorithms, Systems, and Applications, WASA 2012*. August 8-10, 2012. Yellow Mountains, China: Springer. 2012. 112–119.
40. Melodia, T. and Akyildiz, I. F. Research Challenges for Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks. In: Bhanu, B., Ravishankar, C. V., Roy-Chowdhury, A. K., Aghajan, H. and Terzopoulos, D. *Distributed Video Sensor Networks*. Eds. London: Springer London. 233–246; 2011.
41. Shi, Y. Q. and Sun, H. *Image and Video Compression for Multimedia Engineering: Fundamentals, Algorithms and Standards*, Second Edi. New York: CRC Press. 2008.
42. Zhou, J., Lo, A. and Niemegeers, I. Evaluation of MPEG-4 Video Streaming over Multi-hop Cellular Networks. *Proceedings of the 3rd International ICST Conference on Simulation Tools and Techniques*. March 16-18, 2010. Malaga, Spain: ICST. 2010. 1-8.
43. MPEG-4 Industry Forum. *MPEG-4 – The Media Standard, The landscape of advanced Multimedia Coding*. 2002.
44. Abomhara, M., Khalifa, O., Zakaria, O., Zaidan, A., Zaidan, B. and Rame, A. Video Compression Techniques: An Overview. *Journal of Applied Sciences*. 2010. 10(16): 1835–1840.
45. Sullivan, G. J. and Wiegand, T. Rate Distortion Optimization for Video Compression. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*. 1998. 15(6): 74-90.
46. Fujitsu Microelectronics America Inc. *Containing Sound and Light Wireless Video Coming Up Net Using MPEG-4*. USA, GDC-TB-21212-8/2006. 2006.
47. Porcino, D., Van Der Wal, B. and Zhao, Y. HDTV over UWB : Wireless Video Streaming Trials and Quality of Service Analysis. 2007.
48. Seeling, P., Fitzek, F. H. P. and Reisslein, M. *Video Traces for Network Performance Evaluation: A Comprehensive Overview and Guide on Video Traces and Their Utilization in Networking Research*. Netherlands: Springer Science & Business Media. 2007.
49. Wootton, C. *A Practical Guide to Video and Audio Compression*. Burlington: Elsevier Inc. 2005.
50. Metkar, S. and Talbar, S. *Motion Estimation Techniques for Digital Video Coding*. India: Springer. 2013.

51. Waggoner, B. Uncompressed Video and Audio: Sampling and Quantization. In Waggoner, B. ed. *Compression for Great Video and Audio: Master Tips and Common Sense*. UK: Focal Press. 15–34; 2009.
52. Richardson, I. E. G. *H.264 and MPEG-4 Video Compression: Video Coding for Next-generation Multimedia*. England: John Wiley & Sons Ltd. 2003.
53. Ebrahimi, T. and Horne, C. MPEG-4 Natural Video Coding: An Overview. *Signal Processing: Image Communication*. 2000. 15(4–5): 365–385.
54. Huszák, A. and Imre, S. Analysing GOP Structure and Packet Loss Effects on Error Propagation in MPEG-4 Video Streams. *Proceeding of the 4th International Symposium on Communications, Control and Signal Processing, ISCCSP 2010*. March 3-5, 2010. Limassol, Cyprus: IEEE. 2010. 3–5.
55. Hung, K. -S. and Lui, K. -S. GOP-Based Geographic Routing Scheme in Wireless Sensor Networks. *Proceeding of the 5th IEEE Consumer Communications and Networking Conference*. January 0-12, 2008. Las Vegas, Nevada: IEEE. 2008. 670–674.
56. Moradi, S. and Wong, V. W. S. Technique to Improve MPEG-4 Traffic Schedulers in IEEE 802.15.3 WPANs. *Proceeding of the IEEE International Conference on Communications, ICC 2007*. June 24-28, 2007. Glasgow, Scotland: IEEE. 2007. 3782–3786.
57. Shi, Y. Q. and Sun, H. Digital Video Coding Standards - MPEG-1/2 Video. In: Shi, Y. Q. and Sun, H. ed. *Image and Video Compression for Multimedia Engineering Fundamentals Algorithms and Standards*. CRC Press. 1999.
58. Razzaque, M. A., Bleakley, C. and Dobson, S. Compression in Wireless Sensor Networks : A Survey. 2013. 10(1):1-44.
59. Dialogic Corporation. *Considerations for Creating Streamed Video Content over 3G-324M Mobile Networks Considerations for Creating Streamed Video*. Canada: Brochure. 2009.
60. Chen, M., Moa, S., Zhang, Y. and Leung, V. C. M. Big Data Generation and Acquisition. In: Chen, M., Moa, S., Zhang, Y. and Leung, V. C. M. ed. *Big Data Related Technologies, Challenges and Future Prospects*. New York: Springer Cham Heidelberg. 19–32: 2014.
61. Bhattacharyya, S. S., Deprettere, E. F., Leupers, R. and Takala, J. Basic Component of Video Coding. In: Chen, Y. H. and Chen, L. G. ed. *Handbook*

- of Signal Processing Systems, Second Edition*, Springer Science & Business Media. 49-67: 2013.
62. Austerberry, D. Video Compression. In: Austerberry, D. ed. *The Technology of Video and Audio Streaming, Second Edition*. UK: Focal Press. 78–82; 2013.
 63. Kwon, D. -K., Budagavi, M., Sze, V. and Kim, W. -S. Video Compression. In: Gibson, J. D. ed. *Mobile Communications Handbook , Third Edition*. CRC Press. 559–563. 2012.
 64. Parekh, R. *Principles of Multimedia*, 2nd. ed. New Delhi: Tata McGraw-Hill Education Private Limited. 2013.
 65. Parsons, J. J. and Oja, D. *New Perspective on Computer Concepts 2014 : Comprehensive New Perspective*. 16th ed. UK: Cengage Learning. 2013.
 66. Watkinson, J. Introduction to Compression. In: Watkinson, J. ed. *The MPEG Handbook (Second Edition)*. Britain: Focal Press. 1–34. 2014.
 67. Frojdh, P., Norkin, A. and Sjoberg, R. Next Generation Video Compression. *Ericson Review*. 2013. 6(2013): 1–8.
 68. Sullivan, G. J., Ohm, J., Han, W. and Wiegand, T. Overview of the High Efficiency Video Coding (HEVC) Standard. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*. 2012. 22(12): 1649–1668.
 69. IndigoVision Ltd. *Understanding MPEG-4 Video*. IC-COD-REP012-1.2. 2008.
 70. Angelides, M. C. and Agius, H. *The Handbook of MPEG Applications : Standards in Practice*. 1st. ed. United Kingdom: John Wiley & Sons. 2011.
 71. Pudlewski, S. and Melodia, T. Compressive Video Streaming : Design and Rate-Energy-Distortion Analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Multimedia*. 2013. 15(8): 2072–2086.
 72. Wu, Z., Xie, S., Zhang, K. and Wu, R. Rate Control in Video Coding. In Lorente, J. D. S. *Recent Advances on Video Coding*. InTech. 2011.
 73. Hefeeda, M., Hsu, C. and Peters, J. Energy and Bandwidth Optimization in Mobile Video Streaming Systems. In: Zhu, C. and Li, Y. *Advanced Video Communications over Wireless Networks*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press. 165–210; 2013.
 74. Grecos, C. and Jiang, J. On-Line Improvements of the Rate-Distortion Performance in MPEG-2 Rate Control. *IEEE Transaction On Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*. 2003. 13(6): 519–528.

75. Zhu, Z., Bai, Y., Duan, Z. and Liang, F. Novel Rate-Control Algorithm Based on TM5 Framework. *Wireless Sensor Network*. 2009. 1(3): 182–188.
76. Ribas-corbera, J., Lei, S. and Member, S. Rate Control in DCT Video Coding for Low-Delay Communications. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*. 1999. 9(1): 172–185.
77. Hong, S., Yoo, S., Lee, S., Kang, H. and Hong, S. Y. Rate Control of MPEG Video for Consistent Picture Quality. *IEEE Transactions on Broadcasting*. 2003. 49(1): 1–13.
78. Ding, W. and Liu, B. Rate Control of MPEG Video Coding and Recording by Rate-Quantization Modeling. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*. 1996. 6(1): 12–20.
79. Ronda, J. I., Eckert, M., Jaureguizar, F. and Garcia, N. Rate Control and Bit Allocation for MPEG-4. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*. 1999. 9(8): 1243–1258.
80. Lin, L. and Ortega, A. Bit-Rate Control Using Piecewise Approximated Rate – Distortion Characteristics. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*. 1998. 8(4): 446–459.
81. Bai, J., Liao, Q., Lin, X. and Zhuang, X. Rate Distortion Model Based Rate Control for Real-time VBR Video Coding and Low-Delay Communications. *Signal Processing: Image Communication*. 2002. 17(2002): 187–199.
82. Chiang, T. and Zhang, Y. A New Rate Control Scheme Using Quadratic Rate Distortion Model. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*. 1997. 7(1): 246–250.
83. Lee, H. -J., Chiang, T. and Zhang, Y. -Q. Scalable Rate Control for MPEG-4 Video. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*. 2000. 10(6): 878–894.
84. Choi, H., Yoo, J., Nam, Sim, J. D. and Bajić, I. V. Pixel-Wise Unified Rate-Quantization Model for Multi-Level Rate Control. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*. 2013. 7(6): 1112–1123.
85. Biatek, T., Raulet, M., Travers, J., Deforges, O. and De Rennes, I. I. Efficient Quantization Parameter Estimation in HEVC Based on ρ -Domain. *Proceedings of the 22nd European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO), 2014*. September 1-5, 2014. Lisbon, Portugal: IEEE. 2014. 1-5.

86. Wang, S., Ma, S., Wang, S., Zhao, D. and Gao, W. Quadratic ρ -Domain Based Rate Control Algorithm for HEVC. *Proceeding of IEEE International Conf. Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP), 2013*. May 26-31, 2013. Canada: IEEE. 1–6.
87. Pai, C. -Y. and Lynch, W. E. MPEG-4 Constant-Quality Constant-Bit-Rate Control Algorithms. *Signal Processing: Image Communication*. 2006. 21(2006): 67–89.
88. Chen, Z., Ngan, K. N. and Zhao, C. Improved Rate Control for MPEG-4 Video Transport over Wireless Channel. *Signal Processing: Image Communication*. 2003. 18(2003): 879–887.
89. Lin, Y., Zhang, X., Xiao, J. and Su, S. A Novel Perceptual Intra Frame Rate Control for High Efficiency Video Coding. *Journal of Information and Computational Science*. 2015. 12(11): 4195–4202.
90. Lee, B., Kim, M. and Nguyen, T. Q. A Frame-Level Rate Control Scheme Based on Texture and Nontexture Rate Models for High Efficiency Video Coding. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*. 2014. 24(3): 465–479.
91. Xu, M., Deng, X., Li, S. and Wang, Z. Region-of-Interest Based Conversational HEVC Coding with Hierarchical Perception Model of Face. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*. 2014. 8(3): 475–489.
92. Ma, Z., Xu, M., Ou, Y. and Wang, Y. Modeling of Rate and Perceptual Quality of Compressed Video as Functions of Frame Rate and Quantization Stepsize and Its Applications. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*. 2012. 22(5): 671–682.
93. Pudlewski, S., Cen, N., Guan, Z. and Melodia, T. Video Transmission Over Lossy Wireless Networks: A Cross-Layer Perspective. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*. 2015. 9(1): 6–22.
94. Stockhammer, T. *System and Cross – Layer Design for Mobile Video Transmission*. Ph.D. Thesis. Technische Universitat Munchen; 2008.
95. Akyildiz, I. F., Melodia, T. and Chowdhury, K. R. A Survey on Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks. *Computer Networks*. 2007. 51(4): 921–960.
96. Garcia-Sanchez, A. -J., Garcia-Sanchez, F. and Garcia-Haro, J. Feasibility Study of MPEG-4 Transmission on IEEE 802.15.4 Networks. *Proceeding of the 2008 IEEE International Conference on Wireless and Mobile Computing*,

- Networking and Communications*. August, 6-8, 2008. Crete Island, Greece: IEEE. 2008. 397–403.
97. Garcia-Sanchez, A. -J., Garcia-Sanchez, F., Garcia-Haro, J. and Losilla, F. A Cross-layer Solution for Enabling Real-time Video Transmission over IEEE 802.15.4 Networks. *Multimedia Tools and Applications*. 2010. 51(3): 1069–1104.
 98. Garcia-Sanchez, A. -J., Garcia-Sanchez, F. and Garcia-Haro, J. Wireless Sensor Network Deployment for Integrating Video-Surveillance and Data-Monitoring in Precision Agriculture over Distributed Crops. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*. 2011. 75(2): 288–303.
 99. Songkhao, S. and Teerapabkajorndet, W. Network Bandwidth Enhancement on Multi-Hop Communications for Multiple Flows of Video over Multi-Channel IEEE 802.15.4 Networks. *Journal of Convergence Information Technology*. 2013. 8(11): 239–247.
 100. Bidai, Z. Interference-Aware Multipath Routing Protocol for Video Transmission over ZigBee Wireless Sensor Networks. *Proceeding of the International Conference on Multimedia Computing and Systems (ICMCS)*. April 14-16, 2014. Marrakesh, Morocco: IEEE. 2014. 837 – 842.
 101. Bonivento, A. and Fischione, C. System Level Design for Clustered Wireless Sensor Networks. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*. 2007. 3(3): 202–214.
 102. Mahmood, M. A. and Seah, W. K. G. Event reliability in Wireless Sensor Networks. *Proceeding of the 7th International Conference on Intelligent Sensors, Sensor Networks and Information Processing (ISSNIP)*. December 6-9, 2011. Adelaide, Australia: IEEE. 2011. 377–382.
 103. Sharma, B. and Aseri, T. C. A Comparative Analysis of Reliable and Congestion-Aware Transport Layer Protocols for Wireless Sensor Networks. *International Scholarly Research Network, ISRN Sensor Networks*. 2012. 2012: 1–14.
 104. Mohanty, P. and Kabat, M. R. Transport Protocols in Wireless Sensor Networks. In: El Emery, I. M. M. and Ramakrishnan, S. ed. *Wireless Sensor Networks, From Theory to Applications*. Boca Raton: CRC Press. 266–303; 2014.

105. Buttyan, L. and Csik, L. Security Analysis of Reliable Transport Layer Protocols for Wireless Sensor Networks. *Proceeding of the 8th IEEE International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Communications Workshops (PERCOM Workshops)*. March 29- April 2, 2010. Mannheim, Germany: IEEE. 2010. 419–424.
106. Le, T., Hu, W., Corke, P. and Jha, S. E RTP: Energy-efficient and Reliable Transport Protocol for Data Streaming in Wireless Sensor Networks. *Computer Communications*. 2009. 32(2009): 1154–1171.
107. Stann, F. and Heidemann, J. RMST: Reliable Data Transport in Sensor Networks. *Proceeding of the 1st IEEE International Workshop on Sensor Net Protocols and Applications (SNPA)*. May 11, 2003. Anchorage, Ak, USA: IEEE. 2003. 1–11.
108. Rathnayaka, A. J. D. and Potdar, V. M. Wireless Sensor Network Transport Protocol: A Critical Review. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*. 2013. 36(1): 134–146.
109. Rahman, M. A., El Saddik, A. and Gueaieb, W. Wireless Sensor Network Transport Layer: State of the Art. In: Rahman, M. A., El Saddik, A. and Gueaieb, W. ed. *Sensors*. London: Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg. 221–245; 2008.
110. Quang, B. D. and Won-joo, H. Trade-off between Reliability and Energy Consumption in Transport Protocols for Wireless Sensor Networks. *International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security*. 2006. 6(8). 47–53.
111. Vedantham, R., Sivakumar, R. and Park, S- -J. Sink-to-Sensors Congestion Control. *Ad Hoc Networks*. 2007. 5(4): 462–485.
112. Yaghmaee, M. H. and Adjero, D. A. Priority-Based Rate Control for Service Differentiation and Congestion Control in Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks. *Computer Networks*. 2009. 53(11): 1798–1811.
113. Munishwar, V. P., Tilak, S. S. and Abu-Ghazaleh, N. B. Congestion and Flow Control in Wireless Sensor Networks. In: Misra, S. C., Woungang, I. and Misra, S. ed. *Guide to Wireless Sensor Networks*. London: Springer London. 205–238; 2009.
114. Science, C., Brahma, S., Chatte, M., Kwiat, K., Force, A. and Directorate, I. Congestion Control and Fairness in Wireless Sensor Networks. *Proceeding of*

- the 8th IEEE International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Communications Workshops (PERCOM Workshops)*. March 29- April 2, 2010. Mannheim, Germany: IEEE. 2010. 413–418.
115. Chonggang, W., Sohraby, K., Lawrence, V., Li, B. and Hu, Y. Priority-based Congestion Control in Wireless Sensor Networks. *Proceeding of the IEEE International Conference on Sensor Networks, Ubiquitous, and Trustworthy Computing (SUTC'06)*. June 5-7, 2006 taichung: IEEE. 2006. 22–31.
 116. Yang, B. *Reliable Data Delivery in Wireless Sensor Network*. Master Thesis. University of Saskatchewan Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada; 2010.
 117. Wan, C., York, N., Eisenman, S. B. and Campbell, A. T. CODA : Congestion Detection and Avoidance in Sensor Networks. *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems*. November 5-7, 2003. Los Angeles, CA, USA: ACM. 2003. 266–279.
 118. Hull, B., Jamieson, K. and Balakrishnan, H. Mitigating congestion in wireless sensor networks. *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Embedded Networked Sensor Systems - SenSys '04*. November 3-5, 2004. Baltimore, MD, USA: ACM New York. 2004. 134–147.
 119. Levis, P., Patel, N., Culler, D. and Shenker, S. Trickle : A Self-Regulating Algorithm for Code Propagation and Maintenance in Wireless Sensor Networks. *Proceedings of the 1st USENIX/ACM Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI '04)*. March 29-31, 2004. San Diego: USENIX. 2004. 1–14.
 120. Kim, J. and Park, K. H. An Energy-efficient, Transport-controlled MAC Protocol for Wireless Sensor Networks. *Computer Networks*. 2009. 53(11): 1879–1902.
 121. Karthikeyan, R., Kumar, R.N. and Ramesh, M. Priority based Packet Scheduling Approach for Wireless Sensor Networks. *International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology*. 2014. 3(1): 493–499.
 122. Vanithamani, S. and Mahendran, N. Performance Analysis of Queue Based Scheduling Schemes in Wireless Sensor Networks. *Proceeding of the International Conference on Electronics and Communication Systems (ICECS), 2014*. February 13-14, 2014. Tamiladu, India: IEEE. 2014.
 123. Postel, J. *User Datagram Protocol*. RFC 768, IETF. 1980.

124. Akyildiz, I. F., Melodia, T. and Chowdhury, K. R. A Survey on Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks. *Computer Networks*. 2007. 51(4): 921–960.
125. Postel, J. *Transmission Control Protocol*. RFC 793, IETF. 1981.
126. Miyabayashi, M., Wakamiya, N., Murata, M. and Miyahara, H. MPEG-TFRCP: Video Transfer with TCP-friendly Rate Control Protocol. *Proceeding of the IEEE International Conference on Communications (ICC)*. June 11-14, 2001. Helsinki, Finland: IEEE. 2001. 137–141.
127. Stewart, R. *Stream Control Transmission Protocol*. RFC 4960. 2000.
128. Cao, Y., Xu, C., Guan, J., Song, F. and Zhang, H. Environment-Aware CMT for Efficient Video Delivery in Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks. *International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks*. 2012. 2012: 1–12.
129. Mingorance-Puga, J. F., Maciá-Fernández, G., Grilo, and Tiglao, N. M. C. Efficient Multimedia Transmission in Wireless Sensor Networks. *Proceeding of the 6th EURO-NF Conference on [Next Generation Internet \(NGI\), 2010. June 2-4, 2010. Paris: IEEE](#)*. 2010. 1-8.
130. Qaisar, S. and Radha, H. Multipath Multi-stream Distributed Reliable Video Delivery in Wireless Sensor Networks. *Proceeding of the Conference on Information Sciences and Systems, CISS 2009*. March 18-20, 2009. Baltimore, MD, USA: IEEE. 2009. 207–212.
131. Lecuire, V., Duran-Faundez, C. and Krommenacker, N. Energy-Efficient Image Transmission in Sensor Networks. *International Journal of Sensor Networks*. 2008. 4(1): 37–47.
132. Aghdam, S. M., Khansari, M., Rabiee, H. R. and Salehi, M. WCCP: A Congestion Control Protocol for Wireless Multimedia Communication in Sensor Networks. *Ad Hoc Networks*. 2014. 13(2014):516–534.
133. Sonmez, C., Incel, O. D., Isik, S., Donmez, M. Y. and Ersoy, C. Fuzzy-based Congestion Control for Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks. *EURASIP Journal on Wireless Communications and Networking*. 2014. 63(2014): 1–17.
134. Chakraborty, A., Ganguly, S. Naskar, M. K. and Karmakar, A. A Trust Based Fuzzy Algorithm for Congestion Control in Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks (TFCC). *Proceeding of the 2nd International Conference on Informatics, Electronics & Vision (ICIEV), 2013*. May 18-19, 2013. Dhaka, Bangladesh: IEEE. 2013. 1–6.

135. Basaran, C., Kang, K. -D. and Suzer, M. H. Hop-by-hop Congestion Control and Load Balancing in Wireless Sensor Networks. *Proceeding of the 35th IEEE Conference on Local Computer Networks (LCN)*. October 11-14, 2010. Denver, Colorado, USA: IEEE.2010. 448–455.
136. Lee, J. -H. and Jung, I. -B. Reliable Asynchronous Image Transfer Protocol in Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks. *Sensors (Basel, Switzerland)*. 2010. 10(3): 1486–510.
137. Yaghmaee, M. H. and Adjeroh, D. Priority Based Congestion Control and Partial Reliability Guaranty Protocol for Wireless Multimedia Sensor Networks. *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Research*. 2011. 3(4): 45-58.
138. Boukerche, A. and Du, Y. A Reliable Synchronous Transport Protocol for Wireless Image Sensor Networks. *Proceeding of the IEEE Symposium on Computers and Communications, ISCC 2008*. July 6-9, 2008. Marrakech, Morocco: IEEE. 2008. 1083–1089.
139. Buchholz, G., Ziegler, T. and Van Do, T. TCP-ELN: On the Protocol Aspects and Performance of Explicit Loss Notification for TCP over Wireless Networks. *Proceeding of the 1st International Conference on Wireless Internet*. July 10-15, 2005. Budapest, Hungary: IEEE. 2005. 172–179.
140. A. Hayter. *Probability and Statistics for Engineers and Scientists*. 4th ed. USA: Richard Stratton. 2012
141. Video Quality Experts Group. *The Validation of Objective Models of Video Quality Assessment*. USA: Final Report. 2003.
142. Gebali, F. *Analysis of Computer and Communication Networks*. 2008th. ed. Canada: Springer. 2008.
143. Khan, A., Sun, L. and Ifeachor, E. Video Quality Assessment as Impacted by Video Content over Wireless Networks. *International Journal on Advances in Networks and Services*. 2009. 2(2): 144–154.
144. Matrawy, A. and Lambadaris, L. Models and Tools for Simulation of Video Transmission on Wireless Networks. *Proceeding of the Canadian Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering*. May 2-5, 2004. Ontario, Canada: IEEE. 2004. 781–784.
145. Klaue, J., Rathke, B. and Wolisz, A. EvalVid - A Framework for Video Transmission and Quality Evaluation. In: Kemper, P. and Sanders, W. H. ed.

- Computer Performance Evaluation. Modelling Techniques and Tools.* Urbana, IL, USA: Springer. 255-272; 2003.
146. USC Information Sciences Institute, Marina del Rey, CA. *Network Simulator NS2*. from <http://www.isi.edu/nsnam/ns>.
 147. UTM-MIMOS Center of Excellence: *TelG Mote*. Malaysia: Brochure. 2012.
 148. Abdul Hamid, A. H. F., Rashid, R. A. and Fisal, N. Development of IEEE 802.15.4 Based Wireless Sensor Network Platform for Image Transmission. *International Journal of Engineering & Technology IJET*. 2009. 9(10): 112–118.
 149. C. Atmel. *Microcontroller Bytes In-System Programmable Flash ATmega164P / V ATmega324P / V ATmega644P / V*. 2009.
 150. Hill, J., Szewczyk, R., Woo, A., Hollar, S., Culler, D. and Pister, K. System Architecture Directions for Networked Sensors. *Proceeding of the Ninth International Conference on Architectural Support for Programming Languages and Operating System (ASPLOS 2000)*. November 13-15, 2000 Cambridge, MA, USA: ACM New York. 2000. 93–104.