# ECONOMIC EXPOSURE, PRICING OF RISK AND VARIOUS VOLATILITY DYNAMICS OF STOCK RETURNS ON AN EMERGING STOCK MARKET

FAISAL KHAN

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the award of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Management)

> Faculty of Management Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

> > NOVEMBER 2014

To my beloved father, mother and brother whom did not degree their prayers and blessings to me ever

To my dear wife, my kids whom filled my life with joy and happiness, and supported me all-through my journey

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the most beneficent, potent and most merciful. Praise be to Allah, the lord of the worlds, and his prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him), his family and his companion. First of all I wish to express my gratitude and deep appreciation to Almighty Allah, who made this study possible and successful.

This study would not be accomplished unless the honest espousal that was extended from several sources for which I would like to express my sincere thankfulness and gratitude. Yet, there were significant contributors for my attained success and I cannot forget their input, especially my research supervisors, Dr. Melati Ahmad Anuar and Dr. Lim Guan Choo; whom did not spare an effort to guide me during my research journey.

I shall also acknowledge the extended assistance from the Faculty of Management (FM) administration team and the Faculty of Graduate Studies (SPS) administration team whom supported me all through my research experience and simplified the challenges I faced. Likewise, I shall not forget the ample efforts that were exerted from Associate Prof. Dr. Saif-Ur-Rehman, College of Business Administration, University of Modern Sciences, UAE.

For all whom I did not mention their names but I shall not neglect their significant contribution, plethora thanks for everything.

## ABSTRACT

Among the scholars, it is believed that the stock market performance reflects the economic and financial conditions of a country. Three dimensions of stock returns that are, economic exposure, pricing of risk and various volatility dynamics have been overlooked by existing studies on stock market. Therefore, this research examined these dimensions at the firm, sectoral and aggregate market level stock returns. Following that, the worth of firm's character effect (firm size, firm age, firm business nature, firm trading nature and sectoral location of the firm) was also explored with respect to these three dimensions. This study focused on the stock returns of firms from 23 sectors listed on the Karachi Stock Exchange of Pakistan. For this purpose, three generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity (GARCH) models were applied: GARCH (1, 1) for capturing the economic exposure of stock returns together with different volatility dynamics; GARCH-M for pricing of risk and EGARCH for asymmetric and leverage effect. The findings of the study are as follows: first, among other macroeconomic variables, market return is found to be the most important one in explaining the stock returns. Second, the study revealed the existence of pricing of risk and leverage effect in the Pakistani stock market. Third, it is found that generally the firm level stock returns are quite volatile and volatility shocks are rather persistent but holding the property of mean reversion. Fourth, the study provided evidence of lagged effect of macroeconomic variables on stock returns. Fifth, the study found that firm's characteristics play an important role in explaining the stock returns. Resting upon these outcomes, investors can make more informed decisions and policy makers can develop effective policies for controlling and promoting macroeconomic growth and stability in a country.

## ABSTRAK

Di kalangan cendekiawan, adalah dipercayai bahawa prestasi bursa saham mencerminkan keadaan ekonomi dan kewangan sesebuah negara. Terdapat tiga dimensi pulangan saham yang merangkumi pendedahan ekonomi, penetapan harga risiko, dan pelbagai gelora dinamik telah diabaikan oleh kajian sedia ada tentang pasaran saham. Oleh itu, kajian ini mengkaji dimensi-dimensi ini di peringkat syarikat, sektor, dan pasaran aggregat bagi pulangan saham. Seterusnya, kesan ke atas nilai ciri syarikat (saiz syarikat, umur syarikat, jenis perniagaan syarikat, jenis perdagangan syarikat, dan lokasi sektor syarikat) juga dikaji berdasarkan ketiga-tiga dimensi ini. Kajian ini tertumpu kepada pulangan saham syarikat daripadai 23 sektor tersenarai di Bursa Saham Karachi di Pakistan. Untuk tujuan ini, tiga model generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity (GARCH) telah digunakan: GARCH (1,1) untuk menangkap pendedahan ekonomik pulangan saham bersama dengan gelora dinamik yang berbeza; GARCH-M untuk penetapan harga risiko dan EGARCH untuk kesan tidak simetri dan hutang. Penemuan kajian ialah seperti berikut: pertama, antara pembolehubah-pembolehubah makroekonomi, pulangan pasaran didapati menjadi pembolehubah terpenting untuk menerangkan pulangan saham. Kedua, kajian mendedahkan kewujudan penetapan harga risiko dan kesan hutang di pasaran saham Pakistan. Ketiga, didapati bahawa umumnya pulangan saham peringkat syarikat adalah agak bergelora dan kejutan gelora adalah agak berterusan tetapi mempunyai ciri berpatah-balik kepada min. Keempat, kajian ini menyumbang bukti kesan tangguh pembolehubah makroekonomi ke atas pulangan saham. Kelima, kajian ini mendapati bahawa ciri-ciri syarikat memainkan peranan utama dalam menerangkan pulangan saham. Bersandarkan penemuan ini, para pelabur dapat membuat keputusan lebih bijak dan pembuat polisi dapat membentuk polisi berkesan untuk mengawal dan mempromosikan pertumbuhan makroekonomi dan kestabilan sesebuah negara.

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

CHAPTER		PAGE				
	DECLARATION					
	DED	DICATION	iii			
	ACK	NOWLEDGEMENT	iv			
	ABSTRACT					
	ABS	ABSTRAK				
	TAB	LE OF CONTENTS	vii			
	LIST	<b>F OF TABLES</b>	xiv			
	LIST	<b>FOF FIGURES</b>	xvii			
	LIST	<b>FOF APPENDICES</b>	xviii			
1	INTI	1				
	1.1	General Overview	1			
	1.2	Background of the Study	1			
	1.3	Capital Market	3			
		1.3.1 Stock Market in Pakistan (1950-2000)	3			
		1.3.2 Stock Market in Pakistan (2001-2012)	4			
	1.4	Pakistan's Industrial Sector	7			
		1.4.1 Industrial Sector from 1950-2000	7			
		1.4.2 Industrial Sector from 2000-2012	8			
	1.5	Problem Identification	16			
	1.6	Research Questions	23			
	1.7	Objectives of the Study	24			
	1.8	Mapping the Research Questions and Objectives	25			
	1.9	Significance of the Study	27			
	1.10	Scope of the Study	32			

1.11	Limitations of the Study			33
1.12	Organization of Thesis			33
1.13	Summ	nary		34
LITE	RATU	RE REV	IEW	35
2.1	Intore	luction		35
2.2	Litera	ture Rega	rding Economic Factors and Stock	35
	Return	ns		
	2.2.1	Theoreti	cal Literature	36
	2.2.2	Empirica	al Literature	38
		2.2.2.1	Studies between 1980 and 1990	38
		2.2.2.2	Studies between 1990 and 2000	39
		2.2.2.3	Studies between 2000 and 2012	41
		2.2.2.4	Previous Studies in Pakistan	48
2.3	Litera	ture Rega	rding Volatility and Asymmetry &	50
	Lever	age Effect		
2.4	Litera	ture Rega	rding Risk-Return Relationship	55
2.5	Literature Regarding Financial and Non-Financial Firms			56
2.6	Conclusion			60
2.7	Motiv	ations, Fra	amework and Hypotheses	62
	2.7.1	Motivati	ons of the Study	62
		2.7.1.1	Motivations for Size and Age Effect	62
		2.7.1.2	Motivations for Firm Nature of Busine	SS
			Effect (Financial vs. Non-Financial)	67
		2.7.1.3	Motivations for Trading Effect	
			(Exporting vs. Non-Exporting Firms)	69
		2.7.1.4	Motivations for Sectoral Location	
			of the Firm Effect	71
		2.7.1.5	5 Motivations for Lagged Effect of	
			Economic Factors	73
		2.7.1.6	Motivations for Asymmetry and	
			Leverage Effect	76
		2.7.1.7	Motivations for Pricing of Risk	78
		2.7.1.8	Motivations for Various Volatility	
			Dynamics (i.e. Volatility, Persistence	

			and Mean Reversion)	81
		2.7.1.9	Motivations for Macroeconomic	
			Exposure of Stock Returns	86
	2.7.2	2 Framew	vork	87
	2.7.3	Hypothes	es	88
		2.7.3.1	Exchange Rate (ER)	88
		2.7.3.2	Consumer Price Index (CPI)	90
		2.7.3.3	Money Supply (M2)	9
		2.7.3.4	Risk Free Rate of Return (RFR)	92
		2.7.3.5	Industrial Production Index (IPI)	93
		2.7.3.6	Oil Prices (OIL)	94
		2.7.3.7	Market Return (KSE-100 Index)	9
2.8		Summar	у	9
DA	ΓA AND	) МЕТНО	DOLOGY	9
3.1	Introdu	ction		9
3.2	Data Co	ollection		9
3.3	Analyti	cal Procedu	re	10
3.4	Statistic	al Tests		10
	3.4.1	Unit Roo	t Test	
		3.4.1.1	Augmented Dickey and Fuller Test	
			(ADF)	10
		3.4.1.2	Phillips-Perron Test (PP)	10
	3.4.2	GARCH	(1, 1) Model	10
	3.4.3	GARCH-	M Model	11
	3.4.4	EGARCH	I Model	11
3.5	Summa	ary		11
RES	ULTS O	F FIRMS,	SECTORAL AND AGGREGATE	11
MA	RKET R	ETURNS		
4.1	Introdu	ction		11
4.2	Results	Regarding	Each Sector	11
	4.2.1	Results of	f GARCH ModelsOil & Gas Sector	11

4.2.2	Results of GARCH Models—Chemical Sector	118
4.2.3	Results of GARCH Models—Tobacco Sector	122
4.2.4	Results of GARCH Models-Gas & Water Sector	124
4.2.5	Results of GARCH Models-Beverages Sector	125
4.2.6	Results of GARCH Models-Pharma & Bio	
	Sector	127
4.2.7	Results of GARCH Models-Fixed Line Telecom	
	Sector	130
4.2.8	Results of GARCH Models-Industrial Metal &	
	Mining Sector	132
4.2.9	Results of GARCH Models-General Industrial	
	Sector	134
4.2.10	Results of GARCH Models- Household Goods	
	Sector	136
4.2.11	Results of GARCH Models- Electronics &	
	Electrical Sector	139
4.2.12	Results of GARCH Models- Forestory Sector	141
4.2.13	Results of GARCH Models- Travel & Leisure	
	Sector	143
4.2.14	Results of GARCH Models- Engineering Sector	144
4.2.15	Results of GARCH Models- Automobile & Parts	
	Sector	147
4.2.16	Results of GARCH Models- Electricity Sector	151
4.2.17	Results of GARCH Models- Construction &	
	Material Sector	155
4.2.18	Results of GARCH Models- Life Insurance	
	Sector	160
4.2.19	Results of GARCH Models- Non-Life Insurance	
	Sector	162
4.2.20	Results of GARCH Models- Commercial	
	Banking Sector	167
4.2.21	Results of GARCH Models- Financial Services	
	Sector	172
4.2.22	Results of GARCH Models- Food Producer	
	Sector	177
	<ul> <li>4.2.2</li> <li>4.2.3</li> <li>4.2.4</li> <li>4.2.5</li> <li>4.2.6</li> <li>4.2.7</li> <li>4.2.8</li> <li>4.2.9</li> <li>4.2.10</li> <li>4.2.11</li> <li>4.2.12</li> <li>4.2.12</li> <li>4.2.13</li> <li>4.2.14</li> <li>4.2.15</li> <li>4.2.16</li> <li>4.2.17</li> <li>4.2.18</li> <li>4.2.19</li> <li>4.2.20</li> <li>4.2.21</li> <li>4.2.21</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>4.2.2 Results of GARCH Models—Chemical Sector</li> <li>4.2.3 Results of GARCH Models—Tobacco Sector</li> <li>4.2.4 Results of GARCH Models-Gas &amp; Water Sector</li> <li>4.2.5 Results of GARCH Models-Beverages Sector</li> <li>4.2.6 Results of GARCH Models-Pharma &amp; Bio Sector</li> <li>4.2.7 Results of GARCH Models-Fixed Line Telecom Sector</li> <li>4.2.8 Results of GARCH Models-Industrial Metal &amp; Mining Sector</li> <li>4.2.9 Results of GARCH Models-Industrial Metal &amp; Sector</li> <li>4.2.10 Results of GARCH Models-General Industrial Sector</li> <li>4.2.11 Results of GARCH Models- Household Goods Sector</li> <li>4.2.12 Results of GARCH Models- Electronics &amp; Electrical Sector</li> <li>4.2.13 Results of GARCH Models- Forestory Sector</li> <li>4.2.14 Results of GARCH Models- Forestory Sector</li> <li>4.2.15 Results of GARCH Models- Electronics &amp; Sector</li> <li>4.2.16 Results of GARCH Models- Electricity Sector</li> <li>4.2.17 Results of GARCH Models- Electricity Sector</li> <li>4.2.18 Results of GARCH Models- Electricity Sector</li> <li>4.2.19 Results of GARCH Models- Construction &amp; Material Sector</li> <li>4.2.19 Results of GARCH Models- Life Insurance Sector</li> <li>4.2.19 Results of GARCH Models- Life Insurance Sector</li> <li>4.2.19 Results of GARCH Models- Life Insurance</li> <li>A.2.10 Results of GARCH Models- Construction &amp; Material Sector</li> <li>4.2.19 Results of GARCH Models- Life Insurance</li> <li>Sector</li> <li>4.2.10 Results of GARCH Models- Commercial Banking Sector</li> <li>4.2.20 Results of GARCH Models- Commercial Banking Sector</li> <li>4.2.21 Results of GARCH Models- Financial Services Sector</li> <li>4.2.22 Results of GARCH Models- Financial Services Sector</li> <li>4.2.23 Results of GARCH Models- Food Producer</li> <li>Sector</li> <li>4.2.24 Results of GARCH Models- Food Producer</li> <li>Sector</li> </ul>

	4.2.23	Results of GARCH Models- Personal Goods	
		Sector	18
4.3	Results	of Aggregate Market Returns	19
RES	ULTS O	F STOCK RETURNS AND FIRMS	
CHA	ARACTE	CRISTICS	19
5.1	Introduc	ction	19
5.2	Macroe	conomic Variables and Firm's Characteristics	19
	5.2.1	Macroeconomic Variables and Firm Size Effect	192
	5.2.2	Macroeconomic Variables and Firm Age Effect	19:
	5.2.3	Macroeconomic Variables and Firm Trading	
		Effect	19′
	5.2.4	Macroeconomic Variables and Firm Nature of	
		Business Effect	20
5.3	Pricing	of Risk and Firm's Characteristics	202
	5.3.1	Pricing of Risk and Firm Size Effect	202
	5.3.2	Pricing of Risk and Firm Age Effect	20
	5.3.3	Pricing of Risk and Firm Trading Effect	204
	5.3.4	Pricing of Risk and Firm Nature of Business	
		Effect	205
5.4	Asymm	etry & Leverage Effect and Firm's Characteristics	20
	5.4.1	Asymmetry & Leverage Effect and Firm Size	
		Effect	20
	5.4.2	Asymmetry & Leverage Effect and Firm Age	
		Effect	20
	5.4.3	Asymmetry & Leverage Effect and Firm Trading	
		Effect	20
	5.4.4	Asymmetry & Leverage Effect and Firm Nature	
		of Business Effect	20
5.5	Various	Volatility Dynamics and Firm's Characteristics	20
	5.5.1	Various Volatility Dynamics and Firm Size	
		Effect	21
	5.5.2	Various Volatility Dynamics and Firm Age	<b>.</b> .
		Effect	21

	5.5.3	Various Volatility Dynamics and Firm Trading			
		Effect	Effect		
	5.5.4	Various V	olatility Dynamics and Firm Nature of		
		Business I	Effect	215	
5.6	Lagged	Effect of Ec	conomic Factors on Stock Returns	216	
	5.6.1	Lagged Ef	ffect at Firm, Sectoral and Aggregate		
		Market Le	evel Returns	217	
		5.6.1.1	Lagged Effect of Exchange Rate on		
			Stock Returns	217	
		5.6.1.2	Lagged Effect of Risk Free Rate on		
			Stock Returns	220	
		5.6.1.3	Lagged Effect of Consumer Price		
			Index on Stock Returns	223	
		5.6.1.4	Lagged Effect of Industrial		
			Production Index on Stock Returns	227	
		5.6.1.5	Lagged Effect of Money Supply on	•••	
			Stock Returns	230	
		5.6.1.6	Lagged Effect of Oil Prices on	222	
			Stock Returns	233	
	5.6.2	Lagged Ef	ffect of Macroeconomic Variables and	007	
		Firm Char	acteristics	237	
		5.6.2.1	Lagged Effect w.r.t Firm Size	237	
		5.6.2.2	Lagged Effect w.r.t Firm Age	242	
		5.6.2.3	Lagged Effect w.r.t Firm Nature of		
			Business	247	
		5.6.2.4	Lagged Effect w.r.t Trading Effect	251	
5.7	Summa	ary		256	
DIS	CUSSIO	N AND JUS	TIFICATIONS	257	
6.1	Introdu	ction		257	
6.2	Macroe	conomic Va	riables and Stock Returns	258	
	6.2.1	Market Re	eturns	258	
	6.2.2	Exchange	Rate	260	
	6.2.3	Risk Free	Rate	264	
	6.2.4	Consumer	Price Index (Inflation)	267	
	6.2.5	Industrial	Production Index	271	

	6.2	2.6	Money Supply	274
	6.2	2.7	Oil Prices	277
6.3	3 Pr	icing o	ıf Risk	281
6.4	4 As	symme	try & Leverage Effect	285
6.5	5 Vo	olatility	y, Persistence and Mean Reversion	291
6.0	6 La	igged H	Effect of Macroeconomic Variables	301
	6.0	6.1	Exchange Rate	301
	6.0	6.2	Risk Free Rate	304
	6.0	6.3	Consumer Price Index	306
	6.0	6.4	Industrial Production Index	309
	6.0	6.5	Money Supply	311
	6.0	6.6	Oil Prices	314
	6.0	6.7	Justifications of Empirical Results Regarding	
			Lagged Effect of Economic Factors	317
6.7	7 Su	ımmar	ту	318
7 CC	ONCL	JUSIO	NS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	319
7.2	1 Int	troduct	tion	319
7.2	2 Su	mmary	y	319
7.3	3 Re	ecomm	endations and Future Implications	334
REFERENCES				338
Appendices A-D				371-392

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO	. TITLE			
1.1	KSE Progress from 1950-2000.	4		
1.2	Focus, Research Questions and Objectives of the study	26-27		
3.1	Definition of Variables	98-99		
4.1	Firms of Oil & Gas Sector- Regression Coefficients.	117		
4.2	Firms of Chemical Sector- Regression Coefficients.	121		
4.3	Firms of Tobacco Sector- Regression Coefficients.	123		
4.4	Firms of Gas & Water Sector - Regression Coefficient.	125		
4.5	Firms of Beverages Sector - Regression Coefficients.	127		
4.6	Firms of Pharma & Bio Sector - Regression Coefficients.	129		
4.7	Firms of Telecom Sector- Regression Coefficients.	131		
4.8	Firms of Industrial M & M Sector- Regression			
	Coefficients.	133-134		
4.9	Firms of General Industrial Sector- Regression			
	Coefficients.	136		
4.10	Firms of Household Goods - Regression Coefficients.	138		
4.11	Firms of Electronics & Electrical Sector-Regression			
	Coefficients.	140		
4.12	Firms of Forestory Sector- Regression Coefficients.	142		
4.13	Firms of Travel & Leisure Sector - Regression Coefficients	144		
4.14	Firms of Engineering Sector- Regression Coefficient.	146		
4.15	Firms of Automobile & Parts Sector- Regression			
	Coefficients.	150		
4.16	Firms of Electricity Sector - Regression Coefficients.	154		

4.17	Firms of Construction & Material Sector - Regression	
	Coefficients.	158-159
4.18	Firms of Life Insurance Sector - Regression Coefficients.	161
4.19	Firms of Non-Life Insurance Sector - Regression	
	Coefficients.	165-166
4.20	Firms of Commercial Banking Sector - Regression	
	Coefficients.	170-171
4.21	Firms of Financial Services Sector - Regression	
	Coefficients.	175-176
4.22	Firms of Food Producer Sector- Regression Coefficient.	180-182
4.23	Firms of Personal Goods Sector - Regression	
	Coefficients.	187-189
4.24	Karachi Stock Exchange Index-Regression Coefficients.	190
5.1	Results of GARCH (1, 1) Model- Size Effect.	194
5.2	Results of GARCH (1, 1) Model- Age Effect.	196-197
5.3	Results of GARCH (1, 1) Model- Trading Effect.	199
5.4	Results of GARCH (1, 1) Model- Firm Nature of	
	Business Effect.	201-202
5.5	Results of GARCH-M Model- Size Effect	203
5.6	Results of GARCH-M Model- Age Effect.	204
5.7	Results of GARCH-M Model- Trading Effect.	205
5.8	Results of GARCH-M Model- Nature of Business Effect.	206
5.9	Results of EGARCH Model- Size Effect.	207
5.10	Results of EGARCH Model- Age Effect.	208
5.11	Results of EGARCH Model- Trading Effect.	208
5.12	Results of EGARCH Model- Nature of Business Effect.	209
5.13	Results of GARCH (1, 1) Model- Size Effect	211
5.14	Results of GARCH (1, 1) Model- Age Effect	213
5.15	Results of GARCH (1, 1) Model- Trading Effect.	214
5.16	Results of GARCH (1, 1) Model- Nature of Busines	s 216
	Effect.	
5.17	Results of GARCH (1, 1) Model- EXR.	219-220
5.18	Results of GARCH (1, 1) Model -RFR.	222-223

5.19	Results of GARCH (1, 1) Model- CPI.	226-227
5.20	Results of GARCH (1, 1) Model- IPI.	229-230
5.21	Results of GARCH (1, 1) Model- M2.	232-233
5.22	Results of GARCH (1, 1) Model- OIL.	235-236
5.23	Results of GARCH (1, 1) Model –Size Effect.	240-242
5.24	Results of GARCH (1, 1) Model – Age Effect.	245-247
5.25	Results of GARCH (1, 1) Model -Nature of Business	249-251
	Effect	
5.26	Results of GARCH (1, 1) Model – Trading Effect	254-255

# LIST OF FIGURES

FIGUR	E NO.	TTLE	PAGE
1.1	KSE 100 Index		6
1.2	Growth in Real GDP		10
1.3	Foreign Direct Investr	nent.	12

## xviii

PAGE

## LIST OF APPENDICES

А	Investment Incentives in Pakistan	371
В	Summary of Literature	372
С	Summary of Firms w.r.t Features	373
D	Descriptive Statistics, Unit Root Tests and Ljung Box Q	
	Statistics	374

TITLE

APPENDIX

## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 General Overview

Financial infrastructure is the integral component of an economy. Current economic environment of a country demands a well functioning financial system for economic growth and development. Stock market being a very important part of financial system is a key player in stabilizing the financial sector to foster the economic growth of a country (Mala and White, 2006). Stock market reflects health of the economy to rest of the world (Singh, 2010). This chapter begins with the empirical relation between macroeconomic indicators and stock returns, current economic environment, industrial and stock market situation. Then it proceeds to describe the problem statement which leads to the objectives, research questions, importance and scope of the study. However, later in this chapter, limitations of research along with a sketch of the entire thesis are presented.

### **1.2** Background of the Study

Signifying the role of economic indicators in detecting the business overall systematic risk and cash flow, the connectivity between the macroeconomic factors and capital market is instinctively intoxicating (Arnold and Vrugt, 2006; Chinzara,

2011). Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), Arbitrage Pricing Theory (APT) and Dividend Discount Model (DDM) built a considerable quest for the stock returns. Together, the Arbitrage Pricing Theory (APT) and Dividend Discount Model (DDM), set theoretical foundations that employ the conduit to root the factoring of economic variable into the stock returns. These models entail that any expected or unexpected influx of new information regarding macroeconomic variables (e.g. inflation, exchange rate, interest rate, GDP etc), will impact the stock returns through discount factor, dividends or both.

Impact of macroeconomic forces on stock returns is always a matter of inquisitiveness among the researchers. Study regarding macroeconomic forces and stock returns started in 1980's when researchers like Fama (1981) and Chen *et al.*, (1986) investigated the impact of economic indicators on aggregate stock returns in New York Stock Exchange (NYSE). They determined considerable influence of macroeconomic forces on stock returns. Similarly, Tokyo Stock Exchange (TSE) was explored by Mukherjee and Naka (1995). Most of the existing studies (e.g. see Elyaisani and Mansur, 1998; Ibrahim, 1999; McSweeney and Worthington, 2008; Narayan and Sharma, 2011; Khan *et al.*, 2013) focusing on economic factors and stock returns reported strong association between them in various economies.

Moreover, given the importance of predicting the pricing of risk, asymmetry & leverage effect and various volatility dynamics in any investment and portfolio decision, along with aiming each of firm, sectoral and an aggregate market level stocks, it is also quite worthy to explore that how does all the afore-mentioned dimensions vary with respect to firms characteristics (i.e. firm size, firm age, firm nature of business, firm trading nature and sectoral location of the firm)<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The literature motivating current study to consider all these dimensions has been discussed in quite detail in the following chapter (i.e. Chapter 2).

## 1.3 Capital Market

In contemporary market dominated economic system, stock market performs a key role by mobilizing the financial means from savers to the potential investors (Mala and White, 2006). It exhibits the capital growth, saving and investor's faith in financial sector. Capital market being the most prominent component of financial system drives the financial strength through effective resource mobilization and consequently influences the economic growth and development of a country (Buyuksalvarci, 2010).

### 1.3.1 Stock Markets in Pakistan (1950-2000)

Pakistan comprehended the worth of equity market by setting up Karachi Stock Exchange (KSE) in 1949. KSE is the largest and oldest stock exchange in Pakistan since its inception (Uppal and Mangla, 2006). Holding almost 85% of the total turnover sets KSE as the most prominent equity market in Pakistan (Iqbal, 2012). Asian Development Bank (ADB) introduced a plan in 1997 which involved the improvements of existing Corporate Law Authority. Hence, parliament approved and formally proclaimed the Act of Security and Exchange Commission of Pakistan (SECP) in December 1997. From 1950 to 1990, economic and financial policies in Pakistan displayed the control and command approach based on central planning by the government (ADB Report, 2008). Business activities were controlled by the government through Nationalization Act 1974. According to Husain (2010); the nationalization of all the main industries including manufacturing, banking, insurance, and education etc. caused erosion of private investor confidence. Furthermore, economy and stock market was kept closed to the foreign as well as local private investors (Husain, 2010). Prior to 1990s, both money and capital markets were highly underdeveloped, inactive and virtually nonexistent because of strong governmental control (Khan and Qayyum, 2007). Hence, KSE remained almost flat till 2000 (Clark et al., 2008). However, from 1991 onward, government realized the importance of foreign and local private investors by introducing

liberalization policies. These steps in 1990s improved the stock market through rebuilding the investor confidence and providing investment amiable environment but the nuclear tests in 1998 resulted in number of sanctions on Pakistan by the international community; which consequently harmed Pakistan's economy and stock market (Hussain, 2005; Iqbal, 2012).

KSE Progress ( Decade-Wise)			
Years	No. of Firms	Total Capital of Listed	Market Capitalization
	Listed	Firms (Rs in Million)	(Rs. In Million)
1950	15	117	-
1960	81	1,008	1,871
1970	291	3,865	5,658
1980	314	7,630	9,767
1990	487	28,056	6,1751
2000	762	236,459	382,730

Table 1.1: KSE Progress from 1950-2000 KSE Progress (Decade-Wise)

Source: State Bank of Pakistan

Table 1.1 above shows the developments of stock market from 1950 to 2000. Karachi Stock Exchange (KSE) began with an index of 50 companies; but with the increase in number of listed companies (see table 1.1); KSE index was changed to 100 companies in 1991 to make it more representative. KSE-100 index is a capital weighted index (Khan and Rizwan, 2008). KSE-100 index is considered as the most prevalent standard of the stock market situation since its inception in 1991. KSE showed flat picture from 1950 to 2000 (see table 1.1) and remained inactive as the market capitalization was very low before 2000 (Clark *et al.*, 2008).

### **1.3.2** Stock Markets in Pakistan (2001-2012)

Since the last decade, improvements in regulatory framework have motivated the local as well as foreign investors to invest; resulting in the stock market's exceptional performance (IMF Country Report, 2010). On May 04, 2012, 591 companies were listed on KSE, with paid-up capital of Rs.1059.087 billion (US\$11.66 billion) and an average market capitalization of Rs.3730.489 billion (US\$41.09 billion) (Economic Survey, 2011-2012). The companies listed at KSE have been classified into 34 sectors representing almost all sectors of Pakistan's economy. Authorities have taken number of steps to improve the operations of capital market for enhancing the progression and solidity of financial sector and capital market in Pakistan (Iqbal, 2012). These steps included, implementing the code of corporate governance, establishing code of conduct for brokers, control through circuit breakers, electronic entry book system, no restriction on transfer of dividend and capital gain, no prior approval for issuance and transfer of shares to the foreigners, setting up a National Clearing Company to promote clearing and settlement activities (IMF Country Report, 2010; Iqbal, 2012).

In Pakistan, stock market has shown exceptional performance over the last decade (IMF Country Report, 2010). Figure 1.1 shows March end value of KSE-100 index for each year from 2000 to 2011. The factors responsible for such improvements in stock market (particularly from 2000 to 2007) included, decrease in interest rate from 11 percent in 2000 to 9 percent in 2007, mergers and acquisitions (three in telecommunication, five in banking and five in manufacturing), advance payments of expensive debts (\$1.1 billion), improving relation with neighbours ( improved relations with India), global financial institution's donation coverage ( \$1.8 billion donation), rescheduling of foreign medium and long term loans ( \$29.18 billion with Paris Club) and some stability in exchange rate (depreciation of only Rs.3 per dollar from 2000 to 2007) (Economic Survey, 2006-2007). Furthermore, wave liberalization, privatization<sup>2</sup> and deregulation also aided the investors and consequently exerted significant positive influence on stock market's trading volume (Khan and Rizwan, 2008; ADB Report, 2008). Such as, privatization of forty nine firms, reduction in tariff and custom duty, establishment of a tax free zone and free

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pakistan's privatization experience is considered as being among the most successful in South Asia. Privatization picked up in 1999 when a number of structural bottlenecks were removed (for further details see ADB Report, 2008). The Privatization Act 2000 was promulgated, the macroeconomic environment was reformed, regulatory frameworks were established (Regulatory agencies for electricity, oil and gas, and telecommunications were set up and existing regulators such as the SBP and the SECP were strengthened), a Ministry of Privatization and Investment was created, a high-powered Cabinet Committee on Privatization (CCOP) was formed, and the Board of the Privatization Commission was strengthened.

trade agreement with Iran and Sri Lanka, no restriction for expatriation of capital and profits related to FDI and easing foreign currency control. Foreign direct investment is the main driving force improving the performance of capital market during the last decade (IMF Report, 2010). Figure 1.1 shows rise in KSE-100 index from 2000-2001 to 2007-2008; however, from the mid of 2008 onward, downward trend in KSE-100 index is observed. Since as at March 2008, the aggregate market capitalization on KSE stood at \$56 billion, which dropped to just \$20 billion on January 2009, showing a significant decrease of \$36 billion (Economic Survey, 2008-09). However, over the last three years (i.e. 2010, 2011 & 2012) improvement in the performance of banking, oil and gas, chemical and personal goods attracted the foreign investors; which consequently improved the KSE-100 index (Economic Survey, 2011-2012).



Figure 1.1: KSE 100 Index

However, the Karachi Stock Market is facing several micro as well as macro issues hampering its growth (Ocampo *et al.*, 2008; KSE Report, 2012). They are discussed in detail as follows. Firstly, 35 percent corporate tax rate against just 20-25 percent for partnership/sole-proprietorship is a hurdle for listing on stock market. Secondly, poorly designed Capital Gain Tax (CGT) regime was imposed with the exemption for agriculture sector and Reformed General Sales Tax (RGST) for trading services. Moreover, harassment by tax officials of individuals drove out the retail investor from the stock market. Thirdly, the SBP is yet to focus on establishing robust local secondary market for government debt at retail level. If it happens, the stock exchange can become a luscious retail network for government, helping to reduce cost. Fourthly, the complicacy of Special Convertible Rupee Account (SCRA) throws a major discouragement to the Non-Resident Pakistani to invest in the local stock market. Fifthly, the absence of upgraded electronic system for trading together with settlement and clearing mechanism is a hurdle in gaining momentum. The sixth issue is related to the lack of systematic and institutionalized plans and efforts to leave Pakistani equity market to the foreign investors and local dispersion (KSE Report, 2012). However, Iqbal (2012) also added that in Pakistani stock market, higher volatility might be attributed to Badla trading together with noise traders and speculators. In a related vein, Ocampo *et al.* (2008) spotlighted an important aspect of market fluctuations particularly in emerging markets like Pakistan- is their short term focus. Short term risk management practices (Persaud, 2000), short term criteria for investment fund evaluation, benchmarking against indices and more recently, the practise of expecting firm announcements of short term profit forecast (which is highly uncertain), all contributes to the short term bias, influencing the investors behaviour in stock market.

#### 1.4 Pakistan's Industrial Sector

In Pakistan, during late 1980s, the non-conducive regulatory framework and outdated infrastructure created need to improve the financial and industrial sector of the country (Khan and Qayyum, 2007; Asian Development Bank Report (ADB), 1998; 2008). Establishment of private organizations accompanied by the privatization of government owned companies pushed the industry by improving shareholder base and consequently forming an efficient industrial sector with much better orientation (ADB Report, 2008). Pakistan industrial sector went through lot of changes since independence, which are discussed as follows.

## 1.4.1 Industrial Sector from 1950-2000

Immediately after the independence, government focused on industrial developments with investment in various sectors. These investments included sugar mills, papers mills, energy projects and telephone and wireless equipments (ADB Report, 2008). During 1960s, government focused on machinery sector by establishing Machine Toll Factory and Heavy Mechanical Complex. All these steps

contributed towards industrial sector growth which was in par with Japan and more than Korea and Chine (ADB Report, 2008). However, whole economic scenario changed from 1972 to 1990, after the Nationalization Act 1974. From 1972 to 1977, 3 life insurance firms, 32 basic firms, 26 ghee firms and 4 cotton firms were nationalized (ADB Report, 2008). Subsequently, the economy began to slow down since the private investment evaporated. Declining business activities pushed the government in realizing the importance of private ownership by passing Transfer of Managed Establishments Order (TMEO) 1978. TMEO mainly targeted the return of state owned enterprises to their previous owners (ADB Report, 2008). However, apart from Pakistan Industrial Corporation Units, four small PIA motels and three textile units, no such privatization took place under the cover of TMEO 1978 (ADB Report, 2008). From 1970 to 1990, state control and command over the economic and financial activities led to decline in economic performance because of inefficient allocation of resources (ADB Report, 1998; 2008).

However, in early 1990s, cutback in financial aid by the US (after Soviets defeat) and mismanagement of government owned enterprises forced the process of privatization in the country (ADB Report, 2008). In 1991, government permitted the establishment of private enterprises. Some of the major privatizations from 1991 to 1998 included: Allied Bank, Muslim Commercial Bank, Banker Equity and Habib Credit & Exchange Limited (Year Book, 2005-2006, ADB Report, 2008). Furthermore, State Bank of Pakistan (SBP) initiated various policies to improve the performance of industrial sector of the country. These policies included issuing banking licence to private investors and providing legal cover to private investors against nationalization through Economic Reform Act (ADB Report, 2008). All these steps promoted healthy competition in the economy and increased the efficiency of industrial sector in the country (ADB Report, 1998; 2008).

## 1.4.2 Industrial Sector from 2000-2012

More policies for reform (see appendix A) have amplified both the direct foreign and domestic investment from 2000-01 to 2007-08 (Economic Survey, 2008;

ADB Report, 2008). Government has focused on privatization, liberalization and deregulation policies; since 1999 (World Bank Report, 2007; ADB Report, 2008). The most prominent among forty nine privatizations included; Pakistan Telecom Limited, Habib Bank Limited, Union Bank Limited, Karachi Electric Supply Company and a number of cement and fertilizer firms (Year Book, 2005-06; ADB Report, 2008). Further, liberalization and deregulation policies included opening almost all sectors to foreign investors, allowing 100 percent foreign ownership, export processing zones, zero rated import of raw material for export manufacturing, reduction in tariff and import duty on 4000 items (Year Book, 2005-06; ADB Report, 2008). Thus Pakistan's industrial sector turned into an attractive and profitable place for the international as well as local investors (Economic Survey, 2006-07).

According to Economic Survey (2006-07), Pakistan's economy achieved significant growth from 2000 to 2007 (e.g. economic growth rose from 2 % in 2000 to 7.5 % in 2007; for further details see Economic Survey, 2006-07). Macroeconomic forces (e.g. interest rate, inflation etc.) improved during that period because of reformed governmental policies (Lorie and Iqbal, 2005; Economic Survey, 2006-07). These policies included; mergers and acquisitions, decrease in interest rate, advance payments of debts, improved relation with neighbours, global financial institution's coverage, rescheduling of foreign loans and a stable exchange rate (Economic Survey, 2006-07). High profitability and growth opportunities in telecom, energy and financial sector during that period attracted foreign investments (Economic Survey, 2006-07; ADB Report, 2008).

Pakistan's economy grew at an average rate of 6% percent per annum from 2000 to 2007 (Economic Survey, 2000-07). It is treated as a gratifying performance due to unusual and extraordinary conditions faced by the economy (Economic Survey, 2000-07). These conditions included: an unusual damage caused by earthquake, political instability in the country, water shortage, law and order situation and also external factors like 9/11 attacks in USA, energy and food shortage (Economic Survey, 2006-07). On one hand, these events threatened the financial stability but on the other hand, they have created new opportunities for Pakistan's economic growth. Since after 9/11 attacks in USA, a significant amount of financial

aid was granted to Pakistan accompanied by heavy foreign direct investment; particularly, in the education, telecom, banking, oil & gas and automobile sectors of the economy. However, from the mid of 2008 onward, Pakistan's economy began to slow down (Congressional Research Service Report (CRS), 2009; IMF Country Report, 2010); Average growth rate for the last four years dropped to just 3% (Economic Survey, 2008-12). Additionally, the Pakistan's economy is facing the unemployment, currency depreciation, rising oil prices, inflation and interest rate along with declining industrial production (CRS Report, 2009; Economic Survey, 2008-11; IMF Country Report, 2010; 2012). Figure 1.2 shows real GDP growth from 2000 to 2011. After achieving ever highest growth of 8.3 percent in 2004-05; decline in GDP growth in 2005-06 was mainly due to widespread damage caused by earthquake in 2005; estimated at Rs. 9.1 billion (Economic Survey, 2005-06; Earthquake Report; 2005). However, in 2006-07; growth accelerated to 7.5 percent due to strong investment taking lead over consumption for the first time in the last three years (Economic Survey, 2006-07). Where after, GDP growth fell was due to internal as well as external problems (Economic Survey, 2010-011). Internally, political instability, weak economic policies and energy shortage and externally; rapid cutback in FDI<sup>3</sup> severely affected the Pakistan's economy (Economic Survey, 2010-11).



Figure 1.2: Growth in Real GDP

In Pakistan, the manufacturing sector grew by an average of 9% from 2001 to 2007; but decline to an average growth of just 2.3% between 2008 and 2012 (Economic Survey, 2006-12). Construction, fertilizer and automobile industries contributed considerably to the growth from 2001-07 because of extraordinary situations that included the earthquake in 2005, the restoration process of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Foreign direct investment was US\$5438 million in 2008 which declined to just \$2382 million in 2009 (see figure 1.3 below) (for further details see Economic Survey, 2007-11 & ADB Report, 2008).

Afghanistan and foreign direct investment (Economic Survey, 2006-07). There was a rapid increase in demand for construction material in the earthquake region and in Afghanistan that boosted the construction industry during that period. Furthermore, the commencement of various foreign and local banks in the country aided the growth of several industries; particularly, the automobile industry due to intensified consumer financing (Economic Survey, 2006-07). Manufacturing sector accounted for 60% of the total private sector credit. However, over the last few years manufacturing sector is facing several issues including rapid increase in cost of business operations, security tension, wars against terror, energy shortfall and political instability. (Economic Survey, 2008-12). Figure 1.3 shows foreign direct investment in Pakistan from 2000 to 2011. As shown in the figure, intensive foreign direct investment from 2000 to 2007 has resulted in considerable economic growth during that period (Iram and Nishat, 2009). This considerable rise in foreign direct investment is due to good governmental policies of privatization, liberalization and deregulation during that period (Trevin and Mixon, 2004; IMF Country Report, 2010). However, from mid of 2008 onward, there is significant decline in foreign direct investment (see figure 1.3); which is due to both internal and external problems (UN ESCAP Report, 2009; CRS Report, 2009; Hamdani, 2011). The internal problems include: energy crisis (frequent power shut), security tensions, high borrowing cost<sup>4</sup> and weak economic policies<sup>5</sup>. While, the prominent external force is the financial meltdown at international level because of global financial crisis; that decreased the global demand for commodities and also forced the foreign investors to cut back their investment in Pakistan (Velde, 2008<sup>6</sup>; UN ESCAP Report, 2009; CRS Report, 2009; Economic Survey, 2010-11; Hamdani, 2011). Furthermore, since 2008, one-third of the decline in foreign direct investment is due to low reinvestment returns<sup>7</sup> and two-third is due to low equity inflow (Asiedu, 2002<sup>8</sup>; Hamdani, 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Pan (2003) empirically tested and proved that the security tension and borrowing cost are negatively associated to foreign direct investment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Cheng and Kwan (2000) empirically verified that the economic policies are positively related to foreign direct investment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> He stated that the cutback in FDI is one of the way through which the global financial crisis has damaged the developing countries.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Reinvestment returns for foreign investors decreased by 75% in 2009 (for further details see Hamdani, 2011).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Asiedu (2002) empirically proved that the reinvestment return is positively associated with foreign direct investment.



Figure 1.3: Foreign Direct Investment

More so, in case of oil substitute sector (i.e. Gas & Water), due to rising oil prices, government decided to decrease the gas price by almost 40% with the intentions of providing an alternative source of energy at a cheaper rate for boosting the businesses in the country (State Bank of Pakistan (SBP), 2012). Consequently, the significant fall in the gas prices damaged the profitability of gas providing firms (SBP, 2012). Further, the Oil & Gas sector performed well due to rising oil prices in the country. However, the lack of energy generation plans by the government has put the Electricity sector under strong stress over the last six years due to growing electricity demands and rising supply-demand gap in the country. As a result, several business units shifted to other countries e.g. Bangladesh, Malaysia and Thailand etc (SBP, 2012; ADB Report, 2008; 2012; IMF Country Report, 2010; 2012). Further, Electricity sector is also victim of increasing corporate governance issues (e.g. see IMF Country Report, 2010). Whereas, for Personal Goods and Food Producer sectors; the extra ordinary situation including: energy shortage, electricity shutdown, rising fuel prices, lack of R & D regarding cotton, lack of modernized technology, lack of new investments, cuts in demand for Pakistan's cotton by EU and USA, lack of efficient supply chain management and bad planning by the government added momentum to the decreasing profitability. Consequently, resulting in closure of various units and shifting of several ones to the other countries (SBP, 2012; ADB Report, 2008; 2012; IMF Country Report, 2010; 2012; Textile Sector Report, 2012). Next, owing to rapid growth, Oil & Gas sector overall dominated in the preferences of foreign investors; however, over the last five years a drift in FDI from Oil & Gas sector to other sectors was quite evident (IMF Country Report, 2010; 2012; Economic Survey, 2011-2012). Further, in the case of Fixed Line Telecom sector, severe competition and huge investments shifted the consumer demand from fixed line to the mobile systems (SBP, 2012; ADB Report, 2008). However, Industrial Metal & Mining sector suffered from several problems such as slack of geological

data basis, experienced management, capital resources and finally and most importantly the lack of infrastructure and security are the leading issues (Economic Survey, 2011-2012). The survey also added that the fall in domestic demand for their products has significantly and negatively affected their growth.

In Chemical sector, however the problem of shortage of natural gas (used as raw material for manufacturing as well as for plant fuel), hampering the productivity and profitability (Economic Survey, 2011-2012). Similarly, in Auto & Parts sector; the factors like protection through high importing duties and other barriers to entry and competition along with low skilled and unproductive labour force render it inefficient and uncompetitive (ADB Report, 2008; MIPSGP Report, 2008). Nontariff shelter for both domestic assembler and producers resulted in small and inefficient domestic firms, the reports further added. Moreover, the sectors namely: Personal Goods, Food Producer, Gas & Water, Chemical, Pharma & Bio, Industrial Metal & Mining, Construction & Material, Auto & Parts and Electricity are relatively facing higher external debt (SBP, 2012; Economic Survey, 2010-2012). Further, General Industrial, Pharma & Bio and Household Goods sectors are victim of long lasting issues of energy shortage causing underutilization of plants and rising input cost, leaving them less competitive in the market, consequently damaging their profitability (ADB Report, 2008; Economic Survey, 2011-2012). However, Construction & Material sector is the victim of well known energy crisis together with demand-supply mechanism, adversely affecting the productivity and resulting in profit volatility (IMF Country Report, 2010; 2012; Economic Survey, 2011-2012).

The financial sector in Pakistan mainly constituted of non-life insurance, life insurance, banking and financial services sectors. Exceptional performance of financial sector (i.e. an average growth of 21%) has contributed significantly to uplift the economic growth and to keep the economy at a competitive position. Furthermore, it has compensated for the lethargic growth of manufacturing and agriculture sector (Economic Survey, 2000-2011; ADB Report, 2008). An average growth of 21% (2001-2007) puts the financial sector as a leader to keep the economy closer to competitive growth rate<sup>9</sup> (Economic Survey, 2006-07). However, from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>There was an overall average growth of 6% from 2000 to 2007 (for further details see Economic Survey, 2006-07).

2008 onward financial sector showed declining growth mainly subject to cutback in foreign direct investment<sup>10</sup>, due to global financial crisis (Velde, 2008; Economic Survey, 2010-12). On the other hand, financial sector's growth increased consumer financing; which resulted in increase in consumer spending and consequently created an extraordinary inflationary pressure (an average 15%) together with steep rise in supply of money<sup>11</sup> (Economic Survey, 2010-12). More so, Banking sector is vulnerable due to significant rising NPL's (bulk are with Personal Goods and Foods Producers sectors) and holding of government securities (IMF Report, 2012). Although government securities raises bank's liquidity and capital ratios but yet are subject to market value variations and are drying out private sector credit from the economy, the report noted. While, the Banking, Financial Services and Non-Life Insurance sectors are also involved in lending to, holding of corporate bonds and selling of insurance products to non-financial firms (IMF Report, 2012).

The financial sector has achieved magnificent growth over the last decade, playing key role for the economic growth and development of Pakistan (ADB Report, 2008; IMF Country Report, 2010). However, it went through some serious corporate governance issues including the group structure and complex ownership (IMF Country Report, 2010). The key issues include: (i) dominance of controlling shareholders directors over board proceedings, (ii) lack of board independence, (iii) preferential treatment of shareholders, and (iv) facilitating the group concerns through channelling financial resources (IMF Country Report, 2010). Further, the financial sector also suffers from: lack of compliance with and violations of corporate governance practices, unfair issue of credit to the sectors, cheap loans to targeted priority sectors, loose credit controls, infected lending portfolio and inefficient governmental policies (IMF Country Report, 2010; 2012; Hameed et al., 2013). However, the non-financial sector in Pakistan has not performed up to the benchmark (ADB Report, 2008; IMF Country Report, 2010; 2012). The key factors include corporate governance (group structure and complex ownership), serious energy shortage and security tensions accompanied by bad governmental policies and political instability (ADB Report, 2008; IMF Country Report, 2010; 2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Foreign direct investment in financial sector decreased from US\$ 1864 million in 2007-08 to just US\$163 million in 2009-10.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Money supply increased from Rs. 36, 24885 million in 2007 to Rs. 76, 41800 million in 2012.

Both the financial and non-financial sectors run together and act as a pillar for each other's supports in an economy. Therefore, any shock to one sector can flow the other. Hence, summing it up; both of these sectors (directly or indirectly) have been put under some stress due to security threats, energy shortage, rising energy prices, corporate governance issues (complex ownership and group structure), lack of compliance with and violations of corporate governance practices, inequitable issue of credit to the sectors, cheap loans to targeted priority sectors, loose credit controls, infected lending portfolio and inefficient governmental policies (IMF Country Report, 2010; 2012; Hameed *et al.*, 2013).

More so, Pakistan is involved in the exports of chemical, surgical, pharmaceutical, guar, cement, engineering, leather products and textile products to various countries around the globe (Economic Survey, 2011-2012). Exports are considered as one of the key factor in promoting and stabilizing the economic growth of a country. Pakistan is the eighth largest exporter of textile products in Asia. Whereas, over the last few years; a decline in exports (relative to other developing countries mainly India and China) can be attributed to several obstacles faced by the exporting firms in Pakistan. The lack of skilled labours, lack of availability of cheap fuel particularly the electricity, and quality certification are the key factors adversely affecting their performance (Amjad et al., 2012). Institutional rigidness, weak physical infrastructure, lack of general business environment and market imperfection also added fuel to the declining performance of the exporting firms (Amjad et al., 2012). However, by way of comparison; despite of these issues, one can argue that exporting firms in Pakistan performed better than the non-exporting firms (IMF Country Report, 2010; 2012; Economic Survey, 2011-2012). The reasons can rest on the conclusions of financial literature stating that the exporting firms have better payment mechanism for workers and managers, are more R&D oriented, have more experienced management, have faster growth rate, have larger customer base, have large and diversified suppliers, have strong financial bases, have more research resources, are more productive and are better in developing strategies in contrast to their non-exporting counterparts (e.g. see McDougall, 1989; Westhead, 1995; Farinas and Marcos, 2006; Hagemejer and Kolasa, 2011). More so, exporting firms are relatively larger in size as compared to non-exporting firms due to larger customer base, more exposure to various and diversified markets, large productivity

and profitability (IMF Country Report, 2010). Further, owing to their access only to local market; specifically from the context of Pakistan, significant decline in the performance of non-exporting firms can be attributed to: ever growing energy problem, political instability, corruption, lack of infrastructure, rapidly rising production cost, serious security threats and bad governmental policies (Ministry of Commerce Report, 2009; IMF Country Report, 2012; Economic Survey 2011-2012). However, on the contrary, more experienced management, strong financial bases, more research resources and better strategies (McDougall, 1989; Westhead, 1995; Farinas and Marcos, 2006; Hagemejer and Kolasa, 2011); place the exporting firms in a better position to cater these issues in contrast to their non-exporting counterparts.

#### **1.5 Problem Identification**

Pakistan economy emerged prominently<sup>12</sup> in the world in terms of growth and development during the last decade but started to crumble over the last few years (ADB Report, 2008; IMF Country Report, 2010; Economic Survey, 2010-11). It is subject to both internal and external factors. Internally, government furnished various inducements to both foreign and local investors with improved regulatory framework<sup>13</sup> (Economic Survey, 2006-07; ADB Report, 2008). While, the most prominent external force includes 9/11, serving as a foundation to attract huge amount of foreign investment and financial aid (Yousuf *et al.*, 2008; Akbar and Kundi, 2009; Economic Survey, 2006-07). Foreign direct investment can significantly contribute to the macroeconomic stability of a country (Lemi, 2004; Khan, 2007; Yousaf *et al.*, 2008)<sup>14</sup>. Direct foreign investment has appeared as a prominent force in economic growth and stability of Pakistan through transfer of technology, capital formation, improving human capital, enhancing the global trade

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Pakistan enjoyed economic growth of 7.5% in 2007 which was almost in par to Malaysia, Singapore and Philippines and was more than Seri Lanka and Thailand.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> See appendix A (for further details see Economic Survey, 2006-07; ADB Report, 2008).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> They all empirically proved that FDI is positively related to economic growth.

(Miyamoto<sup>15</sup>; Economic Survey, 2006-07; Yousaf *et al.*, 2008; Blalock and Gertler, 2008<sup>16</sup>). Over the last decade, policy makers have liberalized the regulatory framework for foreign investors and provided investment friendly economic policies<sup>17</sup> in order to attract and encourage the foreign investment in the country (Year Book, 2005-06; ADB Report, 2008). These policies included opening almost all sectors to foreign investors, allowing 100 percent foreign ownership, export processing zones, zero rated import of raw material for manufacturing, free trade agreements, reduction in tariff and custom duty on 4000 items (Year Book, 2005-06; ADB Report, 2008). Hence, Pakistan has emerged as an attractive place for foreign investors (Economic Survey, 2006-07; ADB Report; 2008).

In Pakistan, intensified financial aid and foreign direct investment have significantly strengthened several macroeconomic factors and eased various restrictions to the economy (Tashrifov, 2005<sup>18</sup>; Economic Survey, 2006-07; ADB Report, 2008; IMF Country Report, 2010). Sectors opened to foreign investors include: oil & gas, communication, financial business, textile, trade, construction, chemical, transport, food and beverages, automobile (Economic Survey, 2006-07; ADB Report, 2008). Among others, food and beverage, oil & gas, financial and communication sectors were preferred by the foreign investors. These sectors accounted for more than 80 percent of total foreign direct investment (Economic Survey, 2006-07; ADB Report, 2008; IMF Country Report, 2008; IMF Country Report, 2010).

Foreign direct investment increased significantly from 2001 to 2007, reflecting tremendous trust of foreign investors in Pakistan's economy during that period (ADB Report, 2008; IMF Country Report, 2010). However, over the last few years; considerable decline in foreign direct investment is evident after the peak till to the year of 2007 (see figure 1.3). This decline in foreign direct investment is due to both internal and external problems (Velde, 2008; UN ESCAP Report, 2009; Economic Survey, 2010-11; Hamdani, 2011)<sup>19</sup>. Manufacturing sector is producing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Miyamoto (2001) concluded that the foreign direct investment significantly improves the human capital in host country (for further details see OECD Report, 2001).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Blalock and Gertler, (2008) empirically verified that the transfer of technology and foreign direct investment are positively associated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> For further details see appendix A on investment incentives in Pakistan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Tashrifov (2005) empirically proved that the foreign aid has strong positive impact on economic growth of developing countries including Pakistan.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> For further details see Figure 1.3 along with the explanation.

low quality products, creating customer dissatisfaction and consequently reducing the market share at international level, therefore causing a considerable decline in its average performance, particularly during the last 3-4 years<sup>20</sup> (ADB Report, 2008; Economic Survey, 2006-011). In addition, growing energy shortage (frequent power shut), increasing political instability, lack of long term financing, rapid increase in cost of business operations and rising internal security tension are the prominent internal forces that recently damaged the manufacturing sector's performance in the country (ADB Report, 2008; Economic Survey, 2010-011). Among manufacturing; Gas & Water, Oil & Gas, Personal Goods, Food Producer, Fixed Line Telecom, Industrial Metal & Mining, Chemical, Auto & Parts, General Industrial, Pharma & Bio, Construction & Material and Household Goods sectors have faced several issues<sup>21</sup> that adversely affected their performance (ADB Report, 2008; 2012; MIPSGP Report, 2008; IMF Country Report, 2010; SBP, 2012; Economic Survey, 2011-2012).

An efficient service sector is a prerequisite for strong economic growth (Tandrayen-Ragoobur, 2010)<sup>22</sup>. In Pakistan, service sector has furnished considerable support to maintain and strengthen the economic growth<sup>23</sup> (ADB Report, 2008; IMF Country Report, 2010; Economic Survey; 2010-11). Financial sector appeared as progressive and vibrant force driving the economy (Jalil and Maa, 2008; Khan and Khan, 2007;<sup>24</sup>; ADB Report, 2008; Economic Survey; 2006-07). Rising financial needs of emerging economy and financial sector improvements have fuelled the financial sector growth and set it as main stimulator of Pakistan's economy (Khan and Khan, 2007; Jalil and Maa, 2008<sup>25</sup>; Economic Survey; 2006-07; IMF Country Report, 2010). Yet, as discussed in detail in previous section, financial sector in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> The average growth rate for the last four years drops to just 2.3% as compared to the average growth of 9% from 2001 to 2007 (For further details see ADB Report, 2008; Economic Survey, 2006-011).

 $<sup>^{21}</sup>$  All the issues faced by these sectors have been discussed in detail in previous section (i.e. section 1.4.2)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Tandrayen-Ragoobur (2010) empirically tested and proved that the service sector of a country significantly contributes to the economic growth.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Service sector contributed 52% to the GDP in 2007-08 (for further details see ADB Report, 2008, Economic Survey, 2007-08; IMF Country Report, 2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Jalil and Maa, (2008) and Khan and Khan, (2007) empirically proved that the improvements in financial sector are positively associated with economic growth and financial sector has contributed significantly to economic growth of Pakistan particularly from 2000-01 to 2007-08.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> For further details see the empirical study of Khan and Khan (2007) and Jalil and Maa (2008) together with Economic Survey (2006-07) and IMF Country Report, (2010).

Pakistan is exposed to serious corporate governance issues accompanied by unfair issue of credit to the sectors, cheap loans to targeted priority sectors, loose credit controls and infected lending portfolio (IMF Country Report, 2010; Hameed et al., 2013). However, Banking sector is vulnerable due to significant rising NPL (bulk are with Personal Goods and Foods Producers sectors) and holding of governmental securities (IMF Report, 2012). In Pakistan, monetary system liberalization<sup>26</sup> has aided the significant growth of money market. An energetic money market furnishes solidity to financial system by fulfilling the liquidity requirements at competitive rates and with much ease (Economic Survey of Pakistan, 2009-10). Increase in money supply, rise in production of industries and continuous inflationary pressure are some of the main macroeconomic forces that are generated by rapid financial and real sector growth. Further, as discussed in earlier section, both the financial and non-financial sectors run together and act as a pillar for each other's supports in an economy. Therefore, any shock to one sector can flow the other (ADB Report, 2008; IMF Country Report, 2010; 2012; Hameed et al., 2013). Exporting is one of the critical elements in the growth and stability of an emerging economy. In Pakistan, over the last few years; a decline in exports can be attributed to several obstacles<sup>27</sup> faced by them (Amjad et al., 2012). However, relatively; the exporting firms in Pakistan performed better than the non-exporting firms (IMF Country Report, 2010; 2012; Economic Survey, 2011-2012)<sup>28</sup>.

For a developing country like Pakistan, firm size and age can be flag rising in the debate of economic and financial stability and growth. Since, small and young firms can play a significant role in the economic growth and development of a country (Khan, 2005). In the spotlight of World Bank Survey in 2007 and 2010; in Pakistan, particularly the small and new ones are facing several obstacles. The top ones rest on electricity shortage followed by serious corruption and crime. The report further noted that access to finance; land and tax rates are also hindering their performance (World Bank Survey, 2010; Afraz *et al.*, 2013). Hussain *et al.* (2012)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> These liberalizations include; out of the court settlements of NPLs, banks are allowed to establish separate subsidiary to function as mutual funds, asset management companies and venture capital, relaxing the marginal requirements, reserve requirements and interest rate.( For further details see Khan and Khan (2007) and Economic Survey of Pakistan).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> All these obstacles faced by exporting firms have been discussed in quite detail in the previous section (i.e. section 1.4.2). For further details see Amjad et *al.*, (2012).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> The possible reasons for such better performance of exporting than the non-exporting firms in Pakistan have been discussed in quite detail in the previous section.
determined that these obstacles resulted in lost of 10 percent of the annual sales on average. Adding together, Sherazi *et al.* (2013) identifying the major obstacles for the small firms in Pakistan, also ranked corruption<sup>29</sup> at the top followed by technological and infrastructure issues. Realizing the importance of small and young enterprises in an economy, recently Pakistan has been engaged in various steps for their development; such as, approval of SME policy and setting up of SMEDA as a separate agency for the development of small businesses. SMEDA took various steps including human resource development, infrastructural improvements and technological upgrading (Economic Survey, 2011-2012). In addition, SMEDA collaborated with international agencies such as, JICA in Japan, SEC in Germany, APO in Asia and local experts to furnish technical assistance to small enterprises in Pakistan (Economic Survey, 2011-2012). Nevertheless, owing to all these steps, despite of all the issue, small and young firms contributed reasonably to the Pakistan economy (around 32% to the GDP) (Economic Survey, 2011-2012).

In Pakistan, Karachi Stock Exchange (KSE) is the largest and the oldest stock exchange which is measured through KSE-100 index. Recently, KSE has made many achievements and remained among the top exchanges round the globe in terms of performance (IMF Country Report, 2010). On May 04, 2012, there were 591 companies listed on KSE, with paid-up capital of Rs.1059.087 billion (US\$11.66 billion) (Economic Survey, 2011-2012). The KSE-100 index had 13801 points as on June 30, 2012, after achieving record summit of 15,677 points as on April 16, 2008. The KSE-100 index was just 1200 points at the start of last decade. Aggregate market capitalization as on May 04, 2012 stood at Rs.3730.489 billion (US\$41.09 billion) as compared to June 30, 2007 figure of Rs.4019 billion (US\$66.4 billion), a decline of Rs.288.511 billion (a decline of US\$ 25.31 billion due to currency depreciation); however, aggregate market capitalization was Rs.392 billion (US\$7.6 billion) at the beginning of last decade (Economic Survey, 2000-2012). The forces such as: decrease in interest rate, advance payment of debts, improvement in relation with neighbours, mergers and acquisitions, global financial institution's coverage, rescheduling of foreign loans and some stability in exchange rate contributed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Most common form of corruption is the inappropriate application and interpretation of the policies across the government offices. It includes policies related to the licensing, labour and tax etc. Firms are forced to pay huge bribe payments to get their matters done (Hussain *et al.*, 2012; Afraz *et al.*, 2013).

significantly to prospering conditions in the market (Economic Survey, 2006-08). Furthermore, private investors were stimulated by liberalization, deregulation and privatization policies, consequently influencing the stock exchange performance during that period (Khan and Rizwan, 2008; ADB Report, 2008). Exceptional corporate earnings during that period specifically, in financial and banking sectors; also motivated the foreign investors to focus on the equity market (Economic Survey, 2006-07; ADB Report, 2008). On the other hand, the Karachi Stock Market is also facing several micro as well as macro-structural issues along with short term bias, hampering its growth and can cause high volatility (Ocampo *et al.*, 2008; KSE Report, 2012)<sup>30</sup>.

An advance and efficient equity market is the strength of an economy, since the existing studies (e.g. see Brasoveanu *et al.*, 2008)<sup>31</sup> documented significant positive association between stock market and economic growth of a country. Capital markets are the most prominent component of financial system (Mala and White, 2006). A well developed equity market provides a platform to allocate the long term resources of the economy from the saver to the potential investor. It mobilizes the foreign and local funds and directs them to foster the business activities in the country. Hence, it is recognized that the growth and development of an economy is heavily dependent on stock market efficiency (Brasoveanu et al., 2008). Globalization of economies is forcing the national financial markets to integrate, which is fruitful for global economic growth but such integration can expose the economies to crisis; specifically, if it emerges from giant markets (Mishkin, 2001; Schmukler et al., 2005<sup>32</sup>). Before the world financial crisis of 2007-08, world economy recorded significant growth (Obstfeld and Rogoff, 2009). This growth was accompanied by the easy access to financial resources, expansion of international trade and financial flow, generally low inflation and widespread growth enjoyed by the developing countries with the absence of crisis (Obstfeld and Rogoff, 2009)<sup>33</sup>. During the first seven years of last decade, Pakistan's economy enjoyed significant

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> All these problems faced by KSE have been discussed in quite detail in the previous section (i.e. Section 1.3.2). For further details, see (Ocampo et al., 2008 and KSE Report, 2012)

 $<sup>^{31}</sup>$  These studies (e.g. see Brasoveanu *et al.*, 2008) empirically proved that the stock market improvements and economic growth has strong positive association.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup>They concluded that the countries with weak fundamentals are more prone to crises as they become subject to the reaction of domestic and international markets.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> For further details see Obstfeld and Rogoff (2009).

growth together with exceptional historical achievements of stock exchange market. However, over the last few years, macroeconomic indicators are exhibiting negative signals as inflation is climbing, currency is depreciating, industrial production is decreasing, oil prices are mounting and interest rate is rising (CRS Report, 2009; Economic Survey, 2008-11; IMF Country Report, 2010; 2012).

In line with the macroeconomic indicators, at the same time stock market, after a record achievement of 15,677 points as on April 16, 2008, closed at 13,801 points as on June 30, 2012. The stock exchange market and macroeconomic indicators were growing parallel to each other (IMF Country Report, 2010). This side by side movement of stock market performance and macroeconomic indicators demands an examination of the relationship between them. Furthermore, according to Bekaert and Harvey, (1997); in emerging markets, investors are most likely to make their decision on the basis of local economic and market conditions. Hence, it is very crucial to investigate the association of economic factors with stock returns particularly at the firms along with sectoral and aggregate market level for effective decision making.

These macroeconomic uncertainties along with various firm's feature specific issues in Pakistan generates serious concerns regarding the stock returns volatility, risk-return relationship and asymmetry and leverage effect. Since literature (e.g. see Bollesrev *et al.* 1992; Elyasiani and Mansur, 1998) is of the view that macroeconomic changes are the major sources of stock market volatility that can cause the stock market to plunge. It is a widely held belief among the scholars (e.g. see Mandimika and Chinzara, 2012) that the volatility is a measure of risk. Furthermore, the asymmetric volatility of economic factors theory strongly claims that the leverage effect in stock market can be due to the under-forecasting of future economic factors growth rate in an economy. Hence, it can be conjectured that all these three main issues of stock returns (i.e. economic exposure, volatility dynamics and pricing of risk) are closely interrelated and demands a detailed investigation. Thus, it is also worthy to inspect the stock returns volatility, its persistence, mean reversion and speed of mean reversion of volatility together with pricing of risk and asymmetric & leverage effect.

As far literature is concerned, it is indeed noticeable that the existing scholars have highly overlooked this potential research gap inspite of its importance as alluded above. For instance the existing literature regarding all the three dimensions of stock returns (i.e. economic exposure, pricing of risk and various volatility dynamics) is only limited to aggregate market (most) or sectoral level (few) stock returns (*c.f.* Ewing *et al.*, 2005; West and Worthington, 2006; Akbar and Kundi, 2009; Rahman *et al.*, 2009; Le and Youngho, 2011; Carroll and Connor (2011) and Elyasiani *et al.* 2011; Jiranyakul, 2011 and Mandimika and Chinzara, 2012) Whereas, the aggregate market as well as sectoral level analysis is highly elusive and deceptive (Ewing *et al.*, 2005; Khan *et al.*, 2014a). Furthermore, the presented studies also ignored the potential avenue of firm feature's effect with respect to all these dimensions of stock returns. Hence, due to the negligence of existence studies along with recommendations of the literature (e.g. Ewing *et al.*, 2005; Mandimika and Chinzara, 2012; Khan et al., 2014 a, b), this study is aiming to close this potential research gap.

## **1.6** Research Questions

This study investigates what, if any, is the association between macroeconomic indicators and stock returns. More so, current study also explores the stock returns volatility, its persistence, mean reversion and speed of mean reversion of volatility along with pricing of risk and asymmetry and leverage effect. Further, this study also examines the lagged effect of economic factors on stock returns. Specifically, to examine the following:

- 1. What is the impact of economic factors on each of the firm and sectoral together with aggregate market level stock returns? And how does their impact on stock returns vary with respect to firms characteristics (i.e. firm size, firm age, firm trading nature (exporting vs. non-exporting), firm business nature (financial vs. non-financial), and sectoral location of the firm).
- 2. Is the risk a priced factor at each of the firm and sectoral besides aggregate market level stock returns? And does the pricing of risk vary with respect to

firms characteristics (i.e. firm size, firm age, firm trading nature, firm business nature, and sectoral location of the firm).

- 3. Is there any asymmetry & leverage effect at each of the firm and sectoral along with aggregate market level stock returns? And how does it vary with respect to firms characteristics (i.e. firm size, firm age, firm trading nature, firm business nature, and sectoral location of the firm).
- 4. What are the volatility dynamics (i.e. volatility, persistence, mean reversion and speed of mean reversion) at each of the firm and sectoral together with aggregate market level stock returns? And how do these dynamics vary with respect to firms characteristics (i.e. firm size, firm age, firm trading nature, firm business nature, and sectoral location of the firm).
- 5. What are the differences regarding various volatility dynamics (volatility, persistence, mean reversion and speed of mean reversion) between firm and aggregate market level stock returns? And does the firm characters effect play any role in this manner (i.e. firm size, firm age, firm trading nature, firm business nature and sectoral location of firm).
- 6. Do economic factors hold any lagged effect on each of the firm and sectoral besides aggregate market level stock returns? And how does it vary with respect to firms characteristics (i.e. firm size, firm age, firm trading nature, firm business nature, and sectoral location of the firm).

# 1.7 Objectives of the Study

This empirical research study underlines the following key objectives:

- 1. To examine the impact of economic factors on each of the firm and sectoral together with aggregate market level stock returns and also to explore the variations in their impact on stock returns with respect to firms characteristics (i.e. firm size, firm age, firm trading nature (exporting vs. non-exporting), firm business nature (financial vs. non-financial), and sectoral location of the firm).
- 2. To investigate the pricing of risk at each of the firm and sectoral besides aggregate market level stock returns and also to explore the variations in pricing

of risk with respect to firms characteristics (i.e. firm size, firm age, firm trading nature, firm business nature, and sectoral location of the firm).

- 3. To investigate the asymmetry & leverage effect at each of the firm and sectoral along with aggregate market level stock returns and also to explore its variations with respect to firms characteristics (i.e. firm size, firm age, firm trading nature, firm business nature, and sectoral location of the firm).
- 4. To examine the volatility dynamics (i.e. volatility, persistence, mean reversion and speed of mean reversion) at each of the firm and sectoral together with aggregate market level stock returns and also to explore the variations in these dynamics from the point of view of firms characteristics (i.e. firm size, firm age, firm trading nature, firm business nature, and sectoral location of the firm).
- 5. To investigate the differences regarding various volatility dynamics (volatility, persistence, mean reversion and speed of mean reversion) between firm and aggregate market level stock returns and also to untie the role of firm characters effect in this manner (i.e. firm size, firm age, firm trading nature, firm business nature and sectoral location of firm).
- 6. To investigate the lagged effect of economic factors on each of the firm and sectoral besides aggregate market level stock returns and also to explore the role of firms characters effect in this regard (i.e. firm size, firm age, firm trading nature, firm business nature, and sectoral location of the firm).

# **1.8 Mapping the Research Questions and Objectives**

Table 1.1 below displays the focus of the study together with respective research questions and their objectives. The first focus of the study is to explore the economic exposure of stock returns, followed by the second and third focus examining the pricing of risk and asymmetry and leverage effect of stock returns, respectively. However, the fourth aim of the study is to investigate the various volatility dynamics of stock returns. Furthermore, the fifth and sixth focus of the study is to examine the various volatility dynamics and the difference between firm level and aggregate market volatility, respectively. While, the last focus is to examine the lagged effect. All these focuses of the study are matched with their respective research questions and objectives set for the study.

Focus	<b>Research Question</b>	<b>Research Objective</b>
Economic Exposure	What is the impact of economic	To examine the impact of economic
	factors on each of the firm and	factors on each of the firm and sectoral
	sectoral together with aggregate	together with aggregate market level
	market level stock returns? And	stock returns and also to explore the
	how does their impact on stock	variations in their impact on stock
	returns vary with respect to firms	returns with respect to firms
	characteristics (i.e. firm size, firm	characteristics (i.e. firm size, firm age,
	age, firm trading nature, firm	firm trading nature, firm business
	business nature, and sectoral	nature, and sectoral location of the
	location of the firm).	firm).
Pricing of Risk	Is the risk a priced factor at each of	To investigate the pricing of risk at
	the firm and sectoral besides	each of the firm and sectoral besides
	aggregate market level stock	aggregate market level stock returns
	returns? And does the pricing of	and also to explore the variations in
	risk vary with respect to firms	pricing of risk with respect to firms
	characteristics (i.e. firm size, firm	characteristics (i.e. firm size, firm age,
	age, firm trading nature, firm	firm trading nature, firm business
	business nature, and sectoral	nature, and sectoral location of the
	location of the firm).	firm).
Asymmetry and Leverage Effect	Is there any asymmetry & leverage	To investigate the asymmetry &
	effect at each of the firm and	leverage effect at each of the firm and
	sectoral along with aggregate	sectoral along with aggregate market
	market level stock returns? And	level stock returns and also to explore
	how does it vary with respect to	its variations with respect to firms
	firms characteristics (i.e. firm size,	characteristics (i.e. firm size, firm age,
	firm age, firm trading nature, firm	firm trading nature, firm business
	business nature, and sectoral	nature, and sectoral location of the
	location of the firm).	firm).

**Table 1.2:** Focus, Research Questions and Objectives of the study

Focus	Research Question	Research Objective
Various Volatility Dynamics	What are the volatility dynamics at	To examine the volatility dynamics at
	each of the firm and sectoral	each of the firm and sectoral together
	together with aggregate market	with aggregate market level stock
	level stock returns? And how do	returns and also to explore the
	these dynamics vary with respect to	variations in these dynamics from the
	firms characteristics (i.e. firm size,	point of view of firms characteristics
	firm age, firm trading nature, firm	(i.e. firm size, firm age, firm trading
	business nature, and sectoral	nature, firm business nature, and
	location of the firm).	sectoral location of the firm).
	What are the differences regarding	To investigate the differences
	various volatility dynamics between	regarding various volatility dynamics
Difference	firm and aggregate market level	between firm and aggregate market
between firm	stock returns? And does the firm	level stock returns and also to untie the
level volatility	characters effect play any role in	role of firm characters effect in this
and aggregate	this manner (i.e. firm size, firm age,	manner (i.e. firm size, firm age, firm
market volatility	firm trading nature, firm business	trading nature, firm business nature and
	nature and sectoral location of	sectoral location of firm).
	firm).	
Lagged Effect	Do economic factors hold any	To investigate the lagged effect of
	lagged effect on each of the firm	economic factors on each of the firm
	and sectoral besides aggregate	and sectoral besides aggregate market
	market level stock returns? And	level stock returns and also to explore
	how does it vary with respect to	the role of firms characters effect in
	firms characteristics (i.e. firm size,	this regard (i.e. firm size, firm age, firm
	firm age, firm trading nature, firm	trading nature, firm business nature,
	business nature, and sectoral	and sectoral location of the firm).
	location of the firm).	

Continue....Table 1.2: Focus, Research Questions and Objectives of the study

# **1.9** Significance of the Study

Literature shows variety of studies investigating the aggregate stock market returns and macroeconomic forces association concerning, particular economic and political situations<sup>34</sup>. Further, most of the previous studies round the world including

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> These conditions include focusing only on 9/11, real estate or property conditions or specific economic factor that represents specific economic condition such as output, trading volume, monetary

Pakistan have been focusing on the aggregate market level to examine the relationship (e.g. see Fama, 1981; Mukherjee and Naka, 1995; Ibrahim, 1999; Park, 2007; Ahmed, 2008; Rahman et al., 2009; Buyuksalvarci, 2010; Le and Youngho, 2011). However, market level analysis provides deceptive results and consequently does not provide clear direction for effective decision making (Rehman et al., 2009; Le and Youngho, 2011; Chinzara, 2011). More so, majority of the scholars in presented literature examining sectoral level stock returns primarily focused only on a specific sector (e.g. see Joseph, 2002; Liow, 2004; West and Worthingeton, 2006; Joseph and Vezo, 2006; Chinzara, 2011; Muneer et al., 2011 are among others). Since the relationship between various macroeconomic factors and stock returns have not been well explored specifically, in emerging markets (Lopez-Herrera and Ortiz, 2011) like Pakistan, so it warrants a serious consideration. Turning to various volatility dynamics, asymmetry and leverage effect and risks-returns trade-off, it is quite evident from the extensive literature survey, that across the countries including Pakistan, most of the existing scholars are only limited to aggregate market and/or sectoral level data up-till recent (Sharma et al., 2014 and Khan et al., 2014b), thus leaving the firm level analyses very scarce in this manner<sup>35</sup>. However, firm exposure can be very different because of their heterogeneous nature (Ewing et al., 2005). In this vein, Ewing et al. (2005) also stated that even the sectoral level analysis limit our capability to generalize the results, since the firms with considerably different features coexist even in a very narrowly defined sectors. Particularly related to this thesis, Sharma et al. (2014) stated that the studies aiming the firm level volatility are very scarce up-till recent. Whereas, Campbell et al. (1997), are of the view that abnormal returns are contingent on firm level volatility rather than sectoral or aggregate market volatility. In the view of Malkiel (1979) and Pindyck (1984 and 1988), the unforeseen uncertainty in investment causes the stock market to plunge. Further, the comparison of firm level analysis across the sectors is essentially important as the stock returns of various sectors due to their unique features might display diversified response to any news regarding stock price change (Ewing et al., 2005). Moreover, it is quite worthy for the investors to understand and diversify their

policy variables (e.g. West and Worthingeton, (2006) and Liow, (2004) focused only on property industry; while; Oertmann *et al.*, (2000), Bessler and Murtagh, (2003), Pan *et al.*, (2007) are among other studies who only focused on exchange rate and interest rate).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> For a good discussion motivating all these dimensions of stock returns for this study, see Chapter 2 (Section 2.7.1).

investment between the risky and stable sectors (Mandimika and Chinzara, 2012). In a related argument; Park (2007), Kandir (2008), Rehman *et al.*, (2009) and Le and Youngho (2011) stressed the need for the future research to target the cross sectoral analysis. More so, the literature survey theorizes the importance of examining the differences between firm level volatility and aggregate market level volatility<sup>36</sup>. But subject to scarcity of firm level studies particularly in emerging markets, this potential avenue remains unexplored. Hence, it is worth highlighting here that the existing financial literature specifically in emerging markets overlooked this potential research gap, therefore, the current study focuses separately at each of the firm level along with sectoral and aggregate market level stock returns in order to explore: the impact of economic factors on stock returns; lagged effect of economic factors on stock returns; the stock returns volatility; its persistence; mean reversion and speed of mean reversion of volatility together with pricing of risk and asymmetry & leverage effect.

More so, however, a strong stream of financial literature regarding: firm size and age (e.g. see Moeller et al., 2004; Chun et al., 2008; Loderer and Waelchli, 2010; Jiang et al., 2011 among others); firm nature of business (e.g. see Elyasiani et al., 2007; Mustafa et al., 2009; Hameed et al., 2013 among others); firm trading nature (exporting vs. non-exporting) (e.g. see Westhead, 1995; Yaprak, 2007; Hagemejer and Kolasa, 2011) and sectoral location of the firm (e.g. see Baca et al., 2000; Narayan and Sharma, 2011), theoretically motivates to conclude that the behaviour of stock returns might be quite different with respect to these firms features<sup>37</sup>. Complimenting the firm features, Baker and Wurgler (2006) categorically stated that the investors pick the stocks based on firm characteristics rather than its statistical properties outlined by Markowitz (1959). More so, keeping these firm features as a critical factor in investment and policy decisions, the study of Mandimika and Chinzara (2012) and Khan et al. (2014a,b,c) proposed the future research to investigate the firm features in this regard. But unfortunately, up-till now there is no empirical study in this manner (Khan et al., 2014a, b). Hence, the current research thesis is aiming to close this gap.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> For a comprehensive and inspirational discussion in this manner, see Chapter 2 (section 2.7.1.8).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> For the significance of these firm features for this study, along with extensive literature support therein, please see Chapter 2 (Section 2.7.1).

In Pakistan, though some research is documented on equity market movements but mostly addressing a particular economic and political situation<sup>38</sup>, or evaluating the relation between economic indicators and stock returns only at aggregate market level.<sup>39</sup> While, the other studies including; Saeedullah and Rehman (2005), Butt et al. (2010) and Muneer et al. (2011), are only limited to some specific sector (i.e. cement, banking and textile). However, Husain (2006) only focused on relation between real sector forces<sup>40</sup> and aggregate stock market prices and Ahmed and Farooq (2008) only reported the impact of the 9/11 on volatility of equity market returns in KSE of Pakistan. More so, in Pakistan; the existing studies modelling the volatility and/or asymmetry & leverage effect are only restricted to aggregate market level data<sup>41</sup>. However, in the light of scientific consensus, the aggregate market (e.g. see Park, 2007; Kandir, 2008; Elyasiani et al., 2011; Chinzara, 2011) and even sectoral level (e.g. see Ewing et al., 2005; Khan et al., 2014a) analysis is highly illusive and non-generealizable due to firm's heterogeneity. Therefore, eying this potential research gap, it is first such comprehensive micro (firm level) as well as macro (sectoral and aggregate market level) study in emerging markets like Pakistan.

Primarily, this current research thesis contributes by investigating various dimensions of stock returns (namely: economic exposure of stock returns; stock returns volatility; persistence; mean reversion and speed of mean reversion of volatility together with pricing of risk; asymmetry & leverage effect and lagged effect of economic factors), separately for each of the firm level along with sectoral and aggregate market level stock returns. More so, this is a first empirical attempt achieving a hallmark by further extending this research area and examining all the afore-mentioned dimensions with respect to each of the firms features namely: firm

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> These conditions include focusing only on 9/11 (e.g. see Ahmed and Farooq, 2008), real sector variables (e.g. see Husain, 2006) or specific economic factor that represents specific economic condition such as monetary policy variables (interest rate, exchange rate and money supply) (e.g. see Husain and Mehmood, 1999; Rizwan and Khan, 2008; Mubarik and Javid, 2009; Akbar and Kundi, 2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> The presented studies namely Husain and Mehmood (1999; 2001), Nishat and Shaheen (2004), Husain (2006), Rizwan and Khan (2008), Ahmed and Farooq (2008), Akbar and Kundi (2009) and Mohammad *et al.* (2012) only focused at aggregate market level.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> These forces include; real sector GDP, investment spending and consumption expenditure (for further details see Husain, 2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> These scholars include: Hameed and Ashraf (2006), Saleem (2007), Zafar et al. (2008), Mahmud and Mirza (2011), Rashid et al. (2011), Qayyum and Anwar (2011), Mushtaq et al. (2011) and Ali and Afzal (2012). For further details highlighting motivations in this manner, please see Chapter 2 (Section 2.7.1).

size, firm age, firm nature of business, firm trading nature and sectoral location of the firms; in a rising economy and stock market of Pakistan which is different in its institutional attributes and structure as compared to the developed countries.<sup>42</sup> In this vein, current study further contributes by investigating the differences between firm level volatility dynamics and aggregate market level and also identifying the role of firm characters effect in this regard. The analysis of this research can be valuable and helpful to comprehend the various dimensions highlighted by the study.

So much so, that the outcome of this research can facilitate the policymakers to design effective policies for the economic growth and development of the country. If the macroeconomic factors influence the stock returns; then the crisis in equity market can be prevented and it can be stabilized by controlling the fluctuation in macroeconomic forces to foster the economic growth (Mishkin, 2001; Brasoveanu, 2008).<sup>43</sup> Since investors are primarily interested in a firm level stock; hence, it is very crucial for them to acquaint and understand all these dimensions at each of the firm level together with sectoral and aggregate market level stock returns. More so, it is widely agreed in the financial literature that investors always expect higher returns for holding the risky assets. In this manner, the understanding of all afore-listed dimensions of stock returns is central for any investment decision.

Further, addressing the firm's features, builds much clearer picture for policy makers, portfolio managers and investors by providing them with more comprehensive and better insight of stock returns behaviour in KSE. More so, government can make use of such information to stimulate and pull the equity investment of foreign investors into the country by making stock returns more attractive to the foreign investors (Bekaert and Harvey, 2000<sup>44</sup>). Given the importance of all these aspects, investors can resolve their issues related to asset pricing and portfolio management through timely portfolio diversification and risk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Stock markets in Pakistan was not demutualized (during study period) like the developed countries stock market (e.g. New York Stock Market (NYSE) & London Stock Exchange (LSE)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Mishkin (2001) focused on factors contributing toward the crisis in financial markets of emerging economies. He concluded that, among others; macroeconomic factors such as exchange rate, interest rate, money supply, foreign exchange reserves and inflation are to be closely focused on, to prevent the crisis in the financial markets (for further details see Mishkin, 2001). However, the existing studies (e.g. see Brasoveanu, 2008) empirically found that the stock market and economic growth are positively associated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> They empirically proved that the foreign portfolio investment is positively associated with macroeconomic growth of emerging economies.

hedging strategies, while, policy makers can develop an effective policy that leads to the economic growth and stability of the country. More so, the policy makers can develop effective policy to control the flight of capital and flow of investment into the market, with the intentions of controlling the financial instability that can consequently trigger the macroeconomic uncertainty.

# **1.10** Scope of the Study

This research study targeted the firm level together with sectoral and aggregate market level stock returns for determining the impact of economic factors; various volatility dynamics; pricing of risk; asymmetry & leverage effect and lagged effect of economic factors. Further, this study also explores all aforesaid dimensions from the point of view of each of the firm's features (i.e. size, age, nature of business, exporting vs. non-exporting and sectoral location of the firm) This study is generealizable to the other economies keeping in view their own country specific macroeconomic settings. More specifically, this study focuses on Karachi Stock Exchange of Pakistan. Firms listed on KSE are divided into 34 sectors out of which 23 sectors are selected for this research. These sectors are selected on the basis of data availability of equity prices for 169 months. Data for all the variables under consideration is collected for the period of 169 months starting from June 1998 to June, 2012, since it is not possible to have data for majority of firms before 1998. Furthermore, KSE was almost inactive before 1998 since the index was less than one thousand points and market capitalization was very low (Khan and Qayyum, 2007; Clark et al., 2008).

## 1.11 Limitations of the Study

Followings are the limitations of this study:

- This study focuses on Pakistan's economy and stock market under its own exclusive macroeconomic and financial environment. Hence, this study is applicable to other markets after considering their own country specific macroeconomic and financial conditions.
- Data for some of macroeconomic variables (e.g. GDP, FDI, and Foreign Exchange Reserve) is not available on monthly basis, therefore; those variables are not addressed in this study although they can have significant impact on stock returns.

## 1.12 Organization of Thesis

The projected thesis is planned into seven chapters that illustrate and add towards research streams of economic forces and stock returns text, and add to various tools of analysis. The chapters are formulated on each other and are closely associated, and all aspects that have been discussed in each chapter are indispensable part for the construction and execution of a theoretical scaffold on how efficient economic strategies can be developed for economic and financial growth and expansion of the country.

First chapter 'Introduction' displays the background of the study, including an appearance of industrial sector and stock market in Pakistan and justifying opinions to accomplish the study on searching the relationship amid economic forces and stock returns, various volatility dynamics, pricing of risk along with asymmetry & leverage effect and lagged effect of economic factors on stock returns in Pakistan. Statement of the problem, queries and aims of the research has been acknowledged along with the importance, scope, restrictions and sketch of the thesis. Chapter two provides a discussion of the previous studies investigating the association between stock market and economy, various volatility dynamics, pricing of risk and asymmetry and leverage effect. This chapter provides the necessary theoretical background and empirical support for the thesis. Later in chapter two, the motivations covering various dimensions of the study along with the hypotheses are mentioned.

Chapter three outlines the research methodology. This chapter also discloses the sampling data, data compilation, methodical practice and statistical tools that are used for investigating the equity returns. In fourth chapter of this thesis; all the results regarding individual firm, sectoral and aggregate market level data are explained in detail. It is followed by chapter five that explains the results separately with respect to each of the firm's features. Whereas, chapter six wrapped up the empirical results along with correlating them with the hypotheses established. However, at the end, chapter seven spells the conclusion followed by the recommendations and future implication of the research study.

## 1.13 Summary

This chapter is an integral part of the research work since it provides an over view of the Pakistani stock market along with the current situation prevailing in industrial sector of the country. Overall, this chapter explains that serious issues in Pakistani stock market and industrial sector that are considerably damaging the Pakistan's economic and stock market performance. In brief, stock market is also going through some micro as well as macro structural issues that are hampering its growth. Thus, this chapter highlights various problems in Pakistan's economy and stock that properly answered by the existing are not literature.

#### References

- Aaby, N. E. and Slater, S. K. (1989). Management Influences on Export Performance: A Review of the Empirical Literature 1978-88. *International Marketing Review*, 6, 7-26.
- Abdalla, I. S. A. and Murinde, V. (1997). Exchange Rate and Stock Price Interactions in Emerging Financial Markets: Evidence on India, Korea, Pakistan and the Philippines. *Applied Financial Economics*, 7, 25–35.
- Abdullah, D. A. and Hayworth, S. C. (1993). Macroeconomics of Stock Price Fluctuations. *Quarterly Journal of Business and Economics*, 32(1), 49-63.
- Abdullah, F., Shah, A. and Khan, S. U. (2012). Firm Performance and The Nature Of Agency Problems In Insiders-Controlled Firms: Evidence From Pakistan. *Paper presented at the 28th Annual General Meeting and Conference of Pakistan Society of Development Economists*, Islamabad. Available at SSRN: http://ssrn.com/abstract=2175685
- Abel, B. A. and Bernanke, B. S. (2001). *Macroeconomics*: Addison Wesley Longman Limited, Boston, MA.
- Adam, M. and Tweneboah, G. (2008). Macroeconomic Factors and Stock Market Movement: Evidence from Ghana. Social Science Research Network. MPRA Working Paper No. 11256.
- Adams, R. B., Almeida, H. and Ferreira, D. (2005). Powerful Ceos and their Impact on Corporate Performance. *Review of Financial Studies*, 18, 1403-1432.
- ADB Report 2012 No. FLS124471. (2012) *Confronting Rising Inequality in Asia*. Philippines: Asian Development Bank.
- ADB Report. (1998) Impact Analysis of Privatization in Pakistan: Asian Development Bank Report 1998.

- ADB Report. (2008). In A. D. B. A. Report) (Ed.), *Private Sector Assessment, Pakistan:* Asian Development Bank Report 2008.
- Adrangi, B., Chatrath, A. and Shank, T. M. (1999). Inflation, Output and Stock Prices: Evidence from Latin America. *Managerial and Decision Economics*, 20(2), 63-74.
- Afraz, N., Hussain, S. T. and Khan, U. (2013). Barriers to Growth of Small Firms in Pakistan: A Qualitative Assessment of Selected Light Engineering Industries. LUMS Working Paper 2013.
- Agrawal, G., Srivastav, A. K. and Srivastava, A. (2010). A Study of Exchange Rates Movement and Stock Market Volatility. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 5(12).
- Ahmed, S. (2008). Aggregate Economic Variables and Stock Markets in India International Research Journal of Finance and Economics, 14, 141-164.
- Ahmed, S. and Farooq, O. (2008). The Effect of 9/11 on the Stock Market Volatility Dynamics: Empirical Evidence from a Front Line State. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, (16), 72-83.
- Akbar, M. and Kundi, O. (2009). Monetary Policy Variables and Stock Prices in Pakistan. *International Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, 1(6), 84-101.
- Ali, R. and Afzal, M. (2012). Impact of Global Financial Crisis on Stock Markets: Evidence from Pakistan and India. *Journal of Business Management and Economics*, 3(7), 275-282.
- Allen, F. and Santomero, A. M. (1997). The Theory of Financial Intermediation. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 21, 1461–1485.
- Amihud, Y. (1994). Evidence on Exchange Rate and Valuation of Equity Shares: Recent Advances In Corporate Performance: Homewood IL Business One Iwin.
- Amihud, Y. and Mendelson, H. (1986). Asset Pricing and the Bid-Ask Spread. *Journal* of Financial Economics, 17, 223–249.
- Amjad, R., Ghani, E., Din, M. u. and Mahmood, T. (2012). Export Barriers in Pakistan: Results of a Firm-Level Survey. *The Lahore Journal of Economics*, 17, 103-134.

- Arnold, I. and Vrugt, E. (2006). Stock Market Volatility and Macroeconomic Uncertainty: Evidence from Survey Data. *BRG Working Paper No: 06-08*.
- Arouri, M. E. H. and Nguyen, D. K. (2010). Oil Prices, Stock Markets and Portfolio Investment: Evidence from Sector Analysis in Europeover The Last Decade. *Energy Policy*, 38, 4528–4539.
- Arshad, A., Rani, H. and Shaikh, A. W. (2012). Volatility Modeling of Karachi Stock Exchange. *Sindh University Research Journal*, 44(1), 1125-1130.
- Asiedu, E. (2002). On the Determinants of Foreign Direct Investment to Developing Countries. Is Africa Different? *World Development*, 30(1), 107-119.
- Augier, P. and Dovis, M. (2013). Does Export-market Participation Improve Productivity? Evidence from Spanish Manufacturing Firms. *The Journal of International Trade & Economic Development*, 22(7), 1059–1087.
- Baca, S. P., Garbe, B. L. and Weiss, R. A. (2000). The Rise of Sector Effects in Major Equity Markets. *Financial Analysts Journal*, 2000, 34–40.
- Backus, K. D. and Crucini, M. J. (2000). Oil Prices and the Terms of Trade. *Journal of International Economics*, 50, 185–213.
- Badrinath, S. G., Kale, J. and Noe, T. (1995). Of Shepherds, Sheep, and Cross-Autocorrelations in Equity Returns. *Review in Financial Studies*, 8, 401–430.
- Bae, S. C. and Duvall, G. J. (1996). An Empirical Analysis of Market and Industry Factors in Stock Returns of U.S. Aerospace Industry. *Journal Of Financial And Strategic Decisions*, 9 (2).
- Baillie, R. T., Bollerslev, T. and Mikkelsen, H. O. (1996). Fractionally Integrated Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity. *Journal of Econometrics*, 74, 3-30.
- Baker, M. and Wurgler, J. (2006). Investor Sentiment and the Cross-Section of Stock Returns. *The Journal of Finance*, 11(4), 1645-1680.
- Balios, D. (2008). Intraday Risk–Return Relationship and Price Patterns in the Athens Stock Exchange. *Journal of Money, Investment and Banking*, 3, 25-35.
- Ball, R. and Brown, P. (1980). Risk and Return from Equity Investments in the Australian Mining Industry. *Australian Journal of Management*, 5, 45-66.

- Balvers, R. J., Cosimano, T. F. and McDonald, B. (1990). Predicting Stock Returns in an Efficient Market. *The Journal of Finance* 45, 1109–1128.
- Banz, R. W. (1981). The Relationship between Return and Market Value of Common Stocks. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 9, 3–18.
- Barberis, N., Shleifer, A. and Vishny, R. (1998). A Model of Investor Sentiment. Journal of Financial Economics, 49, 307–343.
- Barringer, B. R. and Jones, F. F. (2004). Achieving Rapid Growth: Revisiting the Managerial Capacity Problem. *Journal of Developmental Entrepreneurship*, 9(1), 73–86.
- Barro, J. R. (1984). *Macroeconomics*. Wiley and Sons, New York.
- Bartov, E. and Bodnar, G. M. (1994). Firm Valuation, Earnings Expectations, and the Exchange-Rate Exposure Effect. *The Journal of Finance*, 49, 755–1785.
- Bartram, S. (2002). The Interest Rate Exposure of Non Financial Corporations. *European Financial Review*, 6, 101-125.
- Beck, T., Demirguc-Kunt, A. and Maksimovic, V. (2005). Financial and Legal Constraints to Growth: Does Firm Size Matter? *The Journal of Finance*, 60(1), 137–177.
- Beirne, J., Caporale, G. M. and Spagnolo, N. (2009). Market, Interest Rate and Exchange Rate Risk Effects on Financial Stock Returns: A GARCH-M Approach. *Quantitative and Qualitative Analysis in Social Sciences*, 3(2), 44-68.
- Bekaert, G. and Harvey, C. R. (1997). Foreign Speculators and Emerging Equity Markets. *National Bureau of Economic Research*, NBER Working Papers with number 6312.
- Bennett, P. and Kelleher, J. (1988). The International Transmission of Stock Price Disruption in October 1987. Federal Reserve Bank of New York Quarterly Review, Summer, 17–29.
- Bernard, A. B. and Jensen, J. B. (1995). Exporters, Jobs, and Wages in U.S Manufacturing: 1976–1987. Brookings Papers on Economic Activity. Microeconomics, 67–119.

- Bessler, W. and Booth, G. G. (1994). Interest Rate Sensitivity of Bank Stock Returns in a Universal Banking System. Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money, 3, 117-136.
- Bessler, W. and Murtagh, J. P. (2003). An International Study of the Risk Characteristics of Banks and Non-Banks. *Paper presented at the Financial Management Association International European Conference, Dublin, Ireland.*
- Bhank, B.-H. and Gort, M. (1993). Decomposing Learning by Doing in New Plants. *Journal of Political Economy*, 101, 561-583.
- Black, F. (1976). Studies of Stock Price Volatility Changes. Proceedings of the 1976 Meetings of the American Statistical Association. Business and Economical Statistics Section, 177-181.
- Blalock, G. and Gertler, P. J. (2008). Welfare Gains from Foreign Direct Investment Through Technology Transfer to Local Suppliers. *Journal of International Economics*, 74, 402-421.
- Bloom, N. (2009). The Impact Of Uncertanity Shocks. *Econometrica*, 77(3), 623–685.
- Bodnar, G. M. and Gentry, W. M. (1993). Exchange Rate Exposure and Industry Characteristics: Evidence from Canade, Japan and the USA. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 12, 29-45.
- Bollerslev, T. (1986). Generalized Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity. *Journal of Economics*, 31(3), 307-327.
- Bollesrev, T. R., Chou, Y. and Kroner, K. F. (1992). Arch Modeling in Finance: A Review of The Theory And Empirical Evidence. *Journal of Econometrics* 52, 5-59.
- Bondar, G. M. and Gentry, W. M. (1993). Exchange Rate Exposure and Industry Characteristics, Evidence From Canada, Japan and US. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 12, 29-45.
- Bower, D. H., Bower, R. S. and Logue, D. E. (1984). Arbitrage Pricing Theory and Utility Stock Returns. *The Journal of Finance*, 39(4), 1041-1054.
- Boyer, M. M. and Filion, D. (2007). Common and Fundamental Factors in Stock Returns of Canadian Oil and Gas Companies. *Energy Economics*, 29, 428–453.

- Brahmasrene, T. and Jiranyakul, K. (2007). Cointegration and Causality between Stock Index and Macroeconomic Variables in an Emerging Market. *Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies Journal*, 11(3), 17-30.
- Brasoveanu, L. O., Dragota, V., Catarama, D. and Semenescu, A. (2008). Correlation Between Capital Market Development and Economic Growth: The Case of Romania. *Journal of Applied Quantitative Methods*, 3(1), 64-75.
- Braun, P. A., Nelson, D. B. and Sunier, A. M. (1995). Good News, Bad News, Volatility and Beta. *The Journal of Finance*, 5, 1575-1603.
- Breen, W., Glosten, L. R. and Jagannathan, R. (1990). Predictable Variations in Stock Index Returns. *The Journal of Finance*, 44, 1177–1189.
- Brooks, C. (2002 & 2008). *Introductory Econometrics for Finance*. : Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Brown, G. and Kapadia, N. (2007). Firm-Specific Risk and Equity Market Development. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 84, 358–388.
- Brunnermeier, M. and Pedersen, L. (2005). Predatory Trading. *The Journal of Finance*, 60, 1825–1863.
- Buckley, P. J., Pass, C. L. and Prescott, K. (1990). Measures of International Competitiveness: A Critical Review. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 4, 175-200.
- Butt, B. Z. and Rehman, K. (2007). An Empirical Analysis of Market and Industry Factors in Stock Returns of Pakistan Banking Industry. South Asian Journal of Management, 14(4), 7-19.
- Butt, B. Z., Rehman, K., Khan, M. A. and Safwan, N. (2010). Do Economic Factors Influence Stock Returns? A Firm and Industry Level Analysis. *African Journal* of Business Management, 4(5), 583-593.
- Buyuksalvarci, A. (2010). The Effects of Macroeconomics Variables on Stock Returns: Evidence from Turkey. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 14(3), 404-416.
- Caglayan, M. and Chaherli, F. L. (2009). Determinanats of Financial vs. Non-financial Stock Returns: Evidence from Istanbul Stock Exchange. Sheffield Economic Research Paper Series: SERP No. 2009007.

- Cagli, E. C., Halac, U. and Taskin, D. (2010). Testing Long-Run Relationship between Stock Market and Macroeconomic Variables in the Presence of Structural Breaks: The Turkish Case. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, (48), 50-60.
- Campbell, J. Y. (1985). Stock Returns and The Term Structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 18, 373-399.
- Campbell, J. Y. and Hentschel, L. (1991). No News is Good News: An Asymmetric Model of Changing Volatility in Stock Returns. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 31(3), 281-318.
- Campbell, J. Y., Lo, A. W. and MacKinlay, A. C. (1997). *The Econometrics of Financial Markets*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Carroll, T. and Connor, T. (2011). Garch-Type Volatility Models and the Iseq Index. *Edgeworth Center for Financial Mathematics*. Retrieved from http://www.edgeworth.biz/index.php?option=com\_jresearch&view=publication &task=show&id=20.
- Castellani, D., Serti, F. and Tomasi, C. (2010). Firms in International Trade: Importers' and Exporters' Heterogeneity in Italian Manufacturing Industry. *The World Economy*, 424-457.
- Caves, R. E. (1998). Industrial Organization and New Findings on the Turnover and Mobility of Firms. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 36, 1947-1982.
- Chamberlian, S., Howe, J. S. and Popper, H. (1997). The Exchange Rate Exposure of Us and Japanese Banking Institutions. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 21, 871-892.
- Chan, K. C., Chen, N. F. and Hsieh, D. A. (1985). An Exploratory Investigation of the Firm Size Effect. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 14, 451–471.
- Chandprapalert, A. (2000). The Determinants of US Direct Investment in Thailand: A Survey of Managerial Perspectives. *Multinational Business Review*, 8(2), 82–88.
- Chang, C. L., McAleer, M. and Tansuchat, R. (2013). Conditional Correlations and Volatility Spillovers between Crude Oil and Stock Indexreturns. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance*, 25, 116-138.

- Chaudhry, I. S. (2008). Financial Liberalization and Macroeconomic Performance: Empirical Evidence from Pakistan from http://www.gredeg.cnrs.fr/Colloques/NFI/Papers/PapierOnLine/Chaudry.pdf
- Chen, N.-F., Roll, R. and Ross, S. A. (1986). Economic Forces and the Stock Market. *The Journal of Business*, 59(3), 383-403.
- Cheng, L. K. and Kwan, Y. K. (2000). What are the Determinants of the Location of Foreign Direct Investment? The Chinese Experience. *Journal of International Economics*, 51, 379-400.
- Cheng, S. (2008). Board Size and the Variability Of Corporate Performance. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 87, 157–176.
- Cheung, Y. W. and Ng, L. (1992). Stock Price Dynamics and Firm Size: An Empirical Investigation. *The Journal of Finance*, 47, 1985-1997.
- Chinzara, Z. (2011). Macroeconomic Uncertanity and Conditional Stock Market Volatility in South Africa. *South African Journal of Economics*, 79(1), 27-49.
- Chinzara, Z. and Aziakpono, M. J. (2009). Dynamic Returns Linkages and Volatility Transmission between South African and World Major Stock Markets. *Studies in Economics and Econometrics*, 33(3), 69-94.
- Choi, J. J., Elyasiani, E. and Kopecky, K. J. (1992). The Sensitivity of Bank Stock Returns to Market, Interest and Exchange Rate. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 16, 893-1004.
- Choi, J. J., Hiraki, T. and Tkezwa, N. (1998). Is Foreign Exchange Rate Risk is Priced in Japanese Stock Market. *Journal and Financial and Quantitative Analaysis*, 33, 361-382.
- Chou, R. Y. (1988). Volatility Persistence and Stock Valuations: Some Empirical Evidence Using GARCH. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 3, 279–294.
- Choudhry, T. (2001). Inflation and Rates of Return on Stocks: Evidence from High Inflation Countries. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, 11(1), 75–96.
- Christies, A. A. (1982). The Stochastic Behaviour of Common Stock Variances: Value, Leverage and Interest Rate Effects. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 10, 407-432.

- Chun, H., Kim, J.-W., Morck, R. and Yeung, B. (2008). Creative Destruction And Firm-Specific Performance Heterogeneity. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 89 (2008), 109–135.
- Clark, E., Masood, O. and Tunaru, R. (2008). Investment Management and Financial Innovations. *Investment Management and Financial Innovations*, 5(3), 101-110.
- Coetzee, C. (2002). Monetary Conditions and Stock Returns: A South African Case Stud. *EconWPA*, *Finance* 0205002. Retrieved from http://129.3.20.41/eps/fin/papers/0205/0205002.pdf
- Comin, D. and Mulani, S. (2006). Diverging Trends in Aggregate and Firm Volatility. *Review of Economic Statatistics*, 88, 374–383.
- Constantinides, G. M. and Duffie, D. (1996). Asset Pricing with Heterogeneous Consumers. *Journal of Political Economy*, 104, 219–240.
- Cooley, T. F. and Quadrini, V. (2001). Financial Markets and Firm Dynamics. *American Economic Review*, 91(5), 1286–1310.
- Cozier, B. V. and Rahman, A. H. (1988). Stock Returns, Inflation, and Real Activity in Canada. *The Canadian Journal of Economics / Revue canadienne d'Economique*, 21(4), 759-774.
- CRS Report. (2009). In D. K. Nanto (Ed.), *The Global Financial Crisis: Analysis and Policy Implications* Congressional Research Service Report.
- Cumby, R. E. (1994). Comments on Dornbusch, Levi, and Amihud, in Y. Amihud and R. Levich (eds), Exchange Rates and Corporate Performance. Irwin, 61-65.
- Damodaran, A. and Lim, J. (1991). The Effects of Option Listing on the Underlying Stocks' Return Processes. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 15(647–664).
- Daniel, K., Hirshleifer, D. and Subrahmanyam, A. (1998). Investor Psychology and Security Market Under and Over Reactions. *The Journal of Finance*, 53, 1839– 1885.
- Davis, S. J. and Haltiwanger, J. (2001). Sectoral Job Creation and Destruction Response to Oil price Changes. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 48, 465–512.
- Degiannakisa, S., Filisb, G. and Florosc, C. (2013). Oil and Stock Returns: Evidence From European Industrial Sector Indices in a Time-Varying Environment. Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions & Money, 26, 175–191.

- Delgado, M. A., Farinas, J. C. and Ruano, S. (2002). Firm Productivity and Exports Markets: A Non-Parametric Approach. *Journal of International Economics*, 57, 397-422.
- Demsetz, H. and Lehn, K. (1985). The Structure of Corporate Ownership: Causes and Consequences. *Journal of Political Economy*, 1155-1177.
- Dermine, J. (1985). Inflation, Taxes and Bank Market Value. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 12, 65-73.
- Dermine, J. (1987). Measuring the Market Value of a Bank. *The Journal of Finance*, 8, 91-107.
- De-Santis, G. and Gerard, B. (1998). How Big is the Premium for Surrency Risk? Journal of Financial Economics, 49, 375-412.
- Dickey, D. A. and Fuller, W. A. (1979). Distribution of the Estimators for Autoregressive Time Series With a Unit Root. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 74 (366), 427-431.
- Dimitriou, D. and Simos, T. (2011). The Relationship Between Stock Returns and Volatility in the Seventeen Largest International Stock Markets: A Semi-Parametric Approach. *MPRA Paper No. 37528*. Retrieved from Online at http://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/37528/
- Doukas, J. A., Hall, P. H. and Lang, L. H. P. (2003). Exchange Rate Exposure at the Firm and Industry Level. *Financial Markets, Institutions & Instruments*, 12(5), 291-344.
- Doukas, J., Hall, P. H. and Lang, L. H. P. (1999). The Pricing of Currency Risk in Japan. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 23, 1-20.
- Driesprong, G., Jacobsen, B. and Maat, B. (2008). Striking Oil: Another Puzzle? *Journal of Financial Economics*, 89, 307–327.
- Dueker, M. J. (1997). Markov Switching in GARCH Processes and Mean-Reverting Stock-Market Volatility. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 15(1), 26-34.
- Duffee, G. R. (1995). Stock Returns and Volatility: A Firm-Level Analysis. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 37(1995), 399-420.

- Dumas, B. and Solnik, B. (1995). The World Price of Foreign Exchange Rate Risk. *The Journal of Finance*, 50, 445-479.
- Earthquake Report 2005. (2005) Pakistan Earthquake 2005, Rescue, Relief, Rehabilitation and Construction. Pakistan.
- Economic Survey of Pakistan. (2000-2013). In G. O. P. Ministry of Finance (Ed.), *Economic Survey*. Islamabad: Ministry of Finance, Government of Pakistan
- Edward, S. and Garcia, M. G. P. (2008). Financial Markets Volatility and Performance in Emerging Markets. *Chicago: University of Chicago Press*.
- Edwards, W. (1968). In: Kleinmutz Conservatism in human information processing. New York: John Wiley and Sons
- Ehrmann, M. (2000). Firm Size and Monetary Policy Mechanism: Evidence From German Business Survey Data. *European Central Bank Working Paper No. 1*.
- El-Masry, A. A. (2006). The Exchange Rate Exposure of Uk Non-Financial Companies: Industry Level Analysis. *Managerial Finance*, 32(2), 115-136.
- Elyasiani, E. and Mansur, I. (1998). Sensitivity Of Bank Stock Returns Distribution to Changes in the Level and Volatility of Interest Rate: A Garch-M Model. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 22 535-563.
- Elyasiani, E., Mansur, I. and Odusami, B. (2011). Oil Price Shocks and Industry Stock Returns. *Energy Economics*, 33, 966-974.
- Elyasiani, E., Mansur, I. and Pagano, M. S. (2007). Convergence and Risk-Return Linkages Across Financial Service Firms. *Journal of Banking & Finance* 31 (2007), 1167–1190.
- Engle, R. (1982). Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity with Estimates of the Variance of U. K Inflation. *Econometrica*, 50, 987-1008.
- Engle, R. (2004). Risk and Volatility: Econometric Model and Financial Practice. *The American Economic Review*, 94(3), 405-420.
- Engle, R. F. and Bollerslev, T. (1986). Modeling the Persistence of Conditional Variance. *Econometric Review* 5, 1-50.
- Engle, R. F. and Patton, A. J. (2001). What Good is a Volatility Model? *Quantitative Finance*, 1(2001), 237–245.

- Engle, R. F., Ito, T. and Lin, W. L. (1990). Meteor Showers or Heat Waves? Hetroskedastic Intra-daily Volatility in the Foreign Exchange Market. *Econometrica*, 58, 525-542.
- Engle, R., Lilien, M. D. and Robins, P. R. (1987). Estimating Time Varying Risk Premia in the Term Structure: The ARCH-M Model. *Econometrica*, *Vol.* . 55, 391-307.
- Evans, D. S. (1987). The Relationship Between Firm Growth, Size, and Age: Estimates for 100 Manufacturing Industries. *Journal of Industrial Economics* 35, 567-581.
- Ewing, B. T., Forbes, S. M. and Payne, J. E. (2003). The Effects of Macroeconomic Shocks on Sectorspecific Returns. *Applied Economics*, 35(2), 201-207.
- Ewing, B. T., Kruse, J. B. and Thompson, M. A. (2005). Comparing the Impact of News: A Tale of Three Health Care Sectors. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 32(7), 1587-1611.
- Fah, L. W., Nassir, A. B. M. and Chowdhury, T. H. S. (2011). Insider Trading and Market Volatility: Evidence from Malaysia. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Research in Business*, 1(6), 18-43.
- Fama, E. F. (1965). The Behavior of Stock-Market Prices. *Journal of Business*, 38, 34–105.
- Fama, E. F. (1970). Efficient Capital Markets: A Review of Theory and Empirical Work. *Journal of Finance*, 25(2), 383-417.
- Fama, E. F. (1981). Stock Returns, Real Activity, Inflation, and Money. *The American Economic Review*, 71(4), 545-565.
- Fama, E. F. and French, K. R. (1989). Business Conditions And Expected Returns on Stocks and Bonds. *Journal of Financial Economics* 25, 23–49.
- Fama, E. F. and French, K. R. (2004). New Lists: Fundamentals and Survival Rates. *Journal of Financial Economics* 73, 229–269.
- Fan, Q. and Parvar, M. R. J. (2012). U.S. Industry-level Returns and Oil Prices. International Review of Economics and Finance, 22, 112-128.
- Fang, W. and Miller, S. M. (2002). Dynamic effects of currency depreciation on stock market returns during the Asian Financial Crisis. University of Connecticut, Department of Economics working paper series 200231. Retrieved from http://digitalcommons.uconn.edu/econ\_wpapers/200231

- Farinas, J. C. and Marcos, A. M. (2006). Exproting and Economic Performance: Firm Level Evidence From Spanish Manufacturing. Proceedings of the 2006 *Conference on Exports and Economic Performance*, United Kingdon,
- Feldstein, M. (1980). Inflation and the Stock Market. *The American Economic Review*, 70(5), 839-847.
- Filis, G., Degiannakis, S. and Floros, C. (2011). Dynamic Correlation between Stock Market and Oil Prices: The Case of Oil-Importingand Oil-Exporting Countries. *International Review of Financial Analysis* 20, 152–164.
- Fink, J., Fink, K. E., Grullon, G. and Weston, J. (2006). Firm Age and Fluctuations in Idiosyncratic Risk. *Working Paper, Rice University*.
- Fisher, S. and Modigliani, F. (1978). Towards And Understanding of Real Effects and Cost of Inflation. *Weltwirtsschaftliches Archiv*, 114, 810-833.
- Flannery, M. J. (1981). Market Interest Rate and Commercial Banks Profitability: An Emprirical Investigation. *The Journal of Finance*, 35, 1085-1101.
- Flannery, M. J. and James, C. M. (1984). The Effect of Interest Rate Changes on the Common Stock Returns of Financial Institutions. *The Journal of Finance*, 39(4), 1141-1153.
- Flannery, M. J., Hameed, A. S. and Harjes, R. S. (1997). Asset Pricing, Time Varying Risk Premia and Interest Rate Risk. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 21, 315-335.
- Flota, C. (2009). The impact of exchange rate movements on firm value in emerging markets: the case of Mexico. Doctor of Philosophy, University of Texas, Proquest Dissertation and Theses.
- Fogel, K., Morck, R. and Yeung, B. (2008). Big Business Stability and Economic Growth: Is What's Good for General Motors Good for America? . *Journal of Financial Economics*, 89(1), 83–108.
- Foster, R. and Kaplan, S. (2001). Creative Destruction: Why Companies That Are Built to Last Underperform the Market – and How to Successfully Transform Them: New York: Random House.
- French, K. R., Schwert, G. S. and Stambaugh, R. F. (1987). Expected Stock Return and Volatility. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 19(1), 3-29.

- French, M. W. and Sichel, D. E. (1991). Cyclical Patterns in the Variance of Economic Activity. *Working paper (Federal Reserve Board, Washington, DC.*
- Froot, K., Scharfstein and Stein, D. J. (1993). Risk Management: Coordinating Corporate Investment and Financing Policies. *The Journal of Finance* 48, 1629– 1657.
- Gertler, M. and Grinols, E. L. (1982). Unemployment, Inflation, and Common Stock Returns. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, 14(2), 216-233.
- Geske, R. and Roll, R. (1983). The Fiscal and Monetary Linkages between Stock Returns And Inflation. *The Journal of Finance*, 38(1), 1-33.
- Glancy, K. (1998). Determinanants of Growth and Profiotability in Small Entrepreneurial Firms. International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour & Research, 4(1), 18-27.
- Glosten, L. R., Jagannathan, R. and Runkle, D. E. (1993). On the Relation between Expected Value and the Volatility of the Nominal Excess Return on Stocks. *The Journal of Finance*, 48, 1779-1801.
- Goudarzi, H. and Ramanarayanan, C. S. (2010). Modelling and Estimation of Volatility in Indian Stock Market. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 5(2), 85-98.
- Grambovas, C. A. (2003). Exchange Rate Volatility and Equity Markets: Evidence from the Czech Republic, Greece, and Hungary. *Eastern European Economics*, 41(5), 24-48.
- Gujarati, D. N. (1995). Basic Econometrics (3rd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Gujarati, D. N. (2004). Basic Econometrics (4th ed.). Boston: Mc Graw-Hill Companies.
- Günsel, N. and Çukur, S. (2007). The Effects of Macroeconomic Factors on the London Stock Returns: A Sectoral Approach. *International Research Journal of Finance* and Economics, (10), 140-152.
- Habibullah, M. S. (1998). The Relationship between Broad Money and Stock Prices in Malaysia: An Error Correction Approach. *Jurnal Ekonomi Malaysia*, 32, 51-73.
- Hagemejer, J. and Kolasa, M. (2011). Internationalisation and Economic Performance of Enterprises: Evidence from Polish Firm-level Data. *The World Economy*, 2011, 74-100.

- Hamdani, K. (2011). Inward FDI in Pakistan and its Policy Context. In T. Jost (Ed.), Value Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment: Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute at Columbia University.
- Hameed, A. and Ashraf, F. (2006). Stock Market Volatility and Weak-Form Efficiency: Evidence from an Emerging Market. *The Pakistan Development Review*, , 45(4), 1029-1040.
- Hameed, S. A., Tariq, Y. B. and Jadoon, I. A. (2013). Corporate Governance in Financial Sector Companies of Pakistan: Current State and Room for Improvement. World Applied Sciences Journal 21(1), 79-92.
- Hamilton, J. D. (1988). Are the macroeconomic Effects of Oil-price Changes Symmetric? A Comment. *Carnegie-Rochester Confer-ence Series on Public Policy*, 28, 369–378.
- Hamilton, J. D. (1996). This Is What Happened To The Oil Price–Macroeconomy Relationship. *Journal of Monetary Economics* 38, 215–220.
- Hamilton, J. D. (2008). Oil and the Macroeconomy (Vol. Second edition): In: Durlauf, Steven N., Blume, Lawrence E. (Eds.), The New Palgrave Dictionary of Economics, Second edition. Palgrave Macmillan, Houndmills, U.K. and New York.
- Hammoudeh, S., Yuan, Y., Chiang, T. and Nandha, M. (2010). Symmetric and Asymmetric US Sector Return Volatilities in Presence of Oil, Financial and Economic Risks. *Energy Policy* 38(8), 3922–3932.
- Hannan, M. T. and Freeman, J. (1984). Structural Inertia and Organizational Change. *American Sociological Review*, 49, 149-164.
- Harris, L. (1989). S&P 500 Cash Stock Price Volatilities. *The Journal of Finance* XLIV 1155–1175.
- Harvey, C. R. (1991). The World Price of Covariance Risk. *The Journal of Finance*, 46(1), 111-157.
- Harvey, C. R. (1995). The Risk Exposure of Emerging Equity Markets. *The World Bank Economic Review*, 9(1), 19-50.

- Henderson, A. D. (1999). Firm Strategy and Age Dependence: A Contingent View of the Liabilities of Newness, Adolescence, and Obsolescence. Administrative Science Quarterly, 44(2), 281–314.
- Hong, H. and Stein, J. C. (1999). A Unified Theory of Underreaction, Momentum Trading and Overreaction in Asset Markets. The *Journal of Finance* 54 2143– 2184.
- Hong, H., Torous, W. and Valkanov, R. (2007). Do Industries Lead Stock Markets? Journal of Financial Economics, , 83, 367–396.
- Huang, R. D., Masulis, R. W. and Stoll, H. R. (1996). Energy Shocks and Financial Markets. *Journal of Futures Market*, 16, 1–27.
- Huang. (2000). Tests of Regimes Switching CAPM. *The Journal of Finance*, 10, 573-578.
- Husain, F. (2006). Stock Prices, Real Sector and the Causal Analysis: The Case of Pakistan. *Journal of Management and Social Science*, 2(2), 179-185.
- Husain, F. and Mehmood, T. (1999). Monetary Expansion and Stock Returns in Pakistan. *The Pakistan Development Review*, 38(4), 769-776.
- Husain, F. and Mehmood, T. (2001). The Stock Market and the Economy of Pakistan. *The Pakistan Development Review*, 40(2), 107-114.
- Husain, I. (2010). Pakistan's Growth Experience 1947-2007. Business Review, 5(2), 11-33.
- Hussain, S. T., Khan, U., Kashif, Z. M. and Adeel, F. (2012). Constraints Faced by Industry in Punjab, Pakistan. *International Growth Centre*. Retrieved from Www.theigc.org.
- Huynh, K. P. and J.Petrunia, R. (2010). Age Effects, Leverage and Firm Growth. Journal of Economic Dynamics & Control, 34, 1003–1013.
- Hyde, S. (2007). The Response of Industry Stock Returns to Market, Exchange Rate and Interest Rate Risks. *Managerial Finance*, 33(9), 693-709.
- Ibrahim, M. H. (1999). Macroeconomic Variables and Stock Prices in Malaysia: An Empirical Analysis. *Asian Economic Journal*, 13(2), 219-231

- Ibrahim, M. H. and Aziz, H. (2003). Macroeconomic Variable and The Malaysian Equity Market, A View through Rolling Sub samples. *Journal of Economic Studies*, 30(1), 6-27.
- IMF Country Report No. 10/183. (2010) Pakistan: Poverty Reduction Strategy Paper (PRSP) - II Washington, D.C: International Monetary Fund (Country Report).
- IMF Country Report No. 12/35. (2012) Consultation and proposal for post-program monitoring. Washington, D.C.: International Monetary Fund (IMF).
- Iqbal, J. (2012). Stock Market in Pakistan : An Overview. *Journal of Emerging Market Finance*, 11(1), 61–91.
- Iram, S. and Nishat, M. (2009). Sector Level Analysis of FDI-Growth Nexus: A Case Study of Pakistan. *Pakistan Development Review*. Retrieved from http://www.thefreelibrary.com/Sector+level+analysis+of+FDIgrowth+Nexus%3A+a+case+study+of+Pakistan.-a0269029189
- Jalil, A. and Ma, Y. (2008). Financial Development and Economic Growth: Time Series Evidence from Pakistan and China. *Journal of Economic Cooperation*, 29(2), 29-68.
- James, C. M. and Wier, P. (1990). Borrowing Relationships, Intermediation, and the Cost of Issuing Public Securities. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 28, 149-171.
- Javid, A. Y. and Ahmad, E. (2008). Testing Multifactor Capital Asset Pricing Model in Case of Pakistani Market. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, (25), 115-138.
- Jefferis, K. R. and Okeahalam, C. C. (2000). The Impact of Economic Fundamentals on Stock Markets in Southern Africa. *Development Southern Africa*, 17(1), 22-51.
- Jeong, J., Fanara, P. and JR, C. E. M. (2002). Intra and Inter-Continental Transmission of Inflation in Africa. Applied Financial Economics. *Applied Financial Economics*, 12, 731-741.
- Jiang, C. X., Chua, R. Y., Kotabe, M. and Murray, a. J. Y. (2011). Effects of Cultural Ethnicity, Firm Size, and Firm Age on Senior Executives' Trust in Their Overseas Business Partners: Evidence From China. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 42, 1150–1173.

- Jiranyakul, K. (2011). On The Risk-Return Tradeoff in the Stock Exchange of Thailand: New Evidence. Retrieved from Online at: http://mpra.ub.unimuenchen.de/45851/.
- Jones, C. and Lamont, O. (2002). Short Sale Constraints and Stock Returns. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 66, 207–239.
- Jones, C. M. and Kaul, G. (1996). Oil and Stock Markets. *The Journal of Finance* 51, 463–491.
- Jorion, P. (1991). The Pricing of Exchange Rate Risk in Stock Market. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 26, 363-376.
- Joseph, N. (2002). Modeling the Impacts of Interest Rate And Exchange Rate Changes on UK Stock Returns. *Derivatives Use, Trading and Regulation*, 7, 306-323.
- Joseph, N. L. and Vezos, P. (2006). The Sensitivity Of US Banks' Stock Returns To Interest Rate and Exchange Rate Changes. *Managerial Finance*, 32 (2), 182-199.
- Joynt, P. (1982). An Empirical Study of Norwegian Export Behaviour Amazon Publishing House.
- Kanasro, H. A., Rohra, C. L. and Junejo, M. A. (2009). Measurement of Stock Market Volatility Through Arch and Garch Models: A Case Study of Karachi Stock Exchange. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 3(4), 3123-3127.
- Kandir, S. Y. (2008). Macroeconomic Variables, Firm Characteristics and Stock Returns: Evidence from Turkey. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, (16), 35-45.
- Karachi Stock Exchange (KSE) Report. (2012) Karachi Stock Exchange (KSE) Issues and Perspective: Karachi Stock Exchange Report.
- Karmakar, M. (2007). Modelling Conditional Volatility of the Indian Stock Market. South Asia Economic Journal, 30(3), 99-116.
- Kaufman, G. G. (1994). Bank Contagion: A Review of The Theory and Evidence. Journal of Financial Services Research 8, 123–150.
- Kaynak, E. (1982). Internationalization of Nova Scotia Manufacturing: Export for Survival. Der Market, 84, 137-140.

- Keane, M. P. and Prasad, E. S. (1996). The Employment and Wage Effects of Oil Price Changes: A Sectoral Analysis. *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 78, 389– 400.
- Keeble, D., Tyler, P., Broom, G. and Lewis, J. (1992). Business Success in the Countryside: The Performance of Rural Enterprise, *HMSO for the Department of the Environment*. London.
- Keim, D. B. (1983.). Size-Related Anomalies and Stock Return Seasonality: Further Empirical Evidence. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 12, 13–32.
- Kessel, R. A. and Alchian, A. A. (1960). The Meaning and Validity of Inflation Induced Lag of Wages Behind Prices. *American Economic Review*, 50, 66-86.
- Khan, F., Anuar, M. A., Choo, L. G. and Bokhari, S. A. M. (2014a). Economic Exposure of Stock Returns on Karachi Stock Exchange: Substantiation From Both Aggregate and Disaggregate Data. *International Journal of Information Processing and Management*, 5(2), 25-39.
- Khan, F., Anuar, M. A., Choo, L. G. and Rehman, S. U. (2014b). Pricing of Risk and Various Volatility Dynamics on an Emerging Stock Market: Evidence from Both Aggregate and Disaggregate Data. *Ekonomiska Istrazivanja-Economic Research*, (Forthcoming).
- Khan, F., Anuar, M. A., Choo, L. G. and Tahir, M. (2014c). Lagged Macroeconomic Variables Sensitivity of Firm Stock Returns on an Emerging Stock Market. *International Journal of Information Processing and Management*, 5(2), 40-57.
- Khan, F., Anuar, M. A., Choo, L. G., Bokhari, S. A. M. and Tahir, M. (2014d). Investigating Various Volatility Dynamics, Pricing of Risk and Macroeconomic Sensitivity of Stock Returns in the Presence of Firm Nature of Business Effect. *Doriana Journal*, 48(6) (forthcoming).
- Khan, F., Muneer, S. and Anuar, M. A. (2013). Relationship between Stock Prices and Economic Variables: Sectoral Analysis. *Actual Problems of Economics*, 143(5), :544-553.
- Khan, M. A. and Khan, S. (2007). Financial Sector Restructuring in Pakistan. *Pakistan Institute of Development Economics Islamabad*. Retrieved from Online at

http://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/4141/MPRA Paper No. 4141, posted 07. November 2007 / 03:41

- Khan, M. A. and Qayyum, A. (2007). Trade Liberalization, Financial Developments and Economic Growth. *Pakistan Institute of Developmental Economics Working Paper*.
- Khan, S. A. (2005). *Small Business & their Problems in District Peshawar*. Doctor of Philosophy, University of Peshawar, Pakistan Higher Education Commission.
- Kilian, L. (2008). The Economic Effects of Energy Price Shocks. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 46(4), 871–909.
- Kilian, L. and Park, C. (2009). The Impact of Oil Price Shocks on the U.S Stock market. *International Economic Review*, 50(4), 1267–1287.
- King, R. G. and Levine, R. (1993). Finance and Growth: Schumpeter Might be Right. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 108, 717–737.
- Koutmos, G. (1996). Modelling the Dynamic Interdependence of Major European Stock Markets. *Journal of Business. Finance and Accounting*, 23(7), 975-988.
- Koutmos, G., Negakis, C. and Theodossiou, P. (1993). Stochastic Behaviour of the Athens Stock Exchange. *Applied Financial Economics*, 3, 119-126.
- Kovacic, Z. J. (2008). Forecasting Volatility on the Macedonian Stock Exchange. International Research Journal of Finance and Economics, 18, 182-212.
- Kwon, C. S. and Shin, T. S. (1999). Cointegration and Causality between Macroeconomic Variables and Stock Market Returns. *Global Finance Journal*, 10(1), 71-81.
- Lajeri, F. and Dermine, J. (1999). Unexpected Inflation and Bank Stock Returns: The Case of France 1977-1991. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 23, 939-953.
- Lamont, O. A. and Thaler, R. H. (2003). Can the market add and subtract? Mispricing and tech stock carve-outs. *Journal of Political Economy*, 111, 227–268.
- Lamoureux, C. G. and Lastrapes, W. D. (1990). Heteroskedastacity in Stock Return Data; Volume versus GARCH Effect, *The Journal of Finance*, 45(1), 221-229.
- Lanne, M. and Luoto, J. (2008). Robustness of the Risk–Return Relationship in the U.S Stock Market. *Finance Research Letters* 5, 118–127.

- Le, T.-H. and Youngho, C. (2011). The Impact of Oil Price Fluctuations on Stock Markets in Developed and Emerging Economies. *Economic Growth Centre Papers 2011/03, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore.*
- Lebaron, B. (1989). Non-Linear Dynamics and Stock Returns. *Journal of Business*, 62, 311-337.
- Lee, B.-J., Yang, C. W. and Huang, B.-N. (2012). Oil Price Movements and Stock Markets Revisited: A Case of Sector Stock Price Indexes in the G-7 Countries. *Energy Economics*, 34, 1284–1300.
- Lee, K. S. and Ni, S. (2002). On the Dynamic Effects of Oil Price Shocks: A Study Using Industry Level Data. *Journal of Monetary Economics* 49, 23–852.
- Lee, K., Ni, S. and Ratti, R. A. (1995). Oil Shocks and the Macroeconomy: The Role of Price Variability. *Energy Journal* 16, 39–56.
- Lemi, A. (2004). Foreign Direct Investment, Host Country Productivity and Export: The Case of US and Japanese Multinational Affiliates. *Journal of Economic Development*, 29(1), 163-185.
- Leon, N. K. (2008). An Empirical Study Of The Relation Between Stock Market Returns And Volatility In The Bvrm. International Research Journal of Finance and Economics, 14, 8-14.
- Leonard-Barton, D. (1992). Core Capabilities and Core Rigidities: A Paradox in Managing New Product Development. *Strategic Management Journal*, 13, 111-125.
- Li, Q., Hsiao, J. and Chang, Y. J. (2005). The Relationship Between Stock Returns and Volatility in International Stock Markets. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, 12, 650–665.
- Ling, D. C. and Naranjo, A. (1997). Economic Risk Factors and Commercial Real Estate Returns. *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 14, 283-301.
- Liow, K. H. (2004). Time-Varying Macroeconomic Risk and Commercial Real Estate: an Asset Pricing Perspective. *Journal of Real Estate Portfolio Management*, 10(1), 47-58.
- Liow, K. H., Ibrahim, M. F. and Huang, Q. (2006). Macroeconomic Risk Influences on the Property Stock Market. *Journal of Property Investment and Finance*, 24(4), 295-323.
- Loderer, C. and Waelchli, U. (2010). Firm Age and Performance. *Working Paper* University of Bern, Switzerland. Retrieved from Online at http://mpra.ub.unimuenchen.de/26450/
- Loderer, C., Stulz, R. and Waelchli, U. (2013). Limited Managerial Attention and Corporate Aging. *NBER Working Paper Series NO. 19428*.
- López-Herrera, F. and Ortiz, E. (2011). Dynamic Multibeta Macroeconomic Asset Pricing Model at NAFTA Stock Markets. *International Journal of Economics and Finance* 3(1), 55-68.
- Lorie, H. and Iqbal, Z. (2005). Pakistan's Macroeconomic Adjustment and Resumption of Growth, 1999–2004. *IMF Working Paper WP/05/139*.
- Luehrman, T. A. (1991). Exchange Rate Changes and the Distribution of Industry Value. Journal of International Business Studies, 22(4), 619-649.
- Luo, Y. (2000). How to enter China: Choices and lessons. Ann Arbor. MI: University of Michigan Press.
- Ma, C. K. and Kao, G. W. (1990). On Exchange Rate Changes and Stock Price Reactions. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 17 (3), 441-449.
- Madura, J. and Zarruk, E. R. (1995). Bank Exposure to Interest Rate Risk: A Global Perspective. *Journal of Financial Research*, 18(1), 1-13.
- Magnus, F. J. and Fosu, O. E. (2006). Modelling and Forecasting Volatility of Returns On the Ghana Stock Exchange Using Garch Models. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, , 3(10), 2042-2048.
- Mahmud, M. and Mirza, N. (2011). Volatility Dynamics in and Emerging Economy: Case of Karachi Stock Exchange. *Economic Research*, 24(4), 51.
- Malkiel, B. (1979). The Capital Formation Problem in the United States. *The Journal of Finance*, 34, 291-306.
- Mandelbrot, B. (1963). The Variation of Certain Speculative Prices. *Journal of Business*, 36 394–419.

- Mandimika, N. Z. and Chinzara, Z. (2012). Risk- Returns Trade-Off And Behaviour of Volatility on the South African Stock Market: Evidence from both Aggregate and Disaggregate Data. *South African Journal of Economics*, 80(3), 345-366.
- Mangani, R. (2008). Modelling Return Volatility on the JSE Securities Exchange of South Africa. *African Finance Journal*, 10(1), 55-71.
- Mansfield, E. (1980). Research and Development, Productivity, and Inflation. *Science*, 209, 1091-1093.
- Markowitz, H. (1952). Portfolio Selection. The Journal of Finance, 7(1), 77-91.
- Maysami, R. C. and Koh, T. S. (2000). A Vector Error Correction Model of the Singapore Stock Market. *International Review of Economics and Finance*, 9(1), 79-96.
- McDougall, P. P. (1989). International Versus Domestic Entrepreneurship: New Venture Strategic Behavior and Industry Structure. *Journal of Business Venturing*, , 4, 87-400.
- McDougall, P. P., Oviatt, B. M. and Shrader, R. C. (2003). A Comparison of Internal and Domestic New Ventures. *Journal of International Entrepreneurship*, 1, 59-82.
- McSweeney, E. J. and Worthington, A. C. (2008). A Comparative Analysis of Oil as a Risk Factor in Australian Industry Stock Returns,1980-2006. *Studies in Economics and Finance*, 25 (2), 131-145.
- Mei, J. and Hu, J. (2000). Conditional Risk Premiums of Asian Real Estate Stocks. The Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics, 21(3), 297-313. doi: 10.1023/a:1012008004402
- Meltzer, A. H. (1960). Mercantile Credit, Monetary Policy, and Size of Firms. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 12(4), 429-439.
- Merton, R. C. (1973). An Intertemporal Asset Pricing Model. *Econometrica*, 41 867-887.
- Merton, R. C. (1987). A Simple Model of Capital Market Equilibrium with Incomplete Information. *The Journal of Finance* 42, 483–510.
- Miesenbock, K. J. (1988). Small Businesses and Exporting: A Literature Review. International Small Business Journal, 6(2), 41-61.

- Ministry of Commerce Report. (2009) *Study on Domestic Commerce in Pakistan*: The Ministry of Commerce Government of Pakistan.
- Ministry of Industries, Production and Special Initiatives (MIPSGP) Report. (2008) Auto
  Industry Development Program (AIDP). Pakistan: Ministry of Industries,
  Production and Special Initiatives (MIPSGP) Report.
- Mishkin, F. S. (2001). Financial Policies and the Prevention of Financial Crises in Emerging Market Countries. *The National Bureu of Economic Research, Columbia University*, NBER Working Paper No. 8087; NBER Program(s): IFM
- Miyamoto, K. (2001). Human Capital Formation and Foreign Direct Investment in Developing Countries. *OECD Development Centre*, Working Paper No. 211.
- Moeller, S. B., Schlingemann, F. P. and Stulz, R. M. (2004). Firm Size and the Gains from Acquisitions. *Journal of Financial Economics* 73, 201–228.
- Moghaddam, F. M., Hamid, A. B. B. A. and Aliakbar, E. (2012). Management Influence on the Export Performance of Firms: A Review of the Empirical Literature 1989 2009. *African Journal of Business Management* 6(15), 5150-5158.
- Mohamed, A. (2011). Stock Returns and Oil Prices Changes in Europe: A Sector Analysis. *The Manchester School* 80(2), 237–261.
- Mohammad, S. D., Naqvi, S. I. H., Lal, I. and Zehra, S. (2012). Arbitrage Price Theory (APT) and Karachi Stock Exchange (KSE). *Asian Social Science*, 8(2), 253-258.
- Mubarik, F. and Javid, A. Y. (2009). Relationship between Stock Return, Trading Volume and Volatility: Evidence from Pakistani Stock Market. *Asia Pacific Journal of Finance and Banking Research*, 3(3).
- Mukherjee, T. K. and Naka, A. (1995). Dynamic Relations between Macroeconomic Variables and the Japanese Stock Market: An Application af a Vector Error Correction Model. *Journal of Financial Research* 18(2), 223-237.
- Muneer, S., Butt, B. Z. and Rehman, K. U. (2011). A Multifactor Model of Banking Industry Stock Returns: An Emerging Market Perspective Information Management and Business Review 2(6), 267-275.
- Mushtaq, R., Shah, S. Z. E., Rehman, M. Z. and Murtaza, G. (2011). The Relationship between stock market volatility and macroeconomic volatility: Evidence from

Pakistan", . Retrieved from Available online at SSRN: http://ssrn.com/abstract=1888073.

- Mustafa, M., Rizvanolli, A. and Hashi, I. (2009) *Improving Corporate Governance and Transparency in Banks and Insurance Companies in Kosova*. Washington D.C.: Center for International Private Enterprise (Cipe): Washington D.C.
- Narayan, P. K. and Sharma, S. S. (2011). New Evidence on Oil Price and Firm Returns. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 35(2011), 3253–3262.
- Nelson, D. B. (1991). Conditional Heteroskedasticity in Asset Returns: A New Approach. *Econometrica*, 59(2), 347-370.
- Nishat, M. and Shaheen, R. (2004). Macroeconomic Factors and Pakistani Equity Market. *The Pakistan Development Review*, 43(4), 619-637.
- Nissim, D. and Penman, S. H. (2001). An Empirical Analysis of the Effect of Changes in Interest Rates on Accounting Rates of Return, Growth, and Equity Values Retrieved from http://ssrn.com/abstract=266828 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.266828
- Nord, L. J. (2011). R&D Investment Link to Profitability: A Pharmaceutical Industry Evaluation. *Undergraduate Economic Review*, 8(1), 1-14.
- Obstfeld, M. and Rogoff, K. (2009). Global Imbalances and the Financial Crisis: Products of Common Causes. *Paper presented at the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco Asia Economic Policy Conference*, Santa Barbara, CA. http://elsa.berkeley.edu/~obstfeld/globalimbalances2010.pdf
- Ocampo, J. A., Spiegel, S. and Stiglitz, J. E. (2008). *Capital Market Liberalization and Development*. United States: Oxford University Press.
- Oertmann, P., Rendu, C. and Zimmermann, H. (2000). Interest Rate Risk of European Financial Corporations. *European Financial Management*, 6(4), 459-478.
- O'Rourke, A. D. (1985). Differences in Exporting Practices, Attitudes and Problems by Size of Firm. *American Journal of Small Business*, 9(3), 25-29.
- Oskoee, S. A. P. and Shamsavari, A. (2011). Asymmetric Effects in Emerging Stock Markets- The Case of Iran Stock Market. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 3(6), 16-24.

- Pan, M. S., Fok, R. C. W. and Liu, Y. A. (2007). Dynamic Linkages Between Exchange Rates and Stock Prices: Evidence from East Asian Countries. *International Review of Economics and Finance*, 16, 503-520.
- Pan, Y. (2003). The Inflow of Foreign DirectInvestment to China: The Impact of Country-Specific Factors. *Journal of Business Research*, 56, 829-833.
- Paramaiah, C. and Akway, O. A. (2008). Econometric Analysis of Personal Consumption Expenditure in Ethopia. *The Icfai University Press*.
- Pari, R. A. and Chen, S. N. (1984). An Empirical Test of the Arbitrage Pricing Theory. Journal of Financial Research, 7(2), 121-130.
- Park, J. W. (2007). Oil Price Shocks and Stock Market Behavior: Empirical Evidence for the U.S. and European Countries. Doctor of Philosophy, University of Missouri-Columbia, Coloumbia.
- Park, S. H. and Luo, Y. (2001). Guanxi and organizational dynamics: Organizational networking in Chinese firms. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22(5), 455–477.
- Park, Y., Shin, J. and Kim, T. (2010). Firm Size, Age, Industrial Networking, and Growth: A Case of the Korean Manufacturing Industry. *Small Bus Econ*, 35, 153–168.
- Pastor, L. and Stambaugh, R. F. (2003). Liquidity Risk and Expected Stock Returns. Journal of Political Economy, 111(3), 642-685.
- Pastor, L. and Veronesi, P. (2003). Stock Valuation and Learning about Profitability. *The Journal of Finance*, 58, 1749-1790.
- Patra, T. and Poshekwale, S. (2006). Economic Variables and Stock Market Returns: Evidence from the Athens Stock Exchange. *Applied Financial Economics*, 16(13), 993-1005
- Pearce, D. K. (1982). The Impact of Inflation on Stock Prices. *Economic Review*, March, 3-18.
- Penrose, E. (1959). The theory of the growth of the firm: New York: Wiley.
- Persaud, A. (2000). Sending the Herd Off the Cliff Edge: The Disturbing Interaction Between Herding and Market Sensitive Risk Management Practices. *The Journal* of Risk Finance, 2(1), 59-65.

- Pesaran, M. H. and Timmermann, A. (1995). Predictability of Stock Returns: Robustness and Economic Significance. *The Journal of Finance* 50, 1201–1259.
- Petersen, M. and Rajan, R. (1995). The Effect of Credit Market Competition on Firm creditor Relationships. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 110, 407–443.
- Pfeffer, J. and Salancik, G. R. (1978). *The external control oforganizations: A resourcedependence perspective*: New York: Harper & Row.
- Phalavani, M. and Ezzati, P. (2010). Are there Smaller Leverage Effect in Less Developed Markets? Evidence from oil exporting country. *American Journal of Applied Sciences*, 7(1), 89-84.
- Phillips, P. C. B. and Perron, P. (1988). Testing for a Unit Root in Time Series Regression. *Biometrika*, 75(2), 335-346.
- Pi, L. and Timme, S. (1993). Corporate Control and Bank Efficiency. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 17(2), 515-530.
- Pindyck, R. S. (1984). Risk, Inflation, and the Stock Market. American Economic Review of Economics and Statistics, 74, 334-351.
- Pindyck, R. S. (1988). Risk Aversion and Determinants of Stock Market Behavior. The Review of Economics and Statistics, 70(2), 183-190.
- Pollack, J. R. (2013). Convergent Trends in Aggregate and Firm Volatility. UFR 02 Sciences Economiques, Version 1.
- Poshakwale, S. and Murinde, V. (2001). Modelling the volatility in East European emerging stock markets: Evidence on Hungary and Poland. *Applied Financial Economics*, 11, 445-456.
- Poteshman, A. M. (2001). Underreaction, Overreaction, and Increasing Misreaction to Information in the Options Market. *The Journal of Finance* 6, 851-876.
- Qayyum, A. and Anwar, S. (2011). Impact of Monetary Policy on The Volatility of Stock Market in Pakistan. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, , 2 (11), 18-24.
- Rafique, A. and Rehman, K. U. (2011). Comparing the Persistency of Different Frequencies of Stock Returns Volatility in an Emerging Market: A Case Study of Pakistan. African Journal of Business Management, , 5(1), 59-67.

- Raheman, A. (2012). Analyzing the Working Capital Management and Productivity Growth of Manufacturing Sector in Pakistan. PhD, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology Islamabad-Pakistan, Highter Education Commission of Pakistan.
- Rashid, M. T., Ahmad, K., Azim, P. and Rehman, H. (2011). Measuring the Impact of Inflation on Conditional Stock Market Volatility in Pakistan: An Application of Igarch Model. *Middle Eastern Finance and Economics*, 13, 36-42.
- Ratti, R. A. and Hasan, M. Z. (2013). Oil Price Shocks and Volatility in Australian Stock Returns. *Economic Record*, 89, 67-83.
- Rehman, A. A., Sidek, N. Z. M. and Tafri, F. H. (2009). Macroeconomic Determinants of Malaysian Stock Market *African Journal of Business Management*, 3, 95-106.
- RIC, Report (2011). The Treatment of Input Price Inflation in Price Control Reviews. Spain: Regulated Industry Commission.
- Rigobon, R. and Sack, B. (2003). Measuring the Reaction of Monetary Policy to the Stock Market. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 118, 639-669.
- Robert D. Gay, J. (2008). Effect of Macroeconomic Variables on Stock Market Returns for Four Emerging Economies: A Vector Regression Model for Brazil, Russia, India, and China. Doctor of Business Administration., Nova Southeastern University, Nova.
- Ross, S. A. (1976). The Arbitrage Theory of Capital Asset Pricing. *Journal of Economic Theory*, 13(3), 341-360. doi: 10.1016/0022-0531(76)90046-6
- Rubin, A. and Smith, D. R. (2011). Comparing Different Explanations of the Volatility Trend. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 35, 1581–1597.
- Sadorsky, P. (1999). Oil Price Shocks and Stock Market Activity. *Energy Economics*, 21, 449-469.
- Sadorsky, P. (2001). Risk factors in stock returns of Canadian oil and gas companies. *Energy Economics* 23(1), 17-28.
- Saeedullah, M. and Kashif-ur-Rehman. (2005). Empirical Analysis of Market and Industry Factors in Stock Returns of Pakistan Cement Industry. *Journal of Independent Studies and Research (JISR)*, 3(2), 13-20.

- Saleem, K. (2007). Modeling Time Varying Volatility and Asymmetry of Karachi Stock Exchange (KSE). *International Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 1(1), 1-9.
- Salman, A. K. and Yazdanfar, D. (2012). Profitability in Swedish Micro Firms: A Quantile Regression Approach. *International Business Research*, 5(8), 94-106.
- Saunder, A. and Yourougou, P. (1990). Are banks special? The seperation of banking and commerce and interest rate risk. *Journal of Economics and Business*, 42, 171-182.
- Saunders, A. and Cornett, M. (2006). *Financial Institution Management: A risk Management Approach*: fifth ed. McGraw Hill.
- SBP Report. (2010; 2012) SBP Report. Islamabad: State Bank of Pakistan.
- Schank, T., Schnabel, C. and Wagner, J. (2007). Do Exporters Really Pay Higher Wages? First Evidence from German Linked Employer-Employee Data. *Journal* of International Economics, 72(1), 52–72.
- Schank, T., Schnabel, C. and Wagner, J. (2010). Higher Wages in Exporting Firms: Self-Selection, Export Effect, or both? First Evidence from linked employeremployee data. *Revew World Economy*, 146, 303–322.
- Schmukler, S. L., Zoido, P. and Halac, M. (2005). Financial Globalization, Crises, and Contagion. Retrieved from http://www.google.com.my/#sclient=psyab&hl=en&source=hp&q=Financial+Globalization%2C+Crises%2C+and+Conta gion&pbx=1&oq=Financial+Globalization%2C+Crises%2C+and+Contagion&a q=f&aqi=gb1&aql=&gs\_sm=e&gs\_upl=317506l321299l11321994l9l5l0l0l0l0l1 20l289l2.115l0&bav=on.2,or.r\_gc.r\_pw.,cf.osb&fp=a47b98d6d479331d&biw=1 440&bih=796
- Scholtens, B. and Yurtsever, C. (2012). Oil Price Shocks And European Industries. Energy Economics 34(4), 1187–1195.
- Schumpeter, J. A. (1912). Theorie der Wirtschaftlichen Entwicklung, Leipzig, Dunker und Humbolt. Translated by R. Opie, The Theory of economic Development: An Inquiry into Profits, Capital, Credit, Interest, and the Business Cycle: Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Schwert, G. W. (1989). Why Does Stock Market Volatility Change Over Time? *The Journal of Finance*, 44, 1115-1153.

- Sharma, S. S., Narayan, P. K. and Zheng, X. (2014). An Analysis of Firm and Market Volatility. *Economic Systems*, 38, 205-220.
- Sharpe, W. F. (1963). A Simplified Model for Portfolio Analysis. *Management Science*, 9(2), 277-293.
- Sherazi, S. K., Iqbal, M. Z., Asif, M., Kashif-ur-Rehman and Shah, S. S. H. (2013). Obstacles to Small and Medium Enterprises in Pakistan. Principal Component Analysis Approach. *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research* 13(10), 1325-1334.
- Shiller, R. J. (2000). Measuring Bubble Expectations and Investor Confidence. *Journal* of Psychology and Financial Markets 1(1), 49–60.
- Shleifer, A. and Vishny, R. W. (1997). The Limits of Arbitrage. *The Journal of Finance* 52, 35–55.
- Shumway, T. (2001). Forecasting Bankruptcy More Accurately: A Simple Hazard Model. *Journal of Business* 74, 101-124.
- Sill, K. (1995). Macroeconomic Risk and the Determination of Expected Returns on Stocks. *Managerial Finance*, 21(7), 43 56.
- Singh, D. (2010). Causal Relationship Between Macro-Economic Variables and Stock Market: A Case Study for India Pakistan Journal of Social Sciences, 30 (2), 263-274
- Smith, W. L. (1958). Monetary Policy and the Small and New Firms (pp. 505-507). Economic Stabilization of the Joint Economic Committee December.
- Sorensen, J. B. and Stuart, T. E. (2000). Aging, obsolescence, and organizational innovation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 45(1), 81–112.
- Spyrou, S. I. (2001). Stock Returns and Inflation: Evidence From an Emerging Market. Applied Economics Letters, 8(7), 447-450.
- Stavarek, D. (2004). Stock Prices and Exchange Rates in the EU and the USA: Evidence of their Mutual Interactions. *Finance a úvěr - Czech Journal of Economics and Finance*, 3-4(55), 141-161.
- Stinchcombe, A. L. (1965). Social structure and organizations. In J. G. March (Ed), Handbook of organizations. 142–193. Chicago, IL: Rand McNally.

- Su, E. and Bilson, J. F. O. (2011). Trading Asymmetric Trend and Volatility by Leverage Trend Garch in Taiwan Stock Index. *Applied Economics*, 43(26), 3891-3905.
- Tandrayen-Ragoobur, V. (2010). The Services Sector and Economic Growth in Mauritius. A Bounds Testing Approach to Cointegration. *Paper presented at the International Research Symposium in Service Management*, Le Meridien Hotel, Mauritius,.http://www.uom.ac.mu/sites/irssm/papers/Tandrayen-Ragoobur%20~%2068.pdf
- Tashrifov, M.-Y. (2005). Foreign Financial Aid, Government Policies and Economic Growth: Does the Policy Setting in Developing Countries Matter? Asia Pacific School of Economics and Government, Australian National University, Working Paper.
- Textile Sector Report. (2012). Growth Trends of Pakistan Textile Industry. Pakistan.
- Travlos, N. G. (1987). Corporate Takeover Bids, Method Of Payment, and Bidding Firm's Stock Returns. *The Journal of Finance*, 52, 943–963.
- Trevin, L. J. and Mixon, F. G. (2004). Strategic Factors Affecting Foreign Direct Investment Decisions by Multi-National Enterprises in Latin America. *Journal of World Business 39 (2004) 233–243*, 39, 233-243.
- Trevino, L. J. and Grosse, R. (2002). An Analysis of Firm-Specific Resources and Foreign Direct Investment in the United States. *International Business Review*, 11(4), 431–452.
- Tripsas, M. and Gavetti, G. (2000). Capabilities, Cognition, and Inertia, Evidence from Digital Imaging. *Strategic Management Journal* 21, 1147-1161.
- Turner, C. M., Startz, R. and Nelson, C. R. (1989). A Markov Model of Heteroskedasticity, Risk, and Learning in the Stock Market. NBER Working Paper No. 2818.
- Uhlaner, L. M., Stel, A. v., Duplat, V. r. and Zhou, H. (2013). Disentangling the Effects of Organizational Capabilities, Innovation and Firm Size on Sme Sales Growth. *Small Business Economics*, 41, 581–607.
- UN ESCAP Report. (2009) Global Financial Crisis: Impact on Pakistan and Policy Response (Vol. Global Financial Crisis: Impact on Pakistan and Policy

Response): United Nation Economics and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific.

- Uppal, J. Y. and Mangla, I. U. (2006). Market Volatility, Manipulation, and Regulatory Response: A Comparative Study of Bombay and Karachi Stock Markets. *The Pakistan Development Review, Pakistan Institute of Development Economics*, 45(4), 1071-1083.
- Velde, D. W. t. (2008). The Global Financial Crisis and Developing Countries. Retrieved from http://www.odi.org.uk/resources/docs/3339.pdf
- Vickery, J. (2008). How and Why Do Small Firms Manage Interest Rate Risk? *Journal* of Financial Economics 87, 446–470.
- Vilkov, G. (2007). Essays in Asset Pricing. PhD in Management, Proquest.
- Were, M. and Mugerwa, S. K. (2009). Do Exporting Firms Pay HigherWages? Evidence from Kenya's Manufacturing Sector. *African Development Review*, 21(3), 435– 453.
- West, T. and Worthington, A. C. (2006). Macroeconomic Risk Factors in Australian Commercial Real Estate, Listed Property Trust and Property Sector Stock Returns: A Comparative Analysis Using Garch-M. Journal of Financial Management of Property and Construction, 11(2), 21-33.
- Westhead, P. (1995). Exporting and Non-Exporting Small Firms in Great Britain A Matched Pairs Comparison. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behaviour* & Research, 1(2), 6-36.
- Wilson, P. and Gorb, P. (1983). How Large and Small Firms can Grow Together. *Long Range Planning* 16, 19-27.
- Winter, R. (1994). The Dynamic of Competitive Insurance Market. *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, 3(4), 379-415.
- World Bank Enterprise Survey: Pakistan 2007. (2007) World Bank Survey: World Bank.
- World Bank Report. (2007). *Doing Business in South Asia*. Washington DC: World Bank Report.
- World Bank Survey (2010). Pakistan's Investment Climate: Laying the Foundation for Renewed Growth: World Bank.

- Wurgler, J. and Zhuravskaya, K. (2002). Does Arbitrage Flatten Demand Curves for Stocks? *Journal of Business*, 75, 583–608.
- Wyn, J. (1998). The Fourth Wave. Best's Review, 99(1), 53-57.
- Yaprak, A. (2007). An Empirical Study of The Differences between Small Exporting and Non-Exporting US Firms. *International Marketing Review*, 20, 79-83.
- Yourougou, P. (1990). Interest Rate Risk and Pricing of Depository Financial Intermediary Common Stock. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 14, 803-820.
- Yu, J. M. and Hassan, K. (2008). Global and Regional Integration of the Middle East And North African (MENA) Stock Markets. *The Quarterly Review of Economics* and Finance, 48, 482-504.
- Yule, G. U. (1926). Why Do We Sometimes Get Nonsense Correlations Between Time Series? A Study in Sampling and the Nature of Time Series. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, 89(1), 1-64.
- Zafar, N., Urooj, S. S. and Durrani, T. T. (2008). Interest Rate Volatility and Stock Return and Volatility. *European Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Sciences*, 14, 135-140.