

PERFORMANCE OF KAOLIN-TIRE DERIVED AGGREGATE MIXTURE AS A  
BACKFILL MATERIAL FOR RETAINING WALL

ALI AREFNIA

UNIVERSITI TEKNOLOGI MALAYSIA

PERFORMANCE OF KAOLIN-TIRE DERIVED AGGREGATE MIXTURE AS A  
BACKFILL MATERIAL FOR RETAINING WALL

ALI AREFNIA

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the  
requirements for the award of the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy (Civil Engineering)

Faculty of Civil Engineering  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

SEPTEMBER 2014

15 SEPTEMBER 2014

Librarian  
Perpustakaan Sultanah Zanariah  
UTM, Skudai  
Johor

CLASSIFICATION OF THESIS AS RESTRICTED

\_\_ PERFORMANCE OF KAOLIN-TIRE DERIVED AGGREGATE MIXTURE AS  
A BACKFILL MATERIAL FOR RETAINING WALL. \_\_ Ali Arefnia

Please be informed that the above mentioned thesis entitled " **PERFORMANCE OF KAOLIN-TIRE DERIVED AGGREGATE MIXTURE AS A BACKFILL MATERIAL FOR RETAINING WALL** " be classified as RESTRICTED for a period of three (3) years from the date of this letter. The reasons for this classification are

- (i) The project has potential for future commercialization.
- (ii) The project has still potential for future publications.

Thank you.  
Sincerely yours,

b/p 

**PROF. DR. KHAIRUL ANUAR BIN KASSIM**  
Deputy Director (Project Management)  
Research Management Centre  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

Prof. Dr. Khairul Anuar Kassim  
Deputy Director of Research Management Center in UTM / Supervisor

To my beloved parents and sister, your courage and  
compassion have taught me humility

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

I wish to express my sincere appreciation to my main supervisor, Prof. Dr. Khairul Anuar Kassim of the Faculty of Civil Engineering UTM for his dedication, encouragement, guidance, and support. I am also very thankful to my co-supervisors Assoc. Prof. Dr. Kamarudin Ahmad and Dr. Ahmad Safuan A Rashid for their guidance and advices. The assistance of Geotechnical laboratory staff especially Mr Zulkify and Mrs Ros are highly appreciated.

Last but not least, I would like to acknowledge my beloved parents and my sister for their support and love.

## ABSTRACT

Backfill material for retaining wall should be lightweight in order to reduce the lateral pressure behind the wall. In addition, high permeability backfill walls are designed to eliminate or minimize the development of pore water pressure. Tire Derived Aggregate (TDA) has low unit weight and high permeability. Moreover, reutilizing solid wastes like TDA with cohesive soil as backfill material may reduce sand mining and preserve the environment to achieve sustainable construction. Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the performance of Kaolin-TDA mixtures as a backfill material for retaining wall. Geotechnical properties of Kaolin, TDA and Kaolin-TDA mixtures were determined in addition to compaction and hydraulic conductivity tests. A total of 13 scaled down 1:20 physical model tests on polymer concrete retaining wall using Kaolin and different mixtures of Kaolin-TDA as backfill material were performed. Footing settlements and wall displacements due to loading on fabricated steel as strip footing in the model test were measured. The results were verified using three numerical methods, namely PLAXIS 2D, Genetic Programming and Multiple Linear Regression. The maximum dry density of Kaolin-TDA mixture was in the range of 964 kg/m<sup>3</sup> to 1590 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, lighter than the maximum dry density of Kaolin 1750 kg/m<sup>3</sup>. Therefore, using Kaolin-TDA mixture as backfill material reduced the unit weight of backfill in a range of 9% to 45% resulted in a decrease in lateral pressure. Physical modeling and permeability tests results showed that mixture of Kaolin with 20% Granular (5-8 mm) TDA was the optimum mixture. The permeability of the optimum mixture was 2.56 times higher than that of the Kaolin. It was also observed from the physical modeling that by using the optimum mixture as backfill material, it resulted in footing stress roughly 3 times higher than using Kaolin without TDA. Thus, by mixing TDA with Kaolin, the weight of backfill decreases, permeability increases and footing stress increases compared to Kaolin as a backfill material for retaining wall.

## ABSTRAK

Bahan kambus balik tembok penahan seharusnya ringan bagi mengurangi tekanan sisi di belakang tembok. Di samping itu, kebolehtelapan yang tinggi bagi bahan kambus balik direka untuk menyahkan atau meminimumkan pembentukan tekanan air liang. Agregat Yang Didapati Daripada Tayar (TDA) mempunyai berat unit yang rendah dan kebolehtelapan yang tinggi. Disamping itu, penggunaan semula bahan-bahan buangan pepejal seperti TDA dengan tanah berjelekit sebagai bahan kambus balik dapat mengurangkan perlombongan pasir dan memelihara persekitaran bagi mencapai pembinaan lestari. Tujuan kajian ini ialah untuk menilai prestasi campuran Kaolin-TDA sebagai bahan kambus balik bagi tembok penahan. Sifat-sifat geoteknikal Kaolin, TDA dan campuran Kaolin-TDA telah ditentukan di samping ujian-ujian pemadatan dan keberaliran hidraulik ke atas campuran-campuran itu. Sejumlah 13 ujian model fizikal tembok penahan konkrit polimer yang telah diskala kecilkan 1:20 menggunakan Kaolin dan campuran Kaolin-TDA yang berlainan sebagai bahan kambus balik telah dilakukan. Enapan asas jalur dan pergerakan tembok penahan akibat pembebanan ke atas plat keluli yang difabrikasi sebagai asas jalur dalam ujian model telah diukur. Keputusan yang diperolehi daripada model fizikal telah disahkan menggunakan tiga kaedah berangka iaitu PLAXIS 2D, Pengaturcaraan Genetik dan Berbilang Regresi Lelurus. Ketumpatan kering maksima bagi campuran Kaolin-TDA antara  $964 \text{ kg/m}^3$  dan  $1590 \text{ kg/m}^3$  adalah lebih ringan dari Kaolin yang mempunyai ketumpatan kering maksima  $1750 \text{ kg/m}^3$ . Oleh itu, penggunaan campuran Kaolin-TDA sebagai bahan kambus balik telah mengurangkan berat unit antara 9% hingga 45% menyebabkan penurunan tekanan sisi di belakang tembok. Model fizikal dan hasil ujian kebolehtelapan menunjukkan bahawa campuran Kaolin dengan 20% Granular (5-8 mm) TDA adalah campuran yang optima. Kebolehtelapan campuran optima tersebut adalah 2.6 kali lebih tinggi dari kebolehtelapan Kaolin. Kajian dari model fizikal juga menunjukkan bahawa penggunaan campuran optima sebagai bahan kambus balik telah menyebabkan tekanan penapak asas jalur 3 kali lebih tinggi dari menggunakan Kaolin tanpa TDA. Oleh itu, dengan campuran TDA dan Kaolin, berat kambus balik berkurangan, kebolehtelapan meningkat dan tekanan asas jalur meningkat berbanding dengan Kaolin sebagai bahan kambus balik bagi tembok penahan.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

<b>CHAPTER</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	ii
	<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS</b>	iv
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	v
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
	<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	vii
	<b>LIST OF TABLE</b>	xv
	<b>LIST OF FIGURE</b>	xix
	<b>LIST OF SYMBOLS</b>	xxvi
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATION</b>	xxix
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xxix
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Background of the Study	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Objectives of the Study	4
	1.4 Scope of the Study	5
	1.5 Significant of the Study	5
	1.6 Thesis Organization	6



<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Introduction	7
2.2	Properties of Fine-Grained Soil	7
2.2.1	Microstructure of Fine-Grained Soil	8
2.2.2	Physical Properties of Fine-Grained Soil	9
2.3	Backfill Material	10
2.3.1	Fine-Grained Soil as a Backfill Material	11
2.3.1.1	Classification of Fine-Grained Soil	11
2.3.1.2	Compaction of Fine-Grained Soil	11
2.3.1.3	Permeability of Fine-Grained Soil	12
2.3.1.4	Shear Strength of Fine-Grained Soil	14
2.3.1.5	Shear Strength of Fine-Grained Soil-Granular Mixture	14
2.3.2	Modification of Fine-Grained Soil	16
2.3.3	Recycled Material as a Backfill Material	16
2.4	Waste Tires	19
2.4.1	Shredded Tire Properties	20
2.4.2	Shredded Tire Size Limitation	22
2.4.3	Shredded Tire Preparation and Refining Processes	24
2.4.4	Preparing a Soil-Shredded Tire Mixture	25
2.4.5	Optimum Tire Shred-Soil Mixing Ratio	26
2.4.6	Laboratory Tests of Soil-Shredded Tire Mixture	27
2.4.6.1	Compaction on Sand-Shredded Tire Mixture	27
2.4.6.2	Specific Gravity of Soil-Shredded Tire Mixture	30
2.4.6.3	Permeability of Soil-Shredded Tire Mixture	31
2.4.6.4	Shear Strength of Soil-Shredded Tire Mixture	36
2.4.6.5	Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient ( $K_0$ ) of Soil-Shredded Tire Mixture	44

2.4.6.6 Poisson's Ratio	45
2.4.6.7 Elastic Modulus of Soil-Shredded Tire Mixture	48
2.4.6.8 Stress-Strain Behavior and Unconfined Compressive Strength	48
2.4.6.9 Porosity and Void Ratio	49
2.4.7 Bearing Capacity of Soil-Shredded Tire Mixture	50
2.4.8 Cost Effective of Shredded Tire on Soil	51
2.4.9 Shredded Tire as a Lightweight Material	51
2.4.10 Shredded Tire as a Draining Layer	53
2.4.11 Environmental Properties	54
2.4.12 Advantages of Shredded Tire Using	55
2.4.13 Shredded Tire Effects on the Water Table	56
2.4.14 Dynamic Properties of Dry Sand-Rubber (SRM) and Gravel-Rubber (GRM) Mixtures	58
2.5 Soil-Shredded Tire Applications	58
2.5.1 Highway Embankment Application of Soil-Shredded Tire Mixture	63
2.5.2 Soil-Shredded Tire Mixture as Backfill Material	64
2.5.3 Soil-Shredded Tire Mixture as Landfill	65
2.5.4 Use of Waste Tire Rubber for Swelling in Expansive Soils	66
2.5.6 Tire Derived Aggregates (TDA) as a Sustainable Recycled Material for Retaining Wall Backfills	67
2.6 Retaining Walls	67
2.6.1 Active Earth Pressure Calculation in Retaining Walls with Reinforced Backfill	69
2.6.2 Geometry and Instability Modes of Retaining Walls	70
2.6.3 Reinforced Soil and Retaining Wall Dimension	73

	2.6.4 Shear Zone in Earth Pressure of a Retaining Wall	74
	2.6.5 Retaining Walls for Basement Construction in Stiff Clays	74
	2.6.6 Response of Retaining Wall Backfilled with Shredded Tire	74
2.7	Polymer Concrete	78
2.8	Modeling	82
	2.8.1 Finite Element Model Development and Simulation Procedure	85
	2.8.2 Mesh Sensitivity Study	86
2.9	Genetic Programming (GP)	87
2.10	Summary	91
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>94</b>
3.1	Introduction	94
3.2	General Characterization of Material	95
	3.2.1 Kaolin	97
	3.2.2 Tire Derived Aggregate (TDA)	98
	3.2.2.1. TDA Types Used in the Mixtures	98
	3.2.2.2. Physical Properties	99
	3.2.3 Kaolin-TDA Mixtures	99
3.3	Sample Preparation	100
3.4	Experimental Tests	100
	3.4.1 Sieve Analysis	100
	3.4.2 Hydrometer Test	100
	3.4.3 Atterberg Limit Test	101
	3.4.4 Specific Gravity Test ( $G_s$ )	102
	3.4.5 Compaction Test	105

3.4.5.1	Material and Test Procedures	107
3.4.5.1.1	Sample Preparation	107
3.4.5.1.2	Soil Compaction Test	108
3.4.5.2	Compaction Energy	109
3.4.5.3	Zero-Air-Void Unit Weight	109
3.4.6	Permeability Test	110
3.4.7	Triaxial Test	111
3.5	Modeling of Retaining Wall and Backfill	114
3.5.1	Physical Modeling of Retaining Wall and Backfill	114
3.5.1.1	Retaining Wall	114
3.5.1.1.1	Retaining Wall Scaling	115
3.5.1.1.2	Retaining Wall Dimension	117
3.5.1.1.3	Retaining Wall Material	118
3.5.1.2	Dimension and Scaling of Physical Modeling Box	118
3.5.1.3	Backfill Material	120
3.5.1.4	Strip Footing Model and Loading System	121
3.5.1.5	Elasticity Modulus	122
3.5.1.6	Types of Failure in Soil	122
3.5.2	Numerical Modeling of Retaining Wall and Backfill Using PLAXIS	124
3.5.2.1	Backfill Material Properties Used in PLAXIS	125
3.5.2.2	Retaining Wall Properties Used in PLAXIS	125
3.5.2.3	Finite Element Modeling Methods	126
3.5.2.3.1	Selection of Appropriate Finite Element Mesh	127
3.5.2.3.2	Selection of Appropriate Finite Element Domain	127

	3.5.2.3.3 Final Finite Element Model	128
	3.5.2.3.4 The Mohr-Coulomb Material Model	128
	3.5.2.3.5 Determination of the Mohr-Coulomb Parameters	130
	3.5.3 Numerical Modeling of Retaining Wall and Backfill Using Genetic Programming	131
	3.5.4 Numerical Modeling of Retaining Wall and Backfill Using Multiple Linear Regression (MLR) Analysis	131
	3.5.5 Comparison between Physical and Numerical Modeling Results	131
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>136</b>
	4.1 Introduction	136
	4.2 General Characterization of Material	136
	4.2.1 Kaolin Classification	137
	4.2.2 Atterberg Limit Test	138
	4.2.3 Specific Gravity ( $G_s$ )	139
	4.2.4 Compaction Test	146
	4.2.5 Permeability Test	152
	4.2.6 Triaxial Test	156
	4.2.6.1 Triaxial Sample Expansion	158
	4.2.7 Laboratory Test Results	160
	4.3 Modelling	163
	4.3.1 Physical Modeling	164
	4.3.1.1 Strip Footing Settlement on Different Kaolin-TDA Mixture Backfill	165
	4.3.1.2 Variation of Stress and Normalized Vertical Displacement of Footing on Height of Backfill	170

	4.3.1.3 Wall Displacement for Different Kaolin-TDA Mixture Backfill	174
	4.3.1.4 Variation of Stress and Normalized Horizontal Displacement of Wall on Length of Backfill	178
	4.3.1.5 Evaluation of Stress on Different TDA	182
	4.3.1.6 The Relationship between Maximum Footing Stress and Different Percentage of TDA Mixed with Kaolin	186
	4.3.1.7 The Relationship between Maximum Footing Stress and Different Types of TDA Mixed with Kaolin	187
	4.3.2 Numerical Modeling Using PLAXIS-2D	190
	4.3.2.1 Wall Movement	191
	4.3.2.2 Footing Settlement	193
	4.3.2.3 Equations Obtained from Numerical Results	194
	4.3.3 Comparison between Physical Modeling and Numerical Modeling (PLAXIS) Results	196
	4.3.3.1 Verification of Footing Settlement Results in Physical Modeling with Numerical Modeling Results	197
	4.3.3.2 Verification of Wall Displacement Results in Physical Modeling with Numerical Modeling Results	199
	4.3.4 Numerical Modeling Using Genetic Programming	203
	4.3.5 Numerical Modeling Using Multiple Linear Regression Analysis	207
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>210</b>
	5.1 Introduction	210

5.2	Conclusion	210
5.2.1	General Characteristics of Kaolin-TDA Mixture	211
5.2.2	Performance of Polymer Concrete Retaining Wall and Different Backfill Materials	212
5.2.3	Verification of Physical Modeling Results by Numerical Methods	213
5.3	Contribution of Study	213
5.4	Recommendation for Future Research	214
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>215</b>
	Appendices A-F	240-279

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Basic properties of three types of clay (Horpibulsuk <i>et al.</i> , 2011)	10
2.2	Shear strength of clay-granular material mixture as a function of weight* (Vallejo and Mawby, 2000)	15
2.3	Advantages and disadvantages of using tire shreds as a backfill material (Edeskär, 2004)	18
2.4	Representative values of technical properties of tire shreds (Edeskär, 2004)	22
2.5	Unit weight of different size tire shreds (Reddy and Marella, 2001)	29
2.6	Values of permeability of tire shreds (Edeskär, 2004)	33
2.7	Hydraulic conductivity of different size tire shreds (Reddy and Marella, 2001)	35
2.8	Shear strength parameters of processed tire wastes, sand and tire waste-sand mixtures (Edinçliler <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	38
2.9	Result from triaxial testing of five different tire products without protruding steel cord (Edeskär, 2004)	39
2.10	Shear strength of different size tire shreds (Reddy and Marella, 2001)	40
2.11	Summary of shear strength data for recycled material (Edinçliler <i>et al.</i> , 2004)	42
2.12	Summary of shear strength data (Edinçliler <i>et al.</i> , 2004)	44
2.13	Average values for coefficient of lateral earth pressure at rest, $K_0$ , for different depths and surcharges (After Tweedie <i>et al.</i> , 1998)	45
2.14	Reported values calculated from the coefficient of lateral earth pressure $K_0$ and poisson ratio (Edeskär, 2004)	46



2.15	Reported values of Poisson's ratio at given confined stress and based on direct strain measurements in triaxial tests (Edeskär, 2004)	47
2.16	Porosity for different sizes of tire shreds at different pressures (Edeskär, 2004)	50
2.17	Advantages and disadvantages of using tire shreds as a lightweight material (Edeskär, 2004)	52
2.18	Advantages and disadvantages of using tire shreds as draining layer	54
2.19	Summary of engineering properties of tire shreds (Shalaby and Ahmed, 2005)	62
2.20	Unit weight of various lightweight fill materials (Yoon <i>et al.</i> , 2006)	64
2.21	Summary of observed deformation modes in different projects (Sabermahani <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	72
2.22	Properties of shredded tires gained from the literature (Ravichandran and Huggins, 2013)	77
2.23	PC compositions used in the study (Gorninski <i>et al.</i> , 2004)	80
2.24	Modulus of elasticity of PC with isophthalic or orthophthalic polyester with 8, 12, 16 and 20% fly ash (Gorninski <i>et al.</i> , 2004)	80
2.25	Summary of literature review	92
3.1	Standards used in this study	95
3.2	Kaolin Properties (Kaolin Malaysia Sdn. Bhd.)	97
3.3	Kaolin-TDA mixture percentage by weight	99
3.4	Kaolin-TDA mixture by weight	108
3.5	Classification of soil according to the coefficient of permeability (Terzaghi and Peck, 1967; Kulhawy and Mayne, 1990; Bardet, 1997)	110
3.6	Standard design chart (Huang <i>et al.</i> , 1998)	116
3.7	Properties of the polymer concrete wall	118
3.8	Properties of the plates comprising the retaining structure, per unit length	126
3.9	Experimental database used for the development of the models	132
3.10	Training input (70%) for footing settlement	132

3.11	Testing input (30%) for footing settlement	133
3.12	Training input (70%) for wall displacement	133
3.13	Testing input (30%) for wall displacement	133
4.1	Atterberg limit results	138
4.2	Specific gravity determined using water pycnometer	139
4.3	Specific gravity determined using ethyl alcohol pycnometer	140
4.4	Maximum dry density and optimum moisture content of Kaolin-TDA mixture	149
4.5	Permeability of Kaolin-TDA mixture	152
4.6	Maximum water content in tire shreds (Humphrey <i>et al.</i> , 1992)	156
4.7	Laboratory test results for Kaolin	161
4.8	Properties of Kaolin and Kaolin-TDA mixtures obtained from this study	161
4.9	Elasticity modulus, shear modulus, bulk modulus and oedometer elasticity modulus of backfill materials	163
4.10	Comparison of mixture results between this study and literature	163
4.11	Physical modeling results	164
4.12	Approximate required movements to reach minimum active and maximum passive earth pressure conditions (Clough and Duncan, 1991; Ebeling and Morrison, 1993)	174
4.13	Equations of the variations between maximum footing stress and footing settlement from numerical results	195
4.14	Equations of the variations between maximum footing stress and wall displacement from numerical results	195
4.15	Equations of the variations between maximum footing stress and wall displacement on two third of the wall height from numerical results	196
4.16	Training output (70%) for footing settlement	203
4.17	Testing output (30%) for footing settlement	203
4.18	Training output (70%) for wall displacement	205
4.19	Testing output (30%) for wall displacement	205
4.20	Input and output of MLR compared with physical modeling and Genetic Programming for footing settlement	207

4.21	Input and output of MLR compared with physical modeling and Genetic Programming for wall displacement	208
------	--	-----

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Stockpiling and burning the waste tire (Environmental Engineering and Contracting, 2002)	4
2.1	Catipillar D-4 spreading tire shreds for lightweight embankment fill at Portland Jetport Interchange (Humphrey, 1999)	23
2.2	Shredding of car tires by Ragn-Sells AB, Sweden (Edeskär, 2004)	24
2.3	Mixing of tire shred and sand (Yoon <i>et al.</i> , 2006)	25
2.4	Unit weight of different size tire shreds based on reported studies (Reddy and Marella, 2001)	30
2.5	Shear stress vs. horizontal displacement curves for sand, tire buffings and sand–tire buffings mixture at vertical stress of 20 kPa, 40 kPa and 80 kPa (Edinçliler <i>et al.</i> , 2004)	43
2.6	Deformations (strains) used in definition of Poisson’s ratio (Edeskär, 2004)	45
2.7	Stress-strain relationship with rubber content (Kim and Kang, 2011)	49
2.8	Example of road construction with tire shreds used as lightweight material (Edeskär, 2004)	52
2.9	Tire shreds used as a bottom-draining layer in a coal ash landfill (Reddy <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	53
2.10	Geometry of the analyzed wall (Zevgolis and Bourdeau, 2010)	71
2.11	Modes of instability: (a) overturning, (b) sliding, (c) bearing capacity, and (d) excessive eccentricity (Zevgolis and Bourdeau, 2010)	71

2.12	Schematic view of the experimental model (Ahmadi and Hajialilue-Bonab, 2012)	73
2.13	A sketch of the problem being considered (Ravichandran and Huggins, 2013)	78
2.14	Plane figures of (a) cell unit by a tire, (b) Tirecell, and (c) photo of Tirecell (Yoon <i>et al.</i> , 2008)	82
2.15	Shaking table test of retaining walls supporting loose backfill under extreme seismic shaking: comparison of (a) physical model before the test, with (b) deformed model after the test (Anastasopoulos <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	84
2.16	Schematic of the simulation domain and sample finite element mesh (Ravichandran and Huggins, 2013)	86
2.17	Typical genetic programming tree representing function $(2/x_1 + x_2)^2$ (Rezania and Javadi, 2007)	89
3.1	Flowchart of the research methodology	96
3.2	Kaolin used in this study	97
3.3	Tire derived aggregate used in this study	98
3.4	Plasticity chart (ASTM D-2487, 2006)	102
3.5	Use of Powdery TDA in water pycnometer	103
3.6	Materials and pycnometers used in specific gravity test	104
3.7	Triaxial samples: a) Kaolin, b) Kaolin-Shredded TDA	111
3.8	Preparation instrument of triaxial sample	112
3.9	Triaxial test apparatuses and equipment	112
3.10	Deviator stress for consolidated un-drained triaxial tests (Colas <i>et al.</i> , 2010)	113
3.11	Retaining wall dimension (Huang <i>et al.</i> , 1998)	116
3.12	Retaining wall dimension in this study	117
3.13	Physical modeling schematic scaled down at 1:20	119
3.14	Physical modeling box	119
3.15	A general shear failure surface for a strip footing (Terzaghi, 1943; Vesic, 1963; Terzaghi and Peck, 1967)	123
3.16	Failure type shape in physical modeling	123
3.17	Schematic of PLAXIS model	124
3.18	Generated Mesh	127

3.19	Mohr-Coulomb stress-strain criterion based on PLAXIS input parameters (PLAXIS, 2011)	129
4.1	Kaolin classification	137
4.2	plasticity chart (ASTM D-2487, 2006)	138
4.3	Difference between water pycnometer and alcohol pycnometer specific gravity for Kaolin-Powdery TDA mixture	141
4.4	Difference between water pycnometer and alcohol pycnometer specific gravity for Kaolin-Shredded TDA mixture	142
4.5	Difference between water pycnometer and alcohol pycnometer specific gravity for Kaolin-Granular (1-4 mm) TDA mixture	142
4.6	Difference between water pycnometer and alcohol pycnometer specific gravity for Kaolin-Granular (5-8 mm) TDA mixture	143
4.7	Comparison of difference percentage of Kaolin-TDA mixture in alcohol pycnometer specific gravity	143
4.8	Comparison of difference percentage of Kaolin-TDA mixture in water pycnometer specific gravity	144
4.9	Correlation between Specific Gravities of Kaolin-TDA mixture determined by means of water and alcohol pycnometers	145
4.10	Variation of dry density and moisture content in Kaolin-Powdery TDA mixtures	146
4.11	Variation of dry density and moisture content in Kaolin-Shredded TDA mixtures	147
4.12	Variation of dry density and moisture content in Kaolin-Granular (1-4 mm) TDA mixtures	147
4.13	Variation of dry density and moisture content in Kaolin-Granular (5-8 mm) TDA mixtures	148
4.14	Compaction curves of Kaolin-TDA mixtures	148
4.15	Effect of TDA percentage on maximum dry density of Kaolin-TDA mixture	150

4.16	Effect of TDA types on the <i>MDD</i> of Kaolin	151
4.17	Permeability of Kaolin-TDA mixture	152
4.18	Schematic of specific surface of the TDA particles	154
4.19	SEM test results of the material used in research	155
4.20	Deviator stress depending on strain in triaxial tests on Kaolin	156
4.21	Expanded sample after triaxial test	158
4.22	Shredded TDA and Kaolin-Shredded TDA mixture	159
4.23	Physical modeling test instruments	159
4.24	Variation of settlement with stress in Kaolin-Powdery TDA mixture	166
4.25	Variation of settlement with stress in Kaolin-Shredded TDA mixture	167
4.26	Variation of settlement with stress in Kaolin-Granular (1-4 mm) TDA mixture	167
4.27	Variation of settlement with stress in Kaolin-Granular (5-8 mm) TDA mixture	168
4.28	Variation of settlement with stress in backfill included 20% TDA	168
4.29	Variation of settlement with stress in backfill included 40% TDA	169
4.30	Variation of settlement with stress in backfill included 60% TDA	169
4.31	The stress-strain curve of Kaolin-Powdery TDA	170
4.32	The stress-strain curve of Kaolin-Shredded TDA	171
4.33	The stress-strain curve of Kaolin-Granular (1-4 mm) TDA	171
4.34	The stress-strain curve of Kaolin-Granular (5-8 mm) TDA	172
4.35	The stress-strain curve for 20% TDA mixed with Kaolin	172
4.36	The stress-strain curve for 40% TDA mixed with Kaolin	173
4.37	The stress-strain curve for 60% TDA mixed with Kaolin	173
4.38	Variation of wall displacement with stress in Kaolin-Powdery TDA mixture	175
4.39	Variation of wall displacement with stress in Kaolin-Shredded TDA mixture	175

4.40	Variation of wall displacement with stress in Kaolin-Granular (1-4 mm) TDA mixture	176
4.41	Variation of wall displacement with stress in Kaolin-Granular (5-8 mm) TDA mixture	176
4.42	Variation of wall displacement with stress in backfill included 20% rubber	177
4.43	Variation of wall displacement with stress in backfill included 40% rubber	177
4.44	Variation of settlement with stress in backfill included 60% rubber	178
4.45	The stress-strain curve of Kaolin-Powdery TDA	179
4.46	The stress-strain curve of Kaolin-Shredded TDA	179
4.47	The stress-strain curve of Kaolin-Granular (1-4 mm) TDA	180
4.48	The stress-strain curve of Kaolin-Granular (5-8 mm) TDA	180
4.49	The stress-strain curve for 20% TDA mixed with Kaolin	181
4.50	The stress-strain curve for 40% TDA mixed with Kaolin	181
4.51	The stress-strain curve for 60% TDA mixed with Kaolin	182
4.52	Maximum footing stress and Powdery TDA percentage	183
4.53	Maximum footing stress and Shredded TDA percentage	183
4.54	Maximum footing stress and Granular (1-4 mm) TDA percentage	184
4.55	Maximum footing stress and Granular (5-8 mm) TDA percentage	184
4.56	Maximum footing stress and 20% of different TDA types	185
4.57	Maximum footing stress and 40% of different TDA types	185
4.58	Maximum footing stress and 60 % of different TDA types	186
4.59	The relationship between maximum footing stress and Kaolin-TDA mixture	187
4.60	The relationship between maximum footing stress and types of TDA mixed with Kaolin	188
4.61	Micro-photography of Kaolin mixed with 60% Shredded TDA	189
4.62	Deformed mesh	190
4.63	Wall displacement	191
4.64	Maximum footing stress-wall displacement results of numerical modeling	192
4.65	Footing settlement	193



4.66	Maximum footing stress-footing settlement results of numerical modeling	194
4.67	Variation of maximum footing stress and footing settlement of Kaolin-Powdery TDA mixture in numerical and physical modeling	197
4.68	Variation of maximum footing stress and footing settlement of Kaolin-Shredded TDA mixture in numerical and physical modeling	198
4.69	Variation of maximum footing stress and footing settlement of Kaolin-Granular (1-4 mm) TDA mixture in numerical and physical modeling	198
4.70	Variation of maximum footing stress and footing Settlement of Kaolin-Granular (5-8 mm) TDA mixture in numerical and physical modeling	199
4.71	Variation of maximum footing stress and wall displacement of Kaolin-Powdery TDA mixture in numerical and physical modeling	200
4.72	Variation of maximum footing stress and wall displacement of Kaolin-Shredded TDA mixture in numerical and physical modeling	201
4.73	Variation of maximum footing stress and wall displacement of Kaolin-Granular (1-4 mm) TDA mixture in numerical and physical modeling	201
4.74	Variation of maximum footing stress and wall displacement of Kaolin-Granular (5-8 mm) TDA mixture in numerical and physical modeling	202
4.75	Training output (70%) for footing settlement	204
4.73	Testing output (30%) for footing settlement	204
4.74	Training output (70%) for wall displacement	206
4.75	Testing output (30%) for wall displacement	206
4.76	Comparison of footing settlement results obtained from MLR, Genetic Programming and physical modeling	208

4.77	Comparison of wall displacement results obtained from MLR, Genetic Programming and physical modeling	209
------	---	-----

## LIST OF SYMBOLS

$a$	-	Area of cross section of standpipe tube
$A$	-	Area of the Mold
$B$	-	Number of Blows
$C_u$	-	Uniformity Coefficient
$C_c$	-	Coefficient of gradation
$c$	-	Cohesion
$c'$	-	Effective Cohesion
$C_m$	-	Meniscus correction
$D$	-	Particle Diameter
$D_{10}$	-	Effective Particle Size
$D_{50}$	-	Average Particle Diameter
$D, d$	-	Diameter
$e$	-	Void Ratio
$e_0$	-	Initial Void Ratio
$E$	-	Modulus Elasticity
$E_{50}$	-	Modulus Elasticity of soil
$E_{(oed)}$	-	Elasticity Modulus of Oedometer
$F$	-	Force
ft	-	foot
$g$	-	Gravity = 9.81 m/s
$G$	-	Shear Modulus
$G_s$	-	Specific Gravity
$h$	-	Height
$h_1$	-	Initial water level in standpipe tube
$h_2$	-	Secondary water level in standpipe tube
$H$	-	Height of Hammer

$H_r$	-	Effective Depth
$I$	-	Moment of inertia
$k, k_x, k_y$	-	Hydraulic Conductivity, Permeability
$K$	-	Percent finer than D
$K$	-	Bulk Modulus
$K_0$	-	Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient at Rest
$K_a$	-	Active Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient
$K_p$	-	Passive Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficient
$L$	-	Length of sample
$l$	-	Length
$m$	-	Mass
$m$	-	Dry mass of soil
$m_o$	-	Initial Dry mass of sample
$M_{PW}$	-	Mass of Pycnometer and Water
$M_{PW}$	-	Mass of Pycnometer, Water and Material
$M_s$	-	Mass of oven dry soil
$N$	-	Number of Layer
$n$	-	Porosity
$P$	-	Pressure
$R_o'$	-	Reading in Dispersant
$R_d$	-	Modified Reading
$R_h$	-	True Reading
$R_h'$	-	Hydrometer Reading
$S_v$	-	Volume Strain
$t$	-	Time
$T$	-	Temperature
$V$	-	Volume of the Mold
$V_a$	-	Volume of Air
$V_v$	-	Volume of Voids
$V_w$	-	Volume of Water
$V_s$	-	Volume of solid
$W$	-	Weight of Hammer
$W_a$	-	Mass of Original sample
$W_b$	-	Mass of pycnometer filled with mixtures

$W_c$	-	Mass of pycnometer filled with alcohol
$x$	-	Displacement
$\sigma$	-	Stress
$\sigma_h$	-	Horizontal Stress
$\sigma_v$	-	Vertical Stress
$\epsilon$	-	Strain
$\epsilon_h$	-	Horizontal Strain
$\epsilon_v$	-	Vertical Strain
$\eta$	-	Viscosity of Water at 24.5° C
$\phi$	-	Internal Friction Angle
$\phi'$	-	Effective Internal Friction Angle
$\phi_f$	-	Interparticle Friction Angle
$\rho$	-	Density
$\rho_d$	-	Dry Density
$\rho_s$	-	Particle Density
$\rho_{sat}$	-	Saturation Density
$\gamma$	-	Unit Weight
$\gamma_{(d)}$	-	Dry Unit Weight
$\gamma_{(z.z.v)}$	-	Zero Air Unit Weight
$\nu$	-	Poisson's Ratio
$\omega$	-	Moisture Content
$\omega_{(opt)}$	-	Optimum Moisture Content
$\Psi$	-	Dilation Angle

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ASTM	-	American Society for Testing and Materials
BCR	-	Bearing Capacity Ratio
BS	-	British Standard
CCME	-	Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment
CH	-	Clay Soil with High Plasticity
CL	-	Clay Soil with Low Plasticity
CU	-	Consolidated Undrained Test
EDX	-	Energy Dispersive X-ray
FSR	-	Free Swell Ratio
GP	-	Genetic Programming
GRM	-	Gravel Rubber Mixture
IPCs	-	Inorganic Polymer Concretes
K100	-	100 Percent of Kaolin
K80S	-	80 Percent of Kaolin mixed with 20 Percent Sand
K80G	-	80 Percent of Kaolin mixed with 20 Percent Gravel
K60S	-	60 Percent of Kaolin mixed with 40 Percent Sand
K60G	-	60 Percent of Kaolin mixed with 40 Percent Gravel
K40S	-	40 Percent of Kaolin mixed with 60 Percent Sand
K40G	-	40 Percent of Kaolin mixed with 60 Percent Gravel
K80-P20	-	80 % Kaolin mixed with 20 % Powdery TDA
K60-P40	-	60 % Kaolin mixed with 40 % Powdery TDA
K40-P60	-	40 % Kaolin mixed with 60 % Powdery TDA
K80-SH20	-	80 % Kaolin mixed with 20 % Shredded TDA
K60-SH40	-	60 % Kaolin mixed with 40 % Shredded TDA
K40-SH60	-	40 % Kaolin mixed with 60 % Shredded TDA
K80-G (1-4mm)20	-	80 % Kaolin mixed with 20 % Granular (1-4mm)TDA
K60-G (1-4mm)40	-	60 % Kaolin mixed with 40 % Granular (1-4mm)TDA

K40-G (1-4mm)60	-	40 % Kaolin mixed with 60 % Granular (1-4mm)TDA
K80-G (5-8mm)20	-	80 % Kaolin mixed with 20 % Granular (5-8mm)TDA
K60-G (5-8mm)40	-	60 % Kaolin mixed with 40 % Granular (5-8mm)TDA
K40-G (5-8mm)60	-	40 % Kaolin mixed with 60 % Granular (5-8mm)TDA
kPa	-	Kilo Pascal
LL	-	Liquid Limit
LRFD	-	Load and Resistance Factor Design
LVDT	-	Linear Variable Differential Transducer
MC	-	Mohr-Coulomb
MDD	-	Maximum Dry Density
MEKP	-	Methyl Ethyl Ketone Peroxide
MH	-	Silt Soil with High Plasticity
ML	-	Silt Soil with Low Plasticity
MLR	-	Multiple Linear Regression
NCHRP	-	National Cooperative Highway Research Program
OMC	-	Optimum Moisture Content
PC	-	Polymer Concrete
pcf	-	Per Cubic Foot
pH	-	Power of Hydrogen
PI	-	Plasticity Index
PL	-	Plastic Limit
RMA	-	Rubber Manufacturers Association
SEM	-	Scanning Electron Microscope
SRM	-	Sand Rubber Mixture
TDA	-	Tire Derived Aggregate
TEM	-	Transmission Electron Microscope
UU	-	Unconsolidated Undrained Test
XRD	-	X-ray Diffraction

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>TITLE</b>	<b>PAGE</b>
A	Hydrometer test results	240
B	Compaction procedures	241
C	Micro photography of the mixtures	243
D	Physical modeling images	250
E	PLAXIS outputs	253
F	Genetic programming output	256



## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Background of the Study

Tire Derived Aggregate (TDA) has a low unit weight, is highly permeable and insulating. These characteristics make it an excellent choice as fill material for embankments build on unstable ground and also for landslide stabilization. TDA can be a proper backfill material for retaining walls and bridge abutments. Moreover, it may be use for insulation against frost penetration beneath roads, and as a drainage layers in landfills. In addition, the reuse of waste tires avoids problems associated with their disposal as well as stockpiling as scrap tires.

Literatures have shown that combining TDA and cohesive soil brings about additional benefits. For instance, adding TDA to cohesive soil reduces the need for sand mining and creates more sustainable construction. Kaolin-TDA mixtures are lightweight and create less horizontal pressure against the back of a retaining wall. In addition to that, the mixture may sustain and provide effective drainage, reduce water pressure, and insulation against frost damage to walls. Interestingly, TDA has been tested by the University of Maine and used in the construction of the Merrymeeting Bridge in Maine (Whetten *et al.*, 1997; Humphrey *et al.*, 1998; Tweedie *et al.*, 1998; Cosgrove and Humphrey, 1999). However, the use of shredded tires as a way to reduce pressure on rigid frame bridges remains largely untested.

In one study, series of triaxial tests were conducted on a mixture of tires chips and Ottawa sand (Ahmed, 1993). The results of this study demonstrated that apparent cohesion was increased while friction angle decreased when more tire chips were added to the mixture. According to Edil and Bosscher (1994) a formulation consisting of 25% chips (size 20 to 80mm) and 75% sand resulted in a mixture with superior shear strength at low normal stresses. More so, Lee *et al.* (1999) found that dilatancy behavior in TDA-sand was influenced by the stress strain relationship between pure sand and pure chips. In a similar study, Rao and Dutta (2006) discovered that the stress strain volumes of sand and tire chip mixtures exhibited various responses in triaxial compression tests. The results of their study also indicated that adding tire chips to sand mixtures may lead to a slight increase in frictional angles. The work of Dutta and Rao (2009) showed that triaxial testing on TDA mixed with soil was better able to absorb energy and decrease stress when the aspect ratio, chip content and confining pressure were increased.

Other researchers have also investigated on the performance of mixtures composed of sand and waste tires. For instance, Yoon *et al.* (2008) conducted plate load tests on sand reinforced with TDA. The sand samples used in Yoon's study had relative densities of 40%, 50%, and 70%. They found that the Bearing Capacity Ratio (*BCR*) of loose sand improved when the volume of TDA was added. However, they also found that *BCR* decreased when density increased. According to the study of Tafreshi and Norouzi (2012), the results of plate load tests on square footing resting on soil mixed with TDA was also significantly improved. Overall, direct shear tests on material containing scrap tire chips demonstrate that the addition of tire chips increases shear strength (Naval *et al.*, 2013).

This study investigates Geotechnical properties of Kaolin-TDA mixture as backfill material. Also, it compares the effect of various TDA shapes and percentages in Kaolin. Physical modeling of the retaining wall is conducted in the laboratory using normal and modified soil as backfill. The result of physical modeling was verified by the numerical modeling (PLAXIS 2D) for retaining wall using the modified soil as backfill. Tables and charts of modified soil properties are established that may be used in retaining wall design.

## 1.2 Problem Statement

Backfill material with poor permeability used in reinforced structure is of great concern as it has resulted in maintenance issues and structural failures (Mitchell and Zornberg, 1995). Often, materials with low permeability are used in inexpensive wall systems. These systems are vulnerable to deformation and may fail. If fine materials such as silt or clay are used as backfill, then any water pressure in the regions in front of, behind, or underneath the backfilled area must be collected and removed through proper filtration and drainage methods. Moreover, the region above the backfilled area must be waterproofed using geomembrane or a geosynthetic clay liner. The process is necessary so as to prevent surface water from entering the backfilled area (Koerner and Soong, 2001). On the other hand, clay soils have a plastic behavior. Therefore, combining the elastic properties of shredded tires with the plastic properties of clay soil in a single mixture creates a perfectly Elasto-plasticity backfill material for retaining walls.

Moreover, reutilizing solid wastes like TDA with cohesive soil as backfill material would reduce sand mining and preserve the environment to achieve sustainable construction. The proper disposal of waste tires is a global issue as an environmental problem as the secondary problem statement of this study. Scrap tires cannot be easily disposed of by burning because they release pollutants into the water and air when they are set alight. When disposed of in landfills, waste tires float to the surface and compromise the surface of the landfill providing a way for rodents, insects and water to enter the landfill (Figure 1.1). Stockpile of scrap tires represents a threat to environment and public health. Fortunately, scrap tires can be reused in several ways such as creating tire chips for lightweight fill (Humphrey and Manion, 1992; Foose *et al.*, 1996; Yoon *et al.*, 2008). In this study, the benefits of Kaolin-TDA mixtures as a backfill material for retaining walls were examined.



**Figure 1.1:** Stockpiling and burning the waste tire (Environmental Engineering and Contracting, 2002)

### 1.3 Objectives of the Study

The aim of this study is to evaluate the performance of Kaolin-TDA mixture as a backfill material on reinforced polymer concrete retaining wall. The following objectives are identified in order to achieve this aim:

- i. To identify material characteristics of Kaolin-TDA mixture including different shapes, sizes and amount of the TDA.
- ii. To determine the performance of the polymer concrete retaining wall using different backfill material prepared from the Kaolin-TDA mixtures based on physical modeling.
- iii. To verify the physical modeling test results with numerical simulation using PLAXIS 2D, Genetic Programming (GP) and developing an equation based on Multiple Linear Regressions.

#### **1.4 Scope of the Study**

The study investigates the geotechnical properties of Kaolin pre-admixed with the TDA, for the purpose of use as a backfill material for polymer concrete retaining wall. The scopes of the study are as follows:

- i. Test samples were mixed at optimum moisture content obtained from compaction test
- ii. The basic tests were performed based on the ASTM (1992) and BS 1377-(1990).
- iii. Physical modeling tests of the retaining wall were performed in the laboratory using Kaolin and Kaolin-TDA mixtures as backfill material.
- iv. The results of physical modeling were verified using commercial numerical modeling program PLAXIS 2-D (based on Mohr-Coulomb model), Genetic Programming and Multiple Linear Regression while, active force was considered for retaining wall and backfill.
- v. Physical modeling tests were conducted in dry condition because the main reason for conducting the physical modeling was investigating the use of lightweight material as backfill. However, permeability tests were conducted to show the increase in permeability for different mixtures.
- vi. In this study the mixing ratio of 0:100, 20:80, 40:60 and 60:40 were chosen to conduct the laboratory tests, physical modeling tests and numerical modeling.

#### **1.5 Significance of the Study**

Consideration of the performance of Kaolin-TDA mixture as backfill material on the prepared polymer concrete retaining wall is the most important purpose of this investigation. TDA is a light weight material while the use of this waste in geotechnical engineering may decrease weight of structures. Hence, Kaolin-TDA mixtures prevent overturning in retaining wall because of low horizontal pressure. In addition, removal of waste tire as integral parts of solid waste of a nation is a good

solution for saving the environment. The removal can result in because of preventing of burning and stockpiling the tire while, thus adding economic value to it.

## **1.6 Thesis Organization**

The thesis consists of five chapters. Chapter 1 presents the background, problem statements, objectives, scope, and significance of this research. Chapter 2 reviews previous studies related to this study. Topics such as clay soil properties, shredded tire properties, soil-shredded tire applications, fill materials, recycled materials, backfill material and retaining wall, polymer concrete, physical modeling and numerical modeling were discussed. Chapter 3 describes the research methodology including various laboratory tests carried out. The tests included compaction test, permeability test and triaxial test on Kaolin mixed with different percentages and shapes of Tire Derived Aggregate (TDA). Besides physical modeling, equipment and procedures of the loading were illustrated. The design of the box and steel strip footing dimensions and instrumentation were addressed. In Chapter 4, laboratory test results and the results from the physical modeling tests and numerical simulations are presented and discussed. The results cover several issues such as the settlement of the improved ground, retaining wall displacement at failure and backfill failure modes. The results from triaxial tests on the backfill materials were also presented. The effects of shape of TDA, percentage of TDA and arrangement of the material particles in mixtures were presented. Furthermore, comparison between the Load-displacement of experimental tests with numerical are performed. Consequently, the equations were created for predicting the footing settlement and wall displacement. Finally, Chapter 5 lists the conclusions and recommendations for future research on Kaolin-TDA mixture as a backfill material.

## REFERENCES

- Abend, S., and Lagaly, G. (2000). Solgel Transitions of Sodium Montmorillonite Dispersions. *Applied Clay Science*. 16(3-4): 201–227.
- Abu-Zreig, M. M., Al-Akhras, N. M. and Atom, M. F. (2001). Influence of Heat Treatment on the Behavior of Clayey Soils. *Applied Clay Science*. 20(3): 129–135.
- Ahmadabadi, M. and Ghanbari, A. (2009). New Procedure for Active Earth Pressure Calculation in Retaining Walls with Reinforced Cohesive-Frictional Backfill. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 27(6): 456–463.
- Ahmadi, H., and Hajialilue-Bonab, M. (2012). Experimental and Analytical Investigations on Bearing Capacity of Strip Footing in Reinforced Sand Backfills and Flexible Retaining Wall. *Acta Geotechnica*. 7(4): 357–373.
- Ahmed, I. (1992). *Laboratory Study on Properties of Rubber Soils. Interim Report*.
- Ahmed, I. (1993). *Laboratory Study on Properties of Rubber-Soils. Final Report*.
- Ahmed, I. and Lovell, C. (1992). Use of Waste Materials in Highway Construction, State of the Practice and Evaluation of Selected Waste Products. *Transportation Research Record No. 1345. Washington, DC: Transportation Research Board*.
- Ahmed, I. and Lovell, C. W. (1993). Rubber Soils as Lightweight Geomaterials. *Transportation research record*.
- Akbulut, S., Arasan, S. and Kalkan, E. (2007). Modification of Clayey Soils Using Scrap Tire Rubber and Synthetic Fibers. *Applied clay science*. 38: 23–32.
- Alavi A. H, Ameri M, Gandomi A. H and Mirzahosseini M R (2011) Formulation of flow number of asphalt mixes using a hybrid computational method; Construction Building. Material. 25(3): 1338–1355.
- Al-Hattamleh, O. and Muhunthan, B. (2006). Numerical Procedures for Deformation Calculations in Reinforced Soil Walls. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 24(1): 52–57.
- Allen, T. M., Pierce, L. M. and Weston, J. T. (2003). Evaluation of the Use of Scrap Tires in Transportation Related Applications in the State of Washington.

- Al-Tabaa, A. and Wood, D. M. (1987). Some Measurements of the Permeability of Kaolin. *Geotechnique*. 499–503.
- Al-Tabbaa, A., and Aravinthan, T. (1998). Natural Clay-Shredded Tire Mixtures as Landfill Barrier Materials. *Waste Management*. 18: 9–16.
- American Society For Testing and Materials C127. (2012). Standard Test Method for Density, Relative Density (Specific Gravity), and Absorption. *American Society for Testing and Materials*. 2–7.
- American Society For Testing and Materials D 3080. (2003). Standard Test Method for Direct Shear Test of Soils Under Consolidated Drained Conditions. *American Society For Testing and Materials, West Conshohocken*. 347–352.
- American Society For Testing and Materials D 6270. (1998). Standard Practice for Use of Scrap Tires in Civil Engineering Applications. *American Society for Testing and Materials, W. Conshohocken*.
- American Society For Testing and Materials D2487. (2006). Standard Practice for Classification of Soils for Engineering Purposes (Unified Soil Classification System). *American Society for Testing and Materials*.
- American Society For Testing and Materials D5550. (2006). Standard Test Method for Specific Gravity of Soil Solids by Gas Pycnometer. *American Society for Testing and Materials*. 1–5.
- American Society For Testing and Materials D 6270. (2012). Standard Practice for Use of Scrap Tires in Civil Engineering Applications. *American Society for Testing and Materials*. 1–22.
- American Society For Testing and Materials D 854. (2010). Standard Test Methods for Specific Gravity of Soil Solids by Water Pycnometer. *American Society for Testing and Materials*. 1–8.
- Anastasopoulos, I., Georgarakos, T., Georgiannou, V., Drosos, V. and Kourkoulis, R. (2010). Seismic Performance of Bar-mat Reinforced-Soil Retaining Wall: Shaking Table Testing Versus Numerical Analysis with Modified Kinematic Hardening Constitutive Model. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*, 30(10): 1089–1105.
- Anderson, D. G., Martin, G. R., Lam, I. P. and Wang, J. N. (2008). *Seismic Analysis and Design of Retaining Walls, Buried Structures, Slopes, and Embankments* (pp. 12–70).
- Arellano Aguilar, R., Burciaga Díaz, O. and Escalante García, J. (2010). Lightweight Concretes of Activated Metakaolin-Fly Ash Binders, with Blast Furnace Slag Aggregates. *Construction and Building Materials*. 24(7): 1166–1175.
- Attom, M. (2006). The Use of Shredded Waste Tires to Improve the Geotechnical Engineering Properties of Sands. *Environmental Geology*. 49: 497–503.



- Baker, R. and Klein, Y. (2004). An Integrated Limiting Equilibrium Approach for Design of Reinforced Soil Retaining Structures, Optimal Design. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 22(6): 455–479.
- Bansal, R. S. and Naval, S. (2013). Application Of Waste Tyre Rubber In Granular Soils. *International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT)*. 2(3): 1–7.
- Bardet, J. P. (1997). *Experimental Soil Mechanics*. Simon & Schuster / A Vicom Company Upper Saddle River, New Jersey 07458.
- Bathurst, Allen, T. M. and Walters, D. L. (2005). Reinforcement Loads in Geosynthetic Walls and the Case for a New Working Stress Design Method. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 23(4): 287–322.
- Bathurst, R., Walters, D., Hatami, K. and Allen, T. (2001). Full-Scale Performance Testing and Numerical Modelling of Reinforced Soil Retaining Walls. *Special Invited Lecture, International symposium on earth reinforcement, Kyushu, Fukuoka, Japan*. 2: 777–799.
- Bathurst, R., Walters, D., Vlachopoulos, P. and Allen, T. (2000). Full Scale Testing of Geosynthetic Reinforced Walls. *ASCE special publication No. 103, Advances in Transportation and Geo-Environmental Systems Using Geosynthetics, Denver*, 201–217.
- Berilgen, S. A., Berilgen, M. M. and Ozaydin, İ. K. (2006). *Compression and Permeability Relationships in High Water Content Clays*, 31, 249–261.
- Bernal, A., Lovell, C. W. and Salgado, R. (1996). *Laboratory Study on the Use of Tire Shreds and Rubber-Sand in Backfills and Reinforced Soil Applications*.
- Bernal, A., Salgado, R., Swan Jr, R. H. and Lovell, C. W. (1997). Interaction Between Tire Shreds, Rubber-Sand and Geosynthetics. *GeoSyntec International*. 4(6): 623–643.
- Bernal, A., Salgado, R., Swan, R. H., & Lovell, C. W. (1997). Interaction between tire shreds, rubber–sand and geosynthetics. *Geosynthetics International*, 4(6): 623–643.
- Bhikshma, V., Rao, K. J. and Balaji, B. (2010). An Experimental Study on Behavior of Polymer Cement Concrete. *Asian Journal of Civil Engineering (Building and Housing)*. 11(5): 563–573.
- Boivin, P., Shaffer, B., Temgoua, E., Gratier, M. and Steinman, G. (2006). Assessment of Soil Compaction Using Soil Shrinkage Modelling, Experimental Data and Perspectives. *Soil & Tillage Research*. 88: 65–79.
- Bosscher, P.J. and Edil, T. B. (1995). *Design of highway embankments using tire chips*.

- Bosscher, P.J., Edil, T. B. and Eldin, N. N. (1992). Construction and Performance of a Shredded Waste Tire Test Emankment. *Transportation Research Record 1345, TRB, National Research Council, Washington DC.* 44–52.
- Bosscher, P.J., Edil, T. B. and Kuraoka, S. (1997). Design of Highway Embankments Using Tire Chips. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering.* 123(4): 295–304.
- Bransby, P. L. and Milligan, G. W. E. (1975). Soil Deformations Near Cantilever Sheet Pile Walls. *Geotechnique.* 25(2): 175–195.
- Bressette. (1984). *Used Tire Material as an Alternative Permeable Aggregate.*
- Brown, R., Badruddin, M., Mohd, B., Razman, M. and Ahmed, K. (2011). Compaction Parameters of Kaolin Clay Modified with Palm Oil Fuel Ash as Landfill Liner. *First Conference on Clean Energy and Technology CET.* (pp. 199–204). IEEE.
- British Standard 1377-1. (1990). Methods of test for Soils for civil engineering purposes Part 1: General Requirements and Sample Preparation. *British Standard,* (1).
- British Standard 1377-2. (1990). Methods of test for Soils for civil engineering purposes Part 2: Classification tests. *British Standard,* (2).
- British Standard 1377-4. (1990). Methods of test for Soils for civil engineering purposes Part 4: Compaction-related tests. *British Standard,* (4).
- British Standard 1377-5. (1990). Methods of test for Soils for civil engineering purposes Part 5: Compressibility, permeability and durability tests. *British Standard,* (5).
- Budhu, M. (2007). *Soil Mechanics and Foundations.* 2th Edition. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Burland, J. B. (1990). On the Compressibility and Shear Strength of Natural Clays. *Geotechnique.* 40(3): 329–378.
- California Test 206. (1998). Method of Test for Specific Gravity and Absorption of Coarse Aggregate. *Depatment of Transpotation,* (September), 1–3.
- California Test 209. (2011). Method of Test for Specific Gravity of Soil. *Department of Transpotation,* (July), 1–5.
- Caltabiano, S., Cascone, E. and Maueri, M. (2000). Seismic Stability of Retaining Walls with Surcharge. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering.* 20:469–476.
- Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment. (1999). Summary of existing Canadian Environmental Quality Guide-lines (EQGs).

- Caquot, A. and Kerisel, F. (1948). Tables for the Calculation of Passive Pressure, Active Pressure and Bearing Capacity of Foundations. *Gauthier-Villars, Paris*.
- Cecich, V., Gonzales, L., Hoisaeter, A., Williams, J. and Reddy, K. (1996). Use of Shredded Tires as Lightweight Backfill Material for Retaining Structures. *Waste Management and Research*. 14: 433–451.
- Cetin, H., Fener, M. and Gunaydin, O. (2006). Geotechnical Properties of Tire-Cohesive Clayey Soil Mixtures as a Fill Material. *Engineering Geology*. 88: 110–120.
- Cetin, H., Fener, M., Söylemez, M. and Günaydin, O. (2007). Soil Structure Changes During Compaction of a Cohesive Soil. 92: 38–48.
- Chen, Z., Chen, R. H. and Lin, S. S. (2000). A Nonlinear Homogenized Model Applicable to Reinforced Soil Analysis. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 18(6): 349–366.
- Chen, Z. and He, C. (2000). The Prototype Measurement of Retaining Wall Reinforced by a New Type of Wedgy Tied-Reinforcement. *Chinese Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*. 22(3): 289–293.
- Chen, Z., Hung, W. Y., Chang, C. C., Chen, Y. J. and Lee, C. J. (2007). Centrifuge Modeling Test of a Geotextile-Reinforced Wall with a Very Wet Clayey Backfill. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 25(6): 346–359.
- Chen, Z. and Li, S. (1998). Evaluation of Active Earth Pressure by the Generalized Method of Slices. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. 35: 591–599.
- Cheng, Y. M. (2003). Seismic Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficients for C-4 Soils by Slip Line Method. *Computers and Geotechnics*. 30: 661–670.
- Christ, M. and Park, J. (2010). Laboratory Determination of Strength Properties of Frozen Rubber-Sand Mixtures. *Cold Regions Science and Technology*. 60(2): 169–175.
- Clough, G. W. and Duncan, J. M. (1991). *Earth Pressures in Foundation Engineering*. (H. Y. Fang, Ed.) (2th Edition, pp. 223–235). New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- Cokca, E. and Yilmaz, Z. (2004). Use of Rubber and Bentonite Added Fly Ash as a Liner Material. *Waste Management*. 24: 153–164.
- Colas, A., Morel, J. and Garnier, D. (2010). Full-Scale Field Trials to Assess Dry-Stone Retaining Wall Stability. *Engineering Structures*. 32: 1215–1222.
- Collin, J. G. (2001). Lessons learned from a segmental retaining wall failure. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 19(7): 445–454.

- Collins, K. J., Jensen, A. C. and Albert, S. (1995). A Review of Waste Tire Utilization in the Marine Environment. *Chemistry and Ecology*. 10: 205– 216.
- Cosgrove, T. A. and Humphrey, D. N. (1999). *Field Performance of Two Tire Shred Fills in Topsham, Maine*. Orono, Maine.
- Das, B. M. (2006). *Principles of Geotechnical Engineering*. 6th Edition. Sacramento: California State University, Sacramento.
- Das, B. M and Puri, V. K. (1996). Static and Dynamic Active Earth Pressure. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*. 14: 353–366.
- Dash, S. K., Foose, G. J., Krishnaswamy, N. R. and Rajagopal, K. (2001). Bearing Capacities of Strip Footings Supported on Geocell-Reinforced Sand. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 19: 235–256.
- Diamond, S. (1971). Microstructure and Pore Structure of Impact-Compacted Clays. *Clays and Clay Minerals*. 19: 239–249.
- Dickson, T. H., Dwyer, D. F. and Humphrey, D. N. (2001). Prototypes Tire-Shred Embankment Construction. *Transportation Research Record 1755, TRB, National Research Council, Washington, DC*. 160–167.
- Doré, G., Konrad, J. M., Roy, M. and Rioux, N. (1995). Use of alternative materials in pavement frost protection, Material characteristics and performance modeling. *Transportation Research Record 1481, Transportation Research Board, Washington, D.C*, 63–74.
- Downs, L. A., Humphrey, D. N., Katz, L. E. and Rock, C. A. (1996). Water Quality Effects of Using Tire Chips Below the Groundwater Table. *Technical Services Division, Maine Department of Transportation, Augusta, Maine*, 324.
- Duncan, J. M., Clough, G. W. and Ebeling, R. M. (1990). Behavior and Design of Gravity Earth Retaining Structures. *Geotechnical Special Publication*. 25: 251–277.
- Dutta, R. K. and Rao, G. V. (2009). Regression Models for Predicting the Behavior of Sand Mixed with Tire Chips. *J.Ross Publishing*, 3(1).
- Ealding, W. (1992). *Leachable Metals in Scrap Tires. Final Report, Virginia Department of Transportation*.
- Eaton, R., Roberts, R. and Humphrey, D. N. (1994). Gravel Road Test Sections Insulated with Scrap Tire Chips in Construction and First Year's Results. *Hanover, NH: US Army Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory*.
- Ebeling, R. and Morrison, E. (1993). *The Seismic Design of Waterfront Retaining Structures* (p. 336). California.
- Ecoflex (2006). Scour Protection Mat and Head and Wing Walls. *Shoalhaven, NSW*.

- Edeskär, T. (2004). *Technical and Environmental Properties of Tire Shreds Focusing on Ground Engineering Applications*.
- Edil, T. and Bosscher, P. J. (1994). Engineering Properties of Tire Chips and Soil Mixtures. *Geotechnical Testing Journal*. 453–464.
- Edil, Bosscher, P. J. and Eldin, N. N. (1990). Development of Engineering Criteria for Shredded or Whole Tires in Highway Applications. *Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Wisconsin-Madison*.
- Edil, T. (2002). *Mechanical Properties and Mass Behavior of Shredded Tire-Soil Mixtures* (pp. 17–32). Tokyo.
- Edil, T. (2004). A Review of Mechanical and Chemical Properties of Shredded Tires and Soil Mixtures. *Recycled Materials in Geotechnics, ASCE*, 1–21.
- Edil, T. and Bosscher, P. J. (1992). *Development of Engineering Criteria for Shredded Waste Tires in Highway Applications*.
- Edinçliler, A., Baykal, G. and Dengili, K. (2004). Determination of Static and Dynamic Behavior of Recycled Materials for Highways. *Resources Conservation & Recycling*, 42, 223–237.
- Edinçliler, A., Baykal, G. and Saygılı, A. (2010). Influence of Different Processing Techniques on the Mechanical Properties of Used Tires in Embankment Construction. *Waste Management*. 30: 1073–1080.
- Eldin, N. N. and Piekarski, J. A. (1993). Scrap Tires Management and Economics. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*. 119(6): 1217–1232.
- Eldin, N. N. and Senouci, A. B. (1992). Use of Scrap Tires in Road Construction. *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*. 118: 561–576.
- El-Emam, M. M. and Bathurst, R. J. (2007). Influence of Reinforcement Parameters on the Seismic Response of Reduced-Scale Reinforced Soil Retaining Walls. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 25(1): 33–49.
- Elias, V. and Christopher, B. (2001). *Mechanically Stabilized Earth Walls and Reinforced Soil Slopes Design and Construction Guidelines*. Washington DC, FHWA SA96-071.
- Elias, V., Welsh, J., Warren, J. and Lukas, R. (1998). *Ground Improvement Technical Summaries*.
- Engstrom, G. and Lamb, R. (1994). *Using Shredded Waste Tires as a Lightweight Fill Material for Road Subgrades*.
- Environmental Engineering and Contracting. (2002). *Tire Pile Fires*. Santa Ana California.

- Florida Method 5-559. (2011). Florida Method of Test for Testing of Ground Tire Rubber (May), 2–8.
- Foose. (1993). *Shear Strength of Sand Reinforced with Shredded Waste Tires*. University of Wisconsin, Madison.
- Foose, G., Benson, C. and Bosscher, P. (1996). Sand Reinforced with Shredded Waste Tires. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*. 122(9): 760–767.
- Gacke, S., Lee, M. and Boyd, N. (1997). Field Performance and Mitigation of Shredded Tire Embankment. *Transportation Research Record 1577, Transportation Research Board, Washington, D.C.* 81–89.
- Garcia, M., Pando, M. A. and Tempest, B. (2011). Tire Derived Aggregates as a Sustainable Recycled Material for Retaining Wall Backfills. *ICSDC* (pp. 542–552). ASCE.
- Garg. (1988). *Earth Pressure Behind Retaining Wall with Reinforced Backfill*. University of Roorkee, at Roorkee, India.
- Garg, K. G. (1998). Retaining Wall with Reinforced Backfill - a Case Study. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 16: 135–149.
- Garga, V. K. and O’Shaughnessy, V. (2000). Tire-Reinforced Earth Fill: Construction of a Test Fill, Performance and Retaining Wall Design. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. 37: 75–96.
- GeoSyntec Consultants. (2008). Guidance Manual for Engineering Uses of Scrap Tires. *GeoSyntec Consultants*, 182.
- Ghani, N., Ahmad, F., Hamir, R. and Mohd, S. (2003). Shredded Tire Based Geocomposite as Drainage and Load. *Proceedings of the Eastern Asia Society for Transportation Studies* (Vol. 4, pp. 354–363).
- Ghazavi, M. (2004). Shear Strength Characteristics of Sand Mixed with Granular Rubber. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*. 22: 401–416.
- Gibson, A. D. (1997). *Physical Scale Modeling of Geotechnical Structures at one-G* (p. 413). Report no. SML 97-01. Pasadena, CA: California Institute of Technology.
- Gnanapragasam, G. (2000). Active Earth Pressure in Cohesive Soils with an Inclined Ground Surface. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. 37: 171–177.
- Gorninski, J. P., Dal Molin, D. C. and Kazmierczak, C. S. (2004). Study of the Modulus of Elasticity of Polymer Concrete Compounds and Comparative Assessment of Polymer Concrete and Portland Cement Concrete. *Cement and Concrete Research*. 34(11): 2091–2095.

- Grace, H. P. (1953). Resistance and Compressibility of Filter Cakes. *Chemical Engineering Programming*. 49: 367–377.
- Grasso, S. Ñ. and Maugeri, M. (2009). The Road Map for Seismic Risk Analysis in a Mediterranean City. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*. 29: 1034–1045.
- Guang-yun, Y., Yong-Sheng, B., Ping, S. and Rui-Ping, G. (2009). Mechanical Performance of a Double-Face Reinforced Retaining Wall in an Area Disturbed by Mining. *Mining Science and Technology (China)*. 19(1): 36–39.
- Hataf, N. and Rahimi, M. M. (2006). Experimental Investigation of Bearing Capacity of Sand Reinforced with Randomly Distributed Tire Shreds. *Construction and Building Material*. 20: 910–916.
- Hatami, K. and Bathurst, R. J. (2000). Effect of Structural Design on Fundamental Frequency of Reinforced-Soil Retaining Walls. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*. 19: 137–157.
- Hausmann, M. R. and Lee, K. (1978). Rigid Model Wall with Soil Reinforcement. *Proceeding Symposium on Earth Reinforcement. ASCE*, 400–427.
- Hazarika, H. and Yasuhara, K. (2008). Tire Derived Recycle Material as Earthquake Resistant Geosynthetic. *The First Pan American Geosynthetics Conference, Cancun, Mexico*.
- Hazarika, H., Yasuhara, K., Karmokar, A. K. and Mitarai, Y. (2007). Shaking Table Test on Liquefaction Prevention Using Tire Chips and Sand Mixture, Scrap Tire Derived Geomaterials-Opportunities and Challenges. *Taylor and Francis, London*, 215–222.
- Hazarika, H., Yasuhara, K., Kikuchi, Y., Karmokar, A. K. and Mitarai, Y. (2010). Multifaceted Potentials of Tire-Derived Three Dimensional Geosynthetics in Geotechnical Applications and Their Evaluation. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 28(3): 303–315.
- Head, K. H. (1998). *Manual of Soil Laboratory Testing., Volume 2: Permeability, Shear Strength and Compressibility Tests* (2th Edition). Pentech Press, London.
- Hejazi, S. M., Sheikhzadeh, M., Abtahi, S. M. and Zadhoush, A. (2012). A Simple Review of Soil Reinforcement by Using Natural and Synthetic Fibers. *Construction and Building Materials*. 30: 100–116.
- Helwany, S. (2007). *Applied Soil Mechanics with ABAQUS Applications* (Vol. 2). New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Ho, S. K. and Rowe, R. K. (1997). Effect of Wall Geometry on the Behaviour of Reinforced Soil. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes Geomembranes*, 14, 521–541.

- Holtz, R. D. (1989). *Treatment of Problem Foundations for Highway Embankments*. Washington DC.
- Holtz, R. D. and Kovacs, W. D. (1981). *An Introduction to Geotechnical Engineering* (p. 733). New Jersey.
- Hoppe, E. J. (1994). *Field Study of Shredded-Tire Embankment*. Richmond.
- Horpibulsuk, S., Yangsukkaseam, N., Chinkulkijniwat, A. and Jun, Y. (2011). Compressibility and Permeability of Bangkok Clay Compared with Kaolinite and Bentonite. *Applied Clay Science*. 52(1-2): 150–159.
- Horpibulsuk, Shibuya, S., Fuenkajorn, K. and Katkan, W. (2007). Assessment of Engineering Properties of Bangkok Clay. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. 44(2): 173–187.
- Houlsby, G. T. and Wroth, C. P. (1982). Direct Solution of Plasticity Problems in Soils by the Method of Characteristics. *Proceeding 4th International Conference on Numerical Method in Geomechanics* (1059–1071). Edmonton, Canada.
- Hua, Z. K. and Shen, C. K. (1987). Lateral Earth Pressure on Retaining Structure with Anchor Plates. *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*. 113(3): 189–201.
- Huang and Wu, S. H. (2006). Simplified Approach for Assessing Seismic Displacements of Soil Retaining Walls, Geosynthetic-Reinforced Modular Block walls. *Geosynthetics International*. 13(6): 219–233.
- Huang, Y., Chen, C., Huang, C., Kuo, Y. and Chen, K. (1998). Database for Retaining Wall Design. *Advances in Engineering Software*. 29(7): 619–626.
- Huggins, E. L. (2012). *Numerical and Reliability Analysis of Gravity Cantilever Retaining Walls Backfill with Shredded Tires Subjected to Seismic Loads*. Clemson University.
- Humphrey, D. N. (1995). Civil Engineering Applications of Chipped Tires. *North Platte, Nebraska*.
- Humphrey, D. N. (1996). Investigation of Exothermic Reaction in Tire Shred Fill Located on SR 100 in Ilwaco, Washington. *Consulting Report to FHWA, US Department of Transportation*.
- Humphrey, D. N. (1999). Civil Engineering Application of Tire Shreds. *The Tire Industry Conference Hilton Head, South Carolina* (1–16).
- Humphrey, D. N. (2003). *Civil Engineering Applications of Tire Shreds. Report to California Integrated Waste Management Board, California Environmental Protection Agency*.



- Humphrey, D. N. (2007). Tire Derived Aggregate as Lightweight Fill for Embankments and Retaining Walls. *International Workshop on Scrap Tire derived Geomaterials—Opportunities and Challenges*. Kanto Branch of Japanese Geotechnical Society. 56–81.
- Humphrey, D. N., Dunn, P. A. and Merfeld, P. S. (2000). Tire Shred Save Money for Maine. *TR News*. 206: 42–44.
- Humphrey, D. N. and Eaton, R. A. (1993). Tire Chips as Subgrade Insulation. *Proceedings of Recovery and Effective Reuse of Discarded Materials and By-Products for Construction of Highway Facilities*. Federal Highway Administration, Denver (555–568).
- Humphrey, D. N. and Katz, L. E. (2000). Five-Year Study of the Water Quality Effects of Tire Shreds Placed Above The Water Table.
- Humphrey, D. N. and Katz, L. E. (2001). Field Study of Water Quality Effects of Tire Shreds Placed below the Water Table. *Proceedings of the Conference on Beneficial Use of Recycled Materials in Transportation Applications, Air and Waste Management Association*. Pittsburgh, PA.
- Humphrey, D. N., Katz, L. E. and Blumenthal, M. (1997). Water Quality Effects of Tire Chip Fills Placed Above the Groundwater Table. *Testing Soil Mixed with Waste or Recycled Materials, ASTM STP 1275*, Mark A. Wasemiller and Keith B. Hoddinott, Eds., American Society for Testing and Materials, 299–313.
- Humphrey, D. N. and Manion, W. (1992). Properties of Tire Chips for Lightweight Fill, in Grouting. *Soil Improvement and Geosynthetics*. ASCE. New York. 2: 1344–1355.
- Humphrey, D. N. and Nickels, W. (1994). *Tire Chips as Subgrade Insulation and Lightweight Fill*.
- Humphrey, D. N. and Sandford, T. C. (1993). Tire Chips as Lightweight Subgrade Fill and Retaining Wall Backfill. *Proceedings of the Symposium on Recovery and Effective Reuse of Discarded Materials and By-Products for Construction of Highway Facilities*. Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC (87–99).
- Humphrey, D. N. and Tweedie, J. J. (2002). Tire Shreds as Lightweight Fill for Retaining Walls—Results of Full Scale Field Trials. *Proceeding of the International Workshop on Lightweight Geomaterials, Tokyo, Japan* (261–268).
- Humphrey, D. N., Whetten, N., Weaver, J., Recker, K. and Cosgrove, T. A. (1998). Tire Shreds as Lightweight Fill for Embankments and Retaining Walls. *Recycled Materials in Geotechnical Applications, Geotechnical Special Publications*. (79): 51–65.
- Humphrey, Sandford, T., Michelle, M., Cribbs, M. and Manion, W. (1993). Shear Strength and Compressibility of Tire Chips for Use as Retaining Wall Backfill.

*Transportation Research Record 1422, TRB, National Research Council, Washington, D.C. 29–35.*

- Humphrey, Sandford, T., Cribbs, M., Chearegrat, H. and Manion, W. (1992). Tire Chips as Lightweight Backfill for retaining Walls. *Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Maine, Orno.*
- Hyodo, M., Yamada, S., Orense, R. P., Okamoto, M. and Hazarika, H. (2007). Undrained Cyclic Shear Properties of Tire Chip–Sand Mixtures, Scrap Tire Derived Geomaterials, Opportunities and Challenges. *Taylor & Francis, London.* 187–196.
- Jafari, M. and Shafiee, A. (2004). Mechanical Behavior of Compacted Composite Clays. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal.* 25: 1152–1167.
- Jahanandish, M. and Keshavarz, A. (2005). Seismic Bearing Capacity of Foundations on Reinforced Soil Slopes. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes.* 23(1): 1–25.
- Jamshidi, M. and Pourkhorshidi, A. R. (2010). A Comparative Study on Physical/Mechanical Properties of Polymer Concrete and Portland Cement Concrete. 11(4): 421–432.
- Janbu, N. (1957). Earth Pressure and Bearing Capacity Calculations by Generalized Procedures of Slices. *In Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering, London.*
- Jesionek, K. S., Humphrey, D. N. and Dunn, R. J. (1998). Overview of Shredded Tire Applications in Landfills. *Proceedings of the Tire Industry Conference, Clemson University, March 4-6 (12).*
- Jones, C. J. and Clarke, D. (2007). The Residual Strength of Geosynthetic Reinforcement Subjected to Accelerated Creep Testing and Simulated Seismic events. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes.* 25(3): 155–169.
- Juran, I. and Schlosser, F. (1978). Theoretical Analysis of Failure in Reinforced Earth Structures. *In: Proceeding of Symposium on Earth Reinforcement. ASCE, Pittsburgh.* 528–555.
- Kaggwa, W. S. (2005). Probability-Based Diagnosis of Defective Geotechnical Engineering Structures. *Journal of Performance of Constructed Facilities.* 19(4): 308–315.
- Kalkan, E. (2006). Utilization of Red Mud as a Stabilization Material for Preparation of Clay Liners. *Engineering Geology.* 87(3-4): 220–229.
- Kalkan, E. and Akbulut, S. (2004). The Positive Effects of Silica Fume on the Permeability, Swelling Pressure and Compressive Strength of Natural Clay Liners. *Engineering Geology.* 73: 145–156.

- Kalkan, E. (2013). Preparation of Scrap Tire Rubber Fiber–Silica Fume Mixtures for Modification of Clayey Soils. *Applied Clay Science*. 80-81: 117–125.
- Kazimierowicz-Frankowska, K. (2005). A Case Study of a Geosynthetic Reinforced Wall with Wrap-Around Facing. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 23(1): 107–115.
- Khan, R. A. and Shalaby, A. (2002). Performance of a Road Base Constructed with Shredded Rubber Tires. *Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the Canadian Society for CIVIL Engineering, Montreal, Que., Canada, June 5–8*.
- Kikuchi, Y., Nagatome, T., Mitarai, Y. and Otani, J. (2006). Engineering Property Evaluation of Cement Treated Soil with Tire Chips Using X-ray CT Scanner. *Proceeding 6th International Congress on Environmental Geotechnology, Cardiff, UK* (1423–1430).
- Kim, Y.T. and Kang, H. S. (2011). Engineering Characteristics of Rubber-Added Lightweight Soil as a Flowable Backfill Material. *Journal of Material and Civil Engineering, ASCE*, (September). 1289–1294.
- Koerner, R. M. and Soong, T. (2001). Geosynthetic Reinforced Segmental Retaining Walls. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 19: 359–386.
- Koseki, J., Munaf, Y., Tatsuoka, F., Tateyama, M., Kojima, K. and Sato, T. (1998). Shaking and Tilt Table Tests of Geosynthetic-Reinforced Soil and Conventional-Type Retaining Walls. *Geosynthetics International*. 5(1–2): 73–96.
- Koza, J.R. 1992. Genetic programming: on the programming of computers by natural selection. The MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass.
- Kulhawy, F. and Mayne, P. (1990). *Manual on Estimating Soil Properties for Foundations Design*. Report EL-9800 to Electric Power Research Institute, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York.
- Kumar, J. and Chitikela, S. (2002). Seismic Passive Earth Pressure Coefficients Using the Method of Characteristics. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. 39: 463–471.
- Lagaly, G. (1989). Principles of Flow of Kaolin and Bentonite Dispersions. *Applied Clay Science*. 4(2): 105–123.
- Lambe, T. W. (1951). Soil Testing for Engineers. *John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, N.Y.*
- Lambe, T. W. (1958). The Structure of Compacted Clay. *Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division, Proceedings of the American Society of Civil Engineers*. 84(2): 1–34.
- Lambe, T. W. and Whitman, R. V. (1969). Soil Mechanics. *Wiley Sons, New York*.

- Latha, M. and Krishna, M. (2008). Seismic Response of Reinforced Soil Retaining wall models: Influence of Backfill Relative Density. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 26: 335–349.
- Lee, T. (2011). Leaching Characteristics of Bottom Ash From Coal Fired Electric Generating Plants , and Waste Tire; Individually and Mixtures When Used as Construction Site Fill Materials. *Waste Management*. 31(2): 246–252.
- Lee, I.K. and Herrington, J. R. (1972). A Theoretical Study of the Pressure Acting on a Rigid Wall by Sloping Earth or Rock Fill. *Geotechnique*. 22(1): 1–27.
- Lee, K. M. (2003). Lightly Cemented Scrap Tire Chips as LGM for Construction of Earth Structure. *Materials Devision of the Hong Kong Institute of Engineers*. 1–17.
- Lee, H. J. and Roh, H. S. (2007). The Use of Recycled Tire Chips to Minimize Dynamic Earth Pressure During Compaction of Backfill. *Construction and Building Materials*. 21: 1016–1026.
- Lee, J. H. Salgado, R., Bernal, A. and Lovel, C. W. (1999). Shredded Tires and Rubber-Sand as Lightweight Backfill. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*. 125: 132–141.
- Lee, C., Truong, Q. H., Lee, W. and Lee, J. (2010). Characteristics of Rubber-Sand Particle Mixtures according to Size Ratio. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering, ASCE*, (April). 323–331.
- Lee, K. Z. and Wu, J. T. H. (2004). A Synthesis of Case Histories on GRS Bridge-Supporting Structures with Flexible Facing. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 22(4): 181–204.
- Leshchinsky, D., Hu, Y. and Han, J. (2004). Limited Reinforced Space in Segmental Retaining Walls. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 22: 543–553.
- Liu, C. and Evett, J. B. (1984). Soil Properties, Testing, Measurement and Evaluation. *Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey*, 315.
- Liu, H. S., Mead, J. and Stacer, R. (1998). Environmental Impacts of Recycled Rubber in Lightweight Fill Applications. *Chelsea Centre for Recycling and Economic Development, University of Massachusetts*, 1998.
- Liu, F. Q., Wang, J. H. and Zhang, L. L. (2009). Axi-Symmetric Active Earth Pressure Obtained by the Slip Line Method with a General Tangential Stress Coefficient. *Computers and Geotechnics*. 36: 352–358.
- Logsdon, S. D., Allmaras, R. R., Nelson, W. W. and Voorhees, W. B. (1992). Persistence of Subsoil Compaction from Heavy Axle Loads. *Soil Till. Res*. 23: 95–110.

- Lord, J. (1969). *Stresses and Strains in an Earth Pressure Problem*. University of Cambridge. Thesis: Thesis Doctor of Philosophy.
- Macey, H. H. (1942). Clay-Water Relationship and the Internal Mechanisms of Drying. *Transportation Br. Ceram Society*. 73–121.
- Masad, E., Taha, R., Ho, C. and Papagiannakis, T. (1996). Engineering Properties of Tire-Soil Mixtures as a Lightweight Fill Material. *Geotechnical Testing Journal*. 19: 297–304.
- Mcdonald, R. M. (2004). *Recycled Materials Relational Database: Design and Implementation Aspects*. University of South Florida.
- Michaels, A. S. and Lin, C. S. (1954). The Permeability of Kaolinite. *Industry Engineering Chemical*. 46: 1239–1246.
- Michaels, A. S. and Lin, C. S. (1955). Effects of Counter-Electroosmosis and Sodium Ion Exchange on Permeability of Kaolinite. *Industry Engineering Chemical*. 47: 1249–1253.
- Milligan, G. W. E. (1983). Soil Deformations Near Anchored Sheet-Pile Walls. *Geotechnique*. 33(1): 41–55.
- Minnesota Pollution Control Agency. (1990). *Report on the Environmental Study of the Use of Shredded Waste Tires for Roadway Sub-Grade Support*. Waste Tire Management Unit, Site Response Section, Groundwater and Solid Waste Division.
- Mitarai, Y., Nakamura, Y. and Otani, J. (2007). Evaluation of Effect of Tire Chips in the Cement Stabilized Soil Using X-ray CT, Scrap Tire Derived Geomaterials. *Taylor & Francis, London*. 223–228.
- Mitchell. (1996). *Fundamentals of Soil Behavior*. John Willey & Sons Inc., New York, 437.
- Mitchell, J. K. (1992). *Fundamentals of Soil Behavior* (2th Edition, 437). New York.
- Mitchell, J. K. and Zornberg, J. (1995). Reinforced Soil Structures with Poorly Draining Backfills. *Geosynthetics Int. IFAI*. 2(1): 265–299.
- Mittal, S., Garg, K. and Saran, S. (2006). Analysis and Design of Retaining Wall Having Reinforced Cohesive Frictional Backfill. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*. 24: 499–522.
- Moghaddas Tafreshi, S. N. and Norouzi, A. H. (2012). Bearing Capacity of a Square Model Footing on Sand Reinforced with Shredded Tire – An Experimental Investigation. *Construction and Building Materials*. 35: 547–556.
- Mondol, N. H., Bjørlykke, K., Jahren, J. and Høeg, K. (2007). Experimental Mechanical Compaction of Clay Mineral Aggregates-Changes in Physical

- Properties of Mudstones During Burial. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*. 24: 289–311.
- Moon, C. M. (2003). *Environmental Effect of Waste Tires as Earth Reinforcing Material*. Inha University.
- Moo-Young, H., Ochola, C., Zeroka, D., Sellassie, K., Sabnis, G., Glass, C. and Thornton, O. (2001). *Guidance Document for Scrap Tire Utilization in Embankments*. Pennsylvania.
- Moo-Young, H., Sellassie, K., Zeroka, D. and Sabnis, G. (2003). Physical and Chemical Properties of Recycled Tire Shreds for Use in Construction. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*. 129(10): 921–929.
- Morris, P. H. (2003). Compressibility and Permeability Correlations for Fine-Grained Dredged Materials. *Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal and Ocean Engineering*. 129(4): 188–191.
- Morris, P. H., Lockington, D. A. and Apelt, C. J. (2000). Correlations for Mine Tailings Consolidation Parameters. *International Journal of Surface Mining Reclamation and Environment*. 14(2): 171–182.
- Mousavi, S. M. and Alavi, A. H. (2011). Nonlinear genetic-based simulation of soil shear strength parameters. *J. Earth Syst. Sci.* 120(6): 1001–1022.
- Nagaraj, T. S. and Miura, N. (2001). *Soft Clay Behaviour: Analysis and Assessment*. Balkema, Rotterdam, 315.
- Nakhaei, A., Marandi, S. M., Sani Kermani, S. and Bagheripour, M. H. (2012). Dynamic Properties of Granular Soils Mixed with Granulated Rubber. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*. 43: 124–132.
- Naval, S., Kumar, A. and Bansal, S. K. (2013). Triaxial Tests on Waste Tire Rubber Fiber Mixed Granular Soil. *Electronic Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*. (1993): 1623–1641.
- Nguyen, T. H. (1996). Utilization of Used Tires in Civil Engineering-The Pneusol “Tiresoil”. *Proceedings of the second International Congress on Environmental Geotechnics* (809–814). Rotterdam, Netherlands.
- Nouri, H., Fagher, A. and Jones, C. J. F. P. (2006). Development of Horizontal Slices Method for Seismic Stability Analysis of Reinforced Slopes and Walls. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*, 175–187.
- Nova-Roessig, L. and Sitar, N. (1999). Centrifuge Model Studies of the Seismic response of reinforced soil slopes. *Proceeding 2th Int. Conference Earthquake Geotechnical Engineering* (679–684).
- O’Shaughnessy, V. and Garga, V. K. (2000). Tire Reinforced Earth Fill, Environmental Assessment. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. 37: 117–131.

- Olsen, H. J. (1986). Soil Mechanical Behaviour of a Heavy Clay Soil After Three Long-Term Compaction Treatments. *Soil & Tillage Research*. 7: 145–156.
- Otani, J., Mukunoki, T. and Kikuchi, Y. (2002). Visualization of Engineering Property of In-situ Lightweight Soils with Air Foam. *Soils and Foundations*. 42(3): 93–105.
- Papp, W. J., Maher, M. H. and Baker, R. F. (1997). Use of Shredded Tires in the Subbase Layers of Asphalt Pavements. *Testing Soil Mixed with Waste or Recycled Materials, ASTM STP 1275*. ASTM, Philadelphia, PA, 286–298.
- Pappin, J. W., Simpson, B., Felton, P. J. and Raison, C. (1985). Numerical Analysis of Flexible Retaining Walls. *Proceeding of Methods in Engineering Theory and Applications, Swansea*.
- Park, T. and Tan, S. A. (2005). Enhanced Performance of Reinforced Soil Walls by the Inclusion of Short Fiber. 23(4): 2005.
- Pasley, C. W. (1822). Experiments on Revetments. *Murray, London*, 2.
- Penoyer, D. H., Kennelly, L. E. and Dever, R. J. (2004). Use of Tire Chips in Landfill Gas Extraction Applications.
- Pierce, C. E. and Blackwell, M. C. (2003). Potential of Scrap Tire Rubber as Lightweight Aggregate in Flowable Fill. 23: 197–208.
- Pinto, M. (1992). *Model Studies of Fabric-Reinforced Brick-Faced Earth-Retaining Walls*. University of Leeds, Leeds, UK, 316 p.
- Pinto, M. and Cousens, T. (1996). Geotextile Reinforced Brick Faced Retaining Walls. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 14: 449–464.
- Pinto, M. and Cousens, T. (1999). Modelling a Geotextile-Reinforced, Brick-Faced Soil Retaining Wall. *GeoSyntec Consultants*.
- PLAXIS. (2011). PLAXIS 2D Manual, Version 9.0.
- Plumey, S., Muttoni, A., Vulliet, L. and Labiouse, V. (2011). Analytical and Numerical Analyses of the Load-Bearing Capacity of Retaining Walls Laterally Supported at Both Ends. *Int J Numer Anal Methods Geotech*. 35(9): 1019–1033.
- Porbaha, A., Zhao, A., Kobayashi, M. and Kishida, T. (2000). Upper Bound Estimate of Scaled Reinforced Soil Retaining Walls. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 18(6): 403–413.
- Rahardjo, H. and Fredlund, D. (1984). General Limit Equilibrium Method for Lateral Earth Force. *Canadian Geotechnic Journal*. 21: 166–175.

- Rankine, W. J. M. (1857). On the Mathematical Theory of the Stability of Earthwork and Masonry. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London* (Vol. 8, pp. 60–61).
- Rao, G. V. and Dutta, R. K. (2006). Compressibility and Strength Behaviour of Sand – Tire chip mixtures. *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*, 711–724.
- Ravichandran, N. and Huggins, E. L. (2013). Seismic Response of Gravity-Cantilever Retaining Wall Backfilled with Shredded Tire. *Geotechnical Engineering Journal of the SEAGS & AGSSEA*, 44(3).
- Ravikumar, D., Peethamparan, S. and Neithalath, N. (2010). Structure and Strength of NaOH Activated Concretes Containing Fly Ash or GGBFS as the Sole Binder. *Cement and Concrete Composites*. 32(6): 399–410.
- Reddy, K. and Marella, A. (2001). Properties of Different Size Scrap Tire Shreds: Implications on Using as Drainage Material in Landfill Cover Systems. *The Seventeenth International Conference on Solid Waste Technology and Management* (pp. 1–19). Philadelphia, PA, USA.
- Reddy, K. and Saichek, R. E. (1998). Assessment of Damage to Geomembrane Liners by Shredded Scrap Tires. *Geotechnical Testing Journal*. 21(4): 307–316.
- Reddy, K., Stark, T. and Marella, A. (2010). Beneficial Use of Shredded Tires as Drainage Material in Cover Systems for Abandoned Landfills. *Practice Periodical of Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste Management*. ASCE, (January), 47–60.
- Reddy, Madhav, M. R. and Reddy, E. S. (2008). Pseudo-Static Seismic Analysis of Reinforced Soil Wall, Effect of Oblique Displacement. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 26(5): 393–403.
- Reid, R. A., Soupir, S. P. and Schaefer, V. R. (1998). Mitigation of Void Development Under Bridge Approach Slabs Using Rubber Tire Chips. *Recycled Materials in Geotechnical Applications, Geotechnical Special Publications*. (79): 37–50.
- Rezania, M. and Javadi, A. A. (2007). A new genetic programming model for predicting settlement of shallow foundations. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. 44: 1462–1473.
- Richards, B. G. and Peth, S. (2009). Modelling Soil Physical Behaviour With Particular Reference to Soil Science. *Soil & Tillage Research*. 102: 216–224.
- Richardson, G. N. and Lee, K. L. (1975). Seismic Design of Reinforced Earth Walls. *Journal of the Geotechnical Engineering Division, ASCE*. 101(2): 167–188.
- Roscoe, K. H. (1970). The Influence of Strains in Soil Mechanics. *Geotechnique*. 20(2): 129–170.



- Roustaei, M. and Ghazavi, M. (2011). Strength Characteristics of Clay Mixtures with Waste Materials in Freeze-Thaw Cycles. *Journal of Structural Engineering and Geotechnics*. 1(2): 57–62.
- Rowe and Ho, S. K. (1998). Horizontal Deformation in Reinforced Soil Walls. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. 35(2): 312–327.
- Rowe, R. and Ho, S. (1997). Continuous Panel Reinforced Soil Walls on Rigid Foundations. *Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering (ASCE)*. 912–920.
- Rowe and Skinner, G. D. (2001). Numerical Analysis of Geosynthetic Reinforced Retaining Wall Constructed on a Layered Soil Foundation. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 19: 387–412.
- Rowshanzamir, M. and Jafari, A. (2004). Investigation of Shear Strength Anisotropy of  $c$ - $\phi$  Soils.
- Roy, C. B. (2013). Stabilization of Soil of Indian Origin. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*. 3(3): 1–3.
- Rubber Manufacturers Association. (2006). Scrap Tire Markets in the United States. *Washington, DC*.
- Sabermahani, M., Ghalandarzadeh, A. and Fakher, A. (2009). Experimental Study on Seismic Deformation Modes of Reinforced-Soil Walls. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 27(2): 121–136.
- Salehzade, H., Alavi, A. H., Gandomi, A. H., Badkobeh, A. and Ghasemi, A. (2008). On the Applicability of Linear Genetic Programming for the Formulation of Soil Classification. *American-Eurasian Journal Agricultural & Environmental Science*. 4(5): 575–583.
- Salgado, R. and Yoon, S. (2003). Construction of Tire Shreds Test Embankment.
- Salgado, R., Yoon, S., and Siddiki, Z. (2002). *Construction of Tire Shreds Test Embankment*.
- Santoni, R. L., Tingle, J. S. and Webster, S. L. (2001). Engineering Properties of Sand-Fiber Mixtures for Road Construction. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*. 127(3): 258–268.
- Saran, S., Garg, K. G. and Bhandari, R. K. (1992). Retaining wall with reinforced cohesionless backfill. *Geotechnical Engineering*. 118: 1869–1888.
- Saran, S., Talwar, D. V. and Prakash, S. (1979). Earth Pressure Distribution on Retaining Wall with Reinforced Earth Backfill. *Conference on Soil Reinforcement*. Paris.

- Seda, J. H., Lee, J. C., Antonio, J. and Carraro, H. (2007). Mitigation in Expansive Soils. *Soil Improvement*.
- Seed, H. B. and Chan, C. (1959). Structure and Strength Characteristics of Compacted Clays. *Journal of the Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division, Proceedings of the American Society of Civil Engineers*. 85(5): 87–128.
- Senetakis, K., Anastasiadis, A. and Pitilakis, K. (2012). Dynamic Properties of Dry Sand / Rubber (SRM) and Gravel / Rubber (GRM) Mixtures in a Wide Dange of shearing strain amplitudes. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*. 33(1): 38–53.
- Sette, S. and Boullart, L. (2001). Genetic programming: principles and applications. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 14(6): 727–736.
- Setty, K. R. N. S. and Rao, S. V. G. (1987). Characteristics of Fiber Reinforced Lateritic Soils. *Proceedings of the Indian Geotechnical Conference, Bangalore, India* (Vol. 1, pp. 329–333).
- Shahgholi, M., Fakher, A. and Jones, C. J. F. P. (2001). Horizontal Slice Method of Analysis. *Geotechnique*. 51(10): 881–885.
- Shalaby, A. and Ahmed, R. (2005). Design of Unsurfaced Roads Constructed with Large-Size Shredded Rubber Tires. *Resources Conservation & Recycling*. 44: 318–332.
- Shekarian, S. and Ghanbari, A. (2008). A Pseudo-Dynamic Method to Analyze Retaining Wall with Reinforced and Unreinforced Backfill. *Journal of Seismology and Earthquake Engineering*. 10(1): 41–47.
- Shekarian, S., Ghanbari, A. and Farhadi, A. (2008). New Seismic Parameters in the Analysis of Retaining Walls with Reinforced Backfill. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 350–356.
- Shih, A. J. and Mccall, R. C. (2004). Kinematics and Wear of Tool Blades for Scrap Tire Shredding. *Machining Science and Technology*. 8(2): 193–210.
- Shindle, A. and Mandal, J. (2007). Behavior of Reinforced Soil Retaining Wall with Limited Fill Zone Parameter Shinde AL, Mandal JN (2007) Geotech Geol Eng 25: *Geotechnical and Geological Engineering*. 25: 657–672.
- Siddique, R. (2009). Utilization of Waste Materials and By-Products in Producing Controlled Low-Strength Materials. *Resources Conservation & Recycling*. 54: 1–8.
- Siddique, R. and Naik, T. (2004). Properties of Concrete Containing Scrap tire Rubber-an Overview. *Journal of Waste Management*. 24(6): 563–569.

- Sim, W. W., Towhata, I., Yamada, S. and Moinet, G. J. (2012). Shaking Table Tests Modelling Small Diameter Pipes Crossing a Vertical Fault. *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering*. 35: 59–71.
- Skinner, G. D. and Rowe, R. K. (2005). Design and Behavior of a Geosynthetic Reinforced Retaining Wall and Bridge Abutment on a Yielding Foundation. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 23(3): 234–260.
- Smith, C. C., Anderson, W. F. and Freewood, R. J. (2001). Evaluation of Shredded Tire Chips as Sorption Media for Passive Treatment Walls. *Engineering Geology*, 60, 253–261.
- Sofi, M., Van Deventer, J. S. J., Mendis, P. a. and Lukey, G. C. (2007). Engineering Properties of Inorganic Polymer Concretes (IPCs). *Cement and Concrete Research*. 37(2): 251–257.
- Sokolovskii, V. V. (1965). Statics of Granular Media. *Pergamon Press, New York*.
- Sridharan, A. and Nagaraj, H. B. (2000). Compressibility Behavior of Remolded, Fine-Grained Soils and Correlation With Index Properties. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. 37: 712–722.
- Sridharan, A. and Rao, G. V. (1975). Mechanism Controlling the Liquid Limit of Clays. *Proceedings of Istanbul Conference on Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering* (Vol. 1, pp. 65–74).
- Sridharan, A., Rao, S. M. and Murthy, N. S. (1988). Liquid Limit of Kaolinitic Soils. *Geotechnique*, 38(2): 191–198.
- Sunthonpagasit, N. and Duffhey, M. (2004). Scrap Tires to Crumb Rubber, Feasibility Analysis for Processing Facilities. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*. 40:281–299.
- Tafreshi, S. and Norouzi, A. H. (2012). Bearing Capacity of a Square Model Footing on Sand Reinforced with Shredded Tire—An Experimental Investigation. *Construction and Building Materials*. 35: 547–556.
- Tanchaisawat, T., Bergado, D. T., Voottipruex, P. and Shehzad, K. (2010). Interaction Between Geogrid Reinforcement and Tire Chip – Sand Lightweight Backfill. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 28(1): 119–127.
- Tapas, D. and Baleshwar, S. (2013). Benefit and Impacts of Scrap Tire Use in Geotechnical Engineering. *Journal of Environmental Research And Development Vol. 7(3)* 1262–1271.
- Tatliso, N., Edil, T. B. and Benson, C. (1998). Interaction Between Reinforcing Geosynthetics and Soil–Tire Chip Mixtures. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*. 124(11): 1109–1119.

- Tavakoli Mehrjardi, G., Moghaddas Tafreshi, S. N. and Dawson, A. R. (2012). Combined Use of Geocell Reinforcement and Rubber-soil Mixtures to Improve Performance of Buried Pipes. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 34: 116–130.
- Terzaghi, K. (1943). *Theoretical Soil Mechanics*. Wiley, New York.
- Terzaghi, K. and Peck, R. (1967). *Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice* (2th Edition). John Wiley & Sons, New York, 729 p.
- Tessier, D. (1991). Behaviour and Microstructure of Clay Minerals. *Soil Colloids and their Associations in Aggregates*, Plenum Press, New York, 387–415.
- Tessier, D., Lajudie, A. and Petit, J. C. (1992). Relation Between the Macroscopic Behavior of Clays and Their Microstructural Properties Application. *Geochemistry Supplement*. 1: 151–161.
- Thiruvangodan, S.K, (2006). *Waste Tire Management in Malaysia*. Universiti Putra Malaysia: Thesis Doctor of Philosophy.
- Tin, N., Bergado, D. T., Anderson, L. R. and Voottipruex, P. (2010). Factors Affecting Kinked Steel Grid Reinforcement in MSE Structures. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 29: 172–180.
- Tiwari, B., Ajmera, B., Moubayed, S., Lemmon, A. and Styler, K. (2012). Soil Modification with Shredded Rubber Tires. *GeoCongress*, 3701–3708.
- Tweedie, J., Humphrey, D. and Standford, T. (1998). Tire Shreds as Retaining Wall Backfill, Active Conditions. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*. 124(11): 1061–1070.
- Upton, R. J. and Machan, G. (1993). Use of Shredded Tires for Lightweight Fill. *Transportation Research Record No. 1422, Transportation Research Board, Washington, DC*, 36–45.
- Vallejo, L. (2001). Interpretation of the Limits in Shear Strength in Binary Granular Mixtures. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. 38(6): 1097–1104.
- Vallejo, L. and Mawby, R. (2000). Void ratio Influence on the Shear Strength of Granular Material-Clay Mixtures. *Engineering Geology*. 58: 125–136.
- Van Olphen, H. (1963). *An Introduction to Clay Colloid Chemistry*. John Willey, New York.
- Vashisth, P., Lee, K. W. and Wright, R. M. (1998). Assessment of Water Pollutants from Asphalt Pavement Containing Recycled Rubber in Rhode Island. *Environmental and Social Effects of Transportation, (Transportation Research Record, Nol.1626)*: 95– 104.

- Vasseur, G., Djeran-Maigre, I., Tessier, D. and Velde, B. (1995). Evolution of Structural and Physical Parameters of Clays During Experimental Compaction. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*. 12(8): 941–954.
- Vaziri, H. H. (1996). A simple Numerical Model for Analysis of Propped Embedded Retaining Walls. *Solid Structures*. 33(16): 2357–2376.
- Vaziri, H. H. Simpson, B., Pappin, J. W. and Simpson, L. (1982). Integrated forms of Mindlin's Equations. *Geotechnique*. 32: 275–277.
- Vaziri H. H. and Troughton, V. (1992). An Efficient Three-Dimensional Soil-Structure Interaction Model. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*. 29: 529–538.
- Vesic, A. (1963). Bearing Capacity of Deep Foundations in Sand. *Highway Research Record*, No. 39, Washington DC, 2013–2014.
- Vidal, H. (1969). The Principal of Reinforced Earth. *Highway Research Record*, No. 282, Washington, DC, 1–16.
- Vinot, V. and Baleshwar, S. (2013). Shredded Tire-Sand as Fill Material for Embankment Applications. *Journal of Environmental Research And Development*, 7(4).
- Warith, M. A., Evgin, E. and Benson, P. A. S. (2004). Suitability of Shredded Tires for Use in Landfill Leachate Collection Systems. *Waste Management*, 24: 967–979.
- Warith, M. A. and Rao, S. M. (2006). Predicting the Compressibility Behaviour of Tire Shred Samples for Landfill Applications. *Waste Management*, 26: 268–276.
- Wartman, J., Natale, M. F. and Strenk, P. M. (2007). Immediate and Time-Dependent Compression of Tire Derived Aggregate. *Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*. 133(3): 245–256.
- Watanabe, K., Munaf, Y., Koseki, J., Tateyama, M. and Kojima, K. (2003). Behavior of Several Types of Model Retaining Walls Subjected to Irregular Excitation. *Soils and Foundations*. 43(5): 13–27.
- Westerberg, B. and Macsik, J. (2001). Geotechnical and Environmental Properties of Tire Shreds in Civil Engineering Applications. *Recycling and Reuse of Tires*. Thomas Telford, London.
- Whetten, N., Weaver, J., Humphrey, D. and Sandford, T. (1997). Rubber Meets the Road in Maine. *Civil Engineering*. 67(9): 60–63.
- Widulinski, Ł., Tejchman, J., Kozicki, J. and Lesniewska, D. (2011). Discrete Simulations of Shear Zone Patterning in Sand in Earth Pressure Problems of a Retaining Wall. *International Journal of Solids and Structures*. 48: 1191–1209.

- Won, M. S. and Kim, Y. S. (2007). Internal Deformation Behavior of Geosynthetic-Reinforced Soil Walls. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 25(1): 10–22.
- Wong, I. H. and Poh, T. Y. (2000). Comparison of Retaining Walls for Basement Construction in Stiff Clays. *Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology*. 14(4): 461–468.
- Wongpa, J., Kiattikomol, K., Jaturapitakkul, C. and Chindaprasirt, P. (2010). Compressive Strength, Modulus of Elasticity, and Water Permeability of Inorganic Polymer Concrete. *Materials & Design*. 31(10): 4748–4754.
- Wu, Benda, C. C. and Cauley, R. F. (1997). Triaxial Determination of Shear Strength of Tire Chips. *Journal of Geotechnical and Geoenvironmental Engineering*. 123: 479–482.
- Wu, Helwany, M. B. and Barrett, R. K. (1994). Use of Shredded Tire as Backfill for a New Geosynthetic Reinforced Retaining Wall System. *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Geotextiles, Geomembranes and Related Products, Singapore, 5–9 September* (269–272).
- Wu, J. Y. and Tsai, M. (2009). Feasibility Study of a Soil-Based Rubberized CLSM. *Waste Management*, 29: 636–642.
- Yang, S., Lohnes, R. and Kjartanson, B. . (2002). Mechanical Properties of Shredded Tires. *Geotechnical Testing Journal*. 25(1): 44–52.
- Yang, Zhang, B., Lv, P. and Zhou, Q. (2009). Behaviour of Geogrid Reinforced Soil Retaining Wall with Concrete-Rigid Facing. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*.
- Yasuhara, K. (2007). Recent Japanese Experiences on Scrapped Tires for Geotechnical Applications. *Taylor & Francis, London*, 17–40.
- Yasuhara, K., Hazarika, H. and Fukutake, K. (2006). Applications of Scrap Tires in Civil Engineering. *Doboku Gijutsu*. 61(10): 79–86.
- Yasuhara, K., Kikuchi, Y., Mitarai, Y., Kawai, H. and Karmokar, A. K. (2004). Improvement Effect on Deformation and Barrier Performance of Cement-Treated Soils By Mixing Tire-Chips. *Japanese National Conference on Geotechnical Engineering*, 2323–2324.
- Yin, J. (2002). Stress-Strain Strength Characteristics of a Marine Soil with Different Clay Content. *Geotechnical Testing Journal*. 25(4): 459–462.
- Yoo, C. (2004). Performance of a 6-Year-Old Geosynthetic-Reinforced Segmental Retaining Wall. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 22(5): 377–397.
- Yoo, C. and Jung, H. S. (2004). Measured Behavior of a Geosynthetic-Reinforced Segmental Retaining Wall in a Tiered Configuration. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 22(5): 359–376.

- Yoon, Cheon, S. H. and Kang, D. S. (2004). Bearing Capacity and Settlement of Tire-Reinforced Sands. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 22: 439–453.
- Yoon, Prezzi, M., Siddik, N. Z. and Kim, B. (2006). Construction of a Test Embankment Using a Sand – Tire Shred Mixture as Fill Material. *Waste Management*. 26: 1033–1044.
- Yoon, Y., Heo, S. and Kim, K. (2008). Geotechnical Performance of Waste Tires for Soil Reinforcement from Chamber Tests. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 26(8): 100–107.
- Yoshinaka, R. and Kazama, H. (1973). Microstructure of Compacted Kaolin Clay. *Soils and Foundations*. 13: 19–34.
- Young, H. M., Sellasie, K., Zeroka, D. and Sabris, G. (2003). Physical and Chemical Properties of Recycled Tire Shreds for Use in Construction. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*. 129(10): 921–929.
- Youwai, S. and Bergado, D. T. (2003). Strength and Deformation Characteristics of Shredded Rubber Tire – Sand Mixtures. *Canadian Geotechnic Journal* 264(1997): 254–264.
- Youwai, S. and Bergado, D. T. (2004). Numerical Analysis of Reinforced Wall Using Rubber Tire Chips-Sand Mixtures as Backfill Material. *Computers and Geotechnics*. 31: 103–114.
- Zevgolis, I. E. and Bourdeau, P. L. (2010). Probabilistic Analysis of Retaining Walls. *Computers and Geotechnics*. 37(3): 359–373.
- Zhang, M. X., Javadi, A. A. and Min, X. (2006). Triaxial Tests of Sand Reinforced with 3D Inclusions. *Geotextiles and Geomembranes*. 24: 201–209.
- Zimmerman, P. (1997). *Compressibility, Hydraulic Conductivity, and Soil Infiltration Testing of Tire Shreds and Field Testing of a Shredded Tire Horizontal Drain*. Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa. Thesis Master of Science
- Zornberg, J. G., Cabral, A. R. and Viratjandr, C. (2004). Behaviour of Tire Shred – Sand Mixtures. *Canadian Geotechnic Journal*. 41: 227–241.