Jurnal Teknologi

FLUORESCENT LIGHT (FL), RED LED AND BLUE LED **SPECTRUMS EFFECTS** ON IN SHOOTS VITRO MULTIPLICATION

Nurul Syahirah Azmia*, Robiah Ahmada, Rusli Ibrahimb

^aRazak School of Engineering and Advanced Technology, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia ^bMalaysia Nuclear 43000 Kajang Agency, Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia

Abstract

Tissue culture in ornamental plants is one of the relevant factors that beat production of vegetables and fruit production worldwide. It has been recognized as an effective tool to enhance large scale of plant multiplication. However, the conventional lighting system may contain unnecessary wavelength that are low quality to promote growth. In this study, experiment was conducted by using Light Emitting Diodes (LED) as an alternative source of lighting. Red and blue LEDs along with fluorescent light (FL) were applied to determine the best source of light in multiplication of rose. Under the same media regimes which are MS media basal and BAP shoot hormone, blue LED had shown more shoots and leaves.

Keywords: Tissue culture, rose, LED, fluorescent light, red and blue LED

© 2016 Penerbit UTM Press. All rights reserved

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Ornamental plants like roses are usually used as a cut flower, flowering potted plants, garden and landscape. Roses are originated in Iran, Iraq and China [1]. Roses can be processed to produce aromatic oil and scents [2]. To further expand its potential, a model system for growth development can be further applied for in vitro breeding [3]. Tissue culture is a method by transferring an explant to high in nutrition agar medium in environmental control chamber. Parts of explants include leaf tissue, peduncles, tuber segment and floral parts [4]. Conventionally, rose plants were bred with vegetative method like cutting, layering, grafting and budding of plants. But, this method does not promised disease free plants [5]. Furthermore, the problem in rose plants is also linked with inter-specific breeding, which includes low percent of seed set and seed germination [6].

Lights are energy source of most plants during photosynthesis by using their photosensitive mechanism [7, 8]. Normally, fluorescent light is used for in vitro culture, but it contains low quality and unnecessary wavelength. However, plant does not have to absorb this full mixture of light wavelength [9-10]. Unlike LED, it has been utilized globally in agriculture and attracts lot of interest because of its wavelength specificity, small mass and volume, long life and minimum heating [9-11]. As reported in [12], light spectrum within the range 400-700nm does provide energy for plant photosynthesis. Importantly, spectrum in the said range was known as photosynthetically active radiation or PAR that parallels to nearly the visible spectrum of the human eye [13] these spectrums range are actually at blue to red color spectrums. Red spectrum LED acts by

Full Paper

Article history Received 17 August 2015 Received in revised form 13 December 2015 Accepted 12 January 2016

*Corresponding author n.svahirahazmi@icloud.com



hoarding starch through photosynthesis and blue spectrum LED is in chloroplast development, chlorophyll formation and stomata opening [14]. The objective of this study is to analyze the effects of fluorescent light (FL), monochromic blue LED (B) and monochromic red LED (R), on the growth and morphogenesis of rose plantlets *in vitro* and to select the best light source for this cultivation system.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Culture Condition

Media formula were based on MS (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) medium supplemented with 3% (w/v) of sucrose, 0.25% Gelritetm (Duchefa), 1.5 mg/L of BAP hormone for growth, 0.1g/L myo-inositol and 0.1g/L of Ferrum. The pH was adjusted to 5.8 prior to autoclaving at 121 °C at 103 kPa for 15 minutes. The apparatus for culturing such as forceps, scalpels, and culture bottle were sterilized by autoclaving for 20 minutes at the same temperature and pressure.

2.2 Shoot Multiplication

The aseptic shoots of rose explants were trimmed until 2cm from the base and sub cultured into the multiplication MS medium supplemented with 1.5mg?l concentration of BAP. The explants were incubated at 26 ± 1 °C with 16/8-hour photoperiod (light/dark) (60 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) under fluorescent light (FL), monochromic red LED (R) and monochromic blue LED (B) separately for 30 days.

2.3 Experimental Design and Data Analysis

The culture growth was examined periodically and the morphological changes were recorded. The growth was observed and determined according to the following parameters; 1) mean of shoots number per explant, 2) mean of leaf number per explant 3) mean plant height per explant. The statistical significance was determined at p<0.05. Figure 1 shows the block diagram of the processes of the system





3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Shoots and Physical Measurement

Light is one of the important environmental conditions that have an impact on growth and development of a plant [15], [16]. Light certainly has an effect on stem elongation, leaf expansion, pigmentation and photosynthetic activity [17]. Red and blue LEDs have influence on plant growth as they are the major energy sources for photosynthesis process [18] particularly red wavelength has influence in accumulating starch for photosynthesis and blue wavelength in chloroplast development [19]. In contrast, ordinary FL which had various wavelengths and heat factor will bring different responses to the plant as plant shows different reaction to different wavelength [20][21].

Week	Treatment		Physical parameter		
	-	Plant height	No of shoots	No of leaves	
2	FL	0.88 ± 0.87°	1.56± 0.11 abc	8.22 ± 1.82°	
	R	1.13 ± 0.13 ^b	3.22 ± 0.11abc	10.33 ± 1.02 ^b	
	В	1.07 ± 0.15°	7.22 ± 0.22^{abc}	11.22± 0.78°	
4	FL	2.24 ± 0.23°	12.00 ± 0.192°C	28.89 ± 2.92°c	
	R	2.08 ± 0.31b	16.44 ± 0.97 ^b	25.33 ± 3.60 ^{bc}	
	В	2.12 ± 0.13°	19.00 ± 2.41 °C	44.11 ± 3.11 ^{ab}	

Table 1 Effects of light treatment under FL, R and B on physical parameters.

*Results were expressed as mean ± standard error (SE)

*Mean results for each parameters followed by the different letters are significantly different (p< 0.05)

*FL: Fluorescent light

*R: Red LED

According to Figure 2, shoot multiplication was best grown under blue LED with mean of shoots 19.00±2.41. From previous study by (22), marigold stem were 3 times higher under blue LED than FL treatment which in agreement with this study. Based from the Table 1, the explants shown areater stem elongation under blue LED light until week 2, but slightly shorter than FL in week 4. However, there is no significant difference on plant height after 4 weeks. On Figure 4, during week 2, shoots were well respond towards both red and blue LEDs as compared to FL. As experiment continued, red LED produced more shoots but less leaves. The experiments showed good results up until week 4 in which blue LED influenced shoot growth impressively by producing more leaves and numbers of shoots.



Figure 2 Effects of light treatment under FL, R and B on physical parameters



Figure 3 Multiple shoots and leaves start to grow after 2 weeks (upper picture) and growth progress after 4 weeks (below picture)

As in Figure 3, plant height of the explants was almost at the same height for all three treatments during the four weeks of experiment. The results are in disagreement with [23], where it reported that red light promoted plant elongation in lettuce. However, the study is agreement with [22] in which it reported that marigold elongation was inhibited under monochromic red but was the highest elongated in monochromic blue LED. This is due to the fact that it was possibly related to the radiation time, growing energy in blue and red spectrums in the spectral distribution and also plant species [22].



Figure 4 Explant under Fluorescent light (A); Red LED (B) and Blue LED (C).

Moreover, previous research on potato and radish [24] showed the need of blue spectrum to obtain high biomass and leaf expansion which was in agreement with this report, which displayed in Figure 3, blue LED treatment showed bigger leaves compared to the other 2 light treatments. Furthermore, in agreement with this study, [25] stated that certain plant species and light intensity response in blue light other than others light. In vitro study in potato by [26], enlightened that plantlets growth under FL and red LED had no significance differences in leaf area was in agreement with this investigation. Many findings [27] on Antihirnum, [28] on chrysanthemum, [29] on baby leaf lettuce, [30] on Doritaenopsis and [31] on upland cotton, and [32] on Alternanthera brasiliana Kuntze reported that blue light had decreased the number, length and area of leaves, which totally disagreed with this report. It is proved that the function of light is species dependent [33], [34].

Moving forward, report by [35], was in agreement with this study by stated that blue LED shown the highest influence on shoot production of Dendrobium. Plus, [36] stated that number of shoots in Anthurium was increased under higher percentage of blue LED. Higher differentiation was recorded on Oncidium by [37] under blue LED. [21], affirmed that photoreceptor of plant gets light to regulate their diversity and growth of plants. Other than that, several reports claimed that LED light shown higher nutritional value such as vitamin C [38] and carotenoid [39]. Based on Figure 3, explant under monochromic red LED in this study was not as compact as compared [40] on 'Green Oak Leaf' lettuce.

As reported [41], light quality indeed effects on morphological characteristics such as stem elongation, leaf size and plant anatomy. [10], testified that FL has always been selected as a light source for tissue culture, but this study showed that blue LED light is the alternative source for tissue culture. This was strongly agreed by [31] stated that FL was less suitable for the growth of upland cotton.

4.0 CONCLUSION

This study was able to narrowing the objective which is analyze the effects of fluorescent light (FL), monochromic blue LED (B) and monochromic red LED (R), on the growth and morphogenesis of rose plantlets *in vitro* by successfully increased the multiplication rate by using blue LED. This study shown that, FL can be replaced by blue LED with the right plant species and suitable cultivation environment; it is possible that it will increase the maximum yield to provide good economical production.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) Malaysia, under Research University Grant Scheme (RUGS) Vote 04H55 for the financial support provided throughout the course of this research and Nuclear Agency Malaysia for laboratory and facilities provided as well as technical assistance.

References

- Tobyn, G., Denham, A., & Whitelegg, M. 2010. The Western Herbal Tradition: 2000 Years Of Medicinal Plant Knowledge. Elsevier Health Sciences.
- [2] Almusaed, A. 2010. Biophilic and Bioclimatic Architecture: Analytical Therapy for the Next Generation of Passive Sustainable Architecture. Springer Science & Business Media.
- [3] Zeng, S., Liang, S., Zhang, Y. Y., Wu, K. L., da Silva, J. T., & Duan, J. 2013. In Vitro Flowering Red Miniature Rose. Biologia plantarum. 57(3): 401-409.
- [4] Rout, G. R., Mohapatra, A., & Jain, S. M. 2006. Tissue Culture of Ornamental Pot Plant: A Critical Review on Present Scenario and Future Prospects. *Biotechnology* Advances. 24(6): 531-560.
- [5] Jabbarzadeh, Z., & Khosh-Khui, M. 2005. Factors Affecting Tissue Culture of Damask Rose (Rosa Damascena Mill.). Scientia Horticulturae. 105(4): 475-482
- [6] Abdolmohammadi, M., Kermani, M. J., Zakizadeh, H., & Hamidoghli, Y. 2014. In Vitro Embryo Germination and Interploidy Hybridization of Rose (Rosa Sp). Euphytica. 198(2): 255-264.
- [7] Walters, R. G. 2005. Towards An Understanding of Photosynthetic Acclimation. Journal of Experimental Botany. 56(411): 435-447.
- [8] Jiao, Y., Lau, O. S., & Deng, X. W. 2007. Light-Regulated Transcriptional Networks in Higher Plants. Nature Reviews Genetics. 8(3): 217-230.
- [9] Shin, K. S., Murthy, H. N., Heo, J. W., Hahn, E. J., & Paek, K. Y. 2008. The Effect of Light Quality on the Growth and Development Of In Vitro Cultured Doritaenopsis Plants. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum. 30(3): 339-343.
- [10] Gupta, S. D., & Jatothu, B. 2013. Fundamentals and Applications of Light-Emitting Diodes (Leds) In In Vitro Plant Growth and Morphogenesis. Plant Biotechnology Reports. 7(3): 211-220.
- [11] Brown, C. S., Schuerger, A. C., & Sager, J. C. 1995. Growth and Photomorphogenesis of Pepper under Red Light-Emitting Diodes with Supplemental Blue or Far-Red Lighting. Journal of American Society of Horticulture Science. 120: 808-813.
- [12] Yen, H. C., Liou, S. Y., & Hsieh, Y. C. 2011. Tissue Culture of Anoectochilus Formosanus Hayata by Combining

Fluorescent Lamp and R-Leds as Light Sources. In Industrial Electronics and Applications (ICIEA). 2011 6th IEEE Conference. IEEE. 312-315

- [13] Chen, P. 2014. Chlorophyll and other photosentives. In: LED grow lights ,absorption spectrum for plant photosensitive pigments, http://www.ledgrowlightshq.co. uk/chlorophyll-plant-pigments/); 2014[accessed16.11.15].
- [14] Kim, C. K., Oh, J. Y., Jee, S. O. and Chung, J. D. 2003. In vitro Micropropagation of Rosa Hybrida L. J. Plant Biotechnology. 5(2): 115-119
- [15] Lee, S. W., Seo, J. M., Lee, M. K., Chun, J. H., Antonisamy, P., Arasu, M. V. & Kim, S. J. 2014. Influence of Different LED Lamps On The Production Of Phenolic Compounds In Common And Tartary Buckwheat Sprouts. Industrial Crops and Products. 54: 320-326.
- [16] Singh, D., Basu, C., Meinhardt-Wollweber, M., & Roth, B. 2015. LEDs for Energy Efficient Greenhouse Lighting. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. 49: 139-147.
- [17] Jayakumar, M., Amudha, P., & Kulandaivelu, G. 2004. Effect of Low Doses of UV-A and UV-B Radiation on Photosynthetic Activities Inphaseolus Mungo L. Journal of Plant Biology. 47(2): 105-110.
- [18] Lin, K. H., Huang, M. Y., Huang, W. D., Hsu, M. H., Yang, Z. W., & Yang, C. M. 2013. The Effects of Red, Blue, and White Light-Emitting Diodes on the Growth, Development, and Edible Quality of Hydroponically Grown Lettuce (Lactuca Sativa L. Var. Capitata). Scientia Horticulturae. 150: 86-91.
- [19] Kim, C. K., Oh, J. Y., Jee, S. O. and Chung, J. D. 2003. In Vitro Micropropagation of Rosa Hybrida L. J. Plant Biotechnology. 5(2): 115-119.
- [20] Jung, E. S., Lee, S., Lim, S. H., Ha, S. H., Liu, K. H., & Lee, C. H. 2013. Metabolite Profiling Of The Short-Term Responses Of Rice Leaves (Oryza Sativa Cv. Ilmi) Cultivated Under Different LED Lights And Its Correlations With Antioxidant Activities. *Plant Science*. 210: 61-69.
- [21] Xu, J., Liu, B., Liu, X., Gao, H., & Deng, X. 2011. Carotenoids Synthesized In Citrus Callus of Different Genotypes. Acta physiologiae plantarum. 33(3): 745-753.
- [22] Heo, J., Lee, C., Chakrabarty, D., & Paek, K. 2002. Growth Responses of Marigold and Salvia Bedding Plants As Affected By Monochromic or Mixture Radiation Provided By a Light-Emitting Diode (LED). *Plant Growth Regulation*. 38(3): 225-230.
- [23] Li, H., Tang, C., & Xu, Z. 2013. The Effects of Different Light Qualities on Rapeseed (Brassica Napus L.) Plantlet Growth and Morphogenesis in Vitro. Scientia Horticulturae. 150: 117-124.
- [24] Yorio, N. C., Goins, G. D., Kagie, H. R., Wheeler, R. M., Sager, J. C. 2001. Improving Spinach, Radish And Lettuce Growth Under Red Light Emitting Diodes (Leds) With Blue Light Supplementation. *Hort Science*. 36: 380-3.
- [25] Jo, E. A., Tewari, R. K., Hahn, E. J., & Paek, K. Y. 2008. Effect of Photoperiod and Light Intensity on In Vitro Propagation of Alocasia Amazonica. *Plant Biotechnology Reports*. 2(3): 207-212.
- [26] Yeh, N., Ding, T. J., & Yeh, P. 2015. Light-Emitting Diodes' Light Qualities and Their Corresponding Scientific Applications. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. 51: 55-61.
- [27] Khattak, A.M., Pearson, S, 2005. Light Quality and Temperature Effects on Antirrhinum Growth and Development. J. Zhej. Univ. Sci. 6B: 119-124.
- [28] Khattak, A. M., Pearson, S. 2006. Spectral Filters and Temperature Effects on the Growth and Development of Chrysanthemums under Low Light Integral. *Plant Growth Regul.* 49: 61-68
- [29] Li, Q., Kubota, C. 2009. Effects of Supplemental Light Quality on Growth and Phytochemicals of Baby Leaf Lettuce. Environ. Exp. Bot. 67: 59-64.
- [30] Shin, K. S., Murthy, H. N., Heo, J. W., Hahn, E. J. H., Paek, K. Y. 2008. The Effect of Light Quality on The Growth And

Development Of In Vitro Cultured Doritaenopsis Plants. Acta Physiol. Plant. 30: 339-343.

- [31] Li, H., Xu, Z., & Tang, C. 2010. Effect of Light-Emitting Diodes on Growth and Morphogenesis of Upland Cotton (Gossypium Hirsutum L.) Plantlets in Vitro. *Plant Cell, Tissue* and Organ Culture (PCTOC). 103(2): 155-163.
- [32] Macedo, A. F., Leal-Costa, M. V., Tavares, E. S., Lage, C. L. S., & Esquibel, M. A. 2011. The Effect of Light Quality on Leaf Production and Development of In Vitro-Cultured Plants of Alternanthera Brasiliana Kuntze. Environmental And Experimental Botany. 70(1): 43-50.
- [33] Antonopolou, C., Dimassi, F., Therios, I., Chatzissavvidis, C. 2004. The Influence Ofradiation Quality on the In Vitro Rooting and Nutrient Concentrations of Peach Rootstock. *Biol. Plant.* 48: 549-553.
- [34] Hunter, D. C., Burritt, D. J. 2004. Light Quality Influences Adventitious Shoot Production from Cotyledon Explants Of Lettuce (Lactuca Sativa). In Vitro Cell Dev. Biol. Plants. 40: 215-220.
- [35] Lin, Y., Li, J., Li, B., He, T., & Chun, Z. 2011. Effects Of Light Quality On Growth And Development Of Protocorm-Like Bodies of Dendrobium Officinale in Vitro. *Plant Cell. Tissue* and Organ Culture (PCTOC). 105(3): 329-335.

- [36] Budiarto, K. 2010. Spectral Quality Affects Morphogenesis on Anthurium Plantlet during In Vitro Culture. Agrivita. 32: 234–240
- [37] Xu, Z. G., Cui, J., Di, X. R. 2009. Effects of Different Spectral Energy Distribution on Tissue Culture of Oncidium in Vitro. Int J Autom Comput. 31: 45-50.
- [38] Li. H., Tang, C., Xu, Z., Liu, X., Han, X. 2012. Effects of Different Light Source Son the Growth of Non-Heading Chinese cabbage (Brassicacampestris L.). J AgricSci. 4: 262-73.
- [39] Lefsrud, M. G., Kopsell, D. A., Sams, C. E. 2008. Irradiance From Distinct Wavelength Light- Emitting Diodes Affect Secondary Metabolites In Kale. *HortScience*. 43: 2243-4.
- [40] Chen, X. L., Guo, W. Z., Xue, X. Z., Wang, L. C., & Qiao, X. J. 2014. Growth and Quality Responses of 'Green Oak Leaf'lettuce As Affected By Monochromic or Mixed Radiation Provided By Fluorescent Lamp (FL) and Light-Emitting Diode (LED). Scientia Horticulturae. 172: 168-175.
- [41] Nhut, D. T., Don, N. T., & Tanaka, M. 2007. Light-Emitting Diodes as an Effective Lighting Source for In Vitro Banana Culture. In Protocols for Micropropagation of Woody Trees and Fruits.. Springer Netherlands. 527-541